Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sanja Stifter-Vretenar, Skejby Sygehus, Denmark

REVIEWED BY

Sumit Kumar Baral, Jagannath University, Bangladesh Rehana V. Hewavisenti, University of New South Wales, Australia Paweł Topolewski, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland

*CORRESPONDENCE Jin-Sheng Ye Website@bjzhongyi.com

RECEIVED 31 January 2025 ACCEPTED 18 June 2025 PUBLISHED 03 July 2025

CITATION

Pan Z-K, Wu M-H, Shi H and Ye J-S (2025) Immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy for advanced HPV-related penile squamous cell carcinoma: a rare case report. *Front. Oncol.* 15:1569124. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2025.1569124

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Pan, Wu, Shi and Ye. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy for advanced HPVrelated penile squamous cell carcinoma: a rare case report

Zhen-Kun Pan ¹, Meng-Hua Wu ², Hua Shi¹ and Jin-Sheng Ye ³*

¹Department of General Surgery, Beijing Yanqing Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Beijing, China, ²Department of Urology, Beijing Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ³Department of General Surgery/Oncology, Beijing Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

Background: Human papillomavirus type 16 (HPV-16)-associated penile squamous cell carcinoma (PSCC) poses considerable therapeutic challenges, especially in its advanced stages. Although surgery continues to be the cornerstone of treatment, immunotherapeutic approaches hold a promising alternative for patients unable to endure conventional chemotherapy.

Case summary: A 69-year-old male presented with progressive ulceration of the foreskin over the course of one year, which ultimately extended to the glans, accompanied by inguinal lymph node metastasis. The patient underwent surgical resection, including bilateral inguinal lymph node dissection. Histopathological examination confirmed a diagnosis of HPV-16-related PSCC with concomitant PD-L1 expression. Given the patient's poor tolerance to chemotherapy, he was treated with four cycles of the PD-1 inhibitor tislelizumab, resulting in a partial response.

Conclusion: This case underscores the promising potential of immunotherapy as a viable alternative treatment for advanced PSCC in patients who are unable to tolerate chemotherapy. The synergistic integration of surgical intervention, immunotherapy, and psychological support is essential to achieving the best possible outcomes for patients.

KEYWORDS

penile squamous cell carcinoma, human papillomavirus type 16, immunotherapy, lymph node dissection, checkpoint inhibitors, advanced cancer

Introduction

Penile squamous cell carcinoma (PSCC) is a relatively rare malignancy with a higher incidence in developing countries compared to developed nations, presenting significant therapeutic challenges in clinical management (1). Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection, particularly HPV-16, is the primary risk factor for PSCC, with additional contributing factors including phimosis, recurrent infections, smoking, multiple sexual partners, and low socioeconomic status (2, 3).

Early diagnosis and prompt treatment are crucial for a favorable prognosis. The prognosis for individuals diagnosed with advanced penile cancer, particularly those with distant lymph node metastasis, is typically unfavorable. Therefore, the selection of treatment strategies for these patients is of paramount importance. Despite surgical intervention remaining the primary therapeutic approach, post-operative management of tumor recurrence and subsequent treatment presents significant clinical challenges. Chemotherapy demonstrates limited efficacy, characterized by rapid tumor recurrence and substantial toxicity that critically compromises patient tolerability. Existing literature substantiates that patients with suboptimal chemotherapeutic responses experience markedly reduced overall survival (OS) (4). Concurrently, immunotherapy emerges as a promising alternative, yet its underlying mechanisms and definitive biomarkers remain incompletely elucidated. The precise selection of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) continues to represent a nuanced clinical dilemma. Notably, while high PD-L1 expression correlates with adverse prognostic indicators, it simultaneously provides compelling evidence supporting potential immunotherapeutic efficacy (5).

This case report presents a 69-year-old patient with HPV-16associated advanced PSCC who was intolerant to conventional chemotherapeutic regimens. Following PD-1 inhibitor administration, the patient demonstrated partial remission—a clinically active outcome that illuminates the therapeutic potential of immunological interventions in challenging oncological scenarios.

Case presentation

Chief complaints

A 69-year-old male patient presented with a one-year history of progressive foreskin ulceration and a six-month history of left inguinal mass.

History of present illness

The patient first observed localized ulceration on his foreskin approximately one year ago. Despite the application of topical antiinfective treatments, the condition not only persisted but also advanced to involve the glans. On physical examination, an ulcerative, exudative mass was identified in the left inguinal region, accompanied by a malodorous discharge. The patient married at the age of 52 and identifies as heterosexual. He reported experiencing infrequent and less harmonious sexual relations with his wife, compounded by the presence of a phimotic foreskin and suboptimal genital hygiene practices. The patient is in a monogamous relationship and denies engaging in any extramarital sexual contacts.

History of past illness

The patient, who comes from a low-socioeconomic background, presented with no significant medical comorbidities. He denied any history of chronic conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, etc. that could potentially influence disease progression.

Personal and family history

The patient's family history was reviewed, revealing that both parents died of natural causes, with no known history of cancer. He has two healthy sisters who did not report any significant medical issues.

Physical examination

Physical examination revealed an elongated prepuce with phimosis, with an ulcerated, exudative mass measuring about 6 × 5 cm on the foreskin. The left inguinal lymph nodes were palpably enlarged about 5 × 4 cm, firm, and fixed to underlying tissue. See (Figure 1A). Postoperative pathology results report: Paraffin block number YB00826-24: CD31 (vascular +), CD34 (vascular +), Ki-67 (proliferation index 80%), P16 (diffuse +), P40 (+), P63 (+). The VENTANA PD-L1 (SP263) Assay, produced by Ventana Medical Systems, Inc., is designed for the qualitative detection of PD-L1 protein. However, there are currently no established threshold values for PD-L1 expression in penile cancer in China. Laboratory examinations were within normal ranges as follows Table 1.

Imaging examinations

Enhanced CT examination revealed extensive penile involvement with significant left-sided inguinal lymphadenopathy, presenting as a round mass shadow (Figure 1B), suggestive of potential malignant neoplasm. The penile tumor was located at the distal end, appearing as a nearly round mass measuring approximately 5.5×5.5 cm, while the left inguinal region exhibited a tumor measuring 5×4 cm.

Pathological examination confirmed HPV-16-related squamous cell carcinoma (Figures 2A-C), with positive PD-L1 expression (Figure 2D).



FIGURE 1

(A) Ulcerative lesion on the glans penis and shaft, accompanied by inguinal lymphadenopathy; (B) CT scan showing enlarged left inguinal lymph nodes; (C) Resected penile tumor and lymph nodes; (D) Surgical site healing at 6 weeks post-operation.

TABLE 1	Laboratory	examinations	were	within	normal	ranges.	
---------	------------	--------------	------	--------	--------	---------	--

Category	Result	Normal Range					
Hematological Parameters							
White Blood Cell Count	$8.07 \times 10^9/L$	4-10					
Hemoglobin	120 g/L	120-160					
Platelet Count	$101 \times 10^9/L$	100-300					
Biochemical Profiles							
Alanine Aminotransferase (ALT)	26.5 U/L	9-50					
Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST)	33.6 U/L	15-40					
Creatinine (Cre)	61.1 umol/L	57-111					
Tumor Markers							
Alpha-fetoprotein (AFP)	10.51 ng/mL	0-12					
Carcinoembryonic Antigen (CEA)	2.68 ng/mL	0-5					
Cancer Antigen 125 (CA-125)	23.3 U/ml	0-35					
Cancer Antigen 153 (CA 153)	6.20 U/ml	0-31.3					
Cancer Antigen 199 (CA 199)	12.2 U/ml	0-35					

Final diagnosis

HPV-16-related penile squamous cell carcinoma with inguinal lymph node metastasis.

Treatment

The patient underwent surgical excision of the lesion with bilateral inguinal lymph node dissection. Approximately 1 cm of the penile stump was preserved to maintain normal urinary function. Due to the poor tolerance to the chemotherapy regimen (combination of cisplatin and paclitaxel), the treatment was adjusted to monotherapy with a PD-1 inhibitor. Based on previous literature (6), we selected tislelizumab for four cycles of immunotherapy, achieving a partial response. The patient received tislelizumab at 200 mg intravenously every 3 weeks for four cycles.

Outcome and follow-up

The patient exhibited a partial response to immunotherapy. Postoperative complications, such as chylous ascites, were managed



FIGURE 2

(A, B) Histological appearance of tumor cells under low and high magnification using Hematoxylin and Eosin (HE) staining. The tumor tissue grows in a reticular and islet-like pattern, showing extensive necrosis [(A), magnification: 100x]; the tumor cells exhibit significant atypia, with pathological nuclear division and keratinization bead formation visible [(B), magnification: 400x]; (C) Tumor cell nuclei and cytoplasm demonstrate diffuse P16 positivity(magnification: 400x); (D):Tumor cells show moderate intensity complete cell membrane staining for PD-L1(SP263)(magnification: 400x).

conservatively. Following the initial cycle of combined chemotherapy and immunotherapy, the patient experienced severe bone marrow suppression (refer to Table 2 for detailed hematological parameters). With supportive treatment, bone marrow function gradually improved. During the three subsequent cycles of immunotherapy, no clinically significant bone-marrow suppression occurred; fatigue and poor appetite remained the predominant adverse effects. At the one-year follow-up, his FACT-G (Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General) score was 72, indicating a good overall quality of life. He is able to void spontaneously through the residual urethra without catheter assistance and perform activities of daily living independently, although persistent scrotal edema remains a concern. A timeline summarizing the historical and current information from this episode of care is provided in Figure 3.

Discussion

Approximately 95% of penile cancers originate from squamous epithelial cells, which include both *in situ* and invasive SCC. Studies have indicated that PSCC can be categorized into human papillomavirus (HPV)-related and non-HPV-related types (7). A retrospective study conducted from 1986 to 2008 found that approximately 50% of penile malignancies were HPV-related, primarily HPV-16 (about 60%) followed by HPV-18 (about 13%) (8). Research indicates that patients with HPV-associated p16 positivity typically exhibit more favorable prognoses, characterized by notably superior OS and disease-free survival (DFS) rates in comparison to their HPV-negative counterparts (9). Early manifestations typically comprise localized ulceration or growths on the foreskin or glans. The treatment of penile cancer mainly involves targeting the tumor itself and

Hematological Parameters	Baseline	After 1st Cycle (Chemo + Immunotherapy)	After Supportive Treatment	After 4th Cycle (Immunotherapy Monotherapy)	Normal Range
White Blood Cell Count(× $10^9/L$)	8.07	2.32 ↓	6.67	8.17	4-10
Neutrophils (× 10 ⁹ /L)	4.04	1.14 ↓	5.01	6.51	2-7.7
Hemoglobin (g/L)	120	85↓	92↓	100 ↓	120-160
Platelet Count (× 10 ⁹ /L)	101	41 ↓	96↓	93↓	125-350

TABLE 2 Variations in hematological parameters throughout treatment.

The symbol " \downarrow " indicates a value below the lower limit of the normal reference range (i.e., decreased).

04



preserving function, including urinary and sexual functions, which also affects the patient's psychological state. The physiological organ loss and self-esteem issues post-surgery are significant considerations. At the same time, timely surgical treatment facilitated the radical excision of the lesion and bilateral lymph node dissection, despite the elevated risk of wound healing complications. Prior research has underscored the crucial role of lymph node dissection (10). Comprehensive lymph node dissection can potentially improve patient prognosis and survival. Conserving an approximate 1 cm penile stump ensured normal urinary function and optimized psychological acceptance for the patient (8). Following one cycle of chemotherapy, which could not be sustained due to severe bone marrow suppression, the patient transitioned to single-agent PD-1 immunotherapy, indicating that monotherapy utilizing immunotherapy can be an effective alternative strategy. The use of immunotherapy as a novel and promising treatment approach for advanced PSCC is encouraging (5). Followup examinations revealed no discernible metastatic lesions, indicating a partial response. This outcome suggests that single-agent immunotherapy can be an effective alternative when chemotherapy is not tolerated. Research suggests that SCC is highly immunogenic (11). This may be attributed to the distinct properties of the tumor microenvironment (TME), which can effectively stimulate and augment the patient's immune response against cancer. Future research will aim to optimize genomic stability maintenance to enhance T cell recognition and tumor cell eradication efficiency. The partial remission is likely driven by HPV-16 E6/E7-induced p16INK4a and PD-L1 up-regulation, which enhances antigen presentation and primes tumour cells for PD-1 blockade (12).

Chromosomal instability typical of squamous-cell carcinomas further increases neoantigen load, reinforcing immune recognition, While this biology explains the benefit of tislelizumab, the agent can still provoke early immune-related events-transient myelosuppression, fatigue, and rash have all been recorded within the first month and mirror the mild hematologic suppression and scrotal edema seen in our patient (13). Although HPV-positive PSCC typically exhibits relatively low tumor mutational burden (TMB) and microsatellite instability (MSI), these factors alone do not fully determine the response to immune checkpoint inhibitors. Prior genomic profiling studies indicated that HPV-positive PSCC generally displays lower TMB levels compared to HPV-negative PSCC, where high TMB frequently correlates with increased PD-L1 expression and enhanced immune infiltration (14, 15). Furthermore, MSI-high phenotype is exceedingly rare among PSCC patients, limiting its applicability as a universal biomarker for immune checkpoint blockade (14). The immune microenvironment (TME) also distinctly differs between HPVpositive and HPV-negative PSCC. HPV-negative tumors often demonstrate active immune infiltration with robust PD-L1 expression and higher T-cell activity. In contrast, HPV-positive PSCC typically presents an 'immune-exhausted' phenotype characterized by abundant but dysfunctional T-cell infiltration (16). Nevertheless, the immunotherapeutic potential remains promising for HPV-positive PSCC, primarily driven by HPV-associated oncogenic E6 and E7 proteins (16, 17). These viral oncoproteins enhance antigen presentation pathways, prominently through upregulated p16INK4a expression, potentially sensitizing tumors to immune checkpoint inhibition despite lower TMB and MSI statuses (12, 18). In our reported case, the presence of strong PD-L1 expression and HPV-16 positivity provided a justified rationale for using tislelizumab, supporting its efficacy in immunotherapy-resistant PSCC. Thus, despite relatively low TMB and MSI, immune checkpoint inhibition remains a valuable therapeutic strategy for HPV-positive PSCC, driven by viral oncogene-associated immunogenic alterations.

Timely psychological support helps patients resume normal daily routines. This case illustrates that optimal management of advanced penile cancer requires combining surgery with immunotherapy while also attending to the patient's psychological welfare. A key limitation of this report is the restricted availability of pre-operative MRI, which hindered a comprehensive anatomical assessment of local tumour extent.

The case underscores the criticality of prompt and thorough diagnostic evaluation and therapeutic intervention for individuals with advanced penile cancer. Extensive lymph node dissection, coupled with judicious immunotherapeutic approaches, substantially ameliorates patient quality of life and augments survival prospects. In instances where patients exhibit intolerance to chemotherapy, PD-1 inhibitor immunotherapy emerges as a viable and efficacious

alternative treatment modality. A multidisciplinary approach to treatment is necessary.

Despite these encouraging findings, there remain important considerations. First, large-scale prospective trials in PSCC are scarce, and the optimal sequencing or combination of immunotherapeutic agents with surgery, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy is yet to be conclusively determined. Second, while immune checkpoint inhibitors often exhibit a more tolerable toxicity profile compared to classic chemotherapy, immunerelated adverse events can still pose significant clinical challenges. Third, robust biomarkers predicting treatment response—beyond PD-L1 expression and HPV status—are not fully established for PSCC and warrant further exploration to guide personalized therapeutic strategies.

Conclusion

This case illustrates the potential utility of PD-1 inhibitors for the management of advanced HPV-16-related PSCC in patients who are suboptimal candidates for conventional chemotherapy. By integrating surgical resection with immunotherapy and comprehensive supportive care, clinicians may achieve meaningful disease control and maintain patient quality of life. Nevertheless, further multicenter studies and prospective trials are urgently needed to refine immunotherapeutic indications, elucidate predictive biomarkers, and establish standardized treatment algorithms for this rare yet clinically significant malignancy.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Beijing Yanqing Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

Z-KP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. M-HW: Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization. HS: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Resources. J-SY: Resources, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Validation.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the contributions of all medical staff involved in the patient's care. We also express our gratitude to Hong-Kai Zhang and Ru-Yi Cai (Department of Pathology, Beijing Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Capital Medical University) for their invaluable contribution of pathological images.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Bleeker MCG, Heideman DAM, Snijders PJF, Horenblas S, Dillner J, Meijer CJLM. Penile cancer: epidemiology, pathogenesis and prevention. *World J Urol.* (2009) 27:141–50. doi: 10.1007/s00345-008-0302-z

2. Iorga L, Marcu RD, Diaconu C, Stanescu AMA, Stoian AP, Mischianu DLD, et al. Penile carcinoma and HPV infection. *Exp Ther Med.* (2020) 20:91–6. doi: 10.3892/ etm.2019.8181 3. Vieira CB, Feitoza L, Pinho J, Teixeira-Junior A, Lages J, Calixto J, et al. Profile of patients with penile cancer in the region with the highest worldwide incidence. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10:2965. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-59831-5

4. Pagliaro LC, Williams DL, Daliani D, Williams MB, Osai W, Kincaid M, et al. Neoadjuvant paclitaxel, ifosfamide, and cisplatin chemotherapy for metastatic penile cancer: a phase II study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2010) 28:3851–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2010.29.5477

5. Udager AM, Liu TY, Skala SL, Magers MJ, McDaniel AS, Spratt DE, et al. Frequent PD-L1 expression in primary and metastatic penile squamous cell carcinoma: potential opportunities for immunotherapeutic approaches. *Ann Oncol.* (2016) 27:1706–12. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdw216

6. Li N, Xu T, Zhou Z, Li P, Jia G, Li X. Immunotherapy combined with chemotherapy for postoperative recurrent penile squamous cell carcinoma: a case report and literature review. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:837547. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2022.837547

7. Crispen PL, Mydlo JH. Penile intraepithelial neoplasia and other premalignant lesions of the penis. *Urol Clin North Am.* (2010) 37:335-42. doi: 10.1016/j.ucl.2010.04.003

8. Arya M, Kalsi J, Kelly J, Muneer A. Malignant and premalignant lesions of the penis. *BMJ*. (2013) 346:f1149. doi: 10.1136/bmj.f1149

9. Parza K, Mustasam A, Ionescu F, Paravathaneni M, Sandstrom R, Safa H, et al. The prognostic role of human papillomavirus and p16 status in penile squamous cell carcinoma: a systematic review. *Cancers (Basel).* (2023) 15:3713. doi: 10.3390/cancers15143713

10. Joshi SS, Handorf E, Strauss D, Correa AF, Kutikov A, Chen DYT, et al. Treatment trends and outcomes for patients with lymph node-positive cancer of the penis. *JAMA Oncol.* (2018) 4:643–9. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.5608

11. Ansary TM, Hossain MDR, Komine M, Ohtsuki M. Immunotherapy for the treatment of squamous cell carcinoma: potential benefits and challenges. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:8530. doi: 10.3390/ijms23158530

12. Tang Y, Hu X, Wu K, Li X. Immune landscape and immunotherapy for penile cancer. Front Immunol. (2022) 13:1055235. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1055235

13. Casagrande S, Boscato GB, Bertalot G, Bortolotti R, Racanelli V, Caffo O, et al. Immune-related adverse events due to cancer immunotherapy: immune mechanisms and clinical manifestations. *Cancers (Basel).* (2024) 16:1440. doi: 10.3390/cancers16071440

14. Nazha B, Zhuang T, Wu S, Brown JT, Magee D, Carthon BC, et al. Comprehensive genomic profiling of penile squamous cell carcinoma and the impact of human papillomavirus status on immune-checkpoint inhibitor-related biomarkers. *Cancer.* (2023) 129:3884–93. doi: 10.1002/cncr.34982

15. Hrudka J, Hojný J, Prouzová Z, Kendall-Bartu M, Čapka D, Zavillová N, et al. High tumour mutational burden is associated with strong PD-L1 expression, HPV negativity, and worse survival in penile squamous cell carcinoma: an analysis of 165 cases. *Pathology*. (2024) 56:357–66. doi: 10.1016/j.pathol.2023.10.010

16. Ahmed ME, Falasiri S, Hajiran A, Chahoud J, Spiess PE. The immune microenvironment in penile cancer and rationale for immunotherapy. J Clin Med. (2020) 9:3334. doi: 10.3390/jcm9103334

17. Kidd LC, Chaing S, Chipollini J, Giuliano AR, Spiess PE, Sharma P. Relationship between human papillomavirus and penile cancer—implications for prevention and treatment. *Transl Androl Urol.* (2017) 6:791–802. doi: 10.21037/tau.2017.06.27

18. Long XY, Zhang S, Tang LS, Li X, Liu JY. Conversion therapy for advanced penile cancer with tislelizumab combined with chemotherapy: a case report and review of literature. *World J Clin cases.* (2022) 10:12305–12. doi: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i33.12305