Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Gustavo G. Nascimento, Aarhus University, Denmark

REVIEWED BY Leandro Machado Oliveira, Federal University of Santa Maria, Brazil Elli Anna Kotsailidi, University of Rochester Medical Center,

United States *CORRESPONDENCE Tim Joda

tim.joda@zzm.uzh.ch

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Oral Health Promotion, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oral Health

RECEIVED 26 July 2022 ACCEPTED 01 September 2022 PUBLISHED 22 September 2022

CITATION

Paqué PN, Hjerppe J, Zuercher AN, Jung RE and Joda T (2022) Salivary biomarkers as key to monitor personalized oral healthcare and precision dentistry: A scoping review. Front. Oral. Health 3:1003679. doi: 10.3389/froh.2022.1003679

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Paqué, Hjerppe, Zuercher, Jung and Joda. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Salivary biomarkers as key to monitor personalized oral healthcare and precision dentistry: A scoping review

Pune Nina Paqué¹, Jenni Hjerppe¹, Anina N. Zuercher¹, Ronald E. Jung¹ and Tim Joda^{1,2}*

¹Clinic of Reconstructive Dentistry, Center of Dental Medicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, ²Department of Reconstructive Dentistry, University Center of Dental Medicine, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

Personalized Oral Healthcare has recently become the new trend word in medicine and dentistry. In this context, saliva diagnostics using various biomarkers seem to be the gateway to personalized dental diagnostics and therapy. But the terminology is not (yet) uniformly defined, furthermore it is unclear to what extent which salivary markers play a relevant role in the therapeutic decision making. In this Scoping Review, an electronic search was conducted in PubMed and Web of Science databases using medical subject headings (MESH terms) "saliva", "biomarker", "personality/persons", and "dentistry". Only human studies were included, in which repeated salivary measurements were performed to analyze monitoring effects with at least ten patients per group. PRISMA-ScR and Tricco guidelines were followed: (i) to examine what salivary biomarkers have been explored in terms of personalized oral healthcare and precision dentistry, (ii) to investigate the clinical relevance for oral health and its correlation to systemic health, and (iii) to summarize an outlook for future developments based on these results. Out of 899 studies, a total of 57 were included for data extraction in this Scoping Review, mainly focusing on periodontal therapy and patient monitoring. Salivary biomarkers have shown the potential to change the field of dentistry in all dental disciplines as a key for personalized workflows. The increasing interest in dental research is obvious, demonstrated by the growing number of publications in recent years. At this time, however, the predominant discipline is periodontology, which allows biomarker-based monitoring of the disease prevention and progression. The studies included showed heterogeneous methods using manifolds biomarkers. Therefore, no uniformly accepted concept can be presented today. Further clinical research with well-defined outcomes including standardized procedures is necessary.

KEYWORDS

saliva, oral infections, gingivitis, periodontitis, diagnostics

Introduction

The maintenance of oral health can be achieved by preventive measures and the early detection and timely treatment of incipient oral infections, namely caries and periodontitis. Both non-communicable diseases are based on a disbalance of microbial biofilms in the oral cavity (1). Preventive measures to combat these pathogenic biofilms on a daily basis were summarized recently in different Consensus Reports (2, 3). Evidently, the most powerful individual tools for prevention and maintenance were based on self-performed oral hygiene and use of cleaning agents containing fluoride. Aside, it seems crucial to control and detect individuals' deviations of the oral health status at an early stage to hinder disease outbreak. While gold standard clinical examinations and radiographs at the dental office may miss developing disorders on a cellular base, other diagnostic tools and modern technical possibilities gain more importance (4, 5). Different biofluids, such as periphery blood, gingival crevicular fluid (GCF), and saliva were evaluated for their diagnostic or prognostic qualification for disease detection (6). The ease and non-invasiveness in saliva collection positions this biofluid as potential alternative to blood testing. Interestingly, the search for saliva diagnostics in different databases demonstrates already a high quantity of clinical investigations using saliva as target vehicle for different biomarkers in oral diseases. Saliva bathes all healthy and diseased oral surfaces in the oral cavity (7, 8). It was shown, that the oral microbiome can be quantified to a certain extent in saliva (9, 10), and even more interesting, host immune response to pathogens can be analyzed nearly in real-time (11). Furthermore, modern technologies allow the detection and quantification of specific substances in low concentrations (4, 12). Today, improvements and further developments in point-of-care devices evolve from technical progress in the era of Covid 19 (13, 14). With that much data and even more technical possibilities, the questions arise, what to actually search for.

Single salivary measurements usually present a condition at a specific timepoint. These measurements can be used to define special patient groups or signature profiles of different diseases and to detect outlier (10). In contrast, repeated salivary measurements provide information on trends, progression of disease, and on response to different treatment strategies (11, 15). Furthermore, it allows the monitoring of patients during maintenance and offers thereby the possibility to retreat timely-if needed. The outcome might help to detect personalized salivary pattern in reaction to different trigger and in future to compute custom-fit therapies for precision dentistry. This might also pave the way for real-time measurements outside the dental office and its implementation in daily life.

Based on these thoughts, the high number of clinical trials using saliva, and on the lack of structure in saliva literature, the three objectives of this Scoping Review are:

- What salivary biomarkers have been investigated in clinical trials in terms of personalized oral healthcare and precision dentistry mapped for disciplines and/or indications (key elements: choice of biomarkers, number of participants, dental disciplines)?
- What has been the clinical relevance for oral health and its correlation to systemic health?
- What can be summarized for a future outlook?

Methods

The conduct of this review follows the Arksey and O'Malley framework, modified by Levac (16, 17), omitting the consultation step. Reporting follows the PRISMA-ScR statement (18), shown in **Supplementary_Data 1**; in addition, the preliminary review protocol including PCC-question is available as **Supplementary_Data 2**.

Dentistry-related clinical trials, written in English, which investigated salivary analysis at different timepoints, and participants at least 18 years, were included. Different timepoints were defined as a minimum of two separate repeated salivary measurements at different periods. Clinical trials investigating plain general medicine subjects, without reference to the oral health status, were excluded. Clinical trials with less than 10 participants per group, and animal studies, as well as review articles were excluded.

An electronic search was performed in the database PubMed and Web of Science for relevant papers using "saliva" as the source of analysis, "biomarker" as concept, and "(personalized) dentistry" or "precision dentistry" as context. Search combinations in PubMed included: ("saliva"[MeSH Terms] OR "saliva" [All Fields] OR "salivas" [All Fields] OR "saliva s"[All Fields] OR "salivary"[All Fields]) AND ("biomarker s"[All Fields] OR "biomarkers"[MeSH Terms] OR "biomarkers"[All Fields] OR "biomarker"[All Fields]) AND ((("person s"[All Fields] OR "personable"[All Fields] OR "personableness"[All Fields] OR "personal"[All Fields] OR "personalisation" [All Fields] OR "personalise" [All Fields] OR "personalised"[All Fields] OR "personalising"[All Fields] OR "personality"[MeSH Terms] OR "personality"[All Fields] OR "personalities" [All Fields] OR "personality s" [All Fields] OR "personalization"[All Fields] OR "personalize"[All Fields] OR "personalized"[All Fields] OR "personalizes"[All Fields] OR "personalizing"[All Fields] OR "personally"[All Fields] OR "personals"[All Fields] OR "persons"[MeSH Terms] OR "person"[All Fields]) "persons"[All Fields] OR AND ("dentistry"[MeSH Terms] OR "dentistry"[All Fields] OR "dentistry s"[All Fields])) OR (("precise"[All Fields] OR "precised"[All Fields] OR "precisely"[All Fields] OR

"preciseness"[All Fields] OR "precises"[All Fields] OR "precision"[All Fields] OR "precisions"[All Fields]) AND ("dentistry"[MeSH Terms] OR "dentistry"[All Fields]) OR "dentistry s"[All Fields]))). All publications were included until the 30th of June 2022. The search in Web of Science was conducted with the terms "(ALL = (saliva*biomarker* ((person* and dentistry*) or (precis* and dentistry*)))).

Title and abstract screening were performed by three authors (PNP, JH, AZ) using a data chart, which was first exploratory discussed during а screening (Supplementary_Data 3). The following data items were extracted during the full-text search: first author, year of publication, country of origin (= where the study was conducted), dental discipline (e.g., periodontology, implantology, prosthodontics), topic (research question), applied salivary biomarker, monitoring intervals, total number of patients, outcome metrics/conclusion of the study, study design (Table 1). All included studies were categorized to dental disciplines, including a total number of patients per group. Major biomarkers of included studies were specified in frequency of occurrence and in their combination.

Results

Included studies

The Scoping Research was completed on 2022-06-30 and results are current as of this date. Of the 914 titles retrieved by the search, 899 abstracts were further screened, and successively, 60 full-texts identified. A total of 3 full-texts were excluded from the final analysis. Finally, 57 full-texts were included for data extraction (Figure 1).

Included studies were judged to be of sufficient quality considering the specific study design. Detailed information of each study is tabularized for general data in Table 1 (author, year of publication, country of origin, then categorized in study design, dental disciplines including topics investigated and target biomarkers, monitoring intervals, total number of patients, and outcome metrics).

The publication dates range from 2000 to 2022 with continuously increasing numbers in recent years. Study types were categorized in observational clinical studies (n = 50), RCTs (n = 4), case-control studies (n = 2), and pilot study (n = 1). A total of 4,125 patients were investigated with patient monitoring intervals from 2 h up to 3 years, depending on the respective trial design and focused research question. Dental disciplines involved were periodontology (n = 42), general dentistry (n = 7), orthodontics (n = 3), prosthodontics (n = 2), oral surgery (n = 1), implantology (n = 1), and temporo-mandibular disorders (n = 1). As explanation, the term "general dentistry" has been used for diagnostic or therapy protocols fundamental to protecting and maintaining

a good standard of oral health, but not related to any dental specialty.

Depending on these classified dental disciplines, a variety of topics with diverse salivary biomarkers using different research techniques and monitoring intervals were reported. Here, main focus of salivary biomarkers investigated was on Matrix-Metalloproteinases (MMP) plus Interleukins (IL). Figure 2 shows a map of disciplines by number of studies and patients included in this Scoping Review, and Figure 3 displays the major salivary biomarkers and their distribution within the studies.

Due to the pronounced heterogeneity of the included studies, a direct comparison among the identified publications was not deemed possible. Therefore, this Scoping Review of the included full-texts followed a descriptive analysis. **Supplementary_Data 3** summarizes the detailed information of the included studies.

Discussion

The aims of this Scoping Review were: (i) to compile studies on salivary biomarkers in terms of personalized oral healthcare and precision dentistry, (ii) to investigate the clinical relevance for oral health, and (iii) to summarize an outlook for future developments based on these results. "Scoping Reviews are also executed in a systematic, replicable manner, but usually intended to identify the types of available evidence in a given field and to discover knowledge gaps, to clarify concepts and definitions, and to examine how research is conducted, eventually, inform research, educational and clinical policy and priorities" (75, 76). A Scoping Review aims to "map the literature on a particular topic or research area and provide an opportunity to identify key concepts, gaps in the research; and types and sources of evidence to inform practice, policymaking, and research" (77). Therefore, the specific format Scoping Review was chosen to screen this relatively young topic and to summarize the current state of research in the context of a broad overview. Although a preliminary review protocol is available as Supplementary_Data 2, it should be noted that this Scoping Review was not registered on an online platform.

In general, precision dentistry provides diagnostic or therapeutic protocols that are as individualized as the disease with specific signs and symptoms. This approach is based on the identification of clinical information enabling the understanding of the patients' unique genomic constitution and how that makes them vulnerable to certain diseases. Each patient is to be treated with comprehensive consideration of individual circumstances using multidisciplinary channels, beyond the solely functional aspect of disease diagnosis. This also includes the continuous adjustment of therapy to keep pace with the progress of dental and medical knowledge (78,

TABLE 1 Included studies with extracted data items.

	Study	Year	Country	Dental Discipline	Biomarker	Monitoring intervals	Patients	Study design
1	Al-Hamoudi N (19)	2018	Saudi Arabia	Periodontology	Resistin, IL-6	Baseline, 6 months	137	Observational study
2	Alajbeg IZ (<mark>20</mark>)	2020	Croatia	TMD	OS	Baseline, 3 and 6 months	34	RTC
3	AlJasser R (21)	2021	Saudi Arabia	Implantology	IL-1β, IL-6, MMP-8, TNF-α, TIMP-1	Baseline, 1, 6 and 12 months	60	Case-control study
4	Aspiras MB (22)	2013	USA	General Dentistry	IL-1β, IL-8, MCP-1, MMP-1, MMP-3, MMP-8, MMP-9, TIMP-1, TIMP-2, TIMP-3, TIMP-4, IL-1ra, NGAL	Baseline, 21 and 49 days	175	RCT (single- blinded)
5	Äyräväinen L (<mark>23</mark>)	2018	Finland	Periodontology	IL-6, MMP-8, TIMP-1	Baseline, 12 months	124	Observational study
6	Bertl K (24)	2013	Austria	Periodontology	Melatonin	Baseline, 3 months	60	Observational study
7	Bikker FJ (25)	2019	Denmark	Periodontology	Protease activity	-14 d, baseline, 7, 14 and 21 days	42	Observational study
8	Buczko P (26)	2017	Poland	Orthodontics	Thio-Barbituric Acid Reacting Substances (TBARS), TOS, SOD, CAT, UA, Peroxidase activity, TAS	Baseline, 1 and 24 weeks	60	Observational study
9	Buduneli N (27)	2006	Turkey	Periodontology	Glutathiode, Ascorbic Acid, TAC, Cotinine	Baseline, 1 month	20	Observational study
10	Chang CH (28)	2018	Taiwan	Periodontology	Oxidative biomarkers, Cu/Zn SOD, MnSOD, TRX1, PRX2	Baseline, 3 months	167	Observational study
11	Cutando A (29)	2013	Spain	General Dentistry	Alkaline Phosphatase, Acid Phosphatase, Osteocalcin, Osteopontin	Baseline, 20 days	60	Observational study
12	Dede FÖ (30)	2013	Turkey	Periodontology	8-Hydroxy-Deoxy-Guanosine (8-OHdG)	Baseline, 10 days, 1 and 3 months	48	Observational study
13	Fine DH (31)	2014	USA	Periodontology	Macrophage Inflammatory Protein (MIP) 1a & 1 b, IL-a, IL-1β, IL-8	Baseline, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36 months	100	Observational study
14	Fujimori K (32)	2021	Japan	Periodontology	miRNAs	Baseline, 2 years	120	Observational study
15	Ghallab N (33)	2010	Egypt	Periodontology	sCD44	Baseline, 1 month	44	Pilot study
16	Gutiérrez- Corrales A (34)	2017	Spain	Oral Surgery	Total protein, IgA, Alpha-Amylase	Baseline, immediately after extraction, 2 h, 7 days	15	Observational study
17	Hassan SH (35)	2015	Egypt	Periodontology	Osteoprotegerin (OPG)	Baseline, 3 and 6 months	30	Observational study
18	Hendek MK (<mark>36</mark>)	2015	Turkey	Periodontology	8-Hydroxy-Deoxy-Guanosine (8-OHdG), 4-Hydroxy-Nonenal (HNE), enzyme activity of Glutathione Peroxidase (GSH- Px)	Baseline, 1 and 3 months	93	Observational study
19	Hodosy H (37)	2005	Slovak republic	General Dentistry	Thio-Barbituric Acid Reacting Substances (TBARS)	Morning, afternoon, evening, 2 consecutive days	10	Observational study
20	Jentsch H (38)	2004	Germany	Periodontology	Lactoferrin, Lysozyme, Peroxidase activities	Baseline, 14 days	25	Observational study
21	Jenzsch A (39)	2009	Germany	Periodontology	Periopathogens, IL-1β, IL-6, Granulocyte Elastase activity, anti-oxidative and oxidative variables	Baseline, 2, 3 and 6 weeks, 12 months	20	Observational study
22	Justino AB (<mark>40</mark>)	2017	Brazil	General Dentistry	Salivary total protein, Nitrite, total anti- oxidant capacity, Alpha-Amylase	Baseline, after toothbrushing	14	Observational study
23	Kamodyová N (41)	2013	Slovakia	General Dentistry	Advanced oxidation protein products (AOPP), Thio-Barbituric Acid Reactive Substances (TBARS), Advanced Glycation End Products (AGEs), Ferric	Morning, afternoon, evening, each, before and after toothbrushing	19	Observational study

(continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

	Study	Year	Country	Dental Discipline	Biomarker	Monitoring intervals	Patients	Study design
					Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP), total antioxidant capacity			
24	Kibayashi M (42)	2007	Japan	Periodontology	Periopathogens, Prostaglandin E2, Lactoferrin, Albumin, Aspartate Aminotransferase, Lactate Dehydrogenase, Alkaline Phosphatase	Baseline, 4 years	256	Observational study
25	Kim HN (43)	2022	Korea	Periodontology	MMP-3, MMP-8, MMP-9	Baseline, 3 and 6 weeks	51	Observational study
26	Kinney JS (15)	2011	USA	Periodontology	Periopathogens, Osteoprotegerin (OPG), MMP-9, MMP-8, IL-1β, Calprotectin, ICTP	Bi-monthly over 12 months	100	Observational study
27	Kochurova EV (44)	2017	Russia	Prosthodontics	MMP-2,MMP-8, MMP-9, TIMP-1, TIMP-2	Baseline, 2 weeks, 3 months	89	Observational study
28	Koppolu P (45)	2021	Saudi Arabia and India	Periodontology	Alkaline Phosphatase, Acid Phosphatase	Baseline, 4 weeks	135	Observational study
29	Kuboniwa M (<mark>46</mark>)	2016	Japan	Periodontology	Metabolites assessed by GC-MS (best fitting: Cadaverine, 5-Oxoproline, Histidine)	Baseline, 15 min	19	Observational study
30	Lee CH (47)	2018	Taiwan	Periodontology	IL-1β, IL-1ra, IL-6, IL-8, Platelet-Derived Growth Factor-BB, Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor, MMP-8, MMP-9, C- Reactive Protein, Lactoferrin	Baseline, 15 min	53	Observational study
31	Liu KH (48)	2016	South Korea	Periodontology	MMP-8, MMP-9, IL-1β in GCF, Nicotine, Cotinine, Hydroxy-Cotinine in saliva	Baseline, 2, 4 and 6 weeks, 12 months	122	Observational study
32	Morelli T (49)	2014	USA	General Dentistry	IL-1β, IL-1ra, IL-8, MCP-1, MMP-1, MMP-3, MMP-8, MMP-9, TIMP-1 through TIMP- 4, Neutrophil Gelatinase- Associated Lipocalin (NGAL)	Baseline, 1, 2 and 3 weeks	168	Observational study
33	Nascimento GG (50)	2019	Denmark	Periodontology	Myeloperoxidase (MPO), Neutrophil Elastase (NE), Soluble Urokinase-type Plasminogen Activator Receptor (suPAR), MMP-8, TIMP-1	Baseline, 1, 2 and 3 weeks	42	Observational study
34	Nishida N (51)	2008	Japan	Periodontology	Periopathogens, AST, Lactoferrin, Prostaglandin E2, IL-1β, MMP-8, MMP- 9, IgA, Albumin	Baseline, 1 and 2 years	273	Observational study
35	Novakovic N (52)	2014	Serbia	Periodontology	Total antioxidant capacity, Albumin, Uric Acid, Superoxide Dismutase, Glutathione Peroxidase	Baseline, 2 months	63	Observational study
36	Oktay S (53)	2020	Turkey	Periodontology	Sialic Acid	Baseline, 3 months	40	Observational study
37	Önder C (54)	2017	Turkey	Periodontology	Malondialdehyde (MDA), 8-Hydroxy- Deoxy-Guanosine (8-OHdG), 4- Hydroxy-2-Nonenal (4-HNE)	Baseline, 6 weeks	51	Observational study
38	Öngöz Dede F (55)	2016	Turkey	Periodontology	8-Hydroxy-Deoxy-Guanosine (8-OHdG)	Baseline, 4 weeks	90	Observational study
39	Park JY (56)	2021	Korea	Periodontology	IL-1β, IL-6, MMP-8, MMP-9	Baseline, 4 and 8 weeks	104	Observational study
40	Parawani SR (57)	2012	India	Periodontology	Nitric Oxide (NO)	Baseline, 3 and 6 weeks	90	Observational study
41	Prakasam S (<mark>58</mark>)	2014	India	Periodontology	IL-4, IL-6, IL-10, IL-17, sTLR-2, sCD14	Baseline, 1 and 6 weeks	40	Observational study
42	Raghav D (59)	2017	India	Prosthodontics	IL-1β, Osteoprotegerin (OPG), MMP, Periopathogens	Baseline, up to 1 year	60	Observational study
43	Rabelo MS (<mark>60</mark>)	2021	Brazil	Periodontology	IL-1β, IL-8, IL-6, IL-2, IL-5, IL-4, IL-10, Interferon Gamma (IFN-γ), Granulocyte	Baseline, 30 days	60	Observational study

(continued)

TABLE 1 Continue	d
------------------	---

	Study	Year	Country	Dental Discipline	Biomarker	Monitoring intervals	Patients	Study design
					Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor (GM-CSF), TNF-α			
44	Ramseier CA (61)	2021	Switzerland	Periodontology	MMP-3, MMP-8, IL-1β	Baseline, 2,4 and 8 weeks	60	RTC
45	Rangbulla V (62)	2017	India	Periodontology	IgA, IL-1β, MMP-8	Baseline, 12 weeks	50	Observational study
46	Saloom HF (63)	2017	London	Orthodontics	Adipokines Leptin, Resistin, Myeloperoxidase (MPO), Cytokine Receptor for Nuclear Factor Kappa-B Ligand (RANKL)	Baseline, 1 h, 1 week	55	Observational study
47	Sanchez GA (64)	2013	Argentina	Periodontology	IL-1β, Prostaglandin E2	Baseline, 3 months	74	Observational study
48	Sexton WM (65)	2011	USA	General Dentistry	IL-1β, IL-8, Macrophage Inflammatory Protein (MIP) 1α, MMP-8, Osteoprotegerin (OPG), TNF-α	Baseline, 16 and 28 weeks	33	Observational study
49	Silbereisen A (66)	2020	Sweden	Periodontology	Molecular MMP-8, TIMP-1	Baseline, 7, 14, 21 and 35 days	10	Observational study
50	Syndergaard B (67)	2014	USA	Periodontology	IL-1β, IL-6, MMP-8, Macrophage Inflammatory Protein (MIP) 1α, Prostaglandin E2	Baseline, 7, 10 and 30 days	80	Observational study
51	Tatarakis N (<mark>68</mark>)	2014	USA	Periodontology	Osteoprotegerin (OPG), IL-4, IL-10	Baseline, 1 year	32	Observational study
52	Varghese J (<mark>69</mark>)	2020	India	Periodontology	8-Hydroxy-Deoxy-Guanosine (8-OHdG)	Baseline, 3 months,	40	Case-Control study
53	Venza M (70)	2006	Italy	Periodontology	Histamine	Baseline, 6, 12 and 24 months	125	Observational study
54	Wu J (71)	2018	China	Orthodontics	Different peptide profiles assessed by Maldi-Tof	Baseline, 1, 2 weeks, 1, 2, 6 months	36	Observational study
55	Yarkac F (72)	2018	Turkey	Periodontology	IL-1β, IL-10, salivary Chromogranin A (CgA)	Baseline, after periodontal therapy	60	RCT
56	Yoshida RA (73)	2019	Brazil	Periodontology	IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, TNF-α, IL-12p70	Baseline, 15 days	38	Observational study
57	Yoshie H (74)	2007	Japan	Periodontology	Aspartate Aminotransferase, Alanine Aminotransferase, Lactate Dehydrogenase	Baseline, 4 weeks	49	Observational study

79). It is still a very recent development: the move from purely evidence-based to personalized dentistry – and the meaning (and importance) for the dental sub-disciplines is entirely different.

Several research groups all over the world concentrate on personalized oral healthcare and precision dentistry today. The topic is of great interest in the field of dentistry, although there is (still) an imbalance in the distribution of the dental disciplines involved. The majority of all included publications were assigned to the field of periodontology, representing a proportion of 74% related to the number of studies, or 79% related to the number of patients, respectively. In restorative and reconstructive dentistry, research on salivary biomarkers seems to play a minor role at the present time. A possible explanation could be that periodontal diagnostics and treatment is very standardized following generally accepted principles (80). Therefore, it is much easier to implement personalized workflows in periodontology compared to other disciplines. For example, in reconstructive dentistry, it is *per se* a highly personalized discipline and uniform standard operating procedures (SOP) are hard to implement, except for situations that are directly comparable, such as complete edentulous patients (81).

The main causes of tooth loss are caries and periodontitis (82). Caries can usually be prevented very well by the patients' self-discipline with oral hygiene devices and the use of fluoride-containing toothpaste combined with mouth rinses. For periodontitis, however, clinical study outcomes could help to understand closer associations of genetic factors in periodontitis patients (83). It is therefore not surprising that with the further development of laboratory methods in recent years, salivary biomarkers have increasingly become the focus of periodontal research (84).



What saliva biomarkers have been investigated in clinical trials in terms of personalized oral healthcare and precision dentistry mapped for disciplines and/or indications?

The abundance of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP) and interleukins (IL) as salivary biomarkers was particularly striking in this Scoping Review. It was also observed that a variety of different combinations of MMP and IL were examined. Most commonly, IL-1 β , MMP-8, IL-6, and MMP-9 were determined in saliva, followed by IL-8, TIMP-1, IL-10 and MMP-3. Altogether, more than 92 different biomarkers were analyzed in saliva. This leads to the conclusion that currently no consensus exists on which biomarkers should be used for what specific scientific target(s) and with which intention.

Therefore, no clear recommendations can be given related to specific salivary biomarkers associated for personalized oral healthcare principles at this time. MMP and IL seem to be the most promising biomarkers, in particular in periodontology.





What has been the clinical relevance for oral health and its correlation to systemic health?

An exciting field also seems to be the pre-therapeutic examination of saliva for risk assessment of patients with regard to specific periodontal treatment modalities. This represents a true evolution from purely evidence-based (always applied in the same way) approaches to personalized treatment cascades. It remains very exciting whether new treatment concepts can be derived from this in the future, such as the creation of pre-therapeutic risk profiles of individual patients. Training datasets could be used to compute predictive biomarkers using statistic model predictions and clinical assessments to either differentiate health conditions or to predict treatment outcomes (85). Ideally, clinical measurements, applied threshold values, handling of missing data or data below the detection limit should be described thoroughly and very precisely to allow generalizability.

Only few studies in this Scoping Review examined a possible association of oral and systemic health (n = 6): most common was obesity/nutrition (19, 55, 56), followed by diabetes mellitus (59, 68, 70), and influence of pregnancy (72).

Outlook to the future

Although salivary biomarker research appears to be extremely promising, it remains to be seen to what extent the MedTech industry will jump on this technology in the future (86). Without an economic driver, it will be difficult to further investigate this costly research topic. Besides periodontology, peri-implantitis might also be an exciting field. This is certainly also in the interest of implant manufacturers, so that financial support for research would be guaranteed. Nevertheless, the results of this Scoping Review revealed only 1 clinical trial focusing on saliva and possible association with peri-implantitis (21). In addition, dentistry could become the door-opener for routine diagnostics in medicine, e.g., assessment of glucose concentration in diabetes patients (84).

Unfortunately, the studies identified demonstrated heterogeneous quality standards, starting with the study design, the number patients included, the salivary biomarkers investigated, and monitoring intervals. Direct comparisons are not possible. It would certainly be helpful if biomarkers could be defined (according to the classification in the different dental disciplines) and then examined under standardized conditions in various clinical studies.

Salivary biomarkers have the potential to change the field of dentistry in all disciplines. The increasing interest in dental

research is obvious, demonstrated by the growing number of publications in recent years. At this time, however, the predominant discipline is periodontology. Precision dentistry and personalized workflows are trendy buzzwords, the future research will proof, if the high expectations can be fulfilled. Several research groups investigating diverse salivary biomarkers in a variety of combinations. The limiting factor of Big Data research is the amount of structured data available (87, 88). Therefore, the establishment of an open research data community comprising information of salivary samples could help to foster the further development of personalized oral healthcare and precision dentistry.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: TJ and NPP; Methodology: NPP, JH, ANZ and TJ, Writing-Original Draft Preparation: NPP and TJ, Writing-Review & Editing: JH, ANZ and REJ, Supervision: TJ, Project Administration: NPP and TJ. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/froh. 2022.1003679/full#supplementary-material.

References

1. Marsh PD, Zaura E. Dental biofilm: ecological interactions in health and disease. J Clin Periodontol. (2017) 44(Suppl 18):S12-22. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12679

2. Jepsen S, Blanco J, Buchalla W, Carvalho JC, Dietrich T, Dorfer C, et al. Prevention and control of dental caries and periodontal diseases at individual and population level: consensus report of group 3 of joint EFP/ORCA workshop on the boundaries between caries and periodontal diseases. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2017) 44(Suppl 18):S85–93. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12687

3. Sanz M, Beighton D, Curtis MA, Cury JA, Dige I, Dommisch H, et al. Role of microbial biofilms in the maintenance of oral health and in the development of dental caries and periodontal diseases. Consensus report of group 1 of the joint EFP/ORCA workshop on the boundaries between caries and periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2017) 44(Suppl 18):S5–S11. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12682

4. Baumgartner D, Johannsen B, Specht M, Lüddecke J, Rombach M, Hin S, et al. Oraldisk: a chair-side compatible molecular platform using whole saliva for monitoring oral health at the dental practice. *Biosensors (Basel).* (2021) 11:423. doi: 10.3390/bios11110423

5. Mitsakakis K, Stumpf F, Strohmeier O, Klein V, Mark D, Von Stetten F, et al. Chair/bedside diagnosis of oral and respiratory tract infections, and identification of antibiotic resistances for personalised monitoring and treatment. *Stud Health Technol Inform.* (2016) 224:61–6. doi: 10.3233/978-1-61499-653-8-61

6. Khan ZM, Waheed H, Khurshid Z, Zafar MS, Moin SF, Alam MK. Differentially expressed salivary proteins in dental caries patients. *Biomed Res Int.* (2021) 2021:5517521. doi: 10.1155/2021/5517521

7. Chojnowska S, Baran T, Wilińska I, Sienicka P, Cabaj-Wiater I, Knaś M. Human saliva as a diagnostic material. *Adv Med Sci.* (2018) 63:185–91. doi: 10. 1016/j.advms.2017.11.002

8. Chambon C, Neyraud E, Sayd T, Bros P, Di Biagio R, Hyvrier F, et al. The salivary proteome reflects some traits of dietary habits in diabetic and nondiabetic older adults. *Eur J Nutr.* (2021) 60(8):4331-44. doi: 10.1007/s00394-021-02584-2

9. Belibasakis GN, Bostanci N, Marsh PD, Zaura E. Applications of the oral microbiome in personalized dentistry. *Arch Oral Biol.* (2019) 104:7–12. doi: 10. 1016/j.archoralbio.2019.05.023

10. Paqué PN, Herz C, Jenzer JS, Wiedemeier DB, Attin T, Bostanci N, et al. Microbial analysis of Saliva to identify oral diseases using a point-of-care compatible qPCR assay. J Clin Med. (2020) 9(9):2945. doi: 10.3390/jcm9092945

11. Liebsch C, Pitchika V, Pink C, Samietz S, Kastenmüller G, Artati A, et al. The Saliva metabolome in association to oral health Status. *J Dent Res.* (2019) 98(6):642–51. doi: 10.1177/0022034519842853

12. Katsani KR, Sakellari D. Saliva proteomics updates in biomedicine. J Biol Res (Thessalon). (2019) 26:17. doi: 10.1186/s40709-019-0109-7

13. Azmi I, Faizan MI, Kumar R, Raj Yadav S, Chaudhary N, Kumar Singh D, et al. A saliva-based RNA extraction-free workflow integrated with Cas13a for SARS-CoV-2 detection. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2021) 11:632646. doi: 10. 3389/fcimb.2021.632646

14. Tapari A, Braliou GG, Papaefthimiou M, Mavriki H, Kontou PI, Nikolopoulos GK, et al. Performance of antigen detection tests for SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. (2022) 12(6):1388. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics12061388

15. Kinney JS, Morelli T, Braun T, Ramseier CA, Herr AE, Sugai JV, et al. Saliva/ pathogen biomarker signatures and periodontal disease progression. *J Dent Res.* (2011) 90(6):752–8. doi: 10.1177/0022034511399908

16. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *Int J Soc Res Methodol.* (2005) 8(1):19–32. doi: 10.1080/1364557032000119616

17. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology. Implement Sci. (2010) 5:69. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-5-69

18. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Ann Intern Med.* (2018) 169(7):467–73. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850

19. Al-Hamoudi N, Abduljabbar T, Mirza S, Al-Sowygh ZH, Vohra F, Javed F, et al. Non-surgical periodontal therapy reduces salivary adipocytokines in chronic periodontitis patients with and without obesity. *J Investig Clin Dent.* (2018) 9(2): e12314. doi: 10.1111/jicd.12314

20. Alajbeg IZ, Vrbanović E, Lapić I, Alajbeg I, Vuletić L. Effect of occlusal splint on oxidative stress markers and psychological aspects of chronic temporomandibular pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10 (1):10981. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-67383-x 21. AlJasser R, Zahid M, AlSarhan M, AlOtaibi D, AlOraini S. The effect of conventional versus electronic cigarette use on treatment outcomes of periimplant disease. *BMC Oral Health.* (2021) 21(1):480. doi: 10.1186/s12903-021-01784-w

22. Aspiras MB, Barros SP, Moss KL, Barrow DA, Phillips ST, Mendoza L, et al. Clinical and subclinical effects of power brushing following experimental induction of biofilm overgrowth in subjects representing a spectrum of periodontal disease. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2013) 40(12):1118–25. doi: 10.1111/ jcpe.12161

23. Äyräväinen L, Heikkinen AM, Kuuliala A, Ahola K, Koivuniemi R, Laasonen L, et al. Inflammatory biomarkers in saliva and serum of patients with rheumatoid arthritis with respect to periodontal status. *Ann Med.* (2018) 50(4):333–44. doi: 10.1080/07853890.2018.1468922

24. Bertl K, Schoiber A, Haririan H, Laky M, Steiner I, Rausch WD, et al. Nonsurgical periodontal therapy influences salivary melatonin levels. *Clin Oral Investig.* (2013) 17(4):1219–25. doi: 10.1007/s00784-012-0801-6

25. Bikker FJ, Nascimento GG, Nazmi K, Silbereisen A, Belibasakis GN, Kaman WE, et al. Salivary total protease activity based on a broad-spectrum fluorescence resonance energy transfer approach to monitor induction and resolution of gingival inflammation. *Mol Diagn Ther.* (2019) 23(5):667–76. doi: 10.1007/s40291-019-00421-1

26. Buczko P, Knaś M, Grycz M, Szarmach I, Zalewska A. Orthodontic treatment modifies the oxidant-antioxidant balance in saliva of clinically healthy subjects. *Adv Med Sci.* (2017) 62(1):129–35. doi: 10.1016/j.advms.2016.11.004

27. Buduneli N, Kardeşler L, Işik H, Willis 3rd CS, Hawkins SI, Kinane DF, et al. Effects of smoking and gingival inflammation on salivary antioxidant capacity. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2006) 33(3):159–64. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2006.00892.x

28. Chang CH, Han ML, Teng NC, Lee CY, Huang WT, Lin CT, et al. Cigarette smoking aggravates the activity of periodontal disease by disrupting redox homeostasis- an observational study. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8(1):11055. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-29163-6

29. Cutando A, López-Valverde A, Gómez-de-Diego R, Arias-Santiago S, de Vicente-Jiménez J. Effect of gingival application of melatonin on alkaline and acid phosphatase, osteopontin and osteocalcin in patients with diabetes and periodontal disease. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* (2013) 18(4):e657–63. doi: 10.4317/medoral.18832

30. Dede F, Ozden FO, Avcı B. 8-hydroxy-deoxyguanosine Levels in gingival crevicular fluid and saliva in patients with chronic periodontitis after initial periodontal treatment. *J Periodontol.* (2013) 84(6):821–8. doi: 10.1902/jop.2012. 120195

31. Fine DH, Markowitz K, Fairlie K, Tischio-Bereski D, Ferrandiz J, Godboley D, et al. Macrophage inflammatory protein-1 α shows predictive value as a risk marker for subjects and sites vulnerable to bone loss in a longitudinal model of aggressive periodontitis. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9(6):e98541. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0098541

32. Fujimori K, Yoneda T, Tomofuji T, Ekuni D, Azuma T, Maruyama T, et al. Detection of salivary miRNAs that predict chronic periodontitis progression: a cohort study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2021) 18(15):8010. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18158010

33. Ghallab N, Shaker O. Salivary-soluble CD44 levels in smokers and nonsmokers with chronic periodontitis: a pilot study. *J Periodontol.* (2010) 81 (5):710-7. doi: 10.1902/jop.2010.090630

34. Gutiérrez-Corrales A, Campano-Cuevas E, Castillo-Dalí G, Serrera-Figallo M, Torres-Lagares D, Gutiérrez-Pérez JL. Relationship between salivary biomarkers and postoperative swelling after the extraction of impacted lower third molars. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* (2017) 46(2):243–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijom. 2016.10.005

35. Hassan SH, El-Refai MI, Ghallab NA, Kasem RF, Shaker OG. Effect of periodontal surgery on osteoprotegerin levels in gingival crevicular fluid, saliva, and gingival tissues of chronic periodontitis patients. *Dis Markers.* (2015) 2015;341259. doi: 10.1155/2015/341259

36. Hendek MK, Erdemir EO, Kisa U. Evaluation of salivary procalcitonin levels in different periodontal diseases. *J Periodontol.* (2015) 86(6):820–6. doi: 10.1902/ jop.2015.130751

37. Hodosy J, Celec P. Daytime of sampling, tooth-brushing and ascorbic acid influence salivary thiobarbituric acid reacting substances-a potential clinical marker of gingival status. *Dis Markers*. (2005) 21(4):203–7. doi: 10.1155/2005/209643

38. Jentsch H, Sievert Y, Göcke R. Lactoferrin and other markers from gingival crevicular fluid and saliva before and after periodontal treatment. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2004) 31(7):511-4. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2004.00512.x

39. Jenzsch A, Eick S, Rassoul F, Purschwitz R, Jentsch H. Nutritional intervention in patients with periodontal disease: clinical, immunological and microbiological variables during 12 months. *Br J Nutr.* (2009) 101(6):879–85. doi: 10.1017/S0007114508047776

40. Justino AB, Teixeira RR, Peixoto LG, Jaramillo OLB, Espindola FS. Effect of saliva collection methods and oral hygiene on salivary biomarkers. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest*. (2017) 77(6):415–22. doi: 10.1080/00365513.2017.1334261

41. Kamodyová N, Tóthová L, Celec P. Salivary markers of oxidative stress and antioxidant status: influence of external factors. *Dis Markers*. (2013) 34(5):313–21. doi: 10.1155/2013/341302

42. Kibayashi M, Tanaka M, Nishida N, Kuboniwa M, Kataoka K, Nagata H, et al. Longitudinal study of the association between smoking as a periodontitis risk and salivary biomarkers related to periodontitis. *J Periodontol.* (2007) 78 (5):859–67. doi: 10.1902/jop.2007.060292

43. Kim BS, Han DH, Lee H, Oh B. Association of salivary microbiota with dental caries incidence with dentine involvement after 4 years. *J Microbiol Biotechnol.* (2018) 28(3):454–64. doi: 10.4014/jmb.1710.10028

44. Kochurova EV, Nikolenko VN. Matrixins in the salivary fluid of patients with tumors of the maxillofacial region during orthopedic rehabilitation with different prosthetic structures. *Bull Exp Biol Med.* (2017) 163(5):663–6. doi: 10. 1007/s10517-017-3874-z

45. Koppolu P, Sirisha S, Mishra A, Deshpande K, Lingam AS, Alotaibi DH, et al. Alkaline phosphatase and acid phosphatase levels in saliva and serum of patients with healthy periodontium, gingivitis, and periodontitis before and after scaling with root planing: a clinico-biochemical study. *Saudi J Biol Sci.* (2021) 28(1):380–5. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.10.016

46. Kuboniwa M, Sakanaka A, Hashino E, Bamba T, Fukusaki E, Amano A. Prediction of periodontal inflammation via metabolic profiling of Saliva. *J Dent Res.* (2016) 95(12):1381–6. doi: 10.1177/0022034516661142

47. Lee CH, Chen YW, Tu YK, Wu YC, Chang PC. The potential of salivary biomarkers for predicting the sensitivity and monitoring the response to nonsurgical periodontal therapy: a preliminary assessment. *J Periodontal Res.* (2018) 53(4):545–54. doi: 10.1111/jre.12544

48. Liu KH, Hwang SJ. Effect of smoking cessation for 1 year on periodontal biomarkers in gingival crevicular fluid. *J Periodontal Res.* (2016) 51(3):366–75. doi: 10.1111/jre.12316

49. Morelli T, Stella M, Barros SP, Marchesan JT, Moss KL, Kim SJ, et al. Salivary biomarkers in a biofilm overgrowth model. *J Periodontol.* (2014) 85 (12):1770–8. doi: 10.1902/jop.2014.140180

50. Nascimento GG, Baelum V, Sorsa T, Tervahartiala T, Skottrup PD, López R. Salivary levels of MPO, MMP-8 and TIMP-1 are associated with gingival inflammation response patterns during experimental gingivitis. *Cytokine*. (2019) 115:135–41. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2018.12.002

51. Nishida N, Yamamoto Y, Tanaka M, Kataoka K, Kuboniwa M, Nakayama K, et al. Association between involuntary smoking and salivary markers related to periodontitis: a 2-year longitudinal study. *J Periodontol.* (2008) 79(12):2233–40. doi: 10.1902/jop.2008.080149

52. Novakovic N, Todorovic T, Rakic M, Milinkovic I, Dozic I, Jankovic S, et al. Salivary antioxidants as periodontal biomarkers in evaluation of tissue status and treatment outcome. *J Periodontal Res.* (2014) 49(1):129–36. doi: 10.1111/jre.12088

53. Oktay S, Bal OO, Kuru L, Yarat A, Noyan U. Is sialic acid a promising marker for periodontal diseases? *Niger J Clin Pract.* (2020) 23(5):603–9. doi: 10. 4103/njcp.njcp_499_19

54. Önder C, Kurgan Ş, Altıngöz SM, Bağış N, Uyanık M, Serdar MA, et al. Impact of non-surgical periodontal therapy on saliva and serum levels of markers of oxidative stress. *Clin Oral Investig.* (2017) 21(6):1961–9. doi: 10. 1007/s00784-016-1984-z

55. Dede FÖ, Doğan ŞB, Ballı U, Avcı B, Durmuşlar MC. The effect of initial periodontal treatment on plasma, gingival crevicular fluid and salivary levels of 8-hydroxy-deoxyguanosine in obesity. *Arch Oral Biol.* (2016) 62:80–5. doi: 10. 1016/j.archoralbio.2015.11.014

56. Park JY, Ko KA, Lee JY, Oh JW, Lim HC, Lee DW, et al. Clinical and immunological efficacy of mangosteen and propolis extracted complex in patients with gingivitis: a multi-centered randomized controlled clinical trial. *Nutrients.* (2021) 13(8):2604. doi: 10.3390/nu13082604

57. Parwani SR, Chitnis PJ, Parwani RN. Salivary nitric oxide levels in inflammatory periodontal disease - a case-control and interventional study. *Int J Dent Hyg.* (2012) 10(1):67–73. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5037.2011.00508.x

58. Prakasam S, Srinivasan M. Evaluation of salivary biomarker profiles following non-surgical management of chronic periodontitis. *Oral Dis.* (2014) 20(2):171–7. doi: 10.1111/odi.12085

59. Raghav D, Alqahtani F, Albaker FJ, Bhagat TV, Kola Z. Intricate assessment and evaluation of long-term implant success as affected by clinicomicrobial and salivary diagnostics in type II diabetic patients: a longitudinal study. *J Contemp Dent Pract.* (2017) 18(5):405–9. doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10024-2055

60. Rabelo MS, Gomes GH, Foz AM, Stadler AF, Cutler CW, Susin C, et al. Short-term effect of non-surgical periodontal treatment on local and systemic cytokine levels: role of hyperglycemia. *Cytokine*. (2021) 138:155360. doi: 10. 1016/j.cyto.2020.155360

61. Ramseier AC, Petitat C, Trepp S, Lang NP, Eick S, Adam R, et al. Clinical parameters and oral fluid biomarkers in gingivitis subjects using an electric toothbrush with irrigator vs a manual toothbrush alone over 8 weeks: a randomised controlled clinical trial. *Oral Health Prev Dent.* (2021) 19(1):137–47.

62. Rangbulla V, Nirola A, Gupta M, Batra P, Gupta M. Salivary IgA, interleukin-1 β and MMP-8 as salivary biomarkers in chronic periodontitis patients. *Chin J Dent Res.* (2017) 20(1):43–51. doi: 10.3290/j.cjdr.a37741

63. Saloom HF, Papageorgiou SN, Carpenter GH, Cobourne MT. Impact of obesity on orthodontic tooth movement in adolescents: a prospective clinical cohort study. *J Dent Res.* (2017) 96(5):547–54. doi: 10.1177/0022034516688448

64. Sánchez GA, Miozza VA, Delgado A, Busch L. Salivary IL-1β and PGE2 as biomarkers of periodontal status, before and after periodontal treatment. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2013) 40(12):1112–7. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12164

65. Sexton WM, Lin Y, Kryscio RJ, Dawson 3rd DR, Ebersole JL, Miller CS. Salivary biomarkers of periodontal disease in response to treatment. J Clin Periodontol. (2011) 38(5):434–41. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2011.01706.x

66. Silbereisen A, Alassiri S, Bao K, Grossmann J, Nanni P, Fernandez C, et al. Label-free quantitative proteomics versus antibody-based assays to measure neutrophil-derived enzymes in saliva. *Proteomics Clin Appl.* (2020) 14(3): e1900050. doi: 10.1002/prca.201900050

67. Syndergaard B, Al-Sabbagh M, Kryscio RJ, Xi J, Ding X, Ebersole JL, et al. Salivary biomarkers associated with gingivitis and response to therapy. *J Periodontol.* (2014) 85(8):e295–303. doi: 10.1902/jop.2014.130696

68. Tatarakis N, Kinney JS, Inglehart M, Braun TM, Shelburne C, Lang NP, et al. Clinical, microbiological, and salivary biomarker profiles of dental implant patients with type 2 diabetes. *Clin Oral Implants Res.* (2014) 25(7):803–12. doi: 10.1111/clr.12139

69. Varghese J, Bhat V, Chianeh YR, Kamath V, Al-Haj Husain N, Özcan M. Salivary 8-hydroxyguanosine levels in smokers and non-smokers with chronic periodontitis. *Odontology.* (2020) 108(4):569–77. doi: 10.1007/s10266-020-00496-x

70. Venza M, Visalli M, Cucinotta M, Cicciù D, Passi P, Teti D. Salivary histamine level as a predictor of periodontal disease in type 2 diabetic and non-diabetic subjects. *J Periodontol.* (2006) 77(9):1564–71. doi: 10.1902/jop.2006.050373

71. Wu JQ, Jiang JH, Xu L, Liang C, Wang XJ, Bai Y. Magnetic bead-based salivary peptidome profiling for accelerated osteogenic orthodontic treatments. *Chin J Dent Res.* (2018) 21(1):41–9. doi: 10.3290/j.cjdr.a39917

72. Yarkac FU, Gokturk O, Demir O. Effect of non-surgical periodontal therapy on the degree of gingival inflammation and stress markers related to pregnancy. *J Appl Oral Sci.* (2018) 26:e20170630. doi: 10.1590/1678-7757-2017-0630

73. Yoshida RA, Gorjão R, Mayer MPA, Corazza PFL, Guare RO, Ferreira A, et al. Inflammatory markers in the saliva of cerebral palsy individuals with gingivitis after periodontal treatment. *Braz Oral Res.* (2019) 33:e033. doi: 10. 1590/1807-3107bor-2019.vol33.0033

74. Yoshie H, Tai H, Kobayashi T, Oda-Gou E, Nomura Y, Numabe Y, et al. Salivary enzyme levels after scaling and interleukin-1 genotypes in Japanese patients with chronic periodontitis. *J Periodontol.* (2007) 78(3):498–503. doi: 10. 1902/jop.2007.060216

75. Colquhoun HL, Levac D, O'Brien KK, Straus S, Tricco AC, Perrier L, et al. Scoping reviews: time for clarity in definition, methods, and reporting. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2014) 67(12):1291–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2014.03.013

76. Munn Z, Peters MDJ, Stern C, Tufanaru C, McArthur A, Aromataris E. Systematic review or scoping review? Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* (2018) 18(1):143. doi: 10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x

77. Daudt HM, van Mossel C, Scott SJ. Enhancing the scoping study methodology: a large, inter-professional team's experience with Arksey and O'Malley's Framework. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* (2013) 13:48. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-13-48

78. Ashley EA. The precision medicine initiative: a new national effort. *JAMA*. (2015) 313(21):2119–20. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.3595

79. Chowkwanyun M, Bayer R, Galea S. "Precision" public health - between novelty and hype. N Engl J Med. (2018) 379(15):1398–400. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp1806634

80. Chiappelli F. Evidence-based dentistry: two decades and beyond. J Evid Based Dent Pract. (2019) 19(1):7–16. doi: 10.1016/j.jebdp.2018.05.001

81. Joda T, Zitzmann NU. Personalized workflows in reconstructive dentistrycurrent possibilities and future opportunities. *Clin Oral Investig.* (2022) 26 (6):4283–90. doi: 10.1007/s00784-022-04475-0

82. Gerritsen AE, Allen PF, Witter DJ, Bronkhorst EM, Creugers NH. Tooth loss and oral health-related quality of life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. (2010) 8:126. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-8-126

83. Shungin D, Haworth S, Divaris K, Agler CS, Kamatani Y, Keun Lee M, et al. Genome-wide analysis of dental caries and periodontitis combining clinical and self-reported data. *Nat Commun.* (2019) 10(1):2773. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10630-1

84. Giannobile WV, Kornman KS, Williams RC. Personalized medicine enters dentistry: what might this mean for clinical practice? J Am Dent Assoc. (2013) 144(8):874-6. doi: 10.14219/jada.archive.2013.0200

85. Paqué PN, Herz C, Wiedemeier DB, Mitsakakis K, Attin T, Bao K, et al. Salivary biomarkers for dental caries detection and personalized monitoring. *J Pers Med.* (2021) 11(3):235. doi: 10.3390/jpm11030235

86. Joda T, Yeung AWK, Hung K, Zitzmann NU, Bornstein MM. Disruptive innovation in dentistry: what it is and what could be next. J Dent Res. (2021) 100(5):448–53. doi: 10.1177/0022034520978774

87. Joda T, Waltimo T, Probst-Hensch N, Pauli-Magnus C, Zitzmann NU. Health data in dentistry: an attempt to master the digital challenge. *Public Health Genomics.* (2019) 22(1-2):1–7. doi: 10.1159/000501643

88. Joda T, Waltimo T, Pauli-Magnus C, Probst-Hensch N, Zitzmann NU. Population-based linkage of big data in dental research. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2018) 15(11):2357. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15112357