Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Christophe Orssaud, Georges Pompidou European, France

REVIEWED BY Alina Dumitrescu.

Alma Durnitrescu, The University of Iowa, United States Osama Al Deyabat, University of Illinois at Peoria, United States Erika Rigotti, Integrated University Hospital Verona, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Diego Strianese Strianes@unina.it

RECEIVED 29 October 2024 ACCEPTED 08 April 2025 PUBLISHED 05 May 2025

CITATION

Malvindi S, Sammarco E, Elefante A, Lanni V, Cicala D, Esposito F, Picardi C, Iuliano A, Cohen D, Mariniello G, D'Aponte A, Costagliola C, Briganti F and Strianese D (2025) Real-life efficacy and safety of oral propranolol for ocular adnexal infantile hemangiomas: observational cohort study. *Front. Ophthalmol.* 5:1493171. doi: 10.3389/fopht.2025.1493171

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Malvindi, Sammarco, Elefante, Lanni, Cicala, Esposito, Picardi, Iuliano, Cohen, Mariniello, D'Aponte, Costagliola, Briganti and Strianese. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Real-life efficacy and safety of oral propranolol for ocular adnexal infantile hemangiomas: observational cohort study

Stefano Malvindi¹, Elena Sammarco², Andrea Elefante³, Vittoria Lanni¹, Domenico Cicala², Francesco Esposito², Ciro Picardi², Adriana Iuliano¹, Dana Cohen¹, Giuseppe Mariniello¹, Antonella D'Aponte¹, Ciro Costagliola¹, Francesco Briganti³ and Diego Strianese^{1*}

¹Department of Neurosciences, Reproductive Sciences and Dentistry. University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy, ²Pediatric Neuroradiology, Department of Neuroscience, Santobono-Pausilipon Children's Hospital, Naples, Italy, ³Department of Advanced Biomedical Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy, ⁴ Division of Neurosugery, Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive and Odontostomatological Sciences, University of Naples Federico II , Naples, Italy

Objective: To assess the effectiveness and safety of oral propranolol for the treatment of ocular adnexal infantile hemangiomas.

Patients and methods: retrospective observational cohort study. Propranolol was administered at an initial oral dose of 1 mg/kg and subsequently increased to 2 mg/kg for 1 year. Outcomes were evaluated by comparing pre- and post-treatment clinical findings, contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) findings and/or orbital magnetic resonance imaging findings from baseline to 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, and 48 weeks. Regression was graded as follows: satisfactory when 90% and above of the baseline lesion volume and extension decreased, acceptable when 50 to 90%, mediocre when 30 to 50%, poor less than 30%.

Results: Twenty-four patients were included in this study. The mean age at presentation was 4 ± 1 week. Sixteen (71%) patients were females and 7 (29%) were males. The mean follow-up duration was 18 ± 3 months. Therapy was started for of 23/24 patients at 5 weeks old, of 1/24 started at 9 weeks of age. The median age was 5,16 weeks. Sixteen patients (66%) had satisfactory resolution between 3 and 6 weeks, 5 (20%) after 9 weeks, and 3 (12%) after 12 weeks. One patient (5%) had a mediocre response after 24 weeks. One patient withdrew from therapy because of hypoglycemia, which was successfully managed as an outpatient. No significant adverse reactions, such as bradycardia, hypotension, bronchospasm, or congestive heart failure, were detected in this cohort.

Conclusion: This study indicates that the real-life use of oral propranolol for infantile hemangioma yields a high success rate with a lower morbidity than previously reported, particularly when managed by a proficient and multidisciplinary team.

KEYWORDS

oral propranolol, infantile hemangiomas, amblyopia, ocular adnexal, vascular tumor

Introduction

Infantile hemangioma (IH) is the most common vascular tumor in infancy and is characterized by nonlinear proliferation of endothelial cells. It is considered a benign vascular tumor according to the International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies (ISSVA).

It occurs in 4-10% of European and Northern American infants, with a lower incidence in African American and Asian counterparts. It is more common in females than males and is more frequently observed in premature infants and those with a low birth weight (1, 2).

Other recognized risk factors include maternal smoking, older maternal age, *in vitro* fertilization, amniocentesis, chorionic villus sampling, maternal bleeding during the first trimester, placenta previa, and pre-eclampsia (3–6).

IH typically appears in the first few weeks of life, with the first phase characterized by a rapid proliferation of endothelial cells that last 5-8 weeks. At this time, they generally reach 80% of their size, followed by a slower growth phase until 9-12 months of age. A longer proliferative phase is more common in large, segmental, and deep IH and in IH located in the head and neck region, including the ocular adnexa (such as the periocular and orbital areas) and the parotid region. The subsequent involutional phase starts at around 12 months of age and usually lasts for 3 to 9 years, leaving residual change in up to 69% of the patients (7–10). This lesion may present as a small isolated lesion or as a large mass with visual impairment that can occur in any area of the skin, but most commonly in the ocular adnexa region. IH is classified according to the depth of involvement as superficial, deep, or mixed. The clinical presentation of IH depends on the depth of the lesion. Superficial IHs are located in the superficial dermis and present as red and finely lobulated plaques. Deep IHs occupy the deep dermis and/or subcutaneous tissue and are present as skin-colored or blue subcutaneous masses. Mixed IHs involves both layers of the dermis and, often, the subcutis, and exhibits clinical features of both superficial and deep IH (11).

The diagnosis of Infantile Hemangioma is generally based on clinical features; in selected cases, MRI and ultrasound could allow differential diagnosis and assist in defining the size and deep extension of the lesion. Biopsy may be needed for the differential diagnosis of malignancy, particularly mesenchymal tumors, such as rhabdomyosarcoma (12, 13).

Although most IHs cases have a favorable natural history, up to 10% can lead to significant complications, particularly when located in the ocular adnexa region, such as astigmatism (33%), visual axis obstruction (29%), nasolacrimal duct obstruction (7%), ptosis (4%), amblyopia (3%), and strabismus (1%) (14, 15).

Cosmetic sequelae are significant in approximately half of untreated IH cases and include telangiectasia fibrofatty tissue. anetoderma, redundant skin, and scarring (16, 17).

Several treatment options are available for IH, including observation, local or oral steroids, interferon, local and oral betablockers, laser therapy, and surgery. IH likely to require treatment should be treated in a proper timeframe, ideally by 5 weeks of age (18). indeed, prompt treatment is required for those cases threatening visual function to any extent, such as ptosis with severe limitation of the visual field and risk of amblyopia and large lesions compressing the eye. The choice of therapy depends on the location, size, and associated complications of the lesions. Oral propranolol is currently considered the preferred therapy for complicated IH and has been shown to be effective in reducing the size and associated complications of IH. Topical and intralesional timolol are also effective for the treatment of small superficial IH. Laser therapy is useful for the treatment of residual telangiectasias after involution. Surgical excision is reserved for cases that do not respond to the aforementioned therapies, or for those presenting with significant disfigurement or functional impairment (19). Herein, we report the outcomes of a cohort of patients with ocular adnexal Infantile Hemangioma treated with oral propranolol.

Materials and methods

This was a retrospective cohort study that used data from the patients' medical records. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Children's Hospital.

Patients with a confirmed diagnosis of ocular adnexal IH were included in the study.

The diagnosis was based on the accepted clinical and radiological findings (14, 15). The exclusion criteria were allergies to ingredients, bradycardia, hypotension, and previous heart block.

Charts of twenty-four children with periocular and orbital IH referred to Pediatric department of the 'Santobono' Pediatric Hospital in Naples between January 2011 and December 2021 were reviewed.

Clinical datas such as patient demographics, age, duration of signs and symptoms, clinical presentation, location of the IH, imaging findings, type of treatment, complications, and subsequent follow-up were collected. All patient data were deidentified.

All patients underwent a complete ophthalmic examination, cardiac evaluation to rule out pre-existing disorders, allergies tests for asthma, blood tests for glycemia, and measurement of blood pressure.

Periocular and orbital extension of the lesions were assessed using three methods: clinical evaluation of volume and extension of the lesion objectively registered using a ruler and photographic evaluation; evaluation of capillary density using contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS); and evaluation of volume and extension using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Patients underwent MRI to assess the extent of intraorbital lesions and additional maxilofacial segmental components.

Our MRI protocol included thin-slice (2.5 mm) scans in the axial and coronal planes with TSE T1, T2 SPIR with fat suppression, DWI and 3D TFE T1 Fat suppressed after contrast injection with a 1.5T MRI device (Ingenia, Philips Medical Systems); sometimes dynamic sequences and MRA were added. Tumor size and volume were calculated in cm3 by experienced neuroradiologists with manual segmentation from pre- and postoperative volumetric TFE scans using commercially available software (HorosTM 4.0.1). Segmentation could include the hemangioma-infiltrated lacrimal lodge in the supero-external orbital portion, computed in both pre- and post-treatment images. Furthermore, the degree of exophthalmos was evaluated using the Cabanis oculo-orbital index (percentage of the anteroposterior axis of the eyeball located beyond the interzygomatic line on the axial MRI plane; normal value <70%); it distinguishes exophthalmos of grade I (70-100%), grade II (equal to 100%) and grade III (over 100%). The MRI pattern was typically that of a well-circumscribed lesion, hypointense to the extraocular muscle on T1-weighted sequence, markedly hyperintense on T2-weighted sequence with intense contrast enhancement. Volume changes, relationships with orbital structures and residual lesion components after treatment were evaluated.

The treatment protocol included propranolol at an initial oral dose of 1 mg/kg in two daily doses for 7 days. If no side effects occurred, the dose was increased to 2 mg/kg twice daily for one year.

Parents were informed about potential side effects such as hypotension, cold extremities, bronchial hyperreactivity, and hypoglycemia, and were educated to recognize and manage them early.

CEUS and MRI were performed for every patient at baseline, then repeated at three months and one year of therapy, respectively, to assess the progress of lesion regression and monitor any changes in size, volume, and vascular characteristics over time.

The volume of the lesions was measured using imaging techniques, particularly MRI, which allowed for precise and objective volume assessment through manual segmentation of pre- and post-treatment scans.

CEUS and MRI were repeated after three months and one year of therapy, respectively. Glycaemia and blood pressure after 2 hours of treatment.

Close daily follow-up was initially performed for 3 weeks to evaluate potential side effects, after which patients continued therapy at home and returned to the hospital once a month for follow-up. Treatment was continued during the lesion regression phase. After one year, if there was a complete regression, the therapy was interrupted; otherwise, the dosage of the drug was increased to 3 mg/kg in three doses up to 18 months. The therapeutic endpoint was graded as follow: satisfactory when the baseline lesion volume and extension decreased 90% and above, acceptable from 50 to 90%, mediocre from 30 to 50%, poor less than 30%. Other treatment endpoints were assessed on CEUS and MRI, particularly evaluating vascular density, as follows: sparse vascular density was considered matching satisfactory effect; a slightly richer vascular density was considered matching with acceptable; still rich vascular density was considered disappointed treatment outcome matching with mediocre and poor, although the blood vessel density was lower than the initial.

Results

The Twenty-four patients with periocular and orbital IH, whose details are reported in Table 1, were included in this cohort. The mean age at presentation was 4 ± 1 weeks, and 8 were preterm babies. Of the 24 patients, 17 were female (71%) and 7 were male (29%). The average follow-up time was 18 ± 3 months. Therapy was started for 23/24 patients at 5 weeks old 1/24 started at 9 weeks old. Thirteen (54%) patients had right ocular adnexal area involvement and 11(46%) had left-side involvement. None of the patients had bilateral disease. The most common presenting symptom was eyelid swelling, which was observed in 19 (79%) patients. Other clinical signs included eyelid telangiectasia in 10 patients (42%), proptosis in 8 patients (33%), and strabismus in 1 patient (4%). Four infants had PHACE syndrome with extraocular findings. Twenty patients showed a predominant strawberry pattern. Fifteen patients had a combination of orbital involvement and a large subcutaneous extension.

Lesion features on CEUS were hyper-enhancement in the early arterial phase, iso-enhancement in the venous phase in 22 cases, isoenhancement in the early phase, 1 hyper-enhancing in the late phase in one patient.

MRI pattern was a well-circumscribed lesion hypointense to the extraocular muscle on T1 sequence, hyperintense on T2 sequence, and hyperintense with contrast enhancement in all patients.

The median age at treatment was 5,16 weeks old. The oldest patient was 9-weeks old.

TABLE 1 Case series.

	Patient Age						
	(months) at		Distant	T	December	Tana	Materia Ciala
Sex	Onset (mos)	Progression	Amblyopia	Duration	Treatment	Hemangioma	Effect
F	5	worsening	Astigmatism	12	after 4 weeks	Deep	NO
F	5	worsening	Occlusion	12	after 9 weeks	Deep	NO
F	5	worsening	Ptosis	12	after 4 weeks	Mixed	NO
F	5	worsening	Occlusion	12	after 15-20 weeks	Mixed	NO
F	5	stable	Occlusion	12	after 4 weeks	Mixed	NO
М	5	worsening	not observed	12	after 4 weeks	Mixed	NO
F	5	worsening	Occlusion	12	after 9 weeks	Deep	NO
F	5	worsening	Astigmatism	12	after 4 weeks	Mixed	NO
F	5	worsening	not observed	12	after 4 weeks	Mixed	NO
М	5	stable	Astigmatism	12	after 15-20 weeks	Deep	NO
F	5	worsening	not observed	12	after 4 weeks	Deep	NO
F	5	worsening	Occlusion	12	after 9 weeks	Mixed	NO
М	5	worsening	not observed	12	after 4 weeks	Mixed	NO
F	5	worsening	not observed	12	after 4 weeks	Deep	NO
F	5	worsening	Occlusion	12	after 4 weeks	Mixed	NO
М	9	worsening	Ptosis	18	after 15-20 weeks	Deep	NO
М	5	stable	Occlusion	12	after 4 weeks	Mixed	NO
F	5	worsening	not observed	12	after 9 weeks	Mixed	NO
F	5	worsening	not observed	12	after 4 weeks	Deep	NO
F	5	worsening	not observed	12	after 4 weeks	Mixed	NO
М	5	stable	not observed	12	after 4 weeks	Deep	NO
М	5	worsening	Occlusion	12	after 4 weeks	Mixed	NO
F	5	worsening	not observed	12	after 9 weeks	Mixed	NO
F	5	worsening	not observed	12	after 4 weeks	Mixed	NO
	Sex F F F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M F M </td <td>Patient Age months) at Treatment Onset (mos)F5F5F5F5F5F5M5F5<!--</td--><td>Patient Age (months) at Treatment Onset (mos)Lesion progressionF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningM5worseningF5worsening<</td><td>Patient Age treatment Onset (mos)Lesion ProgressionRisk of AmblyopiaF5worseningAstigmatismF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5stableOcclusionM5worseningOcclusionM5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningNot observedF5worseningNot observed<trr>F5worseningN</trr></td><td>Patient Age treatment Onset (mos)Lesion ProgressionRisk of AmblyopiaTreatment DurationF5worseningAstignatism12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5stableOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningNot observed12F5worseningNot observed12F<t< td=""><td>Patient Age (months) at Treatment Onset (mos)LesionRisk of AmblyopiaTreatment DurationResponse to TreatmentF5worseningAstignatism12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 9 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5stableOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observe</td><td>Patient Age treatmentLesion ProgressionRisk of AmblyopinTreatmentResponse to PreatmentType of HemangiomaF5worseningAstignatism12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningOcclusion12after 9 weeksDepF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5stableOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedM5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixed<trr<td>F5worseningno</trr<td></td></t<></td></td>	Patient Age months) at Treatment Onset (mos)F5F5F5F5F5F5M5F5 </td <td>Patient Age (months) at Treatment Onset (mos)Lesion progressionF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningM5worseningF5worsening<</td> <td>Patient Age treatment Onset (mos)Lesion ProgressionRisk of AmblyopiaF5worseningAstigmatismF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5stableOcclusionM5worseningOcclusionM5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningNot observedF5worseningNot observed<trr>F5worseningN</trr></td> <td>Patient Age treatment Onset (mos)Lesion ProgressionRisk of AmblyopiaTreatment DurationF5worseningAstignatism12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5stableOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningNot observed12F5worseningNot observed12F<t< td=""><td>Patient Age (months) at Treatment Onset (mos)LesionRisk of AmblyopiaTreatment DurationResponse to TreatmentF5worseningAstignatism12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 9 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5stableOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observe</td><td>Patient Age treatmentLesion ProgressionRisk of AmblyopinTreatmentResponse to PreatmentType of HemangiomaF5worseningAstignatism12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningOcclusion12after 9 weeksDepF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5stableOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedM5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixed<trr<td>F5worseningno</trr<td></td></t<></td>	Patient Age (months) at Treatment Onset (mos)Lesion progressionF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningF5worseningM5worseningF5worsening<	Patient Age treatment Onset (mos)Lesion ProgressionRisk of AmblyopiaF5worseningAstigmatismF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5stableOcclusionM5worseningOcclusionM5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningOcclusionF5worseningNot observedF5worseningNot observed <trr>F5worseningN</trr>	Patient Age treatment Onset (mos)Lesion ProgressionRisk of AmblyopiaTreatment DurationF5worseningAstignatism12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5stableOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningOcclusion12F5worseningNot observed12F5worseningNot observed12F <t< td=""><td>Patient Age (months) at Treatment Onset (mos)LesionRisk of AmblyopiaTreatment DurationResponse to TreatmentF5worseningAstignatism12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 9 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5stableOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observe</td><td>Patient Age treatmentLesion ProgressionRisk of AmblyopinTreatmentResponse to PreatmentType of HemangiomaF5worseningAstignatism12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningOcclusion12after 9 weeksDepF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5stableOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedM5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixed<trr<td>F5worseningno</trr<td></td></t<>	Patient Age (months) at Treatment Onset (mos)LesionRisk of AmblyopiaTreatment DurationResponse to TreatmentF5worseningAstignatism12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 9 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5stableOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksF5worseningNot observe	Patient Age treatmentLesion ProgressionRisk of AmblyopinTreatmentResponse to PreatmentType of HemangiomaF5worseningAstignatism12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningOcclusion12after 9 weeksDepF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5stableOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedM5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningOcclusion12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningNot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixedF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksDepF5worseningnot observed12after 4 weeksMixed <trr<td>F5worseningno</trr<td>

1. Summary of Patient Characteristics affected by Ocular Adnexal Infantile Hemangioma.

After 12 months in 95% of patients we had a regression of the mass (Figures 1–4).

No patients in our cohort developed amblyopia during the study period: the successful reduction of the mass in all patients contributed to the preservation of normal visual development. In one case (5%), we had only a 40% mass reduction after 1 year of treatment, so we improved to 3 mg/kg until 18 months of age and reached a 60% mass reduction, and we stopped the treatment.

Sixteen patients (70%) had a rapid response to treatment after 4 weeks, 5 (20%) after 9 weeks, and 10% (2) showed improvement after 15–20 weeks.

All causes of high risk of amblyopia were resolved after treatment because of the reduction in the mass; no patient required ptosis and strabismus surgical repair.

One patient had hypoglycemia, which was managed as an outpatient.

Discussion

IH is the most common vascular tumor in infants, affecting 8-10% of the pediatric population, with 80% of cases localized in the head and neck region (20, 21).

The International Society for the Study of Vascular Anomalies (ISSVA) classification system categorizes vascular lesions into two primary groups: tumors, which are true proliferative neoplasms, and malformations, which comprise lesions with defects in morphogenesis. This classification system has significantly impacted the diagnosis and management of these lesions when they involve the ocular-adnexal area. If left untreated, visual sequelae are likely to occur, with amblyopia being a primary concern due to stimulus deprivation, induced anisometropia, secondary strabismus, or globe displacement (22–31). Refractive/ anisometropic amblyopia is the most common visual complication



associated with periocular hemangiomas, followed by deprivational amblyopia. While the former may be managed with optical correction alone, deprivational amblyopia—caused by obstruction of the visual axis—necessitates early treatment, often during the neonatal period, to prevent irreversible vision loss (32).

Predisposing factors for IH include female sex, low birth weight, and pre-term birth (7). In this vascular tumor, primitive stem cells differentiate into endothelial cells and pericytes (12, 13, 16, 18, 19, 33). Tumor growth occurs in different phases in a nonlinear process. The proliferative phase is characterized by rapid cell proliferation that continues for several months after birth. During this phase, the endothelium grows chaotically and vessels cannot be detected histologically. In the later stage of the proliferation phase, differentiation begins with the formation of enlarged vessels. The involutional phase is characterized by apoptosis of endothelial cells and subsequent deposition of fibro-fatty tissue (18).

IH is described according to color and shape (strawberry nevus, bluish discoloration) (34), and the level of involvement (subcutaneous, deep orbital, combined, segmental, focal, and multifocal) (35). In the present series, 20 patients had a predominant strawberry pattern. All patients included in this study had a deep orbital component; however, 15 patients were considered to have a large subcutaneous extension.

Many IHs are diagnosed through clinical examination; however, imaging can be crucial for deep types with anatomical disfigurement and lesions involving the orbit. Imaging is also useful for assessing the depth of lesions and their relationship with the adjacent structures.

Some studies have found that patients with IH carry mutations in VEGFR1, VEGFR2, or TEM8, indicating that dysregulation of VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 expression may contribute to hemangioma formation (36). Oral propranolol may inhibit angiogenesis by down-regulating the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in hemangioma-derived stem cells (37, 38).

Several studies have highlighted the efficacy and safety of propranolol in managing IH, especially in cases where traditional treatments like corticosteroids have shown limitations (33, 39, 40). Propranolol has been found to be particularly effective in treating proliferative hemangiomas, with minimal side effects and a high level of safety (41). It is also important to consider that propranolol's therapeutic efficacy is greatest during the proliferative phase of the hemangioma. When initiated during the involutional stage, clinical response may be less pronounced, underscoring the importance of timely diagnosis and management. Compared to other medications such as nadolol, propranolol has been associated with a lower risk of adverse



events, emphasizing its favorable safety profile (34). Although propranolol has demonstrated significant efficacy in treating IH, concerns have been raised regarding its potential impact on pediatric growth and development (35). The use of propranolol has been supported by randomized controlled trials, establishing it as a first-line therapy for IH (33). In clinical practice, the administration of oral propranolol is well tolerated, with few reported complications (42).

In this cohort, oral propranolol was initiated at a dose of 1 mg/ kg for a period of one week, with the possibility of increasing the dosage to 2 mg/kg should no adverse effects arise. It is noteworthy that more than 90% of patients demonstrated a satisfactory response within a three-month timeframe. This high rate of efficacy is particularly significant considering that hemangiomas can rapidly grow and obstruct vision if left untreated. In this cohort, the average age at which treatment commenced was five weeks, which may have contributed to the high rate of regression observed. A relevant caveat is that the earlier the treatment is initiated, the better the response. Recent evidence and ISSVA guidelines suggest that propranolol titration from 1 to 3 mg/kg/day can be safely achieved within a week under proper monitoring, allowing for earlier therapeutic dosing. While our protocol adopted a more gradual approach, reaching full dosage at a later stage (around 12–18 months of age), this was mainly due to a highly cautious approach based on the retrospective nature of the study and patient variability. It is also worth noting that, unless in premature infants, the 3 mg/kg/day dosage can be administered in two daily doses, with no need for three administrations. These aspects, along with the timing for discontinuation, should be tailored to the individual patient and ideally align with the structured protocols described in recent literature.

Additional findings of this study revealed no significant adverse effects associated with propranolol, such as bradycardia, hypotension, fatigue, bronchospasm, congestive heart failure, or gastrointestinal discomfort (42). Only one case of hypoglycemia has been reported. This suggests that medical surveillance provided by a highly specialized pediatric hospital may have contributed to the low incidence of complications. Furthermore, previous studies have emphasized the importance of cardiac screening in infants with hemangiomas before starting propranolol treatment to ensure patient safety. The outcomes of this study, which exhibited a high success rate and low morbidity, can be attributed to the



FIGURE 3

Coronal and Axial contrast enhanced FSPGR T1 MR Images before (A) and after (B) the treatment. Significant volumetric reduction of the right periorbital hemangioma is observed; note the residual component in the supero-external orbital side; slight reduction of compression on the eyeball.



FIGURE 4

Comparison of MRI images before (A) and after treatment (B). Axial and Coronal T2 SPIR MR Images show almost complete resolution of the right periorbital hemangioma; note the resolution of the proptosis due to the reduction of the compression of the eyeball. Segmentation, volume calculation and 3D representation of the periorbital hemangioma and the residual portion after treatment are showed. Resolution of exophthalmos is also detected (Cabanis oculo-orbital index 84% vs 69%).

implementation of standardized protocols and continuous monitoring. This collaborative care model facilitates prompt identification and management of any potential adverse effects, with the aim of enhancing therapeutic results. A collective body of evidence supports the effectiveness and safety of oral propranolol in addressing ocular adnexal infantile hemangiomas. Future investigations should concentrate on long-term safety and efficacy, particularly by examining the potential impact of propranolol on the growth and development of children.

Conclusion

This study validated the real-life efficacy of oral propranolol in the treatment of ocular adnexal infantile hemangiomas, as evidenced by the positive response observed in over 90% of patients within three months. The minimal occurrence of adverse effects in conjunction with the benefits of a multidisciplinary approach emphasizes the feasibility of propranolol as a beneficial, effective, and safe option for managing this particular type of hemangioma, providing both practical and aesthetic advantages.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by University Federico II of Naples. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin. Written informed consent was obtained from the minor(s)' legal guardian/next of kin for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

SM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing - original draft,

References

1. Schwartz RA, Sidor MI, Musumeci ML, Lin RL, Micali G. Infantile hemangiomas: a challenge in pediatric dermatology. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* (2009) 24:631–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-3083.2010.03650.x

Writing - review & editing. ES: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. AE: Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing. VL: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. DCi: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. FE: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. CP: Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing. AI: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. DCo: Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing. GM: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. AD: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. FB: Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. DS: Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization. CC: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

3. Drolet BA, Swanson EA, Frieden IJ, Hemangioma Investigator G. Infantile hemangiomas: an emerging health issue linked to an increased rate of low birth weight infants. *J Pediatr.* (2008) 153:712–715, 715 e711. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2008.05.043

4. Kilcline C, Frieden IJ. Infantile hemangiomas: how common are they? A systematic review of the medical literature. *Pediatr Dermatol.* (2008) 25:168–73. doi: 10.1111/j.1525-1470.2008.00626.x

^{2.} Storch CH, Hoeger PH. Propranolol for infantile hemangiomas: insights into the molecular mechanisms of action. *Br J Dermatol.* (2010) 163:269–74. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2010.09848.x

5. Hoornweg MJ, Smeulders MJ, van der Horst CM. Prevalence and characteristics of hemangiomas in young children. *Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd.* (2005) 149:2455-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2006.12.003

6. Munden A, Butschek R, Tom WL, Marshall JS, Poeltler DM, Krohne SE, et al. Prospective study of infantile hemangiomas: incidence, clinical characteristics and association with placental anomalies. *Br J Dermatol.* (2014) 170:907–13. doi: 10.1111/bjd.2014.170.issue-4

7. Chang LC, Haggstrom AN, Drolet BA, Baselga E, Chamlin SL, Garzon MC, et al. Growth characteristics of infantile hemangiomas: implications for management. *Pediatrics*. (2008) 122:360–7. doi: 10.1542/peds.2007-2767

8. O'Brien KF, Shah SD, Pope E, Phillips RJ, Blei F, Baselga E, et al. Late growth of infantile hemangiomas in children >3 years of age: A retrospective study. *J Amer Acad Dermatol.* (2019) 80:493–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2018.07.061

9. Bauland CG, Luning TH, Smit JM, Zeebregts CJ, Spauwen PH. Untreated hemangiomas: growth pattern and residual lesions. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* (2011) 127:1643–8. doi: 10.1097/PRS.0b013e318208d2ac

10. Krowchuk DP, Frieden IJ, Mancini AJ, Darrow DH, Blei F, Greene AK, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the management of infantile hemangiomas. *Pediatrics*. (2019) 143:e20183475. doi: 10.1542/peds.2018-3475

11. Chiller KG, Passaro D, Frieden IJ. Hemangiomas of infancy: clinical characteristics, morphologic subtypes, and their relationship to race, ethnicity, and sex. *Arch Dermatol.* (2002) 138:1567–76. doi: 10.1001/archderm.138.12.1567

12. McNab M, García C, Tabak D, Aranibar L, Castro A, Wortsman X. Subclinical ultrasound characteristics of infantile hemangiomas that may potentially affect involution. J Ultrasound Med. (2020) 40:1125–30. doi: 10.1002/jum.15489

13. Weber FC, Greene AK, Adams DM, Liang MG, Alomari MH, Voss SD, et al. Role of imaging in the diagnosis of parotid infantile hemangiomas. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* (2017) 102:61–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ijporl.2017.08.035

14. Leung AKC, Lam JM, Leong KF, Hon KL. Infantile hemangioma: an updated review. Curr Pediatr Rev. (2021) 17:55–69. doi: 10.2174/1573396316666200508100038

15. Goyal P, Mangla R, Gupta S, Malhotra A, Almast J, Sapire J, et al. Pediatric congenital cerebrovascular anomalies. *J Neuroimaging*. (2019) 29:165–81. doi: 10.1111/jon.12575

16. Starkey E, Shahidullah H. Propranolol for infantile hemangiomas: a review. *Arch Dis Child.* (2011) 96:890–3. doi: 10.1136/adc.2010.208884

17. Zhao J, Huang AH, Rainer BM, Kryatova MS, Eghrari AO, Wang J, et al. Periocular infantile hemangiomas: Characteristics, ocular sequelae, and outcomes. *Pediatr Dermatol.* (2019) 36:830–4. doi: 10.1111/pde.13925

18. Tollefson MM, Frieden IJ. Early growth of infantile hemangiomas: what parents' photographs tell us. *Pediatrics*. (2012) 130:e314–20. doi: 10.1542/peds.2011-3683

19. Hoornweg MJ, Smeulders MJ, van der Horst CM. Health-related quality of life and impact of haemangiomas on children and their parents. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* (2009) 62(10):1265–71. doi: 10.1016/j.bjps.2008.03.021

20. Salour H, Bagheri A, Raffati N, Amouhashemi N. Oral propranolol for treatment of pediatric capillary hemangiomas. J Ophthalmic Vis Res. (2012) 7:130e3.

21. Kimmatkar DP. Use of oral propranolol for treatment of infantile hemangiomas: a case report. *Pediatr Oncall*. (2014) 11:17–8. doi: 10.7199/ped.oncall.2014.14

22. Brahmbhatt AN, Skalski KA, Bhatt AA. Vascular lesions of the head and neck: an update on classification and imaging review. *Insights Imaging*. (2020) 11:19. doi: 10.1186/s13244-019-0818-3

23. Strianese D, Bonavolontà G, Iuliano A, Mariniello G, Elefante A, Liuzzi R. Risks and benefits of surgical excision of orbital cavernous venous malformations (So-called cavernous hemangioma): factors influencing the outcome. *Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg.* (2021) 37 (3):248–54a. doi: 10.1097/IOP.000000000001767.

24. Lanni V, Iuliano A, Fossataro F, Russo C, Uccello G, Tranfa F, et al. The role of ultrasonography in differential diagnosis of orbital lesions. *J Ultrasound*. (2021) 24. doi: 10.1007/s40477-020-00443-0

25. Capasso R, Russo C, Iuliano A, Cocozza S, Pontillo G, Tortora F, et al. Upper eyelid isolated arterio-venous malformation treated with embolization in a patient with keloid-prone skin. *Ophthalmic Plast Reconstructive Surg.* (2020) 36:E116–9. doi: 10.1097/IOP.000000000001620

26. Russo C, Strianese D, Perrotta M, Iuliano A, Bernardo R, Romeo V, et al. Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging characterization of orbital lesions: a triple blind study. *Semin Ophthalmol.* (2020) 35:95-102. doi: 10.1080/ 08820538.2020.1742358

27. Strianese D, Napoli M, Russo C, D'Errico A, Scotti N, Puoti G, et al. Coexistence of cavernous hemangioma and other vascular malformations of the orbit: A report of three cases. *Neuroradiology J.* (2014) 27:223–31. doi: 10.15274/NRJ-2014-10016

28. Selva D, Strianese D, Bonavolonta G, Rootman J. Orbital venous-lymphatic malformations (lymphangiomas) mimicking cavernous hemangiomas. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (2001) 131:364–70. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9394(00)00826-6

29. Spiteri Cornish K, Reddy AR. The use of propranolol in the management of periocular capillary hemangioma systematic review. *Eye (Lond)*. (2011) 25:1277e83. doi: 10.1038/eye.2011.164

30. Levitt M, Coumou AD, Groeneveld L, Freling NJ, van der Horst CM, Saeed P. Propranolol as first-line treatment in orbital infantile hemangiomas: a case series. *Orbit.* (2014) 33:178e83. doi: 10.3109/01676830.2014.884148

31. Snir M, Reich U, Siegel R, Zvulunov A, Friling R, Goldenberg- Cohen N, et al. Refractive and structural changes in infantile periocular capillary hemangioma treated with propranolol. *Eye (Lond)*. (2011) 25:1627e34. doi: 10.1038/eye.2011.233

32. Schwartz SR, Blei F, Ceisler E, Steele M, Furlan L, Kodsi S. Risk factors for amblyopia in children with capillary hemangiomas of the eyelids and orbit. *J AAPOS*. (2006) 10:262–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaapos.2006.01.210

33. Giese RA, Turner M, Cleves M, Gardner JR, Richter GT. A randomized, controlled trial of oral propranolol in infantile hemangioma. *N Engl J Med.* (2015) 372:735–46. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1404710

34. McGillis E, Baumann T. LeRoy J death associated with nadolol for infantile hemangioma: A case for improving safety. *J Pediatrics*. (2020) 145:e20191035. doi: 10.1542/peds.2019-1035

35. Giese RA, Turner M, Cleves M, Gardner JR, Richter GT. Propranolol for treatment of infantile hemangioma: efficacy and effect on pediatric growth and development. *Int J Pediatr.* (2021) 2021:6669383. doi: 10.1155/2021/6669383

36. Spiteri Cornish K, Reddy AR. The use of propranolol in the management of periocular capillary hemangioma systematic review. *Eye (Lond)*. (2011) 25:1277e83. doi: 10.1056/NEJM0a1404710

37. Buckmiller LM, Munson PD, Dyamenahalli U, Dai Y, Richter GT. Propranolol for infantile hemangiomas: early experience at a tertiary vascular anomalies center. *Laryngoscope*. (2010) 120:676e81. doi: 10.1002/lary.v120:4

38. Gong X, Li Y, Yang K, Chen S, Ji Y. Infantile hepatic hemangiomas: looking backwards and forwards. *Precis Clin Med.* (2022) 5:pbac006. doi: 10.1093/pcmedi/ pbac006

39. Izadpanah A, Izadpanah A, Kanevsky J, Belzile E, Schwarz K. Propranolol versus corticosteroids in the treatment of infantile hemangioma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* (2013) 131(3):601–13. doi: 10.1097/ PRS.0b013e31827c6fab

40. Chai Q, Chen WL, Huang ZQ, Zhang DM, Fan S, Wang L. Preliminary experiences in treating infantile hemangioma with propranolol. *Ann Plast Surg.* (2014) 72:169–72. doi: 10.1097/SAP.0b013e31821ee3a5

41. Wu S, Wang B, Chen L, Xiong S, Zhuang F, Huang X, et al. Clinical efficacy of propranolol in the treatment of hemangioma and changes in serum VEGF, bFGF and MMP-9. *Exp Ther Med.* (2015) 10:1079–83. doi: 10.3892/etm.2015.2650

42. Alsmman AH, Mounir A. Combined oral propranolol with intralesional injection of triamcinolone acetonide in treatment of infantile periocular hemangiomas. *Clin Ophthalmol.* (2017) 11:2177–81. doi: 10.2147/OPTH.S153121