



# *In vivo* Antihypertensive and Antihyperlipidemic Effects of the Crude Extracts and Fractions of *Moringa stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. Leaves in Rats

#### Bekesho Geleta<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Eyasu Makonnen<sup>2</sup>, Asfaw Debella<sup>1</sup> and Ashenif Tadele<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Directorate of Traditional and Modern Medicine Research, Ethiopian Public Health Institute, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, <sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Adolfo Andrade-Cetto, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico

#### Reviewed by:

Somiranjan Ghosh, Howard University, USA Konstantinos Tziomalos, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

> \*Correspondence: Bekesho Geleta bekeshog@gmail.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Ethnopharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 18 January 2016 Accepted: 04 April 2016 Published: 21 April 2016

#### Citation:

Geleta B, Makonnen E, Debella A and Tadele A (2016) In vivo Antihypertensive and Antihyperlipidemic Effects of the Crude Extracts and Fractions of Moringa stenopetala (Baker f.) Cufod. Leaves in Rats. Front. Pharmacol. 7:97. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2016.00097 **Background:** *Moringa stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. is a medicinal plant that has been used in Ethiopian traditional medicine as a remedy for treatment of hypertension and diabetes. The aim of this study was to evaluate antihypertensive and antihyperlipidemic effect in fructose induced hypertensive rats.

**Methods:** Rats were randomly divided into control and treatment groups (n = 6). Treatment groups were given daily extracts (250, 500, and 1000 mg/kg) orally with fructose. Whereas, positive, negative and normal control groups were received captopril (20 mg/kg/day with fructose), only fructose (66% w/v *ad libitum*) and distilled water *ad libitum* for 15 days, respectively. The blood pressure was measured every 5th day using tail cuff blood pressure analyzer, and on the 16th day the blood was sampled to evaluate antihyperlipidemic effect using clinical chemistry analyzer.

**Results:** The study showed that aqueous and 70% ethanol extracts significantly prevented blood pressure increment in a dose dependent manner comparable to that of the standard drug. Similarly, the extracts suppressed increment in lipid profile (cholesterol, glucose, and triglycerides) compared with negative control. The biochemical test revealed that extracts produced a rise in liver but no effect on kidney function indicators compared with normal control.

**Conclusion:** These findings revealed that both crude extracts of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. possess antihypertensive and antihyperlipidemic effect.

Keywords: antihypertensive, fructose, in vivo, Moringa stenopetala, antihyperlipidemic

**Abbreviations:** CVD, Cardiovascular Disease; EPHI, Ethiopian Public Health Institute; EtOH, Ethanol; AQ, Aqueous; EtAc, Ethyl acetate; BP, Blood pressure; BP<sub>0</sub>, Basal blood pressure; SBP, Systolic blood pressure; MAP, Mean arterial blood pressure; DBP, Diastolic blood pressure; TC, Total cholesterol; BG, Blood glucose; TG, Triglyceride; D<sub>5</sub>, Fifth day; D<sub>15</sub>, Fiftheenth day; STZ, Streptozocin; ACEIs, Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitors; ARBs, Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers; CCBs, Calcium Channel Blockers.

# INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is a leading cause of CVDs such as myocardial infarction and stroke worldwide. The proportion of the global burden of disease attributable to hypertension has significantly increased from about 4.5% (nearly1 billion adults) in 2000, to 7% in 2010 (WHO, 2008; AU, 2013). Hypertension leads to complications with considerable morbidity and mortality which is responsible for at least 45% of deaths due to heart disease and 51% of deaths due to stroke (WHO, 2008). Hypertension accounts for 9.4 million deaths worldwide every year. At the beginning of the twentieth century, CVD was responsible for less than 10% of all deaths worldwide, but by 2008, the figure had risen to 30%. The number of people with the condition rose from 600 million in 1980 to 1 billion in 2008 (WHO, 2011). Moreover, the number of people with uncontrolled hypertension has increased to around 1 billion worldwide in the past three decades (Danaei et al., 2011). About 80% of the global burden of CV death occurs in low and middle income countries. This is nearly as many deaths as caused by HIV, malaria, and TB (Gaziano et al., 2006; Lopez et al., 2006). This makes hypertension the single most important cause of morbidity and mortality globally and highlights the urgent need of action to address the problem (AU, 2013). Hypertension was almost non-existent in African adult societies in the first half of the twentieth century, the prevalence increased significantly over the past two to three decades to more than 40% (about 80 million) and projections based on current epidemiological data suggest that this figure will rise to 150 million by 2025 (AU, 2013). WHO projects that over the next 10 years Africa will experience the largest increase in death rates from CVD and therefore the negative economic impact of CVD will be more felt on the continent (Alwan, 2011).

There are different models of inducing hypertension in animals. Such as, renovascular hypertension, dietary hypertension, endocrine hypertension, neurogenic hypertension, psychogenic hypertension, genetic hypertension, and other models (Kaur et al., 2011). Among these, the dietary induction of hypertension is the most commonly employed in rodents and the mechanism behind is described below (**Figure 1**; Abdulla et al., 2011). Increases in dietary carbohydrate intake such as fructose, sucrose, or glucose can raise BP in normal rats (Hwang et al., 1987). High fructose consumption will produce a model of the metabolic syndrome with hypertension, insulin resistance, hyperinsulinemia, hyperlipidemia, and hypertriglyceridemia in normal rats and this greatly accelerates progression of chronic kidney disease (Gersch et al., 2007).

Clinically, various antihypertensive drugs such as ACEIs, ARBs, diuretics, CCBs,  $\beta$  blockers, alpha-1 blockers, central  $\alpha$ -2 agonists, non-selective  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  blockers, and direct vasodilators have been used to manage hypertension and to alleviate symptoms (Benowitz, 2012). ACEIs and ARBs are ideal first line antihypertensive agents in individuals with type 2 diabetes (Tashko and Gabbay, 2010). Despite the availability of a wide range of antihypertensive drugs, hypertension and its complications are still important causes of morbidity and mortality in Africa. More than 50% of treated hypertensive patients have a BP level greater than 140/90 mm Hg (uncontrolled hypertension; Salako et al., 2003). Moreover, the efficacy of these drugs are only 40–60%, and usually two or more antihypertensive drugs from different categories need becombined to achieve optimal results, however side effects from these medications are important concerns (Du and Chen, 2005).

Various herbal preparations have been claimed to have benefit for hypertension. *Moringa stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. is one of those plants used in Ethiopia. It grows abundantly in south western Ethiopia at an altitude range of 1000–1800 m where the leaves are eaten as vegetable besides its medicinal use (Arora et al., 2013). The species is known by different vernacular names such as "Shiferaw" in Amharic, "Aleko" in Gamugna, and "Cabbage tree" in English (Mekonnen and Gessesse, 1998). It has been reported that, *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. has hypotensive (Mengistu et al., 2012), antihyperglycemic (Toma et al., 2012, 2015; Sileshi et al., 2014) and also has a nutritional value (Abuye et al., 2004). The objective of the present study is, therefore, to investigate the antihypertensive and antihyperlipidemic effects of extracts and fractions of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. leaves in fructose induced hypertensive rats.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# **Drugs and Chemicals**

Ethyl Acetate (lot no: 8114/4, Park Scientific Limited, Northampton, UK), Absolute Ethanol (lot no: E35070/2, WINLAB, UK), Lead Acetate (lot no: V9H4049, Celtic Chemicals, South Wales, UK), Ammonia Solution (lot no: 9457, Scientific limited, UK), Dinitro-2-4- Phenylhydrazine (lot no: 231523, VWR Prolabo chemicals, USA), Sulfuric Acid (lot no: 8114/1, Scientific limited, UK), Chloroform (lot no: 8114/1, Scientific limited, UK), Hydrochloric Acid (lot no: 2571, Parchem fine and specialty chemicals, UK), D-Fructose (lot no: SL54161301, LobaChemie, India), Captopril (lot no: 48794, EPSITRON Limited, Nicosia, Cyprus) were used in the study. All the drugs, chemicals, and reagents used complied with the required standard and were of analytical grade.

# Instruments and Apparatus

Lyophilizer/ Freeze dry system (Labconco, 12 L Console Freeze Dry 230v-60 (7754040), Freeze Dry System, USA), BP analyzer (Model 179, USA), Centrifuge (Rotant 98, Hettich, Zentrifugen, UK), Clinical chemistry analyzer (Cobas-e-411, HITACHI, ROCHE, Germany).

# Plant Material

The fresh *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. leaves were collected from Southern Ethiopia around Arbaminch, about 500 km far from Addis Ababa on September 2014. The plant material was authenticated by a taxonomist in the EPHI and a voucher number AL-001 was deposited in the herbarium for future reference.

# **Experimental Animals**

A statement of ethics approval is obtained from Scientific and Ethical Review Committee of EPHI. The experiments were performed on adult, healthy male Wistar rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) weighing 150–200 g bred and obtained from the EPHI. All the



animals used for this study were kept in standard animal cages and maintained under laboratory conditions of temperature (22  $\pm$  3°C), relative humidity (40–70%) and 12 h day-12 h night and had free access to food (standard pellet diet) and water *ad libitum*. The animals were treated humanely throughout the study period and were kept in a well-controlled area according to the guideline for use and care of animals (National Research Council, 2011).

# **Plant Material Preparation and Extraction**

Fresh M. stenopetala leaves were garbled, chopped, dried under shade (at room temperature), grinded to powder using mortar and pestle and stored in cool and dry place. Weighed amounts of 1.208 and 2.130 Kg powdered leaves were kept in Erlenmeyer flasks and macerated with water (distilled and deionized) and 70% ethanol at room temperature under a rotator shaker until exhaustion for 4 and 72 h, respectively. The 70% EtOH extract was filtered using cotton gauze and then with Whatman filter paper No.1. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure using Rota vapor. The semidried residue was kept on a water bath at 40°C overnight and then with a Lyophilizer to completely remove the solvent residue. The AQ crude extract was filtered using a Whatman filter paper No.1, kept in refrigerator overnight to freeze and lyophilized to remove the water. The total yield of the AQ and 70% EtOH extract were calculated 17.1 and 4.9% (w/w), respectively.

About 178.95 g of dried AQ crude extract were defatted by petroleum ether and partitioned with EtAc, the solvent was removed using Rota vapor and Lyophilizer to obtain EtAc (18.6% w/w yield) and AQ residue (35% w/w yield), respectively. The dried extracts were kept in a refrigerator until used for the experiment.

# **Phytochemical Screening**

All extracts used for the *in vivo* study were subjected to phytochemical screening following methods described by Tiwari et al. (2011). The extracts along with negative controls were tested for the presence of alkaloids, saponins, polyphenols, flavonoids, coumarins, terpenoids, anthraquinones, tannins, phytosterols, and glycosides as follows:

## a) Alkaloids

One and half milliliter of 10% HCl was added to 0.5 mg of the extracts in a test tube. The mixture was heated for 20 min. It was then cooled and filtered. To 1 ml of the filtrate five drops Mayers and Draggendorff's reagents each were added. Formation of cream and orange colored precipitates respectively indicates the presence of alkaloids in the extracts.

## b) Saponins

Froth test: An aqueous solution of 0.5 mg of the extract in a test tube was vigorously shaken for 2 min. Foam which persisted for 30 min and doesn't disappear upon warming was taken as an indication of the presence of saponin in the extract.

#### c) Polyphenols (Phenolic compounds)

Three drops of a mixture of 1 ml 1% FeCl3 and 1%  $K_3$ Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> each were added to 2 ml of extracts. Formation of green or blue color was taken as an indication of the presence of polyphenols.

#### d) Flavonoids

To 2 ml of aqueous solution of the extract four drops of 2% lead acetate solution was added. Development of yellow or orange color confirms the presence of flavonoids.

#### e) Coumarins

Two milliliter of 10% ammonia solution was added to 5 ml concentrated alcoholic solution of the extracts. The occurrence of an intensive fluorescence under UV light indicates the presence of coumarin derivatives.

#### f) Terpenoids (Ketonic)

One milliliter of 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazine solutions (0.5 g dissolved in 100 ml of 2 M HCl) was added to 2 ml aqueous solution of the extract. Formation of yellow-orange coloration indicates the presence of a ketonic terpenoids.

#### g) Anthraquinones

Borntrager's test: Five milliliter of the extract was dried and shaken with 3 ml petroleum ether. The filtrate was added to 2 ml of a 25% ammonia solution. The mixture was shaken and formation of a red coloration was taken as an indication of the presence of free anthraquinones.

h) Tannins

Three drops of 5% ferric chloride solution was added to 1 ml of the extract solution in water. A greenish or blue coloration or precipitation was taken as indication of the presence of tannins.

i) Phytosterols and Withanoids

Five drops of 3% vanillin in conc.  $H_2SO4$  was added to a concentrated chloroform solution of extracts. Formation of a rose or reddish brown color indicates the presence of anoids or phytosterols.

#### j) Test for Glycosides (Keller-Killiani Test)

To 0.5 g of each extract suspended in 5 ml water, 2 ml of glacial acetic acid containing one drop of ferric chloride hexahydrate (FeCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O) solution was added. This was mixed with 1 ml of concentrated sulfuric acid and observed for a brown ring at the interface or a violet ring below the brown ring; alternatively acetic acid was added and observed for a greenish ring above the brown ring which gradually spread throughout this layer.

# Evaluation of In *vivo* Antihypertensive Effect

Among different models available, for the present study, the dietary induction of hypertension in male Wistar rats was employed using 66% w/v D-Fructose according to methods described by Jena et al. (2013).

ABLE 1 | Phytochemical screening of crude extracts and solvent partitions of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. Leaves.

Type of extract	Alkaloids	Saponins	Polyphenols	Flavonoids	Coumarins	Terpenoids	Alkaloids Saponins Polyphenols Flavonoids Coumarins Terpenoids Anthraquinones Tannins Phytosterols Cardiac glycosides	Tannins	Phytosterols	Cardiac glycosides
AQ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
AQ partition residue of AQ	Ι	+	Ι	+	+	+	+	+	+	I
EtAc partition of AQ	Ι	+	+	Ι	I	+	I	+	+	I
70% EtOH	+	I	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Negative control (vehicle)	I	Ι	I	I	I	I	Ι	I	I	I

Substance administered	Dose (mg/kg)				
		D <sub>1</sub> (mmHg)	D <sub>5</sub> (mmHg)	D <sub>10</sub> (mmHg)	D <sub>15</sub> (mmHg)
D-Fructose	66% w/v ad libitum	113.50 ± 1.52	132.67 ± 0.71*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	$144.33 \pm 1.33^{***C, *C}$	163.67 ± 1.12*** <sup>C, *C</sup>
Captopril	20	113.83 ± 2.12	124.83 ± 1.19** <sup>C, *C</sup>	120.67 ± 0.88** <sup>C</sup> ,*a	120.50 ±0.76** <sup>C</sup>
AQ crude	250	114.17 ± 1.40	129.50 ± 1.38* <sup>c</sup>	$138.67 \pm 0.88^{**C,***C,*C}$	149.67 ± 1.28** <sup>C, ***C, *C</sup>
	500	$112.50 \pm 1.20$	$126.83 \pm 0.95^{**b,*c}$	$132.17 \pm 0.70^{\text{**C, ***C, *C}}$	$136.50 \pm 0.76^{\text{**C, ***C, *C}}$
	1000	$116.83\pm1.78$	$121.83 \pm 0.60^{**C}$	$123.00 \pm 0.58^{**C}$	124.67 ± 0.88** <sup>C</sup> , *** <sup>a</sup> , * <sup>b</sup>
70% EtOH crude	250	116.17 ±1.01	138.67 ± 0.88** <sup>b, ***C, *C</sup>	$146.83 \pm 0.60^{***C, *C}$	157.17 ± 0.95**C, ***C, *C
	500	$114.83 \pm 1.96$	$130.50 \pm 0.76^{***a,*C}$	$137.50 \pm 0.76^{\text{**C},\text{***C},\text{*C}}$	142.50 ± 0.76** <sup>C</sup> , *** <sup>C</sup> , *C
	1000	$115.17 \pm 1.97$	$119.00 \pm 1.39^{**C,***b}$	$124.33 \pm 0.49^{**C,*C}$	$133.50 \pm 0.76^{\text{**C}, \text{***C}, \text{*C}}$
EtAc fraction of AQ crude	250	$118.33 \pm 0.88$	$141.33 \pm 0.80^{**C,***C,*C}$	$151.17 \pm 0.60^{**C,***C,*C}$	161.17 ± 0.60***C,*C
	500	$119.67 \pm 0.88$	$134.17 \pm 0.70^{***C, *C}$	141.17 ±0.48*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	151.50 ± 0.76** <sup>C, ***C, *C</sup>
	1000	$116.50 \pm 1.48$	$124.33 \pm 1.33^{**C,*a}$	$134.50 \pm 0.76^{\text{**C},\text{***C},\text{*C}}$	$145.33 \pm 0.88^{\text{**C}, \text{***C}, \text{*C}}$
AQ residue of AQ crude	250	116.83 ± 1.78	137.83 ± 0.60** <sup>a, ***C, *C</sup>	142.17 ± 0.70*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	156.00 ± 0.58** <sup>C, ***C, *C</sup>
	500	$116.83 \pm 1.54$	$132.67 \pm 0.88^{***C,*C}$	$139.50 \pm 0.42^{**b,***c,*c}$	$146.17 \pm 0.60^{**C,***C,*C}$
	1000	$117.33 \pm 1.63$	124.17 ± 1.01** <sup>C</sup>	$131.17 \pm 0.60^{**C,***C,*C}$	139.50 ± 0.43**C, ***C, *C

TABLE 2 | Effect of crude extracts and solvent fractions of M. stenopetala (Baker f.) Cufod. leaves on SBP in D-Fructose (66% w/v ad libitum) induced rats.

Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM (n = 6), \*compared with normal control (baseline or basal value); \*\*compared with negative control; \*\*\*compared with positive control. aP < 0.05.

 $^{c}P < 0.001.$ 

Each rat wastrained and acclimatized to the restrainer and transducer, for about 15 min each day before the experiment. The rat was restrained in a low-stress environment and allowed to enter the holder freely at least 10–15 min prior to obtaining BP measurements. The animal's nose was made to protrude through the front of the nose cone allowing for comfortable breathing and the tail of the animal was fully extended to exit through the rear hatch opening of the holder. The rat was warmed but not heated using restrainer, the room temperature was maintained about 32–35.4°C, reduce stress and the blood flow to the tail was enhanced to acquire a BP signal. The rat never had its head bent sideways or its body compressed against the back hatch. The animal's temperature was monitored throughout the experiment (Malkoff, 2005).

Male Wistar rats were randomly divided into groups with six animals (*n* = 6). Normal control rats (Group 1) received distilled water *ad libitum* only, negative control rats (Group 2) received 66% w/v D-Fructose *ad libitum* only, positive control rats (Group 3) received captopril (20 mg/kg/day) with 66% w/v D-Fructose *ad libitum* and treatment rats, Group 4 received 250 mg/kg AQ crude extract, Group 5 received 500 mg/kg AQ crude extract, Group 6 received 1000 mg/kg AQ crude extract, Group 7 received 250 mg/kg 70%EtOH crude extract, Group 8 received 500 mg/kg 70%EtOH crude extract, Group 9 received 1000 mg/kg 70%EtOH crude extract, Group 10 received 250 mg/kg AQ residue of AQ crude extract, Group 11 received 500 mg/kg AQ residue of AQ crude extract, Group 13 received 250 mg/kg EtAc fraction of AQ crude extract, Group 14 received 500 mg/kg EtAc fraction of AQ crude extract, Group 15 received 100 mg/kg EtAc fraction of AQ crude extract with 66% w/v D-Fructose *ad libitum* for 15 days. The dose was selected based on the acute toxicity study;  $LD_{50}$  was greater than 5000 mg/kg (Geleta et al., 2016). The extracts were prepared for administration by weighing a required amount of dried extracts and dissolving in a suitable vehicle (distilled water). Then, the uniformly dissolved volume of extract was administered to rats using oral gavage.

SBP and MAP were measured on the 1st day of experiment before being induced using (66% w/v) D-Fructose, and was stated as a BP<sub>0</sub>. Rats with SBP<sub>0</sub>  $\leq$  120 mmHg, MAP<sub>0</sub>  $\leq$  100 mmHg and DBP<sub>0</sub>  $\leq$  91 mmHg were considered normotensive and were given (66% w/v) D-Fructose *ad libitum* except those served as normal control. Then BP was measured every 5th days to have D<sub>5</sub>, D<sub>10</sub> and D<sub>15</sub> BP readings using BP analyzer. The SBP and MAP were read from the pulse tracings and DBP was calculated using formula –1.

Every measurement was taken in triplicate and the average value was reported.

On the 16th day, the blood was collected in vacutainer tube by cardiac puncture from night fasted cervical dislocated rats. The serum was separated after centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The serum lipid profile (TC, BG, TG) were assayed using methods described by the manufacturer (Roche

 $<sup>^{</sup>b}P < 0.01.$ 

Substance administered	Dose (mg/kg)			MAP	
		D <sub>1</sub> (mmHg)	D <sub>5</sub> (mmHg)	D <sub>10</sub> (mmHg)	D <sub>15</sub> (mmHg)
D-Fructose	66% w/v ad libitum	96.95 ± 1.00	$108.02 \pm 0.80^{***C,*C}$	118.45 ±0.68*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	130.88 ±0.50*** <sup>C</sup> ,* <sup>C</sup>
Captopril	20	97.40 ± 0.81	100.83 ± 0.99** <sup>C</sup>	99.55 ±0.74** <sup>C</sup>	$99.38 \pm 065^{**C}$
AQ crude	250	97.07 ± 0.75	106.83 ± 0.99*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	112.88 ± 0.76** <sup>C, ***C, *C</sup>	121.35 ±0.74** <sup>C, ***C, *C</sup>
	500	$97.17\pm0.59$	$103.15\pm0.50^{**C,*C}$	$105.05 \pm 0.43^{**C,***C,*C}$	$107.27 \pm 0.84^{**C, ***C, *C}$
	1000	$99.38\pm0.68$	101.27 ±0.58**C	$100.23 \pm 0.37^{**\text{C}}$	$98.57 \pm 0.75^{**\text{C}}$
70% EtOH crude	250	98.27 ± 0.44	110.45 ±0.58*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	117.73 ± 0.56, *** <sup>C, *C</sup>	125.40 ± 0.48** <sup>C</sup> , *** <sup>C</sup> , *C
	500	$97.28\pm0.71$	$106.15 \pm 0.48^{\text{***C, *C}}$	$111.72\pm0.63^{**C,***C,*C}$	$113.62 \pm 0.34^{**C, ***C, *C}$
	1000	$98.72\pm0.79$	100.68 ±0.81**C,*C	$101.68 \pm 0.53^{**\text{C}}$	$106.18 \pm 0.55^{**C,***C,**}$
EtAc fraction of AQ crude	250	99.77 ±0.43	111.70 ± 0.37** <sup>a, ***C, *C</sup>	119.15 ± 0.85*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	128.15 ± 0.51*** <sup>C, *C</sup>
	500	$99.55\pm0.75$	$108.17 \pm 0.49^{***C, *C}$	$114.50 \pm 0.52^{**b,***c,*c}$	123.27 ± 0.32**C, ***C, *C
	1000	$99.15\pm0.70$	$105.00 \pm 0.76^{***b,*C}$	$112.50 \pm 0.61^{**C,***C,*C}$	$119.67 \pm 0.44^{**C, ***C, *C}$
AQ residue of AQ crude	250	99.17 ± 1.64	$109.62 \pm 0.56^{***C, *C}$	115.83 ± 0.69*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	125.33 ± 0.47**C, ***C, *C
	500	$97.95 \pm 0.83$	$108.12 \pm 0.57^{*C}$	113.27 ±0.48** <sup>C</sup> , *** <sup>C</sup> , *C	120.28 ±0.42**C, ***C, *C
	1000	$98.80 \pm 0.89$	103.38 ±0.57** <sup>b, *a</sup>	$108.03 \pm 0.49^{**C,***C,*C}$	113.48 ±0.47** <sup>C, ***C, *C</sup>

TABLE 3 | Effect of crude extracts and solvent fractions of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. leaves on MAP in D-Fructose (66% w/v ad libitum) induced rats.

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 6), \*compared with normal control; \*\*compared with negative control; \*\*\*compared with positive control.

 $^{a}P < 0.05.$ 

 $^{b}P < 0.01.$ 

 $^{c}P < 0.001.$ 

diagnostics, Germany) using COBA-e-411 Clinical chemistry analyzer instrument.

## **Statistical Analysis**

All experimental data's were expressed as mean values (measurement of BP or % relaxation)  $\pm$  S.E.M and were subjected to biostatistical interpretation by SPSS windows version 20 statistical packages all the way through a one-way ANOVA followed by *post-hoc* test (Tukey Test) for multiple comparisons of the mean differences and responses of different drugs and extracts. Statistical significance of P < 0.05 were considered as level of significance.

# RESULTS

## **Phytochemical Screening**

Basic investigations of the extracts for their major phytocompounds is vital as the active principles of many drugs are these secondary metabolites found in plants. The various phytochemical screening tests performed on the crude extracts and solvent fractions *M. stenopetala* leaves revealed the presence of different secondary metabolites (**Table 1**).

## In vivo Antihypertensive and Antihyperlipidemic Activity Effect on Blood Pressure

The negative control showed significant increase in SBP, MAP, and DBP compared with normal control (P < 0.001) and positive control (P < 0.001) in the D<sub>5</sub>, D<sub>10</sub>, and D<sub>15</sub> of the

experiment (**Tables 2–4**). Those groups that received daily oral administration of 1000 mg/kg of AQ crude, 70% EtOH crude and AQ residue of AQ extract prevented a rise in SBP and did not show significant difference in the D<sub>5</sub> of the experiment. In the D<sub>10</sub> of experiment, 1000 mg/kg/day oral administration of the crude extracts prevented a rise in SBP, did not show significant difference in SBP compared with normal and positive control. After consecutive oral daily administration for 15 days, all treatment groups showed significant increase in SBP compared with normal control (P < 0.01) and positive control (P < 0.05; **Table 2**).

Groups that received, 1000 mg/kg/day oral administration of all extracts except the one that received AQ extract showed significant increase (P < 0.001) in MAP compared with normal control in the D<sub>5</sub> of the experiment. In the D<sub>10</sub> of experiment, daily oral administration of 1000 mg/kg of crude extracts prevented a rise in MAP in a similar manner with positive control. After consecutive oral daily administration for 15 days, group that received 1000 mg/kg of AQ crude extract didn't show significant difference in MAP compared with normal control as well as positive control (**Table 3**).

Daily oral administration of 500 and 1000 mg/kg/day of AQ crude, 70% EtOH crude, and AQ residue of AQ crude extracts prevented a rise in DBP compared with normal control in the D<sub>5</sub> of the experiment. Groups that received AQ crude extract (500 and 1000 mg/kg/day) and 70% EtOH crude extract (1000 mg/kg/day) prevented a rise in DBP when compared with normal control in the D<sub>10</sub> of the experiment. After consecutive oral daily administration for 15 days, groups that received

Substance Administered	Dose (mg/kg)			DBP	
		D <sub>1</sub> (mmHg)	D <sub>5</sub> (mmHg)	D <sub>10</sub> (mmHg)	D <sub>15</sub> (mmHg)
D-Fructose	66% w/v ad libitum	88.67 ±1.36	95.67 ± 0.88*** <sup>C</sup> , * <sup>C</sup>	105.50 ± 0.76*** <sup>C</sup> , * <sup>C</sup>	114.50 ± 0.76*** <sup>C, *C</sup>
Captopril	20	89.17 ± 0.87	88.83 ± 1.01** <sup>C</sup>	$89.00 \pm 0.97^{**C}$	88.83 ±0.95** <sup>C</sup>
AQ crude	250	88.50 ±0.76	95.50 ± 0.99*** <sup>C</sup> , * <sup>C</sup>	$100.00 \pm 0.97^{**C,***C,*C}$	107.17 ± 0.60** <sup>C</sup> , *** <sup>C</sup> , *C
	500	$89.50\pm0.76$	91.33 ± 0.67** <sup>a</sup>	91.50 ±0.76** <sup>C</sup>	92.67 ± 1.45** <sup>C, ***a</sup>
	1000	90.67 ±0.67	$91.00 \pm 0.58^{**b}$	88.83 ±0.31**C	$85.50 \pm 0.76^{\text{**C, *a}}$
70% EtOH crude	250	89.33 ± 1.05	96.33 ± 0.88*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	103.17 ± 0.60*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	109.50 ± 0.43** <sup>C</sup> , *** <sup>C</sup> , *C
	500	$88.50\pm0.76$	94.00 ±0.58*** <sup>b</sup> ,* <sup>b</sup>	$98.83 \pm 0.60^{**C,***C,*C}$	$99.17 \pm 0.60^{**C,***C,*C}$
	1000	90.50 ±0.76	$91.50 \pm 0.76^{**a}$	$90.33\pm0.88^{\star\star C}$	92.5 ±0.76**C
EtAc fraction of AQ crude	250	90.50 ±0.76	96.83 ± 0.60*** <sup>C</sup> ,* <sup>C</sup>	103.17 ± 1.08*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	111.67 ± 0.67*** <sup>C, *C</sup>
	500	$89.50 \pm 0.76$	95.17 ± 0.60*** <sup>C</sup> ,* <sup>b</sup>	$101.17 \pm 0.60^{\text{**a, ***C, *C}}$	$109.17 \pm 0.60^{**C, ***C, *C}$
	1000	$90.50\pm0.76$	$95.33 \pm 0.88^{\text{***C, *a}}$	$101.50 \pm 0.76^{\text{**a, ***C, *a}}$	$106.83 \pm 0.60^{**C, ***C, *C}$
AQ residue of AQ crude	250	90.33 ± 1.80	95.50 ± 0.76**C, ***C, *b	102.67 ± 0.88*** <sup>C, *C</sup>	110.00 ± 0.58** <sup>b, ***C, *C</sup>
	500	$88.50\pm0.76$	$95.83 \pm 0.79^{***C, *C}$	$100.17 \pm 0.79^{**b,***C,*C}$	$107.33 \pm 0.49^{**\text{C},***\text{C},*\text{C}}$
	1000	$89.50 \pm 0.76$	$93.00 \pm 0.82^{***a}$	96.50 ±0.76** <sup>C, ***C, *C</sup>	100.50 ±0.76** <sup>C, ***C, *C</sup>

TABLE 4 | Effect of crude extracts and solvent fractions of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. leaves on DBP in D-Fructose (66% w/v ad libitum) induced rats.

Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM (n = 6), \*compared to normal control; \*\*compared to negative control; \*\*compared to positive control.

 $^{a}P < 0.05.$ 

 $^{b}P < 0.01.$ 

 $^{c}P < 0.001.$ 

1000 mg/kg AQ crude extract showed significant decrease (P < 0.05) in DBP compared with normal control (**Table 4**).

# Effect on Total Cholesterol, Glucose, and Triglyceride Plasma Levels

The negative control showed significant increase in serum TC (P < 0.01), BG (P < 0.001) and TG (P < 0.001) level compared with normal control. Although all extracts prevented a rise in TG level in a dose dependent manner, there was significant increase (P < 0.001) compared with normal control. However, groups that received 500 and 1000 mg/kg/day of all extracts showed significant difference (P < 0.001) in TG level compared with negative control. Groups that received AQ crude extract (500 and 1000 mg/kg/day), AQ residue of AQ crude extract (1000 mg/kg/day) and normal control showed significant difference (P < 0.05) in BG level compared with negative control (**Table 5**).

The percentage difference BG level between AQ crude extract and AQ residue of AQ extract (1000 mg/kg) and negative control were 50.6 and 46.9, respectively. Whereas, the percentage difference BG level between AQ crude extract and AQ residue of AQ extract (1000 mg/kg) and normal control were 3.4 and 9.0, respectively.

# DISCUSSION

This study investigated the *in vivo* antihypertensive effects of different extracts of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. Leaves

in D-Fructose (66% w/v *ad libitum*) induced hypertensive male Wistar rats. In addition, the effects on lipid profile and phytochemical screening of different extracts of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. Leaves were done. This is the first indepth study to investigate the effect of different extracts of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. Leaves on BP.

# **Phytochemical Screening**

Phytochemicals are non-nutritive plant chemicals which may have some disease preventive or treatment properties. The four solvent extracts (AQ crude, 70% EtOH crude, EtAc partition, and AQ partition residue of AQ crude) of the fresh *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. Leaves were screened for the presence of different phytochemicals.

The qualitative phytochemical screening of AQ extract showed the presence of all tested secondary metabolites. This finding is in agreement with the study done on AQ extract of *M. oleifera* (Brindha et al., 2014). And 70% EtOH extract showed presence of all tested phytochemicals except saponins. This finding is inline with the study done on EtOH extract of *M. oleifera* (Onyekaba et al., 2013). Tannin and phytosterol were present in all tested extracts. Saponin was present in all tested extracts but not in 70% EtOH crude extract. Only crude extracts showed a positive test result for alkaloids and glycosides. One of the previous studies showed the presence of alkaloids, tannins, and glycosides but no saponins and anthraquinones in EtOH extract of *M. oleifera* (Denen et al., 2014). Another study showed the presence of all tested metabolites (tannin, alkaloid, saponin,

Substance administered	Dose (mg/kg)		Lipid Profiles	
		CHOL (mg/dl)	GLUC (mg/dl)	TG (mg/dl)
D-Fructose	66%w/w ad libitum	67.37 ± 5.87*b	142.00 ± 4.49* <sup>C</sup>	333.97 ± 32.92* <sup>a, *C</sup>
Captopril	20	$63.73\pm5.47$	121.98 ± 19.20	298.23 ± 28.62* <sup>C</sup>
AQ crude	250	$62.68 \pm 6.00$	$132.83 \pm 3.77^{*b}$	$310.30 \pm 8.09^{*C}$
	500	$59.17\pm5.52$	109.15 ± 3.45***a	$297.33 \pm 12.78^{*C}$
	1000	$57.75 \pm 4.11$	$94.28 \pm 2.76^{**C}$	194.53 ± 20.50**a,*a
70% EtOH crude	250	$61.50 \pm 6.95$	$141.02 \pm 2.17^{*C}$	$329.43 \pm 39.25^{*C}$
	500	$58.28 \pm 4.21$	129.48 ± 9.39*a	$297.58 \pm 17.17^{*C}$
	1000	$57.82 \pm 2.13$	$114.67 \pm 2.73$	$267.38 \pm 13.37^{*\rm C}$
EtAc fraction of AQ crude	250	$56.72 \pm 8.84$	139.17 ± 2.21* <sup>C</sup>	$252.70 \pm 1.95^{*C}$
	500	$58.22 \pm 2.85$	$130.92 \pm 4.36^{\star a}$	$258.55 \pm 34.22^{*C}$
	1000	$60.72\pm2.06$	$119.80\pm3.34$	$317.22 \pm 19.57^{*C}$
AQ fraction of AQ crude	250	57.05 ± 4.81	132.53 ± 1.75* <sup>b</sup>	$215.40 \pm 40.34^{*b}$
	500	$54.05\pm3.93$	$122.15 \pm 4.26$	$310.27 \pm 20.80^{*C}$
	1000	$59.57 \pm 4.35$	$96.70 \pm 4.26^{**a}$	$298.44 \pm 7.18^{*C}$
Negative Control	Distilled Water	51.20 ± 4.69** <sup>b</sup>	97.60 ± 2.89***a	56.50 ± 3.13**C,***C

TABLE 5 | Effect of crude extracts and solvent fractions of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. leaves on lipid profiles (TC, BG, and TG plasma level) in D-Fructose (66% w/v *ad libitum*) induced rats.

Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM (n = 6), \*compared with normal control; \*\*compared with negative control; \*\*\*compared to positive control. <sup>a</sup>P < 0.05.

<sup>b</sup>P < 0.01.

 $^{c}P < 0.001.$ 

and phenol) in both EtOH and EtAc crude extract of *M. oleifera* (Ojiako, 2014). On the otherhand, another study showed the presence of all tested metabolites (flavonoid, anthraquinone, alkaloid, saponin, terpenoid, glycoside, and tannin) in both EtOH and AQ crude extract of *M. oleifera* (Nweze and Felix, 2014). The present study indicated that the fresh leaves extracts of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. contain different classes of secondary metabolites. The yield obtained for secondary metabolites of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. leaves in the present study was recorded to be highest in the case of AQ crude extract followed by 70% EtOH crude, AQ residue, and EtAc fraction of AQ crude extract in succession. The presence of these phytochemicals gave a great potential for extracts of *M. stenopetala* leaves in producing vasodilatory effect that signifies the potential of the plant as a source of therapeutic agent.

## In vivo Antihypertensive and Antihyperlipidemic Activity Effect on Blood Pressure

The negative control rats which received 66% w/v D-Fructose served as hypertensive model with an average increase in SBP (50 mmHg), DBP (25 mmHg), and MAP (33 mmHg) from basal BP. Whereas, positive control which were given captopril (20 mg/kg/day) with 66% w/v D-Fructose *ad libitum* showed the

average change in SBP (7 mmHg), DBP (-1 mmHg), and MAP (2 mmHg) were considered as normotensive after 15 days of study period.

Daily oral administration of the crude extracts of M. stenopetala (Baker f.) Cufod. significantly prevented the increase in SBP, MAP, and DBP in 66% w/v D-Fructose ad libitum consuming rats in a dose dependent manner. The highest daily oral dose of AQ crude extract (1000 mg/kg) significantly prevented the increase in SBP, MAP and DBP comparable to positive and normal control, groups that received captopril (20 mg/kg/day) and distilled water (ad libitum), respectively. The highest dose of 70% EtOH crude extract also produced a significant decrease in SBP, MAP, and DBP. Whereas, the EtAc fraction and AQ residue of AQ crude extract did not show significant decrease in SBP, MAP, and DBP, rather there was significant rise in SBP, MAP, and DBP comparable to that in negative control, groups that received only 66% w/v D-Fructose ad libitum. The AQ and 70% EtOH crude extract produced the highest dose dependent antihypertensive effect. This effect may be attributed to the presence of alkaloids and glycosides in crude extracts. This finding is in agreement with the study done on alkaloids of *M. oleifera* leaves on isolated frog heart (negative inotropic and chronotropic effect; Dangi et al., 2002), on glycosides isolates of M. oleifera leaves (Faizi et al., 1995).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>P < 0.05.

# Effect on Total Cholesterol, Glucose, and Triglyceride Plasma Levels

In this study, it was observed that the extracts decreased TC, BG, and TG plasma levels in a dose dependent manner indicating that they could prevent atherosclerosis. The level of TG however, increased with the extracts. This finding is in line with those of the previous studies carried out on antidiabetic and antihyperglycemic activity of different solvent extracts of M. stenopetala (Baker f.) Cufod. leaves using various models: 70% EtOH and its fractions in alloxan induced diabetic mice (Sileshi et al., 2014), n-butanol fraction of 70% EtOH in alloxan induced diabetic mice (Toma et al., 2012) and AQ, 70% EtOH and n-butanol fractions in STZ induced diabetic rats (Toma et al., 2015). The finding is also in agreement with those of the previous studies done on antidiabetic and antihyperlipidemic of different solvent extracts of M. oleifera leaves using various models: AQ extract in STZ and fructose induced rats (Divi et al., 2012), EtOH extract in STZ induced diabetic rats (Chinedu et al., 2014), EtOH extract in hypercholesterolemic rats (Denen et al., 2014), AQ extract in diabetic patients (Brindha et al., 2014), and 98% EtOH extract in alloxan induced rats (Aja et al., 2015).

In the present study, AQ crude and residue extract of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. showed the suppression in BG level increment in a dose dependent manner. The highest suppression was observed at 1000 mg/kg which is comparable with normal rats. The TC, BG and TG plasma level suppression effect was high in groups that received AQ crude followed by 70% EtOH crude extract. The AQ crude extract (1000 mg/kg) has suppressed the TC, BG, and TC level by 87.2, 96.6, and 37.2% compared to normal control. The crude extracts showed dose dependent suppression in TC, BG, and TG level increment and the highest effect was observed at the maximum tested dose (1000 mg/kg/day).

# CONCLUSION

This study demonstrated the *in vivo* antihypertensive and antihyperlipidemic activity of the AQ and 70% EtOH crude extracts of *M. stenopetala* (Baker f.) Cufod. leaves in 66% w/v D-Fructose induced hypertensive male Wistar rats. Further studies, however, need to be done to confirm this using different model. Moreover, in-depth investigations are required in order to isolate and identify the phytoconstituents that are responsible for the plants antihypertensive and antihyperlipidemic activity as no prior studies have been undertaken in this regard.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

BG: Title selection, proposal writing and research design, laboratory experimentation (plant material preparation and extraction, phytochemical screening and *in vivo* antihypertensive and antihyperlipidemic) and result generation, data analysis and interpretation, manuscript writing, and submission. EM: Advising and edition in proposal and research design, result interpretation, and manuscript writing. AD: Advising and edition in proposal and research design, result interpretation, and manuscript writing. AT: Data feeding, analysis, and interpretation.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for the financial support provided by Graduate Studies of Addis Ababa University and Ministry of Finance and Economic Development (project number OBN6.34/2007) through EPHI. The staffs of the Traditional and Modern Medicine Research Directorate and finance, plan and monitoring are hereby sincerely appreciated for their relentless assistance and much noted contribution during the study.

# REFERENCES

- Abdulla, M. H., Sattar, M. A., and Johns, E. J. (2011). The relation between fructoseinduced metabolic syndrome and altered renal haemodynamic and excretory function in the rat. *Int. J. Nephrol.* 2011:934659. doi: 10.4061/2011/934659
- Abuye, C., Urga, K., Knapp, H., Selmar, D., Omwega, A. M., Imungi, J. K., et al. (2004). A compositional study of *Moringa stenopetala* leaves. *East Afr. Med. J.* 80, 247–252. doi: 10.4314/eamj.v80i5.8695
- Aja, P. M., Igwenyi, I. O., Okechukwu, P. U., Orji, O. U., and Alum, E. U. (2015). Evaluation of anti-diabetic effect and liver function indices of ethanol extracts of *Moringa oleifera* and *Cajanus cajan* leaves in alloxan induced diabetic albino rats. *Global Veterinaria* 14, 439–447. doi: 10.5829/idosi.gv.2015.14.03.93129
- Alwan, A. (2011). Global Status Report on Noncommunicable Diseases 2010. Geneva: World Health Organization. (Accessed on July 8th, 2014). Available online at: http://www.who.int/nmh/publications/ncd\_report\_full\_en.pdf
- Arora, D. S., Onsare, J. G., and Kaur, H. (2013). Bioprospecting of Moringa (Moringaceae): microbiological perspective. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 1, 193–215.
- AU (2013). Status Report on Hypertension in Africa; Conference of Ministers of Health (CAMH6); Sixth Ordinary Session, CAMH/Exp/6(VI) iii, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. (Accessed on July 07, 2014). Available online at: http://www.carmma.org/sites/default/files/PDFuploads/Background%20Report%20on%20Hypertension%20-%20English.pdf

- Benowitz, N. L. (2012). "Antihypertensive agents," in The Basic and Clinical Pharmacology, 12 Edn., ed B. Katzung (New York, NY: The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.), 169–191.
- Brindha, V., Sugunabai, J. M., and Karpagam, T. (2014). Antidiabetic effeiciency of *Moringa oleifera* and *Solanum nigrum. Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci.* 6, 40–42.
- Chinedu, A. A., Olanrewaju, S., Alani, S. O., and Olaide, A. O. (2014). Effect of the ethanolic leaf extract of *Moringa oleifera* on insulin resistance in streptozocin induced diabetic rats. *J. Plant Sci.* 2, 5–12. doi: 10.11648/j.jps.s.20140 20601.12
- Danaei, G., Finucane, M. M., Lin, J. K., Singh, G. M., Paciorek, C. J., Cowan, M. J., et al. (2011). Global burden of metabolic risk factors of chronic diseases collaborating group (blood pressure). *Lancet* 377, 568–577. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62036-3
- Dangi, S. Y., Jolly, C. I., and Narayanan, S. (2002). Antihypertensive activity of the total alkaloids from the leaves of *Moringa oleifera*. *Pharm. Biol.* 40, 144–148. doi: 10.1076/phbi.40.2.144.5847
- Denen, A., Ejike, D. E., Moses, D. A., Seriki, S. A., and Chiamaka, N. U. (2014). Hypolipidaemic effect of ethanol leaf extract of *Moringa oleifera* Lam. in experimentally induced hypercholesterolemic wistar rats. *Int. J. Nutr. Food Sci.* 3, 355–360. doi: 10.11648/j.ijnfs.20140304.28
- Divi, S. M., Bellamkonda, R., and Dasireddy, S. K. (2012). Evaluation of antidiabetic and antihyperlipedemic potential of aqueous extract of *Moringa oleifera*

in fructose fed insulin resistant and STZ induced diabetic Wistar rats: a comparative study. Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res. 5, 67-72.

- Du, Y. L., and Chen, S. X. (2005). Combinative application of antihypertension drugs. World Clin. Drugs 26, 592–602.
- Faizi, S., Siddiqui, B. S., Saleem, R., Siddiqui, S., Aftab, K., and Gilani, A. H. (1995). Fully acetylated carbamate and hypotensive thiocarbamate glycosides from *Moringa oleifera*. *Phytochemistry* 38, 957–963. doi: 10.1016/0031-9422(94)00729-D
- Gaziano, T. A., Opie, L. H., and Weinstein, M. C. (2006). Cardiovascular disease prevention with a multidrug regimen in the developing world: a costeffectiveness analysis. *Lancet* 368, 679–686. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69 252-0
- Geleta, B., Makonnen, E., and Debella, A. (2016). Toxicological evaluations of the crude extracts and fractions of *Moringa stenopetala* leaves in liver and kidney of rats. J. Cytol. Histol. 7:383. doi: 10.4172/2157-7099.1000383
- Gersch, M. S., Mu, W., Cirillo, P., Reungjui, S., Zhang, L., Roncal, C., et al. (2007). Fructose, but not dextrose, accelerates the progression of chronic kidney disease. *Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol.* 293, F1256–F1261. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.00181.2007
- Hwang, I. S., Ho, H., Hoffman, B. B., and Reaven, G. M. (1987). Fructose-induced insulin resistance and hypertension in rats. *Hypertension* 10, 512–516. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.10.5.512
- Jena, M., Jena, J., Biswal, S. B., Mishra, S., and Abhisek. (2013). Effect of *Eclipta alba* on fructose induced hypretension in albino rats. *Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci.* 5, 281–285.
- Kaur, M., Rana, A. C., and Kumar, S. (2011). Induction of hypertension by various animal models. *Int. J. Pharm. Biol. Sci.* 1, 335–340.
- Lopez, A. D., Mathers, C. D., Ezzati, M., Jamison, D. T., and Murray, C. J. (2006). Global and regional burden of disease and risk factors, 2001: systematic analysis of population health data. *Lancet* 367, 1747–1757. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68770-9
- Malkoff, J. (2005). Noninvasive Blood Pressure for Mice and Rats; Kent Scientific Corporation, Animal Lab News. (Accessed on July 8th, 2014). Available online at: https://www.kentscientific.com/images/customer-files/WhitePaper103108. pdf
- Mekonnen, Y., and Gessesse, A. (1998). Documentation on the uses of Moringa stenopetala and its possible antileishmenial and antifertility effects. Ethiop. J. Sci. 21, 287–295.
- Mengistu, M., Abebe, Y., Mekonnen, Y., and Tolessa, T. (2012). In vivo and in vitro hypotensive effect of aqueous extract of Moringa stenopetala. Afr. Health Sci. 12, 545–551.
- National Research Council (2011). Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, 8th Edn., (Washington, DC: National Academy Press).
- Nweze, N. O., and Felix, N. (2014). Phytochemical, proximate and mineral composition of leaf extracts of *Moringa oliefera* Lam. from Nsukka,

South-eastern Nigeria. IOSR J. Pharm. Biol. Sci. 9, 99-103. doi: 10.9790/3008-091699103

- Ojiako, E. N. (2014). Phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial screening of Moringa oleifera leaves extract. Int. J. Eng. Sci. 3, 32–35.
- Onyekaba, T. C., Chinedu, O. G., and Fred, A. C. (2013). Phytochemical screening and investigations of antibacterial activities of the ethanol leaves extract of *Moringa oleifera*. J. Pharm. Chem. Biol. Sci. 3, 962–973.
- Salako, B. L., Ajose, F. A., and Lawani, E. (2003). Blood pressure control in a population where antihypertensives are given free. *East Afr. Med. J.* 80, 529–531.
- Sileshi, T., Makonnen, E., Debella, A., and Tesfaye, B. (2014). Antihyperglycemic and subchronic toxicity study of *Moringa stenopetala* leaves in mice. *J. Coastal Life Med.* 2, 214–221. doi: 10.12980/JCLM.2.2014C300
- Tashko, G., and Gabbay, R. A. (2010). Evidence-based approach for managing hypertension in type 2 diabetes. *Integr. Blood Press Control* 3, 31.
- Tiwari, P., Kumar, B., Kaur, M., Kaur, G., and Kaur, H. (2011). Phytochemical screening and extraction: a review. *Int. Pharm. Sci.* 1, 98–106.
- Toma, A., Makonnen, E., Debella, A., and Tesfaye, B. (2012). Antihyperglycemic effect on chronic administration of n-butanol fraction of ethanol extract of *Moringa stenopetala* leaves in alloxan induced diabetic mice. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* 12, S1606–S1610. doi: 10.1016/s2221-1691(12) 60461-4
- Toma, A., Makonnen, E., Mekonnen, Y., Debella, A., and Adisakwattana, S. (2015). Antidiabetic activities of aqueous ethanol and n-butanol fraction of *Moringa stenopetala* leaves in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *BMC Comp. Alt. Med.* 15, 242–249. doi: 10.1186/s12906-015-0779-0
- WHO (2008). Causes of Death 2008: Data Sources and Methods, Geneva. (Accessed on July 9th, 2014). Available online at: http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global\_ burden\_disease/cod\_2008\_sources\_methods.pdf
- WHO (2011). Global Status Report on Non-Communicable Diseases 2010: Description of the Global Burden of Non-Communicable Diseases, their Risk Factors and Determinants. Geneva. Geneva: World Health Organization (Accessed on July 7th, 2014). Available online at: http://www.who.int/nmh/ publications/ncd\_report\_full\_en.pdf

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Geleta, Makonnen, Debella and Tadele. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.