

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY

Saif Aldeen AlRyalat,
Houston Methodist Hospital, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Daniel Laroche
dlarochemd@aol.com

RECEIVED 05 February 2024

ACCEPTED 29 March 2024

PUBLISHED 17 April 2024

CITATION

Laroche D, Brown A, Sinon J, Martin A, Ng C and Sakkari S (2024) Corrigendum: Pilot report: objective quantification of trabecular meshwork pigmentation using densitometry and the NIDEK GS-1 gonioscope in glaucoma patients. *Front. Ophthalmol.* 4:1382567. doi: 10.3389/fopht.2024.1382567

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Laroche, Brown, Sinon, Martin, Ng and Sakkari. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Pilot report: objective quantification of trabecular meshwork pigmentation using densitometry and the NIDEK GS-1 gonioscope in glaucoma patients

Daniel Laroche^{1,2*}, Aaron Brown¹, Jose Sinon³, Alexander Martin⁴, Chester Ng² and Sohail Sakkari²

¹Department of Ophthalmology, New York Eye and Ear Infirmary, Icahn School of Medicine of Mount Sinai, New York, NY, United States, ²Department of Ophthalmology, Advanced Eyecare of New York, New York, NY, United States, ³Department of Ophthalmology, Downstate Medical Center, New York, NY, United States, ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, Northwell Health, New York, NY, United States

KEYWORDS

pigmentary glaucoma, biomarkers, densitometry, trabecular meshwork pigmentation, pigment dispersion glaucoma, NIDEK GS-1

A Corrigendum on

[Pilot report: objective quantification of trabecular meshwork pigmentation using densitometry and the NIDEK GS-1 gonioscope in glaucoma patients](#)

By Laroche D, Brown A, Sinon J, Martin A, Ng C and Sakkari S (2024). *Front. Ophthalmol.* 3:1322178. doi: 10.3389/fopht.2023.1322178

In the published article, there was an error in Figures 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 as published. The sequence of the images was incorrect. The corrected Figures 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and their captions appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.




FIGURE 6
Case 3: Inferior angle (inverted by mirror).




FIGURE 8
Case 4: Inferior angle (inverted by mirror).



FIGURE 9
Case 5: Superior angle (inverted by mirror).



FIGURE 7
Case 4: Superior angle (inverted by mirror).




FIGURE 10
Case 5: Inferior angle (inverted by mirror).