Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Simone Sforza, University of Florence, Italy

REVIEWED BY Sadaf Aba Umer Kodwavwala, Sindh Institute of Urology and Transplantation, Pakistan Edoardo Bindi, Salesi Hospital Foundation, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Qinlin Shi 2485141@hospital.cqmu.edu.cn Guanghui Wei 2 ghwei1963@126.com

RECEIVED 12 November 2024 ACCEPTED 31 March 2025 PUBLISHED 14 April 2025

CITATION

Cui K, Hong P, Lin J, Hu Z, Gao Z, Tian X, Lin T, Shi Q and Wei G (2025) Hope and challenges in the diagnosis and treatment of Wilms tumor: a single-center retrospective study in China.

Front. Pediatr. 13:1527039. doi: 10.3389/fped.2025.1527039

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Cui, Hong, Lin, Hu, Gao, Tian, Lin, Shi and Wei. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Hope and challenges in the diagnosis and treatment of Wilms tumor: a single-center retrospective study in China

Kongkong Cui^{1,2}, Peng Hong^{1,2}, Jie Lin^{1,2}, Zaihong Hu^{1,2}, Zhiqiang Gao^{1,2}, XiaoMao Tian^{1,2}, Tao Lin^{1,2}, Qinlin Shi^{1,2*} and Guanghui Wei^{1,2*}

¹Department of Urology, Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, National Clinical Research Center for Child Health and Disorders, Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Child Development and Disorders, Chongqing, China, ²Chongqing Key Laboratory of Structural Birth Defect and Reconstruction, Chongqing, China

Background: Wilms tumor (WT), which represents about 90% of kidney tumors in children, is the most prevalent type of renal tumor among children. In developed countries, advancements in treatments such as chemotherapy and radiotherapy have led to high survival rates. However, developing countries face significant challenges, including late-stage diagnosis, metastasis at presentation, and high rates of treatment abandonment.

Methods: This retrospective study included all patients diagnosed with WT at a tertiary hospital in Western China from 2007 to 2021. It involved the collection of sociodemographic and clinical details, including data on patients who abandoned treatment. Follow-up continued until July 2024.

Results: This study consisted of 301 WT patients. Of the 259 who completed the treatment, the 5-year event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS) rates were 77.9% and 81.2%. Of the 42 patients who abandoned treatment, 13 refused further care immediately after diagnosis and signed a refusal document, 16 discontinued treatments during preoperative neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and 13 failed to complete the prescribed chemotherapy or radiotherapy.

Conclusion: Survival rates for WT patients at our institution approach those reported in developed countries. Challenges include late-stage diagnosis, metastasis at initial presentation, and treatment abandonment. To address these issues, implementing pediatric screening is critical for early detection and timely intervention, particularly for families vulnerable to abandoning treatment. For high-risk cases, oncologists need develop targeted strategies to enhance clinical outcomes.

KEYWORDS

Wilms tumor, prognosis, outcomes, abandonment, global review

1 Introduction

Wilms tumor (WT), which represents about 90% of kidney tumors in children, is the most prevalent type of renal tumor among children (1). Treatment usually consists of chemotherapy, surgery and, in some cases, radiotherapy. Two main treatment strategies are used globally. The approach practiced by the Children's Oncology Group (COG) in North America involves upfront surgery, whereas the European strategy, recommended by the International Society of Pediatric Oncology (SIOP), begins with preoperative

chemotherapy. Extensive clinical trials have led to the development of integrated multi-modal therapies including surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy, with both strategies achieving similar long-term survival rates in high-income countries. Currently, most developed countries report 5-year survival rates exceeding 90% for early-stage disease and 70% for metastatic disease (2). However, data from developing countries indicate poorer outcomes, with most studies showing 5-year survival rates below 50% (3, 4). These differences may be due to increased incidence rates, delayed presentation and advanced disease at diagnosis (5). Additionally, treatment abandonment is a significant issue, defined as the failure to initiate or continue the prescribed treatment regimen after diagnosis or any interruption of more than 4 weeks (6). Although the critical impact of treatment abandonment on treatment failure is well recognized, the characteristics of patients who discontinue treatment and the factors influencing abandonment are poorly understood.

China, as one of the largest developing countries, reports a WT incidence of approximately 3.3 per million children. The incidence of WT among children is rising annually. However, in China, the capacity for effective treatment remains limited. Currently, only a few pediatric oncology centers report 5-year survival rates as high as 80% (7). Each center implements different treatment protocols based on its own experience. This study evaluated the characteristics and outcomes of WT patients treated at a tertiary care hospital in western China. The study also attempted to identify the characteristics of WT patients who abandoned treatment and the factors that influenced this decision.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Treatment and follow-up

For patients with suspected WT, an experienced clinician will make the initial diagnosis by combining clinical performance and ultrasound, and then further clarification will be made by imaging tests such as CT and MRI. If the patient undergoes surgery or biopsy, the pathologic diagnosis prevails. Prior to initiating treatment, all patients undergo chest CT scans and abdominal contrast-enhanced CT scans. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is selected as the initial treatment for cases involving an inferior vena cava tumor thrombus, invasion of adjacent organs (such as the spleen, pancreas, or colon), large tumor size (typically >12 cm in diameter) precluding complete resection, distant metastases, or bilateral WT. The chemotherapy regimen is administered according to the SIOP-WT 2001 protocol, utilizing a combination of two or three agents (vincristine and actinomycin D, with doxorubicin added if metastasis is present). Patients undergoing direct surgery were staged according to the COG system (8). The primary surgical approach for most patients is open nephrectomy via a transabdominal or thoracoabdominal incision. Laparoscopic nephrectomy may be considered as an alternative when the following criteria are met: (1) absence of extrarenal tumor infiltration, (2) no tumor thrombus in the renal vein or inferior vena cava, (3) tumor confinement within the ipsilateral spinal margin, and (4) minimal risk of intraoperative tumor rupture. Nephron-sparing surgery was prioritized in cases involving isolated kidneys, bilateral WT, horseshoe kidneys, or genetic syndromes such as Denys-Drash or Frasier syndromes. Because there is no radiotherapy equipment in our hospital, we had to recommend the patients who needed radiotherapy to the general hospital.

All WT patients require regular follow-up after surgery. According to our institution's practice, follow-up visits are scheduled once every 3 months during the first 3 years, once every 6 months from the fourth to the fifteenth year, and annually thereafter. Follow-up is conducted through outpatient examinations or telephone contact. Routine clinical examinations include physical examination, blood tests, and ultrasound. Follow-up was completed until the patient's death or until July 2024, whichever came first.

2.2 Study design

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (NO. 324 of 2024).

Between 2007 and 2021, Children's Hospital Affiliated to Chongqing Medical University (CQMU) enlisted children diagnosed with WT by an experienced pediatric oncologist. This identification was facilitated through enhanced CT scans and renal ultrasound assessments. We collected research data included pathology results, imaging data, and medical records. For followup, data were sourced from telephone interviews with families and outpatient records. The event-free survival (EFS) was defined as the time from admission to first disease recurrence, metastasis, death from any cause, or last follow-up. The overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from admission to death from any cause or last follow-up. Treatment abandonment was specifically defined as a disruption in treatment exceeding 4 weeks or failing to start or maintain the therapy post-diagnosis (6).

For tumor measurement, the volume was determined using the ellipsoid method from enhanced abdominal CT scans, calculated as length [cm] * width [cm] * depth [cm] * $\pi/6$ = volume [ml], and the largest tumor diameter was noted. To assess treatment efficacy, the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1 was utilized. This established metric categorizes tumor responses into four groups: complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD), and progressive disease (PD). CR indicates the total disappearance of all targeted lesions; PR denotes a reduction of at least 30% in total lesion diameters; PD is defined by at least a 20% increase in total lesion diameters compared to the smallest measured during the study; SD is identified when lesion sizes do not meet the reductions for PR or exceed (9).

In addition, we conducted a comprehensive global review of the literature on WT survival in various countries and summarized the relevant research findings. Furthermore, we performed a comparative analysis of the 5-year overall survival rates across

Abbreviations

WT, Wilms tumor; COG, Children's Oncology Group; EFS, event-free survival; OS, overall survival; CQMU, Children's Hospital Affiliated to Chongqing Medical University.

different countries and regions. When multiple pertinent studies were available for a specific country or region, two experienced oncology research experts evaluated these studies based on several criteria, including sample size, data completeness, data reliability, study duration, and the initiating institutions. The most appropriate study was then selected for inclusion in the comparison.

2.3 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using R, version 4.2.3. Descriptive statistics were employed to assess demographic, socioeconomic, and clinical variables to identify differences between patients adhering to treatment regimens and those who abandoned them. Quantitative data were reported as medians (interquartile ranges). The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare medians between groups. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Depending on the expected frequency counts, either Pearson's Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used to assess the differences. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted for variables showing significant differences (P < 0.05) in the univariate analysis between the adherence and abandonment groups. The Kaplan-Meier method was employed to conduct survival analyses, and the Log-rank test was utilized to assess differences in survival rates. Statistical significance was established at a P-value of 0.05. Variables that demonstrated a P-value below 0.05 in the univariate analysis were further analyzed through multivariate Cox regression. Tumor stage was determined based on the COG protocol. To address issues of statistical instability due to limited sample sizes, early-stage patients (Stages I and II) were grouped into a low-stage category (n = 125). Similarly, later-stage patients (Stages III, IV, and V) were classified into a high-stage category (n = 134).

3 Results

3.1 Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics

Between 2007 and 2021, 339 patients with renal tumors were identified at CQMU (Supplementary Figure 1). Following exclusion of 38 cases with pathologically confirmed non-WT diagnoses, the remaining 301 WT patients were clinically evaluated by senior oncologists and confirmed through standardized imaging protocols. Sociodemographic and clinical details, including data on WT patients who abandoned treatment, are presented in Table 1. The most common symptoms included an abdominal mass in 200 patients (66.5%), hematuria in 44 (14.6%), abdominal pain in 26 (8.6%), and various other symptoms in 31 (10.3%). Additional clinical presentations included abdominal distention (n = 8), fever (n = 9), incidental trauma-related findings (n = 3), weight loss (n = 2), and incidental physical examination findings (n = 5). Prenatal detection of fetal tumors via ultrasound was documented in four cases. The median age at diagnosis was 27 months (IQR: 14-46 months). Of these children, 143 (47.5%) were male and 158 (52.5%) were female. The average distance of residence from CQMU was 213 km (IQR: 93–309 km). Among the 259 WT patients who adhered to treatment, there were 13 bilateral cases. Among these, 4 underwent unilateral nephrectomy and Nephron-Sparing Surgery on the other side, while 9 received bilateral Nephron-Sparing Surgery. In the remaining 246 unilateral WT patients, 23 underwent Nephron-Sparing Surgery, and 223 patients received unilateral radical nephrectomy.

TABLE 1 Clinical and sociodemographic profile of WT patients admitted between 2007 and 2021.

Characteristics	Total (n = 301)	Adhered to treatment (n = 259)	Abandoned treatment (n = 42)	P value
Age (months)	27 (14-46)	27 (15-46)	20 (9-47)	0.268
Sex				0.325
Male	143 (47.5%)	126 (48.7%)	17 (40.5%)	
Female	158 (52.5%)	133 (51.4%)	25 (59.5%)	
Tumor Stage				N/A
Ι	N/A	47 (18.2%)	N/A	
II	N/A	78 (30.1%)	N/A	
III	N/A	88 (34.0%)	N/A	
IV	N/A	33 (12.7%)	N/A	
V	N/A	13 (5.0%)	N/A	
Туре				N/A
FH	N/A	209 (80.7%)	N/A	
uFH	N/A	50 (19.3%)	N/A	
Area of residence				<0.001
Rural	180 (59.8%)	145 (56.0%)	35 (83.3%)	
Urban	121 (40.2%)	114 (44.0%)	7 (16.7%)	
Medical insurance				<0.001
Yes	143 (47.5%)	134 (51.7%)	9 (21.4%)	
No	158 (52.5%)	125 (48.3%)	33 (78.6%)	
Duration ^a	8 (4-30)	7 (4-30)	24 (7-58)	< 0.001
Tumor site				0.623
Left	149 (49.5%)	129 (49.8%)	20 (47.6%)	
Right	139 (46.2%)	120 (46.3%)	19 (45.2%)	
Bilateral	13 (4.3%)	10 (3.9%)	3 (7.1%)	
Symptoms				0.020
Abdominal Mass	200 (66.5%)	174 (67.2%)	26 (61.9%)	
Hematuria	44 (14.6%)	36 (13.9%)	8 (19.1%)	
Abdominal Pain	26 (8.6%)	26 (10.0%)	0 (0%)	
Others	31 (10.3%)	23 (8.9%)	8 (19.1%)	
Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy				0.017
Yes	83 (25.8%)	65 (25.1%)	18 (42.9%)	
No	218 (72.4%)	194 (74.9%)	24 (57.1%)	
Metastasis				<0.001
Yes	38 (12.6%)	17 (6.6%)	21 (50.0%)	
No	263 (87.4%)	242 (93.4%)	21 (50.0%)	
Tumor thrombus inferior vena cava/renal vein				0.727
Yes	38 (12.6%)	32 (12.4%)	6 (14.3%)	
No	263 (87.4%)	227 (87.6%)	36 (85.7%)	
Distance ^b (km)	213 (93–309)	216 (100-310)	190 (81–237)	0.295

^aThis refers to the number of days elapsed from the initial detection of symptoms to the patient's first visit to CQMU.

^bDriving directions with Google Maps from address provided by family to CQMU.

Notably, only three of these cases were performed with laparoscopic assistance.

3.2 Response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy

In this cohort study, 83 patients received neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Fourteen patients were excluded due to incomplete pre- or post-chemotherapy imaging. Tumor size was assessed using contrast-enhanced CT scans before and after treatment in the remaining 69 patients, all of whom had complete clinical records available for analysis. Among them, 26 patients (38%) achieved a PR, 7 patients (10%) experienced PD, and 36 patients (52%) exhibited SD. Initially, the average maximum tumor diameter was 14 cm, which decreased to 11 cm after treatment, representing a 21% reduction. Similarly, the average tumor volume decreased from 813 to 552 ml, a 32% reduction.

3.3 Received treatment

In this study, 259 patients adhered to the treatment plan. Among these, 51.7% presented with high-stage disease, and 258 underwent either radical nephrectomy or nephron-sparing surgery. One child, who had previously undergone a unilateral nephrectomy due to a renal laceration, developed a retroperitoneal mass 7 months postoperatively, necessitating a subsequent retroperitoneal tumor resection. During these surgeries, 59 cases were found to have either an incomplete tumor capsule or tumor rupture. Furthermore, tumor invasion of the duodenum was observed in one patient, while another had tumor invasion in both the duodenum and the descending colon. Among the adherent patients, 65 received preoperative chemotherapy. Excluding those who delayed chemotherapy or altered their chemotherapy drugs due to personal health issues, the remainder completed their treatment as planned.

3.4 Abandoned treatment

In the cohort of 42 patients who abandoned their treatment, 13 chose to cease further medical intervention post-diagnosis, as documented by their signing of a refusal form. Additionally, 16 patients ceased participation during the preoperative neoadjuvant chemotherapy stage, and 13 were classified as having abandoned treatment due to their failure to complete the designated chemotherapy or radiotherapy regimen. The number of patients who abandoned treatment each year is shown in Figure 1. Univariate analysis indicated significant correlations between treatment abandonment and factors such as area of residence, medical insurance status, duration of symptoms before initial admission, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and metastasis. Given the wide and uneven distribution of symptoms, which can introduce instability and bias into regression models, these were not included in the multifactorial analysis. Multivariate regression analysis revealed that patients living in rural areas were more likely to abandon treatment compared to those in urban areas (OR 3.60, 95% CI 1.28–10.13, P = 0.015); those without medical insurance were more likely to abandon treatment compared to those with insurance (OR 5.28, 95% CI 1.96–14.17, P < 0.001); each additional day from symptom onset to initial consultation increased the likelihood of treatment abandonment by 1% (OR 1.01, 95% CI 1.01–1.02, P < 0.001); and patients presenting with metastatic disease at consultation were more likely to abandon treatment (OR 18.54, 95% CI 7.31–47.02, P < 0.001). For details, see Supplementary Table 1.

3.5 Treatment outcomes

Among the 301 patients, 42 opted to abandon treatment, while 259 received complete treatment (see Figure 2 for the Treatment Outcome of Patients with WT, n = 301). Our study focuses on these 259 patients who underwent complete treatment, with follow-up continuing until July 2024. The median follow-up duration was 57 months (range: 1-181 months). Seven patients died due to treatment-related causes (three from sepsis, one from respiratory failure, and three from severe electrolyte imbalances), 33 patients died from progressive disease, and 2 died at home due to unknown causes. The 5-year OS rate among the 259 patients was 81.2%, and the 5-year EFS rate was 77.9% (see Figure 3A,B). A total of 41 patients experienced relapse, resulting in 33 deaths. The locations for relapses were the tumor bed (n = 22), lungs (n = 20), liver (n = 9), mediastinal region (n = 4), pelvic area (n = 5), and intracranial regions (n = 2). A significant number of these patients had relapses at multiple sites, with the median time to relapse being 7 months (range: 2-63 months). We included factors with a P-value <0.05 from univariate analysis in the multivariate Cox regression analysis. The results indicated that high stage (P < 0.001, HR 2.9, 95% CI 1.56-5.59), metastasis (P=0.048, HR 2.0, 95% CI 1.01-4.14), and the duration of symptoms before first admission (P=0.012, HR 1.01, 95% CI 1.00-1.01) were significant adverse prognostic factors for EFS. High stage (P = 0.002, HR 3.1, 95% CI 1.52-6.42) and metastasis (P=0.014, HR 2.52, 95% CI 1.21-5.25) were significant adverse prognostic factors for OS. The 5-year OS and EFS rates for the low-stage group were 92.2% and 89.0%, respectively, compared to 71.4% and 68.0% for the high-stage group. OS significantly differed between the low-stage and high-stage groups (P < 0.001), as did EFS (P < 0.001) (see Figure 4A,B). For the group with metastasis at diagnosis, the 5-year OS rate was 51.3%, and the EFS rate was 52.3%, compared to an OS rate of 83.3% and an EFS rate of 79.8% for the group without metastasis. OS and EFS significantly differed between the metastasis and non-metastasis groups (*P* < 0.001) (see Figure 4C,D).

3.6 Global review

We reviewed 46 studies from 44 countries or regions globally that investigated survival rates for WT. Among these, 12 studies identified histological subtype as the primary factor influencing the prognosis of WT patients, and 15 studies highlighted staging





as a key prognostic factor, aligning with our findings. Our findings are consistent with the observation that tumor metastasis plays a significant role in determining prognosis, as highlighted in six studies. Moreover, tumor volume has been identified as a critical prognostic factor across six studies. In addition, three studies have proposed that female gender may be linked to poorer prognosis. Severe acute malnutrition was noted as a predictor of adverse outcomes in two studies, while another two studies



identified age at the time of diagnosis as a significant prognostic indicator. Individual studies have also established connections between vena caval tumor involvement and poor prognosis, tumor rupture and unfavorable outcomes, and lymph node involvement as a key predictor of adverse prognosis.

In the analysis of the global WT 5-year OS, high-income countries such as France (98%), South Korea (97.2%), Germany (95%), the United Kingdom (91.4%), Japan (92.1%), and the United States (87%) generally exhibit high survival rates (above 85%). In contrast, low-income countries like Uganda (7.9%), Zimbabwe (33.2%), and Rwanda (48.3%) show significantly lower survival rates. Regionally, Europe, including France (98%), Germany (95%), Lithuania (86.4%), and the United Kingdom (91.4%), generally demonstrates higher survival rates. In Asia, there is considerable variation, with Japan having the highest rate (92.1%) and Iran the lowest (62%). In Africa, except for Morocco, which performs relatively well (79%), survival rates are generally low, with Uganda being the lowest at just 7.9%. The Americas also display some variation, with the United States (87%) showing a better survival rate compared to Brazil (75%). Figure 5 illustrates the global distribution of 5-year OS for WT by country. Involving China, five studies cover four regions: Shanghai, Yunnan, Hong Kong, and Taiwan. The highest survival rate is observed in Hong Kong (94%, 5-year), while the lowest is in Shanghai (81%, 4-year) (see Supplementary Table 2).

4 Discussion

In our study, WT represented 89% of all renal tumors in children, which is closely to the results of rates reported in prior literature (1). In this study, 97% of patients presented with

symptoms such as abdominal mass, hematuria, or abdominal pain, while only 9 cases were asymptomatic and detected during routine examinations. At presentation, 12.6% of patients had metastatic disease, which contrasts with findings from highincome countries where most tumors are asymptomatic and detected through surveillance (10, 11). Early detection and timely medical consultations are critical for improving outcomes in these children. In our series of 69 WT patients received preoperative neo-adjuvant chemotherapy. The average tumor volume decreased from 813 to 553 ml with neo-adjuvant chemotherapy, resulting in a mean tumor size reduction of 32%. This response is lower than that reported in the SIOP-9 study, where the tumor size was reduced by 50% (12). Although the reduction in tumor volume in our study is lower than in other studies, most patients achieved the intended outcome with neoadjuvant chemotherapy, which improved the rate of complete surgical resection and reduced the risk of tumor rupture. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy also helped assess tumor sensitivity to chemotherapy, providing guidance for postoperative treatment (13). We achieved favorable outcomes, with a 5-year overall survival rate of 81.2% and a 5-year event-free survival rate of 77.9%. These results are comparable to those reported in developed countries (14).

Despite the generally high survival rates of WT, especially in patients with favorable histology or low-stage tumors, treatment abandonment remains a significant and preventable cause of mortality, contributing to survival disparities in developing countries (15). This study is the first to analyse treatment abandonment in Chinese WT patients and included 42 cases from 2007 to 2021. The most frequent stage for treatment abandonment occurred during neoadjuvant chemotherapy (38%), consistent with findings from Nanteza et al. (2024) (15). Several factors associated



with treatment abandonment were identified, including rural residence, lack of medical insurance, extended duration from symptom onset to initial consultation, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, and metastasis. The impact of rural residence and lack of medical insurance, which often leads to treatment abandonment, was also highlighted in a large-scale study on childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia in China (16). One study on treatment abandonment in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia, the implementation of a government healthcare program decreased the abandonment rate from 50% to 20%, significantly reducing treatment abandonment cases (17). Strengthening medical assistance programs and providing direct financial support to families who cannot afford treatment could alleviate the phenomenon of abandonment due to economic reasons.

Based on a review of 46 studies involving 44 countries or regions, we found that survival rates are generally higher in developed countries such as those in Europe and North America, while lower survival rates are observed in African countries (2–5, 14, 18–59). We were particularly shocked to discover that Uganda has a 5-year OS of only 7.9% (3). Many countries have established pediatric oncology research organizations, such as JWiTS in Japan and KPHOG in South Korea, which typically adopt treatment protocols similar to those of SIOP or COG (14, 33). Our literature review identified advanced tumor stages, unfavorable histological subtypes, and metastasis as the most frequently reported risk factors for poor prognosis. We recommend that pediatric oncologists focus more on treating patients with these risk factors.

In the treatment of WT, although open surgery is still the standard approach, the use of minimally invasive surgery, especially robotic techniques, has gradually increased in recent years. In a previous study on adult WT, robotic radical nephrectomy after neoadjuvant chemotherapy achieved favorable treatment results. In the future this will also make one of the directions of development for pediatric WT (60).



In recent years, numerous biomarkers with significant prognostic implications for WT have been identified. Among these, particularly loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at 1p and 16q has demonstrated robust prognostic value. The NWTS-5 trial revealed that patients with 1p or 16q LOH exhibited significantly higher relapse and mortality rates, independent of tumor stage or histology (61). These findings have led to the integration of 1p/16q LOH into risk stratification within COG protocols. In addition, molecular alterations such as TP53 mutations are strongly associated with unfavorable histological subtypes, including diffuse anaplastic histology, as evidenced by multiple studies (62). Similarly, the SIOP-WT2001 trial highlighted MYCN gain as an independent prognostic marker linked to diffuse mesenchymal histology and poorer recurrence-free and overall survival outcomes (63). Emerging biomarkers, including Prohibitin (PHB), SIX1/2 mutations, and microRNA dysregulation, have also shown promise in refining risk prediction and therapeutic targeting (64-66). To advance precision oncology in WT, future research should prioritize the validation of these novel biomarkers while elucidating their mechanistic roles in tumorigenesis and progression. Such efforts will enhance risk-adapted therapies and ultimately improve clinical outcomes for WT patients.

5 Conclusions

Although survival rates for WT are generally favorable, significant challenges persist, especially in developing countries where advanced stages or metastasis are commonly observed at diagnosis. Early detection through routine pediatric screenings is essential. Addressing socioeconomic barriers to treatment, such as improving financial support, expanding insurance coverage, and enhancing education, can reduce treatment abandonment and improve survival outcomes. Our findings also underscore the importance of individualized treatment approaches, with a focus on optimizing therapies for patients with high-risk factors while seeking less harmful treatment options for those without such factors.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

KC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PH: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JL: Writing – review & editing. ZH: Writing – review & editing. ZG: Writing – review & editing. XT: Writing – review & editing. TL: Writing – review & editing. QS: Writing – review & editing. GW: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82302948) and Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing Province of China (Grant No. CSTB2022NSCQ-BHX0648).

Acknowledgments

We thank the patients and their families' close cooperation.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Kaatsch P. Epidemiology of childhood cancer. Cancer Treat Rev. (2010) 36(4):277-85. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2010.02.003

2. Fawkner-Corbett DW, Howell L, Pizer BL, Dominici C, McDowell HP, Losty PD. Wilms' tumor-lessons and outcomes-a 25-year single center UK experience. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* (2014) 31(5):400-8. doi: 10.3109/08880018.2014.912709

3. Joko-Fru WY, Parkin DM, Borok M, Chokunonga E, Korir A, Nambooze S, et al. Survival from childhood cancers in Eastern Africa: a population-based registry study. *Int J Cancer.* (2018) 143(10):2409–15. doi: 10.1002/ijc.31723

4. Axt J, Abdallah F, Axt M, Githanga J, Hansen E, Lessan J, et al. Wilms tumor survival in Kenya. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2013) 48(6):1254–62. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg. 2013.03.021

5. Ekenze SO, Nwangwu EI, Ezomike UO, Orji EI, Okafor OO. Continuing barriers to care of Wilms tumor in a low-income country. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2019) 66(1): e27416. doi: 10.1002/pbc.27416

6. Mostert S, Arora RS, Arreola M, Bagai P, Friedrich P, Gupta S, et al. Abandonment of treatment for childhood cancer: position statement of a SIOP PODC working group. *Lancet Oncol.* (2011) 12(8):719–20. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045 (11)70128-0

7. Tan X, Wang J, Tang J, Tian X, Jin L, Li M, et al. A nomogram for predicting cancer-specific survival in children with Wilms tumor: a study based on SEER database and external validation in China. *Front Public Health*. (2022) 10:829840. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.829840

8. Chinese Children Cancer Group, Chinese Anti-Cancer Association. Recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of pediatric Wilms' tumor (CCCG-WT-2016). Zhonghua Er Ke Za Zhi. (2017) 55(2):90–4. doi: 10.3760/cma.j. issn.0578-1310.2017.02.006

9. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer*. (2009) 45(2):228–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.026

10. Sprokkerieft J, van der Beek JN, Spreafico F, Selle B, Thebaud E, Chowdhury T, et al. Targeted therapies in children with renal cell carcinoma (RCC): an international society of pediatric oncology-renal tumor study group (SIOP-RTSG)-related retrospective descriptive study. *Cancer Med.* (2024) 13(1):e6782. doi: 10.1002/cam4.6782

11. Hol JA, Jongmans MCJ, Sudour-Bonnange H, Ramirez-Villar GL, Chowdhury T, Rechnitzer C, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of children with WAGR syndrome and Wilms tumor and/or nephroblastomatosis: the 30-year SIOP-RTSG experience. *Cancer*. (2021) 127(4):628–38. doi: 10.1002/cncr.33304

12. Graf N, Tournade MF, de Kraker J. The role of preoperative chemotherapy in the management of Wilms' tumor. The SIOP studies. International society of pediatric

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fped.2025. 1527039/full#supplementary-material

oncology. Urol Clin North Am. (2000) 27(3):443-54. doi: 10.1016/S0094-0143(05) 70092-6

13. Vujanic GM, D'Hooghe E, Graf N, Vokuhl C, Al-Saadi R, Chowdhury T, et al. Prognostic significance of histopathological response to preoperative chemotherapy in unilateral Wilms' tumor: an analysis of 899 patients treated on the SIOP WT 2001 protocol in the UK-CCLG and GPOH studies. *Int J Cancer.* (2021) 149(6):1332–40. doi: 10.1002/ijc.33707

14. Nakata K, Williams R, Kinoshita Y, Koshinaga T, Moroz V, Al-Saadi R, et al. Comparative analysis of the clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with Wilms tumor in the United Kingdom and Japan. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* (2021) 68(10):e29143. doi: 10.1002/pbc.29143

15. Nanteza S, Yap A, Stephens CQ, Kambagu JB, Kisa P, Kakembo N, et al. Treatment abandonment in children with Wilms tumor at a national referral hospital in Uganda. *Pediatr Surg Int.* (2024) 40(1):162. doi: 10.1007/s00383-024-05744-7

16. Cai J, Yu J, Zhu X, Hu S, Zhu Y, Jiang H, et al. Treatment abandonment in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in China: a retrospective cohort study of the Chinese children's cancer group. *Arch Dis Child*. (2019) 104(6):522–9. doi: 10. 1136/archdischild-2018-316181

17. Sitaresmi MN, Mostert S, Schook RM, Sutaryo Veerman AJ. Treatment refusal and abandonment in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia in Indonesia: an analysis of causes and consequences. *Psychooncology.* (2010) 19(4):361–7. doi: 10. 1002/pon.1578

18. Alakaloko FM, Akinsete AM, Seyi-Olajide JO, Joseph AO, Elebute OO, Ladipo-Ajayi OA, et al. A 5-year multidisciplinary care outcomes in children with Wilms' tumour managed at a tertiary centre: a retrospective observational study. *Afr J Paediatr Surg.* (2022) 19(2):83–8. doi: 10.4103/ajps.AJPS_155_20

19. Chagaluka G, Paintsil V, Renner L, Weijers J, Chitsike I, Borgstein E, et al. Improvement of overall survival in the collaborative Wilms tumour Africa project. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2020) 67(9):e28383. doi: 10.1002/pbc.28383

20. Yao W, Li K, Xiao X, Gao J, Dong K, Xiao X, et al. Outcomes of Wilms' tumor in eastern China: 10 years of experience at a single center. *J Invest Surg.* (2012) 25(3):181–5. doi: 10.3109/08941939.2011.615893

21. Businge L, Hagenimana M, Motlhale M, Bardot A, Liu B, Anastos K, et al. Stage at diagnosis and survival by stage for the leading childhood cancers in Rwanda. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2024) 71(7):e31020. doi: 10.1002/pbc.31020

22. Ekuk E, Odongo CN, Tibaijuka L, Oyania F, Egesa WI, Bongomin F, et al. One year overall survival of Wilms tumor cases and its predictors, among children diagnosed at a teaching hospital in south western Uganda: a retrospective cohort study. *BMC Cancer.* (2023) 23(1):196. doi: 10.1186/s12885-023-10601-2

23. Doganis D, Zborovskaya A, Trojanowski M, Zagar T, Bouka P, Baka M, et al. Wilms tumour event-free and overall survival in southern and Eastern Europe: pooled analyses of clinical data from four childhood cancer registries (1999-2017). *Eur J Cancer.* (2019) 115:37–46. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2019.04.008

24. Rančelytė M, Nemanienė R, Ragelienė L, Rascon J. Wilms tumour in children: 18 years of experience at Vilnius university hospital Santaros klinikos, Lithuania. *Acta Med Litu.* (2019) 26(2):125–33. doi: 10.6001/actamedica.v26i2.4033

25. Pan C, Cai JY, Xu M, Ye QD, Zhou M, Yin MZ, et al. Renal tumor in developing countries: 142 cases from a single institution at Shanghai, China. *World J Pediatr.* (2015) 11(4):326–30. doi: 10.1007/s12519-015-0041-3

26. Seminara C, Planells MC, Pogonza RE, Morales M. Wilms tumor: 15 years of experience at a children's hospital in Córdoba, Argentina. Arch Argent Pediatr. (2019) 117(4):263-70. doi: 10.5546/aap.2019.eng.263

27. Wesevich A, Mocha G, Kiwara F, Chao C, Shabani I, Igenge JZ, et al. Wilms tumor treatment protocol compliance and the influence on outcomes for children in Tanzania. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2023) 70(12):e30704. doi: 10.1002/pbc.30704

28. Holmes DM, Matatiyo A, Mpasa A, Huibers MHW, Manda G, Tomoka T, et al. Outcomes of Wilms tumor therapy in Lilongwe, Malawi, 2016-2021: successes and ongoing research priorities. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2023) 70(5):e30242. doi: 10. 1002/pbc.30242

29. Liu B, Youlden DR, Wabinga H, Nambooze S, Amulen PM, Aitken JF, et al. Survival from childhood cancer in Kampala, Uganda. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2021) 68(3):e28876. doi: 10.1002/pbc.28876

30. Madani A, Zafad S, Harif M, Yaakoubi M, Zamiati S, Sahraoui S, et al. Treatment of Wilms tumor according to SIOP 9 protocol in Casablanca, Morocco. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2006) 46(4):472–5. doi: 10.1002/pbc.20436

31. Israels T, Pidini D, Borgstein E, Bailey S, Tump C, Chagaluka G, et al. Survival of children with a Wilms tumor in Blantyre, Malawi. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* (2018) 35(3):196–202. doi: 10.1080/08880018.2018.1498564

32. Mergen M, Welter N, Furtwangler R, Melchior P, Vokuhl C, Gessler M, et al. The impact of the route to diagnosis in nephroblastoma. *Cancer Med.* (2024) 13(10):e7226. doi: 10.1002/cam4.7226

33. Koh KN, Han JW, Choi HS, Kang HJ, Lee JW, Yoo KH, et al. Epidemiologic and clinical outcomes of pediatric renal tumors in Korea: a retrospective analysis of the Korean pediatric hematology and oncology group (KPHOG) data. *Cancer Res Treat.* (2023) 55(1):279–90. doi: 10.4143/crt.2022.073

34. Ji F, Wu C, Li Y, Zhanghuang C, Li J, Li L, et al. Efficacy analysis of multidisciplinary treatment for Wilms tumor in a single center. *Pediatr Surg Int.* (2023) 39(1):141. doi: 10.1007/s00383-023-05408-y

35. Cotton CA, Peterson S, Norkool PA, Takashima J, Grigoriev Y, Green DM, et al. Early and late mortality after diagnosis of Wilms tumor. *J Clin Oncol.* (2009) 27(8):1304–9. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2008.18.6981

36. Stones DK, Hadley GP, Wainwright RD, Stefan DC. The impact of ethnicity on Wilms tumor: characteristics and outcome of a South African cohort. *Int J Pediatr.* (2015) 2015:706058. doi: 10.1155/2015/706058

37. Chan CC, To KF, Yuen HL, Shing Chiang AK, Ling SC, Li CH, et al. A 20-year prospective study of Wilms tumor and other kidney tumors: a report from Hong Kong pediatric hematology and oncology study group. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* (2014) 36(6):445–50. doi: 10.1097/MPH.000000000000018

38. de Aguirre-Neto JC, de Camargo B, van Tinteren H, Bergeron C, Brok J, Ramirez-Villar G, et al. International comparisons of clinical demographics and outcomes in the international society of pediatric oncology Wilms tumor 2001 trial and study. *JCO Glob Oncol.* (2022) 8:e2100425. doi: 10.1200/GO.21. 00425

39. Provenzi VO, Rosa RF, Rosa RC, Roehe AV, dos Santos PP, Faulhaber FR, et al. Wilms tumor: experience of a hospital in southern Brazil. *Pediatr Int.* (2014) 56(4):534-40. doi: 10.1111/ped.12295

40. Ghafoor T, Bashir F, Ahmed S, Khalil S, Farah T. Predictors of treatment outcome of Wilms tumour in low-income country; single centre experience from Pakistan. *J Pediatr Urol.* (2020) 16(3):375.e1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jpurol.2020.03.001

41. Uittenboogaard A, Njuguna F, Mostert S, Langat S, van de Velde ME, Olbara G, et al. Outcomes of Wilms tumor treatment in western Kenya. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2022) 69(4):e29503. doi: 10.1002/pbc.29503

42. Appeadu-Mensah W, Mdoka C, Alemu S, Yifieyeh A, Kaplamula T, Oyania F, et al. Surgical aspects and outcomes after nephrectomy for Wilms tumour in subsaharan Africa: a report from Wilms Africa phase II-CANCaRe Africa. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* (2024) 72:e31134. doi: 10.1002/pbc.31134

43. Zahir M, Alidousti A, Kajbafzadeh AM, Arshadi H, Kompani F, Hajivalizadeh S, et al. Current status and future perspectives of Wilms tumor treatment in Iran. *Ann Med Surg.* (2023) 85(5):1425–9. doi: 10.1097/MS9.000000000000071

44. Bahoush G, Saeedi E. Outcome of children with Wilms' tumor in developing countries. J Med Life. (2020) 13(4):484–9. doi: 10.25122/jml-2019-0084

45. Njuguna F, Martijn HA, Kuremu RT, Saula P, Kirtika P, Olbara G, et al. Wilms tumor treatment outcomes: perspectives from a low-income setting. J Glob Oncol. (2017) 3(5):555–62. doi: 10.1200/JGO.2016.005389

46. Shyirambere C, Villaverde C, Nguyen C, Ruhangaza D, Umwizerwa A, Nsanzimana O, et al. Nephroblastoma treatment and outcomes in a low-income setting. *JCO Glob Oncol.* (2022) 8:e2200036. doi: 10.1200/GO.22.00036

47. Trehan A, Chowdhary SK, Marwaha RK. Wilms tumor: five-year tumor-free survival on a modified SIOP protocol from an Indian university hospital. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. (2012) 34(1):57–62. doi: 10.1097/MPH.0b013e3181f46840

48. Zugor V, Schott GE, Lausen B, Kuhn R, Labanaris AP. Clinical and surgical experience with Wilms' tumor. Long-term results of a single institution. *Anticancer Res.* (2010) 30(5):1735–9.

49. Guruprasad B, Rohan B, Kavitha S, Madhumathi DS, Lokanath D, Appaji L. Wilms' tumor: single centre retrospective study from south India. *Indian J Surg Oncol.* (2013) 4(3):301-4. doi: 10.1007/s13193-013-0248-5

50. Nasir AA, Abdur-Raheem NT, Abdur-Rahman LO, Ibiyeye TT, Sayomi TO, Adedoyin OT, et al. Characteristics and clinical outcomes of children with Wilms' tumour: a 15-year experience in a single centre in Nigeria. *J Pediatr Surg.* (2024) 59(5):1009–14. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2023.12.018

51. Hung JJ, Chang WH, Yang CP, Jaing TH, Liang DC, Lin KH, et al. Epidemiology, clinical features and treatment outcome of Wilms' tumor in Taiwan: a report from Taiwan pediatric oncology group. *J Formos Med Assoc.* (2004) 103(2):104–11.

52. John R, Kurian JJ, Sen S, Gupta MK, Jehangir S, Mathew LG, et al. Clinical outcomes of children with Wilms tumor treated on a SIOP WT 2001 protocol in a tertiary care hospital in south India. *J Pediatr Urol.* (2018) 14(6):547.e1–7. doi: 10. 1016/j.jpurol.2018.05.020

53. Agrawal V, Mishra A, Yadav SK, Sharma D, Acharya H, Mishra A, et al. A 10-year study of the outcome of Wilms' tumor in central India and identifying practice gaps. J Indian Assoc Pediatr Surg. (2022) 27(1):42–52. doi: 10.4103/jiaps.JIAPS_314_20

54. Abdalla M, Hameed S. Wilms tumour treatment in Sudan: a 10-year singlecentre experience. *Cancer Rep.* (2022) 5(11):e1604. doi: 10.1002/cnr2.1604

55. Akyuz C, Yalcin B, Yildiz I, Hazar V, Yoruk A, Tokuc G, et al. Treatment of Wilms tumor: a report from the Turkish pediatric oncology group (TPOG). *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* (2010) 27(3):161–78. doi: 10.3109/08880010903447375

56. Reinhard H, Semler O, Burger D, Bode U, Flentje M, Gobel U, et al. Results of the SIOP 93-01/GPOH trial and study for the treatment of patients with unilateral nonmetastatic Wilms tumor. *Klin Padiatr.* (2004) 216(3):132–40. doi: 10.1055/s-2004-822625

57. Yildiz I, Yuksel L, Ozkan A, Apak H, Celkan T, Danismend N, et al. Multidisciplinary approach to Wilms' tumor: 18 years of experience. Jpn J Clin Oncol. (2000) 30(1):17–20. doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyd001

58. Theilen TM, Braun Y, Bochennek K, Rolle U, Fiegel HC, Friedmacher F. Multidisciplinary treatment strategies for Wilms tumor: recent advances, technical innovations and future directions. *Front Pediatr.* (2022) 10:852185. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.852185

59. Rahiman EA, Trehan A, Jain R, Menon P, Kakkar N, Srinivasan R, et al. A higher tumor volume and undernutrition at diagnosis adversely affect the survival of children with wilms tumor: a study of 200 patients. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. (2022) 69(11):e29880. doi: 10.1002/pbc.29880

60. Sforza S, Palmieri VE, Raspollini MR, Roviello G, Mantovani A, Basso U, et al. Robotic approach with neoadjuvant chemotherapy in adult Wilms' tumor: a feasibility study report and a systematic review of the literature. *Asian J Urol.* (2023) 10(2):128–36. doi: 10.1016/j.ajur.2021.10.004

61. Grundy PE, Breslow NE, Li S, Perlman E, Beckwith JB, Ritchey ML, et al. Loss of heterozygosity for chromosomes 1p and 16q is an adverse prognostic factor in favorable-histology Wilms tumor: a report from the national Wilms tumor study group. *J Clin Oncol.* (2005) 23(29):7312–21. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.01.2799

62. Gadd S, Huff V, Walz AL, Ooms A, Armstrong AE, Gerhard DS, et al. A children's oncology group and TARGET initiative exploring the genetic landscape of Wilms tumor. *Nat Genet.* (2017) 49(10):1487–94. doi: 10.1038/ng.3940

63. Williams RD, Chagtai T, Alcaide-German M, Apps J, Wegert J, Popov S, et al. Multiple mechanisms of MYCN dysregulation in Wilms tumour. *Oncotarget.* (2015) 6(9):7232-43. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.3377

64. Zhang L, Gao X, Zhou X, Qin Z, Wang Y, Li R, et al. Identification of key genes and microRNAs involved in kidney Wilms tumor by integrated bioinformatics analysis. *Exp Ther Med.* (2019) 18(4):2554–64. doi: 10.3892/etm.2016.3686

65. Walz AL, Ooms A, Gadd S, Gerhard DS, Smith MA, Guidry Auvil JM, et al. Recurrent DGCR8, DROSHA, and SIX homeodomain mutations in favorable histology Wilms tumors. *Cancer Cell.* (2015) 27(2):286–97. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2015.01.003

66. Theiss AL, Sitaraman SV. The role and therapeutic potential of prohibitin in disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. (2011) 1813(6):1137-43. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2011. 01.033