Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Giovanni Filocamo, IRCCS Ca 'Granda Foundation Maggiore Policlinico Hospital, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Amir Human Hoveidaei, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran Francesco Baldo, IRCCS Ca 'Granda Foundation Maggiore Policlinico Hospital, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Jing Lu lujing_95@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pediatrics

RECEIVED 01 June 2022 ACCEPTED 29 September 2022 PUBLISHED 03 November 2022

CITATION

Chen Y, Li L and Lu J (2022) Purpura with regular shape in an adolescent: Beware of dermatitis artefacta. Front. Pediatr. 10:959064. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.959064

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Chen, Li and Lu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Purpura with regular shape in an adolescent: Beware of dermatitis artefacta

Yuhao Chen^{1,2}, Lin Li³ and Jing Lu^{1,2}*

¹Department of Pediatrics, West China Second Hospital of Sichuan University, Sichuan, China, ²Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children, Sichuan University, Ministry of Education, Sichuan, China, ³Pathology Department, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Sichuan, China

Background: Purpura is common in pediatric patients, mostly diagnosed as IgA-related vasculitis (Henoch–Schönlein purpura), idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), and thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP). However, in some cases, for example, cases with dermatitis artefacta, it could puzzle a physician or pediatrician for a long time, with great challenges in diagnosis.

Case presentation: We present the case of a 13-year-old boy with recurrent painful purpura on both upper limbs. The physical exam was unremarkable, except for right blepharoptosis and scars from burns. The diagnostic tests were normal. Through repeated communication, the patient was finally diagnosed as having dermatitis artefacta, accompanied by underlying psychological problems.

Conclusions: Before dermatitis artefacta was diagnosed, we spent a lot of money and effort on the diagnosis. Therefore, in order to determine the diagnosis as soon as possible and save on unnecessary medical expenses, we propose a rapid process for the diagnosis of purpura of dermatitis artefacta in children.

KEYWORDS

dermatitis artefacta, painful purpura, rapid diagnostic, psychiatric disease, multidisciplinary team

Introduction

Purpura is a common manifestation in pediatric patients mostly diagnosed as IgArelated vasculitis (Henoch–Schönlein purpura [HSP]) (1), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) (2), and so on. However, purpura with a regular shape or bizarre distribution may puzzle a physician for some time (3, 4). After the exclusion of organic diseases, a diagnosis of dermatitis artefacta (DA) can be carefully considered. DA is a relatively rare condition in children, which presents an array of different types of skin manifestations, including superficial erosions, excoriations, and purpura (5, 6). Often, the presence of purpura in children attracts particular concern from physicians, as there may be potentially serious pathological consequences that require intervention as soon as possible. However, information on DA in children and adolescents is still limited.

The skin is the largest organ in the human body, which lines the outer surface. From an embryological point of view, both the skin and nervous system are derived from the ectoderm (7). Therefore, in clinical medicine, diseases of the skin and nervous system are sometimes found to be closely related (8, 9). Psychodermatology (10, 11) is a relatively new field of medicine. Even though nowadays pediatric psychodermatology attracts increasing attention from scholars, there is still limited literature available. DA, also called factitial dermatitis, is a condition caused by self-induced skin damage by an individual with an underlying emotional purpose, psychiatric disorder, or external stressor (6, 12). Diagnosing the disease is difficult because patients often do not admit that the skin rash was caused by themselves or simply made unconsciously. To our knowledge, this is the first article to focus on DA in children and propose a rapid diagnostic process.

Case presentation

A 13-year-old boy was admitted to the West China Second University Hospital with the chief complaint of recurrent painful skin lesions on both upper limbs, which had lasted more than 2 years before his referral (since he was 11 years old). The boy had recurrent painful purpura on both arms, but there seemed to be no relationship between the pain site and the ecchymosis site. The purpura often flared up suddenly at night or after going to the bathroom, where he was alone. A physical examination revealed remarkable linear, symmetric (meteor shower-like) purpura on both arms (Figure 1). He had once been diagnosed with an allergic rash, or Schönlein purpura, and given glucocorticoid and other anti-allergic treatment. Although the ecchymosis subsided, this type of skin lesion was easy to relapse. In the latest episode, ecchymosis on both upper limbs reappeared, with the same morphology and distribution, and with pain all over the



FIGURE 1 Striking linear symmetric purpuric streaks on the proximal extensor sides of both arms. The purpura was not palpable. (A) Purpura formed suddenly, (B) purpura 2 days after episode. body. He soon complained of blindness in his right eye, but his vision gradually recovered half a month later, after treatment with eye drops (timolol maleate and sodium hyaluronate). It is interesting to note that whether he was given naproxen or only placebo, his pain was quickly relieved. The patient's history revealed that he was born prematurely, at a gestational age of approximately 32 weeks. He had congenital right blepharoptosis and once received an "umbilical hernia" operation in his infancy. Later, he was admitted to our hospital for scalding, which left him with unsightly scars over the trunk. His parents and 21-year-old sister are healthy and denied a positive family history. His father had worked abroad for many years and his mother was rarely present because of her work. Another point worth noting is that he showed maturity beyond his age while communicating.

The laboratory evaluation revealed that his normal white blood cell count, erythrocyte count, platelet count, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, coagulation function, urinalysis, and hepatic and renal function were all normal. There were also no abnormal findings in humoral immunity (IgA, IgG, IgM, and IgE), cellular immunity (B cell, T cell, and NK cell), autoantibodies, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies, anticardiolipin antibody, and rheumatoid factor. Chest radiographs showed a curvature of the upper thoracic scoliosis. Electroencephalogram, cerebrospinal fluid, and bone marrow examination also showed no abnormalities. A skin biopsy revealed reticular epidermis hyperkeratosis, moderate perivascular lymphocytes, and some neutrophil infiltration (Figure 2).

A multidisciplinary team was then arranged to discuss this difficult condition. After a discussion, the consultation suggested that as no clues of organic disease that could lead to purpura were found, DA caused by psychological factors should be considered. Based on the patient's clinical history, physical examination, histopathology findings, and laboratory evaluation, the diagnosis of DA was finally made.

After discharge, we advised the patient's parents to pay more attention to his psychological state and try their best to create a relaxing environment and provide company, which was the most important factor. During the 3-months followup, the patient returned to his normal life and study, without any further similar episodes.

Discussion

What is dermatitis artefacta?

The incidence of DA accounted for approximately 0.03% of dermatology patients, but this number is possibly underestimated (13). In fact, DA is not a disease but a phenomenon that occurs in patients who deliberately create



various skin lesions: cutting, purpura, abrasion, burning, or other forms of skin lesions by means of a knife, sucking, chemical substances, scratching, and so on (14). The underlying psychiatric diseases include psychoses, intellectual disability, personality disorders, and other psychiatric pathologies, such as anxiety, depression, and posttraumatic stress disorder. In some cases, patients developed DA in order to attract attention (15). These patients often turned to a pediatrician or dermatologist first, ignoring that the underlying problem may be psychological. Saez-de-Ocariz et al. (4) suggest that the incidence of DA may be about 1 in 23,000 in pediatric patients, being mostly older children and adolescents (median age 11.17 years) (4), and girls (16-18). We search the PubMed database with the keywords "dermatitis artefacta," and found eight articles that mentioned children with DA with purpura (Table 1). With this case, the characteristics of the artefactual purpura in children can be summarized. Interestingly, these children have similar manifestations: the distribution of DA purpura was mainly

TABLE 1 Comparison of published cases with artefactual purpura.

located on the upper limbs, chest, or trunk, which can be reached with both hands. The purpura often presented as linear in shape. An impressive feature is that these purpuras often occurred at night or when the child was in the bathroom, where they were alone.

What are the manifestations and their characteristics?

Shape and distribution

DA can present as a variety of skin lesions but without any prodromal skin changes or descriptions of evolution. The lesions tend to be morphologically bizarre, often geometric in outline, and have a clear boundary from the adjacent normal skin (17). They even mimic organic skin diseases. Several studies concluded that superficial erosions are the most common lesions (27%), followed by purpura (17%), miscellaneous lesions (edema, panniculitis, tattoo-like, bulla,

Authors	Number	Age (years)/ gender	Affected aera	Distribution	Shape	Time of onset	Injury mechanism
Landers et al. (3)	2	9,14/Female	Lower back	Bilateral	U-shaped	During the bathroom	Suction
Yamada et al. (19)	1	10/Female	Flexor aspect of right upper arm, tongue, and lower thigh	Unilateral	Linear	Night	-
Gil-Bistes et al. (20)	1	12/Female	Right upper limb	Unilateral	Linear	During the bathroom	Suction
Ring et al. (21)	2	9/Male; 10/ Female	Extensor aspect of arms; chin and lower lip	Bilateral	Linear; circular	Night	Suction
Hosteing et al. (22)	6	6–14/All females	Upper limbs, face, trunk, and lower limbs	Bilateral	Linear	_	Mechanically induced by self or by other
Alexander et al. (23)	1	10/Male	Arms and chest	Bilateral	Linear	_	—
Sarkar et al. (24)	3	14–18/All females	Upper limbs	Bilateral	Linear	_	Mechanically induced by themselves
Chen et al.	1	13/Male	Upper limbs	Bilateral	Linear	Night or during the bathroom	Mechanically induced by himself

and eschar) (16%), and irritative dermatitis (11%) (4, 16, 17). Rogers et al. concluded that the common sites involved in DA included the head, neck, upper limbs, abdomen, and lower limbs (17). Lesions were usually seen within accessible areas of the patient's hands. In our case, the boy had purpura on both arms and the skin lesions presented a regular shape, like a meteor shower in the night sky, from which point we thought this purpura might be unusual.

Sudden emergence of skin lesions

As the literature reported, the skin lesions of DA often appeared suddenly on previously normal skin, and sometimes occurred overnight (5). The patient in the present case report also had purpura that also suddenly occurred at night or after returning from the bathroom during hospitalization.

Comorbidities

Another feature is that a large proportion of patients with DA have physical diseases. In a series by Mohandas et al., a total of 10 (36%) patients (one child and nine adults) had a concomitant physical disease or a close member of the family with physical disease (5). It is possible that a long-term physical illness is psychologically stressful for the patient. In this case, excessive concern about his parents and appearance (congenital blepharoptosis) could have had a potential impact on him. In addition, the scars on his trunk undoubtedly became a stressful event for the adolescent boy, who was mostly concerned about his self-image.

Underlying psychophysiological disorders

It has been reported that psychosocial stress may influence a patient's propensity to self-harm, and authors have pointed out that self-inflicting behavior, particularly if repetitive, may be a sign of underlying emotional disturbance (25). This selfinflicting behavior could be interpreted as an emotional escape valve. Therefore, it is important for us to inquire about the patient's history in detail to understand the stressful events that he experienced. To establish trust between a physician and a patient, it has been suggested that it may be beneficial to interview the child alone, without the presence of their parents (13). In rare situations, child abuse (including Munchausen syndrome by proxy) should be taken into consideration, especially in children aged under 5 years (15).

How to make a quick diagnosis

The bizarre morphology and geometric outline often make it particularly difficult for a physician or dermatologist to make the diagnosis. Studies by Saez-de-Ocariz et al. and Rogers et al., among others, revealed that the mean time from the onset of the disease to the time of diagnosis was 10 months, and sometimes could even take as long as 4 years (4, 17). In a study by Libow (16), the mean time from presentation to diagnosis was almost 16 months; the patients underwent numerous examinations during that time. Therefore, it is imperative that more pediatricians should be aware of the disease and be able to refer patients to the appropriate facilities for treatment.

Diagnosing DA could be a challenge because a variety of possible dermatoses can be mimicked. Although Rogers et al. argue that many examinations, including skin biopsy, are unnecessary (17), we consider that it is still necessary to exclude organic disease to diagnose this rare condition.

The clinical presentations of DA are varied, and patients rarely disclose the cause of their lesions. We focused on the presenting physical signs rather than the mode of production; factitious purpura must be differentiated from other purpura caused by organic disease, such as coagulation disorders, meningococcemia, IgA-related purpura, leukemia, polyarteritis nodosa, Ehlers-Danlos syndrome, hereditary hemorrhagic psychogenic purpura telangiectasia, (autoerythrocyte sensitization syndrome or Gardner-Diamond syndrome), and rickettsial disease (21). In addition, the recognition of vasculitis mimics is also important. For example, thrombotic and hypercoagulable conditions in patients can mimic medium and small vessel vasculitis, presenting as purpura (26, 27). In this case, the boy had no evidence of infection or history that supported simulated vasculitis. Histologically, there is no specific sign but extravasated erythrocytes and the absence of vasculitis (3).

Diagnosis is made by exclusion rather than directly on the basis of histologic and biochemical findings. It is particularly common in women, adolescents, and those with an underlying psychiatric diagnosis or external stress (28). Based on the clinical disease feature, we offer a rapid diagnostic process for artefactual purpura, providing some clues (such as age above 10 years or adolescent, regular or bizarre shape of the purpura, distribution on upper limbs, and so on) for a quick diagnosis (**Figure 3**).

Possible management

It is important to avoid confronting the patient and clearly explain the problem to the parents. A thorough medical history collection could reveal that the child has a family history or someone with a similar illness. If there is a delay in diagnosis and therapy, or if the doctor declares they have no disease and should be discharged, it may irritate the patient and make the symptoms worse.

Meanwhile, a psychodermatology multidisciplinary team is appropriate in this condition, especially as the patient needs psychological intervention (29). We emphasize the role of a dermatology–psychiatry liaison in our treatment. The dermatological physician is to make the diagnosis and exclude organic disease, while the psychiatric physician is to manage



concomitant psychiatric disease. A multidisciplinary team approach to patients with DA is essential to improve outcomes (5).

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the minor's parents for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

YC drafted the initial manuscript. JL conceptualized the manuscript and reviewed and revised the manuscript. YC and LL collected and processed data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

1. Demir S, Sag E, Dedeoglu F, Ozen S. Vasculitis in systemic autoinflammatory diseases. *Front Pediatr.* (2018) 6:377. doi: 10.3389/fped.2018.00377

2. Bhandari S, Kumar R. Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. N Engl J Med. (2019) 380:e23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMicm1813768

3. Landers MC, Schroeder TL. Bathtub suction-induced purpura. Pediatr Dermatol. (2004) 21:146–9. doi: 10.1111/j.0736-8046.2004.21212.x

4. Saez-de-Ocariz M, Orozco-Covarrubias L, Mora-Magaña I, Duran-McKinster C, Tamayo-Sanchez L, Gutierrez-Castrellon P, et al. Dermatitis artefacta in pediatric patients: experience at the national institute of pediatrics. *Pediatr Dermatol.* (2004) 21:205–11. doi: 10.1111/j.0736-8046. 2004.21303.x

5. Mohandas P, Bewley A, Taylor R. Dermatitis artefacta and artefactual skin disease: the need for a psychodermatology multidisciplinary team to treat a difficult condition. *Br J Dermatol.* (2013) 169:600–6. doi: 10.1111/bjd. 12416

6. Lavery MJ, Stull C, McCaw I, Anolik RB. Dermatitis artefacta. *Clin Dermatol.* (2018) 36:719–22. doi: 10.1016/j.clindermatol.2018.08.003

7. Kanitakis J. Anatomy, histology and immunohistochemistry of Normal human skin. Eur J Dermatol. (2002) 12:390–9; quiz 400–1.

8. de la Sotta F P, Romero G W, González BS. Skin biopsy for the diagnosis of nervous system diseases. *Rev Med Chil.* (2008) 136:1047–55. doi: 10.4067/S0034-98872008000800014

9. George M, Noone ML, Santhosh P, Santhoshkumar R, Sagar B, Mahadevan A. Skin biopsy as an aid to diagnosis of disorders of the nervous system without cutaneous manifestations. *Int J Dermatol.* (2021) 60:1179–82. doi: 10. 1111/ijd.15513

10. Jafferany M, Franca K. Psychodermatology: basics concepts. Acta Derm Venereol. (2016) 96:35-7. doi: 10.2340/00015555-2171

11. Torales J, Malvido K, Santos-Muñoz A, Gonzalez-Urbieta I, Barrios I, Almirón-Santacruz J, et al. Atopic dermatitis in psychodermatology: a concise

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

review for dermatologists. Ital J Dermatol Venerol. (2022) 157:301-5. doi: 10. 23736/S2784-8671.21.07139-5

12. Kansal NK. Dermatitis artefacta: an update. Skinmed. (2019) 17:311-6.

13. Koblenzer CS. The current management of delusional parasitosis and dermatitis artefacta. *Skin Therapy Lett.* (2010) 15:1–3.

14. Van Moffaert M, Vermander F, Kint A. Dermatitis artefacta. *Int J Dermatol.* (1985) 24:236–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-4362.1985.tb05447.x

15. Abeln B, Love R. An overview of munchausen syndrome and munchausen syndrome by proxy. *Nurs Clin North Am.* (2018) 53:375–84. doi: 10.1016/j.cnur. 2018.04.005

16. Libow JA. Child and adolescent illness falsification. *Pediatrics*. (2000) 105:336-42. doi: 10.1542/peds.105.2.336

17. Rogers M, Fairley M, Santhanam R. Artefactual skin disease in children and adolescents. *Australas J Dermatol.* (2001) 42:264–70. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-0960. 2001.00533.x

18. Fabisch W. Psychiatric aspects of dermatitis artefacta. Br J Dermatol. (1980) 102:29–34. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.1980.tb05668.x

19. Yamada K, Sakurai Y, Shibata M, Miyagawa S, Yoshioka A. Factitious purpura in a 10-year-old girl. *Pediatr Dermatol.* (2009) 26:597–600. doi: 10. 1111/j.1525-1470.2009.00992.x

20. Gil-Bistes D, Kluger N, Guillot B, Bessis D. Dermatitis artefacta in a young girl. Arch Pediatr. (2010) 17:1543–5. doi: 10.1016/j.arcped.2010.08.020

21. Ring HC, Miller IM, Benfeldt E, Jemec GB. Artefactual skin lesions in children and adolescents: review of the literature and two cases of factitious purpura. *Int J Dermatol.* (2015) 54:e27–32. doi: 10.1111/ijd.12493

22. Hosteing S, Uthurriague C, Boralevi F, Mazereeuw-Hautier J. A stereotypical clinical presentation of childhood linear purpura of the arms: analysis of six cases. *Arch Pediatr.* (2017) 24:45–51. doi: 10.1016/j.arcped.2016.10.022

23. Alexander T, Cohen B. Painless purple streaks on the arms and chest. *Cutis.* (2019) 104:E8–8E9.

24. Sarkar S, Ghosh SK, Sil A. Stereotypical linear purpura of the upper limbs: a report of three cases of a rare psychocutaneous disorder and review of the literature. *Indian J Psychiatry.* (2020) 62:212–6. doi: 10.4103/psychiatry. IndianJPsychiatry_356_19

25. Yates VM. Factitious purpura. Int J Dermatol. (1992) 31:167-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-4362.1992.tb03924.x

26. Maningding E, Kermani TA. Mimics of vasculitis. *Rheumatology*. (2021) 60:34–47. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keaa495

27. McGonagle D, Bridgewood C, Ramanan AV, Meaney J, Watad A. COVID-19 vasculitis and novel vasculitis mimics. *Lancet Rheumatol.* (2021) 3:e224–e233. doi: 10.1016/S2665-9913(20)30420-3

28. Rodríguez Pichardo A, García Bravo B. Dermatitis artefacta: a review. Actas Dermosifiliogr. (2013) 104:854–66. doi: 10.1016/j.ad.2012.10.004

29. Mohandas P, Ravenscroft JC, Bewley A. Dermatitis artefacta in childhood and adolescence: a spectrum of disease. *G Ital Dermatol Venereol.* (2018) 153:525-34. doi: 10.23736/S0392-0488.18.06019-4.