#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY José Manuel Lopes, Centro Hospitalar Universitário São João, Portugal

#### REVIEWED BY Luciana Cavalheiro Marti, Albert Einstein Israelite Hospital, Brazil WenQing Yang, ClinBridge Biotech Co. Ltd, China Rahul Gupta, Synergy Institute of Medical Sciences, India

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yuan Hexue Vuanhexue@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 27 November 2024 ACCEPTED 11 June 2025 PUBLISHED 26 June 2025

#### CITATION

Liang Z, Zongjian L, Chunlai P, Lihua W and Hexue Y (2025) Successful multimodality treatment of anorectal melanoma after wide local excision: a case report. *Front. Oncol.* 15:1535376. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2025.1535376

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Liang, Zongjian, Chunlai, Lihua and Hexue. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Successful multimodality treatment of anorectal melanoma after wide local excision: a case report

Zhao Liang<sup>1†</sup>, Liu Zongjian<sup>1†</sup>, Pan Chunlai<sup>1</sup>, Wang Lihua<sup>2</sup> and Yuan Hexue<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Colorectal Anal Surgery, Shenyang Coloproctology Hospital, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Shenyang Coloproctology Hospital, Shenyang, Liaoning, China

**Objective:** The purpose of this case report is to explore the efficacy of comprehensive treatment of anal melanoma and to provide reference for the treatment of anal melanoma.

**Methods:** A rare case of anorectal melanoma was collected. After local excision, the patient was treated with a combination of the anti-vascular survival drug bevacizumab, anti-PD-1 immunotherapy and other treatments, and the patient's prognosis and survival were observed.

**Results:** A 61-year-old male was admitted to the hospital with complaints of anal prolapse and a mass appearing after defecation, accompanied by intermittent bloody stool for one week. He was initially diagnosed with mixed hemorrhoids, and a hemorrhoidectomy was performed. Postoperative pathology confirmed malignant melanoma with negative surgical margins, leading to a clinical diagnosis of AM. Following local excision surgery, the patient underwent targeted therapy with bevacizumab, anti-PD-1 immunotherapy and other treatments. After nearly three years of follow-up, the patient remained in good condition and while follow-up CT and MRI revealed some enlarged lymph nodes, there were no symptoms or signs of systemic metastasis.

**Conclusion:** The combination of local resection of the primary lesion with bevacizumab targeted therapy and anti-PD-1 immunotherapy is feasible and can substantially improve the survival time of patients with AM.

#### KEYWORDS

anorectal tumor, melanoma, targeted therapy, immunotherapy, case report

# Introduction

Anorectal melanoma (AM) is a rare tumor with an incidence rate of 4.8 per 10 million annually (1). It often presents with nonspecific symptoms, such as an anal mass, pain, or blood in the stool. Diagnosing AM can be challenging, as clinical and imaging findings are typically inconclusive, leading to frequent misdiagnoses and delays in early detection. Studies have shown that approximately 60% of patients with AM present with distant metastases at the time of diagnosis (2). Further research has reported a 5-year survival rate of 6-22% (3), with advanced-stage disease having a 5-year survival rate of 0% (4). Herein, we report a rare case of AM, analyzing the pathological and molecular characteristics, as well as the treatment approach, to enhance understanding of the disease, provide a reference for clinical multidisciplinary treatment, and promote further targeted research.

## Case report

A 61-year-old Chinese man presented to our hospital with a one-week history of intermittent bloody stools. The patient was admitted to the hospital with a one-week history of intermittent hematochezia, accompanied by persistent swelling and pain in the anus. There was no fever, weight loss, or fatigue. The patient reported normal diet and sleep patterns, with regular urination and stools (1–2 times per day), although the stools were not well formed. The patient had a history of hypertension for 10 years. The patient had a 40-year history of smoking one pack per day and consuming half-pound wine daily. He denied any family history of the disease. Physical examination revealed that the patient had a normal body mass index (BMI). No palpable or enlarged superficial lymph nodes were noted. Cardiopulmonary function was normal, and the liver and spleen were not enlarged on palpation. Specialized examination showed irregular perianal skin tags, with the largest measuring approximately  $0.5 \times 0.6$  cm and maximum thickness 0.4 cm. Lung CT and ultrasound examinations of the liver, gallbladder, and spleen showed no significant abnormalities. Anorectal endosonography also revealed no obvious abnormalities.

The patient was misdiagnosed with mixed hemorrhoids and underwent a mixed hemorrhoidectomy on August 11, 2021 to remove an external hemorrhoidal mass measuring approximately 0.5x0.6 cm and maximum thickness 0.4 cm. However, postoperative pathology findings: AM, mitotic index was 1/mm<sup>2</sup>, and no lymph node or vascular invasion was observed.

Pathological analysis revealed a negative resection margin. Postoperative laboratory tests showed that tumor markers CA-50, CA24-2, carcinoembryonic antigen and alpha-fetoprotein were normal, while CA72-4 was elevated. Hematoxylin and eosin staining of the pathological tissue confirmed the diagnosis of anorectal melanoma (Figure 1). Immunohistochemical staining revealed positive results for Vim, HMB45, Mel-A, CD117, CD56, CD34 (blood vessels), and Ki67 (50%) (Figures 2, 3). Therefore, the final diagnosis was anal melanoma (AM). After a multidisciplinary consultation, the patient started a 4-course chemotherapy on September 3, 2021, with intravenous cisplatin (30 mg per  $m^2$ ) and oral temozolomide (150 mg per  $m^2$ ) and once in 21 days. Three months later, abdominal enhanced computed tomography (CT) and rectal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed enlarged lymph nodes in the right and left internal iliac regions, with the largest measuring approximately 17 × 21 mm which lymph node metastasis was considered. Genetic testing did not detect BRAF V600E mutation, and the patient subsequently received five courses of intravenous bevacizumab (15 mg per square meter) plus nivolumab (3 mg per kilogram) every 21 days. At the last followup, in July 2024, the patient was in good physical condition, with no abnormalities on physical examination. From February 28, 2022 to July 3, 2023, the patient underwent CT and MRI every 1  $\sim$  2



#### FIGURE 1

Hematoxylin and eosin-stained images show marked melanin, infiltration of surrounding tissue, heterogeneous tumor cells, abundant mitosis with atypical mitosis, and acidophilic, basophilic, rhabdomyoloid cytoplasm (×200).



Histological and immunohistochemical images (×200). (A) CD117 diffuse positive reaction; (B) HBM45positive reaction; (C) Ki67 positive reaction.

months, which showed no significant changes in the enlarged pelvic lymph nodes and no evidence of metastasis to other sites. The patient survived well and no adverse events or treatment-related toxicities were noted.

# Discussion

AM is a rare tumor, with the majority of malignant melanocytes originating in the anal canal, and a smaller proportion originating in the lower rectum and rectosigmoid junction (5). It is reported that the overall median time to diagnosis was 4 months for anal melanoma, 3 months for rectal melanoma, and 4 months for anorectal junction melanoma (6). Thus, the disease is characterized by early systemic spread and generally has a poor prognosis.

AM often presents as polypoid lesions and is easily misdiagnosed as hemorrhoids or polyps. Some studies have found that distant metastasis occurred in 6 out of 13 (46.2%) patients with AM who were initially misdiagnosed as hemorrhoids. Further

research has shown that such misdiagnosis can significantly impact the prognosis of the disease (5). In the present case, after being misdiagnosed as mixed hemorrhoids, local excision (LE) of the lesion was performed. The patient was treated with cisplatin combined with oral temozolomide chemotherapy, and the pelvic lymph nodes were enlarged significantly. In order to explore the further therapeutic options, genetic testing was performed and no BRAF V600E mutation was found, so bevacizumab targeted therapy combined with anti-pd-1 immunotherapy was used. The patient was followed up regularly for 3 years and remained in good general health. Due to the rarity and aggressiveness of AM, there are no established standard diagnostic and therapeutic approaches to date. Therefore, this case could provide valuable guidance and reference for the management of patients with AM.

Currently, evidence suggests that tumor stage in melanoma may be an independent predictor of survival (7, 8). Nonetheless, a metaanalysis of 347 cases found that survival in AM was not associated with tumor staging (1). Although a separate AJCC staging system exists for head and neck mucosal melanoma (9), there are no specific staging criteria for AM. In addition, some studies have



Histological and immunohistochemical images (x200). (A) Mel-A positive reaction: (B) Vim positive reaction

03

indicated that patients with AM lesions  $\leq 2 \text{ mm}$  have better survival outcomes than those with lesions >2 mm (10, 11). Surprisingly, several reports have found that tumor size in AM are not associated with disease-specific survival (12, 13). These findings suggest that there is still insufficient evidence to propose definitive treatment guidelines for AM. Therefore, treatment options and therapeutic approaches should be carefully selected on a case-by-case basis.

So far, multimodal treatment involving surgical therapy has become the primary treatment strategy for AM. Surgical options for AM can be classified into abdominal perineal resection (APR) and local excision (LE) for anus preservation. There is ongoing controversy between the two approaches, but both aim to improve survival through R0 resection. APR is a more invasive procedure, with the disadvantages of a longer hospital stay and extended recovery period (14-16). Furthermore, the burden of colostomy often negatively impacts the quality of life (14-16). APR is also associated with a higher rate of complications, such as voiding problems and sexual dysfunction (14-16). In contrast, LE is a less invasive procedure that is becoming increasingly popular. Notably, several studies have confirmed that APR has the same 5year survival and recurrence rates as LE (17), suggesting that LE should be considered an initial treatment option for AM (4, 12, 18). In the present case, it was demonstrated that the surgical approach of LE can achieve comparable survival and local control results.

Historically, patients with AM have responded poorly to radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy (19). To date, no systemic therapeutic regimen has been established as the standard of care for AM. However, with the development of targeted therapies (such as BRAF, MEK, CDK4/6, and C-KIT inhibitors) and immunotherapies (including anti-CTLA4 antibodies and anti-PD-1 antibodies), the systemic treatment of melanoma has been dramatically revolutionized (20).

It has been reported that activating mutations in BRAF or c-KIT may be present in malignant melanoma, which has important implications for the tumor's response to anticancer drugs targeting BRAF or c-KIT (21). Further studies have shown that in patients with BRAF-mutated metastatic melanoma, the combination of the BRAF inhibitor Dabrafenib and the MEK inhibitor Trametinib may be an effective therapeutic modality, demonstrating impressive remission rates and survival benefits (22). However, in this case report, since BRAF V600E was not mutated, the patient was treated with the angiogenesis-inhibiting drug Bevacizumab. Bevacizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody and was the first anti-angiogenic drug used in antitumor therapy, showing promising efficacy in the treatment of anorectal melanoma (23). Furthermore, researchers have conducted several in vivo studies and found that Bevacizumab not only inhibits tumor angiogenesis but also enhances the efficacy of cytotoxic drugs and immunotherapies, thereby exerting significant antitumor effects (24).

With the discovery of immune checkpoints, cancer immunotherapy has emerged as an effective treatment modality for various solid malignancies (25). Monoclonal antibodies that block immune checkpoint receptors, such as cytotoxic Tlymphocyte antigen (CTLA)-4, programmed death (PD)-1, and its ligand PD-L1, have shown broad-spectrum activity against a wide range of tumor types, leading to prolonged survival in many patients. Numerous studies have demonstrated the clinical success of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) (26-28). The first immune checkpoint inhibitor to receive approval in 2011 was the anti-CTLA-4 antibody ipilimumab for the treatment of unresectable or metastatic melanoma (29). Subsequently, the anti-PD-1 drugs nivolumab and pembrolizumab were approved for the treatment of melanoma (29). In the present case, the patient received immunotherapy with nivolumab and achieved good clinical remission. Despite the significant potential of immune checkpoint inhibitors, their success is somewhat limited by the occurrence of inflammatory toxicities, collectively known as immune-related adverse events (30), which can lead to treatment delays and interruptions. Nevertheless, combining immunotherapy with chemotherapy or targeted therapy has become a common approach in the treatment of AM (23).

Notably, angiogenic factors contribute to immunosuppression by directly inhibiting antigen-presenting cells and immune effector cells or by enhancing the activity of regulatory T cells (Tregs), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs). These suppressive immune cells, in turn, can promote angiogenesis, creating a vicious cycle of impaired immune activation (24). Surprisingly, it has been demonstrated that in advanced melanoma, the combination of bevacizumab and ipilimumab has shown favorable effects on anti-angiogenesis and ICIs, resulting in prolonged patient survival (31). However, nivolumab adjuvant therapy in AM patients has been associated with significantly longer recurrence-free survival and a lower incidence of grade 3 or 4 adverse events compared with ipilimumab (28). Herein, after LE of the tumor, the patient remained alive and in good condition following the combination of adjuvant therapy with bevacizumab and nivolumab, with a follow-up period of 3 years. The results of this case report further confirm that the combination of the antiangiogenic agent bevacizumab and the anti-PD-1 agent nivolumab can prolong survival in AM patients and provide a reference for developing a standard treatment regimen for AM.

## Conclusion

This article reports a rare case of AM and its surgical treatment modality, followed by postoperative adjuvant therapy with the combination of the antiangiogenic drug bevacizumab and the anti-PD-1 drug nivolumab, a strategy that has been seldom reported to date. Moreover, we reviewed the relevant literature and discussed the choice of surgical treatment for AM. Notably, evidence in the literature suggests that LE can achieve comparable survival and local control outcomes when compared with APR. In addition, there is growing support for the strategy of combining bevacizumab and ICIs with favorable clinical activity. Collectively, we found that the combination of bevacizumab and nivolumab significantly improved the survival of our patient. However, few case reports regarding this treatment strategy and large-sample, multicenter studies are severely lacking. Thus, further case studies with long-term follow-up are needed to confirm these results.

#### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

#### **Ethics statement**

The requirement of ethical approval was waived by Shenyang Coloproctology hospital for the studies involving humans because Shenyang Coloproctology hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

#### Author contributions

ZL: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization. LZ: Conceptualization, Investigation, Data curation, Resources, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. PC: Formal Analysis, Resources, Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. WL: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. YH: Supervision, Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation,

#### References

1. Jutten E, Kruijff S, Francken AB, Lutke Holzik MF, van Leeuwen BL, van Westreenen HL, et al. Surgical treatment of anorectal melanoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJS Open*. (2021) 5. doi: 10.1093/bjsopen/zrab107

2. van Schaik PM, Ernst MF, Meijer HA, Bosscha K. Melanoma of the rectum: a rare entity. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2008) 14:1633–5. doi: 10.3748/wjg.14.1633

3. Chen H, Cai Y, Liu Y, He J, Hu Y, Xiao Q, et al. Incidence, surgical treatment, and prognosis of anorectal melanoma from 1973 to 2011: A population-based SEER analysis. *Med (Baltimore)*. (2016) 95:e2770. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000002770

4. Droesch JT, Flum DR, Mann GN. Wide local excision or abdominoperineal resection as the initial treatment for anorectal melanoma? *Am J Surg.* (2005) 189:446–9. doi: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2005.01.022

5. Zhang S, Gao F, Wan D. Effect of misdiagnosis on the prognosis of anorectal Malignant melanoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* (2010) 136:1401–5. doi: 10.1007/s00432-010-0793-z

6. Paolino G, Podo Brunetti A, De Rosa C, Cantisani C, Rongioletti F, Carugno A, et al. Anorectal melanoma: systematic review of the current literature of an aggressive

Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization.

### Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, and/or publication of this article. Supported by Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province, No. 2024-MS-276.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Home for Researchers editorial team (www.homefor-researchers.com) for language editing service.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### **Generative Al statement**

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

type of melanoma. *Melanoma Res.* (2024) 34:487-96. doi: 10.1097/ CMR.000000000001003

7. Jutten E, Kruijff S, Francken AB, van Westreenen HL, Wevers KP. Survival following surgical treatment for anorectal melanoma seems similar for local excision and extensive resection regardless of nodal involvement. *Surg Oncol.* (2021) 37:101558. doi: 10.1016/j.suronc.2021.101558

8. Nusrath S, Thammineedi SR, Patnaik SC, Raju K, Pawar S, Goel V, et al. Anorectal Malignant melanoma-defining the optimal surgical treatment and prognostic factors. *Indian J Surg Oncol.* (2018) 9:519–23. doi: 10.1007/s13193-018-0791-1

9. Zanoni DK, Patel SG, Shah JP. Changes in the 8th edition of the American joint committee on cancer (AJCC) staging of head and neck cancer: rationale and implications. *Curr Oncol Rep.* (2019) 21:52. doi: 10.1007/s11912-019-0799-x

10. Goldman S, Glimelius B, Pahlman L. Anorectal Malignant melanoma in Sweden. Report of 49 patients. *Dis Colon Rectum*. (1990) 33:874–7. doi: 10.1007/BF02051925

11. Pessaux P, Pocard M, Elias D, Duvillard P, Avril MF, Zimmerman P, et al. Surgical management of primary anorectal melanoma. *Br J Surg.* (2004) 91:1183–7. doi: 10.1002/bjs.4592

12. Yeh JJ, Shia J, Hwu WJ, Busam KJ, Paty PB, Guillem JG, et al. The role of abdominoperineal resection as surgical therapy for anorectal melanoma. *Ann Surg.* (2006) 244:1012–7. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000225114.56565.f9

13. Falch C, Stojadinovic A, Hann-von-Weyhern C, Protic M, Nissan A, Faries MB, et al. Anorectal Malignant melanoma: extensive 45-year review and proposal for a novel staging classification. *J Am Coll Surg.* (2013) 217:324–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2013.02.031

14. Rencuzogullari A, Abbas MA, Steele S, Stocchi L, Hull T, Binboga S, et al. Predictors of one-year outcomes following the abdominoperineal resection. *Am J Surg.* (2019) 218:119–24. doi: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2018.08.021

15. Tooley JE, Sceats LA, Bohl DD, Read B, Kin C. Frequency and timing of short-term complications following abdominoperineal resection. *J Surg Res.* (2018) 231:69–76. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2018.05.009

16. Bullard KM, Tuttle TM, Rothenberger DA, Madoff RD, Baxter NN, Finne CO, et al. Surgical therapy for anorectal melanoma. *J Am Coll Surg.* (2003) 196:206–11. doi: 10.1016/S1072-7515(02)01538-7

17. Paolino G, Didona D, Macri G, Calvieri S, Mercuri SR. Anorectal melanoma. In: Scott JF, Gerstenblith MR, editors. *Noncutaneous Melanoma*. Brisbane (AU (2018).

18. Thibault C, Sagar P, Nivatvongs S, Ilstrup DM, Wolff BG. Anorectal melanomaan incurable disease? *Dis Colon Rectum*. (1997) 40:661–8. doi: 10.1007/BF02140894

19. Ottaviano M, Giunta EF, Marandino L, Tortora M, Attademo L, Bosso D, et al. Anorectal and genital mucosal melanoma: diagnostic challenges, current knowledge and therapeutic opportunities of rare melanomas. *Biomedicines*. (2022) 10. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines10010150

20. Edwards RH, Ward MR, Wu H, Medina CA, Brose MS, Volpe P, et al. Absence of BRAF mutations in UV-protected mucosal melanomas. *J Med Genet*. (2004) 41:270–2. doi: 10.1136/jmg.2003.016667

21. Wroblewska JP, Dias-Santagata D, Ustaszewski A, Wu CL, Fujimoto M, Selim MA, et al. Prognostic roles of BRAF, KIT, NRAS, IGF2R and SF3B1 mutations in mucosal melanomas. *Cells.* (2021) 10. doi: 10.3390/cells10092216

22. Long GV, Eroglu Z, Infante J, Patel S, Daud A, Johnson DB, et al. Long-term outcomes in patients with BRAF V600-mutant metastatic melanoma who received dabrafenib combined with trametinib. *J Clin Oncol.* (2018) 36:667–73. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.74.1025

23. Han X, Ge P, Liu S, Yang D, Zhang J, Wang X, et al. Efficacy and safety of bevacizumab in patients with Malignant melanoma: a systematic review and PRISMA-compliant meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and non-comparative clinical studies. *Front Pharmacol.* (2023) 14:1163805. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1163805

24. Rahma OE, Hodi FS. The intersection between tumor angiogenesis and immune suppression. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2019) 25:5449–57. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-1543

25. Couzin-Frankel J. Breakthrough of the year 2013. Cancer immunotherapy. Science. (2013) 342:1432-3. doi: 10.1126/science.342.6165.1432

26. Gentzler R, Hall R, Kunk PR, Gaughan E, Dillon P, Slingluff CLJr., et al. Beyond melanoma: inhibiting the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway in solid tumors. *Immunotherapy*. (2016) 8:583–600. doi: 10.2217/imt-2015-0029

27. Eggermont AMM, Suciu S, Testori A. Ipilimumab adjuvant therapy in melanoma. N Engl J Med. (2017) 376:399. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc1615564

28. Weber J, Mandala M, Del Vecchio M, Gogas HJ, Arance AM, Cowey CL, et al. Adjuvant nivolumab versus ipilimumab in resected stage III or IV melanoma. *N Engl J Med.* (2017) 377:1824–35. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1709030

29. Ottaviano M, De Placido S, Ascierto PA. Recent success and limitations of immune checkpoint inhibitors for cancer: a lesson from melanoma. *Virchows Arch.* (2019) 474:421–32. doi: 10.1007/s00428-019-02538-4

30. Dougan M, Luoma AM, Dougan SK, Wucherpfennig KW. Understanding and treating the inflammatory adverse events of cancer immunotherapy. *Cell.* (2021) 184:1575–88. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.02.011

31. Hodi FS, Lawrence D, Lezcano C, Wu X, Zhou J, Sasada T, et al. Bevacizumab plus ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Cancer Immunol Res.* (2014) 2:632–42. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0053