Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jianxin Xue, Sichuan University, China

REVIEWED BY

Jisheng Li, Shandong University, China Hesong Wang, Fourth Hospital of Hebei Medical University, China

CORRESPONDENCE Wei Wang wangwei929@cqu.edu.cn Xiaoping Huang 626951237@qq.com Yi Liu ⊠ liuyi_leo@hotmail.com

RECEIVED 27 September 2024 ACCEPTED 06 January 2025 PUBLISHED 30 January 2025

CITATION

Zhong M, Zhou L, Guo J, Chen C, Wang W, Huang X and Liu Y (2025) Case report: A case report and literature review on the efficacy of high-dose aumolertinib combined intrathecal pemetrexed by Ommaya reservoir for EGFR-mutated NSCLC with leptomeningeal metastasis as the initial symptoms. *Front. Oncol.* 15:1502934. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2025.1502934

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhong, Zhou, Guo, Chen, Wang, Huang and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Case report: A case report and literature review on the efficacy of high-dose aumolertinib combined intrathecal pemetrexed by Ommaya reservoir for EGFRmutated NSCLC with leptomeningeal metastasis as the initial symptoms

Maoxi Zhong^{1,2,3}, Li Zhou^{1,2,3}, Jing Guo^{1,2,3}, Chuan Chen^{1,2,3}, Wei Wang^{1,2,3}*, Xiaoping Huang^{1,2,3}* and Yi Liu^{2,3,4}*

¹Department of Cancer Center, Chongqing University Three Gorges Hospital, Chongqing, China, ²School of Medicine, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China, ³Chongqing Municipality Clinical Research Center for Geriatric Diseases, Chongqing, China, ⁴Department of Thoracic Surgery, Chongqing University Three Gorges Hospital, Chongqing, China

Leptomeningeal metastasis (LM) is a significant complication of advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC), occurring in only 3-5% of patients and exceedingly rare in newly diagnosed NSCLC patients. This also indicates that the tumor is highly malignant and aggressive, which brings great challenges to treatment. Here we present a case report of an EGFR-mutated NSCLC patient who presented with LM as the primary clinical manifestation, and review the latest advances in existing studies on LM-related treatment. The patient underwent multiple cycles of high-dose aumolertinib in combination with intrathecal pemetrexed administered via Ommaya reservoir. As of the submission date, the patient achieved significant remission and a LM Progression-Free Survival (PFS) exceeding 20 months. This case highlights the positive impact of high-dose aumolertinib combined with intrathecal pemetrexed on NSCLC patients presenting with severe meningeal symptoms as the initial manifestation, offering a viable therapeutic approach for managing severe meningeal symptoms associated with LM, such as headache, nausea, neck stiffness, and vomiting.

KEYWORDS

high-dose aumolertinib, intrathecal chemotherapy, leptomeningeal metastasis, NSCLC, case report

1 Introduction

LMoccurs when tumor cells spread into the leptomeninges, subarachnoid space, and other cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) chambers. NSCLC is one of the most common solid tumors with LM. Clinical manifestations are diverse and non-specific, primarily including neurological symptoms in three aspects, increased intracranial pressure (dizziness, headache, vomiting, and optic neuroid edema), meninges irritation (cervical stiffness, Kernig's sign, and Brudzinski's sign), and cerebral neuropathy (1). The diagnosis of LM is based on clinical findings, head MRI, and CSF cytology. Once LM develops, the patient may rapidly progress toward death. Modern treatments have increased the OS from 1-3 months to 3-11 months (1, 2), but treatment remains extremely challenging. LM patients are heterogeneous due to complex etiology and molecular characteristics. The optimal approach remains uncertain, particularly for those with driver gene mutations, leaving many questions about precise and personalized treatment decisions.

2 Case description

In December 2022, a 52-year-old female patient was admitted to the Neurology Department of Chongqing University Affiliated Three Gorges Hospital, presenting with an acute "thunderclap" headache and vomiting. The patient had no history of smoking and maintained good overall health prior to admission.

Upon presentation, her Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG PS) score was assessed at 4, with signs of elevated intracranial pressure. Following symptomatic management, a lumbar puncture was performed, revealing CSF pressure measured at 370 mmH2O and atypical cells within the CSF. Subsequent imaging studies revealed abnormal signals in the frontal, parietal, and occipital sulci of the cerebrum on head MRI, with widening noted in the right frontal lobe (Figure 1). Chest CT revealed increased scattered streaky density in the right lung with partial consolidation, suggestive of infectious lesions, and associated pleural effusion in the right thoracic cavity and interlobar fissure (Figure 1). Further positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) scan showed increased tracer uptake of multiple tumor nodules localized in the right lower lobe (SUVmax 6.0) and extensive metastasis (SUVmax 7.3) (Supplementary Figure 1). In terms of pathological diagnosis, atypical cells were only detected in CSF (Supplementary Figure 2), but not in the right pleural effusion. Due to the patient's poor condition, further tissue biopsy could not be performed, resulting in a lack of histopathological evidence for diagnosis. As the disease progresses, the patient experienced





paroxysmal severe headaches, intermittent seizures accompanied by nausea and vomiting. Additionally, there was a gradual onset of blurred vision in the left eye and significant visual decline leading to blindness in the right eye.

Due to the patient's critical condition and lack of sufficient pathological diagnosis, a multidisciplinary consultation (MDT) was conducted within the hospital. After MDT discussion, the patient was clinically diagnosed with a malignant tumor in the right lower lobe of the lung, which is highly likely to be NSCLC, and the tumor had spread to the right hilar lymph node, mediastinal lymph node, right pleura, multiple bones, and leptomeninges. Subsequently, the patient was transferred to the oncology department for further treatment. To enhance diagnostic accuracy and alleviate symptoms associated with elevated intracranial pressure, it was advised to implant an Ommaya reservoir for CSF drainage followed by subsequent ITC. On December 8, 2022, the patient underwent a ventriculostomy followed by the implantation of an Ommaya reservoir. The patient, a non-smoking Asian woman with lung cancer, had a higher probability of testing positive for the driver gene. Because of the critical condition, despite the unknown genetic mutation, she still bravely tried the third generation of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI). In light of evident LM symptoms requiring enhanced disease management measures; high-dose aumolertinib (165 mg/day) was initiated on December 29th 2022 as targeted therapy regimen. Fortunately after one week since treatment initiation, significant improvement was observed regarding "lightning strike" headache episodes along with alleviation in nausea/vomiting, and slight relief from blurred vision, and the ECOG PS dropped to 2 points, indicating that the general condition of the patient was significantly improved. Genetic testing results obtained on January 9, 2023 confirmed the presence of an EGFR-sensitive mutation in the patient. Specifically, EGFR exon 21 p.L858R was detected in both CSF and blood with mutation abundance of 41.15% and 0.78%, respectively.

February 10, 2023, CT examination showed pleural effusion were mostly absorbed, partial remission of lung lesions, complete remission of LM lesions. In addition, the intracranial pressure decreased significantly in retesting (370mmH2O before treatment vs. 220mmH2O after treatment). However, she performed an elevated carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) level (707.8 ng/mL) in CSF. To further enhance the local control of LM, in the next two weeks, the patient received ITC with pemetrexed (30mg) via the Ommaya reservoir, 30mg on day 1 and day 8, with a cycle every 3 weeks. On February 21, 2023, the patient underwent a single cycle of systemic chemotherapy involving pemetrexed and carboplatin at dosages of 600mg and 300mg, respectively. Subsequently, we found that the CEA level in CSF dropped to 138.5 ng/mL. However, the patient developed severe myelosuppression (grade IV) with fever after chemotherapy, so systemic chemotherapy was discontinued in subsequent treatment, and targeted therapy combined with ITC by Ommaya reservoir was continued. Reexamination of imaging on April 19, 2023 showed a significant reduction in the lesions of pulmonary and intracranial tumors, but an expansion of bone metastasis and the emergence of significant lower back pain symptoms in the patient. In order to alleviate the lower back pain and reduce the risk of pathological fractures, the patient underwent palliative radiotherapy for some thoracolumbar vertebral metastases in May 2023.

Subsequently, considering the patient's tolerance, the ITC of pemetrexed regimen via Ommaya reservoir was adjusted to 30 mg every 4 weeks starting July 2023, with the last chemotherapy on January 20, 2024. The patient continued oral high-dose aumolertinib (165 mg/day) during this period. Imaging showed improvement in lung and brain tumors while bone metastases remained stable; overall efficacy was evaluated as PR. Additionally, CEA levels in blood and CSF gradually normalized during follow-up (Figure 2), and no atypical cells were found in CSF after repeated examinations. cfDNA concentration in CSF significantly decreased early in treatment but slowly increased at 3 months before decreasing again at 5 months. In contrast, cfDNA levels in blood gradually decreased over the first 6 months of treatment followed by a rebound at month 7 (Figure 2).

During the single-dose ITC administered every 4 weeks, the patient's adverse reactions resolved. The main treatment-related adverse reactions (TRAEs) were grade II myelosuppression and grade I gastrointestinal issues (nausea, vomiting, and oral ulcers), which improved with symptomatic treatment (specific TRAEs are listed in Table 1). The patient has an ECOG PS score of 1 and significant relief from headache, nausea, and vomiting. Her left eye vision notably improved while her right eye progressed from blindness to blurriness. Due to this positive response to treatment, she has been on a chemotherapy hiatus since February 2024 and is now receiving high-dose aumolertinib. The latest follow-up was on July 20, 2024. We have documented changes in clinical manifestations, imaging exams, and genetic testing via next-generation sequencing (NGS) throughout the treatment process (see Table 2, Figure 3, Supplementary Table 1).

3 Discussion

LM is a devastating complication of NSCLC, with a low rate of early diagnosis and limited treatment options, resulting in poor prognosis. The incidence of LM in NSCLC without driver genes is 3.8%, while it can reach 9% in patients with EGFR mutations (3). Due to the low incidence rate, the rapid progress of the disease, and the heterogeneity of the LM population, there is currently no standard treatment protocol. In addition to symptomatic supportive therapy, active treatment strategies include systemic chemotherapy, ITC, whole brain radiation therapy (WBRT) and/ or spinal axis radiation, as well as the EGFR-TKI for EGFR-positive

TABLE 1 TRAEs.

patients. Radiotherapy is mainly used to alleviate symptoms from brain edema or focal lesion, however, there is limited evidence of its effectiveness in improving survival, and it increases the risk of toxicity (4–7). Some researchers suggest selecting suitable candidates for radiotherapy, noting that WBRT may benefit EGFR wild-type, nodular LM patients (8).

Systemic chemotherapy has limited efficacy for LM because it cannot achieve effective blood-brain barrier (BBB) penetration (1). However, ITC can bypass the BBB, achieving high CSF concentrations with low drug doses (9), enhancing local control of LM. Two main ITC methods exist: lumbar puncture and Ommaya reservoir. The Ommaya reservoir is more convenient and safer for CSF sampling and ITC. Emerging technologies like the Lumbar Intrathecal Port (LIP) are also becoming prominent in ITC (10). Due to dose-limiting toxicity and related complications, there is no consensus on the optimal dose, frequency, and duration of ITC. Classic ITC drugs include: thiotepa, methotrexate, (liposomal) cytarabine (3). Recently, pemetrexed has emerged as an important ITC agent. However, there is currently no consensus on the optimal dosage, administration frequency, and treatment duration. A phase I clinical study (11) showed that intrathecal injection of 10mg pemetrexed once or twice weekly resulted in favorable therapeutic effects and manageable toxicity in patients with LM. The response rate was 31% (4/13), with a disease control rate (DCR) of 54% (7/ 13). The most common AEs included bone marrow suppression, elevated liver transaminases, and neuritis. A single-arm phase 1/2 clinical trial (12) utilized ITC of 50 mg pemetrexed as the recommended dosage (RD). The regimen involved 50 mg on day 1 and 5 of the first week, followed by every three weeks for four cycles, then monthly until disease progression or intolerance. The mOS was 9.0 months. Primary AEs included nausea, vomiting, myelosuppression, and neurotoxicity (grade 1 or grade 2). Researchers have suggested administering 30 mg of pemetrexed on days 1 and 8 every three weeks, which has demonstrated positive effects in clinical practice (13). In this case, the patient initially received a 3-week pemetrexed regimen (30mg, D1, D8, q3W) for 4

Event	CTCAE 5.0	Possibility factor	Drug treatment/ self remission
WBC count decrease	4	Systemic chemotherapy, ITC or Aumolertinib	Drug treatment
Platelet count decrease	2	Systemic chemotherapy, ITC or Aumolertinib	Drug treatment
Anemia	2	Systemic chemotherapy, ITC or Aumolertinib	Drug treatment
Nausea	2	ITC	Drug treatment
Vomiting	2	ITC	Drug treatment
Oral ulcers	2	Aumolertinib or ITC	Drug treatment
Decreased appetite	2	Systemic chemotherapy, ITC or Aumolertinib	Drug treatment
Fatigue	2	Systemic chemotherapy, ITC or Aumolertinib	Self remission
ALT increase	1	Aumolertinib or ITC	Drug treatment
AST increase	1	Aumolertinib or ITC	Drug treatment

TRAEs, treatment-related adverse reactions; CTCAE 5.0, the common terminology criteria for adverse events version 5.0; ITC, intrathecal chemotherapy.

Date	ECOG PS (0-4)	NRS of pain (0-10)	Nausea and vomiting (CTCAE 5.0) (1-5)	Pupil size (mm) and light reflex (sensitive +, slow -)	Eyesight
2022-12-09	4	6-7	4	Left: 3, +; Right: 6, -	Left: fuzzy; Right: blindness
2023-02-15	3	3-5	2	Left: 3, +; Right: 6, -	Left: light sense; Right: blindness
2023-04-16	2	1-2	1	Left: 3, +; Right: 5, -	Left: <4.0; Right: light sense
2023-06-20	1	1	1	Left: 3, +; Right: 5, -	Left: <4.0; Right: light sense
2023-09-25	1	0	1	Left: 3, +; Right: 4, -	Left: 4.0; Right: light sense
2023-12-30	1	0	1	Left: 3, +; Right: 4, -	Left: 4.0; Right: light sense
2024-03-28	1	0	0	Left: 3, +; Right: 4, -	Left: 4.0; Right: light sense
2024-06-25	1	0	0	Left: 3, +; Right: 4, -	Left: 4.0; Right: light sense
2024-07-20	1	0	0	Left: 3, +; Right: 4, -	Left: 4.0; Right: light sense

TABLE 2 Records of important clinical manifestations of the patient.

ECOG PS, eastern cooperative oncology group performance status; NRS, numerical rating scale; CTCAE 5.0, the common terminology criteria for adverse events version 5.0.

cycles. In the maintenance stage, pemetrexed was adjusted to a 4week schedule (30 mg, D1, D8, q4W) for 5 cycles. The 3-week regimen had a higher risk of myelosuppression, while the 4-week regimen was better tolerated. Therefore, prophylactic leukocytecount support is crucial, especially for patients with multiple bone metastases. Folic acid and vitamin B12 supplementation should also be regularly administered to reduce AEs (11, 12).

For LM patients with EGFR-positive, third-generation TKIs have demonstrated superior efficacy compared to first- and second-generation TKIs. Small sample studies have reported LM-PFS of 2.0



FIGURE 3

The imaging results obtained from the continuous follow-up.

to 2.3 months and mOS of 3.4 to 3.8 months for gefitinib, erlotinib, and afatinib (14-16). Third-generation EGFR-TKIs exhibit superior penetration through the BBB, making them more suitable for treating LM (3, 17). A retrospective study compared clinical outcomes of standard-dose osimertinib to first-generation TKIs in untreated EGFR-positive NSCLC with LM, showing superior mPFS (16.9 months vs. 8.6 months) and mOS (26.6 months vs. 20.0 months) in the osimertinib group (18). Researchers are attempting to improve disease management by increasing the concentration of EGFR TKI in CSF. A phase II study showed that osimertinib (160 mg/day) achieved an objective response rate (ORR) of 27.5% and a DCR of 82.5% in EGFR T790M-positive NSCLC with BM/LM, the mPFS was 5.7 months, and the common AEs included decreased appetite, diarrhea, and skin rash, most grade 1 or 2 levels (19). In a Phase I study (BLOOM) (6), osimertinib (160 mg/day) achieved an LM-ORR of 62% in EGFRm NSCLC patients with LM who had progressed on TKIs (n=41). The mPFS and mOS were 8.6 and 11.0 months. 24% of patients experienced \geq 3 grade AEs, leading to discontinuation in 9 and dose reduction in 5 patients. A real-world study (n=48) showed that high-dose furmonertinib (240 mg/day) achieved an LM-ORR of 50.0%, an LM-DCR of 92.1%, and a mOS of 8.43 months in EGFR-mutated LM. 22 patients (45.8%) experienced TRAEs, and 3 (6.3%) had grade 3 AEs, leading to a dose reduction to 160 mg/day (20). Related studies indicate that aumolertinib is effective in controlling CNS metastasis, particularly at higher doses, although there is currently limited data on LM. In the AENEAS study (21), aumolertinib (110 mg/day) demonstrated significant improvement in CNS PFS compared to gefitinib when used as a first-line treatment for advanced NSCLC. The median CNS PFS was 29.0 months for aumolertinib and 8.3 months for gefitinib (HR=0.31; 95% CI, 0.17-0.56; P<0.001). The 12-month CNS PFS rates were 72.5% for aumolertinib and 30.4% for gefitinib. The ACHIEVE study (22) showed that high-dose aumolertinib (165 mg/day) administered as first-line treatment to patients with EGFRpositive NSCLC with BM resulted in a 12-month intracranial PFS (iPFS) rate of 75.0%, with the miPFS not reached. The ARTISTRY study (23) cohort 2 is currently enrolling 10 newly diagnosed patients with LM-NSCLC, with the initial treatment dose of aumolertinib set at 110mg/day. Patients will undergo efficacy assessments every 4 weeks until they progress, and if there are no PDs in two consecutive assessments, the dose can be gradually increased to 165/220mg/day ± radiation therapy. It is worth noting that, patients with the exon 19 mutation have a relatively better prognosis than those with the L858R mutation (4, 18). Based on these studies, third-generation EGFR-TKIs show superior efficacy and safety in patients with brain/meningeal metastases from EGFRmutated NSCLC, and dose escalation may be a better treatment strategy. Further research is required to determine the optimal selection of TKIs, the best drug dosage, and the impact of different mutation types on TKIs efficacy in LM.

Monotherapy has a limited effect on improving the prognosis of LM, while a combination treatment model may provide greater benefit. Retrospective studies show that the use of ITC and EGFR-TKI are important predictors of good survival prognosis (7, 24). A

retrospective analysis (9) showed that combining osimertinib (80mg/day) with ITC of methotrexate resulted in a mPFS of 10.8 months for LM patients who progressed after EGFR-TKI. This is comparable to the efficacy observed with 160 mg/day osimertinib in the BLOOM study, suggesting that combination therapy may offer better outcomes. Another retrospective analysis showed that in NSCLC patients who progressed to LM after osimertinib treatment (n=9), the combination of high-dose aumolertinib (220 mg/day) with ITC of pemetrexed, combined or not combined with bevacizumab resulted in a mPFS of 11 months and a mOS of 14 months (25). Additionally, relevant studies have shown that TKIs combined with anti-angiogenic drugs has better efficacy in LM. A retrospective real-world study (26) indicated that compared with EGFR-TKI alone, TKI combined with anti-angiogenic therapy (bevacizumab) experienced a delayed onset of LM (mOS1: 19.4 months vs. 13.9 months) and an extended survival after LM (mOS2: 14.5 months vs. 10.0 months). However, EGFR-TKI combined with systemic chemotherapy did not show a survival benefit advantage. A phase II prospective clinical trial (27) using osimertinib (80mg/ day) plus bevacizumab (7.5mg/kg, q3W) for EGFRm NSCLC with LM (n=14) showed mLM-PFS of 9.3 months, LM-ORR of 50%, mOS of 12.6 months, and 1-year OS rate of 35.7%. Common AEs included leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, anemia, rash, anorexia, fatigue, ingrown toenail, hemoptysis/rhinorrhea, creatinine elevation, hypertension, and proteinuria. Grade 3 AEs were rare (one case of creatinine elevation, two cases of hypertension). Therefore, a combination of TKI with ITC or anti-angiogenesis therapy may be a more optimal treatment strategy for LM.

The diagnosis and prognosis of LM are crucial, but the unique nature of LM presents challenges for assessment. The Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) group has proposed a composite evaluation that includes three elements: standardized neurological examination, CSF cytology or flow cytometry, and radiographic evaluation (28, 29). However, the assessment criteria still lack clinical universality. Several studies show that tumor markers in CSF assist in diagnosing LM (1, 30, 31). In this case, a significant increase in CEA levels in CSF was noted at initial diagnosis. Moreover, the detection of cfDNA in CSF was crucial since the patient could not provide pathological tissue at that time. Studies indicate that liquid biopsy technology can achieve sensitivity as high as 93% (32). In our patient group, EGFR exon 21 mutations were detected in the CSF, with a significantly higher mutation load than in the blood. During treatment, two co-mutations were detected in CSF but not in blood. A small prospective study (n=21) indicated that the detection rate of EGFR mutations in CSF circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) was about 2.4 times higher than that in blood (33). CSF testing more accurately reflects molecular changes associated with meningeal metastasis and offers better prognostic value than blood tests. For a thorough evaluation of patients with LM, combined CSF and blood tests are recommended. A significant correlation exists between tumor cell clearance in CSF and dynamic changes in CEA levels, which are key indicators for monitoring treatment efficacy and prognosis. While dynamic changes in cfDNA concentration in CSF were not significantly linked, studies suggest its potential prognostic

value (34). Furthermore, circulating tumor cells (CTCs) and proinflammatory cytokines in CSF also correlated with LM patient prognosis (34, 35). Thus, alongside CSF cytology and tumor markers, csfDNA testing can be an essential diagnostic and management tool for LM.

Patients with NSCLC and LM exhibit significant heterogeneity, leading to varied clinical outcomes. In this case, the patient was diagnosed with LM initially, indicating high malignancy and aggressive tumor behavior that complicate treatment. However, after targeted therapy and ITC, the patient experienced prolonged PFS. A retrospective Korean study found that NSCLC patients with initial LM do not always have a poor prognosis; some achieved OS exceeding 12 months (36). Future research should further investigate the clinical and molecular characteristics of this subgroup. Patients with targetable mutations presenting with initial LM may be suitable candidates for aggressive therapeutic interventions.

In summary, LM is a hidden and dangerous disease, making early identification and intervention essential. Treatment presents both challenges and opportunities, and molecularly stratified treatment is recommended for NSCLC patients. We are currently conducting a prospective, open-label clinical trial titled "Aumolertinib Combined Intrathecal Chemotherapy for Leptomeningeal Metastasis From EGFR-Mutated NSCLC and Prognostic Value of Dynamic Changes in cfDNA Profiles " (NCT05810350). Preliminary results have been presented at the 2023 and 2024 WCLC, and look forward to the future results. This case is one of our trial participants. Our findings suggest that combining high-dose amorafenib with intrathecal chemotherapy via the Ommaya reservoir may be a promising treatment for EGFRpositive NSCLC patients with LM. Monitoring CSF cfDNA using NGS technology aids in the diagnosis and treatment of LM patients. More randomized controlled trials are needed to further explore TKI drug selection, dosage, combination strategies, and achieve an appropriate balance between efficacy, quality of life, and cost-effectiveness.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Scientific research Ethics Committee of Chongqing University Three Gorges Hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

MZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Project administration, Visualization, Writing – original draft. LZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. JG: Data curation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. CC: Data curation, Writing – original draft, Methodology. WW: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. XH: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YL: Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Science and Health Joint Research Project in Wanzhou District, Chongqing (Project No. wzstc-kw2022017), the hospital-level Chongqing University Three Gorges Hospital (Project No. 2022YJKYXM-010), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities Project (Project No. 2023CDJYGRH-YB07), and the CSCO Haosen Cancer Research Fund Project (Project No. Y-HS202301-0093).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2025.1502934/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Zhao Y. The riddle of the sphinx: progress in leptomeningeal metastasis of nonsmall cell lung cancer. *Clin Med Insights Oncol.* (2023) 17:11795549231205206. doi: 10.1177/11795549231205206

2. Li YS, Jiang BY, Yang JJ, Tu HY, Zhou Q, Guo WB, et al. Leptomeningeal metastases in patients with Nsclc with Egfr mutations. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2016) 11:1962–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2016.06.029

3. Remon J, Le Rhun E, Besse B. Leptomeningeal carcinomatosis in non-small cell lung cancer patients: A continuing challenge in the personalized treatment era. *Cancer Treat Rev.* (2017) 53:128–37. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.12.006

4. Umemura S, Tsubouchi K, Yoshioka H, Hotta K, Takigawa N, Fujiwara K, et al. Clinical outcome in patients with leptomeningeal metastasis from non-small cell lung cancer: Okayama lung cancer study group. *Lung Cancer (Amsterdam Netherlands)*. (2012) 77:134–9. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2012.03.002

5. Yan W, Liu Y, Li J, Han A, Kong L, Yu J, et al. Whole brain radiation therapy does not improve the overall survival of Egfr-mutant Nsclc patients with leptomeningeal metastasis. *Radiat Oncol (London England)*. (2019) 14:168. doi: 10.1186/s13014-019-1376-z

6. Yang JCH, Kim SW, Kim DW, Lee JS, Cho BC, Ahn JS, et al. Osimertinib in patients with epidermal growth factor receptor mutation-positive non-small-cell lung cancer and leptomeningeal metastases: the bloom study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2020) 38:538–47. doi: 10.1200/jco.19.00457

7. Morris PG, Reiner AS, Szenberg OR, Clarke JL, Panageas KS, Perez HR, et al. Leptomeningeal metastasis from non-small cell lung cancer: survival and the impact of whole brain radiotherapy. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2012) 7:382–5. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e3182398e4f

8. Zhen J, Wen L. Whole brain radiotherapy (Wbrt) for leptomeningeal metastasis from nsclc in the era of targeted therapy: A retrospective study. *Radiat Oncol (London England).* (2020) 15:185. doi: 10.1186/s13014-020-01627-y

9. Xu H, Chen H, Kong J, Zhang Y, Liu S, Yang G, et al. Survival analysis of different kinds of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in the treatment of patients with epidermal growth factor receptor mutated non-small cell lung cancer and leptomeningeal metastasis. *Chin Med J.* (2022) 102:7. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.cn112137-20211009-02231

10. Comlek S, Saglam S. A new approach for leptomeningeal metastases: chemotherapy administered through lumbar intrathecal port. *Arquivos neuro-psiquiatria*. (2021) 79:816–23. doi: 10.1590/0004-282x-anp-2020-0554

11. Pan Z, Yang G, Cui J, Li W, Li Y, Gao P, et al. A pilot phase 1 study of intrathecal pemetrexed for refractory leptomeningeal metastases from non-small-cell lung cancer. *Front Oncol.* (2019) 9:838. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00838

12. Fan C, Zhao Q, Li L, Shen W, Du Y, Teng C, et al. Efficacy and safety of intrathecal pemetrexed combined with dexamethasone for treating tyrosine kinase inhibitor-failed leptomeningeal metastases from Egfr-mutant Nsclc-a prospective, open-label, single-arm phase 1/2 clinical trial (Unique identifier: chictr1800016615). *J Thorac Oncol.* (2021) 16:1359–68. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2021.04.018

13. Li H, Lin Y, Yu T, Xie Y, Feng J, Huang M, et al. Treatment response to intrathecal chemotherapy with pemetrexed via an ommaya reservoir in Egfr-mutated leptomeningeal metastases from non-small cell lung cancer: A case report. *Ann palliative Med.* (2020) 9:2341–6. doi: 10.21037/apm-19-521

14. Jackman DM, Cioffredi LA, Jacobs L, Sharmeen F, Morse LK, Lucca J, et al. A phase I trial of high dose gefitinib for patients with leptomeningeal metastases from non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncotarget*. (2015) 6:4527–36. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.2886

15. Nosaki K, Yamanaka T, Hamada A, Shiraishi Y, Harada T, Himeji D, et al. Erlotinib for non-small cell lung cancer with leptomeningeal metastases: A phase ii study (Logik1101). *oncologist.* (2020) 25:e1869–e78. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2020-0640

16. Tamiya A, Tamiya M, Nishihara T, Shiroyama T, Nakao K, Tsuji T, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid penetration rate and efficacy of Afatinib in patients with Egfr mutation-positive non-small cell lung cancer with leptomeningeal carcinomatosis: A multicenter prospective study. *Anticancer Res.* (2017) 37:4177–82. doi: 10.21873/ anticanres.11806

17. Patil S, Rathnum KK. Management of leptomeningeal metastases in non-small cell lung cancer. *Indian J Cancer*. (2019) 56:S1-s9. doi: 10.4103/ijc.IJC_74_19

18. Tamura K, Yoshida T, Masuda K, Matsumoto Y, Shinno Y, Okuma Y, et al. Comparison of clinical outcomes of osimertinib and first-generation Egfr-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (Tkis) in tki-untreated Egfr-mutated non-small-cell lung cancer with leptomeningeal metastases. *ESMO Open*. (2023) 8:101594. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2023.101594

19. Park S, Lee MH, Seong M, Kim ST, Kang JH, Cho BC, et al. A phase ii, multicenter, two cohort study of 160 Mg osimertinib in Egfr T790m-positive nonsmall-cell lung cancer patients with brain metastases or leptomeningeal disease who progressed on prior Egfr Tki therapy. *Ann Oncol.* (2020) 31:1397–404. doi: 10.1016/ j.annonc.2020.06.017

20. Chen H, Wang L, Wu Y, Wu Y, Ma S , Yang S, et al. High-dose furmonertinib in patients with Egfr-mutated non-small cell lung cancer and leptomeningeal metastases: a real-world study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2024) 42:16_suppl:8587-7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2024.42.16_suppl.8587

21. Lu S, Dong X, Jian H, Chen J, Chen G, Sun Y, et al. Central nervous system efficacy of aumolertinib versus gefitinib in patients with untreated, Egfr-mutated, advanced non-small cell lung cancer: data from a randomized phase iii trial (Aeneas). *Cancer Commun (London England)*. (2024) 44:1005–17. doi: 10.1002/cac2.12594

22. Fan Y, Zhu J, He J, Zhou R, Chen J, Han G, et al. 367p high-dose aumolertinib in Egfr-mutant Nsclc patients with brain metastases: primary data from achieve. *Ann Oncol.* (2022) 33:S1585. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2022.10.405

23. Zhang X, Zhang M, Wei C, Du X, Zhang G, Niu Y, et al. P1.12a.05 aumolertinib in treatment-naïve Egfr-mutant Nsclc patients with brain metastases : efficacy and safety data from the artistry. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2024) 19:S194. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2024.09.351

24. Lee SJ, Lee JI, Nam DH, Ahn YC, Han JH, Sun JM, et al. Leptomeningeal carcinomatosis in non-small-cell lung cancer patients: impact on survival and correlated prognostic factors. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2013) 8:185–91. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e3182773f21

25. Ding H, Xu T, Wang X, Cheng W, Fang S. Aumolertinib combination therapy for EGFR-mutant NSCLC patients with refractory leptomeningeal metastasis following osimertinib failure. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2023) 18:11_suppl:S670–1. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2023.09.1287

26. Wu Y, Zhao Y, Wu Y, Chen H, Ma S, Wang Q. A retrospective real-world study of prognostic factors associated with Egfr mutated lung cancer with leptomeningeal metastasis. *Clin Lung Cancer*. (2024) 25:347–53.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.cllc.2024.02.001

27. Lu ZQ, Cai J, Wang X. Osimertinib combined with bevacizumab for leptomeningeal metastasis from Egfr-mutation non-small cell lung cancer: A phase ii single-arm prospective clinical trial. *Thorac Cancer*. (2021) 12:172–80. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.13738

28. Chamberlain M, Junck L, Brandsma D, Soffietti R, Rudà R, Raizer J, et al. Leptomeningeal metastases: A rano proposal for response criteria. *Neuro-oncology*. (2017) 19:484–92. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/now183

29. Le Rhun E, Devos P, Boulanger T, Smits M, Brandsma D, Rudà R, et al. The rano leptomeningeal metastasis group proposal to assess response to treatment: lack of feasibility and clinical utility and a revised proposal. *Neuro-oncology*. (2019) 21:648–58. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noz024

30. Sasaki S, Yoshioka Y, Ko R, Katsura Y, Namba Y, Shukuya T, et al. Diagnostic significance of cerebrospinal fluid Egfr mutation analysis for leptomeningeal metastasis in non-small-cell lung cancer patients harboring an active Egfr mutation following gefitinib therapy failure. *Respir Invest.* (2016) 54:14–9. doi: 10.1016/j.resinv.2015.07.001

31. Wang X, Tang X, Gu J, Sun Z. Ceacam6 serves as a biomarker for leptomeningeal metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Med.* (2023) 12:4521–9. doi: 10.1002/cam4.5221

32. Lin X, Fleisher M, Rosenblum M, Lin O, Boire A, Briggs S, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid circulating tumor cells: A novel tool to diagnose leptomeningeal metastases from epithelial tumors. *Neuro-oncology*. (2017) 19:1248–54. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/nox066

33. Ma C, Yang X. Detection of circulating tumor DNA from non-small cell lung cancer brain metastasis in cerebrospinal fluid samples. *Thorac Cancer*. (2020) 11:588–93. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.13300

34. Nevel KS, DiStefano N, Lin X, Skakodub A, Ogilvie SQ, Reiner AS, et al. A retrospective, quantitative assessment of disease burden in patients with leptomeningeal metastases from non-small-cell lung cancer. *Neuro-oncology.* (2020) 22:675–83. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noz208

35. Naidoo J, Schreck KC, Fu W, Hu C, Carvajal-Gonzalez A, Connolly RM, et al. Pembrolizumab for patients with leptomeningeal metastasis from solid tumors: efficacy, safety, and cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers. *J Immunother Cancer*. (2021) 9 (8):e002473. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2021-002473

36. Park JH, Kim YJ, Lee JO, Lee KW, Kim JH, Bang SM, et al. Clinical outcomes of leptomeningeal metastasis in patients with non-small cell lung cancer in the modern chemotherapy era. *Lung Cancer (Amsterdam Netherlands)*. (2012) 76:387–92. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2011.11.022