

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Michael P. Lisanti, University of Salford, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE
Ciro Isidoro
Ciro.isidoro@med.uniupo.it

RECEIVED 27 October 2024 ACCEPTED 14 November 2024 PUBLISHED 25 November 2024

CITATION

Isidoro C and Ntanasis-Stathopoulos I (2024) Editorial: Understanding patient nutrition and cancer progression. *Front. Oncol.* 14:1518083. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2024.1518083

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Isidoro and Ntanasis-Stathopoulos. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Understanding patient nutrition and cancer progression

Ciro Isidoro 1* and Ioannis Ntanasis-Stathopoulos 2

¹Department of Health Sciences, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy, ²Department of Clinical Therapeutics, School of Medicine, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece

KEYWORDS

cancer metabolism, cachexia, dietary therapy, fasting, nutrition support, micronutrients

Editorial on the Research Topic

Understanding patient nutrition and cancer progression

Cancer growth, with its high demand of metabolites and energy, causes profound alterations in the food balance and the general homeostasis of the patient.

A range of factors, including circulating inflammatory cytokines in response to tumor and chemo- and radiotherapy, negative mood, and changes in taste and smell, severely reduce food intake in cancer patients (1, 2). Additionally, head-neck cancers and gastrointestinal cancers may cause discomfort and pain when consuming food, leading to giving up eating (3). Consequently, malnutrition is highly prevalent in cancer patients (4), and this is known to affect their adherence and response to therapy, prognosis, and quality of life (5).

This prevalence increases in patients under therapy (6), and further contributes to cachexia (7), a condition of progressive body mass wasting which associates with reduced immune response and patient compliance (8).

Nonetheless, for long time malnutrition in cancer patients has been overlooked (9).

Historically, nutritional management of cancer patients has focused exclusively on limiting the chemotherapy-induced unpleasant effects (such as vomiting and diarrhea) by excluding certain foods and attempting to counteract the weight loss associated with cachexia by parenteral administration of hyper-proteic and hyper-lipidic fluids. Typically, nutritional intervention would be part of the palliative care reserved for terminally ill patients (10).

Studies conducted in recent decades have radically changed this approach, and convinced clinicians to consider nutrition an important ally in the management of cancer patients (11). There are now compelling evidence supporting the beneficial effects of fasting and fasting-mimetic diets, caloric (protein and glucose) restriction diets, nutraceuticals with caloric restriction mimetic properties, and supplementation of micronutrients and vitamins in the management of cancer patients, particularly by reducing the unwanted side effects and reinforcing the efficacy of chemo- and radiotherapy (12). Nutritional intervention as neo-adjuvant therapy also helps the patient to better prepare for surgery and recover afterwards (13).

In this Research Topic of Frontiers in Oncology we have collected articles that delve into the relationship between diet, cancer cell metabolism, cancer growth and patient performance and compliance to the treatments.

Bossi et al. discusses the challenges and strategies associated with managing malnutrition in oncology patients, highlighting the necessity of incorporating nutritional support into cancer care. The authors delineate significant controversies, including timing, risk assessment, and types of nutritional interventions, that influence the management of malnutrition. The authors propose a personalized strategy, suggesting early nutrition screenings and interventions customized to the specific needs of patients to enhance outcomes. The paper also discusses future perspectives, particularly the potential for precision nutrition tailored to tumor type and metabolic requirements, with the goal of improving patient quality of life and treatment effectiveness.

Recent studies elucidate significant connections between iron metabolism, cellular processes, and cancer risk, enhancing the understanding of iron's intricate role in oncology. Zeidan et al. present a thorough review of epidemiological studies examining the association between iron and cancer, highlighting a more pronounced correlation between heme iron derived from animal sources and elevated risk for several cancers including colorectal, liver, and lung. This review indicates that iron status indicators, such as serum ferritin, may function as risk biomarkers, although these associations vary by cancer type. Genetic predisposition and environmental factors, including diet and lifestyle, significantly influence this risk, highlighting the necessity for more detailed research on these interdependencies.

Yang investigates the causal link between fruit consumption and the risk for colorectal cancer through Mendelian randomization, a technique that utilizes genetic data to establish causality. The research indicates a significant correlation between increased fruit consumption and a decreased risk for colorectal cancer, implying that genetic factors promoting fruit intake may contribute to a lower cancer risk. This study reinforces public health guidelines advocating for increased fruit intake as a preventive strategy for colorectal cancer. This highlights the potential of employing genetic tools in nutritional epidemiology to elucidate diet-cancer relationships.

Sun et al. examine the potential causal relationships between pancreatic cancer and peripheral metabolites through a bidirectional Mendelian randomization approach, which evaluates genetic predispositions that affect metabolite levels and the risk for pancreatic cancer. The research reveals substantial correlations, particularly with lipid and amino acid metabolites, indicating their potential involvement in the progression of pancreatic cancer. Conversely, specific genetic markers associated with pancreatic cancer seem to affect metabolic profiles, indicating a complex, bidirectional relationship. This study emphasizes the significance of metabolomic profiling in elucidating the mechanisms of pancreatic cancer, presenting opportunities for the identification of early biomarkers and therapeutic targets.

Zhang et al. examine the relationship between various metabolic obesity phenotypes and hospitalization rates in patients with multiple myeloma utilizing national retrospective data. Findings indicate that "metabolically unhealthy" obesity, defined by obesity accompanied by metabolic dysfunctions such as insulin resistance, correlates with increased hospitalization rates and prolonged hospital stays in these patients. In contrast, "metabolically healthy" obesity did not significantly affect hospitalization burden, indicating that metabolic health may have a greater influence on multiple myeloma outcomes than obesity alone. This study emphasizes the significance of managing metabolic health to decrease hospitalization and enhance care for patients with multiple myeloma.

Concluding remarks

Nutritional support in cancer patients not only prevents malnutrition and weakening of the immune response, but also improves the outcome of the therapeutic interventions. Assessment of the nutritional state of the cancer patients (Patient Nutritional Index) helps to predict the clinical evolution and is informative for developing the nutritional therapeutic plan. Therefore, the earliest this assessment is performed and the nutritional interventions starts, the earliest and the largest the benefits for the patient (14, 15).

Author contributions

CI: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. IN-S: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- 1. Van Cutsem E, Arends J. The causes and consequences of cancer-associated malnutrition. *Eur J Oncol Nurs*. (2005) 9 Suppl 2:S51-63. doi: 10.1016/j.ejon.2005.09.007
- 2. Muscaritoli M, Arends J, Bachmann P, Baracos V, Barthelemy N, Bertz H, et al. ESPEN practical guideline: Clinical nutrition in cancer. *Clin Nutr.* (2021) 40:2898–913. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2021.02.005
- 3. Van Cutsem E, Arends J. The causes and consequences of cancer-associated malnutrition. *Eur J Oncol Nurs*. (2005) 9 Suppl 2:S51-63. doi: 10.1016/j.ejon.2005.09.007
- 4. Hébuterne X, Lemarié E, Michallet M, de Montreuil CB, Schneider SM, Goldwasser F. Prevalence of malnutrition and current use of nutrition support in patients with cancer. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* (2014) 38:196–204. doi: 10.1177/0148607113502674
- 5. Lee JLC, Leong LP, Lim SL. Nutrition intervention approaches to reduce malnutrition in oncology patients: a systematic review. *Support Care Cancer*. (2016) 24:469–80. doi: 10.1007/s00520-015-2958-4
- 6. Ravasco P. Nutrition in cancer patients. *J Clin Med.* (2019) 8:1211. doi: 10.3390/jcm8081211
- 7. Bossi P, Delrio P, Mascheroni A, Zanetti M. The spectrum of malnutrition/cachexia/sarcopenia in oncology according to different cancer types and settings: A narrative review. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:1980. doi: 10.3390/nu13061980
- 8. Argilés JM, Busquets S, Stemmler B, López-Soriano FJ. Cancer cachexia: understanding the molecular basis. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2014) 14:754–62. doi: 10.1038/nrc3829

- 9. Caccialanza R, De Lorenzo F, Gianotti L, Zagonel V, Gavazzi C, Farina G, et al. Nutritional support for cancer patients: still a neglected right? *Support Care Cancer*. (2017) 25:3001–4. doi: 10.1007/s00520-017-3826-1
- 10. Cotogni P, Stragliotto S, Ossola M, Collo A, Riso S, On Behalf Of The Intersociety Italian Working Group For Nutritional Support In Cancer. The role of nutritional support for cancer patients in palliative care. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:306. doi: 10.3390/nu13020306
- 11. Muscaritoli M, Arends J, Aapro M. From guidelines to clinical practice: a roadmap for oncologists for nutrition therapy for cancer patients. *Ther Adv Med Oncol.* (2019) 11:1758835919880084. doi: 10.1177/1758835919880084
- 12. Salas S, Cottet V, Dossus L, Fassier P, Ginhac J, Latino-Martel P, et al. Nutritional Factors during and after Cancer: Impacts on Survival and Quality of Life. Nutrients. (2022) 14:2958. doi: 10.3390/nu14142958
- 13. Baji DB, Patel JP, Konanur NKSrinivasa, Gande A, Anusha M, Dar H. Nutrition care in cancer surgery patients: A narrative review of nutritional screening and assessment methods and nutritional considerations. *Cureus*. (2022) 14:e33094. doi: 10.7759/cureus.33094
- 14. Aapro M, Arends J, Bozzetti F, Fearon K, Grunberg SM, Herrstedt J, et al. Early recognition of malnutrition and cachexia in the cancer patient: a position paper of a European school of oncology task force. *Ann Oncol.* (2014) 25:1492–9. doi: 10.1093/appoor/mdu085
- 15. Aprile G, Basile D, Giaretta R, Schiavo G, La Verde N, Corradi E, et al. The clinical value of nutritional care before and during active cancer treatment. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:1196. doi: 10.3390/nu13041196