Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Shweta Agarwal, Sankara Nethralaya, India

REVIEWED BY Davide Borroni, Riga Stradiņš University, Latvia Juliet Moncaster, Boston University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Andreas Guttmann Mandreas.guttmann@medunigraz.at

RECEIVED 06 December 2024 ACCEPTED 10 February 2025 PUBLISHED 27 February 2025

CITATION

Guttmann A, Heidinger A, Woltsche N, Brodmann M, Kurzmann-Gütl K, Nemecz V, Kaindl M, Wurzer H, Schwantzer G and Horwath-Winter J (2025) Ocular symptoms in COVID-19 patients with a history of hospitalization in the first pandemic wave in Styria, Austria. *Front. Ophthalmol.* 5:1540904. doi: 10.3389/fopht.2025.1540904

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Guttmann, Heidinger, Woltsche, Brodmann, Kurzmann-Gütl, Nemecz, Kaindl, Wurzer, Schwantzer and Horwath-Winter. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Ocular symptoms in COVID-19 patients with a history of hospitalization in the first pandemic wave in Styria, Austria

Andreas Guttmann^{1*}, Astrid Heidinger¹, Nora Woltsche¹, Marianne Brodmann², Katharina Kurzmann-Gütl², Viktoria Nemecz², Matthias Kaindl², Herbert Wurzer³, Gerold Schwantzer⁴ and Jutta Horwath-Winter¹

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Medical University Graz, Graz, Austria, ²Division of Angiology, Medical University Graz, Graz, Austria, ³Department of Internal Medicine, LKH Graz II, Graz, Austria, ⁴Institute for Medical Informatics, Statistics and Documentation, Medical University Graz, Graz, Austria

Purpose: Our study aimed to investigate the prevalence and timing of ocular surface manifestations in hospitalized COVID-19 patients, providing insights into the occurrence of eye involvement before, during, or after the illness. This study contributes to understanding the extent of ocular involvement in COVID-19, which has been suggested to occur due to potential viral entry through the eyes.

Methods: 451 confirmed COVID-19 patients had a history of hospitalization in Styria, Austria. The study included 176 patients aged 18-95 years who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 in nasopharyngeal swabs by RT-PCR and received treatment at two hospitals. Telephone interviews were conducted after recovery, focusing on ocular symptoms and medical history (openMEDOCS).

Results: Seventeen percent (n=30) reported new-onset ocular symptoms in the context of COVID-19. Patients with ocular symptoms were younger (p<0.001). Sore throat (p=0.013) and high fever (p=0.038) were significantly more prevalent in patients with new-onset ocular symptoms. Persistent ocular symptoms beyond the duration of hospitalization affected more than half (56.7%) of the participants with new-onset ocular symptoms. However, there were no differences in blood parameters, lung imaging, or comorbidities between groups with and without ocular symptoms.

Conclusions: In hospitalized COVID-19 patients, ocular symptoms occur with a significant prevalence of 17%. Younger age (p<0.001) and the presence of sore throat (p=0.013) are associated with an increased risk of developing new-onset ocular symptoms in the context of COVID-19.

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, ocular symptoms, prevalence, conjunctivitis, SARS-CoV-2

Introduction

On January 7th, 2020 a novel type of coronavirus was isolated and confirmed as a pathogen by the Chinese Centre for Disease Control and Prevention and named 2019-nCoV by the World Health Organization (WHO) (1). However, a month later the WHO declared a new name for the pandemic disease: Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) (2). The Coronavirus Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses named it Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-related Coronavirus 2, or SARS-CoV-2 (3).

SARS-CoV shares an 82% genetic similarity with SARS-CoV-2; however, ocular involvement has not been observed in SARS-CoV cases according to current scientific findings (4–6). Similarly, SARS-CoV-2 demonstrates significant neuroinvasive and neurotropic activity, as seen in its association with anosmia, which is hypothesized to arise from its impact on the olfactory neuroepithelium and immune system interactions. These mechanisms underscore its potential to affect sensory systems (7). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis in tear fluid of SARS-CoV infected patients showed that the virus antigen was actually present there despite the absence of ocular symptoms (OS) (8).

The highly infectious SARS-Cov-2 is mainly transmitted via aerosols (9). Detection of SARS-CoV-2 ribonucleic acid (RNA) in tears and conjunctiva should strengthen ocular transmission, but this seems unusual with a SARS-CoV-2 detection rate of only 2.7% in ocular samples (10).

Various studies have shown that COVID-19 can affect the eyes by causing conjunctivitis or conjunctivitis like symptoms. Ocular surface involvement ranges from 0.8 to 31.6% of cases (11–13). When considering the effects of COVID-19 on the whole eye, ocular manifestations are reported in up to 32% (14). In addition, a few cases were described in which conjunctivitis was observed as the first or main symptom (13, 15, 16).

The aim of our study was to investigate the prevalence of ocular symptoms in COVID-19 patients with a history of hospitalization. Therefore, we conducted a telephone interview with a questionnaire from patients who had already recovered from COVID-19 in order to investigate the occurrence of ocular involvement and if whether it occurred before, during or after the illness. Furthermore, we wanted to evaluate whether there is a connection between the course of the disease and the OS.

Methods

The study was conducted on the basis of the criteria of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical University of Graz (protocol number: EK 32-535 ex 19/20).

Verbal informed consent was obtained from the participants at the beginning of the telephone interview.

During the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic, almost all hospitalized patients in the province of Styria, Austria were treated in two hospitals in their provincial capital Graz, the LKH University Hospital Graz and in the LKH Graz II, Location West. From 7/3/2020 to 10/8/2020, 451 reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) confirmed COVID-19 patients were treated on ward or in an intensive care unit at these two hospitals. Therefore, all patients who (1) tested pharyngeal swab positive for the SARS-CoV-2 RNA by RT-PCR and (2) were between 18 and 95 years of age and (3) were treated for COVID-19 at either hospital were included in our study. Excluded were mentally disabled and persons under custodianship, but also those for whom no current contact details were stored. More than one-third (n=104 (37.8%)) were unable to participate in the study because they were deceased at the time of the survey.

Data collection

The study team obtained the names, dates of birth, and dates of the first positive RT-PCR test results from the treating departments. Additional demographic and medically relevant information, including allergies, past medical history, medication use, admission findings, duration of inpatient stay, radiological and laboratory findings, and other medical interventions, were obtained through the hospital information system openMEDOCS (KAGes, Graz, Austria).

Questionnaire

To enhance the quality of questionnaire responses, the administration of the questionnaire was conducted through telephone interviews. All patients for whom no exclusion criteria were already present during the data collection process were interviewed exclusively by two residents in ophthalmology. The interviews were conducted between 13th of August 2020 and 19th of December 2020. The participants were assigned a pseudonymization code and this was noted on the questionnaire. The questionnaire was divided into a general and a specific part. In the general part, participants were asked about past medical history, allergies, and duration of COVID-19. In addition, an ophthalmologic history was collected, whether eye diseases exist, eve surgeries were performed, and ophthalmic medication was applied or a refractive correction by glasses or contact lenses was necessary. Furthermore, the participants were asked about their digital screen time, whether it was longer than 5 hours per day.

The specific part was based on the assessment of the following OS: Redness, swelling of the conjunctiva; swelling of the eyelid, redness of the eyelid; itching, burning, foreign body sensation; sensitivity to light; epiphora; stuck eyes; conjunctival secretion; blurred vision and periorbital pain. If symptoms had occurred, participants were asked which eye was affected, the time of onset, and the duration of symptoms in days. We addressed whether these

Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease; CT, computed tomography; DED, dry eye disease; Max, maximum; MADE, mask-associated dry eye; Min, minimum; OS, ocular symptoms; RNA, ribonucleic acid; RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus; SD, standard deviation; VAS, visual analog scale; WHO, World Health Organization.

OS occurred and, if so, whether they appeared before or during COVID-19 infection related illness/hospitalization, at the time of discharge or after the hospitalization. Participants rated the intensity of their symptoms on a scale of 0-100, with "0" indicating no symptoms and "100" indicating maximal discomfort. This symptom assessment is based on the Visual Analog Scale (VAS).

For the statistical analysis, the collected data from the hospital information system and the responses from the questionnaire were merged and consolidated.

Statistical analysis

Categorical data is given as frequency with percentage, continuous data as mean together with standard deviation (SD) or as median together with range (minimum – maximum). Group differences in categorical data were assessed with Chi-squared test, continuous parameters were tested with Mann-Whitney-U test. In both cases we calculated exact test statistics. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS Statistics Version 28 (Release 28.0.1.1 2021. Armonk (NY), USA: International Business Machines Corporation).

Results

A total of 176 (39.0%; male=95 (54%)/female=81(46%)) out of the 451 PCR positive tested patients agreed to answer the questions. 30 patients (17%; m=13 (43.3%)/f=17 (56.7%)) described having suffered from new-onset OS in the context of COVID-19 or still suffering until the time of evaluation.

The mean age of study participants was 64.6 ± 15.37 years (mean [SD]), with a range of 20 to 93 years.

Statistically, there was a significant difference in age (p<0.001) between the group with new-onset OS and the group without. Patients with new onset OS were younger (55.7 \pm 15.3) than patients without OS in the context of COVID-19 (66.4 \pm 14.8) (Table 1).

Of the 176 participants, 31 (17.6%; 4 with and 27 without newonset OS, p=0.607) required at least temporary transfer to an intensive care unit, whereas the remainder could be cared for as inpatients in one of the two hospitals. Forty-one (24.3%) patients stated that they have allergies with no difference between the group reporting new-onset OS (n=7, 25.9%) and those reporting no OS (n=34, 23.3%).

One hundred fifteen (66.9%) patients (15 in the OS group and 100 in the patients without OS) reported general diseases in cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases and in diabetes mellitus. Four (26.7%) of the 15 OS patients with general diseases reported cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases compared to 55 (55.0%) of the 100 patients with general symptoms in the group without OS (p=0.053).

Only one (6.7%) patient with general diseases in the OS group reported diabetes mellitus whereas 30 (30.0%) patients without OS did (p=0.066). There are no differences between the groups in the presence of skin diseases or whether systemic medication is taken.

TABLE	1	Characteristics	of	patients	with	and	without	new-onse	et
ocular	syı	mptoms.							

	with new- onset OS (n, Mean <u>+</u> SD or %)	without new- onset OS (n, Mean <u>+</u> SD or %)	p-value					
Age (years)	30, 55.67 ± 15.27	146, 66.38 ± 14.80	<0.001					
Sore Throat								
Yes	3 (11.1%)	1 (0.7%)	0.013					
No	24 (88.9%)	140 (99.3%)	-					
Cephalalgia								
Yes	4 (14.8%)	7 (5.0%)	0.079					
No	23 (85.2%)	134 (95.0%)	-					
Myalgia or Arth	ralgia							
Yes	4 (14.8%)	6 (4.3%)	0.056					
No	23 (85.2%)	135 (95.7%)	-					
General Leadin	g Symptoms upon	Hospital Admission	1					
Cough	13 (48.1%)	80 (56.7%)	0.527					
Fatigue	14 (51.9%)	77 (54.6%)	0.835					
Dyspnoea	14 (51.9%)	56 (39.7%)	0.289					
Fever (≥37.3°C)	9 (36%)	47 (34.9%)	0.636					
Gastrointestinal Symptoms	6 (22.2%)	47 (33.3%)	0.273					
Digital Screen Time								
>5 hours	9 (30.0%)	32 (21.9%)	0.349					
<5 hours	21 (70.0%)	114 (78.1%)	-					
Fever >39.0°C								
OS at Admission	2 (15.4%)	4 (2.7%)	0.075					
OS at Discharge	2 (22.2%)	4 (2.6%)	0.038					
OS after Discharge	2 (14.3%)	4 (2.7%)	0.102					
Oxygen Therap	у							
OS at Discharge	8 (88.9%)	107 (67.3%)	0.275					
OS after Discharge	13 (92.9%)	102 (66.2%)	0.067					
Location of Symptoms								
Both Eyes	43 (70.5%)	-	-					
Right Eye	5 (8.2%)	_	-					
Left Eye	13 (21.3%)	_	_					

This table summarizes the characteristics and key symptoms of patients with and without new-onset ocular symptoms (OS), including their prevalence, associations with clinical parameters, and statistical significance.

The leading symptom at the time of inpatient admission sore throat was significantly more prevalent in the group with OS (p=0.013). Patients who had new-onset OS were more likely to report cephalalgia (p=0.079) and myalgia or arthralgia (p=0.056)

than in the group without new-onset OS. (Table 1) The most commonly reported general leading symptoms upon hospital admission among the 176 study participants were cough (n=93 (55.4%)), fatigue (n=91 (54.2%)), dyspnea (n=70 (41.7%)), fever \geq 37.3 degrees Celsius (n=56 (35%)), and gastrointestinal symptoms (n=53 (31.5%)). These symptoms are presented separately for participants with and without OS in Table 1 to allow for a clearer comparison of their prevalence in both groups.

Neither the lung X-rays nor the thoracic computed tomography (CT) scans demonstrated any significant differences between the patient groups with and without new-onset OS. The blood parameters taken at the beginning of the inpatient admission presented in Table 2 did not indicate any differences between the two groups.

The OS, their frequency and the mean, minimum and maximum intensity of symptoms are characterized in Table 3. Blurred vision emerged as the predominant symptom, while peribulbar pain was the most affecting symptom in mean intensity. The majority of symptoms are clearly expressed in both eyes (n=43 (70.5%)) whereas 21.3% (n=13) occur strictly in the left or 8.2% (n=5) in the right eye (Table 1).

The duration of OS of each patient is shown in Figure 1. 14 (46.7%) patients reported OS as the initial symptom. On average, 6.2 ± 4.5 days with a maximum of 14 days prior to first COVID symptom. The participants in our study reported a duration of OS of 28 days (min=0.5 d). OS that emerged after discharge from the inpatient stay lasted for a longer duration of 45 days (min=21 d, max=305 d) compared to those that occurred prior to the onset of COVID-19, which lasted for 23 days (min=0.5 d, max=372 d), or those that occurred during the inpatient stay, which lasted for 21 days (min=2 d, max=350 d). Persistent OS beyond the duration of hospitalization affected more than half (n=17 (56.7%)) of the participants with new-onset OS. Treatment with preservative-free lubricants during the inpatient stay was necessary in three patients (10%).

Ten patients (5.7%) reported regular contact lens use. In two of them, both using one-day contact lenses, new-onset OS occurred in the context of COVID-19. None of the two patients rated their contact lens compatibility as "good" whereas each of the 8 patients without new-onset OS did (p=0.022). About one-fourth (n=41 (23.3%)) of all participants reported more than 5 hours of digital

screen time per day. However, it has no influence on the occurrence of symptoms between the two groups (p=0.349). Four participants responded suffering from diagnosed dry eye disease (DED) but did not report any new onset of eye symptoms or increase in their symptoms.

Moreover, the need for oxygen therapy in 18 (69.2%) patients who had new-onset OS, had no significant effect on the occurrence of OS. However, patients with need for oxygen therapy are more likely to get or maintain OS after discharge (p=0.067).(Table 1) Special intensive care positioning (e.g.: prone position) was only necessary in one patient who reported OS.

Patients with fever >39.0 degrees Celsius upon hospital admission were more likely to develop OS during inpatient stay (p=0.075). The presence of new-onset OS at discharge was significantly influenced (p=0.038) by high fever as a leading symptom upon hospital admission. (Table 1) Thus, in this group reporting OS at discharge, 22.2% (n=2) presented with a temperature >39.0 degrees Celsius, whereas in the group without OS only 2.6% (n=4) were in this temperature range.

Discussion

In our study we could show that 17% (n=30/176) COVID-19 patients with a history of hospitalization had suffered from newonset OS or were still suffering until the time of evaluation. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest study focusing on the prevalence of ocular symptoms in hospitalized patients with COVID 19 with results displaying the middle range of the previous results published in the literature (11, 13).

Although participants from only two hospitals were interviewed, they provide a good reflection of the population, with a large number of participants (n=176), a wide age range (20 to 93 years), and a nearly even gender distribution (f=46.0% vs. m=54.0%).

As defined in the TFOS DEWS II, "Dry eye is a multifactorial disease of the tears and ocular surface that results in symptoms of discomfort, visual disturbance, and tear film instability with potential damage to the ocular surface. It is accompanied by increased osmolarity of the tear film and inflammation of the ocular surface." (17) Due to this multifactoriality, it can of course

	with new-onset ocular symptoms				without new-onset ocular symptoms			p-value	
	n	Median	Min	Max	n	Median	Min	Max	
Lymphocytes (in %)	25	30	4	48.8	130	26	10	59	0.239
C-reactive protein (in mg/dl)	25	9.1	0.1	51	130	9.47	0.1	64.12	0.643
Interleukin-6 (in pg/ml)	11	49.1	2.2	3190	34	111	11.5	4980	0.277
Ferritin (in ng/ml)	19	1044.37	72	11424.9	93	1113.88	40.32	15452	0.503
D-dimers (in µg/l)	13	1310	330	64568	71	1393.00	302	54500	0.940

TABLE 2 Differential blood parameters in COVID-19 patients.

Blood parameters are compared in terms of number, median, minimum, and maximum values between patients with new-onset ocular symptoms and those without. Additionally, the p-values (determined through the Mann-Whitney U test) are reported.

TABLE 3 Frequency and severity of new-onset ocular symptoms in COVID-19 Patients.

Ocular Symptoms	Number of Patients Reporting Symptoms	Total Nominations of Symptoms (Across All Time Points)	Mean VAS	Min VAS	Max VAS
Redness, Swelling of the conjunctiva	7 (15%)	11 (13%)	30.00	5	80
Swelling of the eyelid/Redness of the eyelid	0 (0%)	0 (0%)			
Itching, Burning, Foreign body sensation	11 (24%)	17 (19%)	43.52	10	70
Sensitivity to light	6 (13%)	16 (18%)	50.00	10	80
Epiphora	7 (15%)	15 (17%)	38.57	10	70
Stuck eyes	1 (2%)	2 (2%)	30.00	30	30
Conjunctival secretion	0 (0%)	0 (0%)			
Blurred vision	11 (24%)	24 (27%)	42.88	10	90
Peribulbar pain	3 (7%)	3 (3%)	56.67	50	60

Mean, minimum and maximum VAS-scores for specific ocular symptoms reported by how many patients across all rated time points. Mean VAS values are obtained by averaging each patient's mean of 1 up to 4 rated time points - before, during, at and after in-patient stay (mean of patient's means).

not be completely ruled out that the symptoms could have been triggered by another cause than COVID-19. The positioning of patients, especially the prone position, leads to an increased risk of keratopathy and thus to the occurrence of DED (18, 19). We could not detect any indication that prone position was the cause for the occurrence of new OS, as only one patient out of seven who had to be positioned in this way during the inpatient stay developed such symptoms. Unlike other studies that suggest a link between digital

screen time and the development of DED (20, 21), our research shows that digital screen time has no impact on the development of new-onset OS before, during or after COVID-19 disease. (Table 1) The need for oxygen therapy appears to facilitate the development of new OS following hospital discharge. However, in our study, it appears that this is not the primary reason for such symptoms. Acar et al. demonstrated in their study that positive airway pressure ventilation has a substantial long-term impact on the ocular surface,



Patient 's duration of ocular symptoms in days (d) in relation to COVID-19 onset (0 d). Each line represents a patient with new-onset ocular symptoms. Because patients who experienced new-onset OS after their hospital stay could not recall the exact timing of onset at the time of the telephone interview, the 60th day post-discharge was chosen as the time of onset.

over a period of 18 months (22). In our study, the duration and type of oxygen ventilation could not be determined retrospectively, as these data were not obtainable.

Improved methods have now also been used to detect SARS-CoV-2 in the conjunctival swab in over 50% of hospitalized patients (23–25). The observed significant correlation between new-onset OS and sore throat in our study group supports the plausibility of a dynamic infection route involving the eyes (26, 27). The fact that 46.7% of our patients with new-onset OS presented them as the initial symptom up to 14 days prior to a positive RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 also supports the possibility of ocular transmission of the virus.

The presence of high fever (>39.0 degrees Celsius) may increase the likelihood of OS in COVID-19 patients, during the inpatient stay (p=0.075) and significantly on admission to the hospital (p= 0.038). Further research is needed to better understand the underlying mechanisms of this association and whether early intervention for fever may help prevent OS in COVID-19 patients. Unlike the study by Chen et al., our study did not find any trend between radiologic findings on lung X-ray and CT and the occurrence of OS (28).

As we now know, COVID-19 on the outer eye may additionally present with other clinical pictures, such as otherwise unexplained new-onset stromal corneal opacities which resolved on steroid therapy, suggesting an underlying inflammatory or immunemediated mechanism. Given their response to steroids and similarities to epidemic keratoconjunctivitis, Pareja-Rios et al. suggest that these findings might be immunologically related or represent incidental but unusual associations with other viral infections (29). Notably, the impact of the disease extends beyond the ocular surface, with documented effects in various regions of the entire eye. A comprehensive compilation of these effects has been presented in a review by Sen et al. (30).

Complaints of DED seem to appear more frequently, likely attributable to increased face mask use, which has been shown to exacerbate ocular surface symptoms through altered airflow dynamics (31–33). White (34) described this etiology of dry eye as mask-associated dry eye, or MADE. In addition, face masks can also have an influence on the results of examinations, such as the Visual Field Scores (35). But MADE had no influence on the occurrence of new OS before and during hospitalization in our patients, as the mask requirement imposed by the federal government in Austria came into effect late during the first wave of the pandemic. However, it is possible that the mask may have triggered the onset of symptoms after the patient's discharge from the hospital.

Limitations

Our study has several limitations. Given the novelty of the disease, which was poorly understood at the time, the strict preventive measures in Austria, and the need to ensure the safety of the study team, a telephone questionnaire as study design was the only feasible option for a safe data collection. Nonetheless, this approach allowed us to achieve a response rate of 39%.

The interviews conducted several months after inpatient discharge resulted in ambiguous statements from some patients. Specifically, those who developed new-onset OS after discharge were unable to provide a precise time of onset.

At the onset of the pandemic, due to limited knowledge and the urgent need to conserve resources, there were disparities in data collection practices across the two hospitals. As a result, progression or certain relevant parameters, such as interleukin-6, were not included in all patients as they were only measured in selected cases.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study provides important insights into the prevalence and characteristics of new-onset OS in hospitalized COVID-19 patients. The results indicate that 17% of patients suffer from ocular surface symptoms, which is consistent with previous studies in the literature (11, 13). Furthermore, the study highlights the possibility of ocular transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and the potential association between high fever and OS. While our study has some limitations, including the study design and disparities in data collection practices, it represents the largest study focusing on ocular symptoms in hospitalized COVID-19 patients to date. These findings underscore the importance of recognizing OS in COVID-19 patients, advocating for routine screening and prompt management to enhance patient outcomes and comfort. There is a need for further research to better understand the mechanisms underlying the relationship between COVID-19 and ocular symptoms.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors upon reasonable request.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethikkommission Medizinische Universität Graz. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from a byproduct of routine care or industry. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

AG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Project administration, Writing – original draft. AH: Investigation, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. NW: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. MB: Data curation, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. KK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. VN: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. MK: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. HW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. GS: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. JH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Lu H, Stratton CW, Tang YW. Outbreak of pneumonia of unknown etiology in Wuhan, China: The mystery and the miracle. *J Med Virol.* (2020) 92:401–2. doi: 10.1002/jmv.25678

2. Culp WC. Coronavirus disease 2019. A A Pract. (2020) 14:e01218. doi: 10.1213/ xaa.00000000001218

3. Enserink M. Update: 'A bit chaotic.' Christening of new coronavirus and its disease name create confusion. *Sci (1979).* (2020). doi: 10.1126/science.abb2806

 Chan JF-W, Kok K-H, Zhu Z, Chu H, To KK-W, Yuan S, et al. Genomic characterization of the 2019 novel human-pathogenic coronavirus isolated from a patient with atypical pneumonia after visiting Wuhan. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. (2020) 9:221-36. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2020.1719902

5. Belser JA, Rota PA, Tumpey TM. Ocular tropism of respiratory viruses. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* (2013) 77:144–56. doi: 10.1128/mmbr.00058-12

 Yuen KSC, Chan W-M, Fan DSP, Chong KKL, Sung JJY, Lam DSC. Ocular screening in severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (2004) 137:773–4. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2003.09.060

7. Bonzano C, Borroni D, Lancia A, Bonzano E. Doxycycline: from ocular rosacea to COVID-19 anosmia. New insight into the coronavirus outbreak. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2020) 7:200/FULL. doi: 10.3389/FMED.2020.00200/FULL

8. Loon SC, Teoh SCB, Oon LLE, Se-Thoe SY, Ling AE, Leo YS, et al. The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus in tears. *Br J Ophthalmol.* (2004) 88:861–3. doi: 10.1136/bjo.2003.035931

9. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA - J Am Med Assoc. (2020) 323:1061–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585

10. Sopp NM, Sharda V. An eye on COVID-19: A meta-analysis of positive conjunctival reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction and SARS-CoV-2 conjunctivitis prevalence. *Optom Vis Sci.* (2021) 98:429-36. doi: 10.1097/OPX.00000000001687

11. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. (2020) 382(18):1708–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJM0a2002032

12. Hong N, Yu W, Xia J, Shen Y, Yap M, Han W. Evaluation of ocular symptoms and tropism of SARS-CoV-2 in patients confirmed with COVID-19. *Acta Ophthalmol.* (2020) 98(5):e649–e655. doi: 10.1111/aos.14445

13. Wu P, Duan F, Luo C, Liu Q, Qu X, Liang L, et al. Characteristics of ocular findings of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Hubei province, China. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* (2020) 2019:1–4. doi: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2020.1291

14. Chen L, Liu M, Zhang Z, Qiao K, Huang T, Chen M, et al. Ocular manifestations of a hospitalised patient with confirmed 2019 novel coronavirus disease. *Br J Ophthalmol.* (2020) 104(6):748–51. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2020-316304

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. Cheema M, Aghazadeh H, Nazarali S, Ting A, Hodges J, McFarlane A, et al. Keratoconjunctivitis as the initial medical presentation of the novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Can J Ophthalmol.* (2020) 55(4):e125–e129. doi: 10.1016/j.jcjo.2020.03.003

16. Zhou Y, Zeng Y, Tong Y, Chen C. Ophthalmologic evidence against the interpersonal transmission of 2019 novel coronavirus through conjunctiva. *medRxiv*. (2020), 20021956. doi: 10.1101/2020.02.11.20021956

17. Craig JP, Nichols KK, Akpek EK, Caffery B, Dua HS, Joo CK, et al. TFOS DEWS II definition and classification report. *Ocul Surf.* (2017) 15:276–83. doi: 10.1016/J.JTOS.2017.05.008

18. Suresh P, Mercieca F, Morton A, Tullo AB. Eye care for the critically ill. *Intensive Care Med.* (2000) 26:162–6. doi: 10.1007/S001340050040/METRICS

19. Mercieca F, Suresh P, Morton A, Tullo A. Ocular surface disease in intensive care unit patients. *Eye.* (1999) 13:231–6. doi: 10.1038/EYE.1999.57

20. Wolffsohn JS, Wang MTM, Vidal-Rohr M, Menduni F, Dhallu S, Ipek T, et al. Demographic and lifestyle risk factors of dry eye disease subtypes: A cross-sectional study. *Ocul Surf.* (2021) 21:58–63. doi: 10.1016/J.JTOS.2021.05.001

21. Kamøy B, Magno M, Nøland ST, Moe MC, Petrovski G, Vehof J, et al. Video display terminal use and dry eye: preventive measures and future perspectives. *Acta Ophthalmol.* (2022) 100:723–39. doi: 10.1111/AOS.15105

22. Acar M, Firat H, Yuceege M, Ardic S. Long-term effects of PAP on ocular surface in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Can J Ophthalmol.* (2014) 49:217–21. doi: 10.1016/J.JCJO.2013.11.010

23. Azzolini C, Donati S, Premi E, Baj A, Siracusa C, Genoni A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 on ocular surfaces in a cohort of patients with COVID-19 from the Lombardy region, Italy. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* (2021) 139:956–63. doi: 10.1001/JAMAOPHTHALMOL.2020.5464

24. Seah IYJ, Anderson DE, Kang AEZ, Wang L, Rao P, Young BE, et al. Assessing viral shedding and infectivity of tears in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients. *Ophthalmology*. (2020) 127(7):977–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2020.03.026

25. Xia J, Tong J, Liu M, Shen Y, Guo D. Evaluation of coronavirus in tears and conjunctival secretions of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *J Med Virol.* (2020) 92 (6):589–94. doi: 10.1002/jmv.25725

26. Sun K, Gu L, Ma L, Duan Y. Atlas of ACE2 gene expression in mammals reveals novel insights in transmission of SARS-Cov-2. *Heliyon*. (2021) 7. doi: 10.1016/J.HELIYON.2020.E05850

27. Sun Y, Liu L, Pan X, Jing M. Mechanism of the action between the SARS-CoV S240 protein and the ACE2 receptor in eyes. Int J Ophthalmol. (2006) 6:783-6.

28. Chen L, Deng C, Chen X, Zhang X, Chen B, Yu H, et al. Ocular manifestations and clinical characteristics of 535 cases of COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a cross-sectional study. *Acta Ophthalmol.* (2020) 98:e951–9. doi: 10.1111/AOS.14472

29. Pareja-Ríos A, Bonaque-González S. Late corneal stromal deposits after COVID-19. *Cornea*. (2021) 40:1067–9. doi: 10.1097/ICO.00000000002757 30. Sen M, Honavar SG, Sharma N, Sachdev MS. COVID-19 and eye: A review of ophthalmic manifestations of COVID-19. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* (2021) 69:488–509. doi: 10.4103/IJO.IJO_297_21

31. Boccardo L. Self-reported symptoms of mask-associated dry eye: A survey study of 3,605 people. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye.* (2022) 45(2):101408. doi: 10.1016/ J.CLAE.2021.01.003

32. Moshirfar M, West WB, Marx DP. Face mask-associated ocular irritation and dryness. *Ophthalmol Ther.* (2020) 9:397–400. doi: 10.1007/S40123-020-00282-6/ METRICS

33. Krolo I, Blazeka M, Merdzo I, Vrtar I, Sabol I, Petric-Vickovic I. Mask-associated dry eye during COVID-19 pandemic-how face masks contribute to dry eye disease symptoms. *Med Arch*. (2021) 75:144–8. doi: 10.5455/MEDARH.2021.75.144-148

34. BLOG: MADE: A new coronavirus-associated eye disease. Available online at: https://www.healio.com/news/ophthalmology/20200622/blog-a-new-coronavirusassociated-eye-disease (Accessed March 22, 2023).

35. Heidinger A, Falb T, Werkl P, List W, Hoeflechner L, Riedl R, et al. The impact of tape sealing face masks on visual field scores in the era of COVID-19: A randomized cross-over study. *J Glaucoma*. (2021) 30(10):878–81. doi: 10.1097/IJG.000000000001922