Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Minesh Khashu, University Hospitals Dorset NHS Foundation Trust, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY MIchael Narvey, University of Manitoba, Canada Ranjith Kamity, New York University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Priya Jegatheesan Izi priya.jegatheesan@hhs.sccgov.org

RECEIVED 14 April 2023 ACCEPTED 23 June 2023 PUBLISHED 07 July 2023

CITATION

Jegatheesan P, Narasimhan SR, Huang A, Nudelman M and Song D (2023) Higher NICU admissions in infants born at \geq 35 weeks gestational age during the COVID-19 pandemic. Front. Pediatr. 11:1206036. doi: 10.3389/fped.2023.1206036

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Jegatheesan, Narasimhan, Huang, Nudelman and Song. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Higher NICU admissions in infants born at \geq 35 weeks gestational age during the COVID-19 pandemic

Priya Jegatheesan^{1,2*}, Sudha Rani Narasimhan^{1,2}, Angela Huang¹, Matthew Nudelman^{1,3} and Dongli Song^{1,2}

¹Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatology, Santa Clara Valley Medical Center, San Jose, CA, United States, ²Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, United States, ³Neonatology/Pediatrics, Mountain Health Network, Marshall University, Huntington, WV, United States

Background: Increasing evidence has shown that the COVID-19 pandemic has had a profound negative impact on vulnerable populations and a significant effect on maternal and neonatal health. We observed an increase in the percentage of infants admitted to NICU from 8% to 10% in the first year of the pandemic. This study aimed to compare the delivery room outcomes, NICU admissions and interventions, and neonatal outcomes two years before and during the pandemic.

Methods: This was a retrospective study in a public hospital between pre-COVID-19 (April 2018–December 2019) and COVID-19 (April 2020–December 2021). Data were obtained from all live births at \geq 35 weeks gestation (GA). Maternal and neonatal demographics, delivery room (DR), and NICU neonatal outcomes were compared between the study periods using simple bivariable generalized estimating equations (GEE) regression. Multivariable GEE logistic regression analysis was performed to adjust for the effects of baseline differences in demographics on the outcomes.

Results: A total of 9,632 infants were born \geq 35 weeks gestation during the study period (pre-COVID-19 n = 4,967, COVID-19 n = 4,665). During the COVID-19 period, there was a small but significant decrease in birth weight (33 g); increases in maternal diabetes (3.3%), hypertension (4.1%), and Hispanic ethnicity (4.7%). There was a decrease in infants who received three minutes (78.1% vs. 70.3%, p < 0.001) of delayed cord clamping and increases in the exclusive breastfeeding rate (65.9% vs. 70.1%, p < 0.001), metabolic acidosis (0.7% vs. 1.2%, p = 0.02), NICU admission (5.1% vs. 6.4%, p = 0.02) use. NICU admissions and nasal CPAP (1.2% vs. 1.8%, p = 0.02) use. NICU admissions and nasal CPAP were not significantly increased after adjusting for GA, maternal diabetes, and hypertension; however, other differences remained significant. Maternal hypertension was an independent risk factor for all these outcomes.

Conclusion: During the COVID-19 pandemic period, we observed a significant increase in maternal morbidities, exclusive breastfeeding, and NICU admissions in infants born at \geq 35 weeks gestation. The increase in NICU admission during the COVID-19 pandemic was explained by maternal hypertension, but other adverse neonatal outcomes were only partly explained by maternal hypertension. Socio-economic factors and other social determinants of health need to be further explored to understand the full impact on neonatal outcomes.

KEYWORDS

COVID-19 pandemic, neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission, maternal hypertension, metabolic acidosis, exclusive breastfeeding

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic due to SARS-CoV-2 infection has had profound negative impacts on vulnerable populations (1). The effect of the pandemic on population health has been more than the direct effect of the SARS-CoV-2 infection itself. The pandemic has indirectly impacted the socio-economic status of the population, limited access to healthcare systems, and worsened social determinants of health (2–4). There is a significant effect on the overall health of pregnant mothers and newborns (5).

Our institution has had one of the lowest NICU admission rates, at around 8%, in the state of California for the last decade. However, we observed an increase in the percentage of infants being admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) in the first year of the pandemic to 10%. The increase in NICU admissions in 2020 led us to evaluate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the outcomes of all the deliveries in our institution. Infants born at <35 weeks GA are admitted to NICU for prematurity according to our admission policy. We have previously shown that in our public safety net hospital, there was no change in our center's very preterm birth rate or very low birth weight in 2020, the first year of the pandemic (6). We focused on the delivery room (DR) and neonatal outcomes of infants born \geq 35 weeks GA to understand the impact of the pandemic on term and late preterm infants.

The objective of this study was to compare the DR outcomes, NICU admissions and interventions, and neonatal outcomes in infants born two years before and during the COVID-19 pandemic and evaluate the effect of any changes in maternal morbidities on these outcomes.

Methods

This was a retrospective study comparing the DR and neonatal outcomes of all live births in a public hospital between the pre-COVID-19 period: 1 April, 2018–31 December 2019, and the COVID-19 pandemic period: 1 April 2020–31 December 2021. The rate of prematurity <37 weeks and <35 weeks gestational age (GA), and DR and NICU outcomes for infants born at \geq 35 weeks GA were evaluated in detail to assess the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on these infants. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board.

Newborn care practices

Infants born at <35 weeks GA or with birth weight <1,800 grams were routinely admitted to NICU for neonatal care. In the DR, the goal was to clamp the umbilical cord after three minutes to optimize neonatal transition and placental transfusion. The Umbilical cord was clamped earlier than three minutes if the infant was not breathing by one minute despite the initial steps of newborn care or if there was maternal hemorrhage. All stable

infants \geq 35 weeks GA were placed on mothers' chest for skin-toskin in the DR. Skin-to-skin care was uninterrupted for the first hour to promote bonding and breastfeeding. Newborns roomedin with the mothers in the postpartum unit at all times. Infants who required respiratory support, intravenous fluids, antibiotics, or those who had severe metabolic acidosis, abnormal neurological examination, or major anomalies were admitted to NICU for further evaluation and treatment.

COVID-19 pandemic changes

Our institution implemented universal SARS-CoV-2 screening of all pregnant women who were admitted for delivery in April 2020 (7). From October 2020, women who tested positive within 90 days prior to admission for delivery and did not have new symptoms of COVID were not retested at the time of delivery. If the mother's infection was within 10–14 days of delivery, the mother and infant roomed in together with airborne isolation precautions, with the mother wearing a surgical mask when holding and breastfeeding the baby during the isolation period. Skin-to-skin and breastfeeding were encouraged. The infants born to mothers who were in the intensive care unit or too sick to care for their infant were separated from the mother and cared for by another healthy family member. There was no change in the NICU admission criteria or other NICU care practices during the pandemic.

The hospital visitation policy was restricted to one support person for the mother during the delivery process and in the postpartum unit. The NICU visitation policy was also restricted to only one designated parent at the beginning of the pandemic and six months later expanded to include both parents but only one parent at the bedside at a time. Parents were not allowed to visit NICU during the SARS-CoV-2 isolation period if they were positive or during the quarantine period if they were exposed. The lactation consultant coordinated the delivery of the mother's own milk when the parents were in isolation or quarantine. The parents were able to see their infant via web camera and communicate with the NICU staff via phone.

Data collection

Data were obtained from automated reports and chart reviews for all births from hospital electronic health records. Data for all NICU admissions were obtained from the NICU database that is maintained for mandated NICU data submissions and quality improvement projects. The maternal demographics included age, gravida, para, maternal diabetes, hypertension, pre-pregnancy BMI, race and ethnicity, SARS-CoV-2 infection, chorioamnionitis; DR outcomes included delivery type, cord blood gas values, duration of delayed cord clamping (DCC), APGAR scores, DR intubation, and chest compressions; neonatal demographics included GA, birth weight, and sex; and neonatal outcomes included any breastfeeding, exclusive breastfeeding, NICU admissions, reasons for NICU admission, and NICU interventions including antibiotics use, respiratory support including mechanical ventilation, continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), non-invasive mechanical ventilation (NIMV), inhaled nitric oxide (iNO), and blood transfusions.

Analysis

Maternal and neonatal demographics, DR, and NICU neonatal outcomes were compared between the study periods using simple bivariable generalized estimating equations (GEE) regression models clustered around unique pregnancies to account for multiples (i.e., twins, triplets, etc.). Gaussian, Poisson, and logistic GEE models were used for continuous, count, and binary outcomes, respectively. Multivariable GEE logistic regression analysis was performed to adjust for the effects of baseline differences in demographics on the outcomes. All GEE models utilized independent within-group correlation structures and robust variance estimates. Data analysis was performed using

TABLE 1 Demographics.

Stata 17.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX) and *p*-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

A total of 9,632 were born at \geq 35 weeks GA (pre-COVID-19 n = 4,967, COVID-19 n = 4,665) and included in the study. There was no difference in the rate of prematurity <35 weeks GA (3.5% vs. 4.0%, p = 0.2) between the two study periods. The demographics and DR outcomes data are shown in **Table 1**. During the COVID-19 period, there was a small but statistically significant decrease in birth weight (33 g) of infants born at \geq 35 weeks gestation. There was no difference in maternal age, gravida, or parity between the study periods, but there were significant increases in maternal diabetes (21.2% vs. 24.5%), maternal hypertension (21.9% vs. 25.9%), and Hispanic ethnicity (68.4% vs. 73.1%) during the pandemic. During the pandemic, 4% of the mothers in this study were SARS-CoV-2 positive during pregnancy.

	2018–2019	2018–2019 2020–2021 Difference (95% Cl)	Difference (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -value
	(Pre-COVID-19)	(COVID-19)		
Liveborn infants ≥35 weeks GA, <i>n</i>	4,967	4,665		
Infant demographics				
Gestational age, weeks, mean (SD)	39.2 (1.3)	39.0 (1.2)	-0.2 (-0.3, -0.2)	< 0.001
Birth weight, grams, mean (SD)	3,355 (489)	3,322 (482)	-33 (-53, -13)	0.001
Male sex, <i>n</i> (%)	2,524 (50.8)	2,382 (51.1)	0.2 (-1.8, 2.3)	0.8
Maternal demographics				
Age, mean (SD)	29.6 (6.2)	29.4 (6.3)	-0.1 (-0.4, 0.1)	0.3
Gravida, median (IQR)	2 (1, 4)	2 (1, 4)	0.0 (-0.1, 0.1)	0.9
Parity, median (IQR)	2 (1, 3)	2 (1, 3)	0.0 (0.0, 0.1)	0.2
Multifetal pregnancies, n (%)	106 (2.1)	104 (2.2)	0.1 (-0.7, 0.9)	0.8
Twins, <i>n</i> (%)	100 (2.0)	104 (2.2)		
Triplets, n (%)	6 (0.1)	0 (0)		
Diabetes, $n (\%)^{a}$	1,049 (21.2)	1,137 (24.5)	3.3 (1.6, 5.0)	< 0.001
Gestational, n (%)	935 (18.9)	1,066 (23)		
Pre-gestational, n (%)	114 (2.3)	71 (1.5)		
Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%) ^a	1,089 (22.0)	1,210 (26.1)	4.1 (2.4, 5.9)	< 0.001
Gestational, n (%)	413 (8.3)	517 (11.1)		
Chronic, n (%)	112 (2.3)	147 (3.2)		
Pre-eclampsia/Eclampsia, n (%)	564 (11.4)	546 (11.8)		
Body mass index, mean (SD) ^b	27.9 (6.7)	28.2 (6.6)	0.2 (0.0, 0.5)	0.09
Race and ethnicity				<0.001
Hispanic, n (%)	3,399 (68.4)	3,412 (73.1)		
Asian, <i>n</i> (%)	736 (14.8)	546 (11.7)		
White, <i>n</i> (%)	418 (8.4)	328 (6.9)		
Black, <i>n</i> (%)	248 (5.0)	199 (4.3)		
Multiracial, n (%)	92 (1.9)	91 (2.0)		
Pacific Islander, n (%)	37 (0.7)	31 (0.7)		
Unknown, n (%)	27 (0.5)	56 (1.2)		
Native American, n (%)	8 (0.2)	9 (0.2)		
Other, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (0.0)	1 (0.0)		
Chorioamnionitis, n (%)	405 (8.2)	392 (8.5)	0.3 (-0.8, 1.4)	0.6
Cesarean section, n (%)	1,332 (26.8)	1,249 (26.8)	0.0 (-1.9, 1.8)	1.0
SARS-CoV-2 infection, n (%)	0 (0)	186 (4)	n/a	n/a

^aA total of 10 patients from Pre-COVID-19 and 26 patients from COVID-19 period were excluded from difference analysis due to missing data.

^bA total of 187 patients from Pre-COVID-19 and 272 patients from COVID-19 period were excluded from difference analysis due to missing data.

Delivery room outcomes

There was a decrease in infants who received at least one minute DCC (96.3% vs. 95.1%), and completed three minutes DCC (78.1% vs. 70.3%), but an increase in the severe metabolic acidosis (base deficit \geq 16) (0.7% vs. 1.2%) in umbilical cord gas during the pandemic as shown in Table 2. The increase in severe metabolic acidosis remained significant even after adjusting for baseline differences in GA, maternal diabetes, and hypertension. There was no change in the APGAR scores, DR intubation, or cardiac medications between the study periods.

encephalopathy (HIE) (1% vs. 1.5%) and evaluation for sepsis (0.4% vs.0.9%) during the pandemic. There was an increase in the use of antibiotics (0.7% vs. 1.7%) and nasal CPAP (1.2% vs. 1.8%) during the COVID-19 pandemic period. The increases in overall NICU admissions and nasal CPAP were not significant after adjusting for baseline differences in GA, maternal diabetes, and maternal hypertension, however NICU admission for evaluation of HIE, evaluate for sepsis, and antibiotic use remained significant even after adjusting for the baseline differences (**Table 3**). Maternal hypertension was independently associated with an increase in the risk of NICU admission, NICU antibiotic, CPAP, and a decrease in the exclusive breastfeeding rate.

Neonatal outcomes

There was a significant increase in the exclusive breastfeeding rate (65.9% vs. 70.1%) and NICU admissions (5.1% vs. 6.4%) during the COVID-19 pandemic period. The frequency of NICU admissions, admission diagnosis, and NICU interventions are shown in **Table 2**. There was a significant increase in infants admitted to NICU for evaluation of hypoxic ischemic

Discussion

In this single-center study, during the COVID-19 pandemic period, we observed an increase in maternal morbidities like hypertension and diabetes, severe metabolic acidosis in cord blood, exclusive breastfeeding, NICU admissions, and use of

TABLE 2 Delivery room and neonatal outcomes.

	2018–2019	2020-2021	Difference (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -value
	(Pre-COVID-19)	(COVID-19)		
Livebirths \geq 35 weeks GA, <i>n</i>	4,967	4,665		
Delivery room outcomes				
DCC duration seconds, median (IQR)	180 (180, 180)	180 (150, 180)	-8.0 (-9.8, -6.1)	<0.001
DCC ≥ 60 s, $n \ (\%)^a$	4,619 (96.3)	4,333 (95.1)	-1.2 (-2.1, -0.4)	0.003
DCC $\geq 180 \text{ s, } n (\%)^{a}$	3,746 (78.1)	3,204 (70.3)	-7.8 (-9.6, -6.0)	<0.001
Apgar @ 1 min <4, n (%)	72 (1.5)	90 (1.9)	0.5 (0.0, 1.0)	0.07
Apgar @ 5 min <8, n (%)	119 (2.4)	125 (2.7)	0.3 (-0.3, 0.9)	0.4
DR intubation, n (%)	4 (0.1)	4 (0.1)	0.0 (-0.1, 0.1)	0.9
Chest compression, n (%)	7 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	n/a	n/a
Delivery room epinephrine, n (%)	1 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	n/a	n/a
Cord gas pH < 7, <i>n</i> (%)	38 (0.8)	51 (1.0)	0.3 (-0.1, 0.7)	0.1
Cord gas base deficit ≥ 16 , n (%)	36 (0.7)	56 (1.2)	0.5 (0.1, 0.9)	0.02
Neonatal outcomes				
Any breastfeeding, <i>n</i> (%)	4,844 (97.5)	4,543 (97.4)	-0.1 (-0.8, 0.5)	0.7
Exclusive breastfeeding, n (%)	3,271 (65.9)	3,271 (70.1)	4.3 (2.4, 6.2)	<0.001
NICU admissions, n (%)	255 (5.1)	299 (6.4)	1.3 (0.3, 2.2)	0.009
NICU admission diagnosis				
Evaluation for HIE, n (%)	48 (1.0)	71 (1.5)	0.6 (0.1, 1.0)	0.02
Therapeutic hypothermia, <i>n</i> (%)	9 (0.2)	18 (0.4)	0.2 (0.0, 0.4)	0.06
Hypoglycemia, n (%)	16 (0.3)	18 (0.4)	0.1 (-0.2, 0.3)	0.6
Respiratory distress, n (%)	118 (2.4)	127 (2.7)	0.3 (-0.3, 1.0)	0.3
Evaluation for sepsis, n (%)	21 (0.4)	41 (0.9)	0.5 (0.1, 0.8)	0.006
Early onset sepsis, n (%)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	n/a	n/a
NICU interventions				
Antibiotics, n (%)	36 (0.7)	80 (1.7)	1.0 (0.5, 1.4)	<0.001
Respiratory support, n (%)	107 (2.1)	121 (2.6)	0.4 (-0.2, 1.1)	0.2
Nasal CPAP, n (%)	59 (1.2)	82 (1.8)	0.6 (0.1, 1.1)	0.02
Nasal IMV, n (%)	6 (0.1)	8 (0.2)	0.1 (-0.1, 0.2)	0.5
Intubation, n (%)	13 (0.3)	15 (0.3)	0.1 (-0.2, 0.3)	0.6
Inhaled nitric oxide, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (0.1)	9 (0.2)	0.1 (0.0, 0.3)	0.07
Transfusion, n (%)	10 (0.2)	14 (0.3)	0.1 (-0.1, 0.3)	0.3

^aA total of 171 patients from Pre-COVID-19 and 107 patients from COVID-19 period were excluded from difference analysis due to missing data.

Outcome	Predictors	Odds ratio	95% Cl	<i>p</i> -value			
Exclusive br	Exclusive breastfeeding						
	Study period	1.33	1.22-1.46	< 0.001			
	Gestational age, days	1.28	1.24-1.33	< 0.001			
	Maternal diabetes	0.75	0.68-0.83	< 0.001			
	Maternal hypertension	0.62	0.56-0.69	< 0.001			
NICU admission							
	Study period	1.16	0.97-1.39	0.09			
	Gestational age, days	0.68	0.63-0.73	< 0.001			
	Maternal diabetes	1.10	0.90-1.34	0.4			
	Maternal hypertension	1.80	1.49-2.18	< 0.001			
Evaluation for HIE							
	Study period	1.51	1.05-2.17	0.03			
	Gestational age, days	0.95	0.82-1.10	0.5			
	Maternal diabetes	1.13	0.75-1.68	0.6			
	Maternal hypertension	2.28	1.57-3.32	< 0.001			
Cord gas base deficit \geq 16							
	Study period	1.58	1.04-2.40	0.03			
	Gestational age, days	1.00	0.84-1.19	1.0			
	Maternal diabetes	1.02	0.62-1.66	0.9			
	Maternal hypertension	3.14	2.04-4.83	< 0.001			
Evaluation f	or sepsis						
	Study period	2.07	1.21-3.52	0.008			
	Gestational age, days	1.05	0.80-1.38	0.7			
	Maternal diabetes	0.77	0.41-1.43	0.4			
	Maternal hypertension	2.01	1.17-3.47	0.01			
NICU antibiotic use							
	Study period	2.25	1.52-3.35	< 0.001			
	Gestational age, days	0.72	0.61-0.85	< 0.001			
	Maternal diabetes	0.74	0.47-1.16	0.2			
	Maternal hypertension	1.73	1.16-2.57	0.007			
NICU CPAP							
	Study period	1.36	0.96-1.91	0.08			
	Gestational age, days	0.62	0.53-0.72	< 0.001			
	Maternal diabetes	1.15	0.79-1.67	0.5			
	Maternal hypertension	1.59	1.09-2.33	0.02			

TABLE 3 Multivariate regressions analysis.

antibiotics, and respiratory support in infants born at \geq 35 weeks GA and a decrease in three minutes DCC.

Maternal morbidities

In our study, we observed a significant increase in maternal hypertension and diabetes during the pandemic. The baseline rate of maternal hypertension in the United States in 2019 was 16%, up from 13% in 2017 (8). In our study, the baseline maternal hypertension was already at 22%, much higher than that reported nationally. Many systematic reviews and meta-analyses have shown an increased risk of pre-eclampsia in pregnant mothers who had SARS-CoV-2 infection (9–11), with the risk being higher in those with symptomatic infection compared to asymptomatic and with severe infections (12, 13). The rate of SARS-CoV-2 infection in our study was relatively low, and a majority of those were identified due to asymptomatic screening. Despite the low SARS-CoV-2 infection rate, we had a

significant increase in the rate of hypertension and diabetes in our study population.

The increase in maternal hypertension and diabetes in our study may be attributable to multiple factors such as decreased physical activity due to the shelter in place and remote work, significant impact on the socio-economic factors leading to stress, and increasing disparities for the marginalized population, including minorities. There was a significant decrease in access to care for all patients, including prenatal care worldwide. Even though telehealth was widely implemented, reports have shown decreases in overall care. The increase in maternal morbidities has been reported both nationally and internationally. There has been an increase in maternal hypertension and diabetes reported in North American epicenters of the pandemic (14-16). A Chinese study showed that pregnant women who experienced lockdown had an increase in HbA1C in those with gestational diabetes and an increase in pregnancy-induced hypertension in normoglycemic women (17). Italian studies also have shown an increase in gestational diabetes during the pandemic (18, 19). An Israeli study showed an increase in both hypertension and gestational diabetes during the pandemic (20). Another study from France showed that the glycemic control in GDM was poor during the pandemic (21). Other studies and a meta-analysis have shown a similar increase in gestational diabetes during the pandemic (22), especially in the Hispanic population (23). However, studies from France and Australia have not shown an increase in maternal diabetes or hypertension during or after the pandemic lockdown (24, 25).

Delivery room outcomes

The increase in maternal morbidities has a negative effect on newborns. Maternal hypertension and diabetes both affect fetal well-being during labor. In our study, there was an increase in metabolic acidosis in the cord blood during the pandemic, and maternal hypertension was associated with three times the odds of severe metabolic acidosis. Other studies that have reported on cord gas values during the pandemic have not shown any difference (24, 26). One major difference between our study and the multicenter study from France (24) is the prevalence of maternal hypertension: their baseline hypertension was 5.4% compared to 22% in our study. Moreover, in contrast to a 4% increase in maternal hypertension in our study, there was no increase in their study.

In our center, the goal is to wait three minutes before clamping the cord if the infant is breathing by one minute of life and there is no concern for maternal bleeding. The decrease in the proportion of infants receiving three minutes of DCC is indirect evidence of suboptimal fetal/neonatal transition or a decrease in the wellbeing of the mother at the time of birth.

NICU admissions

NICU admissions increased in the ≥35weeks GA population in our study during the pandemic. A systematic review of 38 studies

evaluating the impact of the mitigation efforts against COVID-19 showed that there was a decrease in NICU admissions (27). Other studies have shown no change in NICU admissions (24, 28) and specifically a decrease in NICU admissions (29-31) for term infants during the COVID-19 pandemic. Differences in patient demographics may explain the differences between our study and others: we have a higher maternal hypertension rate (22%-26%), number of Hispanics (68%-73%), and majority of our patients are on public insurance. The negative effects of the pandemic were higher in the disadvantaged population, which adds to the worsening of the maternal and neonatal health outcomes in this population. An observational cohort study from UK neonatal research network showed a decrease in overall NICU admissions during the pandemic compared to the same time during the previous seven years. However, they did show an increase in transfer to higher level NICU in term infants during the pandemic (30).

Exclusive breastfeeding

Encouragingly, we observed an increase in the exclusive breastfeeding rate during the study period. Similarly, observational studies from the UK research network and Spain showed an increase in the breastfeeding rate at discharge in term infants during the pandemic (30, 32). Many other studies have shown a decrease or plateauing in breastfeeding rate during the pandemic (28, 33-38). One study showed that there was no difference in breast milk feeding in those on public insurance compared to an increase in breastfeeding rates in those with private insurance (39). Despite the majority of our patient population being on public insurance, we showed an increase in the breastfeeding rate. The pandemic has had direct and indirect consequences on breastfeeding. During the lockdown, many faceto-face professional and peer supports were reduced. A survey from 2021 showed 42% of mothers felt breastfeeding was protected due to the lockdown, however, 27% of mothers struggled to get support and numerous barriers stemming from the lockdown, resulting in earlier cessation of breastfeeding (40). A published narrative review in 2021 included 12 studies looking at breastfeeding plans in women during the pandemic (41). Mothers reported positive breastfeeding experiences when they perceived more time for motherhood and negative breastfeeding experiences when mothers were separated from their newborns and had decreased family and professional support. In a recent study, Gribble et al. evaluated the guidelines around breastfeeding in mothers with SARS-CoV-2 infection from 101 countries (42). Despite WHO's strong recommendation early in the pandemic supporting skin-to-skin, breastfeeding, and rooming in with mothers with COVID-19, less than a quarter of the guidelines recommended them. In our institution, mothers who were positive for SARS-CoV-2 were counseled extensively on the benefits of breastfeeding and proper respiratory hygiene while breastfeeding and were given the option of having their infant room-in with them. Every one of them chose to room-in with their infant. The continued hands-on lactation support for all mothers during their hospital stay allowed for successful breastfeeding in all newborns, including those who were born to mothers positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection at the time of birth. Some of the visitation restrictions in the post-partum unit may have allowed the staff to spend more time with mothers supporting breastfeeding and uninterrupted time for the mother to breastfeed the newborn.

One limitation of our study is that it is from a single center. However, our findings should be generalizable to other public safety net hospitals that serve similar patient populations.

Conclusion

During the COVID-19 pandemic period, we observed a significant increase in maternal morbidities and NICU admissions in infants born at \geq 35 weeks gestation. The increase in NICU admissions during the COVID-19 pandemic was explained by maternal hypertension, but other adverse neonatal outcomes were only partly explained by an increase in maternal hypertension. Other socio-economic factors and social determinants of health need to be further explored to understand the full impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on neonatal outcomes. We also observed an increase in the exclusive breastfeeding rate during the pandemic, which is encouraging and likely attributable to uninterrupted lactation services in the postpartum unit to support breastfeeding.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Institutional review board of Santa Clara Valley Medical Center. Written informed consent from the participants' legal guardian/next of kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

PJ and DS: conceptualized and designed the study. SN and AH: facilitated data collection. PJ and MN: performed data analysis. PJ, DS, and SN interpreted the results. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The open access publication fee was funded by Valley Health foundation.

Acknowledgments

Gratitude is expressed to our patients and families and to the dedicated staff at Santa Clara Valley Medical Center neonatal intensive care unit, Family and baby unit, and labor and delivery, Santa Clara County First Five and Valley Medical Center Foundation. We are grateful for the bibliography support provided by Claudia Flores.

References

1. Lewis RK, Martin PP, Guzman BL. COVID-19 and vulnerable populations. J Community Psychol. (2022) 50(6):2537-41. doi: 10.1002/jcop.22880

2. Green H, Fernandez R, MacPhail C. The social determinants of health and health outcomes among adults during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. *Public Health Nurs*. (2021) 38(6):942–52. doi: 10.1111/phn.12959

3. Boserup B, McKenney M, Elkbuli A. Disproportionate impact of COVID-19 pandemic on racial and ethnic minorities. *Am Surg.* (2020) 86(12):1615–22. doi: 10. 1177/0003134820973356

4. Abrams EM, Szefler SJ. COVID-19 and the impact of social determinants of health. Lancet Respir Med. (2020) 8(7):659-61. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30234-4

5. Kotlar B, Gerson E, Petrillo S, Langer A, Tiemeier H. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternal and perinatal health: a scoping review. *Reprod Health*. (2021) 18(1):10. doi: 10.1186/s12978-021-01070-6

6. Rodriguez K, Nudelman MJ, Jegatheesan P, Huang A, Devarajan K, Haas JE, et al. Are preterm birth and very low birth weight rates altered in the early COVID (2020) SARS-CoV-2 era? *Front Pediatr.* (2022) 10:1093371. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.1093371

 Yang S, Bhatnagar R, Byrne J, Jelks A. Universal testing for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 upon admission to three labor and delivery units in Santa Clara county, CA. J Clin Gynaecol Obstet. (2020) 3:109–13. doi: 10. 29328/journal.cjog.1001060

8. Ford ND, Cox S, Ko JY, Ouyang L, Romero L, Colarusso T, et al. Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy and mortality at delivery hospitalization - United States, 201–2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* (2022) 71(17):585–91. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm7117a1

9. Marchand G, Patil AS, Masoud AT, Ware K, King A, Ruther S, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of COVID-19 maternal and neonatal clinical features and pregnancy outcomes up to June 3, 2021. *AJOG Glob Rep.* (2022) 2(1):100049. doi: 10.1016/j.xagr.2021.100049

10. Yaghoobpoor S, Fathi M, Vakili K, Tutunchian Z, Dehghani M, Bahrami A, et al. Cardiovascular complications of COVID-19 among pregnant women and their fetuses: a systematic review. *J Clin Med.* (2022) 11(20):6194. doi: 10.3390/jcm11206194

11. Pérez-López FR, Savirón-Cornudella R, Chedraui P, López-Baena MT, Pérez-Roncero G, Sanz-Arenal A, et al. Obstetric and perinatal outcomes of pregnancies with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* (2022) 35(25):9742–58. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2022.2051008

12. Conde-Agudelo A, Romero R. SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy and risk of preeclampsia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2022) 226(1):68–89.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2021.07.009

13. Wei SQ, Bilodeau-Bertrand M, Liu S, Auger N. The impact of COVID-19 on pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *CMAJ*. (2021) 193(16): E540–8. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.202604

14. Rao MG, Toner LE, Stone J, Iwelumo CA, Goldberger C, Roser BJ, et al. Pregnancy during a pandemic: a cohort study comparing adverse outcomes during and before the COVID-19 pandemic. *Am J Perinatol.* (2023) 40(4):445–52. doi: 10. 1055/a-1877-5973

15. Auger N, Wei SQ, Dayan N, Ukah UV, Quach C, Lewin A, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on rates of gestational diabetes in a North American pandemic epicenter. *Acta Diabetol.* (2022) 60:1-8. doi: 10.1007/s00592-022-02000-z

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

16. DeBolt CA, Roig J, Spiera E, Goldberger C, Kaplowitz E, Toner L, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on postpartum readmission rates at a single tertiary care center in New York city. *Am J Perinatol.* (2022) 39(11):1145–50. doi: 10.1055/a-1774-5969

17. Zheng W, Wang J, Zhang K, Liu C, Zhang L, Liang X, et al. Maternal and infant outcomes in women with and without gestational diabetes mellitus in the COVID-19 era in China: lessons learned. *Front Endocrinol.* (2022) 13:982493. doi: 10.3389/fendo. 2022.982493

18. Zanardo V, Tortora D, Sandri A, Severino L, Mesirca P, Straface G. COVID-19 pandemic: impact on gestational diabetes mellitus prevalence. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2022) 183:109149. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109149

19. Ornaghi S, Fumagalli S, Guinea Montalvo CK, Beretta G, Invernizzi F, Nespoli A, et al. Indirect impact of SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on pregnancy and childbirth outcomes: a nine-month long experience from a university center in Lombardy. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. (2022) 156(3):466–74. doi: 10.1002/ijgo.13990

20. Justman N, Shahak G, Gutzeit O, Ben Zvi D, Ginsberg Y, Solt I, et al. Lockdown with a price: the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on prenatal care and perinatal outcomes in a tertiary care center. *Isr Med Assoc J.* (2020) 22(9):533–7. PMID: 33236549

21. Ghesquière L, Garabedian C, Drumez E, Lemaître M, Cazaubiel M, Bengler C, et al. Effects of COVID-19 pandemic lockdown on gestational diabetes mellitus: a retrospective study. *Diabetes Metab.* (2021) 47(2):101201. doi: 10.1016/j.diabet.2020. 09.008

22. Mirsky EL, Mastronardi AM, Paudel A, Young ML, Zite NB, Maples JM. The COVID-19 pandemic and prevalence of gestational diabetes: does gestational weight gain matter? *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM*. (2023) 5(5):100899. doi: 10.1016/j.ajogmf. 2023.100899

23. Collins-Smith A, Prasannan L, Shan W, Dori E, Katzow M, Blitz MJ. Effect of lockdown period of COVID-19 pandemic on maternal weight gain, gestational diabetes, and newborn birth weight. *Am J Perinatol.* (2022). doi: 10.1055/a-1925-1347. [Epub ahead of print].

24. Quibel T, Winer N, Bussières L, Vayssière C, Deruelle P, Defrance M, et al. Impact of COVID-19-related lockdown on delivery and perinatal outcomes: a retrospective cohort study. *J Clin Med.* (2022) 11(3):756. doi: 10.3390/jcm11030756

25. Palmer KR, Tanner M, Davies-Tuck M, Rindt A, Papacostas K, Giles ML, et al. Widespread implementation of a low-cost telehealth service in the delivery of antenatal care during the COVID-19 pandemic: an interrupted time-series analysis. *Lancet.* (2021) 398(10294):41–52. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00668-1

26. Greene NH, Kilpatrick SJ, Wong MS, Ozimek JA, Naqvi M. Impact of labor and delivery unit policy modifications on maternal and neonatal outcomes during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM*. (2020) 2(4):100234. doi: 10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100234

27. Hawco S, Rolnik DL, Woolner A, Cameron NJ, Wyness V, Mol BW, et al. The impact of mitigation measures on perinatal outcomes during the first nine months of the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* (2022) 274:117–27. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2022.05.007

28. Melov SJ, Elhindi J, McGee TM, Lee VW, Cheung NW, Chua SC, et al. Investigating service delivery and perinatal outcomes during the low prevalence first year of COVID-19 in a multiethnic Australian population: a cohort study. *BMJ Open*. (2022) 12(7):e062409. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2022-062409 29. Kocherlakota P, Lin L, Gill H, Joseph J, Trydal L. Effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on well-baby nursery. Am J Perinatol. (2022) 39(6):683–90. doi: 10.1055/a-1673-0708

30. Greenbury SF, Longford N, Ougham K, Angelini ED, Battersby C, Uthaya S, et al. Changes in neonatal admissions, care processes and outcomes in England and Wales during the COVID-19 pandemic: a whole population cohort study. *BMJ Open.* (2021) 11(10):e054410. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-054410

31. Riley T, Nethery E, Chung EK, Souter V. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on perinatal care and outcomes in the United States: an interrupted time series analysis. *Birth.* (2022) 49(2):298–309. doi: 10.1111/birt.12606

32. Nicolás-López M, González-Álvarez P, Sala de la Concepción A, Giralt-López M, Lorente B, Velasco I, et al. Maternal mental health and breastfeeding amidst the COVID-19 pandemic: cross-sectional study in Catalonia (Spain). *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. (2022) 22(1):733. doi: 10.1186/s12884-022-05036-9

33. Latorre G, Martinelli D, Guida P, Masi E, De Benedictis R, Maggio L. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic lockdown on exclusive breastfeeding in non-infected mothers. *Int Breastfeed J.* (2021) 16(1):36. doi: 10.1186/s13006-021-00382-4

34. Koleilat M, Whaley SE, Clapp C. The impact of COVID-19 on breastfeeding rates in a low-income population. *Breastfeed Med.* (2022) 17(1):33–7. doi: 10.1089/bfm.2021.0238

35. Fucile S, Heath J, Dow K. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on breastfeeding establishment in preterm infants: an exploratory study. *Neonatal Network: NN.* (2023) 42(1):7–12. doi: 10.1891/NN-2022-0015

36. Berger J, Burnham L, Nickel N, Knapp R, Gambari A, Beliveau P, et al. Policies and practices in a cohort of Mississippi birthing hospitals during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Breastfeed Med.* (2023) 18(2):138–48. doi: 10.1089/bfm.2022.0170

37. Cinquetti M, Marchiotto C, Fingerle M, Salani M, Adami A, Dainese D, et al. Breastfeeding rates fell in an Italian baby friendly hospital during the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic year and difficulties increased. *Acta Paediatr.* (2023) 112:770–5. doi: 10.1111/apa.16674

38. Chertok IA, Artzi-Medvedik R, Arendt M, Sacks E, Otelea MR, Rodrigues C, et al. Factors associated with exclusive breastfeeding at discharge during the COVID-19 pandemic in 17 WHO European region countries. *Int Breastfeed J.* (2022) 17(1):83. doi: 10.1186/s13006-022-00517-1

39. Boudreau LE, Vohr BR, Tucker R, McGowan EC. Provision of mother's own milk for preterm infants during the COVID-19 pandemic: differential effect of insurance. *Front Pediatr.* (2022) 10:1064931. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.1064931

40. Brown A, Shenker N. Experiences of breastfeeding during COVID-19: lessons for future practical and emotional support. *Matern Child Nutr.* (2021) 17(1):e13088. doi: 10.1111/mcn.13088

41. Pacheco F, Sobral M, Guiomar R, de la Torre-Luque A, Caparros-Gonzalez RA, Ganho-Ávila A. Breastfeeding during COVID-19: a narrative review of the psychological impact on mothers. *Behav Sci.* (2021) 11(3):34. doi: 10.3390/bs11030034

42. Gribble K, Cashin J, Marinelli K, Vu DH, Mathisen R. Overlooked: analysis of COVID-19 clinical guidance for maternal and newborn care from 101 countries shows breastfeeding widely undermined. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:1049610. doi: 10.3389/fnut. 2022.1049610