Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Andrew S Day, University of Otago, New Zealand

REVIEWED BY Gangadhara Sundar, National University Hospital, Singapore Samson Nivins, Karolinska Institutet (KI), Sweden

*correspondence Chenguang Wang ⊠ wcg@jlu.edu.cn

 $^{\dagger}\mbox{These}$ authors have contributed equally to this work

[‡]PRESENT ADDRESSES

Rui Li,

Shaanxi Eye Hospital, Xi'an People's Hospital (Xi'an Fourth Hospital), Affiliated Guangren Hospital, School of Medicine, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

Ying Zhang,

Shaanxi Eye Hospital, Xi'an People's Hospital (Xi'an Fourth Hospital), Affiliated Guangren Hospital, School of Medicine, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China

RECEIVED 02 June 2024 ACCEPTED 04 November 2024 PUBLISHED 28 November 2024

CITATION

Li R, Qi S, Zhang Y and Wang C (2024) Characteristics of open globe injury in children under six. Front. Pediatr. 12:1442531.

doi: 10.3389/fped.2024.1442531

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Qi, Zhang and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Characteristics of open globe injury in children under six

Rui Li^{12††}, Shounan Qi^{1†}, Ying Zhang^{2†} and Chenguang Wang^{1*}

¹Department of Ophthalmology, The Second Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China, ²ShanXi Ophthalmic Hospital, Xian, Shanxi, China

Introduction: This study aimed to analyse the clinical characteristics of open globe injury (OGI) in children under six.

Methods: A retrospective analysis was conducted on the medical data of children with OGI admitted to the Eye Center of the Second Hospital of Jilin University, China, between 1 January 2012 and 31 December 2020.

Results: The study included 106 children, with 61 males (57.5%) and 45 females (42.5%), and the mean age was 4.14 ± 1.58 years. Injuries predominantly affected the right eye (53.8%). Sharp objects were the leading cause of injuries (56.6%). Most wounds (91.5%) occurred in zone I, and penetrating trauma was the most common injury type (64.2%). The concomitant OGI findings included traumatic cataracts (47.2%), iris prolapse (53.8%), endophthalmitis (22.4%), vitreous prolapse (17.0%), retinal detachment (5.7%), eyelid laceration (7.5%), and orbital fractures (1.9%). Univariate analysis showed that wooden materials (p = 0.045), needles (p = 0.045), postinjury admission time (p < 0.001), injury zone (p = 0.025), and iris prolapse (p = 0.022) were significantly associated with endophthalmitis. Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that delayed admission for ≥ 24 h was an independent risk factor for endophthalmitis (p = 0.007). Preoperative and postoperative visual acuities were significantly correlated (p < 0.001).

Conclusions: OGIs are more common in males under six years old, with sharp objects being the primary cause. Prompt surgical intervention can lower the risk of endophthalmitis. Increased awareness of the severity of OGI and understanding the risk factors for endophthalmitis will aid in developing effective strategies to minimise ocular complications.

KEYWORDS

children, open globe injury, characteristics, endophthalmitis, amblyopia

1 Introduction

Ocular trauma is the leading cause of monocular visual disabilities and amblyopia in children (1). The global incidence of ocular trauma in children is 9-15 cases per 100,000, with open globe injury (OGI) accounting for 25% of these cases (2). Despite significant advancements in microsurgical techniques and a better understanding of OGI, postoperative outcomes in children often remain unsatisfactory (3). Aphakia, which results in loss of accommodation, and irregular astigmatism due to corneal scarring hinder postoperative visual rehabilitation and increases the risk of amblyopia in paediatric patients (4, 5). Previous research has identified that the age at injury (< six years) and the development of amblyopia are significant risk factors for poor outcomes in children with OGI (6, 7).

Although a previous study indicated a decrease in the annual incidence of paediatric eye injuries (8), preschool children are still more susceptible to OGI compared to school-aged children owing to their less coordinated motor skills and lack of

self-protection awareness (3, 9, 10). Additionally, insufficient and hospital a injury, perforal

of visual impairment and complications. From the perspective of public health and eye injury prevention, identifying the injury mechanism, types, management, and prognosis of OGI is crucial for effective health education and the development of preventive measures.

The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child defines children as individuals aged 0–18 years (11). However, most previous studies on OGI have focused on older children, leaving a gap in research specifically addressing children under six years old (12–14). Gunes found that in Turkey, OGI in children under six years of age predominantly occurs at home, with kitchen items being the most common cause of injury (15). Read et al. (7) identified glass as the leading cause of injury and highlighted unique risk factors for poor outcomes in children under six with OGI. Endophthalmitis is a severe and potentially devastating complication; however, studies on posttraumatic endophthalmitis in children are limited (5, 16). This study aimed to analyse the clinical characteristics of OGI in children under six years and identify risk factors for endophthalmitis following OGI, providing updated evidence in this field.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Statement of ethics

This population-based retrospective study involved children with OGI confirmed in the operating room of the Eye Center of the Second Hospital of Jilin University, China, between 1 January 2012 and 31 December 2020. The Ethics Committee of the Second Hospital of Jilin University approved the study, which adhered to the ethical standards of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent amendments. The requirement for written informed consent was waived due to the study's retrospective nature.

2.2 Patient selection

All children under 6 years admitted to the hospital, including ED admissions, were included. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age over six years; (2) closed globe injuries, children with complicating factors such as thermal and chemical injuries; and (3) incomplete medical records (seven patients with missing clinical data who opted for secondary surgery at other hospitals after initial surgical repair).

2.3 Data collection

Data recorded for eligible OGI children included age, sex, injury mechanism (knives, glass, wooden materials, blunts, needles, corn stalks), the interval between the injury occurrence and hospital admission, clinical signs, OGI type [penetrating injury, perforating injury, intraocular foreign body (IOFB), and globe rupture], and wound location (zone I: full-thickness injury limited to the cornea; zone II: full-thickness injury within 5 mm posterior to the corneoscleral limbus; zone III: full-thickness injury posterior to zone II). Trauma types and injury zones were defined according to the Birmingham Eye Trauma Terminology and Eye Trauma Classification Group Guidelines (17). All admitted patients underwent detailed ophthalmological examinations performed by an operator with at least 10 years of clinical experience. Patients were asked about any history of refractive error, and posttraumatic presenting visual acuity (VA) and the best-corrected VA at the final follow-up were recorded whenever possible using the Snellen chart, considering the challenges of obtaining cooperation from young children. The follow-up time was 6-17 months (average, 9.01 ± 0.31 months).

2.4 Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS version 26.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA; https://www.ibm.com). Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages, whereas continuous variables were expressed as means (standard deviation). Univariate analyses were conducted for age, sex, zone, post-injury admission time, IOFB, injury material, traumatic cataract, and iris prolapse to assess risk factors for endophthalmitis. A rank-sum test was used to explore the differences between continuous variables, such as age. The Chi-square or Fisher's exact test was used for categorical data (sex, zone, post-injury admission time, IOFB, injury material, traumatic cataracts, and iris prolapse). Variables associated with endophthalmitis were included in a multiple logistic regression model to identify independent predictors of endophthalmitis. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Clinical features

This study included 106 patients. Table 1 shows the clinical features of all the patients. The mean age was 4.14 ± 1.58 years. The prevalence of OGI was higher in males (61 cases, 57.5%) than in females (45 cases, 42.5%). Most traumas were caused by sharp objects (56.6%). The age and sex distributions of the OGI are shown in Figure 1.

Among the patients, 61 (59.2%) were admitted within 24 h of injury, 42 (40.8%) were admitted after 24 h, and the time of admission was not available for three patients. Penetrating injury was the most common type of OGI (68 patients, 64.2%). Zone I injuries occurred in 68.9% of the patients, whereas 4.7% and 0.9% had injuries in zones II and III, respectively. A total of 27 (25.5%) children had injuries in multiple zones, with 18 (17.0%)

Li et al.

Characteristics	Group	Number	(%)
Age (years)	0-1	8	7.5%
	1-2	11	10.4%
	2-3	16	15.1%
	3-4	22	20.8%
	4-5	21	19.8%
	5-6	28	26.4%
Gender	Male	61	57.5%
	Female	45	42.5%
Affected eye	Left	49	46.2%
	Right	57	53.8%
Injury type	Penetration	68	64.2%
	Rupture	15	14.2%
	IOFB	13	12.3%
	Perforation	10	9.4%
Post-injury admission time (h)*	<24	61	59.2%
	≥24	42	40.8%
Zone	Zone I	73	68.9%
	Zone II	5	4.7%
	Zone III	1	0.9%
	Multiple zones	27	25.5%
Injury material	Knives	22	20.8%
	Glass	18	17.0%
	Blunts	11	10.4%
	Needles	10	9.4%
	Wooden materials	10	9.4%
	Toys	10	9.4%
	Others	9	8.5%
	Stationery	8	7.5%
	Corn stalks	4	3.8%
	Firecrackers	4	3.8%
Concomitant diagnosis	Iris prolapse	57	53.8%
	Traumatic cataracts	50	47.2%
	Endophthalmitis	24	22.4%
	Vitreous prolapse	18	17.0%
	Eyelid laceration	8	7.5%
	Retinal detachment	6	5.7%
	Orbital fractures	2	1.9%

Zone I, cornea and limbus; Zone II, 5 mm posterior to the limbal; Zone III, posterior sclera *Excluding 3 cases with unclear time of injury.

in zones I and II, three (2.8%) in zones II and III, and six (5.7%) in all zones.

3.2 Surgery details

All children under six years of age with OGI underwent primary surgical repair. Traumatic cataracts (47.2%) were the most common concomitant injuries. Among these children, 26.0% underwent primary cataract extraction due to anterior capsule rupture and cortical overflow, whereas 6% underwent treatment with secondary lens extraction after the resolution of corneal oedema and inflammation. Nineteen patients (17.9%) underwent intraocular lens implantation. Intraoperatively, 70 patients (66.0%) with OGI received intravitreal injections of vancomycin, and 18 (17.0%) underwent secondary pars plana vitrectomy.

3.3 Analysis of factors associated with endophthalmitis

A total of 24 patients (22.4%) were clinically diagnosed with endophthalmitis. Univariate analyses for age, sex, zone, post-injury admission time, IOFB, injury material, traumatic cataract, and iris prolapse were conducted to assess the risk factors for endophthalmitis (Table 2). The results showed that injuries caused by needles and wood materials were statistically associated with endophthalmitis (p = 0.045). Additionally, post-injury admission time (p < 0.001), injury zone (p = 0.025), and iris prolapse (p = 0.022) were significantly associated with endophthalmitis. These statistically relevant variables were included in a multivariate logistic regression analysis (Table 3), revealing that delayed admission (\geq 24 h) was an independent risk factor for endophthalmitis (p = 0.007; OR = 4.620; 1.524, 14.004). Only one of the 24 endophthalmitis cases showed a positive organism culture, which was a case of Streptococcus spp in vitreous cultures.

3.4 Visual acuity results

In this study, all patients who were followed up had varying degrees of visual function salvage (VA ranging from light perception to 20/25 after surgery), and none underwent eye removal surgery during the follow-up period. Two patients (1.8%) had myopia before OGI, and no patient was wearing refractive error glasses at the time of injury. Preoperative and postoperative VA were obtained from 41 patients. VA could not be measured in other patients because they were too young or unable to cooperate with VA testing. The initial and final VA values at the final follow-up are presented in Table 4. In the study, preoperative and postoperative VA showed a statistically significant correlation (p < 0.001).

4 Discussion

The study revealed that boys experience a significantly higher rate of OGI compared to girls at the age of six. This finding contrasts with those of previous studies on paediatric OGI (3, 5, 18, 19). We attribute this disparity to the fact that, at younger ages, boys and girls typically engage in similar activities. However, as they grow older, boys are more likely to handle sharp objects, such as toys, which increases the risk of accidental eye injuries. Sharp objects are a major cause of OGI in children (48%-75%) (6, 18, 20, 21) - a finding that was corroborated by our study, which identified sharp objects as causing 56.6% of OGI cases. This result aligns with that of AIDahash et al. (18), who reported that knives were the leading cause of eye injuries in children. Conversely, Read and Cavuoto (7) concluded that preschool injuries were more frequently caused by glasses. In previous studies, injuries in zone I were more common than those in other zones, and multizone injuries were rare (3, 5, 12, 15, 19, 21); however, these accounted for 25% of all cases in the



TABLE 2 Univariate analysis: presentation features associated with the development of endophthalmitis.

Parameter	Teams Ende		thalmitis	p value	
		Yes	No		
Mean age±SD		3.88 ± 0.32	4.22 ± 0.17	0.382	
Sex	Male	14 (58.3%)	47 (57.3%)	0.929	
	Female	10 (41.7%)	35 (42.7%)		
Injury type	Penetration	13 (54.2%)	55 (67.1%)	0.177	
	Perforation	4 (16.7%)	6 (7.3%)		
	Rupture	2 (8.3%)	13 (15.9%)		
	IOFB	5 (20.8%)	8 (9.8%)		
Zone	Zone I	21 (87.5%)	52 (63.4%)	0.025	
	Zone I and zone II/III	3 (12.5%)	30 (36.6%)		
Post-injury admission time (h)	<24	6 (25.0%)	55 (69.6%)	<0.001	
	≥24	18 (75.0%)	24 (30.4%)		
IOFB	Yes	5 (20.8%)	8 (9.8%)	0.164	
	No	19 (79.2%)	74 (90.2%)		
Injury Material	Knives	4 (16.7%)	18 (22.0%)	0.776	
	Glass and porcelain fragments	2 (8.3%)	16 (19.5%)	0.166	
	Wooden materials	5 (20.8%)	5 (6.1%)	0.045	
	Needles	5 (20.8%)	5 (6.1%)	0.045	
	Corn stalks	1 (4.2%)	3 (3.7%)	0.648	
	Firecrackers	1 (4.2%)	3 (3.7%)	0.648	
	Toys	4 (16.7%)	6 (7.3%)	0.229	
	Stationery	1 (4.2%)	7 (8.5%)	0.680	
	Blunts	1 (4.2%)	10 (12.2%)	0.450	
	Others	0 (0%)	9 (11.0%)	0.204	
Traumatic cataracts	Yes	14 (58.3%)	36 (43.9%)	0.213	
	No	10 (41.7)	46 (56.1%)		
Iris prolapse	Yes	8 (33.3%)	49 (46.2%)	0.022	
	No	16 (66.7%)	33 (40.2%)		

IOFB, intraocular foreign body.

10.3389/fped.2024.1442531

TABLE 3 Multivariate logistic regression analysis of endophthalmitis.

Clinical feature	Odds ratio	95%CI		<i>P</i> -	
		Lower	Upper	value	
Needles	3.321	0.766	14.211	0.106	
Wooden materials	3.462	0.731	16.395	0.118	
Post-injury admission time (h)	4.620	1.524	14.004	0.007	
Iris prolapse	0.403	0.137	1.185	0.099	
Zone	3.141	0.747	13.207	0.118	

TABLE 4	Comparison of	the initial VA a	and final BCVA	at the last follow-up.
---------	---------------	------------------	----------------	------------------------

VA	≥20/40	20/50- 20/100	19/100- 5/200	4/200- LP	NLP
Initial VA	3	6	8	22	2
Final BCVA	13	10	8	10	0

VA, visual acuity; BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; LP, light perception; NLP, no light perception.

present study. This could be attributed to the difficulty young children face in protecting themselves from hazardous objects.

Assessment of OGI in children presents challenges owing to incomplete or inaccurate injury descriptions and difficulties in obtaining cooperation during ocular examinations. Therefore, the primary treatment may be delayed, increasing the risk of complications. Traumatic cataract was the most common concomitant finding, occurring in 47.2% of cases. Additionally, 19 patients with aphakia chose not to undergo lens implantation, as further surgery was unlikely to improve their postoperative vision. Posttraumatic endophthalmitis is one of the most severe complications (22). The incidence of endophthalmitis in this study was 22.4%, this is consistent with previous studies where the incidence rates in children ranged from 13% to 54% (5, 19, 23, 24). Studies on OGI have demonstrated that delayed wound repair (22), IOFB presence (25, 26), dirty wounds (25), posterior capsule rupture (22), and trauma occurring in rural or outdoor areas (16, 23) were associated with an increased risk of posttraumatic endophthalmitis. The incidence of endophthalmitis in hospitalised patients without associated risk factors was 5.9%, which increased to 65.3% and 90.3% when patients were exposed to two and three risk factors, respectively (25).

As demonstrated in this study, needles and wood were the most common materials causing injuries in endophthalmitis. Therefore, ophthalmologists must try to elicit as many details as possible regarding the mechanism of injury and take measures to prevent endophthalmitis when treating OGI, such as expediting surgical repair and injecting intravitreal antibiotics. In our study, wounds involving only zone I were more common than those involving other zones. In a study from India (16), the risk of endophthalmitis induced by a central corneal wound (central 5 mm) was nine times higher than that caused by peripheral corneal wounds. This was probably because it was difficult for the torn cornea to close smoothly in the early stage, in which case contaminating microorganisms remained in the ocular cavity to replicate. Additionally, the avascular nature of the corneal results in an insufficient immune response and delayed wound healing (5, 16).

Bansal et al. (22) and Zhang et al. (23) found that intraocular tissue prolapse played a protective role in reducing the risk of endophthalmitis in children. They suggested that prolapsed tissue could seal the wound and prevent the entry of microorganisms. However, a recent study (16) showed that wound-induced iris and vitreous prolapses increased the probability of endophthalmitis in children by three and five times, respectively. Our univariate analysis indicated that iris prolapse was associated with a decreased risk of infection. Larger studies are needed to further analyse whether intraocular tissue prolapse promotes or prevents a microbial invasion.

The most critical approach to preventing posttraumatic endophthalmitis in children is early hospital admission for ocular repair and the use of prophylactic intravitreal antibiotics (22). In this study, all children diagnosed with OGI underwent primary repair surgery. Intravitreal vancomycin injections were administered to 66.0% of patients, whereas systemic antibiotics were administered to the remaining patients owing to mild wound inflammation. Logistic regression analysis showed that Delayed admission of \geq 24 h was an independent risk factor. This result is consistent with those of previous studies on paediatric OGI (10, 23–25).

The VA results were limited due to the young age of the study participants. Initial VA was an important determinant of final VA, consistent with previous results (12, 18, 21). Notably, eye trauma in children can increase the risk of amblyopia. One study reported that OGI was associated with two major refraction-related complications: loss of accommodation due to aphakia and irregular astigmatism caused by corneal scarring (4). These complications can be managed with contact lenses, spectacles, or surgical treatments (e.g., corneal transplantation) to reduce the risk of amblyopia. Additionally, regular follow-ups and BCVA monitoring are equally important. A specialist ophthalmology team administers amblyopia treatment and refractive correction to facilitate visual recovery. The use of the amblyopic eye can be facilitated by preventing visual input from the better eye once the patient's best-corrected VA decline is identified.

This study had some limitations. It was a retrospective study; hence, certain risk factors could not be assessed, as the children could not disclose all the details of the injury. Additionally, patients treated at other hospitals were excluded.

5 Conclusions

In children under the age of six, OGI occurred more frequently in males than in females, with sharp objects being the primary cause. Delayed admission of \geq 24 h is an independent risk factor for children with endophthalmitis. Awareness of the seriousness of OGI in children and understanding the risk factors for endophthalmitis will help develop effective strategies to reduce the incidence of ocular complications.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Hospital of Jilin University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/institutional review board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Hospital of Jilin University (Approval No. 23-189) and was conducted in accordance with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent amendments or similar ethical standards. As our study was retrospective, informed consent was waived by our Institutional Review Board. The approval document from the Ethics Committee of the Second Hospital of Jilin University is attached below.

References

1. Négrel AD, Thylefors B. The global impact of eye injuries. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol.* (1998) 5(3):143–69. doi: 10.1076/opep.5.3.143.8364

2. Boret C, Brehin C, Cortey C, Chanut M, Houze-Cerfon CH, Soler V, et al. Pediatric ocular trauma: characteristics and outcomes among a French cohort (2007–2016). Arch Pediatr. (2020) 27(3):128–34. doi: 10.1016/j.arcped.2020.01.002

3. Batur M, Seven E, Akaltun MN, Tekin S, Yasar T. Epidemiology of open globe injury in children. *J Craniofac Surg.* (2017) 28(8):1976–81. doi: 10.1097/SCS. 000000000004033

4. Pradhan ZS, Mittal R, Jacob P. Rigid gas-permeable contact lenses for visual rehabilitation of traumatized eyes in children. *Cornea.* (2014) 33(5):486–9. doi: 10. 1097/ICO.000000000000103

5. Tabatabaei SA, Khameneh EA, Soleimani M, Baramaki A. Open globe injuries in children under 7 years referred to a tertiary center in Iran from 2006 to 2016. *Eye* (*Lond*). (2021) 35(4):1235–9. doi: 10.1038/s41433-020-1023-9

6. Gupta A, Rahman I, Leatherbarrow B. Open globe injuries in children: factors predictive of a poor final visual acuity. *Eye (Lond).* (2009) 23(3):621-5. doi: 10. 1038/eye.2008.32

7. Read SP, Cavuoto KM. Traumatic open globe injury in young pediatric patients: characterization of a novel prognostic score. *J AAPOS*. (2016) 20(2):141–4. doi: 10. 1016/j.jaapos.2015.11.008

 Matsa E, Shi J, Wheeler KK, McCarthy T, McGregor ML, Leonard JC. Trends in US emergency department visits for pediatric acute ocular injury. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* (2018) 136(8):895–903. doi: 10.1001 jamaophthalmol.2018.2062

9. Patel PS, Uppuluri A, Zarbin MA, Bhagat N. Epidemiologic trends in pediatric ocular injury in the USA from 2010 to 2019. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2022) 260(4):1387–94. doi: 10.1007/s00417-021-05368-w

10. Zheng L, Tan J, Liu R, Yang X, He H, Xiao H, et al. The impact of primary treatment on post-traumatic endophthalmitis in children with open globe injuries: a study in China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2019) 16(16):2956. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph16162956

Author contributions

RL: Writing – original draft. SQ: Writing – review & editing. YZ: Writing – original draft. CW: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jilin Province (YDZJ202301ZYTS016) and the Jilin Province Science and Technology Development Project (20220203143SF).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

11. Convention on the Rights of the Child. UN General Assembly Resolution (1989).

12. Ilhan HD, Bilgin AB, Cetinkaya A, Unal M, Yucel I. Epidemiological and clinical features of paediatric open globe injuries in southwestern Turkey. *Int J Ophthalmol.* (2013) 6(6):855–60. doi: 10.3980/j.issn.2222-3959

13. Liu X, Liu Z, Liu Y, Zhao L, Xu S, Su G, et al. Determination of visual prognosis in children with open globe injuries. *Eye (Lond)*. (2014) 28(7):852–6. doi: 10.1038/eye. 2014.108

14. Madan AH, Joshi RS, Wadekar PD. Ocular trauma in pediatric age group at a tertiary eye care center in central Maharashtra, India. *Clin Ophthalmol.* (2020) 14:1003–9. doi: 10.2147/OPTH.S244679

15. Gunes A, Kalayc M, Genc O, Ozerturk Y. Characteristics of open globe injuries in preschool children. *Pediatr Emerg Care.* (2015) 31(10):701–3. doi: 10.1097/PEC. 000000000000433

16. Sen P, Kohli GM, Shah C, Mohan A, Tiwari A, Ingale R, et al. Risk factors for development of endophthalmitis after open globe injury in children: a case-control study. *Ocul Immunol Inflamm.* (2021) 30:1-6. doi: 10.1080/09273948.2021.1928237

17. Pieramici DJ, Sternberg P Jr, Aaberg TM Sr, Bridges WZ Jr, Capone A Jr, Cardillo JA, et al. A system for classifying mechanical injuries of the eye (globe). the ocular trauma classification group. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (1997) 123(6):820–31. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9394(14)71132-8

18. AlDahash F, Mousa A, Gikandi PW, Abu El-Asrar AM. Pediatric open-globe injury in a university-based tertiary hospital. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* (2020) 30 (2):269–74. doi: 10.1177/1120672118818013

19. Jacobson A, Liles N, Besirli CG, Bohnsack BL. Predictors of visual outcomes in pediatric open globe injuries. *J AAPOS*. (2020) 24(6):360.e1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaapos. 2020.08.010

20. Sul S, Gurelik G, Korkmaz S, Ozdek S, Hasanreisoglu B. Pediatric open-globe injuries: clinical characteristics and factors associated with poor visual and anatomical success. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2016) 254(7):1405–10. doi: 10.1007/s00417-015-3087-y

21. Xue C, Yang LC, Kong YC. Application of pediatric ocular trauma score in pediatric open globe injuries. Int J Ophthalmol. (2020) 13(7):1097–101. doi: 10. 18240/ijo.2020.07.13

22. Bansal P, Venkatesh P, Sharma Y. Posttraumatic endophthalmitis in children: epidemiology, diagnosis, management, and prognosis. *Semin Ophthalmol.* (2018) 33 (2):284–92. doi: 10.1080/08820538.2016.1238095

23. Zhang Y, Zhang MN, Jiang CH, Yao Y, Zhang K. Endophthalmitis following open globe injury. *Br J Ophthalmol.* (2010) 94(1):111–4. doi: 10.1136/bjo. 2009.164913

24. Rishi E, Rishi P, Koundanya VV, Sahu C, Roy R, Bhende PS. Post-traumatic endophthalmitis in 143 eyes of children and adolescents from India. *Eye (Lond)*. (2016) 30(4):615–20. doi: 10.1038/eye.2016.9

25. Asencio MA, Huertas M, Carranza R, Tenias JM, Celis J, Gonzalez-del Valle F. A case-control study of post-traumatic endophthalmitis at a Spanish hospital. *Int Ophthalmol.* (2016) 36(2):185–94. doi: 10.1007/s10792-015-0099-x

26. Faghihi H, Hajizadeh F, Esfahani MR, Rasoulinejad SA, Lashay A, Mirshahi A, et al. Posttraumatic endophthalmitis: report no. 2. *Retina*. (2012) 32(1):146–51. doi: 10.1097/IAE.0b013e3182180087