Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Sharon R. Pine, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Francesco Cortiula francesco.cortiula@maastro.nl

RECEIVED 29 September 2024 ACCEPTED 22 October 2024 PUBLISHED 04 November 2024

CITATION

Cortiula F, Desai A, Menis J and Filippi AR (2024) Editorial: Innovative strategies and new insights for the treatment of stage III non-small cell lung cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1503613. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1503613

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Cortiula, Desai, Menis and Filippi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Innovative strategies and new insights for the treatment of stage III non-small cell lung cancer

Francesco Cortiula^{1,2*}, Aakash Desai³, Jessica Menis⁴ and Andrea R. Filippi^{5,6}

¹Department of Oncology, University Hospital of Udine, Udine, Italy, ²Department of Radiation Oncology (Maastro), Maastricht University Medical Centre (+), GROW School for Oncology and Reproduction, Maastricht, Netherlands, ³Department of Medical Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, United States, ⁴Section of Innovation Biomedicine - Oncology Area, Department of Engineering for Innovation Medicine (DIMI), University of Verona and University and Hospital Trust/Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Integrata (AOUI), Verona, Italy, ⁵Department of Oncology and Hemato-Oncology, University of Milan, Milan, Italy, ⁶Department of Radiotherapy, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori, Milan, Italy

KEYWORDS

stage III NSCLC, resectable NSCLC, radiation therapy, predictive model, biomarker

Editorial on the Research Topic

Innovative strategies and new insights for the treatment of stage III non-small cell lung cancer

About one third of patients with non-small cell lung cancer presents with stage III NSCLC at diagnosis (1). The standard of care for patients with unresectable stage III NSCLC is concurrent chemoradiation (CCRT) followed by adjuvant durvalumab (2). Adjuvant durvalumab led to a 5-year overall survival (OS) and progression free survival (PFS) rates of 42.9% and 33.1% respectively (3). For patients with resectable stage III NSCLC the standard of care is represented by surgery and (neo) adjuvant or perioperative immune checkpoint blockers (ICB), leading to a 2 years OS rate up to 80% and 2 years PFS rate up to 65% (4–7). For patients with stage III NSCLC harboring actionable driver alterations (AGA) radical treatment should be coupled with (neo)adjuvant target treatments, if available (8, 9). Despite the great survival improvements achieved in the recent years, most of the patients who are diagnosed with stage III NSCLC still face disease recurrence (PD). Moreover, many open questions and unmet clinical need are present in this setting (10, 11).

The manuscripts included in the present Research Topic try to address open questions and present new evidence about the treatment options for patients with stage III NSCLC.

Yu et al. performed a meta-analysis based on three randomized controlled trials (RCTs) investigating perioperative ICB for stage II-III NSCLC. Their findings showed that perioperative ICB combined with CT led to better OS, PFS and ORR compared to CT only. At the same time no statistically significant differences in terms of grade \geq 3 adverse events were noted. This meta-analysis confirmed that the use of ICB in the perioperative

10.3389/fonc.2024.1503613

setting is the new gold-standard. Qiao et al. investigated the effectiveness and safety of Shenqi Fuzheng (SFI) injection combined with platinum-based chemotherapy for patients with NSCLC. SFI is an extraction of Codonopsis pilosula and Astragalus membranaceus, which reduces oxidative stress. Their findings are based on 44RCT involving 3475 patients. They showed that SFI significantly reduced CT adverse events (bone marrow depression; nausea; vomiting and diarrhea). This meta-analysis investigates the often neglected Research Topic of reducing side effects. This is paramount in stage III NSCLC since toxicity represents a main issue in radical treatments in this setting. Li et al. addressed the question whether ICB retreatment might be effective for patients with NSCLC. This retrospective study included 165 patients who were pretreated with ICB: 38.2% received ICB retreatment with atezolizumab while 12.7% and 49.1% received docetaxel and docetaxel+ramucirumab respectively. Patients treated with atezolizumab achieved a significantly better mOS compared to the other two groups [17.7 vs. 7.7 months for docetaxel (p=0.008) and vs 8.9 months for docetaxel +ramucirumab (p=0.047)]. These results are particularly interesting since patients with stage III NSCLC receive adjuvant ICB as standard of care but there are no robust data about a ICB retreatment at PD. In the retrospective study presented by Borghetti et al. (N=85), safety and effectiveness of adjuvant durvalumab in a real life scenario were investigated. Two-year OS was 69.4% in the durvalumab group and 47.9% in the nondurvalumab group (p = 0.015). Two-year PFS was 54.4% in the durvalumab group and 24.2% in the non-durvalumab group (p = 0.007). Of note, 79% had a PDL-1 positive NSCLC and in the remaining 21% PDL-1 status was unknown. A retrospective multicenter analysis (N=1874) described the pattern of treatments in the Asian population (Prabhash et al.). This study enrolled consecutive patients, from 57 centers, diagnosed with de novo locally advanced stage III NSCLC. CCRT was the most common treatment choice (34%) followed by curative surgery (23%), systemic treatments (21%) and sCRT (11%). The possible different approaches used in this wide cohort to treat stage III highlight that multidisciplinary discussion is paramount in this setting. Finally two studies presented in this Research Topic investigated new tools for personalizing the treatment of patients with stage III NSCLC. Yang et al. presented the data about 124 patients with stage III-N2 disease treated with surgery, adjuvant CT and post-operative RT (PORT). They showed that the presence of estrogen receptor was a significant negative prognostic factor, in terms of OS and PFS. These findings bring to light a possible new

References

prognostic factor, possibly helping in tailoring the treatment of patients with stage III NSCLC. Jin et al. showed that machine learning models, trained with clinical data, could predict the survival of patients with resected stage III NSCLC better than the TNM staging only. These tools are particularly interesting considering the numerous new treatment option becoming available for patients with stage III NSCLC and the consequent need to find the best balance between reducing the risk of relapse, the risk of side effects and the financial toxicity.

Altogether, the manuscripts included in this Research Topic represent a resource to further deepen the knowledge of stage III NSCLC and they provide preliminary insights to develop future clinical trials. We believe that future studies in this setting should aim not only to test the efficacy of new drugs, but also to address open questions and unmet clinical needs, such as the need for predictive biomarkers and the development of adaptive treatment strategies to spare unnecessary toxicity or to escalate therapy when needed.

Author contributions

FC: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. AD: Conceptualization, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. JM: Project administration, Writing – review & editing. AF: Project administration, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

^{1.} Amin MB, Edge S, Greene F, Byrd D. R., Brookland R. K., Washington M. K., et al. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual. 8th Edition*. London (global) Berlin (corporate) New York City (sales): Springer International Publishing: American Joint Commission on Cancer (2017).

^{2.} Antonia SJ, Villegas A, Daniel D, Vicente D, Murakami S, Hui R, et al. Overall survival with durvalumab after chemoradiotherapy in stage III NSCLC. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 379:2342–50. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1809697

^{3.} Spigel DR, Faivre-Finn C, Gray JE, Vicente D, Planchard D, Paz-Ares L, et al. Fiveyear survival outcomes from the PACIFIC trial: durvalumab after chemoradiotherapy in stage III non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* (2022), JCO2101308. doi: 10.1200/ JCO.21.01308

^{4.} Wakelee H, Liberman M, Kato T, Tsuboi M, Lee S-H, Gao S, et al. Perioperative pembrolizumab for early-stage non-small-cell lung cancer. *New Engl J Med.* (2023) 389:491–503. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2302983

5. Forde PM, Spicer J, Lu S, Provencio M, Mitsudomi T, Awad MM, et al. Neoadjuvant nivolumab plus chemotherapy in resectable lung cancer. *N Engl J Med.* (2022) 386:1973–85. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2202170

6. Felip E, Altorki N, Zhou C, Vallières E, Martínez-Martí A, Rittmeyer A, et al. Overall survival with adjuvant atezolizumab after chemotherapy in resected stage II-IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer (IMpower010): a randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase III trial. *Ann Oncol.* (2023) 34:907–19. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2023.07.001

7. Cascone T, Awad MM, Spicer JD, He J, Lu S, Sepesi B, et al. Perioperative nivolumab in resectable lung cancer. *New Engl J Med.* (2024) 390:1756–69. doi: 10.1056/NEJM0a2311926

8. Remon J, Saw SPL, Cortiula F, Singh PK, Menis J, Mountzios G, et al. Perioperative treatment strategies in EGFR-mutant early-stage NSCLC: current

evidence and future challenges. J Thorac Oncol. (2023) \$1556-0864(23)02261-X. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2023.09.1451

9. Wu YL, Dziadziuszko R, Ahn JS, Barlesi F, Nishio M, Lee DH, et al. Alectinib in resected ALK-positive non-small-cell lung cancer. *New Engl J Med.* (2024) 390:1265–76. doi: 10.1056/NEJM0a2310532

10. Bortolot M, Cortiula F, Fasola G, De Ruysscher D, Naidoo J, Hendriks LEL. Treatment of unresectable stage III non-small cell lung cancer for patients who are under-represented in clinical trials. *Cancer Treat Rev.* (2024) 129:102797. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2024.102797

11. Bartolomeo V, Cortiula F, Hendriks LEL, De Ruysscher D, Filippi AR. A glimpse into the future for unresectable stage III non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. (2023), \$0360-3016(23)08126-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2023.11.005