Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Satvinder Singh Mudan, The London Clinic, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Shrijeet Chakraborti, Royal Preston Hospital, United Kingdom Bingyu Li, Tongji University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Tingting Feng ttfeng@njmu.edu.cn

[†]These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 13 September 2024 ACCEPTED 27 December 2024 PUBLISHED 13 January 2025

CITATION

Miao G, Zhang D, Li J, Deng Y, Gu X and Feng T (2025) Gastric schwannoma with post-surgical gastroparesis: a case report and literature review. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1496074. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1496074

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Miao, Zhang, Li, Deng, Gu and Feng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Gastric schwannoma with postsurgical gastroparesis: a case report and literature review

Ganggang Miao^{1,2†}, De Zhang^{1†}, Jiajing Li^{3†}, Yanxiang Deng¹, Xingwei Gu¹ and Tingting Feng⁴*

¹Department of General Surgery, The People's Hospital of Danyang, Affiliated Danyang Hospital of Nantong University, Danyang, Jiangsu, China, ²Department of General Surgery, The Affiliated Nanjing Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China, ³School of Clinical Medicine, Wannan Medical College, Wuhu, Anhui, China, ⁴Central Laboratory, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

Gastric schwannoma is a relatively rare submucosal mesenchymal tumor with low probability of metastasis and arises from Schwann cells of the gastrointestinal nervous plexus. Surgical therapy is the main treatment of gastric schwannoma with symptoms or malignant tendency. Gastroparesis is a potential complication following gastrointestinal surgery, which is a clinical syndrome caused by gastric emptying disorder and characterized by nausea, vomiting, and bloating, resulting in insufficient nutrient intake. Generally, post-surgical etiology is the main potential etiology of gastroparesis, while the most common underlying etiology is diabetes mellitus. So far, reports of gastroparesis arising from resection of gastric schwannoma are rare. We present an 80-year-old woman who was diagnosed with gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) primarily and has undergone laparoscopic wedge-shaped gastrectomy. The pathological and immunohistochemical examination ultimately established the diagnosis of gastric schwannoma. The patient experienced belching, nausea, vomiting, and bloating 1 week after the surgery and confirmed as gastroparesis through gastrointestinal series and gastroscopic examination. A series of treatments were performed, including correcting fluid-electrolyte disorders and vitamin deficiencies, and nutritional support and pharmacological treatments. The patient ultimately recovered well, and the relevant literatures were reviewed to identify and handle similar cases hereafter.

KEYWORDS

gastric schwannoma, surgical therapy, post-surgical gastroparesis, management of gastroparesis, therapeutic methods

1 Case report

An 80-year-old woman was admitted to the hospital due to the discomfort in the upper abdomen for approximately 1 month; physical examination and the laboratory tests revealed no abnormities, and some of the preoperative hematonic and biochemical results are summarized in Table 1. The plain and contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) showed an exophytic mass at the lesser curvature of the gastric antrum, which was enhanced and measured approximately 5.5 cm \times 5.1 cm \times 5.0 cm. The boundary was blurred, while the mucosal tissue seemed to be uninvolved (Figure 1). Gastroscopy showed a submucosal mass at gastric antrum with good mobility, which caused the disappearance of the mucosal folds without mucosal erosion (Figure 2). Based on these findings, the primary diagnosis was GIST, which is the most common tumor that originated from the gastrointestinal mesenchymal tissue.

The patient underwent laparoscopic wedge-shaped gastrectomy with transtracheal general anesthesia. Pathological examination revealed that the mass was made of spindle cells, with nuclei arranged in a palisading, and a lack of atypia, mitosis, and necrosis. Immunohistochemistry revealed positive staining of S-100 and negative staining of CD117, CD34, Dog-1, desmin, and Ki-67(<2%) (Figure 3); S-100 protein is a common immunohistochemical marker, which is expressed in many cells derived from neural crest, including Schwann cells, pigment cells, and adipocytes. Immunohistochemical

TABLE 1 The basic information and hematinic/biochemical results on admission.

Age	80 years old		
Gender	Female		
BMI	24.84		
ASA class	Class II		
White cell count	4.54×10^{9}		
Neutrophils	2.89×10^{9}		
Lymphocytes	1.26×10^{9}		
Monocytes	0.32×10^{9}		
Hemoglobin	128 g/L		
Platelet	232×10^9		
Potassium	4.02 mmol/L		
Sodium	143.0 mmol/L		
Chlorine	105.0 mmol/L		
Urea nitrogen	6.49 mmol/L		
Creatinine	60.7 μmol/L		
Uric acid	229.8 µmol/L		
Conjugated bilirubin	3.6 µmol/L		
Alkaline phosphatase	81.6 U/L		
Gamma-GGT	13.2 U/L		
Alanine transaminase	13.1 U/L		
Aspartate transaminase	13.8 U/L		
Lactate dehydrogenase	181.5 U/L		
T lymphocytes	894 M/L		
B lymphocytes	283 M/L		

positivity of S-100 protein is an important supporting evidence in the diagnosis of gastric schwannoma. However, the accurate diagnosis needs to be combined with the histopathological characteristics of the tumor and the expression of other immunohistochemical markers (such as CD117, CD34, and Dog-1) to exclude other types of tumors such as gastrointestinal stromal tumors and leiomyomas, which further confirmed a gastric schwannoma (1).

The patient experienced belching, nausea, vomiting, and bloating 1 week after the surgery. Abdominal CT revealed significant expansion of the gastric cavity with accumulation of digestive fluid, and upper gastrointestinal series indicates disappearance of gastric peristalsis, with the contrast media accumulated and delayed emptying for more than 4 h (Figure 4). Meanwhile, gastroscopy examination indicates dilation of the gastric cavity, lack of motility, and swelling of the pylorus with open–close normally (Figures 2G-J).

The nasojejunal tube and nasogastric tube were quickly placed with DSA guidance when the postsurgical gastroparesis was confirmed. However, the refractory gastroparesis lasted for more than 3 months. During this period, appropriate liquid and electrolytes were provided to maintain the fluid–electrolyte balance; parenteral nutrition and enteral nutrition were added to correct nutritional deficiency. Meanwhile, some pharmacological agents, including metoclopramide, mosapride, mecobalamin, and vitamins, were supplemented; some indicators are summarized in Table 2. So far, the patient has recovered well without any digestive symptoms during the follow-up period.

2 Discussion

2.1 Gastric schwannoma

Gastric schwannoma is a neurogenic submucosal gastric tumor and originates from the gastrointestinal neural plexus, which is relatively rare and easily confused with GIST, leiomyoma, and other neurogenic tumors (1, 2). The primary diagnosis of the case that we reported was GIST, which was ultimately confirmed based on histological and immunohistochemical examination. Previous studies have shown that gastric schwannoma accounts for approximately 2.6% of gastric mesenchymal neoplasms (3) and 0.2% of all gastric tumors (4).

Gastric schwannomas are a kind of slow-growing benign tumor, which can be malignant in 13.8% of cases as well (5, 6). Tao et al. reviewed 28 patients from a research institute in China who had no recurrence or metastasis within a median follow-up time of 50 months, and the long-term postsurgical results were good (7). A retrospective analysis of Li et al. showed that 32 surgical cases of gastric schwannoma had no recurrence or metastasis during the follow-up period (4–96 months; mean, 35 months; median, 23 months) (8).

Radiological and endoscopic techniques play a significant role in describing the localization, size, and morphological characteristics of the tumor; however, they cannot differentiate accurately among GIST, myogenic, and neurogenic tumors (2, 9).



FIGURE 1

Computed tomography findings. An exophytic mass measuring 5.5 cm \times 5.1 cm \times 5.0 cm was found in the lesser gastric curvature from three dimensions (transverse, coronal, and sagittal sections), which exhibited low density on plain computed tomography (A). The mass was homogeneous enhanced in the arterial phase (B) and markedly, homogeneously enhanced in the venous phase (C–E).

Endoscopic ultrasound with biopsy is an advanced method for confirming the diagnosis by pathology, despite associated with the risk of perforation and tumor metastasis (3, 10). The diagnosis of schwannoma is mainly based on histological and immunohistochemical examination; histological examination shows that the cells have spindle-shaped nuclei and fascicular arrangements with immunohistochemical positivity of S-100 protein, which is a marker of neoplastic Schwann cells (1, 2, 11). Generally, surgical therapy is the core in most cases without any other adjuvant therapy for the benign property. Surgical resection, including laparoscopic or laparotomic partial gastric resection, may be considered safe and effective. Laparoscopic resection may be the preferred option for most small- and medium-sized tumors (7). With the development of endoscopic technology, endoscopic therapy has gradually become a novel option, which includes endoscopic submucosal dissection, endoscopic full thickness resection, and ligation-assisted endoscopic removal (12, 13). However, it is limited by the tumor size (>3.0 cm) and location within the muscularis propria due to the increased risk of perforation and hemorrhage (3, 11, 14).

Considering the size, location, and surrounding structure of the tumor, a laparoscopic wedge resection was performed in our case. Although the surgical process was very successful, the patient experienced refractory post-surgical gastroparesis.

2.2 Post-surgical gastroparesis

The etiology of gastroparesis varies. Diabetes and post-surgery are common causes, which account for 29% and 13%, and up to 36% of the cases are of idiopathic etiology (15); potential causes include myopathic diseases, neurological diseases, or direct tumor infiltration (16, 17).

Postsurgical gastroparesis is one of the complications of gastrointestinal surgery (18); the mechanism remains unclear nowadays. Gastroparesis and impaired gastric accommodation may result from neuromuscular dysfunction, such as smooth muscle dysfunction, enteric/autonomic nervous system abnormalities, gastrointestinal surgery-related decreased motilin hormone, vagotomy, and tumor infiltration; in addition, immune-



FIGURE 2

Gastric endoscopy: (A) esophagogastric junction; (B) greater gastric curvature; (C, D) lesser gastric curvature, a submucosal tumor without mucosal erosion; (E) pylorus; and (F) descendant duodenum. (G) pyloric edema with stenosis, (H, I) gastric dilatation, and (J) placement marking of the jejunal feeding tube.

mediated destruction of the interstitial cells of Cajal is one of the proposed mechanism (19, 20).

Although the symptoms or nutrient requirement may be gradually alleviated in the patients with mild gastroparesis, those with refractory gastroparesis typically require one or more following measures: intravenous hydration, correction of vitamin deficiencies and metabolic disorders (ketoacidosis and hypoglycemia), reduction in nasogastric pressure, and/or nutritional support, pharmacological therapy, and interventional treatments (21, 22).

2.3 Correcting fluid–electrolyte disorders and vitamin deficiencies

Refractory vomiting and/or severe inadequate intake will lead to fluid–electrolyte imbalance (potassium, zinc, iron, and calcium), deficiencies in vitamins (A, B6, B12, C, and K), and metabolic disorders (23, 24). Evidence from the National Institutes of Health Gastroparesis Federation showed that up to 64% of gastroparesis patients have insufficient dietary calories, which is <60% of their



FIGURE 3

Pathological findings. Hematoxylin–eosin staining revealed that the mass was made of spindle cells with nuclei arranged in a palisading (HE×4, A) and the spindle cell proliferation with a lack of atypia, necrosis, and mitosis (0–2/50 field mitotic count) (HE×10, B). Immunohistochemistry revealed positive staining of S-100 (H) and negative staining of CD117 (C), CD34 (D), Dog-1 (E), SMA (F), desmin (G), and Ki-67 (<2%) (I). S-100 protein is a marker widely found in neural crest-derived cells, including Schwann cells, pigment cells, and adipocytes. In this case, the positive S-100 staining of the tumor further supports the diagnosis of gastric schwannoma. CD 34 is present at the level of the vascular endothelium. SMA and desmin present in the smooth muscle layer of intratumoral vessels (magnification, x10).

estimated total energy requirements (17, 24). Therefore, it is necessary to maintain fluid–electrolyte balance and correct vitamin and mineral deficiencies through reasonable means, such as intravenous injection or nasogastric tube.

2.4 Nutrition support

The patients suffering from refractory gastroparesis are unable to consume sufficient calories through oral intake and may become malnourished eventually; the stepwise nutritional interventions are recommended (25, 26).

2.4.1 Parenteral nutrition

Parenteral nutrition is used as a bridging therapy for the patients, which is suitable for patients with severe weight loss, contraindications to enteral nutrition, insufficient nutritional needs, and poor oral tolerance, as long-term parenteral nutrition can cause centerline infections, metabolic complications and thrombosis (25, 27).

2.4.2 Enteral nutrition support and nasojejunal tube

Inadequate intake may be of a more prolonged and/or intermittent nature due to gastroparesis. Although dietary interventions and medications are the first line of treatment, enteral nutrition support may be necessary to maintain adequate nutritional status (28).

It is common practice to place a nasojejunal feeding tube to deliver enteral nutrition, which has been shown to be safe and effective (25, 29). A few days of nasojejunal feeding trials should precede the infusion rate with at least 60mL/h. It is important to allow for several days of habituation and gradually increase infusion rate from 10 mL/h to 60 mL/h, as some patients have coexistent intestinal dysmotility or may not tolerate the rate of calorie infusion. Moreover, if long-term treatment of enteral nutrition support is required, percutaneous jejunal feeding has been proven to be safe and effective (30, 31).

2.5 Pharmacological therapy

Gastric emptying rate is an assessed indicator for patients with gastroparesis, and most of the patients experienced upper



FIGURE 4

Computed tomography and X-ray findings. Abdominal computed tomography scan showed significant dilation of the gastric cavity, accumulation of digestive fluid, and no indication of pyloric or duodenal stenosis (the beginning of gastroparesis, **1A–C**). Upper gastrointestinal series indicates disappearance of gastric peristalsis, with the contrast media accumulated and delayed emptying for more than 4 h in different periods(the beginning of gastroparesis, **2D–F**; 2 months of gastroparesis, **3G–I**).

gastrointestinal symptoms, such as belching, nausea, vomiting, and bloating, although the correlation between delayed gastric emptying and symptomatic severity is generally poor (32). Once the diagnosis of gastroparesis is confirmed, treatment should focus on the predominant symptoms; the first-line pharmacological therapy has always been the prokinetic and antiemetics agents (33, 34).

2.5.1 Prokinetics agents

Prokinetic agents are the mainstay of pharmacological treatment; clinical trials have shown efficacy in enhancing gastric emptying and reducing symptoms of gastroparesis. which include dopamine receptor antagonists (metoclopramide and domperidone), 5-HT4 agonists (cisapride and mosapride), and macrolides (erythromycin, azithromycin, and clarithromycin) (17, 32, 33, 35–38).

Metoclopramide, a peripheral cholinergic and antidopaminergic agent, is the only US FDA-approved medication for the treatment of gastroparesis. However, the long-term use is restricted by a decline in efficacy and the side effects on the central nervous system, including tardive dyskinesia (30, 33, 39).

Domperidone is a peripheral dopamine D2 receptor antagonist that is available for gastroparesis, and the effect is comparable to metoclopramide. However, domperidone was associated with the corrected QT interval prolongation (17, 40–42).

Cisapride is a 5-HT4 agonist and accelerates gastric emptying of solids and improves dyspeptic symptoms in gastroparesis; it prolongs the QT interval and causes torsade de pointes and ventricular tachycardia (43).

Macrolide antibiotics have agonistic effects on motilin receptor and accelerate gastric emptying; motilin is a peptide hormone that promotes activation of smooth muscles in L-type calcium channels (44). The prokinetic effects of erythromycin have been positive even when compared to metoclopramide (45). Long-term antibiotic use may be associated with complications, including antibiotic resistance and potential infections (46).

2.5.2 Antiemetic agents

Antiemetic agents have been used to relieve the symptoms of gastroparesis, which were used to reduce vomiting symptoms through blocking dopamine type-2 (D2) receptor (dopamine antagonists), 5-HT3 receptor (serotonin antagonists), histamine type-1 (H1) receptor (antihistamines), and muscarinic receptor (anticholinergics) or neurokinin type-1 receptors (neurokinin antagonists) (47).

The benzamide derivatives as previously mentioned are prokinetics, which also exert an antiemetic effect by inhibiting dopamine receptors in the brainstem (47, 48). 5HT-3 receptor antagonists such as granisetron and ondansetron are effective in controlling chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (49).

Ondansetron is a 5-HT3 receptor antagonist that reduces nausea from stomach distension without affecting gastric compliance, volume, or accommodation (50). Ondansetron causes QTc prolongation and, in rare cases, can lead to torsades de pointes, a life-threatening cardiac arrhythmia (51).

Respiratory depression and sedation are common side effects of prochlorperazine, promethazine (H1-receptor antagonists) (52, while sedation, dry mouth, and blurry vision, and central cholinergic syndrome are common side effects of scopolamine(M-receptor antagonists) (53).

2.5.3 Novel and experimental medications

Botulinum toxin is a potent neuromuscular conduction inhibitor, which was used for ameliorating achalasia symptoms by reducing esophageal sphincter pressure (54). Experiments have

Date	WBC (×10 ⁹)	Hb (g/L)	ALB (g/L)	TP (g/L)	A/G ratio	Drainage volume of gastric juice (mL/day)
05.06.2023	4.54	128	40.7	67.7	1.5	_
08.06.2023	10.14	126	36.7	63	1.4	10
12.06.2023	5.32	116	32.4	59.4	1.2	300
17.06.2023	7.04	123	35.3	65.2	1.2	400
23.06.2023	6.46	117	33.4	62.1	1.2	500
28.06.2023	6.49	123	35.2	65.5	1.2	460
05.07.2023	5.13	110	34.3	64.7	1.1	400
12.07.2023	5.32	107	33.5	61.7	1.1	400
24.07.2023	4.47	118	40.0	75.0	1.1	450
15.08.2023	4.09	110	37.7	66.2	1.3	700

TABLE 2 The dynamic changes in some hematinic/biochemical indicators.

confirmed that injecting botulinum toxin into the pylorus can ameliorate symptoms of delayed gastric emptying and inhibit smooth muscle contraction by reducing the response to acetylcholine (55).

In addition, some experimental medications were developed for treatment, including tradipitant (NK1 antagonist), felcisetrag (5-HT4 agonist), trazpiroben (dopamine D_2/D_3 receptor antagonist) and relamorelin (ghrelin agonist) (56–59).

2.6 Interventional treatments

2.6.1 Surgical treatment

Generally, gastrectomy should generally be discouraged, which was considered as the "end of the road situations" where no other intervention has proved helpful. Surgical gastrectomy can be considered for selected patients with postsurgical gastroparesis. Surgical intervention measures are usually reserved for patients with gastroparesis after vagotomy (60, 61).

2.6.1.1 Gastrectomy

Subtotal or total gastrectomy with Roux-en-Y gastric bypass can be proposed as the ultimate surgical option, but a cautious approach is warranted before surgical therapies in diabetic or idiopathic gastroparesis (62, 63).

2.6.1.2 Surgical pyloroplasty

This technique is mainly performed by using laparoscopic approach, and the most famous technique is Heineke Mikulicz, which is characterized by a longitudinal incision of the pyloric ring and transverse suture. Almost 90% of patients reached an improvement or the normalization of the gastric emptying. In addition, robotic pyloroplasty has been recently proposed as a safe and effective approach (63, 64).

2.6.1.3 G-POEM

Inspired by the treatment of achalasia by POEM, G-POEM was introduced into clinical practice. In refractory gastroparesis, G-POEM is superior to a sham procedure for improving both symptoms and gastric emptying 6 months after the procedure. These results are not entirely conclusive in patients with idiopathic and postsurgical etiologies (65). G-POEM is a safe technique with encouraging clinical efficacy as treatment for patients with refractory gastroparesis. Targeting the pylorus seems to be effective at accelerating gastric emptying and thus leads to symptomatic improvement of nearly 60%–80% of patients at mid-term follow-up. Validation of the technique in further randomized trials is highly expected to confirm the initial results (66).Regardless of the cause, up to one-third of patients do not improve in postoperative interventions. G-POEM is a minimally invasive therapy with long-term effectiveness and safety in treating postsurgical gastroparesis (67).

2.6.2 Gastric electric stimulation

Gastric electric stimulation (GES) was considered as an available therapy for treating refractory gastroparesis. As early as 1997, the research result of GES conducted on animals and selected patients was published (68, 69). Several studies have demonstrated a clinical response to GES in patients with postsurgical gastroparesis. GES has led to great symptom relief in severe refractory postsurgical gastroparesis. A multicenter study involving 38 patients found that a significant reduction in weekly vomiting and nausea was observed at 4 weeks, and a 90% reduction in nausea and vomiting frequency was observed at 11 months after receiving percutaneous and late permanent GES treatment (70). A pilot study by Oubre et al. showed that GES led to weekly vomiting improvements and a reduction in total symptoms (71). A study by McCallum et al. further demonstrated improved symptoms, quality of life, nutritional status, and hospitalization requirements (72). GES may be considered among the therapies available for treating patients with refractory symptoms of gastroparesis and combined with pyloromyotomy for refractory gastroparesis (73).

2.6.2.1 Placement of an electrical stimulator

A small stimulator characterized by high frequency (12 cycles/ min) and low stimulation energy can be placed on the greater curvature of the stomach, 10 cm far from pylorus, with a laparoscopic or laparotomic approach (74). High frequency/low energy gastric electrical stimulation provided by implantable devices has been used in patients with refractory symptoms, with benefits in terms of symptomatology, gastric emptying, nutritional supplementation, and quality of life (75). Mechanisms of action remain poorly understood; although the symptomatic improvement is not related to gastric emptying acceleration (74), the changes in sympathetic vagal autonomic activity and thalamic pathway effects have been observed through electromyographic regulation (76).

2.6.3 Acupuncture

Acupuncture has an application history of thousands of years in China and is becoming more popular in western countries due to its remarkable efficacy and few side effects (77). Acupuncture is a nonpharmacological intervention, which has the advantage of not being affected by herb-drug interaction and has been proven to be effective on postsurgical gastroparesis syndrome in both basic research and clinical practice (78). The mechanism may be related to the activation of sympathetic efferent nerve fibers or vagal nerve fibers, regulating the secretion of gastrointestinal hormones and reducing damage to interstitial cells of Cajal (ICCs) (79).

Postoperative gastroparesis is a complex and heterogeneous disease, and its prognosis varies with the patient's basic health status, operation mode, lesion location, and timeliness and effectiveness of postoperative management. Literature shows that most patients with postoperative gastroparesis gradually relieved their symptoms within 6 months after operation, but some patients (especially severe or intractable cases) may need long-term treatment support.

The recovery of gastroparesis is directly related to the regenerative ability of gastric neuromuscular function. Gastric plexus injury or vagus nerve dysfunction is one of the potential causes, so early accurate diagnosis and individualized intervention are very important. Mild patients can achieve symptom control through nutritional support and drug therapy, while for refractory cases, emerging technologies such as gastric electrical stimulation (GES) and endoscopic pyloric myotomy (G-POEM) have shown remarkable therapeutic effects. According to a multicenter study, GES plays a significant role in relieving symptoms, improving nutritional status, and improving quality of life, with a success rate of 60%–80%. As a minimally invasive surgical technique, G-POEM can improve the gastric emptying rate by relaxing pyloric muscles, which provides an effective choice for patients with intractable gastroparesis.

In addition, the long-term management of postoperative gastroparesis should also include psychological support and comprehensive evaluation of quality of life. The education of patients and their families also plays an important role in improving treatment compliance. Future research should focus on the key predictors of gastroparesis recovery and how to optimize intervention measures to improve the treatment effect.

3 Mechanisms linking gastric schwannoma surgery and gastroparesis

In this case, the development of refractory post-surgical gastroparesis following gastric schwannoma resection can be attributed to several possible mechanisms related to tumor characteristics and the surgical approach. Gastric schwannomas, while rare, are neurogenic tumors originating from the gastrointestinal neural plexus. The tumor's location near the lesser curvature of the gastric antrum and its significant size (5.5 cm \times 5.1 cm \times 5.0 cm) likely played a pivotal role in postoperative outcomes. Proximity to critical structures such as the vagus nerve and the pylorus increases the risk of disruption to neural and smooth muscle function, which are essential for coordinated gastric motility.

The surgical procedure—a laparoscopic wedge gastrectomy—may have exacerbated these effects. Although minimally invasive techniques aim to preserve surrounding structures, resection in areas with dense neural innervation, such as the gastric antrum, might impair the interstitial cells of Cajal or result in localized inflammation and scarring, further compromising gastric emptying. Additionally, the necessity to manipulate the pyloric region during tumor resection could have led to transient or permanent functional changes. Future studies should investigate whether tumor characteristics (e.g., size and exact location) and specific surgical techniques are significant predictors of post-surgical gastroparesis. This understanding could guide preoperative planning and risk mitigation strategies.

A thorough literature search revealed that reports of gastroparesis directly associated with gastric schwannoma management are exceedingly rare. Surgical management—the primary treatment modality—can lead to complications such as gastroparesis, although this is rarely documented. This suggests that while gastroparesis is not a common sequela of gastric schwannoma surgery, cases like ours highlight the need for awareness of its possibility, particularly in elderly patients or those with larger tumors.

The rarity of such reports underscores the significance of this case. It emphasizes the need for careful perioperative assessment and documentation of functional outcomes following surgical interventions for gastric schwannomas. Including this case in the existing literature provides valuable insights into the potential risks and management strategies for such complications.

4 Clinical experience and enlightenment

4.1 Preoperative evaluation of the potential impact of tumor location on postoperative complications

When gastric schwannoma is located near the vagus nerve and pylorus, it may significantly affect gastric motility. The accurate

location of tumor and its relationship with peripheral nerves and tissues should be comprehensively evaluated by imaging and endoscopy before operation to provide basis for surgical planning.

4.2 Early identification and management of postoperative gastroparesis

The clinical manifestations of gastroparesis include belching, nausea, vomiting, and abdominal distension, which should be closely monitored in the early postoperative period. If necessary, confirm the diagnosis by gastrointestinal radiography and gastroscopy, and take timely intervention measures, including correcting electrolyte disorder, starting nutritional support, and using prokinetic drugs to prevent symptoms from getting worse or complications.

4.3 The key role of multidisciplinary teamwork

The diagnosis, surgical treatment, and postoperative management of gastric schwannoma need the cooperation of surgeons, pathologists, and gastrointestinal motility experts. The combination of accurate preoperative diagnosis, intraoperative technical optimization, and postoperative individualized treatment is helpful to improve the prognosis of patients.

4.4 Pay attention to long-term follow-up to evaluate the risk of postoperative recovery and recurrence

Gastric schwannomas are mostly benign, but there is still a rare possibility of malignancy. Postoperative follow-up cannot only monitor the recovery of gastric motility but also find potential recurrence or complications in time and provide continuous support for patients.

4.5 The importance of literature review

Although postoperative gastroparesis is rare in cases of gastric neurilemmoma, in-depth understanding of previous related cases is helpful to identify susceptible patients and optimize management strategies. The literature review of this case provides valuable reference for the diagnosis and treatment of similar cases in the future.

5 Conclusion

Generally, gastric schwannoma and gastroparesis are two diseases with minimal correlation. Gastric schwannoma is a rare and mostly benign tumor, but with malignant tendency as well. Surgical therapy is the main treatment for gastric schwannoma with symptoms or malignant tendency, including laparoscopic, laparotomic, or endoscopic approaches, although there is still controversy over the different surgical approaches. Although relevant studies have been conducted in many medical centers, there are few reports of postoperative gastroparesis caused by the management of gastric schwannoma, which may be related to the location and size of the tumor, proximity to the vagus nerve, and smooth muscle and pyloric dysfunction. Through the literature reviewed in this case, a profound understanding of gastric schwannoma and postoperative gastroparesis has been achieved.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Danyang People's Hospital ethics review committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

GM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DZ: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Writing – original draft. JL: Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. YD: Data curation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. XG: Data curation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. TF: Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the surgical staff and nursing team who were involved in the patient's care.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Hong X, Wu W, Wang M, Liao Q, Zhao Y. Benign gastric schwannoma: how long should we follow up to monitor the recurrence? A case report and comprehensive review of literature of 137 cases. *Int Surg.* (2015) 100:744–7. doi: 10.9738/INTSURG-D-14-00106.1

2. Zhong Z, Xu Y, Liu J, Zhang C, Xiao Z, Xia Y, et al. Clinicopathological study of gastric schwannoma and review of related literature. *BMC Surg.* (2022) 22:159. doi: 10.1186/s12893-022-01613-z

3. Tang C, Pan Q, Xu Z, Zhou X, Wang Y. Gastric schwannoma with giant ulcer and lymphadenopathy mimicking gastric cancer: a case report. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2020) 20:36. doi: 10.1186/s12876-020-01186-2

4. Pu C, Zhang K. Gastric schwannoma: a case report and literature review. J Int Med Res. (2020) 48:300060520957828. doi: 10.1177/0300060520957828

5. Meegada S, Gilani N, Balandin A, Verma R. Gastric schwannoma. *Clin Case Rep.* (2020) 8:925–6. doi: 10.1002/ccr3.2747

6. Ruiz Pardo J, Sánchez Fuentes PA, García Redondo M, Gras Gómez CM, Vidaña Márquez E, Belda L. Recurrence of gastric schwannoma. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig.* (2023) 115:215–6. doi: 10.17235/reed.2023.9480/2023

7. Tao K, Chang W, Zhao E, Deng R, Gao J, Cai K, et al. Clinicopathologic features of gastric schwannoma: 8-year experience at a single institution in China. *Med (Baltimore).* (2015) 94:e1970. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000001970

8. Li J, Chen JH, Huang WF, Liu M, Hong SK, Zhang JY. Gastric schwannoma: A retrospective analysis of clinical characteristics, treatments, and outcomes. *Asian J Surg.* (2024) 47:407–12. doi: 10.1016/j.asjsur.2023.09.042

9. Gu X, Li Y, Shi G. Identification of gastric schwannoma and non-metastatic gastric stromal tumor by CT: a single-institution retrospective diagnostic test. *Discovery Oncol.* (2023) 14:186. doi: 10.1007/s12672-023-00801-4

 Rana SS, Sharma V, Sharma R, Gunjan D, Dhalaria L, Gupta R, et al. Gastric gastrointestinal stromal tumor mimicking cystic tumor of the pancreas: Diagnosed by endoscopic ultrasound-fine-needle aspiration. *Endosc Ultrasound*. (2015) 4:351–2. doi: 10.4103/2303-9027.170452

11. Lomdo M, Setti K, Oukabli M, Moujahid M, Bounaim A. Gastric schwannoma: a diagnosis that should be known in 2019. *J Surg Case Rep.* (2020) 1:rjz382. doi: 10.1093/ jscr/rjz382

12. Hu BG, Wu FJ, Zhu J, Li XM, Li YM, Feng Y, et al. Gastric schwannoma: A tumor must be included in differential diagnoses of gastric submucosal tumors. *Case Rep Gastrointest Med.* (2017) 2017:9615359. doi: 10.1155/2017/9615359

13. Hu J, Liu X, Ge N, Wang S, Guo J, Wang G, et al. Role of endoscopic ultrasound and endoscopic resection for the treatment of gastric schwannoma. *Med (Baltimore).* (2017) 96:e7175. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000007175

14. Jiang X, Zhao M, Wu J, Ding Y, Wang J. Laparoscopic resection for gastric schwannoma larger than 30 mm with long-term outcomes. *BMC Surg.* (2023) 23:284. doi: 10.1186/s12893-023-02190-5

 Soykan I, Sivri B, Sarosiek I, Kiernan B, McCallum RW. Demography, clinical characteristics, psychological and abuse profiles, treatment, and long-term follow-up of patients with gastroparesis. *Dig Dis Sci.* (1998) 43:2398–404. doi: 10.1023/ a:1026665728213

16. Quigley EM. Other forms of gastroparesis: postsurgical, Parkinson, other neurologic diseases, connective tissue disorders. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* (2015) 44:69–81. doi: 10.1016/j.gtc.2014.11.006

17. Lacy BE, Crowell MD, Mathis C, Bauer D, Heinberg LJ. Gastroparesis: quality of life and health care utilization. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. (2018) 52(1):20–4. doi: 10.1097/MCG.000000000000728

18. Leow TH, Lam S, Sreedharan L, Kumar B. Postsurgical gastroparesis syndrome (PGS) following sleeve resection of a giant (6.1 kg) gastric gastrointestinal stromal tumour (GIST). *BMJ Case Rep.* (2020) 13:e238267. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2020-238267

19. Doshi S, Patel A, Stocker A, Scoggins C, Agrawal L, Abell T. Gastric electrical stimulation is an effective treatment modality for refractory gastroparesis in a postsurgical patient with pancreatic cancer. *Case Rep Gastroenterol.* (2019) 13:430–7. doi: 10.1159/000503275

20. Angeli TR, Cheng LK, Du P, Wang TH, Bernard CE, Vannucchi MG, et al. Loss of interstitial cells of cajal and patterns of gastric dysrhythmia in patients with chronic unexplained nausea and vomiting. *Gastroenterology*. (2015) 149:56–66. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.04.003

21. Camilleri M. Clinical practice. Diabetic gastroparesis. N Engl J Med. (2007) 356:820–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp062614

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

22. Camilleri M, Parkman HP, Shafi MA, Abell TL, Gerson L, American College of Gastroenterology. Clinical guideline: management of gastroparesis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2013) 108:18–37. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2012.373

23. Ahmed SS, El-Hafez HAA, Mohsen M, El-Baiomy AA, Elkhamisy ET, El-Eshmawy MM. Is vitamin B12 deficiency a risk factor for gastroparesis in patients with type 2 diabetes? *Diabetol Metab Syndr.* (2023) 15:33. doi: 10.1186/s13098-023-01005-0

24. Parkman HP, Yates KP, Hasler WL, Nguyan L, Pasricha PJ, Snape WJ, et al. Dietary intake and nutritional deficiencies in patients with diabetic or idiopathic gastroparesis. *Astroenterology*. (2011) 141:486–98. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2011.04.045

25. Liu N, Abell T. Gastroparesis updates on pathogenesis and management. *Gut Liver*. (2017) 11:579-89. doi: 10.5009/gnl16336

26. Usai-Satta P, Bellini M, Morelli O, Geri F, Lai M, Bassotti G. Gastroparesis: New insights into an old disease. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2020) 26:2333–48. doi: 10.3748/ wjg.v26.i19.2333

27. Camilleri M, Chedid V, Ford AC, Haruma K, Horowitz M, Jones KL, et al. Gastroparesis. Nat Rev Dis Primers. (2018) 4:41. doi: 10.1038/s41572-018-0038-z

28. Doley J. Enteral nutrition overview. Nutrients. (2022) 14:2180. doi: 10.3390/ nu14112180

29. de Vasconcellos Santos FA, Torres Júnior LG, Wainstein AJA, Drummond-Lage AP. Jejunostomy or nasojejunal tube after esophagectomy: a review of the literature. *J Thorac Dis.* (2019) 11:S812–8. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.12.62

30. Camilleri M, Sanders KM. Gastroparesis. *Gastroenterology*. (2022) 162:68-87. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.10.028

31. Fontana RJ, Barnett JL. Jejunostomy tube placement in refractory diabetic gastroparesis: a retrospective review. Am J Gastroenterol. (1996) 91:2174-8.

32. Kim BJ, Kuo B. Gastroparesis and functional dyspepsia: A blurring distinction of pathophysiology and treatment. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil.* (2019) 25:27–35. doi: 10.5056/jnm18162

33. Camilleri M, Kuo B, Nguyen L, Vaughn VM, Petrey J, Greer K, et al. ACG clinical guideline: gastroparesis. Am J Gastroenterol. (2022) 117:1197-220. doi: 10.14309/ajg.00000000001874

34. Camilleri M, Atieh J. New developments in prokinetic therapy for gastric motility disorders. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021) 12:711500. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.711500

35. Vijayvargiya P, Camilleri M, Chedid V, Mandawat A, Erwin PJ, Murad MH. Effects of promotility agents on gastric emptying and symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. (2019) 156:1650-60. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.01.249

36. Gumaste V, Baum J. Treatment of gastroparesis: an update. Digestion. (2008) 78:173–9. doi: 10.1159/000185690

37. Larson JM, Tavakkoli A, Drane WE, Toskes PP, Moshiree B. Advantages of azithromycin over erythromycin in improving the gastric emptying half-time in adult patients with gastroparesis. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil.* (2010) 16:407–13. doi: 10.5056/jnm.2010.16.4.407

38. Pan W, Wang Z, Tian F, Yan M, Lu Y. Electroacupuncture combined with mosapride alleviates symptoms in diabetic patients with gastroparesis. *Exp Ther Med.* (2017) 13:1637–43. doi: 10.3892/etm.2017.4139

39. Al-Saffar A, Lennernäs H, Hellström PM. Gastroparesis, metoclopramide, and tardive dyskinesia: Risk revisited. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* (2019) 31:e13617. doi: 10.1111/nmo.13617

40. Silvers D, Kipnes M, Broadstone V, Patterson D, Quigley EM, McCallum R, et al. Domperidone in the management of symptoms of diabetic gastroparesis: efficacy, tolerability, and quality-of-life outcomes in a multicenter controlled trial. DOM-USA-5 Study Group. *Clin Ther.* (1998) 20(3):438–53. doi: 10.1016/S0149-2918 (98)80054-4

41. Patterson D, Abell T, Rothstein R, Koch K, Barnett J. A double-blind multicenter comparison of domperidone and metoclopramide in the treatment of diabetic patients with symptoms of gastroparesis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (1999) 94(5):1230–4. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.1999.00456.x

42. Sugumar A, Singh A, Pasricha PJ. A systematic review of the efficacy of domperidone for the treatment of diabetic gastroparesis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2008) 6:726–33. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2008.02.065

43. Braden B, Enghofer M, Schaub M, Usadel KH, Caspary WF, Lembcke B. Longterm cisapride treatment improves diabetic gastroparesis but not glycaemic control. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. (2002) 16:1341–6. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.2002.01257.x 44. Weber FH, Richards RD, McCallum RW. Erythromycin: a motilin agonist and gastrointestinal prokinetic agent. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (1993) 88:485–90.

45. Erbas T, Varoglu E, Erbas B, Tastekin G, Akalin S. Comparison of metoclopramide and erythromycin in the treatment of diabetic gastroparesis. *Diabetes Care.* (1993) 16:1511-4. doi: 10.2337/diacare.16.11.1511

46. Sanger GJ, Wang Y, Hobson A, Broad J. Motilin: towards a new understanding of the gastrointestinal neuropharmacology and therapeutic use of motilin receptor agonists. *Br J Pharmacol.* (2013) 170:1323–32. doi: 10.1111/bph.12075

47. Athavale A, Athavale T, Roberts DM. Antiemetic drugs: what to prescribe and when. Aust Prescr. (2020) 43:49–56. doi: 10.18773/austprescr.2020.011

48. Tonini M, Cipollina L, Poluzzi E, Crema F, Corazza GR, De Ponti F. Review article: clinical implications of enteric and central D2 receptor blockade by antidopaminergic gastrointestinal prokinetics. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* (2004) 19:379–90. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2004.01867.x

49. Gilmore J, D'Amato S, Griffith N, Schwartzberg L. Recent advances in antiemetics: new formulations of 5HT(3)-receptor antagonists. *Cancer Manag Res.* (2018) 10:1827–57. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S166912

50. Athanasakis E, Chrysos E, Zoras OJ, Tsiaoussis J, Karkavitsas N, Xynos E. Octreotide enhances the accelerating effect of erythromycin on gastric emptying in healthy subjects. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* (2002) 16:1563–70. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2036.2002.01313.x

51. Doggrell SA, Hancox JC. Cardiac safety concerns for ondansetron, an antiemetic commonly used for nausea linked to cancer treatment and following anaesthesia. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* (2013) 12:421–31. doi: 10.1517/14740338.2013.780026

52. Dummy X.

53. Antor MA, Uribe AA, Erminy-Falcon N, Werner JG, Candiotti KA, Pergolizzi JV, et al. The effect of transdermal scopolamine for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting. *Front Pharmacol.* (2014) 5:55. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2014.00055

54. Yamaguchi D, Tsuruoka N, Sakata Y, Shimoda R, Fujimoto K, Iwakiri R. Safety and efficacy of botulinum toxin injection therapy for esophageal achalasia in Japan. J Clin Biochem Nutr. (2015) 57:239–43. doi: 10.3164/jcbn.15-47

55. Sarvepalli D, Rashid MU, Ullah W, Zafar Y, Khan AH. Postsurgical refractory gastroparesis: response to botulinum toxin therapy. *Cureus*. (2020) 12:e6596. doi: 10.7759/cureus.6596

56. Chedid V, Brandler J, Arndt K, Vijayvargiya P, Wang XJ, Burton D, et al. Randomised study: effects of the 5-HT(4) receptor agonist felcisetrag vs placebo on gut transit in patients with gastroparesis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* (2021) 53:1010–20. doi: 10.1111/apt.16304

57. Carlin JL, Lieberman VR, Dahal A, Keefe MS, Xiao C, Birznieks G, et al. Efficacy and safety of tradipitant in patients with diabetic and idiopathic gastroparesis in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Gastroenterology*. (2021) 160:76–87. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.07.029

58. Patel A, Arora GS, Roknsharifi M, Javed H, Kaur P. Relamorelin in gastroparesis and diabetic gastroparesis: A meta-analysis on its efficacy and safety. *Cureus*. (2023) 15: e48303. doi: 10.7759/cureus.48303

59. Kreckler L, Osinski M, Williams S, Whiting R. Non-clinical safety pharmacology evaluations of trazpiroben (TAK-906), a novel dopamine D(2)/D(3) selective receptor antagonist for the management of gastroparesis. *J Exp Pharmacol.* (2022) 14:43–57. doi: 10.2147/JEP.S332715

60. Petrov RV, Bakhos CT, Abbas AE, Malik Z, Parkman HP. Endoscopic and surgical treatments for gastroparesis: what to do and whom to treat? *Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* (2020) 49:539–56. doi: 10.1016/j.gtc.2020.04.008

61. Verga MC, Mazza S, Azzolini F, Cereatti F, Conti CB, Drago A, et al. Gastric peroral endoscopic myotomy: Indications, technique, results and comparison with surgical approach. *World J Gastrointest Surg.* (2022) 14:12–23. doi: 10.4240/wjgs.v14.i1.12

62. Jones MP, Maganti K. A systematic review of surgical therapy for gastroparesis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2003) 98:2122-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2003.07721.x 63. Masclee GMC, Keszthelyi D, Conchillo JM, Kruimel JW, Bouvy ND, Masclee AAM. Systematic review on sleeve gastrectomy or Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery for refractory gastroparesis. *Surg Obes Relat Dis.* (2023) 19:253–64. doi: 10.1016/j.soard.2022.09.009

64. Khashab MA, Stein E, Clarke JO, Saxena P, Kumbhari V, Chander Roland B, et al. Gastric peroral endoscopic myotomy for refractory gastroparesis: first human endoscopic pyloromyotomy (with video). *Gastrointest Endosc.* (2013) 78:764–8. doi: 10.1016/j.gie.2013.07.019

65. Martinek J, Hustak R, Mares J, Vackova Z, Spicak J, Kieslichova E, et al. Endoscopic pyloromyotomy for the treatment of severe and refractory gastroparesis: a pilot, randomised, sham-controlled trial. *Gut.* (2022) 71:2170–8. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2022-326904

66. Soliman H, Gourcerol G. Targeting the pylorus in gastroparesis: From physiology to endoscopic pyloromyotomy. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* (2023) 35: e14529. doi: 10.1111/nmo.14529

67. Clothier JS, Leeds SG, Ebrahim A, Ward MA. Gastroparesis managed with peroral endoscopic pyloromyotomy. *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent)*. (2019) 33:49–50. doi: 10.1080/08998280.2019.1656007

68. Familoni BO, Abell TL, Voeller G, Salem A, Gaber O. Electrical stimulation at a frequency higher than basal rate in human stomach. *Dig Dis Sci.* (1997) 42:885–91. doi: 10.1023/a:1018852011857

69. Familoni BO, Abell TL, Nemoto D, Voeller G, Johnson B. Efficacy of electrical stimulation at frequencies higher than basal rate in canine stomach. *Dig Dis Sci.* (1997) 42:892–7. doi: 10.1023/a:1018804128695

70. Abell TL, Cutsem EV, Abrahamsson H, Huizinga JD, Konturek JW, Galmiche JP, et al. Gastric electrical stimulation in intractable symptomatic gastroparesis. *Digestion.* (2002) 66:204–12. doi: 10.1159/000068359

71. Oubre B, Luo J, Juburi A, Voeller G, Familoni B, Abell T. Pilot study on gastric electrical stimulation on surgery-associated gastroparesis: long-term outcome. *South Med J.* (2005) 98:693–7. doi: 10.1097/01.smj.0000168660.77709.4d

72. McCallum R, Lin ZY, Wetzel P, Sarosiek I, Forster J. Clinical response to gastric electrical stimulation in patients with postsurgical gastroparesis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2005) 3:49–54. doi: 10.1016/s1542-3565(04)00605-6

73. Sarosiek I, Davis B, Eichler E, McCallum RW. Surgical approaches to treatment of gastroparesis: gastric electrical stimulation, pyloroplasty, total gastrectomy and enteral feeding tubes. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* (2015) 44(1):151–67. doi: 10.1016/j.gtc.2014.11.012

74. Laine M, Sirén J, Koskenpato J, Punkkinen J, Rantanen T, Typpö I, et al. Outcomes of high-frequency gastric electric stimulation for the treatment of severe, medically refractory gastroparesis in Finland. *Scand J Surg.* (2018) 107:124–9. doi: 10.1177/1457496917748222

75. Saleem S, Aziz M, Khan AA, Williams MJ, Mathur P, Tansel A, et al. Gastric electrical stimulation for the treatment of gastroparesis or gastroparesis-like symptoms: A systemic review and meta-analysis. *Neuromodulation*. (2024) 27:221–8. doi: 10.1016/j.neurom.2022.10.048

76. McCallum RW, Dusing RW, Sarosiek I, Cocjin J, Forster J, Lin Z. Mechanisms of high-frequency electrical stimulation of the stomach in gastroparetic patients. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* (2006) 2006:5400–3. doi: 10.1109/IEMBS.2006. 260115

77. Zhou Z, Chen B, Chen S, Lin M, Chen Y, Jin S, et al. Applications of network pharmacology in traditional chinese medicine research. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* (2020) 2020:1646905. doi: 10.1155/2020/1646905

78. Xuefen W, Ping L, Li L, Xiaoli C, Yue Z. A clinical randomized controlled trial of acupuncture treatment of gastroparesis using different acupoints. *Pain Res Manage*. (2020) 2020:8751958. doi: 10.1155/2020/8751958

79. Li W, Zhang N, Xiao M, Liu L, Yao L. Acupuncture for postoperative gastroparesis syndrome: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med* (*Baltimore*). (2022) 101:e32468. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000032468