Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mario Lima, University of Bologna, Italy

REVIEWED BY Valentina Cascini, Spirito Santo Hospital, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Ospan A. Mynbaev Sospanmynbaev@gmail.com

RECEIVED 03 March 2025 ACCEPTED 03 April 2025 PUBLISHED 17 April 2025

CITATION

Davydenko NL, Idrissov KS, Alikanova LE, Kazenashev VV and Mynbaev OA (2025) Commentary: CO2 pneumoperitoneum clinical study pitfalls. Front. Pediatr. 13:1587078. doi: 10.3389/fped.2025.1587078

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Davydenko, Idrissov, Alikanova, Kazenashev and Mynbaev. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Commentary: CO2 pneumoperitoneum clinical study pitfalls

Natalya L. Davydenko¹, Kaldybay S. Idrissov², Lyazzat E. Alikanova³, Victor V. Kazenashev⁴ and Ospan A. Mynbaev^{5*}

¹Gynecology Department, Central Clinical Hospital «RZD-Medicine», Moscow, Russia, ²General Practitioner Department, South Kazakhstan Medical Academy, Shymkent, Kazakhstan, ³General Practitioner Department N1, Shymkent Campus, Khoja Akhmet Yassawi International Kazakh-Turkish University, Turkistan, Kazakhstan, ⁴Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Medicine, Faculty of General Medicine, Russian University of Medicine, Moscow, Russia, ⁵The New European Surgical Academy, Berlin, Germany

KEYWORDS

CO2 pneumoperitoneum, intraperitoneal pressure, neonatal laparoscopic surgery, blood gas acid-base changes, carboxemia, metabolic acidemia, oxidative stress, acute inflammatory cytokines

A Commentary on

Application of different CO2 pneumoperitoneum pressure in laparoscopic pyeloplasty for infants with ureteropelvic junction obstruction

By Peng Y, Zhu M, Chen C (2024). Front. Pediatr. 12:1380985. doi: 10.3389/fped.2024. 1380985

We read with great interest the paper by Peng et al. (1) that studied different CO2 pneumoperitoneum pressures during laparoscopic pyeloplasty in infants. It is a critical study with essential findings for clinicians, especially pediatric surgeons, due to increased surgical activities in neonatology using laparoscopic and robot-assisted surgery when higher CO2 pneumoperitoneum pressure is applied.

In this study (1), the authors demonstrated significant changes in blood gas acid-base parameters, including pH, arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO2), actual base excess (ABE), and standard base excess (SBE) at the point of 30 min after insufflation in comparison with 5 min before insufflation in both groups of patients with CO2 pneumoperitoneum pressures at five and eight mmHg in the abdominal cavity. These changes were accompanied by increased parameters of various stress indexes, inflammatory cytokines, and oxidative stress markers, including cortisol, epinephrine, interleukin (IL)-6, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), serum malondialdehyde (MDA) and reduction of superoxide dismutase (SOD) at this sampling point (30 min after insufflation). All these changes were more pronounced in patients with the CO2 pneumoperitoneum pressure at eight mmHg in the abdominal cavity than at five mmHg, emphasizing extreme caution in applying higher CO2 pneumoperitoneum pressures for infants.

These changes in blood gas acid-base parameters (1) correspond with our results from experimental (2) and clinical (3) studies. We observed increased PaCO2 and decreased pH in the arterial blood of rabbits depending on CO2 pneumoperitoneum pressure and



duration of the carbon dioxide insufflation (2). Analogously, the end-tidal CO2 (PetCO2) concentration was substantially increased during laparoscopic surgery with CO2-pneumoperitoneum at 7– 9 mmHg in 12 newborns due to ovarian tumors (3). Further elevation of the PetCO2 was controlled by mild hyperventilation with increased ventilation rate without changes of tidal volume in these newborns (Figure 1). In addition; we observed increased systolic/diastolic arterial blood pressures and the peak of respiratory pressure in these newborns (3). Meanwhile, cardiac output decreased, and heart rate, urine output, and skin temperature remained stable (3). Analogously, in our experimental studies in rabbit models, mechanical lung ventilation was used with increased tidal volume to prevent hypercarboxemia and acidosis compared with severe changes of acid-base equilibrium parameters in animals with lower tidal volume ventilation mode (2).

Unfortunately, the authors (1) did not include capnography findings, such as PetCO2 and other lung function parameters during laparoscopic surgery. They also did not describe a mechanical lung ventilation mode to prevent expected CO2 pneumoperitoneum adverse effects and complications in their vulnerable patients (1).

It is well known that anesthesiological routine procedures during laparoscopic surgery with CO2 pneumoperitoneum included monitoring of PetCO2 with correction of minute ventilation volume by increased ventilation rates or tidal volume to prevent hypercarboxemia, acidosis, and other intraoperative CO2 accumulation side effects and post-surgical complications. Subsequently, in this study (1), controlled under PetCO2, different lung ventilation modes should be applied depending on CO2 pneumoperitoneum pressure levels to reduce the side effects of CO2 accumulation in their patients.

Generally, such clinical studies should consider all surgical and anesthesiological factors associated with the surgical approach, i.e., laparoscopy and robot-assisted surgery. The study (1) aimed to determine the impact of different CO2 pneumoperitoneum pressures on the physiological function of infants. Therefore, the absence of information concerning anesthesiology management with PetCO2 monitoring and lung ventilation modes is a significant oversight in this study (1), underscoring the importance of comprehensive studies to ensure the safety and well-being of vulnerable pediatric patients.

Author contributions

ND: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. KI: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Visualization. LA: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Visualization. VK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing, Validation. OM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Peng Y, Zhu M, Chen C. Application of different CO2 pneumoperitoneum pressure in laparoscopic pyeloplasty for infants with ureteropelvic junction obstruction. *Front Pediatr.* (2024) 12:1380985. doi: 10.3389/fped.2024.1380985

2. Mynbaev OA, Molinas CR, Adamyan LV, Vanacker B, Koninckx PR. Pathogenesis of CO(2) pneumoperitoneum-induced metabolic hypoxemia in a

rabbit model. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc. (2002) 9(3):306–14. doi: 10.1016/s1074-3804(05)60409-4

3. Ormantayev AK, Sepbayeva AD, Kosmas IP, Baimaganbetov AK, Issakov VY, Mynbaev OA. Comment on "evidence for negative effects of elevated intraabdominal pressure on pulmonary mechanics and oxidative stress". *Sci World J.* (2015) 2015:746937. doi: 10.1155/2015/746937