Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Emanuela Ricciotti, University of Pennsylvania, United States

REVIEWED BY Sandra Donnini, University of Siena, Italy Thomas Dandekar, Julius Maximilian University of Würzburg, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Suying Ding, Image: fccdingsy@zzu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 27 July 2022 ACCEPTED 05 April 2023 PUBLISHED 18 April 2023

CITATION

Liu H, Chen J, Shao W, Yan S and Ding S (2023), Efficacy and safety of Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in adjuvant treatments for colorectal cancer: A metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14:1004465. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1004465

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liu, Chen, Shao, Yan and Ding. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Efficacy and safety of Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in adjuvant treatments for colorectal cancer: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Haoshuang Liu^{1,2}, Jingfeng Chen^{1,2}, Weihao Shao², Su Yan^{1,2} and Suying Ding^{1,2}*

¹Health Management Center, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China, ²College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

Background: Colorectal cancer (CRC) ranks third globally. There are many adverse reactions to treatments such as surgeries and post-surgical chemotherapy, which affect patients' prognosis and reduce their life quality. Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (O3FAs) have become an essential part of immune nutrition due to their anti-inflammatory properties, which improve body immunity and have attracted widespread attention. A systematic review focused on the efficacy and safety of O3FAs for patients undergoing surgeries in combination with chemotherapy or a surgery alone is lacking.

Objectives: To evaluate the efficacy of O3FAs in the adjuvant treatment of CRC, a meta-analysis was conducted on patients with CRC who underwent surgeries in combination with chemotherapy or a surgery alone.

Methods: As of March 2023, publications have been obtained using search terms from digital databases such as PubMed, Web of Science, Embase and Cochrane Library. Only randomized clinical trials (RCTs) evaluating the efficacy and safety of O3FAs following adjuvant treatments for CRC were included in the meta-analysis. Key outcomes were tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-1beta (IL-1 β), albumin, body mass index (BMI), weight, the rate of infectious and non-infectious complications, the length of hospital stay (LOS), CRC mortality and life quality.

Results: After screening 1,080 studies, 19 RCTs (n = 1,556) with O3FAs in CRC were included, in all of which at least one efficacy or safety outcome was examined. Compared to the control group, the level of TNF- α (MD = -0.79, 95% CI: 1.51 to -0.07, p = 0.03) and IL-6 was reduced due to O3FA-enriched nutrition during the perioperative period (MD = -4.70, 95% CI: 6.59 to -2.80, p < 0.00001). It also reduces LOS (MD = 9.36, 95% CI: 2.16 to 16.57, p = 0.01). No significant differences were found in CRP, IL-1 β , albumin, BMI, weight, the rate of infectious and non-infectious complications, CRC mortality or life quality. The inflammatory status of patients with CRC undergoing adjuvant therapies decreased after a total parenteral nutrition (TPN) O3FA supplementation (TNF- α , MD = -1.26, 95% CI: 2.25 to -0.27, p = 0.01, $l^2 = 4\%$, n = 183 participants). The rate of infectious and non-infectious complications was reduced among patients with CRC undergoing adjuvant therapies decreased after a dotal parenteral nutrition (TPN) O3FA supplementation (TNF- α , MD = -1.26, 95% CI: 2.25 to -0.27, p = 0.01, $l^2 = 4\%$, n = 183 participants). The rate of infectious and non-infectious complications was reduced among patients with CRC undergoing adjuvant therapies after a parenteral nutrition (PN) O3FA

supplementation (RR = 3.73, 95% CI: 1.52 to 9.17, p = 0.004, $l^2 = 0\%$, n = 76 participants).

Conclusion: Our observations suggest that supplementation with O3FAs has little or no effect on patients with CRC undergoing adjuvant therapies and that a prolonged inflammatory state may be modified. To validate these findings, well-designed, large-scale, randomized and controlled studies on homogeneous patient populations are expected.

KEYWORDS

omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, adjuvant treatments, colorectal cancer, efficacy and safety, meta-analysis

Introduction

In the Global Cancer Report (GLOBOCAN) 2020, colorectal cancer (CRC) ranked as the third most common cancer worldwide and the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide (Sung et al., 2021). Chemotherapy is a standard adjuvant treatment for CRC (Benson et al., 2018), for which the most typical treatments are surgical removal and chemotherapy. Patients' nutritional and inflammatory status may be altered via these treatments, which may affect their life quality and prognosis. Malnourished patients with cancers have poorer clinical outcomes, higher rates of complications and longer hospital stays. High-inflammation patients with cancers have a shorter overall, disease-free and progression-free survival (Zhou et al., 2021).

Experiments have shown that eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), two of the most common longchain O3FAs produced from marine sources, exhibit antiinflammatory and anti-CRC effect (Calder, 2010; Cockbain et al., 2012; Calder, 2015). The body cannot produce necessary fatty acids, known as O3FAs, although they can be found in fish fats and vegetable oils in high concentrations. Both DHA and EPA are critical O3FAs that have received the most research attention (Xie and Chang, 2016; Calder, 2017). O3FAs have the potential to play a role in multiple stages of CRC management, starting with the primary CRC prevention and continuing to the "tertiary" prevention stage following CRC treatments and advanced metastatic diseases.

According to the findings of a study conducted by Mocellin and his colleagues, O3FAs raised the level of plasma albumin and prealbumin in patients with stomach cancer (Mocellin et al., 2018). Ma's research showed that O3FAs lowered C-reactive protein (CRP) level and shortened the duration of systemic inflammatory response syndrome (Ma et al., 2016). Based on an earlier meta-analysis of all surgical patients, O3FAs may have improved clinical outcomes, including infection rates and hospital stays (Calder, 2010). On the other hand, Lam's research has shown that O3FAs have no substantial effect on the nutritional improvement or inflammatory regulations of cancerous patients (Lam et al., 2021). Considering that the results and conclusions of these studies were not completely consistent due to limited sample size, different study designs, and potential bias, we conducted a meta-analysis of all relevant randomized controlled trials (RCTs), focusing on the effects of O3FAs on nutritional status, inflammation, and immune function of patients after CRC chemotherapy, providing a theoretical basis for the standardized clinical application of O3FAs in CRC patients. Moreover, the results of several recent RCTs are controversial. The aim of this systematic review is therefore to assess the potential role of O3FA in the outcomes of postoperative CRC patients.

Methods

Our systematic review was conducted according to the guidelines in Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (Page et al., 2021, Supplementary Table S6).

Search strategy

A systematic literature search was conducted based on databases such as PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and Cochrane from inception to March 2023. Search results are further restricted to RCTs. The search terms were Omega-3, polyunsaturated fatty acid and colorectal neoplasms associated with these terms. Separate search strategies (PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, Cochrane) were designed for each database, which are included in Supplement 1 (Supplementary Tables S1–S4).

We also identified ongoing and recently-completed trials by searching ClinicalTrials.gov. No attempt was made to search for unpublished studies. In addition, only papers published in English were included. Reviews, laboratory studies, case-control studies, cohort studies, case reports, abstracts, letters and editorials that did not meet the outcomes of interventions in this review were excluded, as were articles that lacked sufficient information or relevant results. If multiple published data points pointed to the same patient cohort as the one under investigation, only the most current or comprehensive studies were selected.

Eligibility criteria and selection of studies

Four separate viewers (Haoshuang Liu, Jingfeng Chen, Weihao Shao, and Su Yan) reviewed citation titles, abstracts and the entire texts in duplicate. To be included in this review, the design had to be an RCT. The inclusion criteria are presented in Table 1. Only complete publications (not conference abstracts) and articles published in English shall be submitted for consideration. Results were compared and disputes were resolved based on consensus.

	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Population	Adult Undergo surgery in combination with chemotherapy or surgery alone	Children Animal data Healthy volunteers
Study type	Randomized controlled trials (RCTs)	Reviews/editorials/case reports non-RCTs/Published as an abstract
Intervention	All routes of administration were eligible (i.e., oral, PN, TPN or EN), alone or in combination with other parenteral or enteral feeding regimes	Arginin Glutamine RNA
Outcomes of interest	At least one of the Following outcomes: Weight Rates of infectious and non-infectious complications Length of hospital stay (LOS) CRC mortality Tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) C-reactive protein (CRP) Albumin BMI (Body Mass Index) IL-6 (interleu-6) IL-1β(interleukin-1beta) Quality of life	

TABLE 1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria in the review.

Abbreviations: PN, parenteral nutrition; TPN, total parenteral nutrition; EN, enteral nutrition.

Data extraction

Based on publications available, we collected information on the outcomes of each study included. Results of interest, including mean and standard deviation (mean \pm SD) of each group after interventions, were then retrieved for each study included. As is previously stated, the resulting data was extracted. Additional study characteristics such as the first author, study publication year, study follow-up duration, sample size of interventions and the control group, study design as well as participant characteristics were also collected for study inclusion. We made a list of the most significant criteria and then checked the quality of the studies we included, all of which were RCTs.

Assessment of study strength and quality

The Cochrane risk of bias tools (Cumpston et al., 2022) was used to independently review the quality of included studies of three authors (Haoshuang Liu, Weihao Shao, and SuYan), two (Jingfeng Chen and Weihao Shao) of whom discussed the quality of those studies and agreed. If they could not agree, a third author (Suying Ding) was brought in. To evaluate the study methodologies, we used the Cochrane collaboration bias risk tool. Through RCTs, the following traits are measures based on the scale:

1) Randomly-generated sequences (selection bias), 2) allocation concealment (selection bias), 3) the use of a blinding technique for respondents and administrators selected (performance bias), 4) the use of a blinding technique to evaluate findings (detection bias), 5) insufficient outcome data (attrition bias), 6) selective reporting (reporting bias), and 7) other biases.

The number of components for which a high risk of biases (ROBs) was likely to be present in trials was used to group trials into three ROB categories: high-risk (five or more), moderate-risk (3 or 4) and low-risk (two or less).

Meta-analytic methods

Two reviewers (Su Yan and Suying Ding) worked separately to extract data from specially-constructed Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. The ROB of each study was assessed as "high," "unclear" or "low." Methods, participants, interventions and outcome measures as well as other relevant characteristics and outcomes of the studies were aggregated by reviewers. Any difference among reviewers was addressed through discussion and, where feasible, based on pooling additional information from study investigators. In patients with CRC, outcome measures such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), CRP, interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-1beta (IL-1 β), albumin, body mass index (BMI), weight, the rate of infectious and noninfectious complications, the length of hospital stay (LOS), CRC mortality and life quality were compared between groups.

Meta-analyses were performed through Review Manager 5.4.1. For each study included, mean and variance data on continuous variables was imported into Manager 5.4.1. Where possible, the mean \pm SD of post-intervention values of both intervention and control group was used. For studies reported using the median and interquartile range, mean and SD were calculated using a method described by Wan et al. (2014). For dichotomous outcomes, the number of participants per group (intervention and control group) in the events was meta-analyzed to produce a relative risk (RR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI).

O3FAs can be delivered via either parenteral or enteral routes. Therefore, controlling internal heterogeneity in study design is a challenge for systematic review researchers. We developed a strategy including I^2 tests, nutritional support routes and EPA doses for subgroup analyses on O3FA preparations, as well as the timing of pre- or post-operative administration, so as to reduce heterogeneity. Subgroup analyses were also performed according to the TNM stage. We were unable to subgroup based on changes in the omega-3/



omega-6 ratio (as is anticipated) since such information was rarely given. Subsequently, I^2 statistical tests were used to determine the degree of statistical heterogeneity, and either random-effect or fixed-effect models were selected for further analyses. RR or mean difference (MD) with a 95% CI was used to show the combined effect size (MD = absolute difference between the mean of both groups, defined as the difference between the mean of the treatment and control group calculated using the same scale). Publication biases were assessed using the Review Manager 5.4.1 package, based on a Begg and Egger test. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Results

Search results and study quality

A search of electronic literatures resulted in 1,080 eligible articles. By reviewing the titles and abstracts only, we narrowed the number of potentially-relevant articles to 426. This meta-analysis consists of 19 eligible papers in total. Two investigators (Jingfeng Chen and Weihao Shao) completed all the procedures separately. RCTs are of a moderate to high quality. Figure 1 shows the retrieval and selection flowchart. Figures 2A, B show the graphical results of methodological quality based on the extracted information.

Characteristics of studies included

The basic characteristics of the selected studies are summarized in Table 2. We included 19 RCTs (Braga et al., 2002; Liang et al., 2008; Trabal et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2011; Silva et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2012; Mocellin et al., 2013; Sorensen et al., 2014a; Cockbain et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2015; Golkhalkhali et al., 2018; Bakker et al., 2020a; Haidari et al., 2020a; 2020b; Hossain et al., 2020; Sørensen et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020) measuring at least one of our efficacy or safety outcomes. Studies on 11 (Mocellin et al., 2013) and 179 (Zhang et al., 2020) participants included the sample size. The majority of studies included patients undergoing surgeries (n =11) (Braga et al., 2002; Liang et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2012Sorensen et al., 2014a; 2014b; Ma et al., 2015; Bakker et al., 2020a; 2020b; Hossain et al., 2020; Sørensen et al., 2020), with the remainder conducted on patients receiving chemotherapy (n = 2)(Trabal et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2020) or that in combination with surgeries (n = 6) (Silva et al., 2012; Mocellin et al., 2013; Cockbain et al., 2014; Golkhalkhali et al., 2018; Haidari et al., 2020b). The duration of interventions ranged from 2 (Bakker et al., 2020a) to 84 days (Trabal et al., 2010). O3FA-enriched oral capsules were used in 12 studies (Zhang et al., 2020; Haidari et al., 2020a; 2020b; Hossain et al., 2020; Sørensen et al., 2020; Golkhalkhali et al., 2018; Sorensen et al., 2014a; Cockbain et al., 2014; Mocellin et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2012; Trabal et al., 2010), total parenteral



nutrition (TPN) was used in four studies (Liang et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2015), PN was used in two of them (Bakker et al., 2020a; 2020b), and EN was used in the rest (Braga et al., 2002). The prescribed dose of O3FA ranged from 0.108 g/d (Haidari et al., 2020b; Zhang et al., 2020) to 4.00 g/d (Sørensen et al., 2020; Sorensen et al., 2014a; 2014b). EPA doses below 1.80 g/d were used in 15 (Zhang et al., 2020; Haidari et al., 2020a; 2020b; Bakker et al., 2020a; Golkhalkhali et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2015; Cockbain et al., 2014; Mocellin et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2010; Trabal et al., 2010; Liang et al., 2008; Braga et al., 2002) studies, while doses above 1.80 g/d were used in four (Hossain et al., 2020; Sørensen et al., 2014a) studies.

The effect of O3FA supplementation on the postoperative level of inflammatory factors

The effect of O3FA supplementation on TNF-a

We identified 8 eligible trials (Liang et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2012; Mocellin et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015;

Golkhalkhali et al., 2018; Haidari et al., 2020b), which included 432 patients, and the TNF- α level following postoperative O3FA supplementation was investigated versus the control group. The results of the heterogeneity test were p = 0.02 and $I^2 = 58\%$. The meta-analysis revealed that the TNF- α level effectively decreased with O3FAs compared to the control group (MD = -0.79, 95% CI: -1.51 to -0.07, p = 0.03, Figure 3A).

After subgroup analyses on different routes of administration, there was a positive trend of TNF- α when O3FAs were used in TPN (MD = -1.26, 95% CI: -2.25 to -0.27, p = 0.01, $I^2 = 4\%$, n = 183 participants, Supplementary Table S5).

The effect of O3FA supplementation on CRP

We identified five eligible trials (Silva et al., 2012; Mocellin et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015Golkhalkhali et al., 2018; Haibari et al., 2020b), which included 294 patients, and investigated peripheral blood CRP levels following the postoperative O3FA supplementation compared to the control group. The results of the heterogeneity test were p = 0.002 and $I^2 = 76\%$. The forest plot indicated that there was no statistical significance in the CRP of the O3FAs group and the control group (MD = -2.41, 95% CI: -5.45 to 0.63, p = 0.12, Figure 3B).

TABLE 2 Characteristics of included studies.

Id	Mean age (mean ± SD)	Total/male/ female	Population	LOS (day)	Dosage (g/d)	Administration route	Frequency	Control (n)	O3FA (n)	Parameter	Staging	
Zhang et al. (2020)	T:NA	T:90/47/43	chemotherapy	30	EPA:0.064	РО	tid	89	90	9	TNM: III, IV	
	C:NA	C:89/46/43										
Haidari et al.	T:56.75 ± 10.60	T:20/12/8	chemotherapy +	56	EPA:0.108	РО	qd	20	20	560	TNM: II, III	
(2020a)	C:59.90 ± 8.75	C:20/8/12	surgery									
Haidari et al.	T:56.75 ± 10.60	T:20/12/8	chemotherapy +	57	EPA:0.108	РО	qd	20	20	123501	TNM: II, III	
(2020b)	C:59.90 ± 8.76	C:20/8/12	surgery									
Bakker et al.	T: 65 [64,72]	T:17/12/5	surgery	2	EPA:0.135	PN	qd	18	17	3578	TNM:I, II, III	
(2020a)	C: 68 [63,73]	C:18/12/6										
Marianne Schoorla	T:65.00 (12.00)	T:18/13/5	surgery	2	EPA:0.163	PN	qd	23	18	0	TNM:I, II, III	
2020	C:69.00 (11.00)	C:23/15/8										
Hossain et al.	T: 69.03 (7.95)	T:32/24/8	surgery	26	EPA:1.000	РО	tid	29	32	08	Duke:A, B, C	
(2020)	C: 67.42 (6.92)	C:29/18/11										
Sørensen et al.	T: 68.30 (11.30)	T:65/27/38	surgery	14	EPA:2.000	РО	bid	60	65	478	UICC:I, II,	
(2020)	C: 70.60 (10.10)	C:60/30/30									III, IV	
Golkhalkhali et al.	T:NA	T:70	chemotherapy +	56	EPA:0.700	РО	qd	70	70	235900	NA	
(2018)	C:NA	C:70	surgery									
Ma et al. (2015)	T: 61.55 ± 9.78	T:51/29/22	surgery	8	EPA:0.900	TPN	qd	48	51	23570	UICC:I, II,	
	C:62.85 ± 10.12	C:48/27/21									III, IV	
Sorensen et al.	T: 69.00 (11.00)	T:74/44/30	surgery	14	EPA:2.000	РО	bid	74	74	478	TNM:I, II,	
(2014a)	C: 71.00 (10.00)	C:74/36/38									III, IV	
Sorensen et al.	T: 69.00 (11.00)	T:74/44/30	surgery	7	EPA:2.000	РО	bid	74	74	4	TNM:I, II,	
(2014b)	C: 71.00 (10.00)	C:74/36/38									III, IV	
Cockbain et al.	T: 68 (44-82)	T:43/26/17	chemotherapy +	30 (12-65)	EPA:0.600	РО	bid	45	43	40	Duke:A, B,	
(2014)	C: 71 (35-87)	C:45/35/10	surgery								C, D	
Mocellin et al.	T: 55.20 (7.70)	T:6/3/3	chemotherapy +	63	EPA:0.360	РО	qd	5	6	023600	TNM:III, IV	
(2013)	C: 53.60 (12.90)	C:5/3/2	surgery	63								

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 2 (Continued) Characteristics of included studies.

ld	Mean age (mean ± SD)	Total/male/ female	Population	LOS (day)	Dosage (g/d)	Administration route	Frequency	Control (n)	O3FA (n)	Parameter	Staging
Zhu et al. (2012)	T: 69.80 ± 10.50	T:29/16/13	surgery	7	EPA:0.600	TPN	qd	28	29	5780	Duke:B, C
	C: 70.80 ± 6.40	C:28/17/11	_								
Silva etal. (2012)	T: 50.10 (8.20)	T:11/3/8	chemotherapy +	63	EPA:0.180	РО	qd	12	11	0235600	TNM:I, II,
	C: 54.30 (9.30)	C:12/3/9	surgery								III, IV
Chen et al. (2011)	T: NA	T:102/49/53	surgery	NA	EPA:0.180	TPN	NA	65	102	478	NA
	C: NA	C:65	_								
Trabal et al. (2010)	T: 61.50 ± 15.80	T:6/4/2	chemotherapy	84x	EPA:1.600	РО	NA	7	6	091	TNM: IV
	C: 68.20 ± 15.60	C:7/5/2	_								
Liang et al. (2008)	T: 55.80 ± 10.10	T:20/10/10	surgery	7	EPA:0.600	TPN	qd	21	20	5780	TNM:I, II, III
	C: 59.19 ± 10.61	C:21/15/6	-								
Braga et al. (2002)	T: 60.50 ± 11.50	T:50/28/22	surgery	T:9.80 ± 3.10 C:	EPA:1.152	EN	qd	50	50	478	NA
	C: 62.20 ± 10.40	C:50/29/21	_	12.2 ± 3.90							

①Albumin; ②BMI; ③C-reactive protein (CRP); ④CRC, mortality; ③IL-6 (interleukin-6); ③IL-1β; ⑦rates of infectious and non-infectious complications; ④Length of hospital stay (LOS); ④Quality of life; ⑧Tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α); ⑦Weight. LOS, length of hospital stay; O3FA, omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids; NA, not available; T, treatment; C, control; PO, per oral; PN, parenteral nutrition; TPN, total parenteral nutrition.

10.3389/fphar.2023.1004465

50

A TNF-α

	Expe	rimen	tal	С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random. 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI
A.P.Silva 2012	61.1	6.52	10	60.7	14	8	0.5%	0.40 [-10.11, 10.91]	· · · · ·
B.Abiri 2020	5.3	1.4	20	6.7	2.8	20	13.7%	-1.40 [-2.77, -0.03]	
B.Liang 2008	2.49	2.06	20	2.94	3.12	21	11.5%	-0.45 [-2.06, 1.16]	
Babak Golkhalkhali 2018	3.43	2.36	70	3.39	6.01	70	12.4%	0.04 [-1.47, 1.55]	-+-
C.J.Ma 2015	2.55	2.3	44	3.7	5.83	41	9.3%	-1.15 [-3.06, 0.76]	
F.Haidari 2020	5.32	1.41	20	6.76	2.88	20	13.4%	-1.44 [-2.85, -0.03]	
M.C.Mocellin 2013	2.48	0.01	6	2.48	0.01	5	27.3%	0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]	•
M.W.Zhu 2012	5.7	2.8	29	7.8	3.2	28	11.9%	-2.10 [-3.66, -0.54]	
Total (95% CI)			219			213	100.0%	-0.79 [-1.51, -0.07]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.49	; Chi ² =	16.66,	df = 7 (P = 0.0	2); l ² =	58%			
Test for overall effect: Z =	2.15 (P =	0.03)							-10 -5 0 5 10
		0.00)							Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

B CRP

	Expe	rimen	ital	С	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
A.P.Silva 2012	3.4	1.78	10	13	22.3	8	3.5%	-9.60 [-25.09, 5.89]	
B.Abiri 2020	6.5	7.1	20	14.9	9.7	20	18.3%	-8.40 [-13.67, -3.13]	
Babak Golkhalkhali 2018	0.45	0.49	70	0.24	0.31	70	40.5%	0.21 [0.07, 0.35]	•
C.J.Ma 2015	4.92	4.6	44	4.95	5.12	41	34.1%	-0.03 [-2.10, 2.04]	+
M.C.Mocellin 2013	1.46	1.12	6	18.14	17.3	5	3.6%	-16.68 [-31.87, -1.49]	
Total (95% CI)			150			144	100.0%	-2.41 [-5.45, 0.63]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 5.94	; Chi ² =	16.59,	df = 4 ((P = 0.0)	02); l²	= 76%			-50 -25 0 25
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.55 (P =	0.12)							Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

c IL-6

	Experimental Control				Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random. 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
A.P.Silva 2012	81.2	26.37	10	69.8	61.04	8	0.2%	11.40 [-33.95, 56.75]	
B.Abiri 2020	29.9	33.1	20	41.6	51.2	20	0.5%	-11.70 [-38.42, 15.02]	
B.Liang 2008	15.23	8.42	20	34.21	44.12	21	1.0%	-18.98 [-38.21, 0.25]	
Babak Golkhalkhali 2018	3.5	3.89	70	7.75	8.44	70	75.8%	-4.25 [-6.43, -2.07]	
C.J.Ma 2015	23.58	45.25	44	26.44	53.61	41	0.8%	-2.86 [-24.03, 18.31]	
F.Haidari 2020	29.98	33.13	20	41.64	51.26	20	0.5%	-11.66 [-38.41, 15.09]	
M.W.Zhu 2012	18.2	7.6	29	23.7	8.2	28	21.3%	-5.50 [-9.61, -1.39]	
Total (95% CI)			213			208	100.0%	-4.70 [-6.59, -2.80]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00	; Chi ² =	3.47, df	= 6 (P	= 0.75)	; I ² = 0%	b			-50 -25 0 25 50
Test for overall effect: Z = 4	1.86 (P <	< 0.0000	01)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

D IL-1β

	Expe	Experimental			ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
A.P.Silva 2012	3.6	2.22	10	1.2	0.15	8	16.8%	2.40 [1.02, 3.78]	
F.Haidari 2020	2.23	0.76	20	2.49	0.8	20	37.6%	-0.26 [-0.74, 0.22]	•
M.C.Mocellin 2013	1.57	0.01	6	1.57	0.01	5	45.5%	0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]	•
Total (95% CI)			36			33	100.0%	0.31 [-0.41, 1.02]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.29; Cł	ni² = 12	2.73, df	= 2 (P =	= 0.002	2); I ² = 8	34%	· · ·	-4 -2 0 2 4
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.84	(P = 0).40)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Eight RCTs enrolling 432 patients reported the level of serum TNF- α . The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group was statistically lower than the control group. Five RCTs enrolling 294 patients reported the level of serum CRP. The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group was not statistically lower than the control group. Seven RCTs enrolling 431 patients reported the level of serum IL-6. The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group had a statistically lower than control group. Three RCTs enrolling 99 patients reported the level of serum IL-1 β . The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group was not statistically lower than control group. Three RCTs enrolling 99 patients reported the level of serum IL-1 β .

FIGURE 3

Effect of O3FAs on postoperative level of inflammatory factor (A): TNF-α, (B): CRP, (C)IL-6, (D): IL-1β.

The effect of O3FA supplementation on IL-6

We identified seven eligible trials (Liang et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2015; Golkhalkhali et al., 2018; Haidari et al., 2020a; 2020b), which included 421 patients,

and investigated IL-6 levels following the postoperative O3FA supplementation versus the control group. The heterogeneity test results were p = 0.75 and $I^2 = 0\%$. The pooled results of the O3FAs group were statistically lower than those of the control

A Albumin

	Experin	nental		Con	trol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD Tot	al Me	an	SD To	tal V	Veight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
A.P.Silva 2012	4.2	0.3	10	4.2 (0.3	8	25.8%	0.00 [-0.28, 0.28]	•
B.Abiri 2020	4.4	0.4 2	20	3.2	1	20	21.0%	1.20 [0.73, 1.67]	-
C.J.Ma 2015	3.98 0.	.47 4	14 4	.01 0.	46	41	27.5%	-0.03 [-0.23, 0.17]	•
M.C.Mocellin 2013	4.27 0.	.24	6 4	.02 0.	24	5	25.7%	0.25 [-0.03, 0.53]	
Total (95% CI)		8	30			74 1	00.0%	0.31 [-0.10, 0.71]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	14; Chi ² =	= 23.75,	df = 3	(P < 0	.0001);	² = 8	7%	-	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.49 (P	= 0.14)							-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
B BMI									
Dill									
		eriment			ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean			Mean			Weigh		IV, Random, 95% Cl
A.P.Silva 2012	26.7	5.8	10	24.6		8			
B.Abiri 2020	24.6		20	24.5		20			
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018	24.6 3 22.04	4.05	70	23.12	5.11	70	50.5%	-1.08 [-2.61, 0.45]	
B.Abiri 2020	24.6	4.05			5.11		50.5%	-1.08 [-2.61, 0.45]	
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013	24.6 3 22.04	4.05	70 6	23.12	5.11	70 5	50.5% 6.0%	-1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32]	■
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI)	24.6 3 22.04 28.7	4.05 5.2	70 6 106	23.12 26.5	5.11 3.4	70 5 103	50.5%	-1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32]	
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² =	4.05 5.2 3.40, df	70 6 106	23.12 26.5	5.11 3.4	70 5 103	50.5% 6.0%	-1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32]	
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI)	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² =	4.05 5.2 3.40, df	70 6 106	23.12 26.5	5.11 3.4	70 5 103	50.5% 6.0%	-1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32]	-10 -5 0 5 10 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² =	4.05 5.2 3.40, df	70 6 106	23.12 26.5	5.11 3.4	70 5 103	50.5% 6.0%	-1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32]	
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² =	4.05 5.2 3.40, df	70 6 106	23.12 26.5	5.11 3.4	70 5 103	50.5% 6.0%	-1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32]	
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² = = 0.29 (P	4.05 5.2 3.40, df = 0.77)	70 6 106 = 3 (P	23.12 26.5 = 0.33	5.11 3.4	70 5 103	50.5% 6.0%	-1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32]	
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² = = 0.29 (P	4.05 5.2 3.40, df = 0.77)	70 6 106 = 3 (P	23.12 26.5 = 0.33	5.11 3.4 3); ² =	70 5 103 2%	50.5% 6.0%	 -1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32] -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] Mean Difference 	Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z Weight Study or Subgroup	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² = = 0.29 (P Expo <u>Mean</u>	4.05 5.2 3.40, df = 0.77) erimenta	70 6 106 = 3 (P	23.12 26.5 = 0.33	5.11 3.4 3); ² =	70 5 103 2% <u>Tota</u>	50.59 6.09 100.09	 -1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32] -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] Mean Difference IV. Random. 95% CI 	Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z Weight	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² = = 0.29 (P	4.05 5.2 3.40, df = 0.77)	70 6 106 = 3 (P	23.12 26.5 = 0.33	5.11 3.4 3); ² =	70 5 103 2%	50.59 6.09 100.09	 -1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32] -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] Mean Difference IV. Random, <u>95% C1</u> 6.70 [-6.00, 19.40] 	Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z Weight Study or Subgroup A.P.Silva 2012	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² = = 0.29 (P <u>Exp</u> (<u>Mean</u> 71.9	4.05 5.2 3.40, df = 0.77) erimenta <u>SD</u> 16.8 9.8	70 6 106 = 3 (P 10 10 20	23.12 26.5 = 0.33 C <u>Mean</u> 65.2	5.11 3.4 3); ² = - control <u>SD</u> 10.5	70 5 103 2% <u>Tota</u>	50.59 6.09 100.09 <u>1 Weigh</u> 3 8.99 25.49	 -1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32] -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% CI 6.70 [-6.00, 19.40] 3.00 [-3.72, 9.72] 	Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z Weight Study or Subgroup A.P.Silva 2012 B.Abiri 2020	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² = = 0.29 (P <u>Exp</u> <u>Mean</u> 71.9 69.4	4.05 5.2 3.40, df = 0.77) erimenta <u>SD</u> 16.8 9.8	70 6 = 3 (P 106 = 3 (P 10 20 70	23.12 26.5 = 0.33 = 0.33 C <u>Mean</u> 65.2 66.4	5.11 3.4 (a); $ ^2 = -\frac{1}{2}$ (control <u>SD</u> 10.5 11.8	70 5 103 2% <u>Tota</u> 8 20	50.59 6.09 100.09 100.09 100.09 100.09 100.09 100.09 100.09 100.09	 Hean Difference Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% CI G. 70 (-6.00, 19.40) G. 300 (-3.72, 9.72) -2.96 (-6.64, 0.72) 	Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z Weight Study or Subgroup A.P.Silva 2012 B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² = 0.29 (P <u>Expr</u> <u>Mean</u> 71.9 69.4 55.12	4.05 5.2 3.40, df = 0.77) erimenta <u>SD</u> 16.8 9.8 11.88	70 6 = 3 (P 106 = 3 (P 10 20 70	23.12 26.5 = 0.33 = 0.33 C <u>Mean</u> 65.2 66.4 58.08	5.11 3.4 3); ² = - 50 10.5 11.8 10.28	70 5 103 2% <u>Tota</u> 8 20 70	50.5% 6.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 51.0% 51.1% 7 6.3%	 Hean Difference 1.08 [-2.61, 0.45] 2.20 [-2.92, 7.32] -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] Mean Difference IV. Random, 95% CI 6.70 [-6.00, 19.40] 3.00 [-3.72, 9.72] -2.96 [-6.64, 0.72] 4.81 [-10.58, 20.20] 	Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z Weight Study or Subgroup A.P.Silva 2012 B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 JoanTrabal 2010 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI)	24.6 3 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² = = 0.29 (P <u>Exp</u> (<u>Mean</u> 71.9 69.4 55.12 74.84 72.7	4.05 5.2 3.40, df = 0.77) 16.8 9.8 11.88 15.9 10	70 6 106 = 3 (P 10 20 70 6 6 112	23.12 26.5 = 0.33 = 0.33 <u>65.2</u> 66.4 58.08 70.03 68	5.11 3.4 3); ² = - 5); ² = - 50 10.5 11.8 10.28 11.7 12.1	70 5 103 2% <u>Tota</u> 8 20 70 70 70 5	50.5% 6.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 51.0% 51.1% 7 6.3%	Mean Difference t.V. Random, 95% CI 6 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 6 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 6 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 7 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 8 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 9 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 10 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 11 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 12 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 13 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 14 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 15 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 16 -0.70 [-6.00, 19.40] 17 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] 18 -0.19 [-0.00, 19.40] 19 -0.19 [-0.00, 19.40] 10 -0.00 [-0.00, 19.40] 10 -0.00 [-0.00, 19.40] 10 -0.00 [-0.00, 19.40] 10 -0.00 [-0.00, 19.40] 11 -0.00 [-0.00, 19.40] 12 -0.00 [-0.00, 19.40] 13 -0.00 [-0.00, 19.40] 14 -0.00 [-0.00, 19.40] 15 -0.00 [-0.00, 19.40] 16	Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference
B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 M.C.Mocellin 2013 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0. Test for overall effect: Z Weight Study or Subgroup A.P.Silva 2012 B.Abiri 2020 Babak Golkhalkhali 2018 JoanTrabal 2010 M.C.Mocellin 2013	24.6 22.04 28.7 23; Chi ² = 0.29 (P Exp(Mean 71.9 69.4 55.12 74.84 72.7 3; Chi ² = 5	4.05 5.2 3.40, df = 0.77) erimenta <u>SD</u> 16.8 9.8 11.88 15.9 10 5.04, df =	70 6 106 = 3 (P 10 20 70 6 6 112	23.12 26.5 = 0.33 = 0.33 <u>65.2</u> 66.4 58.08 70.03 68	5.11 3.4 3); ² = - 5); ² = - 50 10.5 11.8 10.28 11.7 12.1	70 5 103 2% <u>Tota</u> 8 20 70 70 70 5	50.5% 6.0% 100.0	Mean Difference t/V. Random, 95% CI 6 -0.19 [-1.46, 1.09] Wean Difference t/V. Random, 95% CI 6 6.70 [-6.00, 19.40] 3.00 [-3.72, 9.72] 6 -2.96 [-6.64, 0.72] 6 4.81 [-10.58, 20.20] 6 4.70 [-8.59, 17.99]	Favours [experimental] Favours [control] Mean Difference

Four RCTs enrolling 154 patients reported the level of Albumin. The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group was not statistically lower than the control group. Four RCTs enrolling 209 patients reported the level of serum BMI. The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group was not statistically lower than the control group. Five RCTs enrolling 222 patients reported the level of weight. The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group was not statistically lower than control group.

FIGURE 4

Effect of O3FAs on postoperative nutritional status (A): Alubumin, (B): BMI, (C): Weight.

group (MD = -4.70, 95% CI: -6.59 to -2.80, p < 0.00001, Figure 3C).

The effect of O3FA supplementation on IL-1 β

We identified three eligible trials (Silva et al., 2012; Mocellin et al., 2013; Haidari et al., 2020b), which included 69 patients, and investigated IL-1 β levels following the postoperative O3FA supplementation versus the control group. The heterogeneity test results were p = 0.002 and $I^2 = 84\%$. The forest plot indicated that there was no statistical significance in the IL-1 β level of the O3FAs group and the control group (MD = 0.31, 95% CI: -0.41 to 1.02, p = 0.40, Figure 3D).

The effect of O3FAs on postoperative nutritional status

The effect of O3FA supplementation on albumin

Four studies (Silva et al., 2012; Mocellin et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015; Haidari et al., 2020b) were pooled to estimate the effect size of

O3FAs on the albumin of O3FA-supplemented and the control group. The heterogeneity test results were p < 0.0001 and $I^2 = 87\%$. The O3FA supplementation did not affect albumin compared to the control group (MD = 0.31, 95% CI: -0.10 to 0.71, p = 0.14, n = 154 participants, Figure 4A).

The effect of O3FA supplementation on BMI

We identified four eligible trials (Silva et al., 2012Mocellin et al., 2013Golkhalkhali et al., 2018; Haidari et al., 2020b), which included 209 patients, and investigated the associations between O3FAs and BMI. The heterogeneity test results were p = 0.33 and $I^2 = 12\%$. The O3FA supplementation did not affect BMI compared to the control group (MD = -0.19, 95% CI: -1.46 to 1.09, p = 0.77, Figure 4B).

The effect of O3FA supplementation on weight

We identified five eligible trials (Trabal et al., 2010; Silva et al., 2012; Mocellin et al., 2013; Golkhalkhali et al., 2018; Haidari et al., 2020b), which included 222 patients, and investigated the associations between

Rates of infectious and non-infectious complications Δ Experimental Control **Risk Ratio Risk Ratio** Study or Subgroup vents Total Weight M-H. Random, 95% Cl M-H. Random, 95% CI Events Total A.J.Cockbain 2014 45 3.3% 0.12 [0.02, 0.88] 43 9 B.Liang 2008 20 1 21 1.9% 1.05 [0.07, 15.68] 1 C.J.Ma 2015 40 51 33 48 22.5% 1.14 [0.90, 1.45] L.S.Sorensen 2014 28 65 27 64 19.5% 1.02 [0.68, 1.52] L.Schmidt Sørensen 2020 23 65 23 60 18.4% 0.92 [0.58, 1.46] 50 17 12.3% 0.41 [0.19, 0.91] M.Braga 2002 7 50 M.W.Zhu 2012 29 8 28 8.5% 0.48 [0.16, 1.42] Marianne Schoorla 2020 18 3 23 7.6% 3.41 [1.05, 11.03] 8 N.Bakker 2020 8 17 2 18 5.9% 4.24 [1.04, 17.18] Total (95% CI) 358 357 100.0% 0.96 [0.65, 1.42] Total events 120 123 Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.16; Chi² = 21.20, df = 8 (P = 0.007); l² = 62% 0.2 0.5 2 5 10 0.1 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.21 (P = 0.83) Favours [control] Favours [experimental]

B LOS

	Expe	rimen	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
L.Schmidt Sørensen 2020	65	9	228	10	22.82	60	18.2%	55.00 [49.11, 60.89]	
M.Braga 2002	9.8	3.1	50	12.2	3.9	50	20.5%	-2.40 [-3.78, -1.02]	•
M.W.Zhu 2012	12	4	29	15	6	28	20.1%	-3.00 [-5.66, -0.34]	•
N.Bakker 2020	4.5	1.7	17	3.5	1.4	18	20.6%	1.00 [-0.04, 2.04]	•
Y.Chen 2011	16.04	5.81	102	14.81	4.29	65	20.5%	1.23 [-0.31, 2.77]	
Total (95% CI)			426			221	100.0%	9.36 [2.16, 16.57]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 65.26	6; Chi ² =	353.94	, df = 4	(P < 0.	00001);	$ ^2 = 99$	%		
Test for overall effect: Z = 2	.55 (P =	0.01)		-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]					

c CRC mortality

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% CI
A.J.Cockbain 2014	0	43	1	45	12.9%	0.35 [0.01, 8.33]	
L.S.Sorensen 2014	2	65	2	64	34.9%	0.98 [0.14, 6.78]	+
M.Braga 2002	2	65	2	64	34.9%	0.98 [0.14, 6.78]	
Thorlacius-Ussing 2014	1	50	1	50	17.3%	1.00 [0.06, 15.55]	
Total (95% CI)		223		223	100.0%	0.86 [0.28, 2.70]	-
Total events	5		6				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0	0; Chi ² = 0.	36, df =					
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.25 (P = 0	0.80)					0.005 0.1 1 10 200 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

D Life quality

-	-								
	Exp	erimen	tal	0	Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Random, 95% CI	IV. Random, 95% CI
Babak Golkhalkhali 2018	72.5	2.17	70	44.8	3.1	70	33.7%	27.70 [26.81, 28.59]	•
Joan Trabal 2010	61	3.33	6	81	6.94	7	32.8%	-20.00 [-25.79, -14.21]	•
X. J. Zhang 2020	62	10.45	90	43	12.32	89	33.4%	19.00 [15.65, 22.35]	•
Total (95% CI)			166			166	100.0%	9.12 [-11.20, 29.45]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 318.	.81; Chi ²	= 273.2	26, df =	2 (P <	0.00001); ² = 9	99%		-100 -50 0 50 100
Test for overall effect: Z = 0	0.88 (P =	= 0.38)							Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Nine RCTs enrolling 715 patients reported the rates of infectious and non-infectious complications. The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group was not statistically lower than the control group. Five RCTs enrolling 647 patients reported the LOS. The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group had a statistically lower than the control group. Four RCTs enrolling 446 patients reported the CRC mortality. The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group was not statistically lower than control group. Three RCTs enrolling 332 patients reported the level life quality. The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group was not statistically lower than the control group. The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group was not statistically lower than control group. The RCTs enrolling 332 patients reported the level life quality. The pooled results showed that the O3FAs group was not statistically lower than the control group.

FIGURE 5

Effect of O3FAs onrates of infectious and non-infectious complications (A),LOS (B), CRC mortality (C), life quality (D).

O3FAs and weight. Results of the heterogeneity test were p = 0.28 and $I^2 = 21\%$. The forest plot showed no statistically-significant weight difference between the O3FAs group and the control group (MD = 0.54, 95% CI: -3.46 to 4.54, p = 0.79, Figure 4C).

The effect of O3FA supplementation on the rate of infectious and non-infectious complications

The rate of infectious and non-infectious complications was reported in nine trials (Braga et al., 2002; Liang et al., 2008; Zhu et al.,

2012; Sorensen et al., 2014b; Cockbain et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2015; Bakker et al., 2020a; 2020b; Sørensen et al., 2020). The heterogeneity test results were p = 0.007 and $I^2 = 62\%$. The forest plot indicated that there was no statistical significance in the rate of infectious and non-infectious complications of the O3FAs group or the control group (RR = 0.96, 95% CI: 0.65 to 1.42, p = 0.83, n = 715 participants, Figure 5A).

Subgroup analyses showed no significant differences stratified by duration, but lower rates of infectious and noninfectious complications were shown in the O3FAs group than in the control group with PN (RR = 3.73, 95% CI: 1.52 to 9.17, p = 0.004, $I^2 = 0\%$, n = 76 participants, Supplementary Table S5).

The effect of O3FA supplementation on the LOS

We identified five eligible trials (Braga et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2012; Bakker et al., 2020a; Sørensen et al., 2020). The heterogeneity test results were p < 0.00001 and $I^2 = 99\%$. The pooled results of the O3FAs group was statistically lower than those of the control group (MD = 9.36, 95% CI: 2.16 to 16.57, p = 0.01, n = 647 participants, Figure 5B).

The effect of O3FA supplementation on CRC mortality

We identified four eligible trials (Braga et al., 2002; Sorensen et al., 2014a; Cockbain et al., 2014), which included 446 patients, and investigated the associations between O3FAs and CRC mortality. The heterogeneity test results were p = 0.95 and $I^2 = 0\%$. The forest plot indicated that there was no statistical significance in the CRC mortality level of the O3FAs group and the control group (RR = 0.86, 95% CI: 0.28 to 2.70, p = 0.80, Figure 5C).

The effect of O3FA supplementation on life quality

We identified three eligible trials (Trabal et al., 2010; Golkhalkhali et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020), which included 332 patients, and investigated the associations between O3FAs and life quality. The heterogeneity test results were p < 0.00001 and $I^2 = 99\%$. The forest plot indicated that there was no statistical significance in the life quality of the O3FAs group or the control group (RR = 9.12, 95% CI: -11.20 to 29.45, p = 0.38, Figure 5D).

Subgroup analysis

For the other subgroups [dosages (EPA >1.80 g/d or <1.80 g/d) and TNM staging (TNM staging II, III or IV)], no significant differences were observed between the O3FAs and the control group (Supplementary Table S5).

Assessment of reporting biases

Using funnel plots, Egger and Begg tested publication biases. In terms of accuracy, the funnel plot is not as good as Egger's test or Begg's test, and Begg's test is not as sensitive as Egger's test. When the three results do not agree, they first discard the funnel plot. When Egger's and Begg's test results are opposite, Egger's test result is used as the result, and the trim-and-fill method will be used to adjust publication biases through a meta-analysis. When three or more studies are included for one outcome, Egger's test is used to assess publication biases (Egger et al., 1997; Sterne and Davey Smith, 2001). Egger's test showed that the p values were >0.05, indicating no publication bias.

Discussion

Multiple immunosuppressive nutrients in nutritional formulations for patients with massive tumors after surgeries are recommend in ESPEN guidelines for improved outcomes. As early as possible (within 5-7 days) before surgeries, nutritional supplementation should begin and continue during the postoperative period (Weimann et al., 2021). Through our metaanalysis, 19 RCTs were evaluated to assess the effect and safety of O3FA nutritional support on inflammatory cytokine levels, nutritional status, infectious and non-infectious complications, LOS, CRC mortality as well as life quality of patients with CRC. The main outcome of this study was that the serum TNF- α and IL-6 level of patients with CRC undergoing chemotherapy or surgeries alone was effectively reduced with a nutritional support for O3FAs. Patients' LOS was reduced with O3FAs by enhancing body immune function and reducing body inflammatory response, but they did not modulate CRP, IL-1β, albumin, BMI, weight, the rate of infectious and non-infectious complications, CRC mortality or life quality. According to different durations, different routes of administration, dosing of O3FAs and TNM staging, we conducted subgroup analyses and found that O3FAs improved the TNF- α level of patients treated with TPN, as well as infectious and noninfectious complications of patients treated with PN.

O3FAs can inhibit the activation of epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFRs), thereby inhibiting the phosphorylation of growth-factor-receptor-binding protein 2 (Grb2), which play a role in inflammation inhibition (D'Angelo, et al., 2020; Calder, 2017). O3FAs can also inhibit inflammation by inhibiting tollrelated receptors, downregulating the NF-KB signaling pathway and reducing inflammatory gene expression (Volpato and Hull, 2018). Supplementing O3FAs benefits cancerous patients because it lowers the level of inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6 and TNFa (Mayer and Seeger, 2008; Singer et al., 2008). IL-6 is a type of inflammatory cytokine that is mostly produced by immune cells (such as T cells), endothelial cells and macrophages, which inhibits the stress response. It is effective in modulating the immune system and fighting against infections. O3FAs, according to substantial published research, can reduce the level of postoperative IL-6 and TNF-α of cancerous patients (Goodla and Xue, 2022; Lu et al., 2022). Both cytokines caused CD4⁺ T cells to develop into various types of T-helper (Th) cells, and the TNF-a/IL-6 level mirrored the Th1-Th2 cell balance to some extent. Th1 cells are responsible for cellular immune responses, which play a key role in infection and tumor defense, while Th2 cells are responsible for humoral immune response. Patients with CRC exhibited а Th1-Th2 imbalance that shifted to Th2, which, exacerbated by operating stress, was found to be strongly associated with postoperative infections (Tabata et al., 1999; Tatsumi et al., 2003). Its effect on CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell balance is also unknown due to the lack of studies. These findings support the hypothesis that a supplementation of O3FAs induces an

anti-inflammatory or attenuated inflammatory response (Mayer et al., 2003; Barbosa et al., 2010a; Im, 2012; Al-Leswas et al., 2018).

Among several inflammatory agents, IL-6 ranks high in both importance and sensitivity. During surgeries, IL-6 stimulates the livers to produce acute-phase CRP, which boosts the phagocytic activity of neutrophils and macrophages. The level of IL-6 and CRP released in the body may reflect the its stress state. O3FAs have the potential to reduce CRP production, limit IL-6 release, lower the level of inflammatory response and improve the body immune function. Numerous previous studies have shown that O3FAs can reduce the level of IL-6 and TNF- α of cancerous patients following surgeries (Bernabe-Garcia et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2022; Kavyani et al., 2022), which is consistent with the findings of our metaanalysis.

Albumin is a key component of plasma total protein content. Albumin and BMI are also crucial indicators for nutritional assessments. Studies have shown that O3FAs can improve the nutritional status of patients (Feijó et al., 2019; Cheng et al., 2021), which are also recommended as fatty acid supplements in the nutritional treatment of various diseases (Stavrinou et al., 2020; Elagizi et al., 2021). However, a study involving 2,157 adults aged 70 or older showed no effect of taking O3FAs on patients' nutritional status (Bischoff-Ferrari et al., 2020). It was found in our metaanalysis that O3FAs did not increase the albumin or BMI level. Due to the limited and varied number of trials included, there is a theoretical bias in our interpretation of the results of the two nutritional indicators studied.

Body weight was reported in five studies, and no significant difference was found between the O3FA supplementation group and the control group in our meta-analysis. Our findings were in contrast to a recent systematic review (Wang et al., 2021), which could be explained by the differences among studies in the current systematic review, including cancer types, different routes of administration, anti-cancer therapies and tolerance to anti-cancer therapies. The current systematic review includes studies on patients with CRC and any type of cancer treatment.

In our study, O3FAs did not affect the rate of infectious and noninfectious complications. Compared to Gao's and Chen's study, where the incidence of postoperative infection complications and LOS (Calder, 2010; Gao et al., 2020) was reduced with the supplementation of O3FAs, Gln, Arg or nucleotides, nutritional intervention methods might be used to partially explain these discrepancies. Studies have also shown that O3FAs do not significantly reduce the LOS level of patients with postoperative colon cancer receiving a PN therapy (Lee et al., 2023). However, a recent network meta-analysis indicated that LOS was shortened with parenteral O3FA supplementation (Pradelli et al., 2023), which was consistent with our meta-analysis results.

CRC mortality was reported in four studies, and no significant difference was found between the O3FA-supplement group and the control group in our meta-analysis. This finding contradicted previous studies (Barbosa et al., 2010b; Hall et al., 2015), which could be explained by differences in the study populations of the current systematic review, including disease type and treatment tolerance. The more homogeneous population and treatment in these studies may have led to different findings regarding the efficacy of O3FA supplementation.

Quality of life is an important patient outcome reported in cancer care. Inconsistent effect of O3FA supplements on life quality has been found in several previous systematic reviews. In one metaanalysis (Lam et al., 2021), including 31 studies, it was concluded that O3FA treatment did not improve life quality. A meta-analysis (de van der Schueren et al., 2018) revealed that O3FAs brought significant life quality benefits after supplementation. While both meta-analyses indicated consistent findings, which were based on small, limited compliance studies. Further studies are needed to determine the effect of O3FA supplementation on life quality.

Evidence to date suggests that the provision of O3FAs through capsules, oral nutrition supplements or enteral or parental formulas may help regulate the inflammatory environment in a number of medical conditions, which is linked in many cases to improved functions, clinical courses and outcomes (Gorjao et al., 2019; Klassen et al., 2020). Because dysregulated inflammation is a component of many acute and chronic diseases, the potential applications of DHA and EPA are broad in terms of prevention and treatment. There is positive evidence that O3FAs are safe and cost-effective therapies with the potential to benefit multiple patient outcomes. There is no doubt that the dose of DHA and EPA used is an essential factor, but it is not the only explanation for the inconsistencies. Additional considerations include the timing and duration of DHA and EPA supply, the ratio of EPA to DHA, baseline EPA and DHA status, the intake of other nutrients including omega-6 fatty acids, B vitamins and antioxidants, clinical status as well as medication use. More well-designed intervention studies are needed to address the relevance of these different variables to properly identify the effect of DHA and EPA on specific target patient populations. Such studies may lead to a more personalized approach to the provision of DHA and EPA for the maximum clinical benefits. A focus on personalized approaches and the knowledge of a patient's specific nutritional and medical needs will be important in determining the paths of the optimal use of O3FAs, which should take into account interactions between genetics and nutrients as well as among nutrients themselves. Overall, the full body of evidence supports the use of DHA and EPA in a wide range of medical conditions. Additionally, high-quality studies based on the experience of existing studies will strengthen the evidence base needed to inform future guidelines.

Some limitations of this study merit a consideration. First of all, in most cases, participants' adherence to the study treatment and food consumption was not tracked or reported, which might have affected the accuracy of the results. Secondly, instead of using a variation from the baseline value, we used the final value in our meta-analysis, which did not account for differences in the baseline of trials and might have affected pooled results. No follow-up questions were asked about dietary patterns, additional medical conditions or medications after surgeries, which should be considered as a restriction. Thirdly, raw data for several studies was missing, leading to their elimination from quantitative analyses. Different doses of O3FAs and varying amounts of EPA and DHA used in exploratory products were significant constraints for evaluating efficacy. Because dose-response studies on O3FAs are sparse, it is difficult to determine the effect of these changes, and we are unable to recommend an appropriate dose range. Despite the above limitations, our study suggests that there is no data in the current literatures that raises any concern about the safety of using O3FAs for patients with CRC.

In addition, alterations in inflammatory measures of TNF- α and IL-6 for patients with CRC confirm the immunological effect of O3FAs. In future investigations on the effect of O3FAs on chemotherapy and advanced CRC, gut microbiome changes should be examined. Despite promising preclinical data on combination therapies and intriguing preliminary clinical data, the efficacy of O3FA supplementation in combination with surgeries or chemotherapy for CRC has not been definitively tested clinically.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study indicated that O3FAs were safe and effective in lowering TNF- α and IL-6 level and shortening LOS for patients with CRC undergoing adjuvant therapies. However, analyses of these trials failed to show improvements in CRP, IL-1 β , albumin, BMI, weight, infectious and non-infectious complication rates or life quality. In the future, exploration with the help of metabolomics, proteomics, microbiome, and other methods, based on the results of more clinical studies, can provide more references for the clinical application and promotion of nutritional therapies and standardized nutritional preparations in CRC patients.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

HL and SD conceived and designed the study. HL, JC, WS, and SY screened and identified the study. HL, JC, and SY checked the data and assessed the risk of literature biases. HL, WS, and SY

References

Al-Leswas, D., Eltweri, A. M., Chung, W. Y., Arshad, A., Stephenson, J. A., Al-Taan, O., et al. (2018). Intravenous omega-3 fatty acids are associated with better clinical outcome and less inflammation in patients with predicted severe acute pancreatitis: A randomised double blind controlled trial. *Clin. Nutr.* 239 (9), 2711–2719. doi:10.1016/j. clnu.2018.04.003.4

Bakker, N., Schoorl, M., Stoutjesdijk, E., and Houdijk, A. P. J. (2020a). Erythrocyte deformability and aggregability in patients undergoing colon cancer surgery and effects of two infusions with omega-3 fatty acids. *Clin. Hemorheol. Microcirc.* 74 (3), 287–297. doi:10.3233/CH-190687

Bakker, N., van den Helder, R. S., Stoutjesdijk, E., van Pelt, J., and Houdijk, A. P. J. (2020b). Effects of perioperative intravenous ω -3 fatty acids in colon cancer patients: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 111 (2), 385–395. doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqz281

Barbosa, V. M., Miles, E. A., Calhau, C., Lafuente, E., and Calder, P. C. (2010a). Effects of a fish oil containing lipid emulsion on plasma phospholipid fatty acids, inflammatory markers, and clinical outcomes in septic patients: A randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Crit. Care* 14 (1), R5–R11. doi:10.1186/cc8844

Barbosa, V. M., Miles, E. A., Calhau, C., Lafuente, E., and Calder, P. C. (2010b). Effects of a fish oil containing lipid emulsion on plasma phospholipid fatty acids, inflammatory

carried out a statistical analysis and produced figures. HL, SD, JC, WS, and SY drafted this manuscript. All authors contributed to the review and revision, approved the final manuscript as submitted, and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This research was supported and funded by Henan Province Key Specialized Research and Development Breakthrough Projects (222102310226). Collaborative Innovation Project of Zhengzhou City (XTCX2023006).

Acknowledgments

We thank all the reviewers for their assistance and support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2023.1004465/ full#supplementary-material

markers, and clinical outcomes in septic patients: A randomized, controlled clinical trial. Crit. care 42 (4), R5-R599. doi:10.1186/cc8844

Benson, A. B., Venook, A. P., Al-Hawary, M. M., Cederquist, L., Yi, C., Ciombor, K. K., et al. (2018). NCCN guidelines insights: Colon cancer, version 2.2018. J. Natl. Compr. Canc Netw. 16 (4), 359–369. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2018.0021

Bernabe-Garcia, M., Lopez-Alarcon, M., Villegas-Silva, R., Mancilla-Ramirez, J., Rodriguez-Cruz, M., Maldonado-Hernandez, J., et al. (2016). Beneficial effects of enteral docosahexaenoic acid on the markers of inflammation and clinical outcomes of neonates undergoing cardiovascular surgery: An intervention study. *Ann. Nutr. Metabolism* 69 (1), 15–23. doi:10.1159/000447498

Bischoff-Ferrari, H. A., Vellas, B., Rizzoli, R., Kressig, R. W., da Silva, J. A. P., Blauth, M., et al. (2020). Effect of vitamin D supplementation, omega-3 fatty acid supplementation, or a strength-training exercise program on clinical outcomes in older adults: The DO-HEALTH randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 324 (18), 1855–1868. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.16909

Braga, M., Gianotti, L., Vignali, A., and Carlo, V. D. (2002). Preoperative oral arginine and n-3 fatty acid supplementation improves the immunometabolic host response and outcome after colorectal resection for cancer. *Surgery* 132 (5), 805–814. doi:10.1067/ msy.2002.128350 Calder, P. C. (2015). Marine omega-3 fatty acids and inflammatory processes: Effects, mechanisms and clinical relevance. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1851 (4), 469–484. doi:10. 1016/j.bbalip.2014.08.010

Calder, P. C. (2017). Omega-3 fatty acids and inflammatory processes: From molecules to man. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 45 (5), 1105–1115. doi:10.1042/BST20160474

Calder, P. C. (2010). Rationale and use of n-3 fatty acids in artificial nutrition. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 69 (04), 565–573. doi:10.1017/S0029665110000157

Chen, B., Zhou, Y., Yang, P., Wan, H. W., and Wu, X. T. (2010). Safety and efficacy of fish oil-enriched parenteral nutrition regimen on postoperative patients undergoing major abdominal surgery: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J. Parenter. Enter. Nutr.* 34 (4), 387–394. doi:10.1177/0148607110362532

Chen, Y., Liu, B. L., Shang, B., Chen, A. S., Liu, S. Q., Sun, W., et al. (2011). Nutrition support in surgical patients with colorectal cancer. *World J. gastroenterology* 17 (13), 1779–1786. doi:10.3748/wjg.v17.i13.1779

Cheng, M., Zhang, S., Ning, C., and Huo, Q. (2021). Omega-3 fatty acids supplementation improve nutritional status and inflammatory response in patients with lung cancer: A randomized clinical trial. *Front. Nutr.* 8, 686752. doi:10.3389/fnut. 2021.686752

Cockbain, A. J., Volpato, M., Race, A. D., Munarini, A., Fazio, C., Belluzzi, A., et al. (2014). Anticolorectal cancer activity of the omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid eicosapentaenoic acid. *Gut* 63 (11), 1760–1768. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2013-306445

CockbainToogoodHull, A. J. G. J. M. A. (2012). Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids for the treatment and prevention of colorectal cancer. *Gut* 61 (1), 135–149. doi:10.1136/ gut.2010.233718

Cumpston, M. S., McKenzie, J. E., Welch, V. A., and Brennan, S. E. (2022). Strengthening systematic reviews in public health: Guidance in the Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions, 2nd edition. *J. public health* 44 (4), e588–e592. doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdac03644

D'Angelo, S., Motti, M. L., and Meccariello, R. (2020). ω -3 and ω -6 polyunsaturated fatty acids, obesity and cancer. *Nutrients* 12 (9), 2751. doi:10.3390/nu12092751

de van der Schueren, M. A. E., Laviano, A., Blanchard, H., Jourdan, M., Arends, J., and Baracos, V. E. (2018). Systematic review and meta-analysis of the evidence for oral nutritional intervention on nutritional and clinical outcomes during chemo(radio) therapy: Current evidence and guidance for design of future trials. *Ann. Oncol.* 29 (5), 1141–1153. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdy114

Egger, M., Davey Smith, G., Schneider, M., and Minder, C. (1997). Bias in metaanalysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 315 (7109), 629–634. doi:10.1136/bmj. 315.7109.629

Elagizi, A., Lavie, C. J., O'Keefe, E., Marshall, K., O'Keefe, J. H., and Milani, R. V. (2021). An update on omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and cardiovascular health. *Nutrients* 13 (1), 204. doi:10.3390/nu13010204

Feijó, P. M., Rodrigues, V. D., Viana, M. S., Dos Santos, M. P., Abdelhay, E., Viola, J. P., et al. (2019). Effects of ω -3 supplementation on the nutritional status, immune, and inflammatory profiles of gastric cancer patients: A randomized controlled trial. *Nutrition* 61, 125–131. doi:10.1016/j.nut.2018.11.014

Gao, B., Luo, J., Liu, Y., Zhong, F., Yang, X., Gan, Y., et al. (2020). Clinical efficacy of perioperative immunonutrition containing omega-3-fatty acids in patients undergoing hepatectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ann. Nutr. metabolism* 76 (6), 375–386. doi:10.1159/000509979

Golkhalkhali, B., Rajandram, R., Paliany, A. S., Ho, G. F., Wan Ishak, W. Z., Johari, C. S., et al. (2018). Strain-specific probiotic (microbial cell preparation) and omega-3 fatty acid in modulating quality of life and inflammatory markers in colorectal cancer patients: A randomized controlled trial. *Asia-Pacific J. Clin. Oncol.* 14 (3), 179–191. doi:10.1111/ajco.12758

Goodla, L., and Xue, X. (2022). The role of inflammatory mediators in colorectal cancer hepatic metastasis. *Cells* 11 (15), 2313. doi:10.3390/cells11152313

Gorjao, R., Dos Santos, C. M. M., Serdan, T. D. A., Diniz, V. L. S., Alba-Loureiro, T. C., Cury-Boaventura, M. F., et al. (2019). New insights on the regulation of cancer cachexia by N-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 196, 117–134. doi:10.1016/j. pharmthera.2018.12.001

Guo, Y., Ma, B., Li, X., Hui, H., Zhou, Y., Li, N., et al. (2022). *n*-3 PUFA can reduce IL-6 and TNF levels in patients with cancer. *Br. J. Nutr.* 129, 54–65. doi:10.1017/S0007114522000575

Haidari, F., Abiri, B., Iravani, M., Ahmadi-Angali, K., and Vafa, M. (2020a). Effects of vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acids Co-supplementation on inflammatory factors and tumor marker cea in colorectal cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Nutr. cancer* 72 (6), 948–958. doi:10.1080/01635581.2019.1659380

Haidari, F., Abiri, B., Iravani, M., Ahmadi-Angali, K., and Vafa, M. (2020b). Randomized study of the effect of vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acids cosupplementation as adjuvant chemotherapy on inflammation and nutritional status in colorectal cancer patients. *J. Diet. Suppl.* 17 (4), 384–400. doi:10.1080/ 19390211.2019.1600096

Hall, T. C., Bilku, D. K., Al-Leswas, D., Neal, C. P., Horst, C., Cooke, J., et al. (2015). A randomized controlled trial investigating the effects of parenteral fish oil on survival

outcomes in critically ill patients with sepsis: A pilot study. J. Parenter. Enter. Nutr. 39 (3), 301–312. doi:10.1177/0148607113518945

Hossain, T., Phillips, B. E., Doleman, B., Lund, J. N., and Williams, J. P. (2020). A double-blind randomized controlled trial of the effects of eicosapentaenoic acid supplementation on muscle inflammation and physical function in patients undergoing colorectal cancer resection. *Clin. Nutr.* 39 (7), 2055–2061. doi:10.1016/j. clnu.2019.09.009

Im, D. S. (2012). Omega-3 fatty acids in anti-inflammation (pro-resolution) and gpcrs. Prog. lipid Res. 51 (3), 232–237. doi:10.1016/j.plipres.2012.02.003

Kavyani, Z., Musazadeh, V., Fathi, S., Hossein Faghfouri, A., Dehghan, P., and Sarmadi, B. (2022). Efficacy of the omega-3 fatty acids supplementation on inflammatory biomarkers: An umbrella meta-analysis. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 111, 109104. doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2022.109104

Klassen, P., Cervantes, M., and Mazurak, V. C. (2020). N - 3 fatty acids during chemotherapy: Toward a higher level of evidence for clinical application. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. metabolic care* 23 (2), 82–88. doi:10.1097/MCO.00000000000627

Lam, C. N., Watt, A. E., Isenring, E. A., de van der Schueren, M., and van der Meij, B. S. (2021). The effect of oral omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation on muscle maintenance and quality of life in patients with cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Nutr.* 40 (6), 3815–3826. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2021.04.031

Lee, S. Y., Lee, J., Park, H. M., Kim, C. H., and Kim, H. R. (2023). Impact of preoperative immunonutrition on the outcomes of colon cancer surgery: Results from a randomized controlled trial. *Ann. Surg.* 277 (3), 381–386. doi:10.1097/SLA. 00000000005140

Liang, B., Wang, S., Ye, Y. J., Yang, X. D., Wang, Y. L., Qu, J., et al. (2008). Impact of postoperative omega-3 fatty acid-supplemented parenteral nutrition on clinical outcomes and immunomodulations in colorectal cancer patients. *World J. gastroenterology* 14 (15), 2434–2439. doi:10.3748/wjg.14.2434

Lu, S., Yang, Z., Tang, H., Sun, X., Wang, B., Qu, J., et al. (2022). Associations between omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids supplementation and surgical prognosis in patients with gastrointestinal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Food Chem.* 4, 100099. doi:10.1016/j.fochms.2022.100099

Ma, C. J., Wu, J. M., Tsai, H. L., Huang, C. W., Lu, C. Y., Sun, L. C., et al. (2015). Prospective double-blind randomized study on the efficacy and safety of an n-3 fatty acid enriched intravenous fat emulsion in postsurgical gastric and colorectal cancer patients. *Nutr. J.* 14, 9. doi:10.1186/1475-2891-14-9

Ma, Y. J., Liu, L., Xiao, J., and Cao, B. W. (2016). Perioperative ω -3 polyunsaturated fatty acid nutritional support in gastrointestinal cancer surgical patients: A systematic evaluation. *Nutr. cancer* 68 (4), 568–576. doi:10.1080/01635581.2016.1158291

Mayer, K., Gokorsch, S., Fegbeutel, C., Hattar, K., Rosseau, S., Walmrath, D., et al. (2003). Parenteral nutrition with fish oil modulates cytokine response in patients with sepsis. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 167 (10), 1321–1328. doi:10.1164/rccm.200207-6740C

Mayer, K., and Seeger, W. (2008). Fish oil in critical illness. Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metabolic Care 11 (2), 121-127. doi:10.1097/MCO.0b013e3282f4cdc6

Mocellin, M. C., Fernandes, R., Chagas, T. R., and Trindade, E. (2018). A metaanalysis of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids effects on circulating acute-phase protein and cytokines in gastric cancer. *Clin. Nutr.* 37 (3), 840–850. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2017. 05.008

Mocellin, M. C., Pastore e Silva, J. deA., Camargo, C. deQ., Fabre, M. E., Gevaerd, S., Naliwaiko, K., et al. (2013). Fish oil decreases C-reactive protein/albumin ratio improving nutritional prognosis and plasma fatty acid profile in colorectal cancer patients. *Lipids* 48 (9), 879–888. doi:10.1007/s11745-013-3816-0

Page, M. J., Mckenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Moher, D., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 372, n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71

Pradelli, L., Mayer, K., Klek, S., Rosenthal, M. D., Povero, M., Heller, A. R., et al. (2023). Omega-3 fatty acids in parenteral nutrition - a systematic review with network metaanalysis on clinical outcomes. *Clin. Nutr.* 42 (4), 590–599. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2023.02.008

Silva, J. deA., Trindade, E. B., Fabre, M. E., Menegotto, V. M., Gevaerd, S., Buss, Z. daS., et al. (2012). Fish oil supplement alters markers of inflammatory and nutritional status in colorectal cancer patients. *Nutr. cancer* 64 (2), 267–273. doi:10.1080/01635581. 2012.643133

Singer, P., Shapiro, H., Theilla, M., Anbar, R., Singer, J., and Cohen, J. (2008). Antiinflammatory properties of omega-3 fatty acids in critical illness: Novel mechanisms and an integrative perspective. *Intensive Care Med.* 34 (9), 1580–1592. doi:10.1007/ s00134-008-1142-4

Sørensen, L. S., Rasmussen, S. L., Calder, P. C., Yilmaz, M. N., Schmidt, E. B., and Thorlacius-Ussing, O. (2020). Long-term outcomes after perioperative treatment with omega-3 fatty acid supplements in colorectal cancer. *BJS open* 4 (4), 678–684. doi:10. 1002/bjs5.50295

Sorensen, L. S., Thorlacius-Ussing, O., Rasmussen, H. H., Lundbye-Christensen, S., Calder, P. C., Lindorff-Larsen, K., et al. (2014a). Effects of perioperative supplementation with omega-3 fatty acids on leukotriene B₄ and leukotriene B₅ production by stimulated neutrophils in patients with colorectal cancer: A

randomized, placebo-controlled intervention trial. *Nutrients* 6 (10), 4043–4057. doi:10. 3390/nu6104043

Sorensen, L. S., Thorlacius-Ussing, O., Schmidt, E. B., Rasmussen, H. H., Lundbye-Christensen, S., Calder, P. C., et al. (2014b). Randomized clinical trial of perioperative omega-3 fatty acid supplements in elective colorectal cancer surgery. *Br. J. Surg.* 101 (2), 33–42. doi:10.1002/bjs.9361

Stavrinou, P. S., Andreou, E., Aphamis, G., Pantzaris, M., Ioannou, M., Patrikios, I. S., et al. (2020). The effects of a 6-month high dose omega-3 and omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids and antioxidant vitamins supplementation on cognitive function and functional capacity in older adults with mild cognitive impairment. *Nutrients* 12 (2), 325. doi:10.3390/nu12020325

Sterne, J. A., and Davey Smith, G. (2001). Sifting the evidence-what's wrong with significance tests? *BMJ Clin. Res. ed.*) 322 (7280), 226–231. doi:10.1136/bmj.322. 7280.226

Sung, H., Ferlay, J., Siegel, R. L., Laversanne, M., Soerjomataram, I., Jemal, A., et al. (2021). Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 71 (3), 209–249. doi:10. 3322/caac.21660

Tabata, T., Hazama, S., Yoshino, S., and Oka, M. (1999). Th2 subset dominance among peripheral blood T lymphocytes in patients with digestive cancers. *Am. J. Surg.* 177 (3), 203–208. doi:10.1016/s0002-9610(99)00004-5

Tatsumi, H., Ura, H., Ikeda, S., Yamaguchi, K., Katsuramaki, T., Asai, Y., et al. (2003). Surgical influence on TH1/TH2 balance and monocyte surface antigen expression and its relation to infectious complications. *World J. Surg.* 27 (5), 522–528. doi:10.1007/ s00268-003-6813-2

Trabal, J., Leyes, P., Forga, M., and Maurel, J. (2010). Potential usefulness of an EPAenriched nutritional supplement on chemotherapy tolerability in cancer patients without overt malnutrition. *Nutr. Hosp.* 25 (5), 736–740. Volpato, M., and Hull, M. A. (2018). Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids as adjuvant therapy of colorectal cancer. *Cancer metastasis Rev.* 37 (2-3), 545–555. doi:10.1007/s10555-018-9744-y

Wan, X., Wang, W., Liu, J., and Tong, T. (2014). Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.* 14, 135. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-14-135

Wang, Y., Liu, R., Chang, M., Wei, W., Guo, Y., Jin, Q., et al. (2021). Does omega-3 PUFA-enriched oral nutritional intervention benefit cancer patients receiving chemo (radio) therapy? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Crit. Rev. food Sci. Nutr.* 2021, 1–16. doi:10.1080/10408398. 2021.1984199

Weimann, A., Braga, M., Carli, F., Higashiguchi, T., Hübner, M., Klek, S., et al. (2021). ESPEN practical guideline: Clinical nutrition in surgery. *Clin. Nutr.* 40 (7), 4745–4761. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2021.03.031

Xie, H., and Chang, Y. N. (2016). Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in the prevention of postoperative complications in colorectal cancer: A meta-analysis. *Oncotargets Ther.* 9, 7435-7443. doi:10.2147/OTT.S113575

Zhang, X., Chen, H., Lu, Y., Xu, C., Yao, W., Xu, L., et al. (2020). Prevention of oxaliplatin-related neurotoxicity by ω -3 PUFAs: A double-blind randomized study of patients receiving oxaliplatin combined with capecitabine for colon cancer. *Medicine* 99 (50), e23564. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000023564

Zhou, Q., Su, S., You, W., Wang, T., Ren, T., and Zhu, L. (2021). Systemic inflammation response index as a prognostic marker in cancer patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 38 cohorts. *Dose Response* 19 (4), 15593258211064744. doi:10.1177/15593258211064744

Zhu, M. W., Tang, D. N., Hou, J., Wei, J. M., Hua, B., Sun, J. H., et al. (2012). Impact of fish oil enriched total parenteral nutrition on elderly patients after colorectal cancer surgery. *Chin. Med. J.* 125 (2), 178–181.