



## Confirmation of inhibitingTLR4/ MyD88/NF-kB Signalling Pathway by Duhuo Jisheng Decoction on Osteoarthritis: A Network Pharmacology Approach-Integrated Experimental Study

Linglong Liu<sup>1†</sup>, Limei Xu<sup>1,2†</sup>, Shengjie Wang<sup>3†</sup>, Lili Wang<sup>2,4</sup>, Xiaoning Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Huifeng Xu<sup>2,4</sup>, Xihai Li<sup>1,4\*</sup> and Hongzhi Ye<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Integrative Medicine, Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Fuzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>Academy of Integrative Medicine, Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Fuzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>College of Pharmacy Science, Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Fuzhou, China, <sup>4</sup>Fujian Key Laboratory of Integrative Medicine on Geriatrics, Fuzhou, China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Yuanjia Hu, University of Macau, China

#### Reviewed by:

Wei Dong Chen, Anhui University of Chinese Medicine, China Bendong Fu, Jilin University, China

\*Correspondence:

Xihai Li lixihaitz@163.com <sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Ethnopharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 28 September 2021 Accepted: 28 December 2021 Published: 24 January 2022

#### Citation:

Liu L, Xu L, Wang S, Wang L, Wang X, Xu H, Li X and Ye H (2022) Confirmation of inhibitingTLR4/ MyD88/NF-κB Signalling Pathway by Duhuo Jisheng Decoction on Osteoarthritis: A Network Pharmacology Approach-Integrated Experimental Study. Front. Pharmacol. 12:784822. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.784822 This study was conducted to identify whether the TLR4/MyD88/NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway plays a vital role in osteoarthritis (OA) treatment with Duhuo Jisheng Decoction (DHJSD) on the basis of a network pharmacology approach (NPA)-integrated experiment. Two experiments were conducted as follow: NPA for DHJSD using six OA-related gene series and the key pathway was screened out using NPA. NPA identified a vital role for the TLR4/MyD88/NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway in OA treatment with DHJSD, the conventional western blot analysis and qPCR confirmed it. Furthermore, changes of miR-146a-5p and miR-34a-5p in the cellular models were recovered by DHJSD administration, which synergistically contributed to OA therapy. The toll-like receptor signalling pathway and the NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway were meaningfully enriched by the miRNA-regulated gene pathways. This study identified and confirmed the TLR4/MyD88/NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway is an essential inflammatory signalling pathway in the DHJSD underlying OA treatment. The results provide a basis for further evaluation of the regulatory mechanism of the drug's efficacy in treating OA.

Keywords: network pharmacology approach, complex herbal formulations, molecular targets, osteoarthritis, Duhuo Jisheng decoction, inflammatory

## INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a progressive, degenerative disease characterised by inflammation-driven cartilage degradation in the elderly (Kwon et al., 2018; Funck-Brentano et al., 2019; Peat and Thomas, 2021). OA resulting in joint chronic pain and disability can lead to remarkable reduction of the quality of life, which poses an increasing socioeconomic and healthcare burden. However, prolonged use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for OA treatment may lead to cardiovascular and gastrointestinal side effects (UK NCGC, 2014; Latourte et al., 2020). Pharmacological treatments for OA are aimed at alleviating the clinical symptoms rather than treating the underlying causes. Hence it is in desperate need of more effective and

1

safer therapeutic approaches to reduce chronic pain and functional recovery of joint in patients with OA.

In the field of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), Chinese herbal medicine has a deep history and special features for OA treatment, and it has been explored to be effective in improving clinical symptoms with few side effects. Duhuo Jisheng Decoction (DHJSD) has been widely used to treat OA in China, and has been confirmed to relieve OA-related symptoms in several clinical trials (Zhang et al., 2016). Studies have shown that DHJSD could alleviate OA by suppressing inflammation and chondrocyte apoptosis (Liu et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020). Our previous studies shown that DHJSD inhibits chondrocyte apoptosis by affect the mitochondrial-dependent apoptotic pathway (Liu et al., 2014) and suppresses the endoplasmic reticulum stressmediated apoptosis (Lin et al., 2015). Some clues about the pharmacological mechanism of DHJSD have been provided, indicating that its pharmacological efficacy may through the synergistic actions of the multi-ingredients modulating multipathways. Nevertheless, the specific pharmacological mechanisms of DHJSD and their interaction with OArelated targets and pathways is not yet elucidated and require further investigation.

Network pharmacology approach (NPA), a novel and powerful strategy, integrates chemo-informatics, bioinformatics, network biology, network analysis and traditional pharmacology, which conforms to an organic whole or the holistic view of TCM theory and elucidates the active compounds and potential mechanisms of TCM network pharmacology formulas. Classical explores molecular targets from the ingredients of the complex herbal formulation and the target disease, simultaneously and refines the intersections between the formulations and disease to identify the therapeutic molecular targets (Lai et al., 2020). NPA has been beneficial in elucidating the interrelationship of the compounds and construct the compound, compound target, and target disease networks to exploring the possible mechanisms of multi-component and multi-target drugs (Liu et al., 2016). Jian et al. used NPA to investigate the effects of Eucommia ulmoides-Radix Achyranthis Bidentatae (EU-RAB) in OA, showed that TNF, MAPK, PI3K/AKT and IL-17 signalling pathways may be pathway that EU-RAB affects in OA (Jian et al., 2020). Zhu et al. reported that cell cycle, cell apoptosis, immune modulation, inflammation and drug metabolism maybe the pathway that Shaoyao Gancao (SGD) affects in OA by NPA analysis (Zhu et al., 2019). Recently, Zhang et al. found that virus-related, apoptotic, IL-17 and PI3K/Akt signalling pathway are involved in the mechanisms of Radix Achyranthis Bidentatae in treating acute OA using NPA (Zhang et al., 2020), Huang et al. used NPA and found that Simiao may exert its effect on multi-pathway such as IL-17 signalling pathway, HIF-1 signalling pathway, Toll-like receptor signalling pathway and TNF signalling pathway (Huang et al., 2020). In our previous study, we also used NPA and OA in a cellular model and found that the NF-κB signalling pathway was the important inflammatory signalling

pathway involved in the mechanism of Bushen Zhuangjin Decoction (BZD) in treating OA (Xu et al., 2021). These studies had focused on investigating the potential molecular targets and mechanisms of a certain herbal formulation using NPA. Therefore, using NPA-integrated experimental to acquire the bioactive components, targets and the underlying mechanisms of DHJSD in treating OA. The following two experiments were designed: 1) network pharmacology for DHJSD and 2) variation of the pathway detected from network pharmacology and confirming the key signalling pathway of DHJSD on a lipopolysaccharide (LPS) induced OA cellular model. This study provides a newish approach to understand the theoretical basis of DHJSD in treating OA.

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

### Preliminary Exploration of the Bioactive Components of DHJSD Preparation of DHJSD

DHJSD is formed from 15 Chinese medical plants, including Duhuo (DH) [Angelica biserrata (Shan et Yuan) Yuan et Shan], Sang Ji Sheng (SJS) [Taxillus chinensis (DC.) Danser], Qin Jian (QJ) [Gentiana Macrophylla Pall.], Fang Feng (FF) [Saposhnikovia divaricata (Turcz.) Schischk.], Xi Xin (XX) [Manchurian Wildginger], Chuan Xiong (CX) [Szechuan Lovage Rhizome], Dang Gui (DG) [Angelica Diels.], Shu Di Huang sinensis (Oliv.) (SDH) [Rehmanniaglutinosa (Gaertn.) DC.], Bai Shao (BS) [Paeonia lactiflora Pall], Rou Gui (RG) [Cortex Cinnamomi Cassiae], Fu Ling (FL) [Smilax glabra Roxb], Du Zhong (DZ) [Eucommia ulmoides Oliv], Niu Xi (NX) [Radix Achyranthis Bidentatae], Dang Shen (DS) [Root of Pilose Asiabell], Gan Cao (GC) [Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch.], were obtained from the Third People's Hospital affiliated to the Fujian University of TCM (Fuzhou, China). Regarding the ratio of DHJSD (Supplementary Table S1), 90 g of herbal powder was soaked in 720 ml distilled water and boiled three times for 2 h, and the extracts were filtered. The filtrate was completely evaporated on a rotary evaporator (RE-2000; Shanghai Yarong Biochemistry Instrument Factory, Shanghai, China), dried in vacuum drying oven until it was constant weight, and then stored at -20 °C (DZF-300; Shanghai Yiheng Scientific Instrument Co., Shanghai, China). The DHJSD solid dry matter was dissolved in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (HyClone, Logan, UT, United States) and then filtered through a 0.22 µm filter. When used, was prepared as necessary. The extracts of DHJSD were detected by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) fingerprint on an Agilent 1200 HPLC system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA,United States) using an Agilent 5 TC-C<sub>18</sub> (250  $\times$ 4.6 mm). The conditions for the analysis were acetonitrile (A) 0.2% phosphoric acid water (B) as a mobile phase, a detection wavelength at 230 nm for paeoniflorin (peak 1) (purity 98%), ligustrazine hydrochloride (peak 2) (purity 98%) and osthole (peak 3) (purity 98%) (China Institute of

#### TABLE 1 | The final selected compounds of DuHuo JiSheng Decoction for analysis.

D	Name	ОВ	DL	Herb
MOL003608	O-Acetylcolumbianetin	60.04	0.26	DH
/OL004777	Angelol D	34.85	0.34	DH
/OL004778	[(1R,2R)-2,3-dihydroxy-1-(7-methoxy-2-oxochromen-6-yl)-3-methylbutyl] (Z)-2-methylbut-2-enoate	46.03	0.34	DH
10L004780		30.99	0.19	DH
10L004782	[(1R,2R)-2,3-dihydroxy-1-(7-methoxy-2-oxochromen-6-yl)-3-methylbutyl] 3-methylbutanoate	45.19	0.34	DH
10L004792	Nodakenin	57.12	0.69	DH
IOL000011	(2R,3R)-3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-phenyl)-5-methoxy-2-methylol-2,3-dihydropyrano[5,6-h][1,4]benzodioxin- 9-one	68.83	0.66	FF
10L011730	11-hydroxy-sec-o-beta-d-glucosylhamaudol gt	50.24	0.27	FF
/OL011732	Anomalin	59.65	0.66	FF
IOL011737	Divaricatacid	87	0.32	FF
10L011740	Divaricatol	31.65	0.38	FF
IOL011747	Ledebouriellol	32.05	0.51	FF
10L011749	Phelloptorin	43.39	0.28	FF
IOL011753	5-O-Methylvisamminol	37.99	0.25	FF
10L002644	Phellopterin	40.19	0.28	FF
10L003588	Prangenidin	36.31	0.22	FF
10L013077	Decursin	39.27	0.38	FF
IOL012140	4,9-dimethoxy-1-vinyl-\$b-carboline	65.3	0.19	XX
IOL012141	Caribine	37.06	0.83	XX
10L0012141	Cryptopin	78.74	0.83	××
AOL001558	Sesamin	56.55	0.83	XX
IOL002501	[(1S)-3-[(E)-but-2-enyl]-2-methyl-4-oxo-1-cyclopent-2-enyl] (1R,3R)-3-[(E)-3-methoxy-2-methyl-3-oxoprop-1- enyl]-2,2-dimethylcyclopropane-1-carboxylate	62.52	0.31	XX
10L002962	(3S)-7-hydroxy-3-(2,3,4-trimethoxyphenyl)chroman-4-one	48.23	0.33	XX
10L009849	ZINC05223929	31.57	0.83	XX
IOL002135	Myricanone	40.6	0.51	CX
IOL002151	Senkyunone	47.66	0.24	CX
IOL002157	Wallichilide	42.31	0.71	CX
10L000433	FA	68.96	0.71	CX
IOL001918	Paeoniflorgenone	87.59	0.37	BS
10L001925	Paeoniflorin_qt	68.18	0.4	BS
10L001928	Albiflorin_qt	66.64	0.33	BS
10L001910	11alpha,12alpha-epoxy-3beta-23-dihydroxy-30-norolean-20-en-28,12beta-olide	64.77	0.38	BS
10L000492	(+)-catechin	54.83	0.24	BS
10L001924	Paeoniflorin	53.87	0.79	BS
/IOL001921	Lactiflorin	49.12	0.8	BS
/OL001919	(3S,5R,8R,9R,10S,14S)-3,17-dihydroxy-4,4,8,10,14-pentamethyl-2,3,5,6,7,9-hexahydro-1H-cyclopenta [a]	43.56	0.53	BS
101 001 000	phenanthrene-15,16-dione	04.07	0.75	50
NOL001930	Benzoyl paeoniflorin	31.27	0.75	BS
IOL000273	(2R)-2-[(3S,5R,10S,13R,14R,16R,17R)-3,16-dihydroxy-4,4,10,13,14-pentamethyl-2,3,5,6,12,15,16,17- octahydro-1H-cyclopenta [a]phenanthren-17-yl]-6-methylhept-5-enoic acid	30.93	0.81	FL
10L000275	Trametenolic acid	38.71	0.8	FL
IOL000276	7,9 (11)-dehydropachymic acid	35.11	0.81	FL
IOL000279	Cerevisterol	37.96	0.77	FL
10L000280	(2R)-2-[(3S,5R,10S,13R,14R,16R,17R)-3,16-dihydroxy-4,4,10,13,14-pentamethyl-2,3,5,6,12,15,16,17- octahydro-1H-cyclopenta [a]phenanthren-17-yl]-5-isopropyl-hex-5-enoic acid	31.07	0.82	FL
IOL000282	Ergosta-7,22E-dien-3beta-ol	43.51	0.72	FL
10L000283 10L000285	Ergosterol peroxide (2R)-2-[(5R,10S,13R,14R,16R,17R)-16-hydroxy-3-keto-4,4,10,13,14-pentamethyl-1,2,5,6,12,15,16,17-	40.36 38.26	0.81 0.82	FL FL
	octahydrocyclopenta [a]phenanthren-17-y[]-5-isopropyl-hex-5-enoic acid			
10L000287	3beta-Hydroxy-24-methylene-8-lanostene-21-oic acid	38.7	0.81	FL
10L000289	Pachymic acid	33.63	0.81	FL
10L000290	Poricoic acid A	30.61	0.76	FL
IOL000291	Poricoic acid B	30.52	0.75	FL
OL000292	Poricoic acid C	38.15	0.75	FL
OL000296	Hederagenin	36.91	0.75	FL
	-			
10L000300	Dehydroeburicoic acid	44.17	0.83	FL
10L002058	40957-99-1	57.2	0.62	DZ
10L004367	Olivil	62.23	0.41	DZ
10L000443	Erythraline	49.18	0.55	DZ
10L005922	Acanthoside B	43.35	0.77	DZ
10L006709	AIDS214634	92.43	0.55	DZ
	Ent-Epicatechin	48.96	0.24	DZ
IOL000073				

#### TABLE 1 | (Continued) The final selected compounds of DuHuo JiSheng Decoction for analysis.

)	Name	ОВ	DL	Herb
OL007563	Yangambin	57.53	0.81	DZ
OL009007	Eucommin A	30.51	0.85	DZ
CL009009	(+)-medioresinol	87.19	0.62	DZ
OL009015	(-)-Tabernemontanine	58.67	0.61	DZ
DL009027	Cyclopamine	55.42	0.82	DZ
DL009029	Dehydrodiconiferyl alcohol 4,gamma'-di-O-beta-D-glucopyanoside_qt	51.44	0.4	DZ
DL009030	Dehydrodieugenol	30.1	0.24	DZ
DL009031	Cinchonan-9-al, 6'-methoxy- (9R)-	68.22	0.4	DZ
DL009038	GBGB	45.58	0.83	DZ
DL009042	Helenalin	77.01	0.19	DZ
DL009047	(+)-Eudesmin	33.29	0.62	DZ
DL009053	4-[(2S,3R)-5-[(E)-3-hydroxyprop-1-enyl]-7-methoxy-3-methylol-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran-2-yl]-2-methoxy- phenol	50.76	0.39	DZ
DL009055	Hirsutin_qt	49.81	0.37	DZ
OL009057	Liriodendrin_qt	53.14	0.8	DZ
DL002773	Beta-carotene	37.18	0.58	DZ
DL008240	(E)-3-[4-[(1R,2R)-2-hydroxy-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-phenyl)-1-methylol-ethoxy]-3-methoxy-phenyl]acrolein	56.32	0.36	DZ
DL011604	Syringetin	36.82	0.37	DZ
DL012461	28-norolean-17-en-3-ol	35.93	0.78	NX
L012505	Bidentatoside, ii_qt	31.76	0.59	NX
DL012542	β-ecdysterone	44.23	0.82	NX
DL001454	Berberine	36.86	0.78	NX
DL001454	Coptisine	30.67	0.86	NX
DL002643	Delta 7-stigmastenol	37.42	0.75	NX
DL002714	Baicalein	33.52	0.21	NX
OL002776	Baicalin	40.12	0.75	NX
JL002897	Epiberberine	43.09	0.78	NX
DL003847	Inophyllum E	38.81	0.85	NX
DL000785	Palmatine	64.6	0.65	NX
OL000085	Beta-daucosterol_qt	36.91	0.75	NX
DL012537	Spinoside A	41.75	0.4	NX
DL008406	Spinoside A	39.97	0.4	DS
DL002879	Diop	43.59	0.39	DS
DL003036	ZINC03978781	43.83	0.76	DS
JL004492	Chrysanthemaxanthin	38.72	0.58	DS
OL005321	Frutinone A	65.9	0.34	DS
2L000006	Luteolin	36.16	0.25	DS
OL006554	Taraxerol	38.4	0.77	DS
OL006774	Stigmast-7-enol	37.42	0.75	DS
	•			
DL008391	5alpha-Stigmastan-3,6-dione	33.12	0.79	DS
DL008393	7-(beta-Xylosyl)cephalomannine_qt	38.33	0.29	DS
OL008397	Daturilin	50.37	0.77	DS
OL008400	Glycitein	50.48	0.24	DS
OL008407	(8S,9S,10R,13R,14S,17R)-17-[(E,2R,5S)-5-ethyl-6-methylhept-3-en-2-yl]-10,13-dimethyl- 1,2,4,7,8,9,11,12,14,15,16,17-dodecahydrocyclopenta [a]phenanthren-3-one	45.4	0.76	DS
OL008411	11-Hydroxyrankinidine	40	0.66	DS
DL001484	Inermine	75.18	0.54	GC
DL001792	DFV	32.76	0.18	GC
DL002311	Glycyrol	90.78	0.67	GC
DL000239	Jaranol	50.83	0.29	GC
DL002565	Medicarpin	49.22	0.34	GC
DL000354	Isorhamnetin	49.6	0.31	GC
DL003656	Lupiwighteone	51.64	0.37	GC
DL000392	Formononetin	69.67	0.21	GC
DL000032	Calycosin	47.75	0.24	GC
)L000417				
		59.29	0.21	GC
DL004805	(2S)-2-[4-hydroxy-3-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)phenyl]-8,8-dimethyl-2,3-dihydropyrano [2,3-f]chromen-4-one	31.79	0.72	GC
DL004806	Euchrenone	30.29	0.57	GC
DL004808	Glyasperin B	65.22	0.44	GC
OL004810	Glyasperin F	75.84	0.54	GC
OL004811	Glyasperin C	45.56	0.4	GC
OL004814	Isotrifoliol	31.94	0.42	GC
DL004815	(E)-1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-3-(2,2-dimethylchromen-6-yl)prop-2-en-1-one	39.62	0.35	GC
DL004820	Kanzonols W	50.48	0.52	GC

#### TABLE 1 | (Continued) The final selected compounds of DuHuo JiSheng Decoction for analysis.

D	Name	OB	DL	Herb
/IOL004824	(2S)-6-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-2-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-4-methoxy-2,3-dihydrofuro [3,2-g]chromen-7-one	60.25	0.63	GC
/IOL004827	Semilicoisoflavone B	48.78	0.55	GC
OL004828	Glepidotin A	44.72	0.35	GC
IOL004829	Glepidotin B	64.46	0.34	GC
	Phaseolinisoflavan	32.01	0.45	GC
	Glypallichalcone	61.6	0.19	GC
	8-(6-hydroxy-2-benzofuranyl)-2,2-dimethyl-5-chromenol	58.44	0.38	GC
	Licochalcone B	76.76	0.19	GC
	Licochalcone G	49.25	0.32	GC
	3-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-8-(1,1-dimethylprop-2-enyl)-7-hydroxy-5-methoxy-coumarin	59.62	0.43	GC
	Licoricone	63.58	0.47	GC
	Gancaonin A	51.08	0.4	GC
	Gancaonin B	48.79	0.45	GC
	Licorice glycoside E	32.89	0.27	GC
	3-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-8-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)chromone	66.37	0.41	GC
	5,7-dihydroxy-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-8-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)chromone	30.49	0.41	GC
	2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-6-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)chromone	44.15	0.41	GC
	Glycyrin	52.61	0.47	GC
	Licocoumarone	33.21	0.36	GC
	Licoisoflavone	41.61	0.42	GC
	Licoisoflavone B	38.93	0.42	GC
	Licoisoflavanone	52.47	0.53	GC
	Shinpterocarpin	80.3	0.34	GC
	(E)-3-[3,4-dihydroxy-5-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)phenyl]-1-(2,4-dihydroxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one	46.27	0.73	GC
		65.69	0.74	GC
	Liquiritin Licopyranocoumarin	80.36	0.65	GC
		34.32	0.55	GC
	3,22-Dihydroxy-11-oxo-delta (12)-oleanene-27-alpha-methoxycarbonyl-29-oic acid Glyzaglabrin	61.07	0.35	GC
	, ,		0.35	GC
	Glabridin	53.25		
	Glabranin	52.9	0.31	GC
	Glabrene	46.27	0.44	GC
	Glabrone	52.51	0.5	GC
	1,3-dihydroxy-9-methoxy-6-benzofurano [3,2-c]chromenone	48.14	0.43	GC
	1,3-dihydroxy-8,9-dimethoxy-6-benzofurano [3,2-c]chromenone	62.9	0.53	GC
	Eurycarpin A	43.28	0.37	GC
	Glycyroside	37.25	0.79	GC
	(-)-Medicocarpin	40.99	0.95	GC
	Sigmoidin-B	34.88	0.41	GC
	(2R)-7-hydroxy-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)chroman-4-one	71.12	0.18	GC
	(2S)-7-hydroxy-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-8-(3-methylbut-2-enyl)chroman-4-one	36.57	0.32	GC
	Isoglycyrol	44.7	0.84	GC
	Isolicoflavonol	45.17	0.42	GC
	HMO	38.37	0.21	GC
	1-Methoxyphaseollidin	69.98	0.64	GC
	Quercetin der	46.45	0.33	GC
	3'-Hydroxy-4'-O-Methylglabridin	43.71	0.57	GC
	Licochalcone a	40.79	0.29	GC
	3'-Methoxyglabridin	46.16	0.57	GC
	2-[(3R)-8,8-dimethyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyrano [6,5-f]chromen-3-yl]-5-methoxyphenol	36.21	0.52	GC
	Inflacoumarin A	39.71	0.33	GC
	Icos-5-enoic acid	30.7	0.2	GC
	Kanzonol F	32.47	0.89	GC
	6-prenylated eriodictyol	39.22	0.41	GC
	7,2',4'-trihydroxy-5-methoxy-3-arylcoumarin	83.71	0.27	GC
	7-Acetoxy-2-methylisoflavone	38.92	0.26	GC
DL004993	8-prenylated eriodictyol	53.79	0.4	GC
DL004996	Gadelaidic acid	30.7	0.2	GC
OL000500	Vestitol	74.66	0.21	GC
OL005000	Gancaonin G	60.44	0.39	GC
OL005001	Gancaonin H	50.1	0.78	GC
OL005003	Licoagrocarpin	58.81	0.58	GC
OL005007	Glyasperins M	72.67	0.59	GC
	Glycyrrhiza flavonol A	41.28	0.6	GC
	Licoagroisoflavone	57.28	0.49	GC
	-			ed on following p

#### TABLE 1 | (Continued) The final selected compounds of DuHuo JiSheng Decoction for analysis.

ID	Name	OB	DL	Herb
MOL005013	18α-hydroxyglycyrrhetic acid	41.16	0.71	GC
MOL005016	Odoratin	49.95	0.3	GC
MOL005017	Phaseol	78.77	0.58	GC
MOL005018	Xambioona	54.85	0.87	GC
MOL005020	Dehydroglyasperins C	53.82	0.37	GC
MOL000098	Quercetin	46.43	0.28	DZ/SJS
MOL000098	Quercetin	46.43	0.28	GC/NX
MOL000173	Wogonin	30.68	0.23	FF/NX
MOL000211	Mairin	55.38	0.78	BS/DZ/GC
MOL000358	Beta-sitosterol	36.91	0.75	DH/QJ/FF/DG/BS/
				DZ/NX
MOL000359	Sitosterol	36.91	0.75	SJS/QJ/FF/CX/SDH
				BS/GC
MOL000422	Kaempferol	41.88	0.24	XX/BS/DZ/NX/GC
MOL000449	Stigmasterol	43.83	0.76	DG/SDH/NX/DS
MOL001006	poriferasta-7,22E-dien-3beta-ol	42.98	0.76	NX/DS
MOL001494	Mandenol	42	0.19	FF/CX
MOL001941	Ammidin	34.55	0.22	DH/FF
MOL001942	Isoimperatorin	45.46	0.23	DH/FF
MOL002140	Perlolyrine	65.95	0.27	CX/DS
MOL003896	7-Methoxy-2-methyl isoflavone	42.56	0.2	DS/GC
MOL004355	Spinasterol	42.98	0.76	NX/DS
MOL007059	3-beta-Hydroxymethyllenetanshiquinone	32.16	0.41	DZ/DS
MOL007514	Methyl icosa-11,14-dienoate	39.67	0.23	FF/DS

OB, oral bioavailability; DL, drug-likeness; DH, Du Huo; SJS, Sang Ji Sheng; QJ, Qin Jiao; FF, Fang Feng; XX, Xi Xin; CX, Chuan Xiong; DG, Dang Gui; SDH, Shu Di Huanga; BS, Bai Shao; RG, Rou Gui; FL, Fu Ling; DZ, Du Zhong; NX, Niu Xi; DS, Dang Shen; GC, Gan Cao.

Food and Drug Test, Beijing, China) (**Supplementary Figure S1**), with flow rate of 0.8 ml/min under column temperature.

#### Screening of the Bioactive Components of DHJSD

All DHJSD components were explored using the TCM systems pharmacology database and analysis platform (TCMSP, https://tcmspw.com/tcmsp.php). The chemical composition of TCM was screened based on oral bioavailability (OB  $\geq$  30%) and drug-likeness (DL  $\geq$  0.18). The OB value describe the relative amount drug which was absorbed into the systemic blood circulation, through the extravascular route. DL means the degree of similarity between bioactive component and a known drug, and the class of compounds with the potential for drug development. Moreover, 206 eligible DHJSD compounds were obtained from the TCM systems pharmacology database, and considered as candidate compounds (**Table 1**), including 9 for DH, 2 for QJ, 2 for SJS, 8 for XX, 0 for RG, 18 for FF, 15 for FL, 2 for SDH, 20 for NX, 21 for DS, 28 for DZ, 7 for CX, 2 for DG, 13 for BS and 92 for GC.

#### Animals

Sprague Dawley rats aged 4 weeks (BW: 90–120g, n = 24) were purchased from Shanghai Slack Laboratory Animal Co. (Shanghai, China). Rats were raised in the SPF-class housing of laboratory at 60% humidity,  $23^{\circ}$ C room temperature and 12 h rhythm (8:00 a.m.-8:00 p.m.) with food and water freely available. The animals were carefully treated according to the National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Fujian University of TCM play a major role in approved and supervised the experimental (approval number: 2020015).

## Preparation of Chondrocytes and LPS-Exposed Model

Chondrocytes were harvested and produced to an LPS-exposed cellular model as done in our previous studies (Liang et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020a; Li et al., 2020b). Briefly, chondrocytes were harvested from the knee joints of four Sprague Dawley rats at a time, six times in total. Cells were identified with collagen II antibody by immunohistochemistry. The cellular model was built by exposed Chondrocytes under10 ng/mL of LPS (Sigma-Aldrich, United States) for 8 h.

### Identification of the Key Pathway Concerning DHJSD in Treating OA by NPA Predicting the Molecular Targets of OA

Genetic date on patients with OA acquisition from the Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. Gov/geo/). The series GSE46750 was an analysis of synovial cells from OA patients' local tissue of inflammatory (I) or normal/ reactive (N/R). Differential expression patterns were identified in two regions of the synovium in 12 patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. The series GSE82107 was an analysis of synovial biopsies that were obtained from the synovium of patients with OA to identify genes upregulated during OA. A total of 10 microarrays of end-stage OA synovial biopsies were compared with 7 microarrays of synovial biopsies obtained from individuals without a joint disease. The series GSE51588 was isolated from

total RNA from the regions of interest from OA (n = 20) and non-OA (n = 5) patients, samples were got from knee lateral (LT) and medial tibial (MT) plateaus. This profile was obtained by performing whole-genome microarray profiling of the human osteoarthritic subchondral bone. The series GSE117999 was an analysis of cartilage tissues obtained from 12 OA patients 12 normal patients. The series GSE32317 characterised analyse the synovial membranes from early-stage or end-stage OA patients. A total of 10 samples of early-stage knee OA synovial membranes from the patients undergoing arthroscopic procedures for degenerative meniscal tears and 9 samples of end-stage knee OA synovial membranes. The series GSE29746 was used to identify difference expression gene between human synovial fibroblasts (SF) from OA synovial tissues and normal SF from healthy individuals (HSF). Synovial fibroblasts were obtained from 11 sex-matched and age-matched adult healthy donors (HSF) and 11 sex-matched and age-matched patients with OA (OASF). The raw file was processed by a robust multi-array average algorithm with normalisation of matrix data, and then filtered using the Limma package to analyse twice. The genes with p < 0.05 and  $|\log 2$  (fold change)| > 0.263 were screened as significantly differentially expressed genes. The analysis data obtained from the two series GSE46750 and GSE82107 were integrated as series 1, the analysis data obtained from the two series GSE51588 and GSE117999 were integrated as series 2 and the analysis data obtained from the two series GSE32317 and GSE29746 were integrated as series 3.

## Construction of the Active Component-Target Network of DHJSD

The compound target network of DHJSD was constructed and visualised by the Cytoscape 3.7.2 software. Protein–protein interaction (PPI) data that contain many database including the Database of Interacting Proteins (DIP<sup>TM</sup>), Biological General Repository for Interaction Datasets, Human Protein Reference Database, IntAct Molecular Interaction Database (IntAct), Molecular Interaction database (MINT) and the Biomolecular Interaction Network Database. The Cytoscape3.7.2 software provides a visual for the PPI networks for DHJSD putative targets and OA-related targets.

## Construction of PPI Networks and Screening of Key Targets

In this study, the Biogenet plugin was used to construct the PPI networks for DHJSD and OA targets, and Cytoscape was further to intersection of the two networks, screened the direct or indirect target regulatory network for DHJSD in OA treatment. Key genes were identified based on the network topology analysis plugin CytoNCA and filter out by degree centrality (DC), betweenness centrality (BC) and closeness centrality (CC) and explored for the core genes for DHJSD activity against OA.

### Gene Ontology and KEGG Analysis

The Gene Ontology (GO) database (http://geneontology.org/) includes biological process (BP), molecular function (MF) and cellular component (CC) data, and it was used to identify the biological mechanisms of a number of large genomes. The top 20 functional categories of each category were selected (FDR< 0.05). The KEGG database (https://www.kegg.jp/) was used to explore possible function and biological correlation with candidate target genes. The Cluster Profiler R package was used to visualise the result of GO and KEGG pathway enrichment. Pathways with an FDR of <0.05 were selected for the next step analysis. The gene pathway network analysis was used to determine significantly regulated pathways. To screen key target genes involved in DHJSD activity, we constructed the gene pathway network.

### **Component-Target Molecular Docking**

The 3D structure of the key target genes was downloaded from the RSCB PDB database (https://www.rcsb.org/), the Auto Dock Tools 1.5.6 software was used to remove water and ligands and add hydrogen and stored as PDBQT file. The 2D structures of the top nine compounds of DHJSD were downloaded from the PubChem database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), processed, transformed into PDB format by Chem3D and stored as PDBQT file. The key target genes were provided as receptors, and the active compounds were provided as ligands. Finally, Autodock vina 1.1.2 was used to dock compounds with key target genes and protein.

#### Venn Analysis

The key target genes of intersections among series 1, series 2 and series 3 were screened by Venn analysis. The results of the key target genes of DHJSD, interactive PPI network topological analysis were submitted for Venn analysis. KEGG was performed to further analysis the genes dropped in the intersections of the target genes of DHJSD along with PPI network topological analysis. Finally, the key pathways concerning DHJSD in OA treatment were identified.

# Verification of the Involvement of Targeted Pathways

## Verification of the Efficacy of DHJSD on OA Related miRNA and Regulatory Pathway Prediction

Total RNA was extracted from chondrocytes using the TRIZOL reagent (Life, United States) and reverse transcribed into cDNA using RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (PrimeScript<sup>™</sup> RT reagent kit). The mRNA expressions of miR-146a-5p and miR-34a-5p were detected using the ABI 7500 Fast Real-time PCR system. The primers used for amplification were as follows: miR-146a-5p (sequence UGA GAA CUG AAU UCC AUG GGU U, forward TGA GAA CTG AAT TCC ATG GGT T) and miR-34a-5p (sequence UGG CAG UGU CUU AGC UGG UUG U, forward TGG CAG TGT CTUT AGC TGG TTG T). The DIANA Tools (http://www.microrna.gr) was used to predict miRNA target mRNAs (Vlachos and Hatzigeorgiou, 2017), and we also analysed the miRNA target mRNA regulatory pathways.

## Verification of the Efficacy of DHJSD by Western Blotting

It is found that the protein expression of MMP-9 in chondrocytes (LPS 10 ng/ml) was significantly reduced after culture under

300 µg/ml of DHJSD for 8 h in our previous research (Xu et al., 2019). Therefore, we selected 300 µg/ml of DHJSD and a duration of 8 h as the experimental conditions. According to NPA results, we also performed standard western blot analysis was used to confirm the involvement of the targeted pathway. We confirmed the involvement of the NF-κB signalling pathway and the toll-like receptor signalling pathway (Please refer to the Results and Discussion section). Chondrocytes were subjected to four treatment group, namely, control, model (LPS 10 ng/ml), DHJSD (DHJSD 300 µg/ml + LPS 10 ng/ml) and PDTC (an inhibitor of the NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway) (PDTC 10  $\mu$ M + LPS 10 ng/ml) or TAK 242 (an inhibitor of the toll-like receptor four signalling pathway) (TAK 242 1 µM + LPS 10 ng/ml) for 8 h. Cell were lysed immediately in lysis buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China) for 30 min on ice, and total proteins were collected and quantified by the bicinchoninic acid assay. Protein sample (20 µg) was run on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and then transferred protein onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Sigma-Aldrich, United States). Then, 5% non-fat milk was used to block the membranes before incubation with primary antibodies against NF-κB p65 (ab16502, abcam, United States), IKK-β (ab124957, abcam, United States), TLR4 (ab22048, abcam, United States), MyD88 (ab 2064, abcam, United States), TRAF6 (ab40675, abcam, United States), IKK-a (ab32041, abcam, United States), IKB-α (ab32518, abcam, United States), p-IKB-α (ab2859, abcam, United States), ADAMTS-4 (ab185722, abcam, United States), ADAMTS-5 (ab41037, abcam, United States), MMP-3 (ab52915, abcam, United States), MMP-13 (ab39012, abcam, United States), MMP-14 (ab51074, abcam, United States), collagen II (ab34712, abcam, United States) and  $\beta$ -actin (ab8226, Cambridge, UK) at 4°C overnight. Goat anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidaseconjugated secondary antibody IgG (bs-0295G-HRP, Bioss, China) or goat anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody IgG (bs-0296G-HRP, Bioss, China) was added to the membranes at 30°C column temperature. The enhanced chemiluminescence method was used to visualize the immunocomplexes. Protein bands were quantified by densitometry scanning (X-ray Spectroscopy System, cat. no. 170-8070; Bio-Rad). The Blots was analyzed using the Image Lab software,  $\beta$ -actin used as the control.

#### Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR) Assay

The mRNA expression levels of TLR4, TRAF-6, MyD88, NF- $\kappa$ B p65, IKK- $\alpha$ , IKK- $\beta$ , MMP-3, MMP-13, MMP-14, IL-1 $\beta$  and TNF- $\alpha$  were detected by using the ABI 7500 Fast Real-time PCR system. The primers used for amplification were as follows: TLR4 (forward 5'-CCG CTC TGG CAT CAT CTT CA-3', reverse 5'-CTC CCA CTC GAG GTA GGT GT-3'); TRAF-6 (forward 5'-TGG TCA TTC ATA GCC CTG GAT-3', reverse 5'-AGG ATC GTG AGG CGT ATT GTA-3'); MyD88 (forward 5'-CGG AGG AGA TGG GTT TCG AG-3', reverse 5'-CCA GGC ATC CAA CAA ACT GC-3'); NF- $\kappa$ B p65 (forward 5'-AGA GAA GCA CAG ATA CCA CTA AGA-3', reverse 5'-GTT CAG CCT CAT AGA AGC CAT C-3'); IKK- $\alpha$  (forward 5'-TGG AGT GAG AGG CTG TGA TAG-3', reverse 5'-TTG AGT TGC TGT GAT GCT GAG-3'); IKK- $\beta$  (forward 5'-CAT CAA GCA ATG CCG

ACA GG-3', reverse 5'-CAT TGG GTG CCA AGT TCT GC-3'); MMP-3 (forward 5'-ATG ATG ATG AAC GAT GGA CAG ATG-3', reverse 5'-TGC TAC ACA TTG GTA AGG TCT CAG-3'); MMP-13 (forward 5'-GGA GTA ATC GCA TTG TGA GAG TC-3', reverse 5'-GGT TCC AGC CAC GCA TAG TC-3'); MMP-14 (forward 5'-GCA CTT CGT GTT GCC TGA TGA C-3', reverse 5'-GCC AGA ACC ATC GCT CCT TGA-3'); IL-1 $\beta$ (forward 5'-GCA CAG TTC CCC AAC TGG TA-3', reverse 5'-AAG ACA CGG GTT CCA TGG TG-3'); TNF- $\alpha$  (forward 5'-ACT GAA CTT CGG GGT GAT CG-3', reverse 5'-GCT TGG TGG TTT GCT ACG AC-3'). GAPDH was used as an internal control.

### **Statistical Analysis**

All statistical data were analysed by SPSS 20.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) and expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Data obtained from three independent experiments were analysed by two-way analysis of variance, through Bonferroni's post-hoc correction for multiple comparisons. P < 0.05 was considered to indicate a significant difference.

## RESULTS

# Screening of the Key Pathway of DHJSD Using NPA

#### **Compound Target Network Analysis**

Differential analysis of the GEO database gene series GSE46750, GSE82107, GSE51588, GSE117999, GSE32317 and GSE29746 identified 538, 2,161, 4,531, 93, 433, and 2341 OA-related targets respectively. The heatmaps and volcano plot of OA-related targets are depicted in **Figure 1A–1F** and **Supplementary Figure S1A–1F**. A total of 2,638, 4,598 and 2715 OA-related targets were identified from series 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Altogether there were 85 OA-related targets at the intersection of series 1, 2 and 3 (**Figure 1G**).

Using the screened compounds and their targets, the compound-target network for DHJSD was constructed (**Figure 2**). For series 1, the network consisted of 175 nodes (131 compounds for DHJSD and 44 compound targets) and 358 edges (**Figure 2A**). For series 2, the network consisted of 201 nodes (121 compounds for DHJSD and 80 compound targets) and 486 edges (**Figure 2B**). For series 3, the network consisted of 188 nodes (129 compounds for DHJSD and 59 compound targets) and 563 edges (**Figure 2C**).

#### Screening of Candidate Targets for DHJSD Against OA

To investigate the possible mechanisms that correlated with the effect of DHJSD on OA, the PPI networks of the OA-related targets and putative DHJSD targets were merged to identify a set of candidate targets. The first network of series 1 consisted of 2,785 nodes and 64,777 edges (**Figure 3A**), with a median of 27° among all nodes, and identified nodes with more than 61° as significant targets. A secondary network consisting of significant (DC > 61) targets from the first network, which contained 635 nodes and 24,605 edges (**Figure 3B**). The median values for BC and CC



KLK3, DRG1, SIGLEC10, PHLDB1, ZCCHC5, TFPI, NUF2, LTC4S, CENPM, RBX1, DKC1, PRPS1, TMEM217, EXC1, ARHGAP11A, ELL2, FANCI, NAMPT, LPP4, CDK2AP2, RARG, CERCAM, SPTAN1RAB20, MFNG, FKBP10, MARC1, TMEM35, MXD1, ISM1, BGN, SPATA18, FMNL3, LTBP3, CD300C, SPATA19, ABLIM3, RSP02, STXBP2, CPLX1, ZBTB7B, BCL2L1, PNPLA2, SLC22A4, ANO3, SHROOM2, CRIP2, HPR1, HBB, PRUNE2, SCRG1, WISP2, CCDC86, PDZRN4, HTR2A, RNF150, PCSK1, ZFP14, CCNB1, ANGPTL2, ZFAND5OGN, SLC11A1, KCNJ15, P2RY14, FABP4, SLC2A1, ALOX12, SF3A3, TCF7, TUSC3, C15orf26, SPATA17, LCAT, SLC16A6, SMOC2, LIMK2, CCDC136, PLA2G5, CKS2 and CCR5.



were 255.456929 and 0.567514, respectively. In order to construct the final network, additional screened to identify candidate targets, 163 targets with BC > 255.456929 and CC > 0.567514 were selected (**Figure 3C**). A total of 163 target genes were eventually identified for DHJSD activity against OA in series 1. The same process was used for series 2, and the network consisted of 3,652 nodes and 90,109 edges (**Figure 3D**), with a median of 29° among all nodes. The secondary network consisted of 898 nodes and 38,683 edges (**Figure 3E**). The median values for BC and CC were 360.002407 and 0.544242, respectively. In order to construct the final network, additional screened to identify candidate targets, 228 targets with BC > 360.002407 and CC > 0.544242 were selected (**Figure 3F**). Thus, 228 target genes were eventually identified for DHJSD activity against OA in series 2. For series 3, the network consisted of 2,462 nodes and 57,944 edges (**Figure 3G**) with a median  $27^{\circ}$  among all nodes. The secondary network contained 580 nodes and 23,359 edges (**Figure 3H**). The median values for BC and CC were 236.991554 and 0.556917, respectively. In order to construct the final network, additional screened to identify candidate targets, 150 targets with BC > 236.991554 and CC > 0.556917 were selected (**Figure 3I**). Finally, 150 target genes were eventually identified for DHJSD activity against OA in series 3.

## KEGG and GO Enrichment Analysis of Compound Target Genes

GO and KEGG pathway analysis was conducted to examine the 44 compound targets from series 1, 80 compound targets from series 2 and 59 compound targets from series 3. For series 1, 790 GO terms were significantly enriched (FDR < 0.05) (734 BP categories, 14 CC categories and 42 MF categories). The highly enriched GO terms for BP, CC and MF included response to alcohol, response to antibiotic, cyclin-dependent protein kinase holoenzyme complex, mitochondrial outer membrane, NADP binding and histone kinase activity. For series 2, 695 GO terms were significantly enriched (FDR <0.05) (616 BP categories, 32 CC categories and 47 MF categories). The highly enriched GO terms for the BP, CC, and MF included cellular response to oxidative stress, response to oxidative stress, secretory granule lumen, cytoplasmic vesicle lumen, serine-type endopeptidase activity and serine-type peptidase activity. For series 3, 607 GO terms were significantly enriched (FDR <0.05) (551 BP categories, 19 CC categories and 37 MF categories). The highly enriched GO terms for the BP, CC, and MF included regulation of wound healing, regulation of response to wounding, apical part of cell, collagen-containing extracellular matrix, adrenergic receptor activity and histone kinase activity. The top 20 terms are depicted in Supplementary Figures S2-S4.

Figure 4 shows the pathways significantly correlation with DHJSD and OA, which were identified by the KEGG pathway analysis. For series 1, 93 considerably enriched pathways (FDR <0.05) were identified, which include the p53 signalling pathway, Pancreatic cancer, PI3K-Akt signalling pathway, IL-17 signalling pathway, Toll-like receptor signalling pathway, MAPK signalling pathway and TNF signalling pathway. For series 2, 88 significantly enriched pathways (FDR <0.05) were identified, which include the AGE-RAGE signalling pathway in diabetic complications, IL-17 signalling pathway, p53 signalling pathway, TNF signalling pathway, Toll-like receptor signalling pathway, PI3K-Akt signalling pathway, MAPK signalling pathway, NF-kB signalling pathway and Ras signalling pathway. For series 3, 21 significantly enriched pathways (FDR <0.05) were identified, which include the AGE-RAGE signalling pathway in diabetic complications, p53 signalling pathway, TNF signalling pathway and IL-17 signalling pathway. Series 1 and 2 contained 72 identical pathways, series 2 and 3 contained 14 identical pathways and series 1 and 3 consisted of 13 identical pathways.

#### Gene Pathway Network Analysis

The gene pathway network based on the significantly enriched pathways and genes were constructed (Figure 5). For series 1,



based on the topological analysis and degree 20 pathways was performed. The network diagram showed that MAPK8, CCND1, RELA and CDKN1A had the highest degree, and selected as the core target gene. Moreover, BAD, STAT3, BCL2L1, CASP8 and CDK2 also exhibited a large degree (Figure 5A). For series 2, based on the topological analysis and degree 20 pathways was performed. The network diagram showed that MAPK1, MAPK14, MAPK10, CHUK had the highest degree, and selected as the core target gene. Moreover, STAT3, MMP9, MYC, CDK2, CYCS, CDK4 and E2F2 also exhibited a large degree (Figure 5B). For series 3, based on the topological analysis and degree 20 pathways was performed. The network diagram indicated that FOS had the highest degree, and selected as the core target gene. Moreover, ADCY2, MMP13, PRCKA, CCL2, MMP9, CCND1 and SERPINE1 also exhibited a high degree (Figure 5C).

The intersection of DHJSD and the three chip targets and the core targets obtained after the topological analysis of the three chips were selected for KEGG analysis. DHJSD was analysed for the union of the three chip targets and 77 KEGG pathways were detected. After the topological analysis of the three chips, 126 core targets were selected for KEGG analysis to obtain 41 KEGG pathways. The intersection of 77 and 41 pathways and 22 KEGG pathways are shown in **Figure 6**. Based on these results, the NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway and the toll-like receptor signalling pathway were chosen as the key pathways for further research.

### **Molecular Docking Analysis**

The results of molecular docking analysis to confirm the top nine compounds (quercetin, baicalein, beta-carotene, kaempferol, isorhamnetin, licochalcone a, luteolin. naringenin and wogonin) related to TLR4 showed that all compounds had strong binding affinity to TLR4, as shown in Table 2. The top three compounds strongly associated with TLR4 were beta-carotene, quercetin and luteolin (Figure 7). In addition, the top nine compounds also showed tightly bound to RELA (also known as NF-kB3), CHUK (also known as IKKα) (Supplementary Table S2, Figure S5, S6), RELA and CHUK were the core target genes obtained from the topological analysis. Verification of the involvement of targeted pathways in the therapeutic effects of DHJSD against OA.

## DHJSD Inhibited the Expression of miR-146a-5p and miR-34a-5p in LPS-Exposed Chondrocytes

LPS exposure increased the expressions of miR-146a-5p (**Figure 8A**) and miR-34a-5p (**Figure 8B**), whereas DHJSD downregulated these expressions in LPS-exposed chondrocytes. The miRNA-regulated gene-pathway analysis (**Figure 8C**) showed that the toll-like receptor signalling pathway (p = 0.012) and the NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway (p = 0.042) were significantly enriched, which was consistent with the NPA analysis.



## DHJSD Suppressed the Expression of Inflammatory Proteins and Genes

The expression of collagen II protein was upregulated by DHJSD or TAK-242. Chondrocytes were treated with or without LPS and DHJSD and TAK-242 in the presence of LPS for 8 h (**Figure 9A**). The protein expressions of ADAMTS-4, ADAMTS-5, MMP-3, MMP-13 and MMP-14 were downregulated by DHJSD or TAK-242 treatment (**Figures 9B-F**). The mRNA expressions of MMP-3, MMP-13, IL-1 $\beta$  and TNF- $\alpha$  upregulated after LPS treatment

and downregulated after DHJSD or TAK-242 treatment (Figures 9I-K).

#### DHJSD Effects Were Associated With the TLR4/ MyD88/NF-<sub>K</sub>B Pathway

All the biomarkers of the LPS-exposed cells exhibited significant differences compared with intact cells. The protein expressions of NF- $\kappa$ B p65 and IKK- $\beta$  were significantly upregulated after LPS exposure but



significantly downregulated under DHJSD and PDTC treatment (**Figures 10A-C**). In the DHJSD and TAK-242 treatment groups, the protein expressions of TLR4, MyD88, TRAF-6, NF- $\kappa$ B p65, IKK- $\alpha$ , IKK- $\beta$  and *p*-I $\kappa$ B- $\alpha$  were downregulated, and the mRNA expressions of TLR4, MyD88, TRAF-6, NF- $\kappa$ B p65, IKK- $\alpha$  and IKK- $\beta$ were



downregulated (**Figure11**). In the DHJSD or PDTC treatment groups, the protein expressions of NF- $\kappa$ B p65 and IKK- $\beta$  were downregulated, and the mRNA expressions of NF- $\kappa$ B p65 and IKK- $\beta$  were downregulated (**Figure 10**). These changes have close correlation with changes in the TLR4/MyD88/NF- $\kappa$ B pathway mediated chondrocyte apoptosis, indicating that chondrocyte inflammation is a vital mechanisms of the effects of DHJSD.

## DISCUSSION

In this research, we confirmed the utility of NPA for investigating the molecular targets of a complex herbal formulation using two sequential experiments. DHJSD is a typical widely-used complex herbal formulation with complicated ingredients (15 herbs), and research involving DHJSD is limited. We identified the quality control of DHJSD using conventional HPLC and identified 206 candidate compounds related to OA by TCM. In experiment 1, we constructed a standard NPA for DHJSD. The KEGG and GO enrichment analyses identified several inflammatory signalling pathways highly associated with DHJSD, and the results of Venn analysis between the target gene and PPI network topology analysis action point supported that the NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway and the toll-like signalling

Compound	Molecular formula	CAS	docking score (kcal/mol)
Quercetin	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	117-39-5	-8.1
Baicalein	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	491-67-8	-7.5
Beta-carotene	C <sub>40</sub> H <sub>56</sub>	7,235-40-7	-8.3
Isorhamnetin	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>12</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	480-19-3	-7.7
Kaempferol	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	520-18-3	-7.6
Licochalcone a	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>22</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	58749-22-7	-7.0
Luteolin	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>6</sub>	491-70-3	-8.0
Naringenin	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>12</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	480-41-1	-7.6
Wogonin	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>12</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	632-85-9	-7.4

TABLE 2 | The binding free energy between the top nine compounds and TLR4.



pathway play a key role in the effects of DHJSD, which were then subjected to experiment 2. We confirmed the involvement of miR-146a-5p and miR-34a-5p, the TLR4/ MyD88/NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway and inflammatoryrelated genes by western blotting and qPCR. We found that DHJSD in fact contributed suppression of the expression of miR-146a-5p and miR-34a-5p, the TLR4/ MyD88/NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway-related genes and inflammatory-related genes, which were upregulated upon LPS exposure. Hence, the role of the TLR4/MyD88/NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway was confirmed. In the present study we first performed conventional experiments combined with NPA and obtained an intact chain of evidence of DHJSD; thus, the value of NPA emerged.

## **Quality Control of DHJSD**

Ligustrazine hydrochloride, paeoniflorin and osthole were chosen to be the quality control markers for DHJSD extract since it have been confirmed as quality control markers of CX, BS and DH, respectively, according to the pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China (2015 version). The results of HPLC identified ligustrazine hydrochloride, paeoniflorin and osthole, confirming the three compounds as quality control markers for DHJSD extract. We identified 206 candidate compounds from the NPA analysis that may be associated with DHJSD activity against OA. Only paeoniflorin was one of these candidate compounds which may play a major role in the treatment of DHJSD against OA. The OB values of paeoniflorin was 53.87 and DL values was 0.79. Whereas the candidate compounds which excludes ligustrazine hydrochlorideand and osthole. The experimental result indicated that the pharmacological quality control markers from the pharmacopoeia may be a stable components of DHJSD but have some limitations, which were not present in the list of effective compound from NPA. Hence, in our next experiment the core bioactive compounds from NPA may considered as new candidate markers.

## **Bioactive Components and Molecular of DHJSD Construction by NPA Analysis**

In this study, we first used three OA-related gene series for NPA. The compound-target networks of DHJSD were constructed using 131, 121 and 129 compounds and 44, 80 and 59 compound targets in the three series, respectively. Quercetin, luteolin, wogonin and baicalein acted on 23, 11, 9 and 8 targets in series 1; quercetin, luteolin, baicalein, and kaempferol acted on 41, 18, 13 and 12 targets in series 2; and quercetin, luteolin, kaempferol and wogonin acted on 36, 14, 12 and 10 targets in series 3, respectively. Therefore, quercetin, luteolin and kaempferol were probably the vital pleiotropically active compounds for DHJSD.



The candidate targets for DHJSD activity against OA were obtained by merging the three series PPI networks of DHJSD putative targets and OA-related targets. Furthermore, to achieve more accurate targets and structure a new network, we set 3, 6, 5 parameters, including DC and BC to further screen the nodes. Finally, 163, 228 and 150 targets were identified in series 1, 2 and 3, respectively, with 85 common action point targets.

To investigate the biological information of the targets of DHJSD activity against OA, we performed GO analysis. Results showed that DHJSD was involved in the regulation of some biological processes, indicating that the reduction of cartilage degeneration by DHJSD might related to the inflammatory response. The three series target genes related to the gene pathway network was constructed, and Venn analysis was performed to identify the intersections of the three OA-related pathway to identify key pathways for DHJSD activity against OA. The results of the gene pathway network analysis suggested that MAPK8, MAPK1 and FOS had the maximum degree, and it might be the core target in series 1, 2

and 3. The other top three genes were CCND1, RELA and CDKN1A in series 1; MAPK14, MAPK10 and CHUK in series 2; and ADCY2, MMP13 and PRCKA in series 3. In our previous study, the level of MMP-9 in LPS exposed chondrocytes was upregulated, and DHJSD reduced the MMP-9 level. MMP-9 was the key target genes in series 2 and series 3; furthermore the MMP family of genes, including MMP-1, MMP-2, MMP-3 and MMP-13, were also the key target genes of DHJSD in all the series. The MMP family participates in degradation of the extracellular matrix and basement membrane such as collagen II and collagen IV, which leads to joint integrity and function (Lewis et al., 1997; Van Doren, 2015). Further verification is needed in future investigation.

## Verification of the Key Role of the NF-κB Signalling Pathway of DHJSD in OA

Inflammation has an important and close relationship with the pathogenesis of OA (Shen et al., 2017). MMP-9 is one of the



cartilage degradation biomarkers that is directly affected. Previous research has shown that the expression of MMP-9 is under the control of NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway (Alves et al., 2014; Nambi, 2021). In our experiments, the MMP-9 levels were significantly increased after LPS exposure, consistent with our previous study (Xu et al., 2021), but remarkably decreased after DHJSD treatment (Xu et al., 2019). NF-KB p65 and IKK-β expressions were significantly upregulated after LPS exposure and downregulated after DHJSD or PDTC treatment. IKK- $\beta$  is a vital upstream activator that participates in the active and release cytosolic sequestration of NF-KB p65 subunits and nuclear repositioning (Durand and Baldwin, 2017; Jimi et al., 2019). The expression of these genes is altered after DHJSD or PDTC treatment, we hypothesised that the NF-KB signalling pathway plays a distinctive role in the effects of DHJSD on OA.

## Verification of the Key Role of the TLR4/ MyD88/NF-κB Signalling Pathway of DHJSD in OA

Regarding the involvement of miR-146a-5p and miR-34a-5p in OA development, Shao et al. found that miR-146a-5p would activated NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway through TRAF6 which contribute to the chondrocyte apoptosis (Shao et al., 2020). MiR-34a-5p induced joint destruction and miR-34a-5p ASO injection protection cartilage damage (Endisha et al., 2021). Another recent study also showed that miRNA-regulated neuronal inflammation and apoptosis through NF- $\kappa$ B 1-induced LINC00665, and the inhibition of miR-34a-5p would restrain NF- $\kappa$ B-1 expression (Deng et al., 2021). In addition, the prediction target of miR-146a-5p showed that the NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway and the toll-like receptor signalling pathway were closely related pathways. Our experiment



showed that after the LPS exposure of chondrocytes, the expressions of miR-146a-5p and miR-34a-5p increased in the OA cell model group. Moreover, the miRNA-regulated genepathway analysis revealed that the toll-like receptor signalling pathway and the NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway were significantly enriched, which was consistent with the NPA analysis.

The venn analysis between the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of target gene and PPI network topology analysis action point found 22 identical pathways, and the NF-KB signalling pathway and the toll-like receptor signalling pathway were in the lists of both analysis. The toll-like receptor (TLR) family has primary sensors that are essential for eliciting innate immune responses (Akira et al., 2006). The TLR signalling pathway controls the expression of inflammatory cytokine genes by the activation of NF-KB (Hayden et al., 2006). TLR4 recruits the MyD88 adaptor triggering the MyD88-dependent pathway, and the TLR4 MyD88 complex interacts with TRAF6. Next, IkB kinase is regulated by two kinases IKK-a and IKK-B, followed by phosphorylation and degradation, resulting in NF-KB p65 release from cytosolic sequestration and nuclear repositioning (Wang et al., 2015). Based on the above described results, we finally determined the TLR4/MyD88/ NF-kB signalling pathway for further verification in experiment 2, in which we observed the effects of LPS, DHJSD, PDTC and TAK-242 on the expression of proteins related to the TLR4/MyD88/NF-KB signalling pathway. The expressions of NF-κB p65 and IKK-β were downregulated after DHJSD or PDTC treatment. The expressions of TLR4, MyD88, TRAF-6, NF-κB p65, IKK-α, IKK-β, P-IKB-α and IKB-α were affected by DHJSD and TAK-242 treatment, confirming the key

role of the TLR4/MyD88/NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway in the effects of DHJSD treating OA.

Collagen II was significantly downregulated after LPS exposure and increased with DHJSD or TAK-242 treatment, whereas the expressions of ADAMTS-4, ADAMTS-5, MMP-3, MMP-13 and MMP-14 showed opposite results. Collagen II plays a pivotal role in regulating cartilage matrix degeneration in OA progression (Hao et al., 2019), and the synthesis of collagen II would inhibited by MMPs including MMP-3 and MMP-13, while upregulated by IL-1ß or other pro-inflammatory cytokines (Kapoor et al., 2011; Fei et al., 2019). MMP-3, MMP-13 and MMP-14 are crucial enzymes that directly degrade the extracellular matrix (Honsawek et al., 2013). ADAMTS-4 and ADAMTS-5 were identified as the major cartilage aggrecanases in arthritis (Cooper et al., 2000). These proteins play vital role in cartilage matrix degeneration, confirming that DHJSD, PDTC or TAK-242 therapy would influence OA development. Furthermore, molecular docking showed that beta-carotene, quercetin and isorhamnetin had strong affinity for TLR4, implying that TLR4 plays an important role in the DHJSD treatment of OA. In addition the top nine compounds also showed strong affinity with TLR4/MyD88/NF-KB-related genes. As proved by the aboveresult, the TLR4/MyD88/NF-ĸB-related genes, inflammatory gene transcription and various inflammatory factors function together to regulate the inflammatory reaction in the DHJSD treatment of OA.

Altogether, the results of NPA and conventional experiments suggested that the mechanisms of the action of DHJSD include comprehensive effects of several compounds and pathways, and



and IKK- $\beta$  (N) mRNA expressions were detected by qPCR. GAPDH was selected as internal standards. Values are means ± standard deviation (SD), and SD is shown as vertical bars. \*\*indicates p < 0.01 and \*indicates p < 0.05 compared with control or LPS-exposed chondrocytes. # implies p > 0.05 compared with TAK-242.

the TLR4/MyD88/NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway plays a key role. Suppression of the inflammatory response involved in the chondrocyte apoptosis, which may relevant to cartilage degeneration, is the key mechanism.

## CONCLUSION

To explore the molecular targets of DHJSD in treating OA, we used NPA and designed two experiments, including the angles of compounds, genes and pathways. The results of this study suggest that NPA requires a powerful tool to investigate the molecular targets of the complex herbal formulations such as DHJSD and revealed the TLR4/MyD88/NF- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway as a key therapeutic target, although requiring and further investigation.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Fujian University of TCM (Approval number: 2020015).

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

### REFERENCES

- Akira, S., Uematsu, S., and Takeuchi, O. (2006). Pathogen Recognition and Innate Immunity. Cell 24124 (4), 783–801. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.015
- Alves, A. C., Albertini, R., dos Santos, S. A., Santana, E., Serra, A. J., Silva, J. A., et al. (2014). Effect of Low-Level Laser Therapy on Metalloproteinase MMP-2 and MMP-9 Production and Percentage of Collagen Types I and III in a Papain Cartilage Injury Model. *Lasers Med. Sci.* 29 (3), 911–919. May. doi:10.1007/ s10103-013-1427-x
- Cooper, C., Snow, S., McAlindon, T. E., Kellingray, S., Stuart, B., Coggon, D., et al. (2000). Risk Factors for the Incidence and Progression of Radiographic Knee Osteoarthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 43 (5), 995–1000. doi:10.1002/1529-0131(200005)43:5<995:AID-ANR6>3.0.CO;2-1
- Deng, Q., Ma, L., Chen, T., Yang, Y., Ma, Y., and Ma, L. (2021). NF-κB 1-induced LINC00665 Regulates Inflammation and Apoptosis of Neurons Caused by Spinal Cord Injury by Targeting miR-34a-5p. *Neurol. Res.* 43 (5), 418–427. doi:10.1080/01616412.2020.1866373
- Durand, J. K., and Baldwin, A. S. (2017). Targeting IKK and NF-Kb for Therapy. Adv. Protein Chem. Struct. Biol. 107, 77–115. doi:10.1016/ bs.apcsb.2016.11.006
- Endisha, H., Datta, P., Sharma, A., Nakamura, S., Rossomacha, E., Younan, C., et al. (2021). MicroRNA-34a-5p Promotes Joint Destruction during Osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 73 (3), 426–439. doi:10.1002/art.41552
- Fei, J., Liang, B., Jiang, C., Ni, H., and Wang, L. (2019). Luteolin Inhibits IL-1βinduced Inflammation in Rat Chondrocytes and Attenuates Osteoarthritis Progression in a Rat Model. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 109, 1586–1592. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.09.161
- Funck-Brentano, T., Nethander, M., Movérare-Skrtic, S., Richette, P., and Ohlsson, C. (2019). Causal Factors for Knee, Hip, and Hand Osteoarthritis: A Mendelian Randomization Study in the UK Biobank. *Arthritis RheumatolOct* 71 (10), 1634–1641. doi:10.1002/art.40928
- Hao, H. Q., Zhang, J. F., He, Q. Q., and Wang, Z. (2019). Cartilage Oligomeric Matrix Protein, C-Terminal Cross-Linking Telopeptide of Type II Collagen, and Matrix Metalloproteinase-3 as Biomarkers for Knee and Hip Osteoarthritis (OA) Diagnosis: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 27 (5), 726–736. May. doi:10.1016/ j.joca.2018.10.009
- Hayden, M. S., West, A. P., and Ghosh, S. (2006). NF-kappaB and the Immune Response. *Oncogene* 25 (51), 6758–6780. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1209943
- Honsawek, S., Malila, S., Yuktanandana, P., Tanavalee, A., Deepaisarnsakul, B., and Parvizi, J. (2013). Association of MMP-3 (-1612 5A/6A) Polymorphism with Knee Osteoarthritis in Thai Population. *Rheumatol. Int.* 33 (2), 435–439. doi:10.1007/s00296-012-2371-y
- Huang, Z., Shi, X., Li, X., Zhang, L., Wu, P., Mao, J., et al. (2020). Network Pharmacology Approach to Uncover the Mechanism Governing the Effect of Simiao Powder on Knee Osteoarthritis. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2020, 6971503. doi:10.1155/2020/6971503
- Jian, G. H., Su, B. Z., Zhou, W. J., and Xiong, H. (2020). Application of Network Pharmacology and Molecular Docking to Elucidate the Potential Mechanism of Eucommia Ulmoides-Radix Achyranthis Bidentatae against Osteoarthritis. *BioData Min* 13, 12. doi:10.1186/s13040-020-00221-y

### FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82074461), the Science and Technology Programs Pilot Project of Fujian Province (Grant No. 2021Y0032).

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2021.784822/full#supplementary-material

- Jimi, E., Takakura, N., Hiura, F., Nakamura, I., and Hirata-Tsuchiya, S. (2019). The Role of NF-Kb in Physiological Bone Development and Inflammatory Bone Diseases: Is NF-Kb Inhibition "Killing Two Birds with One Stone". *Cells* 8 (12), 8. Dec 14. doi:10.3390/cells8121636
- Kapoor, M., Martel-Pelletier, J., Lajeunesse, D., Pelletier, J. P., and Fahmi, H. (2011). Role of Proinflammatory Cytokines in the Pathophysiology of Osteoarthritis. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 7 (1), 33–42. doi:10.1038/nrrheum.2010.196
- Kwon, J. Y., Lee, S. H., Na, H. S., Jung, K., Choi, J., Cho, K. H., et al. (2018). Kartogenin Inhibits Pain Behavior, Chondrocyte Inflammation, and Attenuates Osteoarthritis Progression in Mice through Induction of IL-10. *Sci. Rep.* 8 (1), 13832. Sep 14. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-32206-7
- Lai, X., Wang, X., Hu, Y., Su, S., Li, W., and Li, S. (2020). Editorial: Network Pharmacology and Traditional Medicine. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 1194. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.01194
- Latourte, A., Kloppenburg, M., and Richette, P. (2020). Emerging Pharmaceutical Therapies for Osteoarthritis. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 16 (12), 673–688. doi:10.1038/s41584-020-00518-6
- Lewis, E. J., Bishop, J., Bottomley, K. M., Bradshaw, D., Brewster, M., Broadhurst, M. J., et al. (1997). Ro 32-3555, an Orally Active Collagenase Inhibitor, Prevents Cartilage Breakdown *In Vitro* and *In Vivo. Br. J. Pharmacol.* 121 (3), 540–546. Jun. doi:10.1038/sj.bjp.0701150
- Li, L., Liu, H., Shi, W., Liu, H., Yang, J., Xu, D., et al. (20172017). Insights into the Action Mechanisms of Traditional Chinese Medicine in Osteoarthritis. *Evidence-Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2017, 1–13. doi:10.1155/2017/ 5190986
- Li, X., Zhang, Z., Liang, W., Zeng, J., Shao, X., Xu, L., et al. (2020a). Data on Tougu Xiaotong Capsules May Inhibit P38 MAPK Pathway-Mediated Inflammation In Vitro. Data BriefFeb 28, 105023. doi:10.1016/j.dib.2019.105023
- Li, X., Zhang, Z., Liang, W., Zeng, J., Shao, X., Xu, L., et al. (2020b). Tougu Xiaotong Capsules May Inhibit P38 MAPK Pathway-Mediated Inflammation: *In Vivo* and *In Vitro* Verification. *J. Ethnopharmacol* 249, 112390. doi:10.1016/ j.jep.2019.112390
- Liang, W., Li, X., Hu, L., Ding, S., Kang, J., Shen, J., et al. (2019). An *In Vitro* Validation of the Therapeutic Effects of Tougu Xiaotong Capsule on Tunicamycin-Treated Chondrocytes. *J. Ethnopharmacol* 229, 215–221. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2018.10.022
- Lin, P., Weng, X., Liu, F., Ma, Y., Chen, H., Shao, X., et al. (2015). Bushen Zhuangjin Decoction Inhibits TM-Induced Chondrocyte Apoptosis Mediated by Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 36 (6), 1519–1528. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2015.2387
- Liu, F., Liu, G., Liang, W., Ye, H., Weng, X., Lin, P., et al. (2014). Duhuo Jisheng Decoction Treatment Inhibits the Sodium Nitroprussiate-induced A-poptosis of C-hondrocytes through the M-itochondrial-dependent S-ignaling P-athway. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 34 (6), 1573–1580. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2014.1962
- Liu, H., Zeng, L., Yang, K., and Zhang, G. (2016). A Network Pharmacology Approach to Explore the Pharmacological Mechanism of Xiaoyao Powder on Anovulatory Infertility. *Evid. Based Complement. Alternat Med.* 2016, 2960372. doi:10.1155/2016/2960372
- Liu, W., Jin, S., Huang, M., Li, Y., Wang, Z., Wang, P., et al. (2020). Duhuo Jisheng Decoction Suppresses Matrix Degradation and Apoptosis in Human Nucleus Pulposus Cells and Ameliorates Disc Degeneration in a Rat Model. *J. Ethnopharmacol* 250, 250112494. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2019.112494

Liu et al.

- Liu, Z. C., Wang, Z. L., Huang, C. Y., Fu, Z. J., Liu, Y., Wei, Z. C., et al. (2018). Duhuo Jisheng Decoction Inhibits SDF-1-Induced Inflammation and Matrix Degradation in Human Degenerative Nucleus Pulposus Cells *In Vitro* through the CXCR4/NF-Kb Pathway. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin* 39 (6), 912–922. doi:10.1038/ aps.2018.36
- Nambi, G. (2021). Does Low Level Laser Therapy Has Effects on Inflammatory Biomarkers IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , and MMP-13 in Osteoarthritis of Rat Models-A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Lasers Med. Sci.* 36 (3), 475–484. Apr. doi:10.1007/s10103-020-03124-w
- Peat, G., and Thomas, M. J. (2021). Osteoarthritis Year in Review 2020: Epidemiology & Therapy. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage 29 (2), 180–189. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2020.10.007
- Shao, J., Ding, Z., Peng, J., Zhou, R., Li, L., Qian, Q., et al. (2020). MiR-146a-5p Promotes IL-1β-induced Chondrocyte Apoptosis through the TRAF6-Mediated NF-kB Pathway. *Inflamm. Res.* 69 (6), 619–630. Jun. doi:10.1007/s00011-020-01346-w
- Shen, J., Abu-Amer, Y., O'Keefe, R. J., and McAlinden, A. (2017). Inflammation and Epigenetic Regulation in Osteoarthritis. *Connect. Tissue Res.* 58 (1), 49–63. Jan;. doi:10.1080/03008207.2016.1208655
- UK NCGC (2014). Osteoarthritis: Care and Management in Adults. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (UK)Feb.
- Van Doren, S. R. (2015). Matrix Metalloproteinase Interactions with Collagen and Elastin. Matrix Biol. 44-46, 224–231. May-Jul. doi:10.1016/j.matbio.2015.01.005
- Vlachos, I. S., and Hatzigeorgiou, A. G. (2017). Functional Analysis of miRNAs Using the DIANA Tools Online Suite. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1517, 25–50. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-6563-2\_2
- Wang, P. F., Xiong, X. Y., Chen, J., Wang, Y. C., Duan, W., and Yang, Q. W. (2015). Function and Mechanism of Toll-like Receptors in Cerebral Ischemic Tolerance: from Preconditioning to Treatment. J. Neuroinflammation 12, 1280. Apr 28. doi:10.1186/s12974-015-0301-0
- Xu, L., Li, H., Xu, Y., Wang, S., Zeng, J., Wang, L., et al. (2019). An Experimental Study of Mechanism of Action of Duhuo Jisheng Tang in Inhibiting Inflammatory Reaction Induced by Lipopolysaccharide in Chondroytes Based on NF-Kb Signalling Pathway. J. Traditional Chin. Orthopedics Traumatol. 31 (07), 9–14+20.
- Xu, Y., Li, H., He, X., Huang, Y., Wang, S., Wang, L., et al. (2021). Identification of the Key Role of NF-Kb Signaling Pathway in the Treatment of Osteoarthritis with Bushen Zhuangjin Decoction, a Verification Based on Network Pharmacology Approach. Front. Pharmacol. 12, 637273. doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.637273
- Yang, M., Jiang, L., Wang, Q., Chen, H., and Xu, G. (2017). Traditional Chinese Medicine for Knee Osteoarthritis: An Overview of Systematic Review. *PloS one* 12 (12), e0189884. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0189884

- Zeng, C., Wei, J., Persson, M. S. M., Sarmanova, A., Doherty, M., Xie, D., et al. (2018). Relative Efficacy and Safety of Topical Non-steroidal Antiinflammatory Drugs for Osteoarthritis: a Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis of Randomised Controlled Trials and Observational Studies. Br. J. Sports Med. 52 (10), 642–650. May. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2017-098043
- Zhang, L., Shi, X., Huang, Z., Mao, J., Mei, W., Ding, L., et al. (2020). Network Pharmacology Approach to Uncover the Mechanism Governing the Effect of Radix Achyranthis Bidentatae on Osteoarthritis. *BMC Complement. Med. Ther.* 20 (1), 121. Apr 21. doi:10.1186/s12906-020-02909-4
- Zhang, W., Wang, S., Zhang, R., Zhang, Y., Li, X., Lin, Y., et al. (2016). Evidence of Chinese Herbal Medicine Duhuo Jisheng Decoction for Knee Osteoarthritis: a Systematic Review of Randomised Clinical Trials. *BMJ open* 6 (1), e008973. Jan 4. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008973
- Zhang, Z., Huang, C., Jiang, Q., Zheng, Y., Liu, Y., Liu, S., et al. (2020). Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Osteoarthritis in China (2019 Edition). Ann. Transl Med. 8 (19), 1213. Oct;. doi:10.21037/atm-20-4665
- Zhu, N., Hou, J., Ma, G., and Liu, J. (2019). Network Pharmacology Identifies the Mechanisms of Action of Shaoyao Gancao Decoction in the Treatment of Osteoarthritis. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 25, 6051–6073. Aug 14. doi:10.12659/ msm.915821

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Liu, Xu, Wang, Wang, Wang, Xu, Li and Ye. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.