Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ramon Andrade Bezerra De Mello, Universidade Nove de Julho, Brazil

REVIEWED BY

Ralph Eric Vatner, University of Cincinnati, United States Savvas Lampridis, Imperial College London, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Lin Zhou Zhoulin@wchscu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 11 January 2024 ACCEPTED 17 June 2024 PUBLISHED 27 June 2024

CITATION

Hai T, Liu J, Lai J and Zhou L (2024) A good response to anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody plus SBRT in a patient with PD-L1-negative recurrent advanced esophageal cancer: a long-term follow-up case report of a possible abscopal effect. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1369035. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1369035

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Hai, Liu, Lai and Zhou. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. A good response to anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody plus SBRT in a patient with PD-L1-negative recurrent advanced esophageal cancer: a long-term follow-up case report of a possible abscopal effect

Tao Hai^{1†}, Jia Liu^{2†}, Jialu Lai³ and Lin Zhou^{1*}

¹Division of Thoracic Tumor Multimodality Treatment, Cancer Center and State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, ²Department of Oncology, Chengdu First People's Hospital, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, ³Department of Radiation Oncology, Cancer Center and State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

There are limited treatment options for recurrent advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. A good response with a possible abscopal effect was observed in a patient with programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1)-negative recurrent advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma treated with an anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody plus stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT). A 66year-old male patient was diagnosed with recurrent advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma with multiple lung metastases (13 metastatic nodules in total) four months after completing radical radiotherapy plus concurrent and consolidated chemotherapy, and PD-L1 expression in the primary esophageal tumor was negative. This patient received 25 cycles of camrelizumab (an anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody) in total plus upfront SBRT for two metastatic nodules, which was administered after the first cycle of camrelizumab. After this combined treatment, for most nontarget nodules, an obvious volume decrease and fuzzy change were observed, including two nodules that completely vanished. At the end of follow-up, the progression-free survival and duration of response of this patient were 34 months and 32 months, respectively. This case report indicated that an anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody combined with SBRT was a promising therapeutic strategy for recurrent esophageal squamous cell carcinoma even in patients with negative PD-L1 expression.

KEYWORDS

abscopal effect, anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody, stereotactic body radiotherapy, esophageal cancer, case report

Introduction

The abscopal effect is a rare and intriguing phenomenon that has been reported in many cancers treated with radiotherapy, especially stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) and hypofractionated radiotherapy (HFRT). This phenomenon is defined as regression in distant nonirradiated metastatic tumor sites after local irradiation for targeted lesion, and may be caused by the tumor-specific immune response of T cells to tumor-associated antigens triggered by the radiation of target lesions (1). There are only a few case reports regarding the abscopal effect in advanced esophageal cancer patients, which were triggered by palliative radiotherapy at a dose of 30 Gy in 10 fractions to the primary tumor and/or mediastinal lymph nodes after the failure of chemotherapy (2, 3), at a dose of 40 Gy in 10 fractions to the metastatic cervical lymph node after the failure of immunotherapy (4), and by SBRT at 42 Gy in 6 fractions to the metastatic retroperitoneal lymph node after the failure of chemoimmunotherapy (5). Generally, the abscopal effect is quite rare in patients who receive radiotherapy alone; however, several reports have indicated that radiotherapy combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) might increase the incidence of an abscopal effect (1, 6-9).

In this case report, the possible abscopal effect was described in a programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1)-negative recurrent esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patient with multiple lung metastases who was treated with an anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody plus stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT). Informed consent was signed, and ethical approval was obtained from our institutional review board.

Case report

A 66-year-old male patient was diagnosed with upper thoracic esophageal poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinoma (cT3N2M0, stage III) (Figure 1A) in a local hospital in June 2020 by esophagoscopy biopsy, chest and upper abdominal computed tomography (CT), and an esophageal barium meal. His past medical history was unremarkable except for more than 10 years of hypertension and more than 40 years of smoking and alcohol consumption. From July 2020 to December 2020, intensity-modulated radiotherapy, with a dose of 66 Gy/33 fractions for the primary tumor and involved regional lymph nodes, plus concurrent and consolidated chemotherapy with six cycles of docetaxel combined with cisplatin were delivered to the patient, and a partial response was achieved. However, four months later (April 2021), routine thoracic CT revealed multiple metastatic nodules in the lungs.

In May 2021, this patient was transferred to our hospital. The immunohistochemical PD-L1 expression test using Dako's 22C3

assay for the primary esophageal tumor showed a tumor proportion score (TPS, which is calculated as the number of PD-L1 positive tumor cells divided by the total number of all tumor cells multiplied by 100) of 0 with a combined positive score (CPS, which is calculated as the number of PD-L1 positive cells, including tumor cells, lymphocytes, and macrophages divided by the total number of viable tumor cells multiplied by 100) of <1 (Figure 1B). The baseline thoracic CT revealed a slightly thickened esophageal wall and 13 metastatic nodules with diameters ranging from 7 mm to 11 mm (Figures 2Aa-k) in the bilateral lungs. Other imaging examinations, including abdominal CT, brain magnetic resonance imaging, and bone single-photon emission computed tomography, revealed no other metastases. On June 16th, 2021, the first cycle of camrelizumab (200 mg IV) was administered on day 1. Twelve days later, SBRT (Figures 3A-C) was delivered to two nodules located in the right upper and lower lung (Figures 2Ab, g), and the prescribed dose was 45 Gy in 5 fractions, which was given every other day for each nodule alternately. The second cycle of camrelizumab (200 mg IV) on day 1 was administered 2 weeks after SBRT. Four weeks later, the repeat thoracic CT showed fibrosis, fuzziness, and consolidation changes in the target nodules (Figures 2Bb, g). For most of the nontarget nodules, obvious volume decreases and fuzzy changes were observed (Figures 2Ba-k), including one nodule that completely vanished (Figure 2Bc). From August 2021 to June 2023, this patient received another 23 cycles of camrelizumab, 200 mg IV on day 1, every 3-4 weeks (25 cycles in total), and thoracic and upper abdominal CT scans were taken every 3 to 4 months regularly with no oncotherapy thereafter. On December 2022, after 18 cycles of camrelizumab, repeated thoracic CT showed more obvious fibrosis, fuzziness, and consolidation changes in the target nodules, and the nontarget nodules received radiation at a quite high dose (Figures 2Ca, b, g). The volume of other nontarget nodules continued to decrease, and the fuzzy change was more obvious (Figures 2Cb-k), including another nodule that completely vanished (Figure 2Cj). Regular CT scans after December 2022 showed no disease progression. At the end of follow-up on April 2024, the progression-free survival and duration of response of this patient were 34 months and 32 months, respectively. The baseline peripheral blood CD8+ and CD4+ T lymphocyte counts were 124 cells/µL and 263 cells/µL, respectively, compared to 122 cells/µL and 156 cells/ μ L after the second cycle of camrelizumab and 148 cells/ μ L and 271 cells/µL after the 6th cycles of camrelizumab, respectively, and there was no subsequent lymphocyte test thereafter. The clinical course and timeline of the present case are shown in Figure 4.

Discussion

There are limited treatment options for patients with recurrent advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. In addition, all of these treatments showed unsatisfactory efficacy. Pembrolizumab has been proven to be superior to chemotherapy as a second-line therapy for advanced esophageal cancer patients with a PD-L1 CPS≥10 (10). However, the objective response rate of pembrolizumab for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients with a PD-L1 CPS<10 was only 11.9% (10). Camrelizumab, manufactured by Jiangsu Hengrui

Abbreviations: ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; PD-L1, programmed deathligand 1; PD-1, programmed death 1; SBRT, stereotactic body radiotherapy; HFRT, hypofractionated radiotherapy; CT, computed tomography; TPS, tumor proportion score; CPS, combined positive score; SABR, stereotactic ablative radiotherapy; LDRT, low-dose radiotherapy.



Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd., China, is an anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody that has been demonstrated to be superior to chemotherapy for recurrent advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients regardless of PD-L1 expression. However, in patients with PD-L1 < 1%, camrelizumab seemed to be less effective and not superior to chemotherapy (11). PD-L1 expression has also been demonstrated as an available predictor for the efficacy of anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies for other tumor types, and patients with low PD-L1 expression have limited efficacy from anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies (12). Furthermore, it has been reported that PD-L1



FIGURE 2

CT images of lung metastases at baseline and after two cycles and 18 cycles of camrelizumab plus SBRT. (A), a-k: Lung window of CT images for lung metastases at baseline. (B), a-k: Lung windows of CT images of lung metastases after 2 cycles of camrelizumab plus SBRT. (C), a-k: Lung windows of CT images for lung metastases after 18 cycles of camrelizumab plus SBRT. In total, 13 metastatic nodules were located in the lungs. One nodule located in the right upper lung (Ab) and one in the right lower lung (Ag) received SBRT at 45 Gy/5 f, one day for each alternate, twelve days after the first cycle of camrelizumab. The second cycle of camrelizumab was administered 2 weeks after SBRT, and repeat thoracic CT was delivered 4 weeks later. On the repeat CT scan, the metastases that received SBRT showed fibrosis, fuzziness, and consolidation changes (Bb and Bg). For most metastases that did not receive SBRT, an obvious volume decrease and fuzzy change were observed, including one nodule that completely vanished (Bc). Other 16 cycles of camrelizumab were administered; the repeat CT showed more obvious fibrosis, fuzziness, and consolidation changet nodules, and the nontarget nodule received radiation at a relatively high dose (Ca and Cf). The volume of other nontarget nodule scontinued to decrease, and the fuzzy change was more obvious, including another nodule that completely vanished (Cj). Red, blue, and green arrows: metastases located in the lungs at baseline and after treatment. Red and blue dotted boxes: particular areas surrounding metastases, which are magnified and displayed on the side.



FIGURE 3

The spatial dose distribution for target and nontarget metastases of the SBRT plan. (A) The dose distribution for the target metastasis located in the right upper lung, with displayed isodoses ranging from 2250 cGy to 5500 cGy. (B) The dose distribution for the target metastasis located in the right lower lung, with the displayed isodoses ranging from 2250 cGy to 5500 cGy. (C) The dose distributions for target metastases in sagittal sections, with the displayed isodoses ranging from 2250 cGy to 5500 cGy. (C) The dose distribution for target metastases in sagittal sections, with the displayed isodoses ranging from 2250 cGy to 5500 cGy. (D) The spatial dose distribution for target and nontarget metastases in the DDR coronal plane. Each colored nodule represents the planning volume of different target or nontarget metastase (uniformly expanded 5 mm for metastases), and the mean dose for the planning volume of each nontarget metastasis is displayed on the side (D_{mean}). The displayed isodoses ranget from 50 cGy to 5500 cGy. TL, target lesion; DDR, direct digital radiography. (E) The spatial dose distribution for target and nontarget metastases. The displayed isodoses ranged from 50 cGy to 5500 cGy. TL, target lesion; DDR, direct digital radiography.

expression and tumor-associated inflammatory infiltrating cells in metastases are even lower than those in primary tumors in patients with esophageal cancer (13). The PD-L1 expression in the primary tumor in the present patient was negative; therefore, the good response of the lung metastases was more likely due to the abscopal effect induced by SBRT in the setting of camrelizumab treatment.

The abscopal effect is a fascinating phenomenon that is occasionally induced by SBRT alone or combined with ICIs.



The clinical course and timeline of the present case. TPS, tumor proportion score; CPS, combined positive score; IV, intravenous; SBRT, stereotactic body radiotherapy; ICI, immune checkpoint inhibitor; PFS, progression-free survival; DOR, duration of response.

SBRT seems to be one of the optimal radiation treatment modalities for inducing antitumor immunity, and the synergistic effect of ICIs combined with SBRT has been demonstrated by several studies (1, 6–8). However, there are very limited reports on the therapeutic effect of this combined treatment for esophageal cancer (2–5). To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first case report of a possible abscopal effect observed in a PD-L1-negative advanced esophageal cancer patient treated with an anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody plus SBRT.

In addition to direct tumor cell killing by deoxyribonucleic acid damage, radiotherapy can also elicit immune-mediated antitumor responses by releasing tumor neoantigens reprogramming the tumor microenvironment to increase the recruitment and function of antigen-presenting cells and T cells, and releasing cytokines and chemokines, such as interferons, interleukins, and transforming growth factor beta (1). The tumor neoantigens released from irradiated tumors are taken up by antigenpresenting cells and presented to T cells in the lymph nodes via the MHC pathway. Then, activated CD8+ T cells exit the lymph nodes and home to primary tumors and nonirradiated metastases, which may result in an "abscopal effect" (1). However, because of the insufficient ability of radiotherapy alone to overcome the immune tolerance mechanisms of tumor cells, reports of abscopal effects due to radiotherapy alone are rare. Several studies have indicated that radiotherapy combined with ICIs might overcome tumor immunosuppression and increase the incidence of an abscopal effect (6-9). Recently, many reports have shown the encouraging synergistic effect of conventional chemoradiotherapy combined with anti-PD1 monoclonal antibodies in patients with resectable or locally advanced esophageal cancer (14, 15), yet there are no published prospective data about the abscopal effect of radiotherapy combined with ICI treatment in patients with metastatic esophageal cancer. However, in advanced NSCLC, studies have shown that the combination of ICIs and stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SABR) for a single lesion located in the lung could improve local control and overall survival compared to ICIs alone (16, 17). Furthermore, due to the heterogeneity of tumor lesions, SBRT targeting multiple lesions can generate a wider spectrum of tumor antigens, thereby improving the synergistic effect with immunotherapy. Therefore, multitarget SBRT may be a more appropriate treatment strategy when combined with ICIs (18). Furthermore, considering that a radiation dose of 8-12 Gy per fraction seems to be more effective in inducing abscopal effects than lower/higher doses per fraction (19, 20), a dose of 9 Gy per fraction was prescribed for two separate lesions in the present case.

Low-dose radiotherapy (LDRT) can reverse tumor immune desertification and resistance to immunotherapy, and scattered low-dose irradiation might improve the response of metastases to immunotherapy when combined with high-dose radiation to another lesion (21–23). In a preclinical study reported by Yin et al., LDRT could amplify the systemic effect of HFRT by increasing secretion of chemokines involved in the attraction of T cells, and inducing recruitment of CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells, and dendritic cells (23). Compared to single and double combined treatment, triple combined treatment with HFRT at a dose of 24 Gy in 3 fractions delivered to the primary tumor, LDRT at a dose of 2 Gy in 1 fraction delivered to the abscopal tumor, and systematic treatment with antimouse PD1 resulted in the greatest tumor response and longest survival, with most robust infiltration of CD8+ effector T cells and the lowest infiltration of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) in the abscopal tumor (23). In the present case, two nontarget metastases near the target nodule received a quite high dose of radiation (red one in the upper right lung, mean dose of 2535.7 cGy; Figures 3D, 2Aa, Ba, Ca; aurantium one in the upper right lung, mean dose of 898.6 cGy; Figures 3D, 2Af, Bf, Cf), and most of the other nontarget metastases received a quite low dose of radiation (mean dose of 34.5-392.5 cGy; Figures 3D, E) via exposure to the entrance and/or exit rays of SBRT, except for one patient who received a scattered dose of 10.8 cGy (Figures 2Ak, Bk, Ck, 3D, E). The low dose of radiation to nontarget metastases might be one reason for the good response to the combined treatment.

ICIs is one of the treatment options for recurrent advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. However, mono-ICI treatment can only have limited efficacy for patients with negative or low PD-L1 expression (10, 11). According to previous reports and the present case, additional upfront SBRT may improve the efficacy of ICI treatment. Considering that LDRT may enhance the synergetic effect of ICI treatment combined with SBRT, the target lesion of SBRT should be chosen as the scattered radiation dose can cover most of the remaining lesions. Furthermore, if possible, it is advisable to select multiple lesions as targets for SBRT, given the heterogeneous nature of the tumor.

There were many limitations of the present case. The major limitation was that there was no evaluation after the first cycle of camrelizumab treatment and before SBRT for this patient and that no PD-L1 expression was detected in the metastases; therefore, it was unclear whether the good response of the metastatic tumors was due to ICI treatment, SBRT, or their combination. The other limitations included a lack of genomic and transcriptomic profiling data, a lack of sequential CD8+ and CD4+ T lymphocyte detection in peripheral blood, and a lack of biopsy specimens from metastatic lesions to detect the tumor microenvironment and lymphocyte infiltration. Preclinical and clinical studies are warranted to further reveal the underlying mechanisms of the synergetic effect of ICI treatment and radiotherapy and the optimal strategy for this combination.

Conclusion

The present case indicated that the use of an anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody combined with SBRT might be a promising therapeutic strategy for recurrent esophageal squamous cell carcinoma even in patients with negative PD-L1 expression. The optimal schedule of combined treatment and underlying mechanisms are worthy of further exploration.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Institutional Review Board of the West China Hospital, Sichuan University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

TH: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JL: Writing – review & editing. JLL: Writing – review & editing. LZ: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Liu Y, Dong Y, Kong L, Shi F, Zhu H, Yu J. Abscopal effect of radiotherapy combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2018) 11:104. doi: 10.1186/s13045-018-0647-8

 Bruton Joe M, Truong PT. Abscopal effect after palliative radiation therapy for metastatic adenocarcinoma of the esophagus. *Cureus*. (2018) 10:e3089. doi: 10.7759/cureus.3089

3. Biswas R, Jindel R, Halder A, Sen K, Kabasi A. Abscopal effect of radiation in metastatic esophageal carcinoma: fourth reported case. *Int Cancer Conf J.* (2023) 12:200-4. doi: 10.1007/s13691-023-00605-x

4. Takehara Y, Mimura K, Suzuki Y, Watanabe Y, Yoshimoto Y, Saze Z, et al. Anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody-resistant esophageal squamous cell carcinoma showing the abscopal effect: A case report with T-cell receptor/B-cell receptor repertoire analysis. *Cancer Rep (Hoboken)*. (2023) 6:e1832. doi: 10.1002/cnr2.1832

5. Zhao X, Kang J, Zhao R. Abscopal effect of radiation on lymph node metastasis in esophageal carcinoma: A case report and literature review. *Oncol Lett.* (2018) 16:3555–60. doi: 10.3892/ol.2018.9084

6. Sharabi AB, Nirschl CJ, Kochel CM, Nirschl TR, Francica BJ, Velarde E, et al. Stereotactic radiation therapy augments antigen-specific PD-1-mediated antitumor immune responses *via* cross-presentation of tumor antigen. *Cancer Immunol Res.* (2015) 3:345–55. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0196

7. Rivera Vargas T, Apetoh L. Can immunogenic chemotherapies relieve cancer cell resistance to immune checkpoint inhibitors? *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1181. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01181

8. Herter-Sprie GS, Koyama S, Korideck H, Hai J, Deng J, Li YY, et al. Synergy of radiotherapy and PD-1 blockade in Kras-mutant lung cancer. *JCI Insight*. (2016) 1: e87415. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.87415

9. Ngwa W, Irabor OC, Schoenfeld JD, Hesser J, Demaria S, Formenti SC. Using immunotherapy to boost the abscopal effect. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2018) 18:313–22. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2018.6

10. Kojima T, Shah MA, Muro K, Francois E, Adenis A, Hsu CH, et al. Randomized phase III KEYNOTE-181 study of pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy in advanced esophageal cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* (2020) 38:4138–48. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.01888

11. Huang J, Xu J, Chen Y, Zhuang W, Zhang Y, Chen Z, et al. Camrelizumab versus investigator's choice of chemotherapy as second-line therapy for advanced or metastatic oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCORT): a multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol.* (2020) 21:832–42. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30110-8

12. Mok TSK, Wu YL, Kudaba I, Kowalski DM, Cho BC, Turna HZ, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for previously untreated, PD-L1-expressing, locally advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-042): a randomised, open-label, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* (2019) 393:1819–30. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32409-7

Acknowledgments

We thank the patient for his permission to publish this case report and for his friendly cooperation in providing the necessary data. We also thank other involved research technicians, data managers, and physicians for their contributions to this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

13. Dislich B, Stein A, Seiler CA, Kroll D, Berezowska S, Zlobec I, et al. Expression patterns of programmed death-ligand 1 in esophageal adenocarcinomas: comparison between primary tumors and metastases. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. (2017) 66:777–86. doi: 10.1007/s00262-017-1982-2

14. Li C, Zhao S, Zheng Y, Han Y, Chen X, Cheng Z, et al. Preoperative pembrolizumab combined with chemoradiotherapy for oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (PALACE-1). *Eur J Cancer*. (2021) 144:232-41. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2020.11.039

15. Zhu Y, Wen J, Li Q, Chen B, Zhao L, Liu S, et al. Toripalimab combined with definitive chemoradiotherapy in locally advanced oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (EC-CRT-001): a single-arm, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2023) 24:371–82. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(23)00060-8

16. Theelen W, Peulen HMU, Lalezari F, van der Noort V, de Vries JF, Aerts J, et al. Effect of pembrolizumab after stereotactic body radiotherapy vs pembrolizumab alone on tumor response in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: results of the PEMBRO-RT phase 2 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol.* (2019) 5:1276–82. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.1478

17. Theelen W, Chen D, Verma V, Hobbs BP, Peulen HMU, Aerts J, et al. Pembrolizumab with or without radiotherapy for metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: a pooled analysis of two randomised trials. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2021) 9:467–75. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30391-X

18. Brooks ED, Chang JY. Time to abandon single-site irradiation for inducing abscopal effects. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2019) 16:123–35. doi: 10.1038/s41571-018-0119-7

19. Dewan MZ, Galloway AE, Kawashima N, Dewyngaert JK, Babb JS, Formenti SC, et al. Fractionated but not single-dose radiotherapy induces an immune-mediated abscopal effect when combined with anti-CTLA-4 antibody. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2009) 15:5379–88. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-0265

20. Vanpouille-Box C, Alard A, Aryankalayil MJ, Sarfraz Y, Diamond JM, Schneider RJ, et al. DNA exonuclease Trex1 regulates radiotherapy-induced tumour immunogenicity. *Nat Commun.* (2017) 8:15618. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15618

21. Herrera FG, Ronet C, Ochoa de Olza M, Barras D, Crespo I, Andreatta M, et al. Lowdose radiotherapy reverses tumor immune desertification and resistance to immunotherapy. *Cancer Discov.* (2022) 12:108–33. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-0003

22. Menon H, Chen D, Ramapriyan R, Verma V, Barsoumian HB, Cushman TR, et al. Influence of low-dose radiation on abscopal responses in patients receiving high-dose radiation and immunotherapy. *J Immunother Cancer.* (2019) 7:237. doi: 10.1186/s40425-019-0718-6

23. Yin L, Xue J, Li R, Zhou L, Deng L, Chen L, et al. Effect of low-dose radiation therapy on abscopal responses to hypofractionated radiation therapy and anti-PD1 in mice and patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2020) 108:212–24. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2020.05.002