Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Benyi Li, University of Kansas Medical Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Zongbing You, Tulane University, United States Wang Liu, University of Kansas Medical Center, United States Xiaolin Zi, University of California, Irvine, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiuping Yu Xiuping.yu@lsuhs.edu

RECEIVED 26 February 2024 ACCEPTED 27 March 2024 PUBLISHED 12 April 2024

CITATION

Li L, Cheng S, Yeh Y, Shi Y, Henderson N, Price D, Gu X and Yu X (2024) The expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in developing, benign, and cancerous prostatic tissues. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1392085. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1392085

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Cheng, Yeh, Shi, Henderson, Price, Gu and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in developing, benign, and cancerous prostatic tissues

Lin Li^{1,2}, Siyuan Cheng^{1,2}, Yunshin Yeh³, Yingli Shi^{1,2}, Nikayla Henderson¹, David Price⁴, Xin Gu⁵ and Xiuping Yu^{1,2,4}*

¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, LSU Health Sciences Center at Shreveport, Shreveport, LA, United States, ²Feist-Weiller Cancer Center, LSU Health Sciences Center at Shreveport, Shreveport, LA, United States, ³Pathology & Laboratory Medicine Service, Overton Brooks VA Medical Center, Shreveport, LA, United States, ⁴Department of Urology, LSU Health Sciences Center at Shreveport, Shreveport, LA, United States, ⁵Department of Pathology, LSU Health Sciences Center at Shreveport, Shreveport, LA, United States, ⁶Department of Pathology, LSU Health Sciences Center at Shreveport, Shreveport, LA, United States

Background: Neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPCa) is the most aggressive type of prostate cancer (PCa). However, energy metabolism, one of the hallmarks of cancer, in NEPCa has not been well studied. Pyruvate kinase M (PKM), which catalyzes the final step of glycolysis, has two main splicing isoforms, PKM1 and PKM2. The expression pattern of PKM1 and PKM2 in NEPCa remains unknown.

Methods: In this study, we used immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence staining, and bioinformatics analysis to examine the expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in mouse and human prostatic tissues.

Results: We found that PKM2 was the predominant isoform expressed throughout prostate development and PCa progression, with slightly reduced expression in murine NEPCa. PKM1 was mostly expressed in stromal cells but low-level PKM1 was also detected in prostate basal epithelial cells. Its expression was absent in the majority of prostate adenocarcinoma (AdPCa) specimens but present in a subset of NEPCa. Additionally, we evaluated the mRNA levels of ten PKM isoforms that express exon 9 (PKM1-like) or exon 10 (PKM2-like). Some of these isoforms showed notable expression levels in PCa cell lines and human PCa specimens.

Discussion: Our study characterized the expression pattern of PKM1 and PKM2 in prostatic tissues including developing, benign, and cancerous prostate. These findings lay the groundwork for understanding the metabolic changes in different PCa subtypes.

KEYWORDS

PKM1, PKM2, neuroendocrine, prostate cancer, metabolism

1 Introduction

Human prostate is a male reproductive accessory gland. It comprises multiple cell types, including luminal epithelial, basal epithelial, and stromal cells (1). Prostate cancer (PCa), the most prevalent cancer among American men, typically originates from luminal epithelial cells, leading to prostate adenocarcinoma (AdPCa) (2, 3). Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is the primary treatment for advanced AdPCa (4). However, ADT eventually fails, resulting in tumor progression to castrate-resistant PCa (CRPCa) (4). Once PCa becomes castrate-resistant, it often adopts an aggressive neuroendocrine (NE) phenotype, associated with high morbidity and an average survival of less than 1.5 years (5, 6).

Neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPCa) represents a highly aggressive subtype of PCa, accounting for approximately 30% of CRPCa cases (5, 6). While NE cells constitute only a minor subpopulation in the prostate epithelia and primary NEPCa is rare, NE differentiation commonly arises in PCa after prolonged androgen deprivation (5, 6). PCa with prominent NE differentiation is typically castrate-resistant and highly aggressive.

Genetically engineered mice are frequently used in PCa research. The transgenic adenocarcinoma mouse prostate (TRAMP) model is a common choice for NEPCa studies. TRAMP mice express SV40 T antigen in the prostate. They develop prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) and, following castration, NEPCa (7–10).

The prostate displays a unique energy metabolism. In normal prostate luminal epithelial cells, there is a notable increase in glucose consumption compared to epithelial cells from other anatomical sites. This heightened glucose uptake is primarily directed towards citrate production for secretion (11). This process is facilitated by the accumulation of zinc within luminal epithelial cells, which acts as an inhibitor of m-aconitase, the enzyme responsible for converting citrate to isocitrate (12, 13). As a result of reduced m-aconitase activity, citrate oxidation is minimized, leading to citrate secretion and a truncated tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle (14).

Metabolism undergoes significant changes during the transformation of prostate epithelial cells (15–17). This transformation is marked by a reduction in intracellular zinc levels, leading to the derepression of m-aconitase function (15–17). Consequently, there is a shift in metabolism towards citrate oxidation, allowing the TCA cycle to proceed (11, 16). This alteration results in an increased TCA cycle flux in early-stage AdPCa cells compared to normal prostate epithelial cells (18). Consequently, the Warburg effect is relatively modest in early-stage PCa (14). However, as AdPCa progresses, including in advanced tumors, a more pronounced Warburg effect is observed (14, 18). This metabolic shift allows tumor cells to sustain high levels of glycolytic intermediates, supporting the biosynthesis required for their rapid proliferation (19, 20).

Regarding glucose metabolism in NEPCa, it remains largely unknown.

A pivotal enzyme governing glucose metabolism in cancer cells is pyruvate kinase muscle isozyme (PKM) (21, 22). PKM catalyzes the conversion of phosphoenolpyruvate to pyruvate, marking one of the three irreversible and heavily regulated steps in glycolysis (21, 22). PKM exists in two isoforms, PKM1 and PKM2, due to alternative splicing (21, 23). PKM1 includes exon 9 but not exon 10, whereas PKM2 includes exon 10 but not exon 9 (24). PKM1 is predominantly expressed in energy-consuming tissues such as the heart, muscle, and brain, where it forms a constitutively active tetramer promoting oxidative phosphorylation (25, 26). In contrast, PKM2 expression is more prevalent in embryonic tissues and cancer cells (27–29). Unlike PKM1, PKM2 can exist as a catalytically active tetramer or a less active dimer/monomer, with its stoichiometry regulated by allosteric factors and posttranslational modifications (22, 30, 31). Similar to many other cancer types, advanced AdPCa exhibits high expression of PKM2, which predominantly forms less-active dimer (22).

In addition to PKM1 and PKM2, pyruvate kinase has two other isoforms, PKL and PKR, encoded by the PKLR gene under the control of different promoters (32, 33). PKL is primarily expressed in the kidney, liver, and intestine, while PKR is predominantly expressed in red blood cells (32).

In a recent study, using immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining, researchers evaluated the expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in prostatic tissues. Results from this study indicate that PKM1 is predominantly expressed in prostatic stromal cells, while PKM2 is expressed in both normal epithelia and cancer cells (34). Furthermore, increased expression of PKM2 in AdPCa compared to normal luminal epithelial cells was noted (35, 36). However, the expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in NEPCa remains poorly characterized.

In this present study, we aim to fill this gap by employing a combination of molecular biology techniques, including IHC and immunofluorescence (IF) staining, alongside bioinformaticsbased RNA isoform quantification. We intend to assess the expression patterns of PKM1 and PKM2 during prostate development, in mouse models of PCa, and in human prostatic specimens, including benign human prostate (BPH), AdPCa, and NEPCa.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sample collection

Cell-derived xenograft tumors and prostatic tissues derived from wild type and TRAMP mice were from our archival collection. De-identified human tissues were obtained from LSU Health-Shreveport Biorepository Core, Overton Brooks VA Medical Center and Tissue for Research organization. The tissues include BPH, low-grade AdPCa, high-grade AdPCa, NEPCa, and NE tumors arising from pancreas, skin, lung, and bladder. Most tissues were from surgical resection except for five NEPCa cases that were from biopsies. All experiments were carried out in accordance with approved protocols by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and Institutional Review Board of LSU Health-Shreveport.

2.2 IHC and IF staining

IHC staining was performed using Vectastain elite ABC peroxidase kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) as described previously (37). Primary antibodies include PKM1 and PKM2 (7067S, dilution 1:500, and 4053S, dilution 1:1000, respectively, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), P63 and FOXA2 (ab735 and ab108422, respectively, dilution 1:500, Abcam, Cambridge, MA), HOXB13 and chromogranin A (CHGA) (sc-28333 and sc-1488, respectively, dilution 1:200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX), FOXA1 (A15278, dilution 1:500, Abclonal, Woburn, MA), Synaptophysin (SYP) (611880, dilution 1:1000, BD biosciences, San Jose, CA), and NKX3.1 (0314, dilution 1:500, Athena Enzyme Systems, Baltimore, MD). Images were taken using a Zeiss microscope (White Plains, NY). The intensity score was evaluated by a semiguantitative blinded manner and graded as 0 (negative), 1^+ (low), 2^+ (moderate), and 3^+ (high). For IF staining, primary antibodies include CHGA (AB_1553436, dilution 1:50, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa City, IA), PKM1, PKM2, P63, and SYP (source was the same as mentioned above, dilution 1:100). The IF staining was imaged with a Nikon fluorescence microscope (Melville, NY) as reported previously.

2.3 Cell culture

PCa cell lines (VCap, LNCaP, C4-2B, 22RV1, PC3, and DU145) were obtained from ATCC. These cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, and 1% penicillinstreptomycin. H660 cells were cultured in Prostalife media (LifeLine Cell Technology, Oceanside, CA). C2C12 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Cells were maintained in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO2.

2.4 Western blot and cross-linking

Cells were lysed in Laemmli SDS sample buffer followed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Primary antibodies are beta-actin (sc-47778, dilution 1:500, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX), PKM1 and PKM2 (the same source as mentioned above, dilution 1:1000), and HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Cell Signaling, Beverly, MA). Protein bands were visualized by using ProSignal[®] Dura ECL Reagent (Genesee Scientific, San Diego, CA) and ChemidocTM Touch Imaging System (Bio-Rad). For the cross-linking experiments, cells were washed with ice-cold PBS three times and treated with 5mM disuccinimidyl suberate (DSS, A39267, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) for 30 min at room temperature. The cross-linking reaction was stopped by adding the quenching solution (1M Tris, PH 7.5) to the final concentration of 20mM for 15 min. Then, cell lysates were used for WB as described above.

2.5 Bioinformatics analysis

RNAseq data collected in the CTPC dataset were analyzed to assess the expression of PKM isoforms using STAR-Salmon based transcript level quantification method (38-41). The raw Fastq files were downloaded from NCBI SRA database and dbGaP (phs000909.v1.p1 (42), phs000915.v2.p2 (43)). The raw count and TPM data of TCGA data were acquired from GDC Data Portal. NCBI human GRCh38 (release 106) and associated GFT files were acquired through "AWS iGenomes" (https://ewels.github.io/AWSiGenomes/) and were used for alignment. FastQC (https:// github.com/s-andrews/FastQC), Trim Galore (https://github.com/ FelixKrueger/TrimGalore), STAR (38), Salmon (39) software were used for sequencing quality control, alignment and quantification in the Linux Ubuntu environment. The transcript expression was visualized in R (V4.3.0) environment. The TPM values were first log2 transformed and quantile normalized using "preprocessCore" R package (44). The data was visualized by boxplot using "ggplot2" R package (45).

Based on the inclusion of exon 9 or exon 10, the transcripts were classified into "PKM1-like" and "PKM2-like" isoforms, respectively. Among the PKM1-like transcripts, NM_182470.2 and NM_182471.2 transcripts encode the same protein since the only difference in them lies within their 5' UTRs. The protein encoded by these two isoforms is known as PKM1. NM_002654.4 transcript encodes a protein that is known as PKM2. To coordinate our bioinformatics analysis of the ten PKM isoforms with the wellknown PKM1 and PKM2, we summed the normalized log2transformed TPM values of NM_182470.2 and NM_182471.2 as PKM1 transcript. The expression data of NM_002654.4 was directly used to reflect the levels of PKM2 transcript. Additionally, to complement our bioinformatics analysis with the PKM1/2 antibodies' staining results, we summed the values of all exon 9retained transcripts as PKM1-like expression data and all exon 10retained transcripts as PKM2-like expression data.

2.6 Statistical analyses

The Chi-Square test was used to compare the protein expression of PKM isoforms between AdPCa and NEPCa of human PCa and student t-test was used to compare the levels of PKM transcripts between these groups.

3 Results

3.1 The expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in murine prostate

Using IHC, we examined the expression of PKM1 and PKM2 across murine prostate developmental stages ranging from the embryonic prostate undergoing budding morphogenesis (urogenital sinus, UGS), to the postnatal 2- and 3-week prostate,



FIGURE 1

IHC staining to assess the expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in murine prostates at different developmental stages. (A-D) Urogenital sinus (UGS). (E-H) Postnatal 2 weeks prostate. (I-L) Postnatal 3 weeks prostate. (M-P) Postnatal 8 weeks prostate. The expression of FOXA2, P63, FOXA1, HOXB13, and NKX3.1 highlights the embryonic prostate buds and postnatal prostate luminal epithelial cells. Scale bar = 20 μ M

and the fully developed 8-week prostate. Our analysis revealed distinct expression patterns of both proteins. Specifically, we observed that PKM1 expression was largely absent during prostate development (including embryonic and postnatal 2–3-week prostate, Figures 1A, E, I) but minimal expression was detected in the fully developed 8-week prostate (Figure 1M). On serial sections, the embryonic prostate buds were highlighted by positive expression of FOXA2 and P63 (Figures 1C, D), and prostate luminal epithelial cells were marked by positive staining of HOXB13, FOXA1, and NKX3.1 (Figures 1G, H, K, L, O, P). In contrast, robust PKM2 expression was consistently detected in prostate epithelial cells throughout all developmental stages (Figures 1B, F, J, N).

Next, we evaluated the expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in TRAMP tumors. Similar to the previously observed pattern in fully developed 8-week prostates, low to moderate levels of PKM1 expression were noted in the luminal epithelial cells of normal prostates as well as the normal adjacent epithelia of TRAMP tumors (Figures 2A, B). However, PKM1 expression was absent in the PIN lesions (Figures 2B, C). Interestingly, PKM1 expression was detected in the NEPCa cells (Figure 2C). This finding was further corroborated by dual-IF staining. As illustrated in Figures 2D–F, PKM1 expression was detected in the NEPCa cells (as indicated by the expression of NEPCa marker SYP), while it was absent in the adjacent PIN lesions.

Robust PKM2 expression was observed in both normal (Figure 2G) and transformed prostate epithelial cells (Figures 2H, I). It is noteworthy that NEPCa cells exhibited a slightly weaker expression of PKM2 compared to adjacent PIN cells (Figure 2I). This finding was further supported by dual IF staining results. As shown in Figures 2J–L, PKM2 expression was more pronounced in the PIN lesions compared to the adjacent NEPCa areas, as indicated by the positive staining of SYP.



FIGURE 2

The expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in prostate cancer mouse models. (A-C) IHC staining of PKM1 in wild-type prostate (A), TRAMP PIN (B) and TRAMP NEPCa tumors (C). (D-F) Dual IF staining of PKM1 (D, green) with NE marker SYP [E, red)] in TRAMP tumor. PKM1 is expressed in NEPCa area but not in adjacent PIN. (G-I) IHC staining of PKM2 in wild-type (G), TRAMP PIN (H) and TRAMP NEPCa (I). (J-L) Dual IF staining of PKM2 (J, green) with NE marker SYP [K, red)] in TRAMP tumors. PKM2 level is relatively lower in NEPCa area compared with adjacent PIN. The yellow arrows denote prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) lesions. Asterisks denote NEPCa. Scale bars for IHC = 20 μ M. IF images were taken using 60X lens.

3.2 The expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in human prostatic tissues

We extended our investigation to characterize the expression of PKM1 and PKM2 proteins in human patient samples, including BPH, low-grade AdPCa, high-grade AdPCa, and NEPCa.

Consistent with its expression profile in murine prostate, PKM1 expression in human BPH samples was minimally detected in prostate luminal epithelial cells but exhibited high expression in basal epithelia and stromal cells (Figure 3A, n=13). This observation was further confirmed by dual-IF staining. As depicted in Figures 3E–H, PKM1 was highly expressed in stromal cells (Figure 3E) and basal epithelial cells, as evidenced by the co-expression of basal marker P63.

In human AdPCa (including both low- and high-grade tumors), PKM1 expression was generally sparse (Figures 3B, C, n=13 and 12, respectively). However, in the AdPCa with NE differentiation (NED, Figure 3I, n=2), PKM1 expression was detected in the scattered NE



stromal cells. (I–L) Serial sections derived from an AdPCa with NED were used for IHC staining of PKM1 (I) or dual IF staining of PKM1 (J, green) and NE marker CHGA (K, red). PKM1 is co-expressed with CHGA in the scattered NEPCa cells. (M–P) IHC staining of PKM1 in pancreatic carcinoma with NED (PancNED) (M), Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) (N), small cell lung cancer (SCLC) (O), and urothelial carcinoma with NED (UCNED) samples (P). Scale bar for IHC = 20 μ M. (Q) The quantification of PKM1 IHC staining intensity in human PCa. Chi-Square test ***P*<0.01, AdPCa (including low-grade and high-grade AdPCa samples) vs NE phenotype (including AdPCa with NE differentiation and NEPCa samples).

cells, as indicated by the co-expression of NEPCa marker CHGA (Figures 3I–L). Additionally, PKM1 expression was detected in 5 out of 12 human NEPCa specimens (Figure 3D). The overall quantification of PKM1 expression in different groups of PCa samples was summarized in a bar plot (Figure 3Q). Notably, the expression of PKM1 correlated with NE phenotype (Chi-Square test, p<0.01).

Considering the limited sample number of NEPCa, we expanded our study by examining PKM1 expression in the NE tumors arising from other anatomical sites. We found that PKM1 was expressed in 4 out of 4 pancreatic carcinomas with NED (Figure 3M), 2 out of 3 Merkel cell carcinomas (Figure 3N), 1 out of 2 small cell lung cancers (Figure 3O), and 2 out of 3 urothelial



carcinomas with NED (Figure 3P). These results suggest a potential role of PKM1 in the pathogenesis of NE tumors.

On the other hand, the expression of PKM2 was detected in the majority of human prostatic tissues. In the BPH samples, PKM2 expression was prominent in basal epithelial cells and comparatively moderate in luminal epithelial cells (Figures 4A, B, n=14). This expression pattern was confirmed by dual IF staining, which demonstrated that PKM2 was highly expressed in basal

epithelial cells (as indicated by the co-expression of basal marker P63) with less staining in luminal epithelial cells (Figures 4I–L).

Human AdPCa samples exhibited a diverse PKM2 expression pattern, varying from negative to high levels in both low-grade (Figures 4C, D, n=12) and high-grade AdPCa (Figures 4E, F, n=15). Its expression level was higher in high-grade AdPCa compared to lowgrade AdPCa (Chi-Square test, P<0.01). Unlike the decreased PKM2 expression observed in murine NEPCa, PKM2 expression in human



FIGURE 5

The expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in human PCa cell lines. (A–G, I–O) IHC staining of PKM1 and PKM2, respectively, in xenograft tumors derived from human PCa cell lines. Scale bar = 20 μM. (H, P) Western blotting analysis of PKM1 (H) and PKM2 (P) in *in vitro*-cultured human PCa cells.

NEPCa did not differ significantly from that of AdPCa (Figures 4G, H, n=9). The intensity of PKM2 staining in PCa cells, including both AdPCa and NEPCa, was quantified, and its distribution among PCa samples is presented in Figure 4M.

3.3 The expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in human PCa cell lines

Furthermore, we assessed the expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in cell lines representing various stages of PCa, including androgen receptor (AR) positive (VCaP, LNCaP, C4-2B and 22RV1), AR negative (PC3 and DU145), and NEPCa (H660) cells. Both *in vitro* cultured cells and cell-derived xenograft tumors were examined. Our IHC staining revealed that the protein expression of PKM1 was lowest in 22RV1- and highest in PC3- derived xenograft tumors

(Figures 5A–G). This was consistent with the results of Western blot analysis of *in vitro*-cultured cells (Figure 5H). NEPCa H660 cells exhibited low to moderate levels of PKM1 expression (Figures 5G, H).

Consistent with its expression in human patient samples and murine TRAMP tumors, we observed high protein expression of PKM2 in all the AdPCa cell lines examined (Figures 5I–N, P). Its level was relatively lower in NEPCa cell line H660 cells (Figures 5O, P).

3.4 The expression of ten PKM isoforms in PCa cell lines and patient samples

Using publicly available RNAseq data derived from human PCa cell lines and patient samples, we quantified the transcripts of ten PKM isoforms that have been identified on GRCh38 (release 106). Based on the inclusion of exon 9 or exon 10, these isoforms were



classified into "PKM1-like" and "PKM2-like" transcripts, respectively. They include the well-studied PKM1 (NM_182470.2 and NM_182471.2) and PKM2 (NM_002654.4) isoforms, as well as seven under-studied isoforms (Figure 6A). The expression levels of these isoforms were summarized in Supplementary Figure 1. Notably, the previously mentioned PKM1 and PKM2 antibodies, targeting exon 9 or 10, detect the protein products of PKM1-like or PKM2-like transcripts.

As shown in Figures 6B, C, there was a wide variation in the expression of PKM1 transcripts among PCa cell lines and human prostatic tissues. Its level was higher in human NEPCa specimens compared with AdPCa samples (Figure 6C).

Overall, the levels of PKM2-like transcripts were higher than that of PKM1-like transcripts in both PCa cell lines and human PCa specimens (Figures 6B, C). Among the PKM2-like isoforms, NM_002654.4 transcript was the most abundant one expressed in PCa cell lines and human prostatic tissues (Supplementary Figure 1), and its level was lower in NEPCa cell line H660 compared to the aggressive PCa cell lines PC3 and DU145 (Figure 6B, t-test, p<0.05).

Additionally, we analyzed the prostatic expression of PKL/R, the other two PK isoforms (41). We found that the levels of PKL/R transcripts were generally low in human PCa cell lines and human PCa samples. However, these transcripts were detected in a subset of human NEPCa samples (Supplementary Figure 2). This finding is consistent with a recent study indicating that PKL/R expression is increased in castrate-resistant PCa, and the upregulated PKL/R, along with elevated MYCN, may drive metabolic reprogramming during NE differentiation (46).



FIGURE 7

The stoichiometry of PKM2. Cells were treated with disuccinimidyl suberate (DSS) reagent to form intramolecular crosslinks and Western blot analysis was conducted to examine the subunit association of PKM2. PKM2 primarily forms monomers and dimers but not tetramers in PCa cells. C2C12 cells were used as a positive control for the detection of PKM2 tetramers.

3.5 The stoichiometry of PKM2

To study the stoichiometry of PKM in PCa, we conducted a cross-linking reaction. While PKM1 was hardly detected after cross-linking through Western blotting due to the low expression level, the multi-unit formation of PKM2 was visible. C2C12 myoblast cells were used as a positive control for the detection of PKM2 tetramers (Figure 7). We found that in PCa cells, PKM2 primarily formed inactive monomers/dimers rather than active tetramers (Figure 7).

4 Discussion

In this study, we examined the expression profiles of PKM1 and PKM2 at both protein and mRNA levels in the context of PCa, utilizing samples from human patients, mouse models, and human PCa cell lines. Our findings reveal a heterogeneous expression pattern of PKM1 across different PCa stages. Particularly noteworthy is the observation of elevated PKM1 expression in a subset of NEPCa. This finding is in line with existing literature, which indicates that PKM1 is expressed in NE tumors derived from other organs, such as small cell lung cancer (47, 48), suggesting a distinct metabolic status of NE tumors compared to their adenocarcinoma counterparts. Additionally, our findings suggest that oxidative phosphorylation may play a significant role in ATP production in NE tumors.

In contrast, PKM2 demonstrates abundant expression in PCa tissues across various stages. Interestingly, its protein expression is lower in murine NEPCa cells compared to AdPCa. This observation extends to PCa cell lines but not consistently in human PCa specimens, likely due to the intricate genetic profiles of human specimens and the limited availability of NEPCa samples.

Collectively, this study represents the first investigation into the expression of PKM isoforms in NEPCa, laying the groundwork for further exploration into its energy metabolism. Accumulating evidence suggests that NEPCa arises from AdPCa following androgen deprivation therapy. It would be intriguing to study whether the expression of PKM isoforms in PCa is regulated by AR signaling.

Although the antibodies targeting exon 9 or 10 can detect the PKM1- and PKM2- like proteins, respectively, they lack the ability

to distinguish between individual isoforms or facilitate comparisons of their expression levels due to variations in binding affinity between antibody-antigen pairs. However, this limitation was mitigated by our RNAseq analysis, which elucidated the expression of ten PKM isoforms in prostatic tissues. Importantly, the analysis revealed that PKM2 is the predominant isoform expressed in PCa cells.

While PKM1 forms a constitutively active tetramer, PKM2's stoichiometry is regulated by allosteric regulators such as serine and fructose 1, 6-bisphosphate (FBP), as well as post-translational modifications, leading to the formation of less-active monomers and dimers (22, 30, 31). Our data indicate that PKM2, the isoform predominantly expressed in PCa, adopts a less-active stoichiometry. In these cells, the conversion of PEP to pyruvate is slowed down, potentially resulting in the accumulation of glycolytic intermediates, which can be diverted into anabolic pathways to fuel cell proliferation (22). This observation is consistent with literature suggesting that PKM2 promotes the Warburg effect in PCa (34, 36).

In summary, this study characterized the expression of PKM1 and PKM2 in prostatic tissues including developing, benign, and cancerous prostate. These findings enhance our understanding of the metabolic alterations in aggressive PCa. However, due to the scarcity of NEPCa specimens, further research is warranted to fully elucidate the metabolic profile of this aggressive PCa subtype.

Data availability statement

The public data presented in this study were accessed through GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), dbGaP (https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap/) and GDC Data Portal (https://portal.gdc. cancer.gov/). All codes necessary to reproduce figures and statistic results included in this manuscript are available in GitHub (https://github.com/schoo7/PKMisoforms).

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the studies on humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used. The animal study was approved by ACUC and IRB of LSU Health-Shreveport. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

LL: Writing – original draft, Visualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Data curation. SC: Writing – review & editing, Software, Formal analysis, Data curation. YY: Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Data curation. YS: Writing – review & editing. NH: Writing – review & editing. DP: Writing – review & editing. XG: Writing – review & editing. XY: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Resources, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by NIH R01 CA226285, LSU Collaborative Cancer Research Initiative, LSU Health Shreveport Office of Research, and LSU Health Shreveport FWCC Stimulus grants to XY, LSU Health Shreveport FWCC Carroll Feist predoctoral Fellowship to LL, and LSU Health Shreveport FWCC Carroll Feist postdoctoral Fellowship to SC.

Acknowledgments

RNA sequencing data were downloaded from dbGaP through dbGaP accession number phs000909 and phs000915^{7,67}. The data

were generated under the support of NHGRI grant # U54 HG003067 to E. Lander at Broad Institute and SU2C/PCF Prostate Dream Translational Cancer Research Grant.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1392085/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

The mRNA expression of PKM isoforms in PCa cell lines (A) and human prostate specimen (B).

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

The mRNA expression of PKLR in PCa cell lines (A) and human prostate specimen (B).

References

1. Cunha GR, Donjacour A. Mesenchymal-epithelial interactions: technical considerations. *Prog Clin Biol Res.* (1987) 239:273–82. doi: 10.1016/j.diff.2015.10.006

2. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin. (2023) 73:17-48. doi: 10.3322/caac.21763

3. Wang XI, Julio MK-D, Economides KD, Walker D, Yu H, Halili MV, et al. A luminal epithelial stem cell that is a cell of origin for prostate cancer. *Nature*. (2009) 461:495–500. doi: 10.1038/nature08361

4. Dehm SM, Tindall DJ. Molecular regulation of androgen action in prostate cancer. J Cell Biochem. (2006) 99:333-44. doi: 10.1002/jcb.20794

5. Beltran H, Prandi D, Mosquera JM, Benelli M, Puca L, Cyrta J, et al. Divergent clonal evolution of castration-resistant neuroendocrine prostate cancer. *Nat Med.* (2016) 22:298–305. doi: 10.1038/nm.4045

6. Epstein JI, Amin MB, Beltran H, Lotan TL, Mosquera J-M, Reuter VE, et al. Proposed morphologic classification of prostate cancer with neuroendocrine differentiation. *Am J Surg Pathol.* (2014) 38:756. doi: 10.1097/PAS.0000000000000208

7. Greenberg NM, DeMayo F, Finegold MJ, Medina D, Tilley WD, Aspinall JO, et al. Prostate cancer in a transgenic mouse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* (1995) 92:3439–43. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.8.3439

8. Gingrich JR, Barrios RJ, Kattan MW, Nahm HS, Finegold MJ, Greenberg NM. Androgen-independent prostate cancer progression in the TRAMP model. *Cancer Res.* (1997) 57:4687–91.

9. Kaplan-Lefko PJ, Chen TM, Ittmann MM, Barrios RJ, Ayala GE, Huss WJ, et al. Pathobiology of autochthonous prostate cancer in a pre-clinical transgenic mouse model. *Prostate.* (2003) 55:219–37. doi: 10.1002/pros.10215

10. Huss WJ, Gray DR, Tavakoli K, Marmillion ME, Durham LE, Johnson MA, et al. Origin of androgen-insensitive poorly differentiated tumors in the transgenic adenocarcinoma of mouse prostate model. *Neoplasia*. (2007) 9:938–IN931. doi: 10.1593/neo.07562

11. Costello LC, Franklin RB. Citrate metabolism of normal and Malignant prostate epithelial cells. *Urology*. (1997) 50:3–12. doi: 10.1016/S0090-4295(97)00124-6

12. Costello LC, Liu Y, Franklin RB, Kennedy MC. Zinc inhibition of mitochondrial aconitase and its importance in citrate metabolism of prostate epithelial cells. *J Biol Chem.* (1997) 272:28875–81. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.46.28875

13. Beinert H, Kennedy MC. Aconitase, a two-faced protein: enzyme and iron regulatory factor 1 2. FASEB J. (1993) 7:1442–9. doi: 10.1096/fasebj.7.15.8262329

14. Lin C, Salzillo TC, Bader DA, Wilkenfeld SR, Awad D, Pulliam TL, et al. Prostate cancer energetics and biosynthesis. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* (2019) 1210:185–237. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-32656-2_10

15. Cooper JF, Farid I. The role of citric acid in the physiology of the prostate: III. lactate/citrate ratios in benign and Malignant prostatic homogenates as an index of prostatic Malignancy. *J Urol.* (1964) 92:533–6. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(17) 64003-5

 Costello LC, Franklin RB. Novel role of zinc in the regulation of prostate citrate metabolism and its implications in prostate cancer. *Prostate*. (1998) 35:285–96. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0045(19980601)35:4<285::AID-PROS8>3.0.CO;2-F

17. Singh KK, Desouki MM, Franklin RB, Costello LC. Mitochondrial aconitase and citrate metabolism in Malignant and nonmalignant human prostate tissues. *Mol Cancer*. (2006) 5:1-8. doi: 10.1186/1476-4598-5-14

18. Costello LC, Franklin RB. The intermediary metabolism of the prostate: a key to understanding the pathogenesis and progression of prostate Malignancy. *Oncology*. (2000) 59:269–82. doi: 10.1159/000012183

19. Liberti MV, Locasale JW. The Warburg effect: how does it benefit cancer cells? *Trends Biochem Sci.* (2016) 41:211–8. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2015.12.001

20. DeBerardinis RJ, Chandel NS. We need to talk about the Warburg effect. Nat Metab. (2020) 2:127–9. doi: 10.1038/s42255-020-0172-2

21. Tanaka T, Harano Y, Sue F, Morimura H. Crystallization, characterization and metabolic regulation of two types of pyruvate kinase isolated from rat tissues. *J Biochem.* (1967) 62:71–91. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.jbchem.a128639

22. Takenaka M, Noguchi T, Sadahiro S, Hirai H, Yamada K, Matsuda T, et al. Isolation and characterization of the human pyruvate kinase M gene. *Eur J Biochem.* (1991) 198:101–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1991.tb15991.x

23. Clower CV, Chatterjee D, Wang Z, Cantley LC, Vander Heiden MG, Krainer AR. The alternative splicing repressors hnRNP A1/A2 and PTB influence pyruvate kinase isoform expression and cell metabolism. *Proc Natl Acad Sci.* (2010) 107:1894–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0914845107

24. Yang W, Lu Z. Pyruvate kinase M2 at a glance. J Cell Sci. (2015) 128:1655–60. doi: 10.1242/jcs.166629

25. Peterson JS, Chern CJ, Harkins RN, Black JA. The subunit structure of human muscle and human erythrocyte pyruvate kinase isozymes. *FEBS Lett.* (1974) 49:73–7. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(74)80635-6

26. Zahra K, Dey T, Mishra SP, Pandey U. Pyruvate kinase M2 and cancer: the role of PKM2 in promoting tumorigenesis. *Front Oncol.* (2020) 10:505842. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2020.00159

27. Luo W, Semenza GL. Emerging roles of PKM2 in cell metabolism and cancer progression. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* (2012) 23:560–6. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2012.06.010

28. Yang W, Lu Z. Regulation and function of pyruvate kinase M2 in cancer. *Cancer Lett.* (2013) 339:153–8. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2013.06.008

29. Wong N, Ojo D, Yan J, Tang D. PKM2 contributes to cancer metabolism. *Cancer Lett.* (2015) 356:184–91. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2014.01.031

30. Ashizawa K, Willingham MC, Liang CM, Cheng S-Y. *In vivo* regulation of monomer-tetramer conversion of pyruvate kinase subtype M2 by glucose is mediated via fructose 1, 6-bisphosphate. *J Biol Chem.* (1991) 266:16842–6. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)55378-3

31. Dombrauckas JD, Santarsiero BD, Mesecar AD. Structural basis for tumor pyruvate kinase M2 allosteric regulation and catalysis. *Biochemistry*. (2005) 44:9417–29. doi: 10.1021/bi0474923

32. Noguchi T, Yamada K, Inoue H, Matsuda T, Tanaka T. The L-and R-type isozymes of rat pyruvate kinase are produced from a single gene by use of different promoters. *J Biol Chem.* (1987) 262:14366–71. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(18)47947-1

33. Zhang Z, Deng X, Liu Y, Liu Y, Sun L, Chen F. PKM2, function and expression and regulation. *Cell Biosci.* (2019) 9:1–25. doi: 10.1186/s13578-019-0317-8

34. Davidson SM, Schmidt DR, Heyman JE, O'Brien JP, Liu AC, Israelsen WJ, et al. Pyruvate kinase M1 suppresses development and progression of prostate adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Res.* (2022) 82:2403–16. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-21-2352

35. Wong N, Yan J, Ojo D, De Melo J, Cutz J-C, Tang D. Changes in PKM2 associate with prostate cancer progression. *Cancer Invest.* (2014) 32:330–8. doi: 10.3109/07357907.2014.919306

36. Jiang C, Zhao X, Jeong T, Kang JY, Park JH, Kim IS, et al. Novel specific pyruvate Kinase M2 inhibitor, compound 3h, induces apoptosis and autophagy through suppressing Akt/mTOR Signaling pathway in LNCaP cells. *Cancers.* (2022) 15:265.

37. Cheng S, Yang S, Shi Y, Shi R, Yeh Y, Yu X. Neuroendocrine prostate cancer has distinctive, non-prostatic HOX code that is represented by the loss of HOXB13 expression. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:1–11. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-82472-1

38. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*. (2013) 29:15–21. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/bts635

39. Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat Methods*. (2017) 14:417–9. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4197

40. Cheng S, Yu X. CTPC, a combined transcriptome data set of human prostate cancer cell lines. *Prostate*. (2023) 83:158–61. doi: 10.1002/pros.24448

41. Cheng S, Li L, Shi Y, Yu X. HuPSA and moPSA atlases revealed novel cell populations and lineage plasticity at single-cell resolution in prostate cancer. *bioRxiv*. (2023), 2023–08. doi: 10.1101/2023.08.11.553009

42. Beltran H, Rickman DS, Park K, Chae SS, Sboner A, MacDonald TY, et al. Molecular characterization of neuroendocrine prostate cancer and identification of new drug targets. *Cancer Discovery*. (2011) 1:487–95. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-11-0130

43. Robinson D, Van Allen EM, Wu Y-M, Schultz N, Lonigro RJ, Mosquera J-M, et al. Integrative clinical genomics of advanced prostate cancer. *Cell.* (2015) 161:1215–28. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.001

44. Bolstad BM. preprocessCore: A collection of pre-processing functions. R package version 1. (2013). p. 2.

45. Wickham H, Chang W, Wickham MH. Package 'ggplot2'. Create elegant data visualisations using the grammar of graphics. Version 2. (2016). pp. 1–189.

46. Chen W-Y, Dung PVT, Yeh H-L, Chen W-H, Jiang K-C, Li H-R, et al. Targeting PKLR/MYCN/ROMO1 signaling suppresses neuroendocrine differentiation of castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Redox Biol.* (2023) 62:102686. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2023.102686

47. Klöppel G. Neuroendocrine neoplasms: dichotomy, origin and classifications. *Visceral Med.* (2017) 33:324–30. doi: 10.1159/000481390

48. Morita M, Sato T, Nomura M, Sakamoto Y, Inoue Y, Tanaka R, et al. PKM1 confers metabolic advantages and promotes cell-autonomous tumor cell growth. *Cancer Cell.* (2018) 33:355–67. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2018.02.004