Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Zhi-Ren Zhang, Harbin Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Ping Song, Georgia State University, United States Qiulun Lu, China Pharmaceutical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Liu Yang yangliu@hmc.edu.cn Yun Chen chenyun@hmc.edu.cn

RECEIVED 08 March 2025 ACCEPTED 13 May 2025 PUBLISHED 30 May 2025

CITATION

Zhan L, Qin Z, Yang L and Chen Y (2025) Immune-related myocarditis characterized by malignant arrhythmia caused by conduction system involvement: case report and literature review. *Front. Oncol.* 15:1589990. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2025.1589990

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhan, Qin, Yang and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Immune-related myocarditis characterized by malignant arrhythmia caused by conduction system involvement: case report and literature review

Luying Zhan¹, Zhiquan Qin², Liu Yang^{2*} and Yun Chen^{2*}

¹The Second School of Clinical Medicine, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, China, ²Cancer Center, Department of Medical Oncology, Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital, Affiliated People's Hospital, Hangzhou Medical College, Hangzhou, China

Immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI)-related myocarditis has a low incidence but an extremely high mortality rate, and malignant arrhythmia caused by ICI therapy is even rarer. We report our experience of two patients with myocarditis with conduction system involvement after ICI therapy. Both patients developed myocarditis within a short period after the first ICI dose and they predominantly had conduction bundle involvement with minimal myocardial damage. One patient rapidly progressed from sinus rhythm to complete atrioventricular block, and the patient's symptoms improved only after pacemaker implantation. The other patient experienced paroxysmal ventricular tachycardia, which was controlled by synchronous corticosteroid therapy. Despite the strong immune side effects caused by ICIs, both patients achieved good clinical outcomes. We also conducted a literature search to explore the pathological mechanisms underpining immune-related myocarditis, as well as discussing the treatment strategies for immune-related myocarditis with conduction system involvement.

KEYWORDS

case report, immune myocarditis, immune-related adverse events, methyl prednisolone, immune checkpoint inhibitors

Introduction

Immune-related myocarditis is a serious adverse reaction that occurs during the treatment with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), with an incidence rate of 0.50%–1.70% and a mortality rate as high as 50% (1). Cases of severe immune-related myocarditis after ICI therapy have a median onset time of 27 days, and 76% of cases of critical myocarditis occur within 6 weeks after the initiation of ICI therapy (2). Immune-related myocarditis mainly manifests as changes on electrocardiogram, chest pain, heart failure,

and myocardial infarction, amongst others (3). Previous studies have reported rare cases of multiple organ immunotoxicity, particularly severe myocarditis caused by myocardial conduction system involvement, following the use of the short term ICI therapy. The proposed incidence of immune related myocardial injury with conduction system involvement is only 0.12% (4). Although conduction system involvement in immune-related myocarditis is seldom seen, it is an important indicator of a severe and poor prognosis. Patients with combined high-grade atrioventricular block have a significantly elevated risk of sudden cardiac death. In case of myocarditis caused by ICI therapy, researchers typically use corticosteroid shock therapy or a combination of immunosuppressants, such as mycophenolate mofetil, infliximab, anti-thymocyte globulin, or abatacepta. However, the corticosteroid dosage and treatment strategy have not been fully unified. Here, we report two cases of immune-related myocarditis with conduction system involvement as the main manifestation, accompanied by elevated markers of myocardial injury and muscle involvement such as blepharoptosis. We also explore the potential treatment strategies for patients with immune-related myocarditis.

Case 1

A 71-year-old woman weighing 51 kg presented to the Oncology Department of Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital in June 2024 with a chief complaint of acid reflux and belching accompanied by hidden pain in the left upper abdomen for 15 days. The patient had no history of cardiovascular or autoimmune diseases, nor did she have any other accompanying diseases.

After admission, gastroscopy showed stiffness in the lower segment of the stomach and irregular ulcers near the posterior wall of the greater curvature. Biopsy suggested poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma and partial signet ring cell carcinoma. Immunohistochemistry revealed human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (0), a programmed death-ligand 1 tumor proportion score of 40% and a combined positive score of 50%, and proficient mismatch repair. Whole-body positron emission tomography/ computed tomography (CT) suggested uneven thickening of the gastric wall and increased fluorodeoxyglucose metabolism, suggesting gastric cancer. There was serosal surface involvement, multiple small nodules in the surrounding mesentery, and low metabolic metastases. Initial electrocardiogram showed sinus rhythm (Figure 1A), and the myocardial enzyme spectrum showed that the creatine kinase concentration was 46 U/L (normal range 40-200 U/L) (Figure 2).

Taking the patient's age and wishes into consideration, antitumor treatment was administered on June 26, 2024 (intravenous infusion of 200 mg sintilimab D1 and 1000 mg oral capecitabine twice a day on days 1–14 and then once every 3 weeks). On day 18 of treatment, the patient experienced discomfort, including fatigue, abdominal distension, and decreased appetite. A gastrointestinal reaction caused by capecitabine was suspected. However, the patient's symptoms persisted and she gradually developed palpitations, chest tightness, blepharoptosis, and deviation of the angle of the mouth. Therefore, the patient attended our hospital again for treatment.

On July 18, 2024 (day 21 of treatment), electrocardiogram showed sinus tachycardia, complete right bundle branch block, and left anterior branch block (Figure 1B). On July 19, 2024 (day 22 of treatment), laboratory tests showed: elevated troponin I (2.823 µg/L; normal value $\leq 0.050 \,\mu$ g/L), B-type natriuretic peptide (184.7 pg/mL; normal value \leq 160.0 pg/mL), and creatine kinase (2487 U/L; normal range 40-200 U/L). Electrocardiogram revealed new first degree atrioventricular block, anterior septal lead ST segment downwardsloping elevation, deepening of T-wave inversion and QTc prolongation (Figure 1C). The total triiodothyronine concentration was 1.43 µg/L (normal range 0.66-1.61 µg/L), total thyroxine concentration was 244.86 μ g/L \uparrow (normal range 54.40–118.50 μ g/L), and the thyroid stimulating hormone concentration was 0.02 mIU/L (normal range 0.34-5.60 mIU/L). The patient was diagnosed with immune myocarditis, arrhythmia, and thyroiditis, and the possibility of concomitant myasthenia gravis was considered based on the patient's symptoms. Owing to the critical condition of the patient, no neurological diagnostic examination was performed.

On July 19, 2024, intravenous methylprednisolone (120 mg/ day) was administered. On July 20, 2024 (day 23 of treatment), follow-up examination showed increase in troponin I to $3.185 \mu g/L$, an increase in B-type natriuretic peptide to 806.5 pg/mL, and a decrease in creatine kinase to 1157 U/L. Frequent supraventricular tachycardia occurred (Figure 1D), and methylprednisolone (120 mg/day) was continued. Esmolol and amiodarone were administered intravenously to control the ventricular rate. Myocardial injury-related laboratory markers declined progressively (Figure 2), but repeated episodes of supraventricular tachycardia occurred. On July 22, 2024 (day 25 of treatment), second-degree atrioventricular block occurred, which rapidly progressed to third-degree atrioventricular block (Figure 1E), with ventricular arrest lasting for up to 9.4 seconds (Figure 1F).

Rapid intravenous treatment with isoproterenol and emergency double-chamber permanent pacemaker implantation were performed. After surgery, oral metoprolol sustained-release tablets were administered in combination with intravenous injection of amiodarone to control the patient's heart rhythm, and methylprednisolone injection (120 mg/day) was continued. The patient's symptoms, such as chest tightness and fatigue improved, and blepharoptosis and deviation of the angle of the mouth were relieved. From July 19 to July 24, methylprednisolone 120 mg/day intravenous infusion was continued. On July 23, an implantable cardioverter defibrillation was implanted. Starting from July 25, methylprednisolone was changed to 80 mg/day intravenous infusion, and on July 28, it was changed to 40 mg/day intravenous infusion. After, oral methylprednisolone was slowly reduced.

On September 1, 2024, the patient attended our hospital for followup. Troponin I was 0.018 μ g/L (normal value \leq 0.050 μ g/L), B-type natriuretic peptide was 14.9 pg/mL (normal value \leq 160.0 pg/mL), and creatine kinase was 146 U/L (normal range 40–200 U/L),



Electrocardiogram showed sinus rhythm, complete right bundle branch block, and T-wave changes. Thyroid function was evaluated, and total triiodothyronine was reduced (0.26 μ g/L; normal range 0.66–1.61 μ g/L), thyroxine was reduced (< 5.50 μ g/L; normal range 54.40–118.50 μ g/L), and thyroid-stimulating hormone was increased (47.73mIU/L; normal range 0.34–5.60mIU/L). Considering hypothyroidism, oral levothyroxine sodium supplementation (50 μ g/day) was administered. On September 2, 2024, a reexamination by abdominal contrast-enhanced CT showed a reduction in the size of the gastric lesion compared with the previous evaluation. As of September 3, 2024, the patient's condition was stable, and the quality of life was good. Methylprednisolone was discontinued, and during regular follow-ups, anti-tumor treatment has not been administered according to the patient's wishes.

Case 2

A 64-year-old male weighing 56.5 kg attended the Oncology Department of Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital in May 2022 with a chief complaint of cough with lower back pain for 1 month and a diagnosis of lung cancer for 1 day. The patient had no history of cardiovascular disease, autoimmune disease, or other accompanying diseases. Chest CT performed at the local hospital showed a spaceoccupying lesion in the lower left hilum with obstructive inflammation, as well as multiple slightly enlarged lymph nodes in the left hilum and mediastinum. Pathology suggested squamous cell carcinoma. Electrocardiogram showed sinus bradycardia with left ventricular high voltage, and the myocardial enzyme spectrum showed a troponin I concentration of 0.006 μ g/L, B-type natriuretic



peptide concentration of 172.5 pg/mL, and a creatine kinase concentration of 99 U/L (Figure 3).

Considering the patient's wishes, two cycles of anti-tumor treatment were administered on May 31, 2022, and June 23, 2022, including intravenous infusion of 200 mg sintilimab D1, intravenous infusion of 100 mg albumin-bound paclitaxel D5, and intravenous infusion of 0.35 g carboplatin D1 every 3 weeks. On day 42 of the initial treatment, the patient experienced blurred vision and diplopia in both eyes.

On July 15, 2022 (day 45 of treatment), the patient attend our hospital for treatment, and electrocardiogram showed sinus rhythm (Figure 4A). On July 16, 2022 (day 46 of treatment), laboratory tests showed normal thyroid function, with troponin I elevation (7.805 µg/L), B-type natriuretic peptide reduction (19.5 pg/mL), and creatine kinase elevation (1068 U/L). At that time, the patient had no chest tightness or dyspnea and was observed with dynamic monitoring of troponin levels. On July 18, 2022 (day 48 of treatment), the patient complained of slight chest tightness, which was not severe, and he still had blurred vision. Reexamination showed an increase in troponin I to 9.807 µg/L and B-type natriuretic peptide to 48.7 pg/mL. Echocardiography indicated decreased left ventricular compliance and normal systolic function. Ophthalmic examination showed possible paralysis of the right inferior rectus muscle. The patient was diagnosed with immune myocarditis and possible concomitant myositis.

On July 18, 2022, methylprednisolone was administered intravenously at a dose of 40 mg/day. On July 19, 2022 (day 49 of treatment), a follow-up examination showed a slight decrease in troponin I (5.688 μ g/L) and a significant increase in B-type natriuretic peptide (184.8 pg/mL). Methylprednisolone was administered intravenously at a dose of 80 mg/day. On July 20, 2022

(day 50 of treatment), reexamination showed a significant decrease in troponin I to 2.746 µg/L, B-type natriuretic peptide of 189.4 pg/mL, and creatine kinase of 347 U/L. At the same time, dynamic electrocardiogram showed atrial premature beats (Figure 4B), multisource ventricular premature beats (Figure 4C), paroxysmal ventricular tachycardia and accelerated ventricular escape (Figure 4D). Intravenous methylprednisolone (80 mg/day) was continued, followed by intravenous esmolol and amiodarone to control the ventricular rate. Subsequently, the laboratory indicators showed a sustained decline. On July 21, 2022 (day 51 of treatment), troponin I was 2.075 µg/L and B-type natriuretic peptide was 182.6 pg/mL. Intravenous methylprednisolone was reduced to 40 mg/day. On July 23, 2022 (day 53 of treatment), troponin I was 1.103 µg/L and B-type natriuretic peptide was 188.1 pg/mL. On July 25, 2022 (day 55 of treatment), troponin I was 0.484 µg/L, B-type natriuretic peptide was 93.7 pg/mL, and creatine kinase was 60 U/L.

On July 26, 2022 (day 56 of treatment), the patient had no chest tightness or dyspnea, and blepharoptosis had improved. The patient requested to be discharged and switched to oral methylprednisolone for slow reduction. Unfortunately, the patient did not return to the hospital for follow-up evaluation.

Discussion

Mechanistically, ICIs enhance anti-tumor immunity by activating T cells and relieving immune suppression. However, this can trigger autoimmune responses by increasing autoantibodies and cytokines, leading to immune-related adverse events. Most patients with immune-related myocarditis present with myocardial injury and



cardiac dysfunction, while conduction system involvement causing malignant arrhythmia is far less common. The onset of critical myocarditis tends to occurred within 6 weeks after the initiation of ICIs, which is similar to the two cases we reported in the present study.

The mechanism by which ICIs cause arrhythmia is largely unknown. Johnson et al. (5) observed that there are highfrequency T cell coreceptor sequences in the infiltration of myocardium, skeletal muscle, and tumors, suggesting that tumor cells and myocytes (conduction cells) may share certain antigens. Activated T cells may mistakenly identify certain antigens in the cardiac conduction system as tumor antigens, leading to cross immune attacks. Johnson et al. also observed necrosis and infiltration of CD4⁺, CD8⁺T cells and macrophages in the myocardium and conduction system of patients with melanoma treated with nivolumab plus ipilimumab. Similarly, Zotova et al. (6)



FIGURE 4

ECG changes of case 2. (A) Sinus rhythm. (B) Atrial premature beats. (C) Multi-source ventricular premature beats. (D) Paroxysmal ventricular tachycardia and accelerated ventricular escape.

TABLE 1 Demographic parameters, presenting features, maximum daily dose of corticosteroids and outcomes of included cases.

Author	Year	Sex	Age	Indication	ICIs	Arrhythmia	EF	Other System involvement	Maximum daily dose of Corticosteroids	Pacemaker	Other Treatment	In-hospital outcome	Reference
Johnson	2016	F	65	Melanoma	Nivolumab +ipilimumab	САВ	_	Myositis Rhabdomyolysis	1mg/kg/d			Death	(5)
Johnson	2016	М	63	Melanoma	Nivolumab +ipilimumab	САВ	Ļ	Myositis	1g/d	ICD	Infliximab	Death	(5)
Behling	2017	М	63	Melanoma	Nivolumab	САВ		Myositis Rhabdomyolysis Renal insufficiency	1.5mg/kg/d	ICD		Death	(14)
Khan	2020	F	67	Lung	Pembrolizumab	CAB	-			ICD		Alive	(15)
Prevel	2020	М	80	Lung	Nivolumab	CAB		Rhabdomyolysis	1mg/kg/d	ICD		Death	(16)
Stein- Merlob	2021	F	60	Colon	Nivolumab	VT	Ļ	Myositis Hepatic insufficiency Colitis		Impella+ECMO		Alive	(17)
Nguyen	2021	М	25	Thymoma	Pembrolizumab	САВ	Ļ		1g/d		MMF +Ruxolitinib + Abatacept	Alive	(18)
Jesperson	2021	М	57	Renal	Nivolumab +ipilimumab	CAB	Ļ	Myositis Hepatic insufficiency	1g/d	ICD	MMF + Abatacept	Alive	(19)
Portolés- Hernández	2021	F	48	Thymoma	Pembrolizumab	CAB	Ļ	Myositis Hepatic and renal insufficiency	1g/d	ICD	Infliximab	Death	(20)
Ye	2022	М	71	Cholangiocarcinoma	Teriprizumab	CAB	-		200mg/d	ICD		Alive	(21)
Zhang	2022	F	68	Thymoma	Camrelizumab	CAB	_	Myositis Hepatic insufficiency	1g/d	ICD	IVIG	Death	(22)
Su	2022	F	80	Intraosseous squamous cell carcinoma	Pembrolizumab	САВ	-		1mg/d	ICD		Alive	(8)
Wang	2023	F	45	Thymoma	Sintilimab	VF	-	Myositis	120mg/d		IVIG+PE	Death	(23)
Davis	2023	F	60+	Lung	Durvalumab	VPB	Ļ		1g/d	ICD		Alive	(24)

(Continued)

Zhan et al.	Ζ	ha	n	et	al	
-------------	---	----	---	----	----	--

	Ir Se	Author Year Sex Age		Indication	ICIs	Arrhythmia EF	Ш	Other System involvement	Maximum daily dose of Corticosteroids	Pacemaker	Other Treatment	In-hospital outcome	Reference
	2023 M	80	Liver	ver	Durvalumab +tremelimumab	CAB	→	Myositis Hepatic insufficiency	1g/d	ICD	MMF	Death	(25)
2023	W	55		Thymoma	PD-1	CAB		Myositis	1g/d	ICD	MMG+IVIG	Alive	(26)
2024	н 1	66		Breast	Sintilimab	VT		Hepatic and renal insufficiency	500g/d		IVIG	Alive	(27)
2024	4 M	72		Gastric	Sintilimab	CAB		Myositis	200mg/d	ICD	IVIG	Alive	(28)
5	2024 M	60	Lung	ßu	Pembrolizumab CAB	CAB	I		1g/d	ICD	IVIG	Alive	(29)

FABLE 1 Continued

also observed that ICI-mediated lymphocytes can infiltrate the cardiac conduction system (sinoatrial node, atrioventricular node), directly destroying conduction cells. Additional basic and translational research is urgently needed to fully understand the pathophysiology of ICI-induced arrhythmia, which will help to develop treatment strategies specifically targeting this population.

For ICI-related myocarditis, the dosage and treatment strategy of conventional corticosteroid shock therapy have not been fully unified. The Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines recommend that patients with severe myocarditis should immediately receive methylprednisolone shock therapy at a dose of 500–1000 mg/day for 3–5 days, followed by gradual reduction. However for patients with minimal myocardial damage, 1–4 mg/kg/day methylprednisolone can be administered continuously for 3–5 days, gradually reducing the dose. The initial presentation of the patients in present case was mainly mild elevation of markers of myocardial injury, without indications for corticosteroid shock therapy. Therefore, methylprednisolone was administered at a conventional dose, and good laboratory indicator control was ultimately achieved.

To further explore the practical evidence supporting the glucocorticoid dosage, we searched PubMed identify reports of patients with solid tumors with immune-related myocarditis, which mainly manifested as arrhythmia caused by ICIs. The search included studies published from January 1, 2016, to December 1, 2024, and the following information was extracted: author, year, age, sex, primary lesion, ICIs, main manifestations, other adverse reactions, corticosteroid dosage, and prognosis. Among the 19 cases reporting the corticosteroid dosage (Table 1), all patients showed electrocardiogram changes. Three cases presented mainly with ventricular arrhythmia, whiling the remaining 16 patients presented mainly with complete atrioventricular blockade. Most cases exhibited changes in myocardial injury markers, decreased left ventricular ejection fraction, and neuromuscular involvement. In terms of the strategy of corticosteroid use, 10 cases received corticosteroids at doses used for shock, seven received corticosteroids at conventional doses, and two were not treated with corticosteroids. The implantation of temporary or permanent pacemakers did not significantly affect the prognosis of the patients treated with corticosteroids at doses used for shock. Among the patients treated with conventional doses of corticosteroids, three achieved symptom improvement, all of whom had temporary or permanent pacemakers implanted. The remaining patients died as a result of malignant arrhythmia or hemodynamic instability. Of the remaining two patients who did not receive corticosteroid treatment, one achieved symptom improvement after pacemaker implantation, and the other was controlled by treatment with Impella and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. Another retrospective study conducted in Italy showed that no differences in outcomes were observed among patients with immune-mediated myocarditis treated with different maximum daily doses of corticosteroids (7), but the study did not further analyze the subgroup of patients with arrhythmia as the main manifestation (47/84). This suggests that for patients with

immune-related myocarditis involving the conduction system, the appropriate dosage of corticosteroids requires further exploration, and early pacemaker implantation may be more important to improve patient prognosis. A previous literature review evaluating 30 patients with secondary conduction disorders caused by ICIs also emphasized the importance of temporary pacemaker implantation (8).

Notably, Case 1 in the present study achieved good tumor control simultaneously with the intense immune side effects induced by sintilimab, suggesting a possible relationship between the degree of immune side effects and the degree of tumor control. The occurrence of adverse drug reactions is usually not conducive to the effectiveness of disease treatment. However, for patients undergoing immunotherapy, the occurrence of immune-related adverse reactions may indicate that the immune system has been fully activated, potentially leading to better clinical efficacy (9). Patients who experience severe immune-related adverse reactions should have higher T-cell activity than patients with mild immunerelated adverse reactions. Multiple studies have reported that patients who develop immune-related adverse reactions after ICI therapy achieve significantly higher clinical efficacy than those who do not develop immune-related adverse reactions (10, 11). A study involving 139 patients with metastatic melanoma treated with ipilimumab showed that all patients who achieved a complete response had more severe immune-related adverse reactions (12). In another retrospective analysis, the overall survival of patients with non-severe immune-related adverse reactions, severe immunerelated adverse reactions, and no immune-related adverse reactions was 1.1, 0.9, and 0.6 years, respectively, suggesting a possible relationship between the severity of immune-related adverse reactions and the efficacy of ICI therapy (13). Of course, the incidence of adverse reactions related to different tissues and organs varies among different types of cancer. This may be influenced by multiple factors, such as the tumor microenvironment, immune infiltration, the adaptive immune response, and new antigen formation. The patients we reported in the present study experienced strong adverse reactions, but they also achieved good lesion control. However, large-scale studies are needed to explore the relationship between the degree of immunerelated adverse reactions and the clinical efficacy of treatment.

Conclusion

We reported two rare cases of immune-related myocarditis with conduction system involvement as the main manifestation. Unlike previously reported cases, the patients in the present study achieved good outcomes after treatment with conventional doses of corticosteroids and pacemaker implantation. These findings suggest that we should focus on the impact of ICIs on the myocardial conduction system during the management of immune side effects. Further exploration is needed to determine the optimal glucocorticoid treatment strategy.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

LZ: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Project administration, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ZQ: Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. LY: Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. YC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Thuny F, Naidoo J, Neilan TG. Cardiovascular complications of immune checkpoint inhibitors for cancer. *Eur Heart J.* (2022) 43:4458–68. doi: 10.1093/ eurheartj/ehac456

2. Moslehi JJ, Salem JE, Sosman JA, Lebrun-Vignes B, Johnson DB. Increased reporting of fatal immune checkpoint inhibitor-associated myocarditis. *Lancet.* (2018) 391:933. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30533-6

3. Palaskas N, Lopez-Mattei J, Durand JB, Iliescu C, Deswal A. Immune checkpoint inhibitor myocarditis: pathophysiological characteristics, diagnosis, and treatment. J Am Heart Assoc. (2020) 9:e013757. doi: 10.1161/jaha.119.013757

4. Salem JE, Manouchehri A, Moey M, Lebrun-Vignes B, Bastarache L, Pariente A, et al. Cardiovascular toxicities associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: an observational, retrospective, pharmacovigilance study. *Lancet Oncol.* (2018) 19:1579–89. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(18)30608-9

5. Johnson DB, Balko JM, Compton ML, Chalkias S, Gorham J, Xu Y, et al. Fulminant myocarditis with combination immune checkpoint blockade. N Engl J Med. (2016) 375:1749–55. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1609214

6. Zotova L. Immune checkpoint inhibitors-related myocarditis: A review of reported clinical cases. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. (2023) 13(7):1243. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics13071243

7. Boutros A, Bottini A, Rossi G, Tanda ET, Spagnolo F, Barletta G, et al. Neuromuscular and cardiac adverse events associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: pooled analysis of individual cases from multiple institutions and literature. *ESMO Open.* (2023) 8:100791. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2023.100791

8. Su L, Liu C, Wu W, Cui Y, Wu M, Chen H. Successful therapy for myocarditis concomitant with complete heart block after pembrolizumab treatment for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: A case report with literature review. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:898756. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.898756

9. Passat T, Touchefeu Y, Gervois N, Jarry A, Bossard C, Bennouna J. Physiopathological mechanisms of immune-related adverse events induced by antictla-4, anti-pd-1 and anti-pd-L1 antibodies in cancer treatment. *Bull Cancer*. (2018) 105:1033–41. doi: 10.1016/j.bulcan.2018.07.005

10. Rogado J, Sánchez-Torres JM, Romero-Laorden N, Ballesteros AI, Pacheco-Barcia V, Ramos-Leví A, et al. Immune-related adverse events predict the therapeutic efficacy of anti-pd-1 antibodies in cancer patients. *Eur J Cancer.* (2019) 109:21–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2018.10.014

11. Maher VE, Fernandes LL, Weinstock C, Tang S, Agarwal S, Brave M, et al. Analysis of the association between adverse events and outcome in patients receiving a programmed death protein 1 or programmed death ligand 1 antibody. *J Clin Oncol.* (2019) 37:2730–7. doi: 10.1200/jco.19.00318

12. Downey SG, Klapper JA, Smith FO, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Royal RE, et al. Prognostic factors related to clinical response in patients with metastatic melanoma treated by ctl-associated antigen-4 blockade. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2007) 13:6681–8. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-07-0187

13. Das S, Johnson DB. Immune-related adverse events and anti-tumor efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *J Immunother Cancer*. (2019) 7:306. doi: 10.1186/ s40425-019-0805-8

14. Behling J, Kaes J, Munzel T, Grabbe S, Loquai C. New-onset third-degree atrioventricular block because of autoimmune-induced myositis under treatment with anti-programmed cell death-1 (Nivolumab) for metastatic melanoma. *Melanoma Res.* (2017) 27:155–8. doi: 10.1097/CMR.00000000000314

15. Khan A, Riaz S, Carhart R Jr. Pembrolizumab-induced mobitz type 2 second-degree atrioventricular block. *Case Rep Cardiol.* (2020) 2020:8428210. doi: 10.1155/2020/8428210

16. Prevel R, Colin G, Cales V, Renault PA, Mazieres J. Third degree atrioventricular blockade during a myocarditis occurring under anti-pd1: case report and literature review. *Rev Med Interne.* (2020) 41:284–8. doi: 10.1016/j.revmed.2019.12.023

17. Stein-Merlob AF, Hsu JJ, Colton B, Berg CJ, Ferreira A, Price MM, et al. Keeping immune checkpoint inhibitor myocarditis in check: advanced circulatory mechanical support as a bridge to recovery. *ESC Heart Fail.* (2021) 8:4301–6. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.13545

18. Nguyen LS, Bretagne M, Arrondeau J, Zahr N, Ederhy S, Abbar B, et al. Reversal of immune-checkpoint inhibitor fulminant myocarditis using personalized-doseadjusted abatacept and ruxolitinib: proof of concept. *J Immunother Cancer*. (2022) 10:e004699. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2022-004699

19. Jespersen MS, Fanø S, Stenør C, Møller AK. A case report of immune checkpoint inhibitor-related steroid-refractory myocarditis and myasthenia gravis-like myositis treated with abatacept and mycophenolate mofetil. *Eur Heart J Case Rep.* (2021) 5: ytab342. doi: 10.1093/ehjcr/ytab342

20. Portolés Hernández A, Blanco Clemente M, Escribano García D, Velasco Calvo R, Núñez García B, Oteo Domínguez JF, et al. Checkpoint inhibitor-induced fulminant myocarditis, complete atrioventricular block and myasthenia gravis-a case report. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther.* (2021) 11:1013–9. doi: 10.21037/cdt-21-147

21. Ye Y, Li Y, Zhang S, Han G. Teriprizumab-induced myocarditis in a patient with cholangiocarcinoma: A case report. *J Int Med Res.* (2022) 50:3000605221133259. doi: 10.1177/03000605221133259

22. Zhang B, Gyawali L, Liu Z, Du H, Yin Y. Camrelizumab-related lethal arrhythmias and myasthenic crisis in a patient with metastatic thymoma. *Case Rep Cardiol.* (2022) 2022:4042909. doi: 10.1155/2022/4042909

23. Wang C, Zhong B, He J, Liao X. Immune checkpoint inhibitor sintilimabinduced lethal myocarditis overlapping with myasthenia gravis in thymoma patient: A case report. *Med (Baltimore)*. (2023) 102:e33550. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000033550

24. Davis BM, Fordjour I, Chahin M, Guha A. Immune checkpoint inhibitorassociated myocarditis and fulminant type I diabetes in a patient with metastatic nonsmall cell lung cancer. *BMJ Case Rep.* (2023) 16:e255698. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2023-255698

25. Diaz-Rodriguez PE, Muns-Aponte CM, Velazquez-Acevedo SI, Ortiz-Malave CM, Acevedo J, Merced-Ortiz FG. An uncommon case of myocarditis secondary to durvalumab plus tremelimumab. *Cureus*. (2023) 15:e43628. doi: 10.7759/cureus.43628

26. Zhong P, Zhang C, Guan H, Yan J, He M, Zhou X. Myocarditis and myasthenia gravis induced by immune checkpoint inhibitor in a patient with relapsed thymoma: A case report. *Clin Case Rep.* (2023) 11:e7039. doi: 10.1002/ccr3.7039

27. Li Y, Zhai B, Yang B, Wang B, Wang Y, Qu M, et al. Immune myocarditis induced by sintilimab therapy: A case report. *Exp Ther Med.* (2024) 28:333. doi: 10.3892/etm.2024.12622

28. Wang Y, Sun Z, Wang X, Liu F, Wu Y, Wei Q, et al. Myasthenia gravis and myocarditis induced by chemoimmunotherapy in locally advanced gastric cancer: A case report. *Exp Ther Med.* (2024) 28:426. doi: 10.3892/etm.2024.12715

29. Wang Y, Qian M, Jin X, Wang J, Chen T, Gao P, et al. Case report: temporary pacing using active fixation lead and invasive electrophysiology studies for immune checkpoint inhibitor associated reversible advanced atrioventricular block. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2024) 11:1336609. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2024.1336609