Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Hiroo Uchida, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Japan

REVIEWED BY Sandip Rahul, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India Ahmed K. Ali, Assiut University, Egypt

*correspondence Jian-yao Wang ⊠ yaozi_66@126.com

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 04 January 2025 ACCEPTED 07 March 2025 PUBLISHED 24 March 2025

CITATION

Wang H-s, Huang K-h, Jiang R-s, Lao J, Long J-x, Wu M-b, Tang J-l, Jiang X-p, Wang B, Chen Z-m and Wang J-y (2025) Presenting features and treatment of small intestinal adenomyosis in children: a 10-year retrospective study. Front. Pediatr. 13:1555418. doi: 10.3389/fped.2025.1555418

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wang, Huang, Jiang, Lao, Long, Wu, Tang, Jiang, Wang, Chen and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Presenting features and treatment of small intestinal adenomyosis in children: a 10-year retrospective study

Huan-sheng Wang^{1†}, Ke-hua Huang^{2†}, Ren-sen Jiang², Jin Lao³, Jie-xing Long², Miao-bing Wu², Jia-lin Tang², Xian-ping Jiang⁴, Bin Wang³, Zi-min Chen³ and Jian-yao Wang^{3*}

¹Department of General Surgery, Shenzhen Children's Hospital of China Medical University, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China, ²Department of General Surgery, Shenzhen Children's Hospital of ShanTou University, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China, ³Department of General Surgery, Shenzhen Children's Hospital, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China, ⁴Department of Pathology, Shenzhen Children's Hospital, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

Objective: This study aims to analyze and summarize the clinical characteristics of small intestinal adenomyosis.

Methods: A retrospective study was conducted on children with small intestinal adenomyosis at our center from 2014 to 2024. The age of onset, gender, clinical symptoms, auxiliary examination results, treatment plans, and pathological characteristics of the tumors were recorded and analyzed.

Results: Six cases of small intestinal adenomyosis were analyzed. The male-tofemale ratio was 5:1. The median age was 19 months, with two-thirds of the affected children being under 2 years old. Two cases were identified in newborns during the treatment of intestinal malrotation. The remaining patients developed symptoms of intussusception. Preoperative ultrasound identified pathological lead points in two cases. Among the six cases, one case's adenomyoma was located in the jejunum, while the rest was located in the ileum, with tumors ranging from 25 to 140 cm from the ileocecal region. All six patients underwent resection of the tumor segments in the small intestine followed by anastomosis; postoperative prognosis was favorable. Of the six pathological results, glandular-like structures were found in five tumors, with three cases lined with cuboidal or columnar epithelium and one case lined with stratified or squamous epithelium.

Conclusions: Small intestinal adenomyosis is observed to be more prevalent in male, with onset ages ranging from six days to four years. It frequently coexists with recurrent intussusception, making reduction with air or water enema challenging. Abdominal ultrasound typically shows tumors at the leading edge of the intussusception, with compromised blood flow signals and multiple small cystic or honeycomb-like anechoic structures. Surgery is the primary treatment and generally results in a favorable prognosis.

KEYWORDS

acute abdomen, intussusception, intestinal obstruction, small intestinal adenomyomas, tumor

1 Introduction

Gastrointestinal adenomyosis, also known as myoepithelial hamartoma, adenomyomatous hamartoma, or anterior intestinal villous tumor, is a benign gastrointestinal mass with an unknown etiology. Previous literature suggests that adenomyosis mainly occurs in the pyloric region of the stomach, characterized by glandular structures lined with cuboidal to high columnar epithelium, surrounded by smooth muscle bundles (1, 2). Adenomyosis of the small intestine in children is exceedingly rare. According to literature review results, since Clarke et al. reported the first case in 1940, only 34 cases have been documented, with most being individual case reports (3-23). Due to the limited number of cases, the clinical characteristics of small intestinal adenomyosis in children remain unclear. Therefore, to increase awareness of this disease, we present the clinical characteristics, pathological features, auxiliarv examinations, and treatment plans of children with small intestinal adenomyosis treated at our center over the past decade. Moreover, a comprehensive statistical analysis of adenomyosis cases reported both in the literature and the current study was performed, as detailed in the discussion section. This analysis encompassed sex-based incidence, age distribution, and the correlation between age at onset and tumor dimensions. To our knowledge, this study is the first to perform comprehensive analyses of the clinical characteristics of small intestinal adenomyosis in children.

2 Materials and methods

- Study population: Cases with a final pathological diagnosis of adenomyoma of the small intestine, spanning from 2014 to 2024 at the Shenzhen Children's Hospital in Shenzhen, China, were collected. A total of six patients were enrolled, comprising five males and one female. Inclusion criteria: (1) postoperative pathological results confirmed as small intestinal adenomyoma; (2) complete medical records.
- Clinical data: Clinical data collected in this study included age, sex, clinical symptoms, auxiliary examinations, surgical approach, tumor characteristics (location, size), and pathological findings from surgical specimens. Pathological findings were re-confirmed independently.
- 3. Statistical analysis: Patient demographics and clinical characteristics were reported using median and interquartile range (IQR). Linear regression and ANOVA were conducted using SPSS 24.0. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 General clinical information

In this study, two newborns were found to have tumors during the surgical treatment of intestinal malrotation, while the remaining children were diagnosed with intussusception prior to surgery. Among the six patients diagnosed with small intestinal adenomyosis, the ages ranged from 0.2 months to 56 months, with a median age of 19 months and an average age of 19.8 months. The male-to-female ratio was 5:1, with two-thirds of the patients being under two years old. Notably, there were two cases involving newborns: one was admitted for worsening jaundice and vomiting, and the other for repeated vomiting. The remaining patients presented with symptoms of intussusception, including abdominal pain, bloating, vomiting, and bloody stools, with vomiting being the most common symptom (Table 1).

All six patients underwent abdominal ultrasound examinations upon admission. The ultrasound results of four patients demonstrated the classic target ring sign in the short-axis view of the abdominal mass and the sleeve sign in the long-axis view, indicative of ileo-ileal type intussusception. The tumors were found at the head of the intussusception. The ultrasound features of the tumor included a hypoechoic mass at the head, with honeycomb-like or several small cystic echoes inside. Notably, the blood flow signals of the tumor were punctate, indicating poor blood supply (Figure 1). In case 5, the patient underwent abdominal CT enhancement and 3D reconstruction prior to surgery, but the CT results only revealed intussusception and did not detect any tumors in the small intestine.

3.2 Treatment and pathological results

Among all the patients, one received ultrasound-guided water enema, which was not successful. All patients underwent laparoscopic surgery, which confirmed the presence of tumors in the small intestine during the operation. One of the patients had a tumor in the jejunum, located 10 cm from the duodenal suspensory ligament, while the others had tumors in the ileum, located 25-140 cm from the ileocecal region. Surgical management comprised complete tumor resection through small intestinal segmentectomy followed by immediate anastomotic reconstruction in each pediatric case. All six cases were initially explored using laparoscopy to locate the affected segment of the small intestine, which was then removed from the abdominal cavity for resection (Figure 2). In our study, intraoperative findings revealed that the tumors were located beneath the mucosa of the small intestine on the antimesenteric side. Quantitative assessment revealed that older children exhibited significantly larger resected tumor volumes compared to younger counterparts. Furthermore, no Meckel's diverticulum was identified within the intestine. The postoperative hospital stay ranged from 6 to 20 days, and the children's physical health was monitored during the six-month follow-up after discharge. Follow-up results indicated that the patients have remained free of tumor recurrence symptoms over the past six months (Table 1).

Postoperative pathology revealed that all tumors were confined to the submucosal layer and covered by normal small intestinal mucosa. They protruded into the small intestinal lumen in a polyp-like manner, with diameters ranging from 0.8 to 3.0 cm. Histologically, all tumors exhibited glandular-like structures embedded within interlaced smooth muscles. Specifically, five of

No	Age	Sex	Surgical diagnosis	Location	Size (cm)	Site	Distance to ileocecal valve (cm)	Distance to ligament of Treitz (cm)	Postoperative hospitalization days (day)	The followed- up result (>6 months)
1	6d	Male	Intestinal malrotation	Jejunum	0.8	NA	NA	10	20	Great
2	17d	Male	Intestinal malrotation	Ileum	1.0	NA	NA	NA	16	Great
3	1y4m	Female	Intussusception	Ileum	2.0	NA	25.0	NA	7	Great
4	2y1m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	2.3	NA	35.0	NA	6	Great
5	1y9m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	1.5	NA	30.0	NA	7	Great
6	4y8m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	3.0	NA	140.0	NA	6	Great

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of patients with adenomyoma of the small intestine.

d, days; m, months; NA, not available; y, years.



them were lined with cuboidal or columnar epithelium, while one was lined with stratified or squamous epithelium. No pancreatic-like tissue was detected in any of the tumor tissues (Figure 3).

4 Discussion

As a benign tumor-like lesion, adenomyosis can be observed in both adults and children and was first described by Magnus (24). In adult patients, adenomyoma was mostly found around the gallbladder and ampulla, with clinical symptoms typically including abdominal pain, jaundice, and fever (2, 25). In pediatric patients, the vast majority of adenomyosis are discovered due to recurrent symptoms of intussusception, such as repeated vomiting, abdominal pain, constipation, and feeding difficulties. Compared to adult patients, small intestinal adenomyosis in children is extremely rare and mostly reported as individual cases. To examine potential sex-based associations in small intestinal adenomyosis incidence, we performed comparative statistical analysis of both clinical variables (sex and disease occurrence). Based on literature reports and data from this study, adenomyosis of the small intestine is found to be more prevalent in male children, with a male-to-female ratio of 3:1. The age of onset is primarily concentrated between 0 and 20 months (Figure 4).



Intraoperative photos of small intestinal adenomyoma. (A) The ileum-ileum intussusception caused by small intestinal adenomyoma. (B) Small intestine segment containing small intestine adenomyoma. (C) The excised small intestinal adenomyoma, with 3 cm \times 2 cm \times 1 cm in size.



FIGURE 3

Classic pathological image of small intestinal adenomyoma (stained with hematoxylin and eosin, x40). (A) Microscopic examination revealed that the tumor contains glandular structures of varying sizes interlaced with smooth muscle bundles. (B) Microscopic examination showed that arrow A points to the normal small intestinal mucosal epithelium, while arrow B arrow highlighted the glandular cavity with a dilated smooth muscle layer lined with a single layer of cuboidal epithelium.



Currently, the pathogenesis of small intestinal adenomyosis is unclear. Some scholars believe that small intestinal adenomyosis should be considered a gastrointestinal hamartoma because the pathological tissue of the tumor contains goblet cells, silver protein cells, and smooth muscle matrix (8). This theory is supported by two additional case reports (14, 26). However, some scholars argued that this viewpoint lacks rigor, as the appearance of goblet cells and silver wax cells might be explained by metaplasia, while the presence of increased smooth muscle tissue could be due to secondary muscle proliferation caused by stimulation from displaced epithelium (27). Therefore, some scholars suggested that small intestinal adenomyosis might represent ectopic pancreatic tissue, based on the discovery of pancreatic acinar tissue in tumor (3). Babál et al. observed that the tissue chemical reactivity of duodenal adenomyosis in an elderly patient was similar to that of adjacent pancreatic ductal epithelium (28). Studies have reported that in the immunohistochemical findings of small intestinal adenomyoma, CK7 is positive and CK20 negative (26, 29). This finding paralleled the immunohistochemical results of the pancreatic duct epithelium, further supporting the theory of ectopic pancreas. In this research, no pancreatic tissue was detected in the postoperative pathology of any cases. However, various sizes of glandular structures and interlaced smooth muscle bundles around glandular elements were observed. The glandular lining consisted of non-atypical cuboidal, columnar, stratified, or squamous epithelium, aligning with the current pathological definitions of small intestinal adenomyoma (27). Therefore, additional studies are necessary to definitively characterize this condition.

In this study, two patients were found to have tumors during the surgical treatment for intestinal malrotation, while the others were diagnosed with intussusception prior to surgery, consistent with cases reported in the literature (Table 2). Intussusception is commonly diagnosed through abdominal ultrasound (30), which is internationally recognized as the preferred auxiliary examination due to its non-invasive, radiation-free, and readily available nature (31). Reports indicated that ultrasound examination for diagnosing intussusception has high sensitivity and specificity, and can sometimes detect small pathological lead points (32). We analyzed cases in the literature, among which twenty-three patients underwent ultrasound examination before surgery, and ten were found to have pathological lead points. Among the six cases in this study, four were diagnosed with intussusception by preoperative ultrasound examination, and three were found to have pathological lead points. In this study, the ultrasound features of small intestinal adenomyosis were as follows: (1) the small intestinal adenomyoma presents as a hypoechoic mass with uneven internal echoes and shows several small cystic/honeycomb shaped-structures with no echoes; (2) point/strip-shaped blood flow signals are displayed within the small intestinal adenomyoma. Notably, in case 5 of this study, the patient underwent both abdominal ultrasound and abdominal enhanced CT before surgery, but no pathological lead points were found on CT. According to literature reports, CT has difficulty identifying small intestinal adenomyosis when intussusception is present (7, 19). Therefore, we believe that ultrasound has higher sensitivity and specificity than CT in diagnosing small intestinal adenomyosis. Due to the small sample size, this comparison lacks persuasiveness, and more samples are needed for future research.

Because most children with small intestinal adenomyosis often present with acute abdomen upon admission, the majority receive emergency surgical treatment. Among the six cases in this study, one child underwent ultrasound-guided water enema treatment before surgery, but the intussusception could not be released. Our systematic review of existing literature revealed that neither

No	First author	Year	Age	Sex	Surgical diagnosis	Location	Size (cm)	Site	Distance to ileocecal valve (cm)	Distance to ligament of Treitz (cm)
1.	Clarke et al. (21)	1940	15 y	Male	Intussusception	Meckel's diverticulum	NA	NA	NA	NA
2.	Schwartz et al. (5)	1958	8m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	2.0	NA	25.0	NA
3.	Rosenmann et al. (6)	1980	2d	Female	Intussusception	Ileum	NA	NA	NA	NA
4.	Kim et al. (7)	1990	7y	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	4.0	Antimesenteric	NA	NA
5.	Gal et al. (8)	1991	9m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	1.2	NA	70.0	NA
6.	Lamki et al. (9)	1993	22m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	1.5	NA	NA	NA
7.	Serour et al. (10)	1994	3y9m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	2.0	Antimesenteric	60.0	NA
8.	Chan et al. (11)	1994	5m	Female	Intussusception	Ileum	0.8	Antimesenteric	NA	NA
9.	Chan et al. (11)	1994	3у	Male	Incidental	Ileum	0.8	Antimesenteric	NA	NA
10.	Gonzalvez et al. (12)	1995	2y	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	2.0	NA	NA	NA
11.	Yamagami et al. (13)	2000	4m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	NA	Antimesenteric	NA	NA
12.	Yao et al. (3)	2000	22m	Male	Intussusception	Meckel diverticulum	NA	NA	NA	NA
13.	Park et al. (14)	2003	7m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	1.2	NA	20.0	NA
14.	Mouravas et al. (15)	2003	18m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	1.5	NA	NA	NA
15.	Lo Bello Gemma et al. (23)	2003	13y	Female	Intussusception & volvulus	jejunum	NA	NA	NA	NA
16.	Ikegami et al. (16)	2006	5m	Female	Intussusception	Ileum	1.5	Antimesenteric	70.0	NA
17.	Bak et al. (17)	2014	11m	Female	intussusception	Ileum	1.0	NA	10.0	NA
18.	Copeland et al. (22)	2018	2m	Male	Gastroschisis	jejunum	1.5	NA	NA	NA
19.	Yan et al. (18)	2019	4m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	1.0	NA	75.0	NA
20.	Yamada et al. (19)	2022	4m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	1.8	NA	77.0	NA
21.	Blevrakis E et al. (20)	2023	2m	Female	intussusception	Ileum	NA	NA	NA	NA
22.	Li et al. (4)	2023	8y4 m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	2.5	NA	260.0	NA
23.	Li et al. (4)	2023	1y4m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	3.0	NA	40.0	NA
24.	Li et al. (4)	2023	2y6m	Female	Incidental	Ileum	1.5	Antimesenteric	150.0	NA
25.	Li et al. (4)	2023	7m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	1.0	Antimesenteric	50.0	NA
26.	Li et al. (4)	2023	3у	Female	Intussusception	Ileum	3.0	Antimesenteric	30.0	NA
27.	Li et al. (4)	2023	1y	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	NA	Antimesenteric	30.0	NA
28.	Li et al. (4)	2023	11m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	1.0	NA	30.0	NA
29.	Li et al. (4)	2023	2y4m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	3.0	Antimesenteric	140.0	NA
30.	Li et al. (4)	2023	4m	Female	Intussusception	Ileum	1.5	Antimesenteric	110.0	NA
31.	Li et al. (4)	2023	3y10m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	2.0	Antimesenteric	35.0	NA
32.	Li et al. (4)	2023	2m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	1.0	NA	24.0	NA
33.	Li et al. (4)	2023	1m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	NA	NA	30.0	NA
34.	Li et al. (4)	2023	6y7m	Male	Intussusception	Ileum	2.0	Antimesenteric	75.0	NA

TABLE 2 Summary of case reports of children with adenomyoma of the small intestine in the literature.

d, days; m, months; NA, not available; y, years; cm: centimeter.

pneumatic nor hydrostatic enema procedures demonstrated successful reduction of intussusception in any preoperative clinical documentation (4, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20). This suggested that the use of air or water enema to reduce intussusception caused by small intestinal adenomyosis presents significant challenges. Therefore, all six patients underwent small intestine segment resection and end-toend anastomosis at the tumor site. This surgical procedure is advantageous due to minimal trauma, a clear surgical field, and short surgical time, and is recommended in the literature (4). The scope of resection for diseased intestinal segments is rarely mentioned in the literature. We recommend resecting the affected intestinal segment based on findings from preoperative auxiliary examinations and intraoperative assessments. If the affected segment was non-necrotic, resection should be performed 2 cm distal to the tumor, followed by intestine-to-intestine anastomosis. In cases of necrosis, the affected intestine segment should be resected in accordance with the extent of the necrotic tissue. Finally, if additional lesions are identified during

surgery, such as congenital intestinal malrotation, Meckel's diverticulum, or small intestinal torsion, the corresponding intestinal segment should be removed. In this study, except for case 1 where the tumor was located in the jejunum, all other tumors were located in the ileum. A literature review found that only two cases had tumors located in the jejunum (22, 23), while the others were located in the ileum. This indicates that the majority of small intestinal adenomyosis occur in the ileum. Additionally, an analysis of the relationship between tumor size and age of onset, based on case reports and our data, revealed a linear correlation between the two variables. Specifically, a positive correlation was observed between tumor size and age of onset (Figure 5).

In summary, adenomyoma of the small intestine in children is exceedingly rare. Although it is a benign tumor, its etiology remains unclear. It frequently coexists with recurrent intussusception, making reduction with air or water enema challenging. Abdominal ultrasound typically shows tumors at the leading edge of the



intussusception, with compromised blood flow signals and multiple small cystic or honeycomb-like anechoic structures within. Surgery is the primary treatment and generally results in a favorable prognosis.

Given the retrospective nature of this study, selection bias may be present. Additionally, the study primarily focuses on perioperative complications, and the follow-up duration is relatively brief. All patients included in the study originated from a single center, which may not be representative of the entire population.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of Shenzhen Children's Hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardians/next of kin. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s), and minor(s)' legal guardian/next of kin, for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

H-sW: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. K-hH: Conceptualization, Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. R-sJ: Resources, Software, Writing - review & editing. JL: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. J-xL: Writing - review & editing, Formal analysis, Resources. M-bW: Writing - review & editing, Data curation, Validation. J-IT: Writing - review & editing, Data curation, Visualization. X-pJ: Writing - review & editing, Resources. BW: Writing - review & editing, Project administration, Supervision. Z-mC: Writing - review & editing, Methodology. J-yW: Writing review & editing, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. The study was supported by grants from Guangdong High-level Hospital Construction Fund (No. ynkt2021-zz07), Natural Science Foundation of Shenzhen Science and Technology Innovation Commission (No. JCYJ20220530155610023) and Guangdong High-level Hospital Construction Fund.

Acknowledgments

We extend our gratitude to BW for his invaluable support of this research. Additionally, we thank X-pJ from the Department of Pathology at our institution for her meticulous review of the specimens.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Vandelli A, Cariani G, Bonora G, Padovani F, Saragoni L, Dell'Amore D. Adenomyoma of the stomach. Report of a case and review of the literature. *Surg Endosc.* (1993) 7(3):185-7. doi: 10.1007/BF00594104

2. Handra-Luca A, Terris B, Couvelard A, Bonte H, Flejou JF. Adenomyoma and adenomyomatous hyperplasia of the vaterian system: clinical, pathological, and new immunohistochemical features of 13 cases. *Mod Pathol.* (2003) 16(6):530–6. doi: 10. 1097/01.MP.0000073525.71096.8F

3. Yao JL, Zhou H, Roche K, Bangaru BS, Ginsburg H, Greco MA. Adenomyoma arising in a meckel diverticulum: case report and review of the literature. *Pediatr Dev Pathol.* (2000) 3(5):497–500. doi: 10.1007/s100240010097

4. Li Y, Wang D, Liu T, Li X, Li S, Wang L, et al. Adenomyoma of the small intestine in children: a 12-year experience in a tertiary referral center. *ANZ J Surg.* (2023) 93(11):2716–20. doi: 10.1111/ans.18663

5. Schwartz SI, Radwin HM. Myoepithelial hamartoma of the ileum causing intussusception. AMA Arch Surg. (1958) 77(1):102-4. doi: 10.1001/archsurg.1958. 01290010104018

6. Rosenmann E, Maayan C, Lernau O. Leiomyomatous hamartosis with congenital jejunoileal atresia. *Isr J Med Sci.* (1980) 16(11):775–9.

7. Kim CJ, Choe GY, Chi JG. Foregut choristoma of the ileum, (adenomyoma)-a case report. *Pediatr Pathol.* (1990) 10(5):799-805. doi: 10.3109/15513819009064713

8. Gal R, Rath-Wolfson L, Ginzburg M, Kessler E. Adenomyomas of the small intestine. *Histopathology*. (1991) 18(4):369-71. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2559.1991.tb00862.x

9. Lamki N, Woo CL, Watson AB Jr, Kim HS. Adenomyomatous hamartoma causing ileoileal intussusception in a young child. *Clin Imaging*. (1993) 17(3):183–5. doi: 10.1016/0899-7071(93)90106-W

10. Serour F, Gorenstein A, Lipnitzky V, Zaidel L. Adenomyoma of the small bowel: a rare cause of intussusception in childhood. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* (1994) 18(2):247–9. doi: 10.1002/j.1536-4801.1994.tb11161.x

11. Chan YF, Roche D. Adenomyoma of the small intestine in children. J Pediatr Surg. (1994) 29(12):1611–2. doi: 10.1016/0022-3468(94)90237-2

12. Gonzálvez J, Marco A, Andújar M, Iñiguez L. Myoepithelial hamartoma of the ileum: a rare cause of intestinal intussusception in children. *Eur J Pediatr Surg=Z Kinderchir*. (1995) 5(5):303–4. doi: 10.1055/s-2008-1066232

13. Yamagami T, Tokiwa K, Iwai N. Myoepithelial hamartoma of the ileum causing intussusception in an infant. *Pediatr Surg Int.* (1997) 12(2/3):206–7. doi: 10.1007/BF01350005

14. Park HS, Lee SO, Lee JM, Kang MJ, Lee DG, Chung MJ. Adenomyoma of the small intestine: report of two cases and review of the literature. *Pathol Int.* (2003) 53(2):111–4. doi: 10.1046/j.1440-1827.2003.01435.x

15. Mouravas V, Koutsoumis G, Patoulias J, Kostopoulos I, Kottakidou R, Kallergis K, et al. Adenomyoma of the small intestine in children: a rare cause of intussusception: a case report. *Turk J Pediatr.* (2003) 45(4):345–7.

16. Ikegami R, Watanabe Y, Tainaka T. Myoepithelial hamartoma causing smallbowel intussusception: a case report and literature review. *Pediatr Surg Int.* (2006) 22(4):387–9. doi: 10.1007/s00383-005-1616-1

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

17. Bak YJ, Rolle U, Gfroerer S, Fiegel HC. Adenomyoma of the small intestine a rare pathological lead point for intussusception in an infant. *SpringerPlus.* (2014) 3:616. doi: 10.1186/2193-1801-3-616

18. Yan Y, Liu Q, Liu X, Zhang X, Miao L, Pang H, et al. Sonographic diagnosis of an ileal adenomyoma in a neonate. *J Clin Ultrasound*. (2019) 47(2):97–9. doi: 10.1002/jcu.22608

19. Yamada W, Kaji T, Harumatsu T, Matsui M, Ieiri S. Recurrent intussusceptions due to small intestinal adenomyoma: a case report. *Pediatr Int.* (2022) 64(1):e14920. doi: 10.1111/ped.14920

20. Blevrakis E, Stefanaki M, Ntoulios G, Kafaraki P, Datseri G, Kalemou PE, et al. Ileocolic intussusception in infancy is not always idiopathic—adenomyoma as the leading point. *Am J Case Rep.* (2023) 24:e939789. doi: 10.12659/AJCR.939789

21. Mitchell N, Angrist A. Myo-epithelial hamartoma of the gastrointestinal tract (clarke). *Ann Intern Med.* (1943) 19(6):952–64. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-19-6-952

22. Copeland AR, Wang HL, Jen HC. Jejunal adenomyoma in an infant with gastroschisis. J Pediatr Surg Case Rep. (2018) 33:20-2. doi: 10.1016/j.epsc.2018.03.005

23. Lo Bello Gemma G, Corradino R, Cavuoto F, Motta M. Myoepithelial jejunal hamartoma causing small bowel intussusception and volvolus. *Radiol Med.* (2003) 105(3):246–9.

24. Magnus-Alsleben E. Adenomyome des pylorus. Virchows Arch Pathol Anat Physiol Klin Med. (1903) 173(1):137-55.

25. Liu W, Li J, Yang Z, Jiang J, Zhang D, Lu W. Bile ductal mucosal dysplasia is a possible risk factor for adenocarcinoma in patients with adenomyomatous hyperplasia of the vaterian system: a single-centre study from China. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2024) 24(1):8. doi: 10.1186/s12876-023-03096-5

26. Qing X, Petrie BA, Buslon V, French S. Adenomyoma of the jejunum. *Exp Mol Pathol.* (2009) 86(2):127-30. doi: 10.1016/j.yexmp.2009.01.007

27. Takahashi Y, Fukusato T. Adenomyoma of the small intestine. World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol. (2011) 2(6):88–92. doi: 10.4291/wjgp.v2.i6.88

28. Babál P, Zaviacic M, Danihel L. Evidence that adenomyoma of the duodenum is ectopic pancreas. *Histopathology*. (1998) 33(5):487-8. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2559.1998. 0491d.x

29. Takahashi Y, Fukushima J, Fukusato T, Mori S. Adenomyoma with goblet and paneth cells of the ileum. *Pathol Res Pract.* (2006) 202(7):549–53. doi: 10.1016/j.prp. 2006.03.001

30. Hwang J, Yoon HM, Kim PH, Jung AY, Lee JS, Cho YA. Current diagnosis and image-guided reduction for intussusception in children. *Clin Exp Pediatr.* (2023) 66(1):12–21. doi: 10.3345/cep.2021.01816

31. Applegate KE. Intussusception in children: evidence-based diagnosis and treatment. *Pediatr Radiol.* (2009) 39(Suppl 2):S140-3. doi: 10.1007/s00247-009-1178-9

32. Hryhorczuk AL, Strouse PJ. Validation of US as a first-line diagnostic test for assessment of pediatric ileocolic intussusception. *Pediatr Radiol.* (2009) 39(10):1075–9. doi: 10.1007/s00247-009-1353-z