Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Massimiliano Bonifacio, University of Verona, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Francesca Maria Quaglia, Integrated University Hospital Verona, Italy Anirban Roychowdhury, Virginia Commonwealth University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Panagiotis Diamantopoulos pandiamantopoulos@gmail.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 25 September 2024 ACCEPTED 30 December 2024 PUBLISHED 21 January 2025

CITATION

Markouli M, Pagoni MN and Diamantopoulos P (2025) BCL-2 inhibitors in hematological malignancies: biomarkers that predict response and management strategies. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1501950. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1501950

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Markouli, Pagoni and Diamantopoulos. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

BCL-2 inhibitors in hematological malignancies: biomarkers that predict response and management strategies

Mariam Markouli^{1†}, Maria N. Pagoni^{2†} and Panagiotis Diamantopoulos^{3*}

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Boston Medical Center, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, United States, ²Department of Hematology-Lymphomas and BMT Unit, Evangelismos Hospital, Athens, Greece, ³First Department of Internal Medicine, Laikon General Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

Apoptosis is an essential characteristic of cancer and its dysregular promotes tumor growth, clonal evolution, and treatment resistance. B-cell lymphoma-2 (BCL-2) protein family members are key to the intrinsic, mitochondrial apoptotic pathway. The inhibition of the BCL-2 family pro-survival proteins, which are frequently overexpressed in B-cell malignancies and pose a fundamental carcinogenic mechanism has been proposed as a promising therapeutic option, with venetoclax (ABT-199) being the first FDA-approved BCL-2 inhibitor. Unfortunately, although BCL-2 inhibition has shown remarkable results in a range of B-cell lymphoid cancers as well as acute myeloid leukemia (AML), the development of resistance significantly reduces response rates in specific tumor subtypes. In this article, we explain the role of BCL-2 family proteins in apoptosis and their mechanism of action that justifies their inhibition as a potential treatment target in B-cell malignancies, including chronic lymphocytic leukemia, multiple myeloma, B-cell lymphomas, but also AML. We further analyze the tumor characteristics that result in the development of intrinsic or inherited resistance to BCL-2 inhibitors. Finally, we focus on the biomarkers that can be used to predict responses to treatment in the name of personalized medicine, with the goal of exploring alternative strategies to overcome resistance.

KEYWORDS

Bcl-2 inhibitors, venetoclax, apoptosis, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, acute myeloid leukemia, DLBCL, BAX/BAK

1 Introduction

Hematological malignancies are characterized by aberrant and persistent cellular proliferation in the bone marrow, lymph nodes, or blood. Clinical outcomes for blood cancers have significantly improved with the introduction of new targeted therapies such as, monoclonal antibodies, small molecule inhibitors, antibody-drug conjugates, bispecific T cell engagers, and, lastly, chimeric antigen receptor T (CAR-T) cells (1). However, relapsed or resistant disease is unavoidable with periods of remission getting increasingly shorter (2). For relapsed/ refractory patients, novel treatment regimens targeting crucial pathways deleterious to tumor cells have started to emerge (3).

These include apoptotic pathways which are often dysregulated in cancer. In more details, malignant cells upregulate anti-apoptotic proteins in order to withstand the various genetic insults and proapoptotic changes. As a result, anti-apoptotic proteins, including those of the BCL-2 family (BCL-2, BCL-XL, BIM or Mcl-1), represent an attractive target for therapy (2). The BCL-2 protein is the direct target of Venetoclax (VTC) (ABT-199), a selective BCL-2 inhibitor that alters the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway and causes tumor cell death (4, 5). It has been proven effective in treating a range of hematological cancers (2). It is the first and only FDAapproved BCL-2 inhibitor to treat chronic lymphoytic leukemia (CLL) and some types of acute myeloid leukemia (AML). However, given the recent expansion in VTC applications, cases of VTC resistance have emerged, posing a major problem in clinical treatment.

In this article we describe the mechanisms of resistance to BCL-2 and focus on biomarkers that can be used to predict treatment responses. We also briefly discuss the treatment strategies that can be used to overcome this problem.

2 The BCL-2 regulated apoptotic pathway

The well-organized, genetically encoded mechanism known as apoptosis eliminates both damaged and no longer needed cells from the body (6). Unlike necrotic cell death, which is frequently uncontrolled and releases cellular debris that can cause tissue inflammation, apoptosis allows cells to be destroyed with little harm to neighboring cells (7). An essential characteristic of cancer is resistance to apoptosis, which promotes the growth of tumors, the formation of clonal cells, and treatment resistance (8, 9).

The BCL-2-regulated apoptotic route, which is sometimes referred to as the "intrinsic," "stress," or "mitochondrial" pathway, is tightly regulated by the interactions among the BCL-2 protein family members (10–14). The pro-survival BCL-2-like proteins (BCL-2, BCL-XL, BCL-W, MCL-1, A1/BFL-1), the multi-BH domain pro-apoptotic BAX/BAK proteins, and the pro-apoptotic BH3-only proteins (BIM, PUMA, BID, BAD, BIK, BMF, NOXA, HRK) make up this family of structurally related proteins (7).

By inhibiting the activation of BAX and BAK, the BCL-2-like pro-survival proteins protect the integrity of the mitochondrial outer membrane and promote cell survival in healthy cells. In response to several cytotoxic stimuli, such as developmental signals, chemotherapeutic drugs, and genotoxic stress (15), the BH3-only proteins are either transcriptionally and/or post-transcriptionally activated to cause apoptosis by freeing BAX/BAK from the BCL-2like protein' inhibition or, in the case of some BH3-only proteins (such as BIM, tBID, and PUMA), by directly binding to BAX/BAK. When BAX/BAK are activated, they result in mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP), which releases apoptogenic molecules such as cytochrome c and SMAC/DIABLO into the cytoplasm. This activates the caspase cascade, which ultimately leads to cellular destruction (7) (Figure 1).

Cancer cells frequently overexpress antiapoptotic proteins, like BCL-2, BCL-XL, and MCL-1 which cause them to sequester large amounts of proapoptotic proteins in order to survive. When enough proapoptotic proteins are released, these cells are ready to undergo apoptosis; this condition is known as being "primed for death" (16). Numerous antagonists of anti-apoptotic proteins and particularly BCL-2 have therefore been produced and are being studied for the treatment of hematological neoplasms.

2.1 Therapeutic targeting of BCL-2

Apoptosis dysregulation in B-cell malignancies can be caused by overexpression of the BCL-2 protein. Follicular lymphoma (FL), CLL, one-third of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) and Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia (WM) exhibit aberrant BCL-2 expression. BCL-2 may trap some proapoptotic BCL-2 homology 3 (BH3)-only proteins (e.g., BIM, BID) to stop pore-forming proteins (BAX and BAK) from oligomerizing and leading to MOMP (mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization) (15, 17). Since BCL-2 overexpression is a common finding in leukemias and lymphomas and because apoptosis blockage is a fundamental carcinogenic mechanism in lymphoid malignancies (7, 12)BCL-2 is considered a key therapeutic target.

Venetoclax (VCT/ABT-199) is the first highly selective oral BCL-2 antagonist licensed by the FDA for the treatment of relapsed/refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) with 17p deletion (18) and for patients age 75 and older with newly diagnosed AML or who have complications that made intensive induction chemotherapy ineligible. Venetoclax is a BH3 mimetic (9). It has also been used with azacytidine as a chemo-free bridge to transplantation (19).

In susceptible cells, it specifically targets the antiapoptotic BCL-2 protein and displaces the proapoptotic BIM from BCL-2 to activate effector proteins BAX or BAK, which then trigger apoptosis by the release of cytochrome c (9). The antiapoptotic MCL-1 and BCL-xL proteins provide resistance by sequestering BIM that has been shifted from BCL-2, and VTC does not target these proteins.

3 Development of resistance to BCL-2 inhibitors

While BCL-2 inhibitors have shown remarkable clinical results in a range of B-cell lymphoid malignancies, response rates to some subtypes of the disease are noticeably higher than those to others (e.g., CLL vs DLBCL) due to the level of initial BCL-2 dependence (intrinsic/innate or primary resistance) and/or development of



resistance (acquired or extrinsic resistance) (15). In more detail, certain cell lines show higher BCL-2 expression and also BCL-2 expression-dependent sensitivity to BCL-2 inhibitors as explained below. Development of resistance on the other hand is regulated by several intracellular and microenvironmental variables, leading to heterogeneity in response rates (19).

3.1 Factors contributing to the development of primary resistance to BCL-2 inhibitors

3.1.1 BCL-2 expression levels

One of the most important factors that may contribute to a tumor's susceptibility to BCL-2 inhibition is the level of BCL-2 expression that is inherent to the tissue and its survival. Different tumor subtypes have different constitutional BCL-2 expression patterns, which can be caused by a number of different factors (20). The majority of CLL cells overexpress BCL-2 as a result of the BCL-2 gene hypomethylation, as well as loss of miR-15 and miR-16 at 13q14 and STAT3 transcription factor activation (21-23). Constitutive expression of BCL-2 (24) is caused by chromosomal translocation t(14;18)(q32;q21) in 80-90% of FL and 1/3 of DLBCL patients. Additionally, some somatic mutations raise BCL-2's affinity for proapoptotic BH3-only proteins (25). In addition to BCL-2 translocation and amplification, double-hit lymphomas, which are a rare and aggressive subtype of DLBCL are characterized by MYC oncogene translocation with either the rearrangement of BCL2 or BCL6. The enhanced expression of BCL-2 is also attributed to other processes, including phosphorylation, promoter hypermutation, and hypomethylation (26). As shown in the clinical experience treating FL, high levels of BCL-2 secondary to the translocation between chromosomes 14 and 18 were associated with poor responses to VTC monotherapy (15).

3.1.2 Expression of other BCL-2 family proteins

However, upregulation of BCL-2 alone does not universally correlate with marked sensitivity to BCL inhibitor therapy (20). Therefore, deregulation of additional BCL-2 family proteins, such as BCL-W probably also play a role in the response to BCL-2 inhibiting therapies (20). Chromosome losses and somatic mutations impacting ATM, TP53, and NOTCH1 are examples of tumor heterogeneity that can impact therapeutic response rates and, primarily, the length of response (27, 28). Overexpression of BCL-2 family proteins is associated with certain baseline genomic abnormalities. It has been observed that CLL with trisomy 12 has decreased IRF4 transcriptional levels, increased NOTCH2 expression, and subsequently enhanced MCL1 expression (29). Similarly, abnormalities in the SWI-SNF chromatin remodeling complex are linked to the overexpression of BCL-XL in mantle cell lymphoma (30).

3.1.3 Tumor microenvironment

In addition, intrinsic resistance in lymph nodes, the spleen, and other lymphoid tissue is probably a result of interactions between CLL cells and the surrounding microenvironment (31). Complementary microenvironmental niches can therefore serve to provide resistant subclones of heterogeneous CLL cells in lymphoid tissue a survival advantage (32). For example, microenvironmental stimulation, including T-cell engagement, probably causes an increase in baseline antiapoptotic BCL-2 family members such MCL1 (33, 34) and increased NF- κ B signaling. Even when paired with anti-CD20, cells that have higher baseline levels of BCL-XL as a result of CD40 T-cell signaling are less susceptible to VTC (35).

3.2 Factors contributing to the development of acquired resistance to BCL-2 inhibitors

3.2.1 Gene mutations and chromosomal abnormalities

BCL-2 mutations constitute one of the most common mechanisms of acquired resistance. One of the *BCL-2* genetic variants that is associated with resistance to VTC is Gly101Val, which predicts the failure of VTC to successfully remove the proapoptotic proteins from BCL-2 (36). When this mutation is acquired, CLL cell lines become resistant to the drug's killing effects. This gives the mutant clone a selective advantage when it is continuously exposed to VTC (36). Other BCL-2 mutations that are linked to VTC resistance include alterations at the Asp103 codon resulting in amino acid substitutions to glutamic acid, valine, and tyrosine (37, 38). A number of other point mutations have been reported, including Arg107_Arg110dup, Leu119Val41, Arg129Leu40, and Val156Asp40, Phe104Leu and Phe104Ser, *BCL-2* Phe101Cys and Phe101Leu (38, 39). These mutations impact the sequence that separates the α 2 and α 3 helices. (Figure 2).

Along the Gly101Val variation, BCL-XL over-expression has been linked to a mutually exclusive mechanism of VTC resistance (38). A second clone exhibiting high BCL-XL, likely accounts for secondary VTC-resistance in the non-Gly101Val mutated cells.

After exhibiting VTC resistance, several CLL patient samples showed amplification of chromosome 1q [amp(1q23)], which causes dysregulations in energy metabolism and may be associated with VTC resistance. This region involves both *MCL1* and *PRKAB2*, which codes for an AMPK regulatory component, part of the AMPK pathway. These changes cause reprogramming of the mitochondria outer membrane biology and result in BCL-2 protein expression alterations (40).

3.2.2 Post-transcriptional modifications

Further transcriptional and post-transcriptional modifications, such as phosphorylation have been identified as causing resistance to VTC by impacting the function of various BCL-2 family proteins. For example, in order for BAD, which inhibits BCL-2, BCL-XL, and BCL-w, to carry out its pro-apoptotic activities, it must be dephosphorylated at two distinct serine residues by simultaneous inhibition of mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) signaling (41). Another example is the stable monophosphorylation of MCL-1 after BCL-2 inhibition, whereas p53 activation induces dual-phosphorylation of MCL-1 by modulating MEK/ERK signaling, thereby targeting it to proteasomal degradation, overcoming resistance to VTC (42).

4 Biomarkers that can predict response to BCL-2 inhibitors

BCL-2 protein expression by itself is not enough to predict responses to VTC therapy; The development of genomic profiling methods and selective molecular targeted medicines has thankfully led to a significant growth in the utilization of biomarkers, in order to predict native/emergent resistance and treatment outcomes (35, 43) (Table 1).

4.1 Measurement of BCL-2 family protein levels and modifications

The measurement of BCL-2 family protein levels can be utilized to predict responses to BCL-2 inhibitors. Expression of these proteins has been used in CLL lines *in vitro*, demonstrating that mostly BCL-XL and secondarily Mcl-1, and Bfl-1 overexpression causes complete resistance to single-agent treatment with VTC (35, 51). Moreover, measurement of elevated baseline MCL1 levels in CLL patients treated with VTC is related to worse progression-free survival (20). Elevated BFL-1 and BCL-W expression also appears to promote resistance to all combinations of BCL-2, BCL-XL and MCL-1 inhibitors, whereas BCL-2 levels alone can be measured to



TABLE 1 Biomarkers that can predict response to BCL-2 inhibitors.

Strategy	Biomarker	Reference
BCL-2 Mutations of the Venetoclax Binding Site	-BCL-2p.Gly101Val, -Phe104Cys/Phe104Leu -Ala113Gly -Arg129Leu -Arg107_Arg110dup -Asp103Tyr/Glu/Val -Val156Asp -Asp103Val(mutant D103Y)	(37, 44, 45)
Other BCL-2 family gene mutations	-MCL1 gene amplifications on chromosome 1q21 -del(13q14)	(40, 46)
Detection of other gene mutations and cytogenic alterations	-TP53 loss or mutations -loss of CDKN2A/B, BTG1, SF3B1 and NOTCH1 mutations -IGHV unmutated status -del(11q), del (13q), del (17p) - trisomy 12 with NOTCH1, TP53, and FBXW7 gene alterations	(28, 47–49)
Observing the microenvironment	-detection of higher volumes of residual nodal disease after venetoclax treatment -detection of bulky lymphadenopathy prior to therapy	(50)

predict sensitivity to monotherapy with these drugs (40, 52, 53). Another study demonstrated that elevated MCL-1 and mainly BCL-2, BCL-2L1, and BCL-2L2 levels result in VTC resistance, thus having been proposed as useful biomarkers.

The development of kinase-mediated survival signals, including the phosphorylation of BCL-2, which causes a structural change in the VTC-binding groove has also been reported as an anti-apoptotic mechanism that is used by CLL cells to develop resistance (54).

4.2 Detection of BCL-2 mutations

4.2.1 BCL-2 mutations of the VTC binding site

The detection of BCL-2 mutations has been shown to be critical in determining VTC resistance. These include BCL-2 mutations that adversely alter the binding affinity to VTC (36, 55). The BCL-2p.Gly101Val mutation has been identified in patients that were initially responsive and then developed VTC resistance after receiving prolonged treatment from 19 to 42 months duration (36, 55). Of note, this mutation was not present before initiation of therapy. It affects a highly conserved residue that impacts the binding groove of VTC and reduces its affinity by 180-fold. Mutations in residue 104 also frequently appear in VTC-resistant leukemia and lymphoma lines where leucine or cysteine replaces phenylalanine (Phe104Cys/ Phe104Leu), thereby impacting the BH3-binding groove (44). Other identifiable mutations include Ala113Gly, Arg129Leu, Arg107_Arg110dup, Asp103Tyr/Glu/Val, Val156Asp, all of which were not present before VTC therapy (37, 38, 45). On the other hand, the Asp103Val mutation (mutant D103Y) has been detected in patients refractory to VTC therapy (36).

4.2.2 Other BCL-2 family gene mutations

As mentioned above, given VTC is very selective for BCL-2, one of the most important factors that may contribute to a tumor's susceptibility to BCL-2 inhibition is the level of BCL2 expression that is inherent to the tissue and its survival. It's possible that more BCL-2 family proteins, such as BCL-2, BCL-XL, BIM or Mcl-1 are overexpressed at rest and have higher affinity to pro-apoptotic BH3 proteins (20). Therefore, *MCL1* gene amplifications on chromosome 1q21.2 and chromosome 1q amplifications have been proposed to serve as biomarkers of response (40, 56, 57). Other mutations that influence BCL-2 regulators can also be exploited. For example, del(13q14) causing the loss of miR-15 and -16, which are negative posttranscriptional regulators of BCL-2 has been used in CLL to predict VTC responses (40).

4.2.3 Detection of other gene mutations and cytogenic alterations

Mutations affecting non-BCL-2 family genes have also been proposed as potential biomarkers to identify shorter responses to VTC. These include *TP53* loss or mutations, loss of *CDKN2A/B*, *BTG1*, *SF3B1* and *NOTCH1* mutations, as well as *IGHV* unmutated status (58). There has been benefit in detecting these mutations early on during therapy in patients with relapsed/refractory CLL that develop progression (28, 58).

In a similar context, detection of chromosome 11q deletion, del (11q), that is linked to progressive CLL (47) helps predict that VTC monotherapy will not result in improvement or complete response (CR) (58). Similarly, trisomy 12 that allows for *NOTCH1*, *TP53*, and *FBXW7* gene alterations equals with minimal likelihood in achieving CR with VTC monotherapy in patients with relapsed or refractory CLL (47, 58). Chromosome 17p deletion, del(17p) is also worth mentioning in CLL patients given it has been considered the highest risk category, with the shortest OS and PFS (47). On the other hand, chromosome 13q deletion, del(13q) detection has been associated with an improved CR in patients receiving VTC monotherapy (58).

4.3 Observing the microenvironment

As mentioned above, microenvironmental stimulation, which includes T-cell engagement can have a great impact in the response to bcl-2 inhibitors. The clinical observation of nodal disease may thus help determine resistance. In more detail, patients with higher volumes of residual nodal disease after VTC therapy appear to have a shorter progression-free survival. Comparably, prior to therapy, bulky lymphadenopathy has been reliably linked to lower rates of full response and shorter response times to VTC (58).

5 Therapeutic strategies to overcome resistance

The abovementioned mechanisms of resistance can help guide the selection of therapeutic strategies that will help overcome resistance to BCL-2 inhibitors (Table 2).

5.1 MCL-1 inhibition

Given one of the causes of innate resistance to VTC is the overexpression of MCL1, its inhibition may make cells more susceptible to BCL-2 inhibitors (59, 60). MCL1 targeting can be achieved by direct binding and inactivation or disruption of MCL-1 stability (59).

The first extremely potent and selective inhibitor found to bind to MCL-1's BH3-binding groove was S63845. At effective concentrations, S63845 acts as a lone agent in multiple myeloma (MM) by preventing BAK and BAX from binding to MCL-1 while protecting normal tissues (61). By simultaneously inhibiting MCL-1 and BCL-2103, S63845 and VTC synergized *in vivo* in relapsed MCL and produced synthetic lethality. Furthermore, two other newly identified selective MCL-1 inhibitors, AZD5991104 and AMG176105, work in concert with VTC to cause MM cells to undergo apoptosis (62, 63). A phase Ib study is currently investigating the use of the BCL-2 inhibitor VOB560 and the MCL1 inhibitor MIK665 in hematological malignancies, to examine their safety and dosage (NCT04702425).

Furthermore, the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib has been combined with VTC, since it helps stabilize the MCL1-neutralizing protein NOXA and thereby indirectly inhibits MCL1 (64). Moreover, dexamethasone has the ability to upregulate BIM expression, which increases MM cells' reliance on BCL-2 and, thus, their susceptibility to VTC (65). Phase I clinical trials have therefore tested the combination of VTC and dexamethasone, as well as VTC with bortezomib and dexamethasone with promising results (66, 67)., indicating that this three-drug combination regimen had good efficacy and mild adverse reactions. MCL1 expression can also be decreased by CDK9 inhibitors, such as flavopiridol and seliciclib (68, 69). When it comes to AML, VTC has been used in conjunction with azacitidine or decitabine, or lowdose cytarabine (70) with synergistic outcomes being attributed to the fact that azacytidine may cause MCL-1 downregulation (59, 71).

Finally, targeting pathways such as RAF/MEK/ERK (MAPK), PI3K/AKT/mTOR and CDK 2/Cyclin E that control MCL-1 expression on many different levels have recently been studied. It has been demonstrated that ERK1/2 increases the expression of the MCL-1 gene and stabilizes protein expression (72). Therefore, MAPK-mediated MCL-1 expression inhibition has been proposed as a potential target to make cancerous cells more susceptible to VTC (59). Drugs that have been used in combination with VTC include cobimetinib (MEK inhibitor) Similarly, inhibiting the PI3K/ AKT/mTOR pathway, which lowers MCL-1 levels (73), is an effective way to subtly overcome VTC resistance. In correlation with AKT-mediated BAX activation, cotreatment with the PI3K\delta inhibitor idelalisib and VTC boosted apoptosis and appears to decrease MCL-1 expression (74) VTC and the second-generation PI3K inhibitors copanlisib (75, 76) and duvelisib (77) may also work synergistically in malignant hematopoietic cells by downregulating MCL-1. Lastly, CDK2/Cyclin E-mediated MCL-1 phosphorylation inhibition by low dinaciclib concentrations sensitized ABT-737-resistant DLBCL cells to apoptosis by encouraging the release of sequestered BIM from MCL-1. Surprisingly, a strong synergistic activation of apoptosis has been TABLE 2 Medications that can be combined with BCL-2 inhibitors to overcome resistance.

Medication	Type of malignancy	Study phase	Reference	
MCL-1 inhibition				
S63845				
AZD5991104	RR AML or MDS	I/II	NCT03466294	
AMG176105				
MIK665	RR NHL, RR MM, RR AML	Ι	NCT04702425	
Bortezomib	RR MM	III	NCT02755597	
Dexamethasone	RR MM	III, II	NCT02755597, NCT02899052	
Azacytidine	Elderly patients, previously untreated AML	II	NCT03466294	
Cobimetinib	Elderly patients, RR AML	Ι	NCT02670044	
Idelalisib				
Copanlisib	RR DLCBL	I/II	NCT04572763	
Duvelisib	RR CLL or SLL or RS	I/II	NCT03534323	
Dinaciclib	RR AML	Ι	NCT03484520	
Epigenetic drugs				
Panobinostat				
NaB				
CUDC-907	RR B- cell lymphoma	I/II	NCT01742988	
Bisantrene				
Targeting tumor cell metabolism				
Ritonavir				
Ionidamine				
2-DG				
Next generation BCL-2 inhibitors				
Sonrotoclax	CLL	III	NCT06073821	
Navitoclax	RR CLL	I/II	NCT00481091	
Obatoclax	RR NHL	I/II	NCT01238146	
Tyrosine-kinase inhibitors				
Ibrutinib	CLL	II	NCT02756897	

RR, relapsed/refractory; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; NHL, Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; MM, multiple myeloma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma; RS, Richter's syndrome.

shown when dinaciclib and VTC were combined in CLL and DLBCL cells (78). Alvociclib, a CDK9 inhibitor has also been studied in a phase Ib study together with VTC in patients with relapsed/refractory AML (NCT03441555).

5.2 Epigenetic drug combinations

Given the pervasive epigenetic dysregulations in hematological malignancies including DNA methylation, histone modifications, and chromatin remodeling, the use of epigenetic drug combinations also appears to be a promising approach. Thus, VTC, a pan-HDAC inhibitor called panobinostat and a MEK inhibitor have been proven to work together to efficiently cause apoptosis in MM cells. Mechanistically, MEK inhibition raises BIM levels, whereas panobinostat dissociates BIM/MCL-1 and BIM/BCL-xL complexes in MM cells by acting as a MCL-1 and BCL-xL inhibitor. Exposure to VTC then enhances BIM release from BCL-2, which in turn raises the expression of BIM/BAX and BIM/BAK complexes, to synergistically induce apoptosis (59). When it comes to AML, VTC's effects are enhanced and resistance can be overcome when combined with sodium butvrate (NaB) administration, a short chain fatty acid derived from metabolism of dietary fibers. It appears that NaB may function as a HDAC inhibitor and is capable of upregulating BAX and BAK by cancelling the inhibitory effects of HDAC that is found on Bax and Bak genes. Similarly, fimepinostat (CUDC-907) is a dual inhibitor of HDAC and PI3K that can be used in combination with VTC in AML, whereas bisantrene is a topoisomerase-II inhibitor that can act as a HDAC inhibitor when combined with VTC and panobinostat (79, 80).

5.3 Targeting tumor cell metabolism

Malignant cells are dependent on glutamine and glucose, and different degrees of apoptosis are linked to the removal of either of those nutrients (81). The GLUT4 inhibitor ritonavir inhibits glucose metabolism, which prevents tumor cells from proliferating and causes death by lowering MCL-1 levels. This suggests that nutritional deprivation might restructure cellular reliance on BCL-2 family proteins and make them more susceptible to VTC (82). Furthermore, hexokinase inhibitors such lonidamine and 2deoxyglucose (2-DG) increase ABT-263/737-induced apoptosis by indirectly lowering MCL-1 levels through the suppression of glycolysis and the depletion of ATP levels, which activates AMPK and inhibits MCL-1 (83, 84). Furthermore, it interferes with BAK's interaction with MCL-1, which makes it easier for ABT-263/737 to free BAK from the MCL-1/BCL-xL/BAK121. Focusing on glutamine metabolism in relapsed/refractory MM patients sensitized MM cells to VTC by increasing expression of BIM and its binding to BCL-2 (85).

5.4 Next-generation BCL-2 inhibitors

As mentioned above, patients who have undergone VTC treatment and relapse may exhibit mutations that can impart resistance by interfering with chemical binding, such as G101V. Thus, there is a pressing need to create next-generation BCL2 inhibitors to overcome medication resistance. Sonrotoclax, a powerful and specific BCL2 inhibitor for example, is currently being studied and appears to exhibit more significant reduction of tumor development and higher cytotoxic action in different hematologic cancer cells compared to VTC (86). Navitoclax is another agent that demonstrates significant affinity for BCL-2 anti-apoptotic proteins, such as BCL-2, BCL-W, and BCL-XL (87). It has been tested in phase I and phase II clinical trials thus far and appears to effectively slow the growth of malignancies including acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) and the development of fibrosis in patients with myelofibrosis. It can either be used alone or in conjunction with other therapies where it can also improve the efficacy of other chemotherapeutic drugs (87). It was however associated with the dose limiting toxicity of thrombocytopenia that was BCL-X_L-mediated This is why the BCL-X_I-sparing and BCL-2-selective inhibitor, VTC, was developed (88). However recent preclinical studies are examining the administration of navitoclax as a galacto-conjugation prodrug (Nav-Gal), aiming to increase its tumor selectivity and minimize its toxic effects on platelets (89). Finally, obatoclax mesylate, a pan-BCL-2 protein family antagonist has been studied in phase I trials for leukemia and lymphoma. Although most patients showed hematological improvement with established well-tolerated regimens of obatoclax, fewer objective responses were documented. In addition, published phase II studies in myelofibrosis and lymphoma have not shown strong single-agent efficacy, suggesting that its potential can be achieved either through sensible combination treatments or when its use is directed by molecular predictors of response (90).

5.5 Tyrosine-kinase inhibitors

Malignant B cells proliferate excessively through B-cell receptor (BCR)–dependent signaling, which includes Bruton tyrosinekinases. As mentioned above, kinase-mediated survival signals, including the phosphorylation of BCL-2, have also been reported as an anti-apoptotic mechanism that is used by CLL cells to develop resistance (54).

Detection of these changes may help guide treatment responses, including the addition of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (BTKi) to augment the cellular killing of VTC (54). In fact, the combination of BTKi with VCT has produced outstanding clinical results, with bone marrow undetectable minimal residual disease rates of around 66% in the frontline setting and 36% in relapsed CLL disease (91).

6 Conclusions- future directions

In this review, we discuss the use of BCL-2 inhibitors and their mechanism of action. It becomes evident that BCL-2 inhibitors can be used as a novel treatment option in hematological malignancies, however, their expanded use has led to both innate and acquired drug resistance. This must shift our therapeutic strategies to combination regimens, potentially as the initial treatment strategy to prevent the development of resistance. Biomarkers that predict treatment responses are therefore an absolute necessity and need to be further explored. Future research and clinical trials are required and should continue to focus on identifying biomarkers that could help not only predict outcomes but also tailor therapeutic interventions and get around resistance mechanisms for each individual patient. By shedding more light on the complex interplay of BCL-2 family proteins, tumor cell metabolic reprogramming, or tumor microenvironment, we will be able to optimize BCL-2 inhibitor effectiveness by combining these medications with therapies that upregulate proapoptotic proteins and alter cellular metabolism.

Growing therapeutic options will need to be combined with sophisticated molecular testing, like BH3 profiling. This may allow the measurement of anti-apoptotic protein dependence and personalization of care before treatment is initiated, but also dynamic, individualized treatment adjustments for long-lasting illness remission.

*-Biorender was used to draft Figure 1,1.

Author contributions

MM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MP: Data curation, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft. PD: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Sochacka-ćwikła A, Mączyński M, Regiec A. FDA-approved drugs for hematological Malignancies—The last decade review. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2022) 14:1– 28. doi: 10.3390/CANCERS14010087

2. Kumar SK, Therneau TM, Gertz MA, Lacy MQ, Dispenzieri A, Rajkumar SV, et al. Clinical course of patients with relapsed multiple myeloma. *Mayo Clin Proc.* (2004) 79:867–74. doi: 10.4065/79.7.867

3. Parrondo RD, Paulus A, Ailawadhi S. Updates in the use of BCL-2-family small molecule inhibitors for the treatment of relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma. *Cancers.* (2022) 14:3330. doi: 10.3390/CANCERS14143330

4. Li Q, Cheng L, Shen K, Jin H, Li H, Cheng Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of BcL-2 inhibitor venetoclax in hematological Malignancy: A systematic review and metaanalysis of clinical trials. *Front Pharmacol.* (2019) 10:697/FULL. doi: 10.3389/ FPHAR.2019.00697/FULL

5. Reddy A, Jadav T, Sahu AK, Sengupta P. LC-MS/MS bioanalytical method for quantification of binimetinib and venetoclax, and their pharmacokinetic interaction. *Bioanalysis*. (2022) 14:75–86. doi: 10.4155/BIO-2021-0207

6. Bock FJ, Riley JS. When cell death goes wrong: inflammatory outcomes of failed apoptosis and mitotic cell death. *Cell Death Differ*. (2022) 30:293–303. doi: 10.1038/ s41418-022-01082-0

7. Delbridge ARD, Strasser A. The BCL-2 protein family, BH3-mimetics and cancer therapy. *Cell Death Differ*. (2015) 22:1071-80. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2015.50

8. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell.* (2011) 144:646–74. doi: 10.1016/J.CELL.2011.02.013

9. Perini GF, Ribeiro GN, Pinto Neto JV, Campos LT, Hamerschlak N. BCL-2 as therapeutic target for hematological Malignancies. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2018) 11:1–15. doi: 10.1186/S13045-018-0608-2/TABLES/2

10. Moldoveanu T, Follis AV, Kriwacki RW, Green DR. Many players in BCL-2 family affairs. *Trends Biochem Sci.* (2014) 39:101–11. doi: 10.1016/J.TIBS.2013.12.006

11. Davids MS, Letai A. Targeting the B-cell lymphoma/leukemia 2 family in cancer. J Clin Oncol. (2012) 30:3127–35. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2011.37.0981

12. Lessene G, Czabotar PE, Colman PM. BCL-2 family antagonists for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Drug Discovery. (2008) 7:989-1000. doi: 10.1038/NRD2658

13. Youle RJ, Strasser A. The BCL-2 protein family: opposing activities that mediate cell death. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2008) 9:47–59. doi: 10.1038/NRM2308

14. Strasser A, Cory S, Adams JM. Deciphering the rules of programmed cell death to improve therapy of cancer and other diseases. *EMBO J.* (2011) 30:3667–83. doi: 10.1038/EMBOJ.2011.307

15. Kapoor I, Bodo J, Hill BT, Hsi ED, Almasan A. Targeting BCL-2 in B-cell Malignancies and overcoming therapeutic resistance. *Cell Death Dis.* (2020) 11:1–11. doi: 10.1038/s41419-020-03144-y

16. Leverson JD, Sampath D, Souers AJ, Rosenberg SH, Fairbrother WJ, Amiot M, et al. Found in translation: how preclinical research is guiding the clinical development of the BCL-2-selective inhibitor venetoclax. *Cancer Discovery*. (2017) 7:1376. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-17-0797

17. Green DR, Victor B. The pantheon of the fallen: Why are there so many forms of cell death? *Trends Cell Biol.* (2012) 22:555–6. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2012.08.008

18. Stilgenbauer S, Eichhorst B, Schetelig J, Coutre S, Seymour JF, Munir T, et al. Venetoclax in relapsed or refractory chronic lymphocytic leukaemia with 17p deletion: a multicentre, open-label, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol.* (2016) 17:768–78. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)30019-5

19. Sartor C, Brunetti L, Audisio E, Cignetti A, Zannoni L, Cristiano G, et al. A chemo-free bridge-to-transplant strategy with venetoclax and azacitidine for *NPM1*mutated acute myeloid leukemia in molecular failure. *Blood.* (2022) 140:9034–5. doi: 10.1182/blood-2022-165914

20. Bennett R, Thompson E, Tam C. SOHO state of the art updates and next questions | Mechanisms of resistance to BCL2 inhibitor therapy in chronic lymphocytic

leukemia and potential future therapeutic directions. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk*. (2022) 22:795-804. doi: 10.1016/j.clml.2022.07.013

21. Pekarsky Y, Balatti V, Croce CM. BCL2 and miR-15/16: from gene discovery to treatment. *Cell Death Differ*. (2018) 25:21–6. doi: 10.1038/CDD.2017.159

22. Rozovski U, Wu JY, Harris DM, Liu Z, Li P, Hazan-Halevy I, et al. Stimulation of the B-cell receptor activates the JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway in chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells. *Blood.* (2014) 123:3797–802. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2013-10-534073

23. Calin GA, Ferracin M, Cimmino A, Di Leva G, Shimizu M, Wojcik SE, et al. A MicroRNA signature associated with prognosis and progression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med.* (2005) 353:1793-801. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMOA050995

24. Galteland E, Sivertsen EA, Svendsrud DH, Smedshammer L, Kresse SH, Meza-Zepeda LA, et al. Translocation t(14;18) and gain of chromosome 18/BCL2: effects on BCL2 expression and apoptosis in B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. *Leukemia*. (2005) 19:2313–23. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2403954

25. Correia C, Schneider PA, Dai H, Dogan A, Maurer MJ, Church AK, et al. BCL2 mutations are associated with increased risk of transformation and shortened survival in follicular lymphoma. *Blood.* (2015) 125:658–67. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2014-04-571786

26. Adams CM, Clark-Garvey S, Porcu P, Eischen CM. Targeting the Bcl-2 family in B cell lymphoma. *Front Oncol.* (2019) 9:636/BIBTEX. doi: 10.3389/FONC.2018.00636/BIBTEX

27. Tausch E, Schneider C, Robrecht S, Zhang C, Dolnik A, Bloehdorn J, et al. Prognostic and predictive impact of genetic markers in patients with CLL treated with obinutuzumab and venetoclax. *Blood.* (2020) 135:2402–12. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD.2019004492

28. Anderson MA, Tam C, Lew TE, Juneja S, Juneja M, Westerman D, et al. Clinicopathological features and outcomes of progression of CLL on the BCL2 inhibitor venetoclax. *Blood.* (2017) 129:3362–70. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2017-01-763003

29. Fiorcari S, Maffei R, Atene CG, Mesini N, Maccaferri M, Leonardi G, et al. Notch2 increases the resistance to venetoclax-induced apoptosis in chronic lymphocytic leukemia B cells by inducing mcl-1. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 11:777587/ BIBTEX. doi: 10.3389/FONC.2021.777587/BIBTEX

30. Agarwal R, Chan YC, Tam CS, Hunter T, Vassiliadis D, Teh CE, et al. Dynamic molecular monitoring reveals that SWI–SNF mutations mediate resistance to ibrutinib plus venetoclax in mantle cell lymphoma. *Nat Med.* (2018) 25:119–29. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0243-z

31. Burger JA, Gribben JG. The microenvironment in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) and other B cell Malignancies: insight into disease biology and new targeted therapies. *Semin Cancer Biol.* (2014) 24:71–81. doi: 10.1016/J.SEMCANCER.2013.08.011

32. Gutierrez C, Wu CJ. Clonal dynamics in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood* Adv. (2019) 3:3759–69. doi: 10.1182/BLOODADVANCES.2019000367

33. Thijssen R, Slinger E, Weller K, Geest CR, Beaumont T, Van Oers MHJ, et al. Resistance to ABT-199 induced by microenvironmental signals in chronic lymphocytic leukemia can be counteracted by CD20 antibodies or kinase inhibitors. *Haematologica*. (2015) 100:e302–6. doi: 10.3324/HAEMATOL.2015.124560

34. Jayappa KD, Portell CA, Gordon VL, Capaldo BJ, Bekiranov S, Axelrod MJ, et al. Microenvironmental agonists generate *de novo* phenotypic resistance to combined ibrutinib plus venetoclax in CLL and MCL. *Blood Adv.* (2017) 1:933–46. doi: 10.1182/ BLOODADVANCES.2016004176

 Haselager MV, Kielbassa K, ter Burg J, Bax DJC, Fernandes SM, Borst J, et al. Changes in Bcl-2 members after ibrutinib or venetoclax uncover functional hierarchy in determining resistance to venetoclax in CLL. *Blood.* (2020) 136:2918–26. doi: 10.1182/ BLOOD.2019004326

36. Blombery P, Anderson MA, Gong JN, Thijssen R, Birkinshaw RW, Thompson ER, et al. Acquisition of the recurrent Gly101Val mutation in BCL2 confers resistance to venetoclax in patients with progressive chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Cancer Discovery.* (2019) 9:342–53. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-1119/42809/AM/ ACQUISITION-OF-THE-RECURRENT-GLY101VAL-MUTATION-IN

37. Tausch E, Close W, Dolnik A, Bloehdorn J, Chyla B, Bullinger L, et al. Venetoclax resistance and acquired BCL2 mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Haematologica*. (2019) 104:e434-7. doi: 10.3324/HAEMATOL.2019.222588

38. Blombery P, Thompson ER, Nguyen T, Birkinshaw RW, Gong JN, Chen X, et al. Multiple BCL2 mutations cooccurring with Gly101Val emerge in chronic lymphocytic leukemia progression on venetoclax. *Blood.* (2020) 135:773–7. doi: 10.1182/ BLOOD.2019004205

39. Lucas F, Larkin K, Thomas Gregory C, Orwick S, Doong TJ, Lozanski A, et al. Novel BCL2 mutations in venetoclax-resistant, ibrutinib-resistant CLL patients with BTK/PLCG2 mutations. *Blood.* (2020) 135:2192–5. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD.2019003722

40. Guièze R, Liu VM, Rosebrock D, Jourdain AA, Hernández-Sánchez M, Martinez Zurita A, et al. Mitochondrial reprogramming underlies resistance to BCL-2 inhibition in lymphoid Malignancies. *Cancer Cell.* (2019) 36:369–384.e13. doi: 10.1016/J.CCELL.2019.08.005

41. Bose P, Gandhi V, Konopleva M. Pathways and mechanisms of venetoclax resistance. *Leuk Lymphoma*. (2017) 58:2026. doi: 10.1080/10428194.2017.1283032

42. Nachmias B, Aumann S, Haran A, Schimmer AD. Venetoclax resistance in acute myeloid leukaemia—Clinical and biological insights. *Br J Haematol.* (2024) 204:1146–58. doi: 10.1111/BJH.19314

43. Salah HT, Dinardo CD, Konopleva M, Khoury JD. Potential biomarkers for treatment response to the BCL-2 inhibitor venetoclax: state of the art and future directions. *Cancers (Basel).* (2021) 13:1–9. doi: 10.3390/CANCERS13122974

44. Tahir SK, Smith ML, Hessler P, Rapp LR, Idler KB, Park CH, et al. Potential mechanisms of resistance to venetoclax and strategies to circumvent it. *BMC Cancer*. (2017) 17:1–10. doi: 10.1186/S12885-017-3383-5

45. Birkinshaw RW, Gong Jn, Luo CS, Lio D, White CA, Anderson MA, et al. Structures of BCL-2 in complex with venetoclax reveal the molecular basis of resistance mutations. *Nat Commun.* (2019) 10:2385. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10363-1

46. Cimmino A, Calin GA, Fabbri M, Iorio MV, Ferracin M, Shimizu M, et al. miR-15 and miR-16 induce apoptosis by targeting BCL2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2005) 102:13944. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.0506654102

47. Puiggros A, Blanco G, Espinet B. Genetic abnormalities in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: where we are and where we go. *BioMed Res Int*. (2014) 2014:1–8 doi: 10.1155/2014/435983

48. Anderson MA, Deng J, Seymour JF, Tam C, Kim SY, Fein J, et al. The BCL2 selective inhibitor venetoclax induces rapid onset apoptosis of CLL cells in patients via a TP53-independent mechanism. *Blood.* (2016) 127:3215–24. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-01-688796

49. Mato AR, Thompson M, Allan JN, Brander DM, Pagel JM, Ujjani CS, et al. Realworld outcomes and management strategies for venetoclax-treated chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients in the United States. *Haematologica*. (2018) 103:1511. doi: 10.3324/HAEMATOL.2018.193615

50. Philpott DJ, Sorbara MT, Robertson SJ, Croitoru K, Girardin SE. NOD proteins: regulators of inflammation in health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2014) 14:9–23. doi: 10.1038/NRI3565

51. Wang Q, Wan J, Zhang W, Hao S. MCL-1 or BCL-xL-dependent resistance to the BCL-2 antagonist (ABT-199) can be overcome by specific inhibitor as single agents and in combination with ABT-199 in acute myeloid leukemia cells. *Leuk Lymphoma*. (2019) 60:2170–80. doi: 10.1080/10428194.2018.1563694

52. Xu Y, Ye H. Progress in understanding the mechanisms of resistance to BCL-2 inhibitors. *Exp Hematol Oncol.* (2022) 11:31. doi: 10.1186/s40164-022-00283-0

53. Soderquist RS, Crawford L, Liu E, Lu M, Agarwal A, Anderson GR, et al. Systematic mapping of BCL-2 gene dependencies in cancer reveals molecular determinants of BH3 mimetic sensitivity. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:1–12. doi: 10.1038/S41467-018-05815-Z

54. Oppermann S, Ylanko J, Shi Y, Hariharan S, Oakes CC, Brauer PM, et al. Highcontent screening identifies kinase inhibitors that overcome venetoclax resistance in activated CLL cells. *Blood*. (2016) 128:934. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2015-12-687814

55. Cao Q, Wu X, Zhang Q, Gong J, Chen Y, You Y, et al. Mechanisms of action of the BCL-2 inhibitor venetoclax in multiple myeloma: a literature review. *Front Pharmacol.* (2023) 14:1291920. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1291920

56. Slomp A, Moesbergen LM, Gong JN, Cuenca M, von dem Borne PA, Sonneveld P, et al. Multiple myeloma with 1q21 amplification is highly sensitive to MCL-1 targeting. *Blood Adv.* (2019) 3:4202–14. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2019000702

57. Beroukhim R, Mermel CH, Porter D, Wei G, Raychaudhuri S, Donovan J, et al. The landscape of somatic copy-number alteration across human cancers. *Nature*. (2010) 463:899. doi: 10.1038/NATURE08822

58. Roberts AW, Ma S, Kipps TJ, Coutre SE, Davids MS, Eichhorst B, et al. Efficacy of venetoclax in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia is influenced by disease and response variables. *Blood*. (2019) 134:111. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD.2018882555

59. Wei AH, Roberts AW, Spencer A, Rosenberg AS, Siegel D, Walter RB, et al. Targeting MCL-1 in hematologic Malignancies: Rationale and progress. *Blood Rev.* (2020) 44:100672. doi: 10.1016/J.BLRE.2020.100672

60. Dengler MA, Teh CE, Thijssen R, Gangoda L, Lan P, Herold MJ, et al. Potent efficacy of MCL-1 inhibitor-based therapies in preclinical models of mantle cell lymphoma. *Oncogene*. (2019) 39:2009–23. doi: 10.1038/s41388-019-1122-x

61. Kotschy A, Szlavik Z, Murray J, Davidson J, Maragno AL, Le Toumelin-Braizat G, et al. The MCL1 inhibitor S63845 is tolerable and effective in diverse cancer models. *Nature*. (2016) 538:477-82. doi: 10.1038/nature19830

62. Tron AE, Belmonte MA, Adam A, Aquila BM, Boise LH, Chiarparin E, et al. Discovery of Mcl-1-specific inhibitor AZD5991 and preclinical activity in multiple myeloma and acute myeloid leukemia. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07551-w

63. Caenepeel S, Brown SP, Belmontes B, Moody G, Keegan KS, Chui D, et al. AMG 176, a selective MCL1 inhibitor, is effective in hematologic cancer models alone and in combination with established therapies. *Cancer Discovery.* (2018) 8:1582–97. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-18-0387

64. Gomez-Bougie P, Wuillème-Toumi S, Ménoret E, Trichet V, Robillard N, Philippe M, et al. Noxa up-regulation and Mcl-1 cleavage are associated to apoptosis induction by bortezomib in multiple myeloma. *Cancer Res.* (2007) 67:5418–24. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-4322

65. Matulis SM, Gupta VA, Nooka AK, Hollen HV, Kaufman JL, Lonial S, et al. Dexamethasone treatment promotes Bcl-2-dependence in multiple myeloma resulting in sensitivity to Venetoclax. *Leukemia.* (2016) 30:1086. doi: 10.1038/LEU.2015.350

66. Moreau P, Chanan-Khan A, Roberts AW, Agarwal AB, Facon T, Kumar S, et al. Promising efficacy and acceptable safety of venetoclax plus bortezomib and

dexamethasone in relapsed/refractory MM. Blood. (2017) 130:2392-400. doi: 10.1182/ BLOOD-2017-06-788323

67. Lamm W, Kaufmann H, Raderer M, Hoffmann M, Chott A, Zielinski C, et al. Bortezomib combined with rituximab and dexamethasone is an active regimen for patients with relapsed and chemotherapy-refractory mantle cell lymphoma. *Haematologica*. (2011) 96:1008–14. doi: 10.3324/HAEMATOL.2011.041392

68. Raje N, Kumar S, Hideshima T, Roccaro A, Ishitsuka K, Yasui H, et al. Seliciclib (CYC202 or R-roscovitine), a small-molecule cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, mediates activity via down-regulation of Mcl-1 in multiple myeloma. *Blood.* (2005) 106:1042–7. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2005-01-0320

69. Zhou L, Zhang Y, Sampath D, Leverson J, Dai Y, Kmieciak M, et al. Flavopiridol enhances ABT-199 sensitivity in unfavourable-risk multiple myeloma cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Br J Cancer*. (2018) 118:388–97. doi: 10.1038/BJC.2017.432

70. Bouligny IM, Murray G, Ho T, Doyel M, Patel T, Boron J, et al. Venetoclax with decitabine or azacitidine in relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia. *Res Sq.* (2023) 4(2):381–92. doi: 10.21203/RS.3.RS-3015916/V1

71. Yue XY, Chen Q, He JS. Combination strategies to overcome resistance to the BCL2 inhibitor venetoclax in hematologic Malignancies. *Cancer Cell Int.* (2020) 20:1–11. doi: 10.1186/S12935-020-01614-Z

72. Konopleva M, Milella M, Ruvolo P, Watts JC, Ricciardi MR, Korchin B, et al. MEK inhibition enhances ABT-737-induced leukemia cell apoptosis via prevention of ERK-activated MCL-1 induction and modulation of MCL-1/BIM complex. *Leukemia*. (2012) 26:778–87. doi: 10.1038/leu.2011.287

73. McCubrey JA, Steelman LS, Chappell WH, Abrams SL, Franklin RA, Montalto G, et al. Ras/raf/MEK/ERK and PI3K/PTEN/akt/mTOR cascade inhibitors: how mutations can result in therapy resistance and how to overcome resistance. *Oncotarget*. (2012) 3:1068–111. doi: 10.18632/ONCOTARGET.659

74. Choudhary GS, Al-Harbi S, Mazumder S, Hill BT, Smith MR, Bodo J, et al. MCL-1 and BCL-xL-dependent resistance to the BCL-2 inhibitor ABT-199 can be overcome by preventing PI3K/AKT/mTOR activation in lymphoid Malignancies. *Cell Death Dis.* (2015) 6:e1593-3. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2014.525

75. Bojarczuk K, Wienand K, Ryan JA, Chen L, Villalobos-Ortiz M, Mandato E, et al. Targeted inhibition of PI3K α/δ is synergistic with BCL-2 blockade in genetically defined subtypes of DLBCL. *Blood.* (2019) 133:70–80. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2018-08-872465

76. Tarantelli C, Lange M, Gaudio E, Cascione L, Spriano F, Kwee I, et al. Copanlisib synergizes with conventional and targeted agents including venetoclax in B- and T-cell lymphoma models. *Blood Adv.* (2020) 4:819–29. doi: 10.1182/BLOODADVANCES .2019000844

77. Patel VM, Balakrishnan K, Douglas M, Tibbitts T, Xu EY, Kutok JL, et al. Duvelisib treatment is associated with altered expression of apoptotic regulators that helps in sensitization of chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells to venetoclax (ABT-199). *Leukemia*. (2017) 31:1872–81. doi: 10.1038/leu.2016.382

78. Choudhary GS, Tat TT, Misra S, Hill BT, Smith MR, Almasan A, et al. Cyclin E/ Cdk2-dependent phosphorylation of Mcl-1 determines its stability and cellular sensitivity to BH3 mimetics. *Oncotarget*. (2015) 6:16912–25. doi: 10.18632/ ONCOTARGET.4857 79. Valdez BC, Yuan B, Murray D, Nieto Y, Popat U, Andersson BS. Enhanced cytotoxicity of bisantrene when combined with venetoclax, panobinostat, decitabine and olaparib in acute myeloid leukemia cells. *Leuk Lymphoma*. (2022) 63:1634–44. doi: 10.1080/10428194.2022.2042689

80. Hege Hurrish K, Qiao X, Li X, Su Y, Carter J, Ma J, et al. Co-targeting of HDAC, PI3K, and Bcl-2 results in metabolic and transcriptional reprogramming and decreased mitochondrial function in acute myeloid leukemia. *Biochem Pharmacol.* (2022) 205:1–25. doi: 10.1016/J.BCP.2022.115283

81. Butler EB, Zhao Y, Muñoz-Pinedo C, Lu J, Tan M. Stalling the engine of resistance: Targeting cancer metabolism to overcome therapeutic resistance. *Cancer Res.* (2013) 73:2709–17. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-3009/658570/P/STALLING-THE-ENGINE-OF-RESISTANCE-TARGETING-CANCER

82. McBrayer SK, Cheng JC, Singhal S, Krett NL, Rosen ST, Shanmugam M. Multiple myeloma exhibits novel dependence on GLUT4, GLUT8, and GLUT11: implications for glucose transporter-directed therapy. *Blood.* (2012) 119:4686–97. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2011-09-377846

83. Coloff JL, Mason EF, Altman BJ, Gerriets VA, Liu T, Nichols AN, et al. Akt requires glucose metabolism to suppress Puma expression and prevent apoptosis of leukemic T cells. J Biol Chem. (2011) 286:5921–33. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.179101

84. Meynet O, Bénéteau M, Jacquin MA, Pradelli LA, Cornille A, Carles M, et al. Glycolysis inhibition targets Mcl-1 to restore sensitivity of lymphoma cells to ABT-737-induced apoptosis. *Leukemia*. (2012) 26:1145–7. doi: 10.1038/leu.2011.327

85. Bajpai R, Matulis SM, Wei C, Nooka AK, Von Hollen HE, Lonial S, et al. Targeting glutamine metabolism in multiple myeloma enhances BIM binding to BCL-2 eliciting synthetic lethality to venetoclax. *Oncogene*. (2016) 35:3955–64. doi: 10.1038/ onc.2015.464

86. Liu J, Li S, Wang Q, Feng Y, Xing H, Yang X, et al. Sonrotoclax overcomes BCL2 G101V mutation-induced venetoclax resistance in preclinical models of hematologic Malignancy. *Blood.* (2024) 143:1825–36. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD.2023019706/2209534/ BLOOD.2023019706.PDF

87. Mohamad Anuar NN, Nor Hisam NS, Liew SL, Ugusman A. Clinical review: navitoclax as a pro-apoptotic and anti-fibrotic agent. *Front Pharmacol.* (2020) 11:564108. doi: 10.3389/FPHAR.2020.564108

88. Souers AJ, Leverson JD, Boghaert ER, Ackler SL, Catron ND, Chen J, et al. ABT-199, a potent and selective BCL-2 inhibitor, achieves antitumor activity while sparing platelets. *Nat Med.* (2013) 19:202–8. doi: 10.1038/NM.3048

89. González-Gualda E, Pàez-Ribes M, Lozano-Torres B, Macias D, Wilson JR, González-López C, et al. Galacto-conjugation of Navitoclax as an efficient strategy to increase senolytic specificity and reduce platelet toxicity. *Aging Cell.* (2020) 19:1–12. doi: 10.1111/ACEL.13142

90. Goard CA, Schimmer AD. An evidence-based review of obatoclax mesylate in the treatment of hematological Malignancies. *Core Evid.* (2013) 8:15–26. doi: 10.2147/CE.S42568

91. Hyak JM, Huang Y, Rogers KA, Bhat SA, Grever MR, Byrd JC, et al. Combined BCL2 and BTK inhibition in CLL demonstrates efficacy after monotherapy with both classes. *Blood Adv.* (2022) 6:5124–7. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2022007708