#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Kondapa Bobba, University of California, San Francisco, United States

#### REVIEWED BY

David Bauer, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, United States Apurva Pandey, University of California, San Francisco, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Sabah Iqbal 🔀 sabah.iqbal@mercyhealth.org

RECEIVED 28 February 2024 ACCEPTED 24 April 2024 PUBLISHED 16 May 2024

#### CITATION

Iqbal S, Zhuang E, Raj M, Bahary N and Monga DK (2024) Long-term clinical outcomes of [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE in patients with metastatic neuroendocrine tumors. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1393317. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1393317

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Iqbal, Zhuang, Raj, Bahary and Monga. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Long-term clinical outcomes of [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE in patients with metastatic neuroendocrine tumors

Sabah Iqbal<sup>1\*</sup>, Eric Zhuang<sup>2</sup>, Moses Raj<sup>2</sup>, Nathan Bahary<sup>2</sup> and Dulabh K. Monga<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mercy Catholic Medical Center, Darby, PA, United States, <sup>2</sup>Department of Hematology and Oncology, Allegheny Health Network Cancer Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, United States

The incidence of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors has been rising and these tumors are usually only diagnosed at a metastatic stage. Present first line treatments include somatostatin analogs, targeted therapies and peptide receptor radionuclide therapy. The Lutetium-177 [<sup>177</sup>Lu] based radiotracer [<sup>177</sup>Lu] Lu-DOTATATE has only been approved as first-line treatment of metastatic midgut NETs however its efficacy as a third line or above treatment in patients with non ileal primaries has not been tested. In our study, we identified 25 patients with histologically confirmed well-differentiated metastatic neuroendocrine tumors and administered [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE as a second line, third line and fourth line treatment. Our study demonstrated a notable response in patients with non-ileal primaries and heavily pretreated disease, warranting further studies for additional cycles of treatment.

#### KEYWORDS

gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, metastatic neuroendocrine tumors, [<sup>137</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE, peptide receptor radionuclide therapy, [<sup>68</sup>Ga]Ga-DOTATATE

## **1** Introduction

Neuroendocrine tumors (NET), also known as neuroendocrine neoplasms are epithelial neoplasms arising from neuroendocrine cells of various organs, with an incidence of 6-7 cases per 100,000 people in the United States. The most commonly involved sites are the lungs and the gastroenteropancreatic (GEP) system. In the past decade, owing to improvements in therapies, prolonged survival has been seen despite a steady rise in the incidence and prevalence of NETs (1, 2).

Based on histology, they are further classified into well-differentiated NETs and poorlydifferentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas (NECs). GEP NETs are a heterogeneous group of neoplasms comprising well-differentiated NETs of the tubular gastrointestinal tract, previously known as carcinoid tumors. Based on the histologic appearance and proliferative rate, as assessed by mitotic count and Ki-67 labeling index, the World Health Organization (WHO) further classifies NETs as low grade (grade 1) and intermediate grade (grade 2) (3). The WHO also identifies a third category, the high-grade (grade 3) tumor, which is G2 by mitotic count but has a high proliferation rate. Poorly differentiated NECs are further classified as small-cell or large-cell type NECs and have a worse prognosis (Figure 1).

Based on hormone secretion, they are divided into functional and nonfunctional. Functional NETs are further characterized by the type of hormone secretion into insulinoma, gastrinoma, glucagonoma, VIPoma, and somatostatinoma (Figure 2). Midgut NETs typically metastasize to the liver and can present as carcinoid syndrome. Carcinoid syndrome can also be seen without liver metastases in patients with extraintestinal (e.g. ovarian, bronchial) NETs.

Most patients with GEP NETs remain symptom-free and hence remain underdiagnosed until the metastatic stage. They usually become symptomatic due to hormone hypersecretion rather than tumor bulk. Prognosis depends on multiple factors such as the primary tumor location with the worst prognosis seen in lung and colon primaries and tumors with high histologic grade or proliferative rate. The proliferative rate is an individual marker of prognosis irrespective of the grade (4, 5).

Multiphasic computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the imaging of choice for GEP NETs. For metastatic GEP NETs, a triphasic helical CT of the abdomen and pelvis is preferred.

All these tumors whether functional or nonfunctional often overexpress somatostatin receptors (SSTR) with SSTR2 and SSTR5 subtypes being the most common. These receptors can be used as both diagnostic and therapeutic targets. One such technique is a Positron Emission Tomography (PET) based somatostatin receptor-

Classification and grading criteria for NENs of the gastrointestinal tract

based imaging technique. In 2016, US Food and Drug administration (FDA) approved gallium [<sup>68</sup>Ga]Ga-DOTATATE, as a routine imaging modality for NETs, which works by binding to these receptors with an affinity for SSRT2. It has a higher sensitivity and is preferred for small-volume and occult primary tumors (6). Other radionuclides that have been approved for similar imaging are gallium [<sup>68</sup>Ga]Ga-DOTATATE and copper [<sup>64</sup>Cu]Cu-DOTATATE (7, 8). These tests can also be used as a predictive tool for clinical response to therapy with somatostatin analogs.

In the absence of extrahepatic involvement, resection is the optimal treatment for hepatic metastases and in some cases, concomitant resection of the primary site has proven beneficial. In patients with GEP NETs with hepatic predominant disease, hepatic arterial embolization is an alternative, however survival benefits are undefined.

Recent studies in the last decade have identified first-line treatments for advanced diseases including somatostatin analogs (octreotide and lanreotide), targeted therapies (everolimus and sunitinib), and peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT).

An observational time and motion study in patients with GEP NETs where patients were treated with lanreotide or octreotide LAR showed that lanreotide was associated with a significant reduction in drug delivery time (2.5 minutes) as compared to octreotide LAR (6.2 minutes), contributing to an improvement in overall healthcare efficiency (9).

The ECOG-ACRIN E2211 study, a phase 2 trial compared the efficacy of temozolomide versus capecitabine/temozolomide in patients with advanced low-grade and intermediate-grade pancreatic NETs. Median PFS was 14.4 months for temozolomide versus 22.7 months for capecitabine/temozolomide (hazard ratio 0.53, p 0.022) in the median OS was 53.8 months for temozolomide and 58.7 months for capecitabine/temozolomide (hazard ratio 0.82, p 0.42). Median PFS and RR observed in the group that received

Terminology	Differentiation	Grade	Mitotic rate <sup>a</sup>	Ki-67 index <sup>b</sup>
NET, G1	Well-differentiated	Low	<2	<3%
NET, G2		Intermediate	2-20	3-20%
NET, G3		High	>20	>20%
SCNEC	Poorly differentiated	High	>20	>20%
LCNEC			>20	>20%
MiNEN	Well or poorly differentiated	Variable	Variable	Variable

<sup>a</sup>Mitotic rate, the number of mitosis/2 mm<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>b</sup>Ki-67 index, counting ≥500 cells in the regions of highest labelling (hot-spots) which are identified at scanning magnification. NENs, neuroendocrine neoplasms; NET, neuroendocrine tumor; NEC, neuroendocrine carcinoma; SCNEC, small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma; LCNEC, large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma; MiNEN, mixed neuroendocrine non-neuroendocrine neoplasm.

FIGURE 1

Classification and grading criteria for Neuroendocrine neoplasms (NEN) of the GI tract.



combined treatment was the highest reported in a randomized study for pancreatic NETs (10).

Everolimus, an oral inhibitor of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), and sunitinib, a multi-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitor, have both shown promising results in pancreatic NETs (11, 12). However, no significant difference in overall survival was established.

In that regard, [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE has been identified as a promising first-line treatment in metastatic midgut NETs, with a better safety profile (13). PRRT (Peptide Receptor Radionuclide Therapy) use has also been suggested for inoperable NETs (14).

The real-world effectiveness and tolerability of [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE in patients with non-ileal primaries and in 3rd or later lines of treatment have not been well characterized.

## 2 Manuscript formatting

#### 2.1 Materials and methods

#### 2.2 Patients and therapy

This was a retrospective analysis of patients with histologically confirmed well-differentiated metastatic NETs who received [<sup>177</sup>Lu] Lu-DOTATATE. All patients had radiotracer uptake on [<sup>68</sup>Ga]Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT, defined as a lesion with Krenning score 3 or greater. Patients who received at least 1 cycle of [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE were included in the analysis. Patients in this study were treated at a single tertiary-care institute.

During each cycle of [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE, premedication with ondansetron 8 mg IV, dexamethasone 10 mg IV, and an amino acid infusion of L-Lysine 2.5%/L-Arginine 2.5% in 1 L normal saline were given 30 minutes before [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE. [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE (7.4GBq) was administered over 30 minutes. The amino acid infusion continued for a total of 4 hours. Treatment with [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE was up to 4 cycles, given approximately every 8 weeks apart.

#### 2.3 Follow-up

All patients treated with [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE were clinically evaluated before each cycle. Evaluations included clinical assessment of symptoms, treatment toxicities, ECOG performance status, laboratory testing including complete blood counts with differential, serum electrolytes, renal function, hepatic function. Imaging with [<sup>68</sup>Ga]Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT or CT of the Chest/Abdomen/Pelvis with contrast was completed at approximately 3 month intervals.

#### 2.4 End points and statistical analysis

Objective response rate (ORR) was evaluated according to RECIST 1.1 criteria. Complete response (CR) was defined as disappearance of all pathologic lesions. Partial response (PR) was defined as  $\geq$  30% decrease in the sum of the diameters from baseline sum diameters. Progressive disease (PD) was defined as ≥ 20% increase in the smallest sum of the diameters. Stable disease (SD) met none of the criteria above. Progression free survival (PFS) was measured as the time between the date of first [177Lu]Lu-DOTATATE infusion and progression of disease on imaging. Overall survival (OS) was measured from the date of first [<sup>177</sup>Lu] Lu-DOTATATE infusion to the date of death from any cause or date of last clinical follow-up. Patients alive at the last follow-up were censored on that date. Toxicity and adverse events were evaluated according to CTCAE v5.0 criteria. Continuous variables were summarized using the median. Categorical variables were summarized using basic proportions. Kaplan-Meier curves were used to depict survival.

## 2.5 Results

Of the 25 patients who were treated with [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE, 13 (52%) were male, and 12 (48%) were female. The median age was 63 years (range 45 – 79). WHO Grade 1, 2, and 3 diseases were present in 5 (20%), 17 (68%), and 3 (12%) of patients, respectively. Fifteen patients (60%) received [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE in the 2nd line setting, 5 patients (20%) in the 3rd line setting, and 4 patients (16%) in the 4th line setting. The most common primary sites of disease were the pancreas (40%) and the small bowel (40%). Only 4 patients (16%) had an ileal primary. The liver was the most common site of metastasis (92%), followed by bone (32%) (Table 1). The disease control rate was 92%, comprising 9 patients with a partial response (PR) and 14 patients with stable disease (SD). The objective response rate was 36%, comprised of the 9 patients who achieved PR. The

TABLE 1 Demographics and baseline clinical characteristics of all patients.

Characteristic	Patients who received [ <sup>177</sup> Lu]Lu-DOTATATE (%)				
Sex:					
Female	13(52%)				
Male	12(48%)				
Median age (years)	63				
Primary site of tumor:					
Pancreatic	10(40%)				
Small Intestine, not otherwise specified	4(16%)				
Ileum	4(16%)				
Jejunum	1(4%)				
Duodenum	1(4%)				
Colorectal	3(12%)				
Adrenal	1(4%)				
Thymic origin	1(4%)				
Sites of metastatsis:					
Liver	23(92%)				
Bone	8(32%)				
Mesentery	8(32%)				
Spleen	2(8%)				
Lung	2(8%)				
Mediastinum	1(4%)				
Peritoneum	1(4%)				
WHO Grade:					
Grade 1	5(20%)				
Grade 2	17(68%)				
Grade 3	3(12%)				

median PFS was 28.5 months. Median OS was not reached. Two patients (8%) had progressive disease after starting treatment. The ORR in patients with non-ileal primary disease was 33.3%, and in patients with ileal primary disease was 50%. The ORR in patients with WHO Grade 1, 2, and 3 disease was 60%, 35%, and 0%, respectively. The ORR in patients who received [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE in the 2nd line was 37.5%, 40% in the 3rd line, and 25% in the 4th line. The most frequently reported (>15%) nonhematologic treatment-related adverse events were fatigue, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. Clinically significant myelosuppression occurred in less than 5% of the patients. One patient experienced grade 3 fatigue and anorexia (Table 2).

## **3** Discussion

The landmark study, NETTER 1, tested the efficacy of [<sup>177</sup>Lu] Lu-DOTATATE in combination with octreotide as opposed to octreotide alone in patients with histologically confirmed well-differentiated metastatic NETs originating in the midgut. It showed an improvement in median PFS of 40 months in patients who received the combination of octreotide plus [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-

TABLE	2	Adverse	events.
-------	---	---------	---------

Event	Grade 1 N(%)	Grade 2 N(%)	Grade 3 N(%)					
Gastrointestinal disorders:								
Nausea/vomiting	5(20%)	0	1(4%)					
Diarrhea	5(20%)	1(4%)	0					
Constipation	1(4%)	0	0					
Hepatotoxicity	1(4%)	0	0					
Hematologic disorders:								
Anemia	5(20%)	0	0					
Thrombocytopenia	3	0	0					
Pancytopenia	0	0	1(4%)					
Neutropenia	0	1(4%)	0					
Musculoskeletal	Musculoskeletal							
Mucositis	1(4%)	0	0					
Nail changes	2(8%)	0	0					
Neuropathy	2(8%)	0	0					
Other:								
Fatigue	14(56%)	1(4%)	1(4%)					
Dehydration	0	0	1(4%)					
Anorexia	3(12%)	1(4%)	1(4%)					
Hypertension	2(8%)	1(4%)	0					
Acute kidney injury	1(4%)	0	0					
Insomnia	2(8%)	0	0					

DOTATATE as compared to 8.4 months in patients who received octreotide LAR alone. Though there was no statistical significance in median OS, there was a 11.7 month difference in the median OS which was considered clinically relevant (13).

Another retrospective study tested the same theory but in NETs originating from different organs including histologic grade 3 with high uptake on [<sup>68</sup>Ga]Ga-DOTATATE PET/computed tomography (CT) scans. They established an estimated median PFS of 36.4 months (about 3 years) and the mean OS was 61.9, 52.2, and 38.4 months (about 3 years) in WHO grades 1, 2, and 3 respectively. It was established that PRRT was beneficial in patients with SSTR2-positive pancreatic, non-pancreatic GEP NETs, lung NETs and metastatic NETs with an unknown primary site (15).

Currently, [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE is the only approved PRRT for GEP NETs. Previous studies with other compounds in this category have been limited owing to significant hematologic and renal toxicities (16, 17).

Studies have shown that grade 3/4 hematologic toxicity in patients receiving PRRT has been in the acceptable range and patients with baseline poor renal function are more prone to this (18). As such, the incidence of PRRT-induced nephrotoxicity is low (19).

Disease progression can occur despite first-line therapy and hence retreatment with additional cycles has been suggested.

In a novel single-center study, it was shown that treatment with additional doses of [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE, beyond the proposed 4 doses in patients with progressive NETs, was well tolerated and offered disease control (20).

A multicenter, randomized, controlled, open-label, phase 2 study called ReLUTH is currently ongoing in France which is aimed at testing the efficacy of retreatment with 2 cycles of Lutathera versus active surveillance for 6 months for patients with disease progression (21).

As evidenced by our study, [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE demonstrated a notable response and showed activity among patients with the nonileal and heavily pre-treated disease. Interestingly, response rates were worse in higher grade disease. Treatment was overall well tolerated, and the safety profile was consistent with published data. The role of tumor grade and non-ileal location for predicting response to [<sup>177</sup>Lu] Lu-DOTATATE merits further research.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

#### Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Allegheny Health Network Ethics Committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

#### Author contributions

SI: Conceptualization, Investigation, Resources, Writing – original draft. EZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. DM: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. MR: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. NB: Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2024.1393317/ full#supplementary-material

## References

tumors in 35,825 cases in the United States. J Clin Oncol. (2008) 26:3063-72. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.15.4377

<sup>1.</sup> Dasari A, Shen C, Halperin D, Zhao B, Zhou S, Xu Y, et al. Trends in the incidence, prevalence, and survival outcomes in patients with neuroendocrine tumors in the United States. *JAMA Oncol.* (2017) 3:1335-42. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.0589

<sup>2.</sup> Yao JC, Hassan M, Phan A, Dagohoy C, Leary C, Mares JE, et al. One hundred years after "carcinoid": epidemiology of and prognostic factors for neuroendocrine

<sup>3.</sup> Popa O, Taban SM, Pantea S, Plopeanu AD, Barna RA, Cornianu M, et al. The new WHO classification of gastrointestinal neuroendocrine tumors and immunohistochemical expression of somatostatin receptor 2 and 5. *Exp Ther Med.* (2021) 22:1179. doi: 10.3892/etm.2021.10613

4. Strosberg JR, Weber JM, Feldman M, Coppola D, Meredith K, Kvols LK. Prognostic validity of the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging classification for midgut neuroendocrine tumors. *J Clin Oncol.* (2013) 31:420–5. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2012.44.5924

5. Ezziddin S, Khalaf F, Vanezi M, et al. Outcome of peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with <sup>177</sup>Lu-octreotate in advanced grade 1/2 pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* (2014) 41:925–33. doi: 10.1007/s00259-013-2677-3

6. Chauhan A, El-Khouli R, Waits T, Agrawal R, Siddiqui F, Tarter Z, et al. Post FDA approval analysis of 200 gallium-68 DOTATATE imaging: A retrospective analysis in neuroendocrine tumor patients. *Oncotarget.* (2020) 11:3061–8. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.27695

7. Vahidfar N, Farzanehfar S, Abbasi M, Mirzaei S, Delpassand ES, Abbaspour F, et al. Diagnostic value of radiolabelled somatostatin analogues for neuroendocrine tumour diagnosis: the benefits and drawbacks of [<sup>64</sup>Cu]Cu-DOTA-TOC. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2022) 14:1914. doi: 10.3390/cancers14081914

8. Hennrich U, Benešová M. [<sup>68</sup>Ga]Ga-DOTA-TOC: the first FDA-approved <sup>68</sup>Garadiopharmaceutical for PET imaging. *Pharm (Basel)*. (2020) 13:38. doi: 10.3390/ ph13030038

9. Ryan P, McBride A, Ray D, Pulgar S, Ramirez RA, Elquza E, et al. Lanreotide vs octreotide LAR for patients with advanced gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: An observational time and motion analysis. *J Oncol Pharm Pract.* (2019) 25:1425–33. doi: 10.1177/1078155219839458

10. Kunz PL, Graham NT, Catalano PJ, Nimeiri HS, Fisher GA, Longacre TA, et al. Randomized study of temozolomide or temozolomide and capecitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (ECOG-ACRIN E2211). *J Clin Oncol.* (2023) 41:1359–69. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.01013

11. Yao JC, Shah MH, Ito T, Bohas CL, Wolin EM, Van Cutsem E, et al. New Engl J Med. (2011) 364:514–23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1009290

12. Raymond E, Dahan L, Raoul J, Bang Y, Borbath I, Lombard-Bohas C, et al. New Engl J Med. (2011) 364:501–13. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1003825

13. Strosberg J, El-Haddad G, Wolin E, Hendifar A, Yao J, Chasen B, et al. Phase 3 trial of  $[^{177}Lu]Lu$ -DOTATATE for midgut neuroendocrine tumors. N Engl J Med. (2017) 376:125–35. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1607427

14. Bodei L, Cremonesi M, Grana CM, et al. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE: the IEO phase I-II study. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2011) 38:2125–35. doi: 10.1007/s00259-011-1902-1

15. Demirci E, Kabasakal L, Toklu T, Ocak M, Şahin OE, Alan-Selcuk N, et al. [<sup>177</sup>Lu]Lu-DOTATATE therapy in patients with neuroendocrine tumours including high-grade (WHO G3) neuroendocrine tumours: response to treatment and long-term survival update. *Nucl Med Commun.* (2018) 39:789–96. doi: 10.1097/MNM.00000000000874

16. Valkema R, Pauwels S, Kvols LK, Barone R, Jamar F, Bakker WH, et al. Survival and response after peptide receptor radionuclide therapy with [90Y-DOTA0,Tyr3]octreotide in patients with advanced gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. *Semin Nucl Med.* (2006) 36:147–56. doi: 10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2006.01.001

17. Krenning EP, de Jong M, Kooij PP, Breeman WA, Bakker WH, de Herder WW, et al. Radiolabelled somatostatin analogue(s) for peptide receptor scintigraphy and radionuclide therapy. *Ann Oncol.* (1999) 10 Suppl 2:S23–9. doi: 10.1093/annonc/ 10.suppl\_2.s23

18. Bergsma H, Konijnenberg MW, Kam BLR, et al. Subacute haematotoxicity after PRRT with <sup>177</sup>Lu-DOTA-octreotate: prognostic factors, incidence and course. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2016) 43:453–63. doi: 10.1007/s00259-015-3193-4

19. Bergsma H, Konijnenberg MW, van der Zwan WA, et al. Nephrotoxicity after PRRT with <sup>177</sup>Lu-DOTA-octreotate. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2016) 43:1802–11. doi: 10.1007/s00259-016-3382-9

20. Delpassand E, Yazdi SM, Ghantoji S, Nakasato AR, Strickland CD, Nunez R, et al. Effectiveness and safety of retreatment with lutetium Lu 177 DOTATATE in patients with progressive neuroendocrine tumors in the United States: A retrospective real-world study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2022) 16\_suppl:e16215–5. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2022.40.16\_suppl.e16215

21. Deshayes E, Assenat E, Meignant L, et al. A prospective, randomized, phase II study to assess the schemas of retreatment with Lutathera<sup>®</sup> in patients with new progression of an intestinal, well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumor (ReLUTH). *BMC Cancer.* (2022) 22:1346. doi: 10.1186/s12885-022-10443-4