Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Jacques Chelly, University of Pittsburgh, United States

REVIEWED BY Joan Simons, Independent Researcher, Milton Keynes, United Kingdom

\*CORRESPONDENCE Mark I. Johnson m.johnson@leedsbeckett.ac.uk

RECEIVED 09 February 2023 ACCEPTED 24 April 2023 PUBLISHED 09 May 2023

#### CITATION

Paley EG, Johnson MI and Paley CA (2023) Understanding pain in modern society: insights from attitudes to pain in the Medieval Period. Front. Pain Res. 4:1162569. doi: 10.3389/fpain.2023.1162569

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Paley, Johnson and Paley. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Understanding pain in modern society: insights from attitudes to pain in the Medieval Period

Emma G. Paley<sup>1</sup>, Mark I. Johnson<sup>2\*</sup> and Carole A. Paley<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Medieval Studies, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>Centre for Pain Research, School of Health, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>Academic Unit of Palliative Care, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom

Historical records provide knowledge about the way people lived in the past. Our perspective is that historical analyses of the Medieval Period provide insights to inform a fuller understanding of pain in the present era. In this article, we appraise critiques of the writings of people living with pain during the mid (high) to late Medieval Period (c. 1,000–1,500 AD) to gain insights into the nature, attitudes, lived experience, and sense-making of pain. In the Medieval Period, pain was understood in terms of Galen's four humours and the Church's doctrine of pain as a "divine gift", "punishment for sin" and/or "sacrificial offering". Many treatments for pain were precursors of those used in modern time and society considered pain to be a "shared experience". We argue that sharing personal stories of life is a fundamental human attribute to foster social cohesion, and that nowadays sharing personal stories about pain is difficult during biomedically-focussed time-constrained clinical consultations. Exploring pain through a medieval lens demonstrates the importance of sharing stories of living with pain that are flexible in meaning, so that people can connect with a sense of self and their social world. We advocate a role for community-centred approaches to support people in the creation and sharing of their personal pain stories. Contributions from non-biomedical disciplines, such as history and the arts, can inform a fuller understanding of pain and its prevention and management.

#### KEYWORDS

pain, medieval, history, painogenic environment, social cohesion, ascetics, attitudes and behaviors

# Introduction

Exploring pain through a historical lens offers insights into human understanding, thought and expression, and can provide perceptions of relationships between human biology and sociocultural conventions. The Medieval Period is one of the three traditional divisions of Western history (antiquity, medieval, modern) and a time of great religious, cultural and social development in Europe, paving the way for new scientific thinking. In this perspectives article we examine the meanings attributed to pain and the attitudes and responses to pain during the mid (high) to late Medieval Period. We will discuss the possible mindsets of medieval people experiencing pain and discuss how this may inform a fuller understanding of pain in modern society.

# Pain in the Medieval Period

The Medieval Period (Middle Ages) began with the fall of the Western Roman Empire (c. 476 AD) and transitioned into the Renaissance period (c. 1,500 AD). During this time,

approximately 90% of the population were peasants (villeins) working the land and living in small communities under the control of overlords. Much of Europe had become Christian and the first universities were established.

The Medieval Period is divided into: the Early Middle Ages (c. 425–1,000 AD); the High Middle Ages (c. 1,000–1,300 AD); and the Late Middle Ages (c. 1,300–1,500 AD). The bubonic plague (Black Death) occurred during the Late Middle Ages and was associated with mortality of over 20 million people, 30%–50% of the continent's population. A common view in society was that the plague was God's punishment for sin, although some believed that it was a result of an astrological event or an earthquake which released poisonous vapours (1).

#### Knowledge and attitudes

The book *A History of Pain* by Rey provides a synopsis of institutional and scientific conditions in which theories and knowledge about pain were made (2). Before the Medieval Period Hippocrates (c. 460 - c. 377 BC) argued that diseases were caused naturally, and not because of superstition and gods. The Greek physician and philosopher Galen (c. 129–216 AD) described pain as a "rupture of continuity" or a "change in temperament" caused by an imbalance of the four humours: blood, phlegm, yellow and black bile. Galen believed the mind/soul and body were intimately interconnected and therefore involved in the experience of pain (3). The *Treatise on Man*, published by René Descartes in the 17th century, differentiated the body and the mind (or soul). This

catalysed a biomechanistic model of pain which paved the way for modern medicine but may have marginalised the significance of the mind (4). The Medieval Period spans Galenism with its focus on anatomy and the four humours, and the early modern period with Descartes' mechanistic model of pain (Figure 1).

Throughout history pain has been considered "a passion of the soul". Acute and chronic are relatively recent additions to the pain lexicon and generally physicians only became interested in chronic pain without obvious pathology in the 1900s, with people complaining of long-term pain often regarded as deluded or malingerers. Thus, historical texts discuss long-term illness and/ or pain but do not describe pain in terms of "acute" or "chronic".

During the Medieval Period, knowledge and attitudes towards pain and suffering arise from biographical sources (*vitae*) of historical figures, and occasionally some autobiographical details, although these were rare due to high levels of illiteracy. Most knowledge originates from religious establishments. Convents were one of the few places where women could receive an education, and nuns wrote, translated, and illuminated manuscripts. It is largely from these sources, which were heavily influenced by the Christian beliefs and culture of the time, that an understanding of pain in the Medieval Period is informed. However, early scribes probably exaggerated, diminished, added, or removed events from the accounts of the lives of individuals, so caution is needed in interpretation.

In the Medieval Period, pain was frequently written about with a scholastic or devotional theme, or both, as seen in letters written by the Benedictine Abbess Hildegard von Bingen (1,098–1,179 AD), a medieval visionary and mystic to those who sought her medical advice (5). These letters revealed attitudes towards pain and illness

Pre-and Early Medieval c.425-1000 AD	<ul> <li>Recorded medicine dates from at least 2600 BC when the Egyptian Imhotep described the diagnosis and treatment of 200 diseases</li> <li>c.460 BC Birth of Hippocrates, the Greek 'father of medicine' begins the scientific study of medicine</li> <li>Growth of the Roman Empire: 130 AD Birth of Galen. Greek physician to gladiators and Roman emperors</li> <li>Western Europe experiences a decentralisation of economic system which is not mirrored in the east.</li> <li>Writings of Galen and Hippocrates already in circulation in Greece and Rome but not yet translated to Latin for European scholars</li> </ul>	
Mid (high)-Late Medieval c.1000-1500 AD	<ul> <li>Monasteries were major seats of learning but in 11<sup>th</sup> century AD official universities opened in Europe</li> <li>1010 AD Avicenna writes The Book of Healing and in 1025 AD The Canon of Medicine</li> <li>Scholars translated Islamic texts (from original Greek) into Latin for wider consumption</li> <li>Science: new discoveries and inventions. Scientific progress achieved under the wing of the church</li> <li>Medicine: disease and illness seen as acts of God but was recognition of interdependence of mind and body</li> <li>Invention of the printing press in 1440 AD; translation and physical distribution of scientific texts took precedence</li> </ul>	
Renaissance c.1500-1700 AD	<ul> <li>Intellectual and economic changes; breakdown of class and political structures; Protestant Reformation</li> <li>Scientific revolution c.1550-1700 AD: Galileo: theories of gravity and the universe – not universally accepted</li> <li><i>Treatise on Man</i>, written by René Descartes introduced mind/body dualism</li> <li>Royal Society set up in 1662 AD by Charles II in London.</li> <li>Medicine: Anatomical knowledge increases. Pain regarded as a mind-body phenomenon and treated using a mixture of religious and scholastic solutions</li> </ul>	
Enlightenment c.1700-1900 AD	<ul> <li>Industrial revolution: rise of industry, transportation etc</li> <li>French Revolution: changes Europe forever – challenges to authority and balance of power</li> <li>Enlightenment: rise in importance intellectual and scientific thinking over blind faith and belief, Newton, Voltaire etc.</li> <li>1846 AD – first general anaesthetic developed and heralded huge changes in surgical techniques and healthcare.</li> <li>Medicine: 1857 AD Louis Pasteur identifies germs as cause of disease; first vaccines; 1899 AD aspirin invented; surgical techniques improved; general anaesthetics start to be developed.</li> </ul>	
Modern Era c.1900 AD-present day	<ul> <li>Industrial revolution gives way to post-industrial society and the digital age.</li> <li>World wars I and II result in vast improvements in surgical techniques and anaesthetics for trauma victims</li> <li>Revolution in science and medicine. Many vaccines developed, Smallpox was eradicated and Pencillin was developed in 1928 AD.</li> <li>NHS starts in UK allowing free healthcare and mass vaccination of the population, improving mortality rates.</li> <li>Biomedical models perpetuate in modern medicine and pain science, creating a mechanistic view.</li> <li>Renewal of focus on the biopsychosocial model of medicine and introduction of 'living well' and other holistic programmes</li> </ul>	

during the Medieval Period; there were no straightforward causal relationships and they involved both the body and the mind. Even when trained physicians were available from around the 12th century, most people were unable to pay and therefore sought treatments from untrained healers and through religious means.

Treatment for pain was largely reliant on traditional folklore, superstition and herbal tinctures (6). Physicians used astrological charts to aid diagnosis and treatment. In the late 11th Century, new ideas were imported into Europe, probably as a result of the first Crusade. Islamic scientific and medical texts (originally from Greece) were translated into Latin so that they could be read by western European scholars. At this time, Avicenna (Ibn Sina), a Persian polymath (980-1,037 AD) followed Galenic thinking and published "The Canon of Medicine" in 1,025 AD (7) which set the standard for medicine in medieval Europe and the Islamic world into the 18th century. Within "The Canon", Avicenna challenged some aspects of Galen's work and argued that pain was not always an "interruption of continuity", and that bodily adaptation could occur in the presence of pain (8). Although medieval texts did not distinguish between chronic and acute pain as such, some writers referred to long-term painful illnesses.

In the 12th century, the Andalucian polymath and physician and philosopher Averroes (*Ibn Rushd*) wrote *The Book of the Principles of Medicine (The Kulliyat*) which recognised observation rather than mere speculation in the diagnostic process (9) suggesting early practise of evidence-based medicine (10). Guy de Chauliac defined pain in his *Grande Chirurgie* (1,363 AD): "*Pain, according to Avicenna, is a feeling of contradictory qualities. But along with these contradictory humors which might inflict pain, according to Galen, there may be alterations which break or cut, stretch or abrade: pain is therefore the result either of personally generated contrary qualities, or interruptions in continuity caused by accidents*". [Cited in (2)].

## Lived experience

Rey claims that there are few accounts of how individuals experienced pain and suffering until the shift in religious preoccupations in the 12th century (2). Figurative scenes of endurance of agony, pain, and suffering of saints, as depicted on stained glass windows, offered clues about the societal relationship with pain. Medieval society was ordered by powerful men of church authorities or feudal lords warring with one another. Rey speculates that during this era there would be little time to ruminate on pain experience. Christianity positioned itself as a religion of salvation and healing through faith and prayer. Rey argues that this social milieu would provide little space for "intimate attention to the body" and encouraged a stoic indifference to pain.

Rey's views of stoicism and indifference to pain are contested by Cohen who devotes an entire chapter of the book *The Modulated Scream: Pain in late Medieval Culture*, to impassibility; mainly of the martyrs but also of those undergoing torture .... "*They did suffer; they did not possess miraculous impassibility*" (11). Cohen argues that written accounts of the pain of others was speculative and that any apparent indifference to pain must have been an ability to withstand it. It is unlikely that medieval people had the ability to be indifferent to pain and would utilise various strategies and narratives to cope with it. Religious and scholastic attitudes towards pain and disease were so intertwined during this period that people would have tried various strategies for relief. "Saintly stoicism" was probably confined to a few individuals, such as the mystics and pious religious figures.

Cohen draws attention to a difference between people experiencing pain in the late Medieval Period (c. 1,300-1,500 AD) and those of modern time; referring to the social milieu of living with pain in the modern era as "utter isolation and solitude of the sufferer" (12). Cohen states "The modern sufferer is trapped inside her pain, unable to share or express it. In contrast, in the later Middle Ages pain was definitely a social sensation ... pain was shared, discussed and transmitted through speech, art and patterns of behaviour" (12). Cohen argues that sharing pain with others fostered social cohesion and solidarity amongst similar social groups, such as the small, impoverished village communities. The Renaissance and the scientific revolution (c. 1,550 AD) grounded an understanding of pain in bodily pathophysiological disruption, locating pain and its treatment within tissue. People not responding to biomedical treatments were left isolated, disorientated, and helpless by an indifferent and uncomprehending medical paradigm; over time these sentiments spread in the wider social world (13). In the modern era, people continue to share pain experience with family and friends, and within cultural, religious, and societal groups which mirrors the medieval experience of "shared suffering"; however, constraints on resources and the need to quantify pain means that sharing pain experience remains marginalised in health service delivery.

### Sense-Making

In the mid to late Medieval Period the pain of Christ was an important part of sense-making. medieval people interpreted the church's premise that pain was a "divine gift" or "sacrificial offering" to get closer to God or as a means of punishment and redemption in various, often contradictory ways. The mystic Beatrice of Nazareth (c. 1,200–1,268 AD) wrote that her many illnesses were a blessing and her pain was a way of being tested and to get closer to God (14). The visionary Margery Kempe (c. 1,373–1,439 AD) thought her painful illnesses were a punishment for being an imperfect human rather than for any specific sin (15). Kempe rationalises the unpredictability of her pain by attributing its origin to God, although she was not affiliated with any religious order.

Medieval *vitae* of the ascetics describe how they practiced severe self-denial and self-infliction of pain either as a form of self-punishment or to mimic the suffering of Christ, possibly through altering their conscious state, in order to be morally acceptable before the divine (16, 17). The mystic and Augustinian Marie d'Oignies (c. 1,177–1,213 AD) self-inflicted pain as a means of punishment and to develop her spiritualty (18), and she overcame this pain claiming that she "had been so inflamed by the overwhelming fire of love" (of God) (18). medieval mystics and others, such as religious martyrs appear to have been able to divert their attention away from pain, possibly by thought suppression and self-hypnosis (17), similar to that observed in modern times, e.g., sport ultra-endurance athletes (19) or extreme sports protagonists (20). In both medieval and modern times context would determine whether such behaviours of mystics and ascetics were perceived as a psychiatric disorder, a feat of "strong will" or the intervention of a supernatural force (21).

## Alleviating pain

During the mid to late Medieval Period the first universities in Europe were established. Trained physicians mostly tended to those who could afford to pay. The Universities were affiliated with the Church and scholars were expected to take minor orders, thus forming a complex theology/medicine relationship in medieval Europe (3). A debate about the tension between the Christian "suffering self" and the desire to relieve pain by any means during the Medieval Period remains unresolved (3, 12).

The prevailing Christian view, that pain was a punishment for sin or a divine intervention worthy of reward in the afterlife, fostered an attitude that pain was something to be endured. Nevertheless, evidence suggests that medieval people suffered pain and wanted relief from it. Importantly, painful illness which prevented people from working the land had financial consequences because rents to overlords and tithes to the church could not be paid. This provided a strong incentive to find relief from pain and also placed reliance on small village communities to support the ill and infirm (22). It has widely been thought that life expectancy was only 30-35 years during the Medieval Period, but this has now been shown to be incorrect and skewed due to high infant mortality. Those living to the age of 25 had a good chance of surviving until they were 50 and possibly much longer (23, 24). Therefore, they would have a greater likelihood of experiencing pain and illness, and possibly for a prolonged period of time.

Spiritual relief of pain was often sought by an array of religious activities including prayer, pilgrimages and seeking miracles all of which continue into modern times (25). John of Mirfield (1,362–1,407 AD), amongst others, understood the desire for pain relief and that pain in itself could result in further illness or death (26). Nevertheless, in some circumstances pain should be borne without relief as it was believed that interventions to alleviate pain would interfere with natural processes e.g., by causing contractions to stop during painful childbirth (27).

Medieval healers often used painful antiquated treatments such as bloodletting and other types of purging to rid the body of noxious substances, balance the humours and to 'drain away sins'. In 1,363 AD, Guy de Chauliac's *Grande Chirurgie* described principles for treatment based on "opposites" to counteract disorders including pain, e.g., humidity for dryness, heat to "ward off cold" (28). Guy de Chauliac advocated evacuation or purges and remedies to inflame or suppurate using fats and oils, mixed with bread and eggs, and applied as plasters to defuse heat. He also used ligatures to render painful body parts insensate and to prevent bleeding. The acceptance of painful procedures to cure pain continues to modern times, e.g., surgery, emetics, laxatives, and the draining of bodily fluids such as cysts.

Methods of soothing pain during the Medieval Period included sparing use of plants such as hemlock or opium (29). The earliest version of the Old English Herbal is the Cotton MS Vitellius C III, written in the early 11th century, describing plants and their uses. The Antidotarium Nicolai, written between 1,160-1,220 AD, distinguished between antidotes for pain and those treating illness and was written as a guide to the ingredients required for popular remedies (30). Examples included sponges infused with narcotic substances applied to the skin prior to incision or inhaled as gases through the nose. These procedures echo modern-day analgesic practices such as the use of morphine patches or inhaled Entonox. Hildegard von Bingen (1,098-1,179 AD), Benedictine abbess of the Rhineland in Germany, was a visionary, mystic and healer, that produced remedies for a multitude of ailments using some substances still in use today (31). Some remedies contained dangerous substances such as mandragora root (mandrake), nightshade, and henbane that were administered in small quantities. Some became the precursors of modern-day analgesic agents, for example, opium and willow bark (containing salicylic acid).

It was believed that people undergoing surgery in the Medieval Period received no relief of pain because it had been thought that there were no effective anaesthetics in England until approximately 150 years ago. However, the use of anaesthetics pre-dates Roman times in southern Europe (c. 800 AD) (32, 33). Late medieval English texts (c. 12th-15th century) discovered towards the end of the 20th century contained a recipe for an anaesthetic concoction called Dwale; based on bile, lettuce, vinegar, and bryony root, hemlock, opium, and henbane. Some ingredients were highly dangerous and yet the Dwale recipe was administered by ordinary people (34) and appeared in household recipe books (35). Bryony was sometimes used as a substitute for mandrake (Mandragora officinarum). Mandrake could cause hallucinations and was therefore associated with magic powers and might have been responsible for out of body experiences occurring in witchcraft, although this has not been widely confirmed (36). Jeanne d'Arc (d. 1,431 AD) was accused of carrying mandrake at her trial (37).

## Discussion

The complex interchange between medical and Christian beliefs and the debate about the relative influence of medical thought on scholastic theology made the Medieval Period an interesting time in the history of pain. We have used in-depth analyses of the writings of other scholars to gain insights of the mindset of people living in the Medieval Period as summarised in Table 1.

In the Medieval Period, pain was a multifaceted shared social experience with several meanings, and people sought to alleviate pain using physical, spiritual, and social interventions. Sharing pain promotes social bonding, cooperative behaviour, camaraderie, and well-being (38–40). Nowadays, people report

	Medieval Period	Modern Period (including present-day)
Dates of period	• 5th Century A.D. (fall of western Roman Empire) to c. 1,500 A.D.	• Early modern period began c. 1,500 and late modern period began
	(start of Renaissance period) Mid (high) to late Mediaral Baried started a 1 000 A D	c. mid-18th century A.D.
Major events	<ul> <li>Mid (high) to late Medieval Period started c. 1,000 A.D.</li> <li>Seats of learning; monasteries and the first universities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Contemporary history began 1,945 A.D. following the second world war</li> <li>c. 1,550–1,700 A.D.—start of scientific revolution</li> </ul>
wajor events	<ul> <li>seats of rearining, inclusteries and the first universities</li> <li>1,025 A.D.—Canon of Medicine (Avicenna) set standard for</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>C. 1,550–1,700 A.D.—start of scientific revolution</li> <li>Circa 1,600 A.D.—Descartes–Cartesian dualism fostered mechanistic</li> </ul>
	medicine in medieval Europe and the Islamic world	biomedical model of healthy body and denied significance of mind
	• Late 12th century The Book of the Principles of Medicine	• 1,846 A.Dadvent of anaesthetics
	(Averroes)	• 1,950 A.D. onwards—emergence of influential pain specialists/
	• 1,160–1,220 A.D.—The <i>Antidotarium Nicolai</i> guide to the ingredients for remedies that distinguished antidotes for pain and	scientists e.g., Bonica, Melzack and Wall, Woolf etc.
	illness	
	• First crusades—Islamic medical documents translated into Latin	
	for European Scholars	
	• Bubonic plague (c. 1,346 to 1,353 A.D.)	
Pain experts/influencers	The church     Local healers	<ul> <li>Medical practitioners/specialists</li> <li>Registered and unregistered therapists/healers</li> </ul>
	Medical scholars (later Medieval Period)	Pain specialists
		• Social media
Phenomenology of pain	• "Social sensation"	• "Individual sensation"
	Shared experience	Private experience
	Coherent with shared life demands and expectations of community	Coherent with damaged body needing medical attention creating
Dhan ann an alaons af auffarin a	Coniel and multip suffering within a schooling family and	expectation of diagnosis and cure
Phenomenology of suffering	Social and public suffering within a cohesive family and community unit	Suffering in isolation and solitude perhaps reflecting some fragmentation of family and community units
Meaning of pain	Multiple meanings	Single meaning (i.e. biomedically dominant)
0 1	Humoural imbalance	Tissue damage or dysfunctional physiology
	Result of treatment or process of healing	Present-day recognition of biopsychosocial influences
	Blessing from God	
	• Devine punishment for sin, a penitence, retribution, punishment, or martyrdom	
Ontology	Holistic, part of a whole person, including the personality	Materialistic, body parts and biomedical constituents
6	• Carried within the soul	• Produced by the brain
Explanatory model	No straightforward causal explanation	Symptom of pathology
	• Humoural imbalance involving body, mind and/or soul	Neuro-mechanistic processes with biopsychosocial influences
	God / Metaphysical processes	Dysfunctional somatosensory system
	• Unclear whether medical or scholastic attitudes were separate or intertwined	
Expression of pain	Verbalisation, behaviour and artform rooted in diverse narratives	• Verbalisation and behaviour predominantly rooted in biomedical
* *		narrative
Societal attitude	• Tension between Christian "suffering self" and desire to relieve	• Relief of pain is a human right
	pain	• Expectation of a cure
	<ul><li>Pain from illness required alleviation</li><li>Pain from surgery, or childbirth should not be treated</li></ul>	Biomedical, and more recently psychosocial, approaches to 'fix' body     and mind
	Endure pain because 'from God'	Pain as a technical problem
	Spiritual relief by prayer, pilgrimages, miracles and religious power	• Treatment 'failure' if individual remains in pain
Individual hopes, beliefs	Hope for relief and possibility of cure	Expectation of relief and of cure
and expectations	• Fear pain could result in further illness or death	Fear pain could signal sinister disease
	<ul> <li>Treatment failure—'God's Will'</li> <li>Behaviour—short period of therapy shopping</li> </ul>	Treatment failure—multiple explanations, incorrect treatment, poor medical practice, complex medical condition
	Continue to work to survive	<ul> <li>Behaviour—prolonged therapy shopping symptom relief whilst</li> </ul>
	• Low expectation of complete relief and return to 'normal'/'optimal'	searching for diagnosis and cure
	health	Absence from work—illness benefits
		• High expectation of complete relief and return to 'normal'/'optimal'
A a a a ti ai a ma	Often hy religious les dere mystice menture surveien sing tenture te	health
Asceticism	• Often by religious leaders, mystics, martyrs experiencing torture to be morally acceptable before the divine	Often by sportspeople, military to be stoic, competitive or exhibitionistic
Forces of power	The Church	Biomedicine/health care
	Social power	Medical power
Pain practitioners	• Local trained and untrained healers and 'wise women' using	• Physicians, health care practitioners, multidisciplinary teams, using
	folklore	biomedical diagnosis
	Mystics and religious orders (in case pain resulted from sin)     Physicians (medical scholars) available c 12th century A D, often	CAM practitioners     Paligious orders
	Physicians (medical scholars) available c. 12th century A.D., often aided by astrological charts—but too expensive for most people	<ul> <li>Religious orders</li> <li>Untrained and unregistered healers</li> </ul>
Modes of treatment	Access to medical care very limited	Access to medical care widespread
	Traditional folklore, herbal tinctures, external concoctions, plants	• Treatment targeting biomedical constituents such as anaesthetics,
	(hemlock, opium, willow bark), salves and plasters	analgesics, pain adjuvants and surgery
	• Purging to rid the body of noxious substances or drain away sins	Biopsychosocial approaches and health promoting and lifestyle
	Balance humours	adjustments
D :		
Pain writings	<ul><li>Scholastic and devotional theme</li><li>Often only available to educated few</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Applied biomedical/psychosocial theme</li> <li>Knowledge generated by pain specialists/scientists and available to</li> </ul>

#### TABLE 1 Comparison of attributes of pain in medieval and modern periods.

feelings of being "trapped" inside a painful "damaged" body likened to incarceration in prison and resulting in self-imposed isolation (41). Self-isolation is an evolutionary adaptation that aids survival following injury, and people will have self-isolated in the Medieval Period, although this seems to have been heightened in modern times. The rise in individualism and the inability to adequately share pain in health care settings appear to be contributing factors (42, 43). Conversely, technological developments have enabled sharing of pain via the world wide web and social media, enabling global reach way beyond the confines of local groups and communities. Sharing pain in this way may have a profound impact on pain experience, and research on the topic is in its infancy. Likewise, a bewildering multitude of choices and opinions are available nowadays for people experiencing pain. This may provide greater opportunities for recovery but may also increase the sense of isolation and hopelessness when treatments fail. We advocate a need to allow society, including health care systems, to provide opportunities for modern-day people to share pain, through for example, telling stories of pain experience using various vocabularies. Contemporary approaches to assist people on a healing journey are delivered using clinical and non-clinical personnel in settings that are "non-threatening" including the arts and visual imagery (44 - 46)

Medieval explanations of pain residing "within the soul" have parallels with contemporary concepts of "inner-self", "embodied pain" and "body-mind theory" (47). Medieval humoural theory is a rudimentary framework for contemporary concepts associated with balance of the body and mind and the connection to the natural and built environments (48, 49). The shift from Galen's holistic view of pain resulting from humoural imbalance to a neuromechanistic model of pain has provided great advances in the understanding of nociception, sensitisation, bioplasticity and neuroimmune function. Neilson argues that the neuro-mechanistic view of pain is an "illusion of great scientific progress" because the vast accumulation of physiological knowledge conceals a model that does not explain the subjective experience of pain i.e., the hard problem of consciousness (50). A consequence of conflating nociception (neurophysiology) and pain (51) has been to decontextualise physiological processes from the lived experience (42) resulting in neglect of the socio-ecological factors that shape a person's lifeworld and contribute to painogenicity (13, 52).

Contemporary models describe pain is an emergent phenomenon of brain activity rather than an identifiable "thing" (51, 53–56). Calls to reflect social and phenomenological aspects of pain in scientific definitions (57, 58), consistent with the shared social experience of pain in the Medieval Period, are growing. Bourke argues that pain should be considered a "... type of an event ... one of those recurring occurrences that we regularly experience and witness that participates in the constitution of our sense of self and other" (59) p. 5. Our appraisal suggests that pain would have been considered more like a "type of event" than a "thing" in the Medieval Period.

Under the power of the Church's narrative, failure to relieve pain in the Medieval Period was probably interpreted as "God's will"; an attitude which remains to this day in some cultures and communities. We speculate that this may have fostered an acceptance of the need to endure pain without relief. The biomedical paradigm which has driven advances and refinements of the medieval pharmacopeia has raised societal hope and expectations of relief (and cure). Advances in biomedicine have produced a wealth of beneficial pain treatments, yet unremitting pain and suffering remains a major challenge of the modern period. Forces controlling societal narratives about pain (e.g., the Church or biomedicine) have, to some extent, disenfranchised people. We argue greater focus on investigation of "upstream" factors, such as societal narrative, that may be creating painogenic environments, as this is likely to assist prevention of pain and its persistence. We also advocate a need to empower people to take control of their own pain story (60), with a role for community-centred biopsychosocial approaches to assist recovery and to live well with pain (61). Contemporary approaches to de-marginalise people in pain include a recognition that the arts (45, 46), including the use of imagery, aid understanding of the lived experience of pain (44) and give meaning to life itself: "If health is about adaptation, understanding, and acceptance, then the arts may be more potent than anything that medicine has to offer." (46)

# Conclusion

The medieval perspective of pain provides insights for a fuller understanding of the socio-ecological conditions contributing to a painogenic milieu, offering insights to upstream strategies to prevent pain. Severe physical hardship was common for many people during the Medieval Period (i.e., in Europe) and pain was probably common, with chance of relief low. Improvements in living standards and in pain treatment have not resolved the burden of unremitting pain in society. In some ways, the mindset of medieval people toward pain parallels people in the modern era; people seek relief under the constraints of affordability, availability and acceptability and guided by therapeutic, community and theological beliefs. Personal lifeworlds about pain are constructed within the social narratives of the time, and many medieval narratives survive to the present day in refined forms. Pain as a shared experience is a longstanding characteristic of human communities. This supports the need for flexibility in modern-day explanations of pain that are acceptable to individuals and communities, so that they can connect with a sense of self and the social world (62). To do this, we advocate exploration of pain and its management via an eclectic mix of subject disciplines, including history, the arts and storytelling, which would help patients validate their pain and allow them to express psychological and spiritual aspects of their experiences (63).

# Accessing research materials

Underlying materials related to our paper can be accessed by contacting Professor Mark I. Johnson.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Author contributions

All authors contributed equally to this work. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Conflict of interest

In the previous 5 years, MJ's employer has received income for expert consultancy activities from GlaxoSmithKline, TENSCare,

# References

1. Getz FM. Black death and the silver lining: meaning, continuity, and revolutionary change in histories of medieval plague. *J Hist Biol.* (1991) 24 (2):265–89. doi: 10.1007/BF00209432

2. Rey R. The history of pain. Cambridge: Mass: Harvard University Press (1995). 394.

3. Trembinski D. Pain in medieval and modern contexts. J Can Hist Assoc. (2012) 23 (2):111–41. doi: 10.7202/1015791ar

4. Mehta N. Mind-body dualism: a critique from a health perspective. Mens Sana Monogr. (2011) 9(1):202-9. doi: 10.4103/0973-1229.77436

5. Wallace F. Part III. Medicine and society (1100-1500). In: Wallace F, editors. *Medieval medicine: A reader. Readings in medieval civilizations and cultures XV*. Toronto, Canada: University of Toronto Press (2010). p. 357-60.

6. Hajar R. The air of history (part II) medicine in the middle ages. *Heart Views*. (2012) 13(4):158-62. doi: 10.4103/1995-705x.105744

7. Avicenna I. *The canon of medicine*. 2nd ed. Chicago, US: Kazi Publications (1025) (1999). p. 710.

8. Tashani OA, Johnson MI. Avicenna's concept of pain. *Libyan J Med.* (2010) 5. doi: 10.3402/ljm.v5i0.5253

9. Delgado F. The neurosciences in averroes principles of medicine. Ann Saudi Med. (2012) 32(3):327-31. doi: 10.5144/0256-4947.2012.327

10. McVaugh M. The "experience-based medicine" of the thirteenth century. *Early Sci Med.* (2009) 14(1/3):105–30. doi: 10.1163/157338209X425524

11. Impassibility CE. *The modulated scream: pain in late medieval culture*. London: University of Chicago Press Ltd. (2010). 227–56.

12. Cohen E. *The modulated scream: pain in late medieval culture.* London: University of Chicago Press Ltd. (2010). 384.

13. Johnson MI, Bonacaro A, Georgiadis E, Woodall J. Reconfiguring the biomedical dominance of pain: time for alternative perspectives from health promotion? *Health Promot Int.* (2022) 37(4):1–9. doi: 10.1093/heapro/daac128

14. De Ganck R. The life of beatrice of nazareth, introduction. The life of beatrice of nazareth: Volume 50. Cistercian fathers series, 50. Annotated ed: Minnesota, US: Liturgical Press (1991). p. 37–9.

15. Bale A. The book of margery kempe. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press (2015). p. 320.

16. Murchú DÓ. Early christian asceticism and its relevance today. Ir Theol Q. (1983) 50(2-4):83-117. doi: 10.1177/002114008305000401

17. Kroll J, Bacharach B. The mystic mind: the psychology of medieval mystics and ascetics. United Kingdom: Routledge (2005).

18. Mulder-Bakker ABE. Mary of oignies: mother of salvation. Medieval women: texts and contexts. Turnhout: Brepols (2006). 53-4.

19. Brace AW, George K, Lovell GP. Mental toughness and self-efficacy of elite ultramarathon runners. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15(11):e0241284. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0241284 and LifeCare Ltd. that lie outside of the submitted work. MJ declares book royalties from Oxford University Press. All other authors declare no conflicts of interests.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

20. Tofler IR, Hyatt BM, Tofler DS. Psychiatric aspects of extreme sports: three case studies. *Perm J.* (2018) 22:17–071. doi: 10.7812/TPP/17-071

21. Lourié B. Asceticism of the mind: forms of attention and self-transformation in late antique monasticism, written by inbar graiver, 2018. *Scrinium*. (2020) 16:1–5. doi: 10.1163/18177565-00160A25

22. Hanawalt B. Images of the medieval peasant. J Soc Hist. (2000) 34(2):456–7. doi: 10.1353/jsh.2000.0145

23. Poos LR. Life expectancy and "age of first appearance" in medieval manorial court rolls. *Local Popul Stud.* (1986) 37:45–52.

24. Jonker MA. Estimation of the life expectancy of tenants in the middle ages. *Math Popul Stud.* (2009) 16(2):131–52. doi: 10.1080/08898480902790387

25. Blažević J. The phenomenon of miraculous healing through suggestion in the context of faith and magic—psychological-theological approach. *Psychiatr Danub.* (2021) 33(Suppl 4):933–9.

26. Mirfeld J, Colton JB. John of mirfield (D. 1407). surgery. A translation of his breviarium bartholomei. Pt. IX. New York, US: Hafner Publishing Company (1969).

27. Skowronski GA. Pain relief in childbirth: changing historical and feminist perspectives. *Anaesth Intensive Care.* (2015) 43:25–8. doi: 10.1177/0310057 (150430s106

28. de Chauliac G, Joubert L, Arnaud L, Borde P, Rigaud C. La Grande Chirurgie De M. Guy De Chauliac: chez Philippe Borde, L. Arnau, & Cl. Rigaud (1659).

29. Brunsch SH. Analgesic remedies in the middle ages. Schmerz. (2007) 21 (4):331-8. doi: 10.1007/s00482-007-0559-y

30. González Blanco M. An edition of the middle English translation of the antidotarium. Nicolai: University of Glasgow (2018).

31. von Bingen H, Throop P. *Physica: the complete translation of her classic work on health and healing.* Rochester, Vermont: Healing Arts Press (1998).

32. Keys TE. History of surgical anesthesia. Lancet (London, England). (1945) 2 (6379):636. doi: 10.1097/00000441-194511000-00027

33. Chidiac EJ, Kaddoum RN, Fuleihan SF. Special article: mandragora: anesthetic of the ancients. *Anesth Analg.* (2012) 115(6):1437–41. doi: Epub 20120514. doi: 10.1213/ANE.0b013e318259ee4d

34. Carter AJ. Dwale: an anaesthetic from old England. Br Med J. (1999) 319 (7225):1623-6. doi: 10.1136/bmj.319.7225.1623

35. Rawcliffe C. Medicine and society in later medieval England. Stroud, UK: Sutton Publishing Ltd. (1997) ISBN: 0750914971.

36. Mann J. Murder, magic, and medicine. USA: Oxford University Press (2000).

37. Carter AJ. Myths and mandrakes. J R Soc Med. (2003) 96(3):144-7. doi: 10.1258/ jrsm.96.3.144. PMID: 12612119; PMCID: PMC539425.

38. Elder GH J, Clipp EC. Combat experience and emotional health: impairment and resilience in later life. *J Pers.* (1989) 57(2):311–41. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6494. 1989.tb00485.x

39. Turner BS, Wainwright SP. Corps de ballet: the case of the injured ballet dancer. Sociol Health Illn. (2003) 25(4):269–88. doi: 10.1111/1467-9566.00347

40. Bastian B, Jetten J, Ferris LJ. Pain as social glue: shared pain increases cooperation. *Psychol Sci.* (2014) 25(11):2079-85. doi: 10.1177/0956797614545886

41. Leder D. Coping with chronic pain, illness and incarceration: what patients and prisoners have to teach each other (and all of US). *Med Humanit*. (2018) 44(2):113–9. doi: 10.1136/medhum-2017-011426

42. Sik D. Power from indirect pain: a historical phenomenology of medical pain management. *Cont Philos Rev.* (2021) 54:41–59. doi: 10.1007/s11007-020-09518-5

43. Miglio N, Stanier J. Beyond pain scales: a critical phenomenology of the expression of pain. *Front Pain Res (Lausanne)*. (2022) 3:895443. doi: 10.3389/fpain. 2022.895443

44. Padfield D, Omand H, Semino E, Williams A, Zakrzewska JM. Images as catalysts for meaning-making in medical pain encounters: a multidisciplinary analysis. *Med Humanit.* (2018) 44(2):74–81. doi: 10.1136/medhum-2017-011415

45. Davies C, Knuiman M, Wright P, Rosenberg M. The art of being healthy: a qualitative study to develop a thematic framework for understanding the relationship between health and the arts. *BMJ Open*. (2014) 4(4):e004790. doi: 10. 1136/bmjopen-2014-004790

46. Smith R. Spend (slightly) less on health and more on the arts. *BMJ*. (2002) 325 (7378):1432–3. doi: 10.1136/bmj.325.7378.1432

47. Price M. The bodymind problem and the possibilities of pain. *Hypatia*. (2015) 30 (1):268–84.doi: 10.1111/hypa.12127

48. Bhikha R, Glynn JP. The theory of humours revisited. Int J Dev Res. (2017) 7 (09):15029–34.

49. Maric S. Sensation and perception of pain according to the theory of constitution in Tibetan medicine. *Eur J Integr Med.* (2009) 1(4):240. doi: 10. 1016/j.eujim.2009.08.034

50. Neilson S. Pain as metaphor: metaphor and medicine. *Med Humanit*. (2016) 42 (1):3–10. doi: 10.1136/medhum-2015-010672

51. Cohen M, Weisman A, Quintner J. Pain is not a "thing": how that error affects language and logic in pain medicine. *J Pain.* (2022) 23(8):1283–93. doi: 10.1016/j. jpain.2022.03.235

52. Johnson MI. Opinions on paleolithic physiology living in painogenic environments: changing the perspective through which we view chronic pain. *Pain Manag.* (2019) 9(3):219–24. doi: 10.2217/pmt-2018-0095

53. Weisman A, Quintner J, Galbraith M, Masharawi Y. Why are assumptions passed off as established knowledge? *Med Hypotheses.* (2020) 140:109693. doi: 10. 1016/j.mehy.2020.109693

54. Moseley GL, Pearson N, Reezigt R, Madden VJ, Hutchinson MR, Dunbar M, et al. Considering precision and utility when we talk about pain. Comment on Cohen et al. J Pain. (2023) 24(1):178–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2022.05.010

55. Cohen M, Weisman A, Quintner J. Response to Van Rysewyk S and Moseley Gl et al.'s Comments on Cohen et al. J Pain 2022; 23(8):1283–1293. J Pain. (2023) 24 (1):184–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2022.11.003

56. Doleys DM. Chronic pain as a hypothetical construct: a practical and philosophical consideration. *Front Psychol.* (2017) 8:664. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00664

57. Williams AC, Craig KD. Updating the definition of pain. Pain. (2016) 157 (11):2420-3. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000613

58. Cohen M, Quintner J, van Rysewyk S. Reconsidering the international association for the study of pain definition of pain. *Pain Rep.* (2018) 3(2):e634. doi: 10.1097/PR9.00000000000634

59. Bourke J. The story of pain: from prayer to painkillers. United Kingdom: Oxford University Press (2017). 416.

60. Johnson MI, Woodall J. A healthy settings approach to addressing painogenic environments: new perspectives from health promotion. *Front Pain Res (Lausanne).* (2022) 3:1000170. doi: 10.3389/fpain.2022.1000170

61. NICE. Chronic Pain (Primary and Secondary) in over 16s: Assessment of All Chronic Pain and Management of Chronic Primary Pain. In: NICE, editor. Royal College of Physicians (2021).

62. Toye F, Belton J, Hannink E, Seers K, Barker K. A healing journey with chronic pain: a meta-ethnography synthesizing 195 qualitative studies. *Pain Med.* (2021) 22 (6):1333–44. doi: 10.1093/pm/pnaa373

63. Kim KS, Loring S, Kwekkeboom K. Use of art-making intervention for pain and quality of life among cancer patients: a systematic review. *J Holist Nurs.* (2018) 36 (4):341–53. doi: 10.1177/0898010117726633