Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mingzhou Guo, People's Liberation Army General Hospital, China

REVIEWED BY

Sazzad Hassan, Indiana University Bloomington, United States Sankar Jagadeeshan, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Israel

*CORRESPONDENCE Wanning Hu Wanning_hu_1964@163.com Guogui Sun guogui_sun2021@sina.com

Zhi Zhang 🔀 zhi1969@163.com

RECEIVED 28 September 2024 ACCEPTED 21 February 2025 PUBLISHED 11 March 2025

CITATION

Song Q, Wu H, Jin Y, Hou J, Liu J, Zhang X, Hu W, Sun G and Zhang Z (2025) Fruquintinib inhibits the migration and invasion of colorectal cancer cells by modulating epithelial-mesenchymal transition via TGF- β /Smad signaling pathway. *Front. Oncol.* 15:1503133. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2025.1503133

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Song, Wu, Jin, Hou, Liu, Zhang, Hu, Sun and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Fruquintinib inhibits the migration and invasion of colorectal cancer cells by modulating epithelialmesenchymal transition via TGF-β/Smad signaling pathway

Qinqin Song^{1,2}, Hongjiao Wu³, Ye Jin⁴, Junzhi Hou⁵, Jiawei Liu⁶, Xuemei Zhang⁷, Wanning Hu^{1,2*}, Guogui Sun^{8*} and Zhi Zhang^{5*}

¹Department of Oncology, Hebei Medical University, Shijiazhuang, China, ²Affliated Tangshan Gongren Hospital, Hebei Medical University, Tangshan, China, ³School of Public Health, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan, China, ⁴College of Clinical Medicine, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan, China, ⁵Department of Oncology, Affiliated Tangshan Gongren Hospital, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan, China, ⁶Department of Immunology, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin, China, ⁷College of Life Science, North China University of Science and Technology, Tangshan, China, ⁸Department of Hebei Key Laboratory of Medical-Industrial Integration Precision Medicine, North China University of Science and Technology Affiliated Hospital, Tangshan, China

Background: Fruquintinib, a selective vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) inhibitor, has shown considerable efficacy in colorectal cancer (CRC) treatment. Despite its promising therapeutic effects, the precise molecular mechanisms underlying its therapeutic effects remain incompletely understood. In this study, we explored the functional roles and molecular mechanisms of fruquintinib in CRC therapy.

Material and methods: Human CRC cells (HCT-116 and LOVO) were cultured and treated with fruquintinib. Cell counting kit-8 assay kit (CCK-8) and colony formation assays were performed to investigate the effects of fruquintinib on cell proliferation. Wound healing and transwell assays were conducted to explore the role of fruquintinib on migration and invasion. RNA sequencing and bioinformatics analysis was used to investigate the potential mechanism of fruquintinib in the development of CRC. Western blot was used to measure the protein level.

Results: Fruquintinib significantly inhibited the proliferation, migration, and invasion of colorectal cancer cells. Bioinformatics analysis indicated that fruquintinib modulated the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) pathway, and experimental validation confirmed its regulatory effects on core EMT-associated protein biomarkers. Notably, fruquintinib treatment resulted in the upregulation of E-cadherin and the downregulation of N-cadherin, vimentin, and MMP9. Western blot analysis revealed that fruquintinib dose-dependently suppressed SMAD2/3 expression. Notably, treatment with the TGF- β receptor agonist KRFK TFA attenuated fruquintinib's effect, reversing the upregulation of E-cadherin as well as the downregulatin of N-cadherin and SMAD2/3.

Additionally, KRFK TFA partially restored CRC cell migration and invasion in transwell assays, counteracting fruguintinib's inhibitory impact.

Conclusion: These findings indicate that Fruquintinib effectively hampers the migration and invasion of CRC cells by disrupting the EMT process via the TGF- β / Smad signaling pathway. This study sheds light on the mechanisms by which fruquintinib inhibits CRC progression and underscores its potential for further clinical investigation.

KEYWORDS

fruquintinib, colorectal cancer, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), TGF- β /Smad signaling pathway, vascular endothelial growth factor Receptor (VEGFR)

1 Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) ranks as the second most lethal cancer worldwide in terms of overall incidence (1). Metastases are responsible for the majority of cancer-related death, with approximately 15-30% of CRC patients with metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis, and an additional 20-50% developing metastases later during disease progression (2, 3). Moreover, the outcome of CRC patients remains poor, with a 5-year overall survival (OS) rate of less than 15% (4). These statistics highlight an urgent clinical need for the development of novel and effective therapeutic strategies for the treatment of CRC.

Fruquintinib is a highly selective small-molecule inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR), designed to block tumor neovascular growth. *In vitro*, fruquintinib effectively inhibits VEGFR-1, -2 and -3 with half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) of 33, 35 and 0.5 nmol/L, respectively, while exhibiting minimal inhibitory effects on RET, FGFR-1 and c-KIT kinases (5). *In vivo*, fruquintinib has been demonstrated to exhibit promising antitumor effects in various human tumor xenograft mouse models. For example, fruquintinib inhibits stomach cancer cell growth by 24.1% and 48.6% when dosed at 5 mg/kg or 20 mg/kg daily, respectively (5). Furthermore, a phase 3 clinical trial revealed that fruquintinib significantly improved OS time by 2.7 months and the progression-free survival (PFS) time by 1.9 months (6). This further validates its antitumor efficacy in the treatment of CRC.

VEGFR-2, one of the key molecular targets of fruquintibib, is aberrantly overexpressed in many malignant tumors and contributes to the occurrence of certain cancers (7). Recent studies demonstrated that the upregulation of VEGFR-2 was correlated with reduced membranous E-cadherin and elevated levels of mesenchymal markers, such as N-cadherin, and vimentin, thereby promoting epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (8, 9). EMT plays a prominent role in tumor initiation, development, invasion and metastasis (10). EMT can be initiated by the activation of a network of transcription factors (EMT-TFs), such as SNAIL, ZEB and TWIST families, which play pivotal roles in facilitating tumor metastasis and progression (11).

Although fruquintinib has demonstrated promising anti-tumor effects *in vivo*, the molecular mechanisms underlying its action remain poorly understood. In this study, we evaluated the antitumor effects of fruquintinib on CRC cells *in vitro* and found that it disrupted the EMT process via the TGF- β /Smad signaling.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals and reagents

Fruquintinib was purchased from Psaitong (Beijing, China). It was dissolved in DMSO at a concentration of 10 mM, then stored at 4°C until use. The TGF- β receptor agonist KRFK TFA was obtained from MedChem Express (New Jersey, USA), prepared in DMSO at a concentration of 50 μ M and stored at -20°C until use. Mouse IgG (H+L) and rabbit IgG (H+L) antibodies were purchased from Seracare (Massachusetts, USA). Anti- β -actin, anti-N-cadherin and anti-E-cadherin antibodies were purchased from GeneTex (California, USA), while anti-MMP-9 and anti-vimentin antibodies were obtained from Proteintech (Wuhan, China).

2.2 Cell culture

Human colon cancer cell lines (HCT-116, LOVO) were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, USA). Both cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (EallBio Life Sciences, Beijing, China), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (EallBio Life Sciences, Beijing, China) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (P/S) (EallBio Life Sciences, Beijing, China). Cells were maintained in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2 at 37°C. To analyze the effects of fruquintinib on colon cancer, HCT116 and LOVO cells were treated with different concentrations of fruquintinib (0, 50, 100, 150, 200 $\mu M).$

2.3 Cell counting kit-8 analysis

The viability of cancer cells was detected by CCK-8 assay. HCT116 and LOVO cells (5×10³ cells/well) were seeded into 96-well plates. After 24 h incubation, the cells were treated with fruquintinib at various concentrations. At 24 h, 48 h and 72 h post-treatment, the medium containing fruquintinib was removed, and a 10% CCK-8 solution was then added to each well for 1 h at 37°C. Cell viability was determined by measuring the optical density (OD) at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Thermoscientific, Waltham, USA). The proliferation of fruquintinibtreated cells was normalized to that of the control cells treated with the vehicle (DMSO) only. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

2.4 Colony formation analysis

Long-term cell viability was determined using a colony formation assay. Cancer cells were seeded into 6-well plates for 24 h, followed by treatment with fruquintinib at various concentrations (0, 100 or 200 μ M for LOVO cells; 0, 50 or 100 μ M for HCT116 cells) for additional 24 h. The treated cells (2×10³ cells/2 ml) were then resuspended and reseeded into 6-well plate. Subsequently, the cells were incubated for 14 days. After fixation with methanol and staining with crystal violet, colonies were then observed under an inverted microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

2.5 Wound healing assay

The cancer cell migration was evaluated by a scratch wound healing assay. LOVO and HCT116 cells were homogeneously seeded in 6-well plates (4×10^5 /well). Once the cells reached 80% confluency, a scratch was created in the center of each well using a sterile pipette tip. Following treatment with different concentrations of fruquintinib, the scratch areas were observed under a reverse biological microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) at the time point of 0h, 24 h and 48 h. The wound width was measured using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA) and the healing rate was calculated relative to the starting wound width at 0-h time point. Each experiment was repeated in triplicate.

2.6 Transwell assays

The invasion and migration capacities of LOVO and HCT116 cells were evaluated using transwell assays. Transwell plates (8 μ m, JET BIOFIL, Guangzhou, China) with or without Matrigel coating (Corning, New York, USA) were used in the upper chamber, while the lower chamber was filled with 600 μ L of medium containing 20% FBS. To ensure consistency in cell viability and response to drug exposure, treatments were administered when the cells reached approximately 70–80% confluency. After treatment with fruquintinib (0, 50, 100, 200 μ M)/fruquintinib (100, 200 μ M) and KRFK TFA (50

 μ M) for 24 hours, 5×10^4 colon cancer cells were seeded in the upper chambers. Following incubation for 24 h (migration assay) or 48 h (invasion assay), cells that have traversed the membrane were stained with crystal violet and counted under a microscope. Each experiment was repeated three times.

2.7 RNA-sequencing

RNA-seq was conducted by Shanghai Zhongke New Life Biotechnology (Shanghai, China). Briefly, LOVO cells were treated with or without 100 μ M or 200 μ M fruquintinib for 24 h. The total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Magen, Guangdong, China). The RNA Screen Tape assay was used for RNA integrity assessment on Agilent 4150 Tape Station system (Agilent Technologies Inc, Beijing, China) (RIN). RNA-seq libraries were prepared using ABclonal MRA-Seq Lib Prep Kit (ABclonal, Wuhan, China), with mRNA enriched using magnetic beads conjugated with Oligo (dT). RNA was then sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq 4000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, USA).

2.8 Biological function and pathway enrichment analyses

DESeq2 was used to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with thresholds set at $|Log2FC| \ge 1$ and a false discovery rete (FDR) <0.05. Pathway enrichment analysis was performed using cluster Profiler (version 3.14.3) R package, based on hallmark gene sets downloaded from GSEA database (https://www.gsea-msigdb.org).

2.9 Western blotting

Cells were lysed by RIPA lysis reagent supplemented with Phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). Proteins were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membranes, which were then blocked with 5% Skim Milk (Biotopped, Beijing, China) for 3 h at room temperature. The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, including anti-β-actin (1:10000, GeneTex, California, USA), anti-N-cadherin (1:1000; GeneTex, California, USA) and anti-E-cadherin(1:1000; GeneTex, California, USA), anti-MMP9 (1:1000; Proteintech,Wuhan, China), anti-SMAD2/SMAD3 (1:10000; GeneTex, California, USA), anti-vimentin (1:5000; Proteintech, Wuhan, China), and then with conjugated secondary antibodies [anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (1:10000; Seracare, Massachusetts, USA) or anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (1:10000; Seracare, Massachusetts, USA) for 1 h at room temperature. Protein bands were then visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence luminescence (ECL) kit (Applygen, Beijing, China).

2.10 Prediction of differential expressed transcription factors

Differentially expressed transcription factors (EMT-TFs) were identified using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data. Differentially

expressed genes (DEGs) were filtered based on |log2FC| > 1 and adjusted p-value (FDR) < 0.05. The Animal TFDB (http:// bioinfo.life.hust.edu.cn), Pfam, and DBD databases were used to annotate the TFs of DEGs. Following annotation, we used the TRRUST2.0 database to identify the targets of the identified transcription factors. Specifically, we focused on the wellcharacterized EMT-TFs, including SNAI1, SNAI2, TWIST1, TWIST2, ZEB1, and ZEB2, and identified their associated targets using Sangerbox3.0. The regulation of VIM (vimentin) and CDH1 (E-cadherin) by ZEB2, SNAI1, SNAI2, ZEB1, and TWIST1 was inferred based on these regulatory relationships and the literature.

2.11 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses for the wound healing assay, colony formation, transwell assays, and western blotting were performed using Student's t-test and one- or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant for all tests. Data analysis was conducted using SPSS (v26.0, IBM, USA).

3 Results

3.1 Fruquintinib suppresses the proliferation of colon cancer cells

To determine the anti-cancer activity of fruquintinib, HCT116 and LOVO cells were treated with increasing concentrations of drug. We found that fruquintinib inhibited cell viability in a dose- and timedependent manner. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) values for fruquintinib in LOVO cells were 243.2, 226.3 and 173.1 µM after 24-, 48- and 72-hours incubation, respectively (Figure 1A). In HCT116 cells, the IC50 were 163.1, 121.2 and 111.1 µM after 24-, 48-



Analysis of cell proliferation in colorectal cancer cells treated with fruquintinib. (A, B) Cell viability measured by CCK8 assay of LOVO (A) and HCT116 (B) cells treated with the indicated concentrations of fruquintinib for 24, 48 and 72 h. (C-F) Representative images of colony forming assay in LOVO (C) and HCT116 (E) cells, along with their quantitative analysis (D, F). Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Statistical significance compared to control group is indicated by asterisks: ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001.

and 72-hours incubation, respectively (Figure 1B). Furthermore, colony formation assays revealed that fruquintinib inhibited the proliferation of both LOVO and HCT116 cells, with higher concentration of fruquintinib demonstrating greater efficacy (Figures 1C–F).

3.2 Fruquintinib inhibits cell migration and invasion

To assess the effect of fruquintinib on the metastatic potential of CRC cells, wound healing and transwell assays were performed. In the wound healing assay, fruquintinib treatment markedly retarded the motility of CRC cells in a concertation-dependent manner (Figures 2A–D). Similarly, transwell assays demonstrated that fruquintinib significantly inhibited the migration and invasion capacity of the cells (Figures 3A, D) in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 3B, C, E, F).

3.3 Pathway analysis associated with fruquintinib targets

To identify the molecular targets regulated by fruquintinib, we conducted an RNA-seq assay using LOVO cell lines. The processed RNA sequencing data are presented in Supplementary Table 1. This assay revealed 1,193 and 1,489 upregulated genes, as well as 1,339 and

1,244 downregulated genes, in cells treated with 100 µM or 200µM fruquintinib, respectively (Figures 4A–D). Pathway enrichment analysis of the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) showed that these genes were primarily involved in mitotic spindle pathway, E2F targets pathway, G2M checkpoint pathway, epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT) pathway and mTORC1 signaling pathway (Figures 5A, B).

3.4 Effect of fruquintinib on EMT

To investigate whether the effects of fruquintinib on the migration and invasion of colon cancer cells are associated with EMT, we detected the expression of N-cadherin, E-cadherin, MMP-9 and vimentin following treatment with different concentrations of fruquintinib. We found that fruquintinib treatment led to a dose-dependent upregulation of E-cadherin, and a pronounced downregulation of N-cadherin, MMP-9 and vimentin (Figure 6).

3.5 Fruquintinib inhibits CRC cell migration and invasion via a TGF β -SMAD2-3-4 pathway

Our findings demonstrate that fruquintinib significantly curtails the migration and invasion of CRC cells, alongside a marked



FIGURE 2

Wound healing assay of colorectal cancer cells treated with fruquintinib. (A-D) Wound healing assay of LOVO (A) and HCT116 (C) cells with fruquintinib treatment and their quantification (B, D, respectively). The images (magnification: 40x) were captured at 0, 24, 48 and 72 h after treatment. Each experiment was performed in triplicate. Statistical significance compared to control group is indicated by asterisks: *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001.



reduction in the expression of EMT-associated proteins. Given the critical role of the TGF- β /Smad pathway in regulating EMT (12), we employed western blotting to assess the expression of SMAD2/3 in CRC cells. The results revealed that fruquintinib markedly dampens SMAD2/3 expression in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 7A, B).

To confirm that fruquintinib exerts its inhibitory effects by modulating the TGF β /SMAD2-3 signaling axis, we treated CRC cells with fruquintinib in conjunction with the TGF- β receptor agonist KRFK TFA. Western blotting analysis showed that KRFK TFA counteracted the fruquintinib-induced upregulation of E-cadherin and the downregulation of N-cadherin and SMAD2/3 (Figures 7C, D). Furthermore, transwell assays revealed that KRFK TFA mitigated fruquintinib's suppressive effect on CRC cells (Figures 7E, F).

These findings suggest that KRFK TFA partially reverse inhibitory effect fruquintinib on CRC cell migration and invasion, highlighting the critical role of the TGF- β /Smad pathway in mediating fruquintinib's mechanism of action.

3.6 The prediction of EMT-associated transcription factors

To further explore the changes in EMT, we predicted the differential expression of EMT-associated transcription factors (EMT-TFs) following fruquintinib treatment. Based on our differential expression analysis, we identified four key EMT-TFs: SNAI1, SNAI2, TWIST1, and ZEB2, which are well-known regulators of the EMT process (13). Among these transcription

factors, ZEB2, SNAI1, and SNAI2 were found to target VIM (vimentin) and CDH1 (E-cadherin). Additionally, TWIST1 and ZEB1 were implicated in targeting other key EMT-associated genes. This conclusion was drawn based on bioinformatics analysis using the TRRUST2.0 database, with the regulatory relationships confirmed by Sangerbox3.0 software (Figure 8).

4 Discussion

Clinical studies have reported that 36%-81% of patients with metastatic CRC are diagnosed with multisite metastases (14), with 70-80% of these patients often classified as unresectable cancer, resulting in a survival rate of less than 5% (15). For CRC patients, conventional fluorouracil (FU)-based chemotherapy is the standard treatment with a median survival of 17-23 months (16). In recent years, the use anti-VEGF monoclonal antibodies has shown efficacy, extending median survival time to approximately 30 months in CRC patients; however, 5-year OS rates remain below 20% (3, 17). Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), a new class of targeted antineoplastic agents, have dramatically improved patient survival and quality of life for various solid tumors (18). Fruquintinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, has been reported to exert inhibitory effect on CRC, gastric cancer, lung cancer, advanced bone and soft tissue sarcoma cells (19-22). However, its precise mechanism has still not been fully elucidated.

To investigate the antitumor effect of fruquintinib in CRC, we conducted CCK-8 and colony formation assays, which



indicating log2 FPKM value. (**B**, **D**) The volcano plot of the DEGs. The blue and red indicates the down and upregulated DEGs, respectively. DEGs, differentially expressed genes; FPKM, Fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads.

demonstrated that this drug significantly inhibited the proliferation of CRC cells *in vitro* in a dose-dependent manner. A previous study has revealed that fruquintinib suppressed endothelial cell proliferation, inhibited tubule sprouting and prevented tumor angiogenesis (5). Additionally, fruquintinib has also been reported to inhibit proliferation and induce apoptosis in a mouse syngeneic model of CT26 cells (23). These findings are consistent with our *in vitro* results, suggesting that fruquintinib exerts its anti-tumor effects through multiple mechanisms, including inhibition of tumor cell proliferation and angiogenesis.

EMT plays a crucial role in colorectal cancer (CRC) progression, metastasis, and resistance to therapy. EMT is characterized by the loss of epithelial traits and the acquisition of mesenchymal properties, which enhances cancer cell migration and invasion (24, 25). Several key markers define E-cadherin is often downregulated in aggressive CRC, whereas mesenchymal markers

such as N-cadherin, vimentin, and matrix metalloproteinases are upregulated, facilitating tumor invasion and metastasis (26). In CRC, the inverse relationship between the expression of E-cadherin and mesenchymal markers like N-cadherin and vimentin is associated with increased metastasis and poorer clinical prognosis (27–29). In our study, fruquintinib treatment led to the upregulation of E-cadherin and the downregulation of Ncadherin, vimentin, and MMP9, suggesting that it inhibits EMT and may reduce CRC cell motility and invasiveness. These results are consistent with findings for regorafenib, another TKI that inhibits EMT in cholangiocarcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma (30, 31).

VEGF has been reported to play a crucial role in angiogenesis, vascular permeability and tumor cell invasion (32). Previous studies have shown that the EMT, characterized by the downregulation of E-cadherin, and the upregulation of vimentin and N-cadherin,



FIGURE 5

Pathway enrichment analyse of the DEGs. (A, B) The top 10 enriched pathways of the DEGs regulated by 100 μ M (A) or 200 μ M (B) fruquintinib. The colour gradient from blue to red represents -log10 (q value).



FIGURE 6

Fruquintinib inhibits the activation of EMT pathway. (A-D) Protein expression of key members of the EMT signaling pathway following fruquintinib treatment. Each experiment was repeated three times. Statistical significance compared to the control group is indicated by asterisks, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.



promotes interstitial adhesion (33) and induces the expression of both VEGF and VEGFR-1 (34). Chen et al. found that VEGF regulated EMT markers (E-cadherin, N-cadherin and vimentin) (35). Fantozzi et al. found that the loss of E-cadherin in tumor cells correlated not only with increased tumor invasion and metastasis but also with increased VEGF-A-induced tumor angiogenesis (36). Moreover, the VEGF-A receptor neuropilin-1 has been shown to promote Snail nuclear localization, thereby enhancing EMT (37).

Fruquintinib is a potent VEGFR inhibitor, and emerging studies suggest that VEGF signaling interacts with the TGF- β pathway (38), which plays a pivotal role in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and tumor progression. Our bioinformatics analysis also supported this hypothesis by identifying significant alterations in EMT-associated gene expression following fruquintinib treatment.

The TGF- β /Smad signaling pathway is a well-established regulator of EMT in CRC (39). SMAD2/3 phosphorylation is a key downstream event in TGF- β -induced EMT, leading to transcriptional changes that promote tumor progression (40). In fact, the overexpression of SMAD2 has been shown to negatively correlate with the expression of E-cadherin, contributing to poor prognosis in gastric cancer patients (41). Moreover, the suppression of E-cadherin can induce nuclear accumulation of SMAD2/3, increasing apoptosis (42). The SMAD3/4 complex, in association with SNAI1, represses Ecadherin expression and enhances N-cadherin expression, thereby promoting EMT in cancer cells (43, 44). Our findings show that fruquintinib suppresses SMAD2/3 expression in a dose-dependent manner, indicating that it interferes with the TGF- β /Smad axis to prevent EMT activation. Moreover, the partial reversal of fruquintinib's inhibitory effects on migration and invasion by KRFK TFA, a TGF- β receptor agonist, provided functional validation that the TGF- β /EMT axis is involved in fruquintinib's mechanism of action. However, future research should further investigate this interplay in preclinical models to fully elucidate its therapeutic implications in colorectal cancer.

Given the central role of EMT markers and Smad signaling components in CRC, these molecules could potentially serve as predictive biomarkers for response to fruquintinib. Patients exhibiting high baseline expression of mesenchymal markers (e.g., N-cadherin, vimentin, MMP9) or activated Smad signaling may benefit from fruquintinib due to its EMT-suppressing effects. Conversely, monitoring changes in these markers post-treatment may help evaluate drug efficacy and guide personalized therapeutic strategies. However, further clinical studies are required to validate these biomarkers in patient cohorts and assess their predictive value in therapeutic outcomes.

In this study, we identified key EMT-associated transcription factors (EMT-TFs), including SNAI1, SNAI2, TWIST1, and ZEB2, based on bioinformatic predictions derived from differential gene expression analysis. These predictions were supported by established transcription factor databases (such as AnimalTFDB,



The prediction of EMT-inducing transcription factors (EMT-TFs). (A, B) Sankey diagram is used to show the correspondence between transcription factors and target factors. From left to right, the first column is the transcription factor family, the second column is transcription factor, and the third column is the target gene.

Pfam, and DBD) and further analyzed using the TRRUST2.0 database to infer potential regulatory relationships between these transcription factors and their target genes, such as VIM (vimentin) and CDH1 (E-cadherin). The key members of EMT-TFs, including ZEB, SNAIL and TWIST, play critical roles in orchestrating the extensive transcriptional changes associated with EMT (45-47). Thiery et al. reported that SNAIL and ZEB directly repressed Ecadherin. While Twist acted as an indirect E-cadherin repressor. Notably, Snai1 upregulates the expression of Zeb family proteins in carcinoma cells by inducing the expression of a natural antisense transcript for ZEB2 (NAT) (48). Zheng et al. reported that ZEB2 and TWIST1 expression levels are elevated in CRC tissues and positively correlated with CRC stages. Moreover, ZEB2 can recruit TWIST1, PRMT5, and NuRD complex to form a repressive multicomplex that epigenetically silences CDH1, thereby driving EMT process and promoting metastasis in CRC (49). These findings further underscore the potential of fruquintinib to modulate EMT-TFs and suppress EMT-associated metastasis in CRC.

Although bioinformatics approaches provide valuable insights and are widely used in the field, we acknowledge that these findings are based on computational predictions rather than experimental validation. Our study has limitations in confirming the direct interactions between the identified EMT-TFs and their target genes. Further experimental validation, such as qRT-PCR and ChIP assays, would be necessary to definitively confirm these predicted regulatory relationships. This represents an important avenue for future research to strengthen the mechanistic understanding of fruquintinib's effects on EMT in CRC.

While our study provides significant insights into the molecular mechanisms of fruquintinib in CRC, it is essential to consider how these *in vitro* findings translate into *in vivo* models and clinical outcomes. Our results demonstrate that fruquintini effectively inhibits CRC cell migration and invasion by suppressing the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) via the TGF- β /Smad pathway. Given that EMT is a key driver of CRC metastasis (50), these findings suggest that fruquintinib may exhibit anti-metastatic properties *in vivo* by maintaining epithelial characteristics and preventing tumor cell dissemination. However, we acknowledge that our investigation was conducted solely *in vitro*. As fruquintinib is already FDA-approved for metastatic CRC (51), further studies utilizing *in vivo* metastatic models are necessary to comprehensively

evaluate its mechanistic effects within a physiological tumor microenvironment. Future research should focus on validating our findings in animal models to explore the systemic impact of fruquintinib on EMT regulation and metastatic progression. These studies will be crucial in bridging the gap between our *in vitro* results and clinical applicability.

Clinically, fruquintinib has demonstrated efficacy as a third-line treatment for metastatic CRC (52). Our findings suggest that tumors with high EMT activity may be more responsive to fruquintinib due to its ability to reverse mesenchymal traits and suppress invasion. Therefore, assessing EMT and Smad signaling markers in patient-derived tumor samples may help guide personalized treatment strategies and improve therapeutic outcomes. However, further clinical validation is required to establish the predictive value of these biomarkers in CRC patients receiving fruquintinib treatment.

Despite the need for additional *in vivo* and clinical studies, our findings provide a foundation for further mechanistic research and highlight the potential of fruquintinib as a targeted therapy in CRC, particularly in cases with active EMT-driven progression.

5 Conclusion

Fruquintinib effectively inhibits the migration and invasion of CRC cells by disrupting the EMT process through the TGF- β -Smad signaling pathway. These findings highlight the potential of fruquintinib as a therapeutic agent in CRC treatment, highlighting the need for further investigation into its clinical applicability.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gsa-human, HRA005402.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the studies on humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used.

Author contributions

QS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Visualization, Writing -

original draft, Writing – review & editing. HW: Conceptualization, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. YJ: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Validation, Writing – review & editing. JH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. JL: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. XZ: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. WH: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. GS: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. ZZ: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. ZZ: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (grant number H2023105018) and Tangshan municipal science and technology plan project (grant number H23130232).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be interpreted as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2025.1503133/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2021) 71:209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

2. Vayrynen V, Wirta EV, Seppala T, Sihvo E, Mecklin JP, Vasala K, et al. Incidence and management of patients with colorectal cancer and synchronous and metachronous colorectal metastases: a population-based study. *BJS Open.* (2020) 4:685–92. doi: 10.1002/bjs5.50299

3. Cervantes A, Adam R, Rosello S, Arnold D, Normanno N, Taieb J, et al. Metastatic colorectal cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* (2023) 34:10–32. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2022.10.003

4. Wang J, Li S, Liu Y, Zhang C, Li H, Lai B. Metastatic patterns and survival outcomes in patients with stage IV colon cancer: A population-based analysis. *Cancer Med.* (2020) 9:361–73. doi: 10.1002/cam4.2673

5. Sun Q, Zhou J, Zhang Z, Guo M, Liang J, Zhou F, et al. Discovery of fruquintinib, a potent and highly selective small molecule inhibitor of VEGFR 1, 2, 3 tyrosine kinases for cancer therapy. *Cancer Biol Ther.* (2014) 15:1635–45. doi: 10.4161/15384047.2014.964087

6. Dasari A, Sobrero A, Yao J, Yoshino T, Schelman W, Yang Z, et al. FRESCO-2: a global Phase III study investigating the efficacy and safety of fruquintinib in metastatic colorectal cancer. *Future Oncol.* (2021) 17:3151–62. doi: 10.2217/fon-2021-0202

7. Liu XJ, Zhao HC, Hou SJ, Zhang HJ, Cheng L, Yuan S, et al. Recent development of multi-target VEGFR-2 inhibitors for the cancer therapy. *Bioorg Chem.* (2023) 133:106425. doi: 10.1016/j.bioorg.2023.106425

8. Hongxia G, Xiaojie J, Guangxian L, Min Z, Shiwei N, Wangjie C, et al. Licoflavone A suppresses gastric cancer growth and metastasis by blocking the VEGFR-2 signaling pathway. J Oncol. (2022) 2022:5497991. doi: 10.1155/2022/5497991

9. Modi SJ, Kulkarni VM. Discovery of VEGFR-2 inhibitors exerting significant anticancer activity against CD44+ and CD133+ cancer stem cells (CSCs): Reversal of TGF-β induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur J medicinal Chem.* (2020) 207:112851. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112851

10. Pastushenko I, Blanpain C. EMT transition states during tumor progression and metastasis. *Trends Cell Biol.* (2019) 29:212–26. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2018.12.001

11. Goossens S, Vandamme N, Van Vlierberghe P, Berx G. EMT transcription factors in cancer development re-evaluated: Beyond EMT and MET. *Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer*. (2017) 1868:584–91. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2017.06.006

12. Yu ZL, Liu J, Ning ZK, Tian HK, Wu X, Huang YF, et al. The TGF- β /Smad2/3 signaling pathway is involved in Musashi2-induced invasion and metastasis of colorectal cancer. *Mol Carcinog.* (2023) 62:261–76. doi: 10.1002/mc.23484

13. Lambert AW, Weinberg RA. Linking EMT programmes to normal and neoplastic epithelial stem cells. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2021) 21:325–38. doi: 10.1038/ s41568-021-00332-6

14. Sorbye H, Kohne CH, Sargent DJ, Glimelius B. Patient characteristics and stratification in medical treatment studies for metastatic colorectal cancer: a proposal for standardization of patient characteristic reporting and stratification. *Ann Oncol.* (2007) 18:1666–72. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdm267

15. Simmonds PC, Primrose JN, Colquitt JL, Garden OJ, Poston GJ, Rees M. Surgical resection of hepatic metastases from colorectal cancer: A systematic review of published studies. *Br J Cancer*. (2006) 94:982–99. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6603033

16. Falcone A, Ricci S, Brunetti I, Pfanner E, Allegrini G, Barbara C, et al. Phase III trial of infusional fluorouracil, leucovorin, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan (FOLFOXIRI) compared with infusional fluorouracil, leucovorin, and irinotecan (FOLFIRI) as first-line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer: the Gruppo Oncologico Nord Ovest. J Clin Oncol. (2007) 25:1670–76. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.09.0928

17. Venook AP, Niedzwiecki D, Lenz HJ, Innocenti F, Fruth B, Meyerhardt JA, et al. Effect of first-line chemotherapy combined with cetuximab or bevacizumab on overall survival in patients with KRAS wild-type advanced or metastatic colorectal cancer: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2017) 317:2392–401. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.7105

18. Huang L, Jiang S, Shi Y. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors for solid tumors in the past 20 years (2001-2020). J Hematol Oncol. (2020) 13:143. doi: 10.1186/s13045-020-00977-0

19. Li J, Qin S, Xu RH, Shen L, Xu J, Bai Y, et al. Effect of fruquintinib vs placebo on overall survival in patients with previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer: the FRESCO randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2018) 319:2486–96. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.7855

20. Zhang Y, Wang ZX, Shen L, Li J, Huang J, Su WG, et al. A phase Ib/II study of fruquintinib in combination with paclitaxel as the second-line therapy for advanced gastric cancer. *Cancer Commun (Lond)*. (2023) 43:150–53. doi: 10.1002/cac2.12379

21. Lu S, Zhou JY, Niu XM, Zhou JY, Jian H, Yin HY, et al. Fruquintinib with gefitinib as first-line therapy in patients carrying EGFR mutations with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a single-arm, phase II study. *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* (2021) 10:839–54. doi: 10.21037/tlcr-20-1028

22. Ding X, Liu Y, Zhang Y, Liang J, Li Q, Hu H, et al. Efficacy and safety of fruquintinib as third- or further-line therapy for patients with advanced bone and soft tissue sarcoma: a multicenter retrospective study. *Anticancer Drugs.* (2023) 34:877–82. doi: 10.1097/CAD.00000000001482

23. Wang Y, Wei B, Gao J, Cai X, Xu L, Zhong H, et al. Combination of fruquintinib and anti-PD-1 for the treatment of colorectal cancer. *J Immunol.* (2020) 205:2905–15. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2000463

24. Fontana R, Mestre-Farrera A, Yang J. Update on epithelial-mesenchymal plasticity in cancer progression. *Annu Rev Pathol.* (2024) 19:133–56. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-pathmechdis-051222-122423

25. Son H, Moon A. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition and cell invasion. *Toxicol Res.* (2010) 26:245–52. doi: 10.5487/TR.2010.26.4.245

26. Sabouni E, Nejad MM, Mojtabavi S, Khoshduz S, Mojtabavi M, Nadafzadeh N, et al. Unraveling the function of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in colorectal cancer: Metastasis, therapy response, and revisiting molecular pathways. *BioMed Pharmacother*. (2023) 160:114395. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2023.114395

27. Yun JA, Kim SH, Hong HK, Yun SH, Kim HC, Chun HK, et al. Loss of E-Cadherin expression is associated with a poor prognosis in stage III colorectal cancer. *Oncology.* (2014) 86:318–28. doi: 10.1159/000360794

28. Yan X, Yan L, Liu S, Shan Z, Tian Y, Jin Z. N-cadherin, a novel prognostic biomarker, drives Malignant progression of colorectal cancer. *Mol Med Rep.* (2015) 12:2999–3006. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2015.3687

29. Al-Maghrabi J. Vimentin immunoexpression is associated with higher tumor grade, metastasis, and shorter survival in colorectal cancer. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* (2020) 13:493–500.

30. Chang YC, Li CH, Chan MH, Chen MH, Yeh CN, Hsiao M. Regorafenib inhibits epithelial-mesenchymal transition and suppresses cholangiocarcinoma metastasis via YAP1-AREG axis. *Cell Death Dis.* (2022) 13:391. doi: 10.1038/s41419-022-04816-7

31. Zhang N, Zhang S, Wu W, Lu W, Jiang M, Zheng N, et al. Regorafenib inhibits migration, invasion, and vasculogenic mimicry of hepatocellular carcinoma via targeting ID1-mediated EMT. *Mol Carcinog*. (2021) 60:151–63. doi: 10.1002/mc.23279

32. Saharinen P, Eklund L, Pulkki K, Bono P, Alitalo K. VEGF and angiopoietin signaling in tumor angiogenesis and metastasis. *Trends Mol Med.* (2011) 17:347–62. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2011.01.015

33. Micalizzi DS, Farabaugh SM, Ford HL. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cancer: parallels between normal development and tumor progression. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia*. (2010) 15:117–34. doi: 10.1007/s10911-010-9178-9

34. Bates RC, Goldsmith JD, Bachelder RE, Brown C, Shibuya M, Oettgen P, et al. Flt-1-dependent survival characterizes the epithelial-mesenchymal transition of colonic organoids. *Curr Biol.* (2003) 13:1721–27. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2003.09.002

35. Chen L, Lin G, Chen K, Liang R, Wan F, Zhang C, et al. VEGF promotes migration and invasion by regulating EMT and MMPs in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *J Cancer*. (2020) 11:7291–301. doi: 10.7150/jca.46429

36. Fantozzi A, Gruber DC, Pisarsky L, Heck C, Kunita A, Yilmaz M, et al. VEGFmediated angiogenesis links EMT-induced cancer stemness to tumor initiation. *Cancer Res.* (2014) 74:1566–75. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-1641

37. Mak P, Leav I, Pursell B, Bae D, Yang X, Taglienti CA, et al. ERbeta impedes prostate cancer EMT by destabilizing HIF-1alpha and inhibiting VEGF-mediated snail nuclear localization: implications for Gleason grading. *Cancer Cell.* (2010) 17:319–32. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2010.02.030

38. Goumans MJ, Liu Z, ten Dijke P. TGF-beta signaling in vascular biology and dysfunction. *Cell Res.* (2009) 19:116–27. doi: 10.1038/cr.2008.326

39. Fasano M, Pirozzi M, Miceli CC, Cocule M, Caraglia M, Boccellino M, et al. TGF- β Modulated pathways in colorectal cancer: new potential therapeutic opportunities. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2024) 25:7400. doi: 10.3390/ijms25137400

40. Wang Q, Xiong F, Wu G, Wang D, Liu W, Chen J, et al. SMAD proteins in TGFβ Signalling pathway in cancer: regulatory mechanisms and clinical applications. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. (2023) 13:2769. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics13172769

41. Yang Z, Huang WX, Wang S, Yao JB, Da M. Expression and clinical significance of paired- related homeobox 1 and Smad2 in gastric cancer. *Eur J Cancer Prev.* (2021) 30:154–60. doi: 10.1097/cej.00000000000619

42. Padmanaban V, Krol I, Suhail Y, Szczerba BM, Aceto N, Bader JS, et al. E-cadherin is required for metastasis in multiple models of breast cancer. *Nature*. (2019) 573:439-44. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1526-3

43. Vincent T, Neve EP, Johnson JR, Kukalev A, Rojo F, Albanell J, et al. A SNAIL1-SMAD3/4 transcriptional repressor complex promotes TGF-beta mediated epithelialmesenchymal transition. *Nat Cell Biol.* (2009) 11:943–50. doi: 10.1038/ncb1905

44. Yang H, Wang L, Zhao J, Chen Y, Lei Z, Liu X, et al. TGF-β-activated SMAD3/4 complex transcriptionally upregulates N-cadherin expression in non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer (Amsterdam Netherlands)*. (2015) 87:249–57. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2014.12.015

45. Lamouille S, Xu J, Derynck R. Molecular mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2014) 15:178–96. doi: 10.1038/nrm3758

46. Tam WL, Weinberg RA. The epigenetics of epithelial-mesenchymal plasticity in cancer. *Nat Med.* (2013) 19:1438–49. doi: 10.1038/nm.3336

47. Dongre A, Weinberg RA. New insights into the mechanisms of epithelialmesenchymal transition and implications for cancer. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2019) 20:69–84. doi: 10.1038/s41580-018-0080-4 48. Thiery JP, Acloque H, Huang RY, Nieto MA. Epithelial-mesenchymal transitions in development and disease. *Cell.* (2009) 139:871–90. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2009.11.007

49. Zheng Y, Dai M, Dong Y, Yu H, Liu T, Feng X, et al. ZEB2/TWIST1/PRMT5/ nuRD multicomplex contributes to the epigenetic regulation of EMT and metastasis in colorectal carcinoma. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2022) 14:3426. doi: 10.3390/cancers14143426

50. Lu J, Kornmann M, Traub B. Role of epithelial to mesenchymal transition in colorectal cancer. Int J Mol Sci. (2023) 19:14815. doi: 10.3390/ijms241914815

51. Fusco MJ, Casak SJ, Mushti SL, Cheng J, Christmas BJ, Thompson MD, et al. FDA approval summary: fruquintinib for the treatment of refractory metastatic colorectal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2024) 15:3100–104. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-24-0281

52. Ma S, Chen R, Duan L, Li C, Yang T, Wang J, et al. Efficacy and safety of toripalimab with fruquintinib in the third-line treatment of refractory advanced metastatic colorectal cancer: results of a single-arm, single-center, prospective, phase II clinical study. *J Gastrointest Oncol.* (2023) 14:1052–63. doi: 10.21037/jgo-23-108