



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Jan Baptist Vermorken,
University of Antwerp, Belgium

*CORRESPONDENCE
Stefano Cavalieri
✉ stefano.cavalieri@istitutotumori.mi.it

RECEIVED 31 January 2024
ACCEPTED 07 February 2024
PUBLISHED 20 February 2024

CITATION
Nuzzolese I, Bonomo P, Orlandi E,
Mock A and Cavalieri S (2024) Editorial:
Diagnosis, epidemiology and treatment
of salivary gland carcinomas.
Front. Oncol. 14:1379584.
doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1379584

COPYRIGHT
© 2024 Nuzzolese, Bonomo, Orlandi, Mock
and Cavalieri. This is an open-access article
distributed under the terms of the [Creative
Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The
use, distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are
credited and that the original publication in
this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Diagnosis, epidemiology and treatment of salivary gland carcinomas

Imperia Nuzzolese¹, Pierluigi Bonomo², Ester Orlandi^{3,4},
Andreas Mock^{5,6} and Stefano Cavalieri^{1,7*}

¹Head and Neck Medical Oncology Department, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori di Milano, Milan, Italy, ²Radiation Oncology, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Careggi, Florence, Italy, ³Clinical Department, National Center for Oncological Hadrontherapy (Fondazione CNAO), Pavia, Italy, ⁴Department of Clinical, Surgical, Diagnostic, and Pediatric Sciences, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy, ⁵Division of Translational Medical Oncology, National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT) Heidelberg and German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany, ⁶Institute of Pathology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (LMU) München, Munich, Germany, ⁷Department of Oncology and Hemato-oncology, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

KEYWORDS

salivary gland carcinoma, multidisciplinary, head and neck, rare cancers, adenoid cystic carcinoma

Editorial on the Research Topic

Diagnosis, epidemiology and treatment of salivary gland carcinomas

Salivary gland cancers (SGC) are heterogeneous entities representing less than 5% of head and neck (HN) tumors. The majority of benign SGC are amenable to curative surgery, while the over 20 malignant epithelial SGC subtypes (1) typically require multimodal treatment approaches, combining surgery with radiotherapy to treat local and loco-regional advanced disease. Recent advances in molecular profiling of malignant SGC led to biology-guided treatment paths in the recurrent/metastatic (R/M) setting. While early studies primarily compared adenoid cystic carcinomas (ACCs), one of the most common salivary malignancies, with non-ACC SGCs, a growing list of emerging biomarkers shape a continuously finer grained molecular understanding of the histological subtypes. This Research Topic aimed to promote these developments towards precision oncology trials and new drug developments in SGC. The articles published within the Research topic focused on both ACC and the wide and heterogeneous group of non-ACCs.

On 24 June 2022, in the meeting “Current management and future challenges in salivary gland cancers” held at the National Cancer Center for Oncological hadrontherapy in Italy, several international experts discussed the most significant innovations in molecular profiling, local treatments (i.e., surgery, radiotherapy [RT]), and the development of novel systemic drugs. The expert panel highlighted that it is essential to engage in collaborative research networks to enhance efficiency. Networks play a vital role in facilitating the organization and management of international clinical trials for rare malignancies, such as SGCs. Tailored research plans are needed to foster advancements of care in this setting. The conference proceedings, citing more than 100 articles, contributed to this Research Topic reflecting the rapid evolution of the current SGC scenario, focusing on the exciting progress that has been made in many research domains in the last few years (Locati et al.).

In the pre-operative setting, Wang et al. showed the diagnostic potential of amide proton transfer-weighted (APTw) magnetic resonance imaging adopting endogenous contrast by chemical exchange saturation transfer to indirectly reveal mobile peptides in tissues, which seems to correlate to tumor metabolism. The differences in average and, especially, maximum values of APTw distinguished benign and malignant parotid gland tumors. This may help define the nature of parotid lesions better and rationalize the pre-surgical setting.

Given the relevant discrepancies that emerged in the prognostic evaluation of the current classification systems, researchers focused on better risk stratification, thus considering the nodal status for disease management. The current neck nodal status for major SGC was extrapolated from HN squamous cell carcinomas, with a growing number of studies investigating the need for an adapted lymph node (LN) evaluation method in patients with SGC (2–7).

In a retrospective study, LN metastases significantly affected overall survival and recurrence-free survival in submandibular non-ACC, and the impact was established mainly by the number of positive LNs rather than LN size as defined in the current TNM staging (Wang and Shi).

The heterogeneity of these cancers is further reflected in terms of even unusual clinical behavior, sometimes demonstrated by some more indolent forms, as described by Miserocchi et al., who report a singular case of high-grade transformation of polymorphous adenocarcinoma of the oral floor after 20 years from the primary treatment.

Regarding ACC histology, research is moving towards more detailed knowledge of the disease, and different behaviors were found to be evident according to the primary site of the same histology.

Single-cell RNA sequencing was applied to observe the evolution of individual ACC cells in paracarcinoma and carcinoma tissues. Lin et al. reported their examination of ACC at the transcriptome level, identifying special populations of inter-duct cells and pre-malignant cells that could explain the possible origin of ACC cells and the peculiarly high recurrence rate of this histology.

A single-center retrospective analysis combined with available international databases confirmed recent evidence of a worse prognosis of submandibular ACC compared with parotid ACC, associated with early cervical LN and distant metastases along with rapid progression (Zhou et al.). This behavior may be connected to a high MYB/MYBL1 mutation rate and abnormal upregulation of the phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase pathway, which emerged by analyzing their molecular expression patterns.

Furthermore, in a retrospective analysis from the SEER database based on the number of positive LNs in subjects surgically treated for parotid ACC, Han defined three prognostic categories, thus possibly defining a different treatment plan for high-risk patients.

Regarding the R/M setting, in the last few years, the importance of assessing molecular targets has emerged to drive treatment choices in SGC. A comprehensive meta-analysis including more than 3300 patients showed a diversified prevalence of HER2 positivity (HER2+) ranging from 0% to 43% across sixteen subtypes of SGC (Egeberg et al.). Authors observed a trend

towards increasing frequency of HER2+ in cancers derived from salivary gland ducts. As seven different definitions of HER2+ emerged from the evaluated studies, researchers suggested prospective clinical trials to determine the optimal definition of HER2+ based on therapy response in SGC with HER2+.

Moreover, in contrast to previous evidence (8), HER2+ appeared to be a negative prognostic factor in androgen receptor (AR)-positive cancers, at least in the recurrent/metastatic setting. In a retrospective study of 74 subjects with salivary duct carcinoma (SDC) and adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified AR+, Cavalieri et al. showed worse outcomes in HER2+ patients compared to HER2- ones. On the other hand, a non-statistically significant higher risk of developing central nervous system metastases emerged in this cohort, thus deriving the importance of assessing the brain at baseline. A possible crosstalk between the two altered pathways suggests evaluating a treatment combination in the future.

Available data further support comprehensive molecular profiling for a more aggressive form as salivary duct carcinoma (SDC). A collection of patients with AR+, HRAS/PIK3CA co-mutated SDC from a single center experience and a systematic literature search showed multiple targeted treatment strategies and their outcomes. Given the lack of data, Rieke et al. suggested further specific studies to define the best treatment sequences for this disease subtype.

Despite this precision medicine approach, chemotherapy can still have a role in SCG, as demonstrated by Onaga et al. in a subgroup analysis of the retrospective study of 40 patients. The authors demonstrated a favorable efficacy of docetaxel plus cisplatin compared to paclitaxel plus carboplatin, which is confirmed to be mainly not effective in ACC histology.

The eleven papers published in this Research Topic constitute a vital contribution to the field. New interesting results are included, new topics and challenges are approached. In particular, this Research Topic aimed to offer a platform to improve our knowledge of SGC to move their treatment into the future finally but, at the same time, highlighted some controversies present in the current research planning, probably due to the rarity of this disease and the lack of uniformity in the research efforts.

In line with major guidelines (9, 10), the diagnosis must be based on histology and immunohistochemistry findings. Molecular characterization has a supplementary role and can help define poorly differentiated or atypical lesions better and provide information on biological behavior, disease management, and possible targeted treatments.

While some studies focus on this molecular approach, defining possible subtypes of the same histology, others still consider SGC a unique disease. As many of these studies are small and retrospective, we promote an international effort to realize better-designed and prospective trials for the future, which could represent a further step forward to the knowledge of the SGC.

Author contributions

IN: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PB: Conceptualization, Methodology,

Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. EO: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SC: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. IARC. Available online at: <https://publications.iarc.fr/>.
2. Aro K, Ho AS, Luu M, Kim S, Tighiouart M, Clair JM, et al. Development of a novel salivary gland cancer lymph node staging system. *Cancer*. (2018) 124:3171–80. doi: 10.1002/cncr.31535
3. Lee H, Roh JL, Cho KJ, Choi SH, Nam SY, Kim SY. Positive lymph node number and extranodal extension for predicting recurrence and survival in patients with salivary gland cancer. *Head Neck*. (2020) 42:1994–2001. doi: 10.1002/hed.26135
4. Qian K, Sun W, Guo K, Zheng X, Sun T, Chen L, et al. The number and ratio of positive lymph nodes are independent prognostic factors for patients with major salivary gland cancer: Results from the surveillance, epidemiology, and End Results dataset. *Eur J Surg Oncol*. (2019) 45:1025–32. doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2018.11.008
5. Terada H, Suzuki H, Hanai N, Nishikawa D, Koide Y, Hasegawa Y. Prognostic value of lymph node density for major salivary gland carcinoma without clinical lymph node metastasis. *Am J Otolaryngol*. (2020) 41:102304. doi: 10.1016/j.amjoto.2019.102304
6. Lombardi D, Tomasoni M, Paderno A, Mattavelli D, Ferrari M, Battocchio S, et al. The impact of nodal status in major salivary gland carcinoma: A multicenter experience and proposal of a novel N-classification. *Oral Oncol*. (2021) 112:105076. doi: 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2020.105076
7. de Morais EF, da Silva LP, Moreira DGL, Mafra RP, Rolim LSA, de Moura Santos E, et al. Prognostic factors and survival in adenoid cystic carcinoma of the head and neck: A retrospective clinical and histopathological analysis of patients seen at a cancer center. *Head Neck Pathol*. (2021) 15:416–24. doi: 10.1007/s12105-020-01210-7
8. Sousa LG, Wang K, Torman D, Binks BJ, Rubin ML, Andersen CR, et al. Treatment patterns and outcomes of palliative systemic therapy in patients with salivary duct carcinoma and adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified. *Cancer*. (2022) 128:509–18. doi: 10.1002/CNCR.33968
9. Geiger JL, Ismaila N, Beadle B, Caudell JJ, Chau N, Deschler D, et al. Management of salivary gland Malignancy: ASCO guideline. *J Clin Oncol*. (2021) 39:1909–41. doi: 10.1200/JCO.21.00449
10. van Herpen C, Vander Poorten V, Skalova A, Terhaard C, Maroldi R, van Engen A, et al. Salivary gland cancer: ESMO-European Reference Network on Rare Adult Solid Cancers (EURACAN) Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *ESMO Open*. (2022) 7:100602. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2022.100602

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.