Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Shan Cen, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

REVIEWED BY Johannes Zuegg, The University of Queensland, Australia Frank Schweizer, University of Manitoba, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Jian Li, igian.li@monash.edu Tony Velkov, igi tony.velkov@monash.edu

RECEIVED 03 February 2023 ACCEPTED 02 June 2023 PUBLISHED 12 June 2023

CITATION

Koh AJJ, Thombare V, Hussein M, Rao GG, Li J and Velkov T (2023), Bifunctional antibiotic hybrids: A review of clinical candidates. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14:1158152. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1158152

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Koh, Thombare, Hussein, Rao, Li and Velkov. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Bifunctional antibiotic hybrids: A review of clinical candidates

Augustine Jing Jie Koh¹, Varsha Thombare², Maytham Hussein², Gauri G. Rao³, Jian Li^{4*} and Tony Velkov^{1,2*}

¹Department of Biochemistry and Pharmacology, School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIP, Australia, ²Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Department of Pharmacology, Monash University, Parkville, VIP, Australia, ³Division of Pharmacotherapy and Experimental Therapeutics, Eshelman School of Pharmacy, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, United States, ⁴Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Department of Microbiology, Monash University, Parkville, VIP, Australia

Antibiotic resistance is a top threat to human health and a priority across the globe. This problematic issue is accompanied by the decline of new antibiotics in the pipeline over the past 30 years. In this context, an urgent need to develop new strategies to combat antimicrobial resistance is in great demand. Lately, among the possible approaches used to deal with antimicrobial resistance is the covalent ligation of two antibiotic pharmacophores that target the bacterial cells through a dissimilar mode of action into a single hybrid molecule, namely hybrid antibiotics. This strategy exhibits several advantages, including better antibacterial activity, overcoming the existing resistance towards individual antibiotics, and may ultimately delay the onset of bacterial resistance. This review sheds light on the latest development of the dual antibiotic hybrids pipeline, their potential mechanisms of action, and challenges in their use.

KEYWORDS

antibiotic resistance, drug combinations, hybrid antibiotics, antibiotic pipeline, drug synthesis

1 Introduction: the rise of antibiotic resistance

The spread of antibiotic resistance has substantially diminished the efficacies of multiple clinical antibiotics in recent times owing to the emergence and proliferation of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacterial pathogens (Aminov, 2010; Aslam et al., 2018; Annunziato, 2019). Six pathogenic species, collectively designated ESKAPE (Enterococcus faecium, Staphyloccocus aureus, Klebsiella pneumonia, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Escherichia coli) were ranked by the World Health Organization (WHO) as high-priority pathogens because of their ability to "escape" multiple antibiotics via resistance mechanisms including enzymatic inactivation, active efflux, and target modification, which can be genetically innate or acquired via horizontal gene transfer (Santajit and Indrawattana, 2016; Mulani et al., 2019; Zhen et al., 2019; de Oliveira et al., 2020; Cardoso et al., 2021). Hence, it is projected the annual mortality rate of antibiotic resistance (10 million) would supersede that of cancer (8.2 million) by 2050 (Dadgostar, 2019; Morris and Cerceo, 2020). This blight is exacerbated by the dearth of the antibiotic pipeline, in which the number of new antibiotics developed has steadily declined over the last 3 decades (Cardoso et al., 2021). With insufficient effective antibiotics, invasive and immunosuppressive treatments would be heavily restricted, and healthcare systems would find themselves extensively overwhelmed by the spread of MDR infections (Aslam



et al., 2018; Piret and Boivin, 2021). While prudent stewardship of antibiotics may mitigate resistance, it remains paramount to expand the library of treatment options to compensate for various antibiotics rendered obsolete over the years. One of the means to revive antibiotic efficacy is through antibiotic combinations between synthetic antibiotics and/or last-resort antibiotics.

2 Antibiotic combination therapy

Co-administration of multiple antibiotics has gained increased popularity because the likelihood of pathogens developing resistance against two or more antibiotics concurrently is surmised to be extensively lower than against a single antibiotic administered (Mulani et al., 2019). In addition, any synergistic activity effectuated by the antibiotic combination far exceeds the antibacterial activity of either individual antibiotic in the combination (Figure 1) (Mulani et al., 2019; Theuretzbacher, 2020). Synergy occurs when antibiotics in the cocktail bind two or more targets, or inhibit different vital bacterial metabolic pathways simultaneously, inflicting substantial mortal damage on the bacterial population (Pokrovskaya and Baasov, 2010). However, antibiotic combination therapy incurs significant administrative and therapeutic monitoring costs as *in-vitro* synergy might not necessarily translate to the same effect in-vivo owing to a myriad of factors, including non-complementary pharmacodynamic/ pharmacokinetic properties, which could have the unintended effect of exacerbating toxicity (Rybap and Mcgrath, 1996; Tamma et al., 2012). An example of antibiotic combinations augmenting toxic side effects is aminoglycoside/cephalosporin combinations, which aggravate nephrotoxicity and thereby, restrict their clinical use (Mannion et al., 1981).

In a cocktail treatment of antibiotics, one of the antibiotics might be short-acting and readily inactivated metabolically or excreted, have different bacterial efflux susceptibilities, or have different tissue distributions compared to the other, where one antibiotic component is scarcely distributed, causing the other component to become vulnerable to resistance development (Domalaon et al., 2018). Differing antibiotic administration routes would also be a cause of inconvenience for patients (Fisher et al., 2020). Furthermore, different antibiotics be chemically may incompatible with one another when mixed. In the case of aminoglycoside/β-lactam co-administration, mixing some aminoglycosides (i.e., gentamicin, tobramycin) with certain β lactams (i.e., carbenicillin, penicillin, and ticarcillin) in the same infusion fluids results in unwanted side reactions, producing inactive complexes (Kradjan and Burger, 1980; Tindula et al., 1983). The extent of inactivation is contingent on the β -lactam concentration, contact duration, and temperature (Aminoglycoside antibiotics, 2006).

3 Dual hybrid antibiotic therapy

Through scientific ingenuity, the idea of dual hybrid antibiotics materialized. The underlying objective behind ligating two antibiotics together via metabolically stable tethers is to construct a singular heterodimeric entity with a fixed pharmacokinetic profile while retaining the antibacterial mechanisms of the constituent pharmacophores. This could improve on-site targeting, impede bacterial efflux, sterically protect constituent pharmacophores from enzymatic degradation and reduce toxicity when (Pokrovskaya administered in-vivo and Baasov. 2010: Theuretzbacher, 2020). One pharmacophore could enhance the bioactivity of the neighbouring pharmacophore to which resistance is developed, potentially expanding its antibacterial spectrum and enabling rapid clearance of pathogens (Pokrovskaya et al., 2009). Moreover, different antibiotic targets (i.e., enzymes, precursor metabolites) can be involved in the same metabolic pathways and are in close physical proximity, which can

Candidate	Hybrid class	Molecular weight (Da)	Developer	Mode of action	Current status of clinical development	Reference
TD-1792 (Cefilavancin)	Vancomycin- cephalosporin	1984	Theravance (acquired by R-Pharm)	Binding PBPs and Lipid II	Phase 3, recruiting for cSSSI since 2016	adisinsight, (2022)
TD-1607		2,170	_		Discontinued in 2021 after two Phase 1 trials, (NCT01791049, NCT01949103, 2013)	Surur and Sun, (2021); Butler and Paterson, (2020); NCT01791049 (2013); NCT01949103 (2013)
TNP-2092	Rifamycin- Fluoroquinolone	1,205	Cumbre Pharmaceuticals (acquired by TenNor Therapeutics)	Binding RNAP and type II topoisomerases	Phase 2 completed in 2020 for ABSSSI (NCT03964493, 2019)	NCT03964493 (2019)
					Phase 1 completed in 2022 for PJI (NCT04294862, 2020)	NCT04294862 (2020)
TNP-2098	Rifamycin- Nitroimidazole	944	TenNor Therapeutics	Binding RNAP and DNA	Phase 2 for <i>H. pylori</i> , vaginosis and CDAD ongoing since 2021/2022	Ma et al. (2022)
DNV-3681	Oxazolidinone- Fluoroquinolone	627	Morphochem AG (acquired by Deinove)	Binding ribosomes and type II topoisomerase	Phase 2 (NCT03988855), recruiting for CDAD since 2019	NCT03988855 (2019)
Cadazolid		586	Actelion (Acquired by Johnson & Johnson)		Discontinued in 2018 after two phase 3 trials (NCT01983683, NCT01987895, 2013)	fiercebiotech, (2018); Butler and Paterson, (2020); NCT01987895 (2013); NCT01983683 (1983)

TABLE 1 Dual hybrid antibiotic clinical candidates.

be readily exploited with hybridized antibiotics through rapid simultaneous binding, readily impairing further development of resistance (Klahn and Brönstrup, 2017). To date, six dual hybrids were reported to have entered clinical trials (Table 1) (World Health Organization, 2021; fiercebiotech, 2018; Surur and Sun, 2021). Commercialization of any of these hybrids would significantly support research interest in developing hybrid antibiotics and provide humanity with a propitious advantage in overcoming the overlooked pandemic of antibiotic resistance. The following section summarizes the synthetic schemes, structural pharmacology, and antibacterial features, mechanisms of dual hybrid antibiotics presently in ongoing clinical evaluation.

3.1 Glycopeptide hybrid candidates

The peptidoglycan cell wall is frequently exploited for antibiotic targeting due to its indispensability in protecting bacteria from osmotic lysis (Nikolaidis et al., 2014; Karas et al., 2020). During the late stage of peptidoglycan synthesis, membrane-bound precursors lipid II shuffle *N*-acetylglucosamine and *N*-acetylmuramic acid to the periplasmic space for peptidoglycan chain elongation. The elongated chains are cross-linked with the main chains, catalyzed by penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) (Nikolaidis et al., 2014). β -Lactams (e.g., penicillin G, methicillin) and glycopeptides (e.g., vancomycin) target this critical stage by binding PBPs and lipid II, respectively (Sauvage and Terrak, 2016).

Despite the fact that the discovery of additional β -lactam classes and the development of related β -lactam derivatives (i.e., $3^{rd}/4^{th}/5^{th}$ generation cephalosporins and carbapenems), the expression of stronger classes of β -lactamases (i.e., extended-spectrum β lactamases, and carbapenemases), low-affinity PBPs (e.g., PBP2a from methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*, MRSA) and overexpression of efflux pumps continue to substantially diminish β -lactam efficacy (Fisher et al., 2005; Worthington and Melander, 2013; Bush and Bradford, 2016; Fisher and Mobashery, 2016). Due to widespread β lactam-resistance, glycopeptides have been administered as last resort alternatives (McCormick et al., 1955; Bush and Bradford, 2016; Cong et al., 2020).

However, even glycopeptide efficacy has been increasingly undermined by the emergence of vancomycin-intermediate S. aureus (VISA), and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE) strains expressing seven resistance operons (VanA, VanB, VanC, VanD, VanE, VanF, and VanG) responsible for producing modified lipid II (Jubeh et al., 2020). VISA exhibits intermediate resistance to vancomycin via the excessive production of lipid II to sequester the glycopeptides (Sujatha and Praharaj, 2012; Butler et al., 2014). Moreover, the majority of VRE strains exhibit the VanAphenotype, expressing lipid II with D-Ala-D-Lac epitopes of which vancomycin has overwhelmingly reduced binding affinity (Butler et al., 2014; Blaskovich et al., 2018). Expression of D-Ala-D-Lac is made possible primarily via vanA-operon expression and capable of being transferred interspecies via conjugation, which has led to the emergence of vancomycin-resistant S. aureus (VRSA) strains (Courvalin, 2006; Périchon and Courvalin, 2009; Sujatha and



Praharaj, 2012). Therefore, it was surmised that to avert resistance to both β -lactams and glycopeptides, conjugating their pharmacophores to exploit the close molecular proximity of PBPs and lipid II could enable augmented bactericidal potency. Thus, Long and co-workers synthesized two β -lactam-glycopeptide hybrids, TD-1792 1 and TD-1607 4, from the pharmacophores of vancomycin 3 and the 3rd generation cephalosporin derivative THRX-169797 2 (Figure 2) (Long et al., 2008a; Long et al., 2008b).

Among the two vancomycin-cephalosporin hybrids, TD-1607 4, was discontinued after two phase 1 trials (NCT01791049, NCT01949103) possibly owing to poor tolerability, while TD-1792 1 cleared phase 2 trials for the treatment of Gram-positive complicated skin and soft-tissue infections (Butler and Paterson, 2020; NCT01791049, 2013; NCT01949103, 2013; Long et al., 2008b; Umscheid et al., 2011). Consistent with its *in vitro* potencies, TD-1792 exhibited strong antibacterial activity *in vivo* (\geq 1-log₁₀CFU kill) against all Gram-positive strains from methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus*, methicillin-susceptible *S. epidermidis*, methicillin-resistant *S. epidermidis*, penicillin-susceptible *S. pneumoniae*, *S. pyrogenes*, MRSA and VISA present in the murine neutropenic thigh infection models investigated by Stryjewski and co-workers (Stryjewski et al., 2012a). TD-1792 is administered intravenously IV), possesses a halflife of 9–13-h, is moderately bound to plasma proteins (~50%) in humans, and is primarily excreted via renal filtration. Compared to vancomycin, fewer adverse effects (pruritus, headaches, gastrointestinal disorders, etc) were reported in the TD-1792 treatment group in the phase two safety and efficacy study carried out by Stryjewski and co-workers (Stryjewski et al., 2012b). Ergo, TD-1792 is reported to have successfully progressed to phase three trials for Gram-positive complicated skin and soft tissue infections (adisinsight, 2022; Butler and Paterson, 2020).

Synthesis of TD-1792 1 (Figure 3) was commenced by coupling N-Boc-protected bromopropylamine 5 with the trityl-protected aminothiazolyl residue 6 to yield intermediate 7, which was then subjected to ester hydrolysis and chlorination with N-chlorosuccinimide (NCS), synthesizing Intermediate 8. Next, 8 was conjugated with 7-amino-3-chloromethyl-3-cephem-4carboxylic acid p-methoxybenzyl ester 9. The resulting intermediate 10 was coupled with pyridine 11 and deprotected with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to yield THRX-169797 derivative 12. Finally, vancomycin 3 was conjugated directly to 12 through amide linkage to produce 1 (Long et al., 2008a). Cefilavancin effectively disrupts late-stage peptidoglycan synthesis via the inhibition of PBP and binding of lipid II D-Ala-D-Ala epitope, preventing peptidoglycan elongation and cross-linking concurrently



(Figure 10A). Compared to penicillin G, cefilavancin exhibits substantial stability to staphylococcal β -lactamases congruent with that of THRX-169797 and is significantly unaffected by coexisting resistance mechanisms against multiple β -lactams (i.e., penicillin, methicillin, and oxacillin) and other antibiotic classes like fluoroquinolones (Blais et al., 2012; Stryjewski et al., 2012b). However, cefilavancin was primarily ineffective against Gram-negative pathogens just like vancomycin, owing to the impermeability of the outer membrane (Zhanel et al., 2008; Tyrrell et al., 2012).

3.2 Rifamycin hybrid candidates

Crucial bacterial processes also exploited by antibiotics include chromosomal replication and gene expression. As bacteria have small-sized circular genomes, DNA replication and transcription occur in proximity (Proshkin et al., 1979; Merrikh et al., 2012). Hence, the neighbouring enzymes involved in DNA replication and expression can be readily exploited by the relevant antibiotic classes/ families in combination or tethered together. The rifamycin family (Figure 4B) is a potent antibiotic class employed against biofilm pathogens, functioning as DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RNAP) inhibitors (Ma et al., 2007). However, resistance against the rifamycin developed rapidly as point mutations resulted in the production of low-affinity bacterial RNA polymerases (Goldstein, 2014), requiring the use of rifamycin in combination therapy to potentiate antibacterial activity (Ma and Lynch, 2016; Fisher et al., Quinolones (and their fluorinated 2020). derivatives, fluoroquinolones, Figure 4A) are broad-spectrum bactericidal antibiotics that inhibit the enzymes topoisomerase IV and DNA gyrase, arresting DNA replication and transcription (Pham et al., 2019). Nevertheless, significant resistance against quinolones evolved via chromosomal mutations, and the acquisition of plasmids carrying relevant resistance genes. The overexpression of efflux pumps, mutations in DNA replication enzymes, and enzymatic drug modification substantially diminish quinolone efficacy, likewise prompting combination therapy to mitigate resistance (Aldred et al., 2014). However, as some rifamycin/ quinolone cocktails exhibit a degree of antagonism *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* (Neu, 1991; Murillo et al., 2008; Balasubramanian et al., 2012), it was postulated that the synthesis of rifamycin-quinolone hybrids could effectively overcome both rifamycin and quinolone resistance by preventing undesired drug-drug interactions (Fisher et al., 2020).

One rifampicin-fluoroquinolone hybrid, TNP-2092, passed phase two trials (NCT03964493) for the treatment of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI) and was designated as an orphan drug for treating prosthetic joint infections (PJIs), which are often associated with substantial morbidity rates and healthcare expenses (NCT03964493, 2019; Fisher et al., 2020; Tande and Patel, 2014). TNP-2092 can be administered either intravenously or orally (World Health Organization, 2021). When administered orally (PO), TNP-2092 was observed to accumulate in the gastrointestinal tract due to low absorption, resulting in reduced systemic exposure and bioavailability compared to IV administration, and is excreted in feces. Ergo, TNP-2092 exhibits considerable potency in C. difficile murine infection models, capable of clearing infections at low doses (6.67 mg/kg). Moreover, TNP-2092 was observed to exert potent activity (MIC ≤ 0.12 µg/ml) against urease-producing bacteria, including Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) and Salmonella, compared to rifaximin which is conventionally applied for these infections. The expedited clearance of urease-producing species considerably alleviates hepatic infections associated with liver cirrhosis. Hence, TNP-2092 is placed under clinical investigation for treating gastrointestinal and hepatic infections (Yuan et al., 2020).

TNP-2092 13 was designed via tethering the C-3 and C-8 side chains of rifampicin and the ciprofloxacin derivative ABT-719, respectively (Figure 5) (Ding et al., 2007; Ma and Lynch, 2016). Large-scale synthesis of 13 (Figure 6) commenced with the five-step



synthesis of the linker component 19 from the precursor (S)-1benzyl-3-hydroxypyrrolidine 14. Secondly, the linker 19 was conjugated with the ciprofloxacin derivative 20 under reflux in acetonitrile, producing 21, which was subjected to ester hydrolysis and deprotection with TFA, yielding 22. Finally, 22 is conjugated with the rifampin derivative 3-formalrifamycin 23 by converting the piperidine amino group of 22 into a hydrazine moiety, that is coupled with the C-3 aldehyde moiety of 23 to yield 13 (Ding et al., 2007). Biochemical characterizations of TNP-2092 indicated simultaneous inhibitory binding of RNAP and the type II topoisomerases, DNA gyrase, and DNA topoisomerase IV (Figure 10B), including common quinolone-resistant variants of the latter, accounting for potentiated bactericidal activity (Robertson et al., 2008). This enhanced activity of TNP-2092 was also confirmed by susceptibility tests involving a panel of S. aureus isolates carrying rifamycin or quinolone resistance alleles (or both), from which potency was observed (MIC $\leq 2 \,\mu g \, m l^{-1}$) against resistant isolates with either or both types of resistance alleles relative to rifampicin and ciprofloxacin, per se and in combination (MICs $\geq 8 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$) (Ma and Lynch, 2016; Fisher et al., 2020). Moreover, TNP-2092 is invulnerable to expulsion by fluoroquinolone efflux pumps, likely because of steric interference from the rifamycin pharmacophore, further verifying its low propensity for resistance development (Ma and Lynch, 2016). However, TNP-2092 shares a similar antibacterial spectrum of coverage as rifampicin, being less effective against most Gram-negative species owing to the limited permeability of the outer membrane to rifamycins (Yuan et al., 2020).

Apart from fluoroquinolones, rifamycins were also hybridized with nitroimidazoles to overcome rifamycin resistance (Ma et al., 2022). Nitroimidazoles (E.g., metronidazole 25) are broad-spectrum antimicrobials utilized to treat anaerobic bacterial (Gram-positive and -negative), protozoal, and parasitic infections by yielding free radicals that inflict oxidative damage to bacterial DNA and other proteins (Mital, 2009; Ang et al., 2017). The rifamycinnitroimidazole hybrid, TNP-2198, was produced by Ma and coworkers via tethering the C-3/C-4 and N-1 side chains of rifabutin and metronidazole, respectively, via an ethylene linker (Figure 5). Ma and co-workers synthesized TNP-2198 24 in milligram to kilogram quantities via a synthetic scheme (Figure 7) commencing from metronidazole 25. Firstly, 25 was subjected to Swern oxidation (using oxalyl chloride dissolved in DMSO, followed by quenching with triethylamine) to yield 26. Next, 26 was condensed with 4-hydroxypiperidine 27 and reduced using sodium triacetoxyborohydride in methanol to yield intermediate



Structures of rifamycin hybrids TNP-2092 13 and TNP-2198 24.



28, which is used to produce intermediate 29 via Swern oxidation. Lastly, the ketone moiety of 29 is coupled with the C-3/C-4 amine and imine moieties of 3-amino-4-deoxy-4-imino-rifamycin S 30 to produce TNP-2198 24 (Omura and Swern, 1978; Ma et al., 2022).

TNP-2198 was observed to exert 4-fold greater potency than metronidazole against rifamycin-resistant *H. pylori* (MIC 0.5 μ g ml⁻¹ and 2 μ g ml⁻¹, respectively) and 64–500-fold potent activity against both lab-generated rifamycin- and ciprofloxacin-resistant *C. difficile* strains, suggesting the ability of the metronidazole scaffold to enhance the bioactivity of the adjacent

rifabutin pharmacophore. The synergy between the rifabutin and metronidazole pharmacophores of TNP-2198 was verified via timekill assays in which TNP-2198 displayed rapid bactericidal activity (\geq 3.0 log₁₀ CFU) compared to either rifamycin, metronidazole monotherapy or 1:1 M rifamycin/metronidazole combination. As determined by X-ray crystallography, synergistic bactericidal mechanisms occur via the rifampicin pharmacophore occupying the RNAP RNA exit cleft and the metronidazole scaffold simultaneously binding to the DNA template strand in the RNAP active center cleft via hydrogen bonding. Furthermore, under microaerophilic/anaerobic conditions, nitroreductase-



catalyzed reduction of the metronidazole nitro $(-NO_2)$ moiety yields additional toxic TNP-2198 free radicals forming fatal covalent crosslinks with other bacterial nucleic acids and proteins (Figure 10C).

Similar to TNP-2092, TNP-2198 exhibits high tissue distribution in the stomach, large intestine, gums, and vaginal tissue. Consistent with its potent in vitro activity, TNP-2198 prolonged the survival rate of hamster C. difficile infection models (at 100% for 6 days) subjected to a low dosing regimen of 5 mg/kg compared to metronidazole monotherapy at 100 mg/kg from which 100% mortality was observed following 4 days. Moreover, TNP-2198 substantially reduced mean bacterial titers at low concentrations (5 mg/kg) to levels (~2.8 log₁₀ CFU) comparable to that of the potent anti-H. pylori macrolide clarithromycin (10 mg/kg) in H. pylori murine infection models (Ma et al., 2022). Nitroimidazoles are known for exhibiting cytotoxicity and genotoxicity, warranting their development as prodrugs for administration (Nepali et al., 2019). Promisingly, TNP-2198 exhibits lower distribution in the central nervous system, which eliminates neurotoxicity associated with the metronidazole pharmacophore, possibly due to the hybrid's larger molecular weight preventing access through the bloodbrain barrier and the rifabutin pharmacophore enabling better bacterial targeting (Hartmann et al., 1967; Koike et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2022). Hence, TNP-2198 has since entered phase two trials for treating vaginosis and gastrointestinal infections caused by H. pylori and C. difficile (World Health Organization, 2021).

3.3 Oxazolidinone hybrid candidates

Oxazolidinones (Figure 4C) are synthetic antibiotics derived from 2-oxazolidone, which occupy the P-site of the 50 S ribosomal subunit, inhibiting the assembly of the translation initiation complex and the translocation of peptidyl-tRNA from the A-site to the P-site, impeding mRNA translation (Bozdogan and Appelbaum, 2004). However, extensive resistance against oxazolidinones occurs via target modification (i.e., 23 S rRNA mutations in the 50 S subunit) and efflux (Brenciani et al., 2022). Several research groups have synthesized several oxazolidinone-fluoroquinolone hybrids, which were investigated for their potential to overcome oxazolidinone resistance and expand the spectrum to include Gramnegative pathogens, considering the ability of fluoroquinolones to permeate the outer membrane (Diver, 1989; Gordeev et al., 2003; Hubschwerlen et al., 2003). The oxazolidinone-fluoroquinolone hybrids with a 4-piperidinemethanol-derived linker were noted to display augmented potency and a reduced propensity for resistance development (Liu et al., 2019). Presently two such hybrids, cadazolid and DNV-3681 (Figure 8), cleared phase one trials (World Health Organization, 2021).

Cadazolid, a tedizolid-ciprofloxacin hybrid, was investigated as an alternative antibiotic to vancomycin and metronidazole for treating C. difficile-associated diarrhea (CDAD) (Locher et al., 2014). Inhibition observed from DNA topoisomerase assays was minimal compared to fluoroquinolones moxifloxacin and ciprofloxacin, suggesting cadazolid is primarily a protein synthesis inhibitor with weak inhibition of DNA synthesis as a secondary effect. This was affirmed via cryo-electron microscopy analysis, indicating that cadazolid occupies the ribosomal P-site via its oxazolidinone pharmacophore, which consequently projects the fluoroquinolone pharmacophore into the A-site, sterically preventing the elongation of the peptide chain by blocking the next aminoacyl-tRNA from occupying the A-site of the ribosomal translation complex (Scaiola et al., 2019). Cadazolid is administered orally and accumulates in the colon due to its poor aqueous solubility, resulting in low plasma concentrations and its primary excretion in feces (Baldoni et al., 2014). However, after two identically designed phase three trials (NCT01983683, NCT01987895) involving the treatment of CDAD (Gerding et al., 2019), further clinical developments were reported to be discontinued owing to its failure to achieve non-inferiority to vancomycin in one of the two trials (Theuretzbacher, 2020; fiercebiotech, 2018; Butler and Paterson, 2020).

DNV-3681 32 is another oxazolidinone-fluoroquinolone hybrid, comprised of linezolid and ciprofloxacin. It can either be administered PO, or IV as a water-soluble prodrug DNV-





3837 33 (Figure 9) [NO_PRINTED_FORM]Promisingly, DNV-3681 exhibited 4-8-fold greater potency (MIC_{50/90} 0.032 μ g ml⁻¹/0.064 μ g ml⁻¹) against 114 *C. difficile* isolates compared to cadazolid (MIC_{50/90} 0.125 μ g ml⁻¹/0.5 μ g ml⁻¹) in susceptibility tests conducted by Rashid and co-workers, suggesting a comparatively stronger inhibition of DNA replication and transcription than cadazolid (Figure 10D) (Rashid et al., 2014). Thus, DNV-3681 effectively overcomes dual quinolone-oxazolidinone-resistant *C. difficile* isolates and exhibits superior efficacy compared to standard antibiotics for treating CDAD, including vancomycin, metronidazole, and ciprofloxacin (DNV3837, 2022; Freeman et al., 2017). In a phase one investigation conducted by Dalhoff and co-workers involving twelve healthy male volunteers, DNV-3681 displayed minimal systemic absorption and was generally well tolerated following IV administration. DNV-3681 is distributed readily in the colon, where it exhibits potent activity against Gram-positive organisms (e.g., *Clostridia, Enterococci*, and *S. aureus*) whilst leaving normal Gram-negative microflora generally unscathed (Dalhoff et al., 2015). Currently, DNV-3681 progressed to phase two trials (NCT03988855) for the treatment of CDAD (Kullar et al., 2020).



DNV-3681 inhibits both protein synthesis and DNA replication (D, Green).

4 Future directions

The concept of dual-acting hybrid antibiotics currently holds significant promise in overcoming bacterial resistance since some compounds have progressed to phase three (E.g., cadazolid and cefilavancin). However, this novel approach is not without challenges. For instance, the complexity of designing chemical synthetic procedures may affect overall yields and potentially impair the intrinsic activity of the hybrids synthesized (Ma and Lynch, 2016; Domalaon et al., 2018; Lungu et al., 2022). Other obstacles involve the drug permeability impediments in Gramnegative bacteria due to increased molecular weight (> 600 Da), as well as the meticulous work needed to understand the mode of action and determine the benefits of the hybrid compounds over conventional antibiotics, such as the possibility of delaying the evolution of resistance (Pokrovskaya et al., 2009; Shavit et al., 2017; Koh Jing Jie et al., 2022). To remedy some of the challenges, the antibiotic hybridization strategy has expanded to include conjugation to adjuvants - small molecules or biologics without intrinsic antibacterial activity but potentiate antibiotic activity through anti-resistance mechanisms, bypassing membrane barriers or immune cell stimulation (Wright, 2016; Melander and Melander, 2017; Koh Jing Jie et al., 2022). To illustrate, siderophore adjuvants have been conjugated with antibiotics (E.g., cefiderocol, Fetroja[®]) to successfully bypass the outer membrane barrier of Gram-negative bacteria by exploiting the iron-uptake pathway (Fetroja FDA, 2022). With improvements in synthetic procedures, conjugation of adjuvants with the current dual hybrid candidates may assist in overcoming membrane permeability issues. Despite the challenges, the antibiotic hybrid strategy remains a viable approach to expanding the antimicrobial arsenal and minimizing the severe attrition experienced in the antibiotic discovery pipeline. As more data could be unraveled in the future, this field could be broadened and thereby, there will be a great optimism that human ingenuity will pave the way for the next generations of hybrids that can equip the antibiotic arsenal.

Author contributions

AK; conceptualization and investigation, AK and VT; resources, VT; molecular structure generation, AK; writing—original draft preparation, VT, MH, GR, and JL; writing—review and editing, TV; supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

GR, TV, and JL are supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, award number R01AI146241. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. TV is an MPT Connect REDI Fellow. JL is a National Australian Health and Medical Research Council Senior Principle Research Fellow.

Acknowledgments

JL is an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Principal Research Fellow, and TV is an Australian NHMRC Industry Career Development Level 2 Research Fellow.

References

adisinsight Cefilavancin - theravance biopharma - AdisInsight. [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available at: https://adisinsight.springer.com/drugs/800020586.

Aldred, K. J., Kerns, R. J., and Osheroff, N. (2014). Mechanism of quinolone action and resistance, *Biochemistry*, 53. 1565–1574.

Aminoglycoside antibiotics (2006). "Aminoglycoside antibiotics," in Meyler's side effects of drugs: The international encyclopedia of adverse drug reactions and interactions Netherlands, (Elsevier), 118–136.

Aminov, R. I. (2010). A brief history of the antibiotic era: Lessons learned and challenges for the future. *Front. Microbiol.* 1, 134. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2010.00134

Ang, C. W., Jarrad, A. M., Cooper, M. A., and Blaskovich, M. A. T. (2017). Nitroimidazoles: Molecular fireworks that combat a broad spectrum of infectious Diseases. J. Med. Chem. 60 (18), 7636–7657. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.7b00143

Annunziato (2019). Strategies to overcome antimicrobial resistance (amr) making use of non-essential target inhibitors: A review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20 (23), 5844. doi:10.3390/ ijms20235844

Aslam, B., Wang, W., Arshad, M. I., Khurshid, M., Muzammil, S., Rasool, M. H., et al. (2018). Antibiotic resistance: A rundown of a global crisis. *Infect. Drug Resist* 11, 1645–1658. doi:10.2147/IDR.S173867

Balasubramanian, V., Solapure, S., Gaonkar, S., Mahesh Kumar, K. N., Shandil, R. K., Deshpande, A., et al. (2012). Effect of coadministration of moxifloxacin and rifampin on *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in a murine aerosol infection model. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56 (6), 3054–3057. doi:10.1128/AAC.06383-11

Baldoni, D., Gutierrez, M., Timmer, W., and Dingemanse, J. (2014). Cadazolid, a novel antibiotic with potent activity against *Clostridium difficile*: Safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics in healthy subjects following single and multiple oral doses. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 69 (3), 706–714. doi:10.1093/jac/dkt401

Blais, J., Lewis, S. R., Krause, K. M., and Benton, B. M. (2012). Antistaphylococcal activity of TD-1792, a multivalent glycopeptide-cephalosporin antibiotic. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56 (3), 1584–1587. doi:10.1128/AAC.05532-11

Blaskovich, M. A. T., Hansford, K. A., Butler, M. S., Jia, Z., Mark, A. E., and Cooper, M. A. (2018). Developments in glycopeptide antibiotics. *ACS Infect. Dis.* 4 (5), 715–735. doi:10.1021/acsinfecdis.7b00258

Bozdogan, B., and Appelbaum, P. C. (2004). Oxazolidinones: Activity, mode of action, and mechanism of resistance. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 23, 113–119. doi:10.1016/j. ijantimicag.2003.11.003

Brenciani, A., Morroni, G., Schwarz, S., and Giovanetti, E. (2022). Oxazolidinones: Mechanisms of resistance and mobile genetic elements involved. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother*. 77, 2596–2621. doi:10.1093/jac/dkac263

Bush, K., and Bradford, P. A. (2016). β -Lactams and β -lactamase inhibitors: An overview. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* 6 (8), a025247. doi:10.1101/cshperspect. a025247

Butler, M. S., Hansford, K. A., Blaskovich, M. A. T., Halai, R., and Cooper, M. A. (2014).Glycopeptide antibiotics: Back to the future, *J. Antibiotics*, 67, 631–644. doi:10. 1038/ja.2014.111

Butler, M. S., and Paterson, D. L. (2020). Antibiotics in the clinical pipeline in, J. Antibiotics, 73, 329-364. October 2019. doi:10.1038/s41429-020-0291-8

Cardoso, P., Glossop, H., Meikle, T. G., Aburto-Medina, A., Conn, C. E., Sarojini, V., et al. (2021). Molecular engineering of antimicrobial peptides: Microbial targets, peptide motifs and translation opportunities. *Biophys. Rev. [Internet]* 13, 35–69. Available from. doi:10.1007/s12551-021-00784-y

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Cong, Y., Yang, S., and Rao, X. (2020). Vancomycin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: A review of case updating and clinical features. *J. Adv. Res.* 21, 169–176. doi:10.1016/j.jare.2019.10.005

Courvalin, P. Vancomycin resistance in gram-positive cocci clinical infectious Diseases [Internet]. Vol. 42, 2006. Available at: https://academic.oup.com/cid/article-abstract/42/Supplement_1/S25/275393

Dadgostar, P. (2019). Antimicrobial resistance: Implications and costs. Infect. Drug Resist Vol. 12, 3903–3910. doi:10.2147/IDR.\$234610

Dalhoff, A., Rashid, M. U., Kapsner, T., Panagiotidis, G., Weintraub, A., and Nord, C. E. (2015). Analysis of effects of MCB3681, the antibacterially active substance of prodrug MCB3837, on human resident microflora as proof of principle. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 21 (8), 767.e1-e4. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2015. 05.025

de Oliveira, D. M. P., Forde, B. M., Kidd, T. J., Harris, P. N. A., Schembri, M. A., Beatson, S. A., et al. (2020). Antimicrobial resistance in ESKAPE pathogens. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 33 (3), 001811. doi:10.1128/CMR.00181-19

Ding, C. Z., Ma, Z., Li, J., He, Y., Minor, K. P., Kim, I. H., et al. (2007). *R/S) Rifamycin derivatives, their preparations and pharmaceutical compositions.* Alexandria: U.S. Patent. No 7226931.

Diver, J. M. Quinolone uptake by bacteria and bacterial killing. *Rev. Infect. Dis.*, Rev Infect Dis [Internet]. 1989;11:941–946. doi:10.1093/clinids/11.supplement_5.s941

DNV3837 DEINOVE [internet]. [cited 2022 Sep 15]. Available from: https://www.deinove.com/en/pipeline/dnv3837.

Domalaon, R., Idowu, T., Zhanel, G. G., and Schweizer, F. (2018). Antibiotic hybrids: The next generation of agents and adjuvants against gram-negative pathogens? *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 31 (2), e00077. doi:10.1128/CMR.00077-17

Fetroja FDA Fetroja FDA approval history [internet]. [cited 2022 Oct 15]. Available at: https://www.drugs.com/history/fetroja.html.

fiercebiotech Johnson & Johnson scraps phase 3 antibiotic program acquired in \$30B Actelion takeover. [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2022 Sep 12]. Available at: https://www.fiercebiotech.com/biotech/j-j-scraps-phase-3-antibiotic-program-acquired-30b-actelion-takeover.

Fisher, C. R., Schmidt-Malan, S. M., Ma, Z., Yuan, Y., He, S., and Patel, R. (2020). *In vitro* activity of TNP-2092 against periprosthetic joint infection-associated staphylococci. *Diagn Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 97 (3), 115040. doi:10.1016/j. diagmicrobio.2020.115040

Fisher, J. F., Meroueh, S. O., and Mobashery, S. Bacterial resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics: Compelling opportunism, compelling opportunity, *compelling Oppor*. Vol. 105, *Chem. Rev.* 2005. p. 395. doi:10.1021/cr030102i

Fisher, J. F., and Mobashery, S. (2016). β -Lactam resistance mechanisms: Grampositive bacteria and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* 6 (5), a025221. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a025221

Freeman, J., Pilling, S., Vernon, J., and Wilcox, M. H. (2017). *In vitro* activities of MCB3681 and eight comparators against *Clostridium difficile* isolates with known ribotypes and diverse geographical spread. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 61 (3), e02077. doi:10.1128/AAC.02077-16

Gerding, D. N., Cornely, O. A., Grill, S., Kracker, H., Marrast, A. C., Nord, C. E., et al. (2019). Cadazolid for the treatment of *Clostridium difficile* infection: Results of two double-blind, placebo-controlled, non-inferiority, randomised phase 3 trials. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 19 (3), 265–274. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30614-5

Goldstein, B. P. (2014). Resistance to rifampicin: A review. J. Antibiot. (Tokyo) 67 (9), 625–630. doi:10.1038/ja.2014.107

Gordeev, M. F., Hackbarth, C., Barbachyn, M. R., Banitt, L. S., Gage, J. R., Luehr, G. W., et al. (2003). Novel oxazolidinone-quinolone hybrid antimicrobials. *Bioorg Med. Chem. Lett.* 13 (23), 4213–4216. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2003.07.021

Hartmann, G., Honikel, K. O., Knüsel, F., and Nüesch, J. (1967). The specific inhibition of the DNA-directed RNA synthesis by rifamycin. *Biochimica Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Nucleic Acids Protein Synthesis* 145 (3), 843–844. doi:10.1016/0005-2787(67)90147-5

Hubschwerlen, C., Specklin, J. L., Baeschlin, D., Schmitt, C., Müller, S., and Cappi, M. W. (2012). Oxazolidinone-quinolone hybrid antibiotics. Alexandria: U.S. Patent. No 8158797.

Hubschwerlen, C., Specklin, J. L., Baeschlin, D. K., Borer, Y., Haefeli, S., Sigwalt, C., et al. (2003). Structure-activity relationship in the oxazolidinone-quinolone hybrid series: Influence of the central spacer on the antibacterial activity and the mode of action. *Bioorg Med. Chem. Lett.* 13 (23), 4229–4233. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2003.07.028

Jubeh, B., Breijyeh, Z., and Karaman, R. (2020). Resistance of gram-positive bacteria to current antibacterial agents and overcoming approaches, 25. Switzerland: Molecules MDPI AG.

Karas, J. A., Chen, F., Schneider-Futschik, E. K., Kang, Z., Hussein, M., Swarbrick, J., et al. (2020). Synthesis and structure-activity relationships of teixobactin. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1459 (1), 86–105. doi:10.1111/nyas.14282

Klahn, P., and Brönstrup, M. (2017). Bifunctional antimicrobial conjugates and hybrid antimicrobials. Nat. Prod. Rep. R. Soc. Chem. 34, 832-885. doi:10.1039/c7np00006e

Koh Jing Jie, A., Hussein, M., Rao, G. G., Li, J., and Velkov, T. (2022). Drug repurposing approaches towards defeating multidrug-resistant gram-negative pathogens: Novel polymyxin/non-antibiotic combinations. *Pathogens* 11 (12), 1420. doi:10.3390/pathogens11121420

Koike, N., Kota, R., Naito, Y., Hayakawa, N., Matsuura, T., Hishiki, T., et al. (2020). 2-Nitroimidazoles induce mitochondrial stress and ferroptosis in glioma stem cells residing in a hypoxic niche. *Commun. Biol.* 3 (1), 450. doi:10.1038/s42003-020-01165-z

Kradjan, W. A., and Burger, R. (1980). *In vivo* inactivation of gentamicin by carbenicillin and ticarcillin. *Arch. Intern Med.* 140 (12), 1668–1670. doi:10.1001/archinte.1980.00330230114024

Kullar, R., Tran, M. C. N., and Goldstein, E. J. (2020). Investigational treatment agents for recurrent clostridioides difficile infection (rCDI). *J. Exp. Pharmacol.* 12, 371–384. doi:10.2147/JEP.S242959

Liu, L., Shao, L., Li, J., Cui, H., Li, B., Zhou, X., et al. (2019). Synthesis, antibacterial activities, mode of action and acute toxicity studies of new oxazolidinone-fluoroquinolone hybrids. *Molecules* 24 (8), 1641. doi:10.3390/molecules24081641

Locher, H. H., Caspers, P., Bruyère, T., Schroeder, S., Pfaff, P., Knezevic, A., et al. (2014). Investigations of the mode of action and resistance development of cadazolid, a new antibiotic for treatment of *Clostridium difficile* infections. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 58 (2), 901–908. doi:10.1128/AAC.01831-13

Long, D. D., Aggen, J. B., Chinn, J., Choi, S. K., Christensen, B. G., Fatheree, P. R., et al. (2008a). Exploring the positional attachment of glycopeptide/beta-lactam heterodimers. *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo)* 61 (10), 603–614. doi:10.1038/ja.2008.80

Long, D. D., Aggen, J. B., Christensen, B. G., Judice, J. K., Hegde, S. S., Kaniga, K., et al. (2008b). A multivalent approach to drug discovery for novel antibiotics. *J. Antibiot.* (*Tokyo*) 61 (10), 595–602. doi:10.1038/ja.2008.79

Lungu, I. A., Moldovan, O. L., Biriş, V., and Rusu, A. (2022). Fluoroquinolones hybrid molecules as promising antibacterial agents in the fight against antibacterial resistance. *Pharmaceutics* 14 (8), 1749. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics14081749

Ma, Z., Ginsberg, A. M., and Spigelman, M. (2007). "Antimycobacterium agents," in *Comprehensive medicinal chemistry II* (Netherlands: Elsevier), 699–730.

Ma, Z., He, S., Yuan, Y., Zhuang, Z., Liu, Y., Wang, H., et al. (2022). Design, synthesis, and characterization of TNP-2198, a dual-targeted rifamycin-nitroimidazole conjugate with potent activity against microaerophilic and anaerobic bacterial pathogens. *J. Med. Chem.* 65 (6), 4481–4495. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c02045

Ma, Z., and Lynch, A. S. (2016). Development of a dual-acting antibacterial agent (TNP-2092) for the treatment of persistent bacterial infections. *J. Med. Chem.* 59 (14), 6645–6657. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b00485

Mannion, J. C., Bloch, R., and Popovich, N. G. (1981). Cephalosporin-aminoglycoside synergistic nephrotoxicity: Fact or fiction? *Drug Intell. Clin. Pharm.* 15 (4), 248–256. doi:10.1177/106002808101500401

McCormick, M. H., Mcguire, J. M., Pittenger, G. E., Pittenger, R. C., and Stark, W. M. (1955). Vancomycin, a new antibiotic. I. Chemical and biologic properties. *Antibiot. Annu.* 3, 606–611.

Melander, R. J., and Melander, C. (2017). The challenge of overcoming antibiotic resistance: An adjuvant approach? ACS Infect. Dis. 3 (8), 559–563. doi:10.1021/acsinfecdis.7b00071

Merrikh, H., Zhang, Y., Grossman, A. D., and Wang, J. D. (2012). Replication-transcription conflicts in bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 10 (7), 449–458. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2800 Mital, A. (2009). Synthetic nitroimidazoles: Biological activities and mutagenicity relationships. *Sci. Pharm.* 77, 497–520. doi:10.3797/scipharm.0907-14

Morris, S., and Cerceo, E. (2020). Trends, epidemiology, and management of multidrug resistant gram-negative bacterial infections in the hospitalized setting. *Antibiotics* 9 (4), 196. doi:10.3390/antibiotics9040196

Mulani, M. S., Kamble, E. E., Kumkar, S. N., Tawre, M. S., and Pardesi, K. R. (2019). Emerging strategies to combat ESKAPE pathogens in the era of antimicrobial resistance: A review. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 539. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.00539

Murillo, O., Pachón, M. E., Euba, G., Verdaguer, R., Tubau, F., Cabellos, C., et al. (2008). Antagonistic effect of rifampin on the efficacy of high-dose levofloxacin in staphylococcal experimental foreign-body infection. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 52 (10), 3681–3686. doi:10.1128/AAC.00458-08

NCT01791049 (2013). TD-1607 SAD study in healthy subjects. [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01791049.

NCT01949103 (2013). TD-1607 MAD study in healthy subjects. [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01949103.

NCT01983683 Efficacy and safety of cadazolid versus vancomycin in subjects with Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea [internet]. [cited 2023 May 21]. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01983683.

NCT01987895 (2013). Efficacy and safety of cadazolid versus vancomycin in subjects with Clostridium difficile - associated diarrhea. [Internet]. [cited 2023 May 22]. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01987895.

NCT03964493 (2019). TNP-2092 to treat acute bacterial skin and skin structure infection (P2_ABSSSI). [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available at: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03964493.

NCT03988855 (2019). An Exploratory, Open-Label, Oligo-Center Study to Evaluate the Safety, Efficacy, and Pharmacokinetics of Intravenous DNV3837 in Subjects With Clostridium Difficile Infection. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT03988855 (Accessed June 6, 2023).

NCT04294862 (2020). Tissue distribution, pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability after a single dose of TNP-2092 in participants undergoing primary total hip or knee arthroplasty. [Internet]. [cited 2023 May 22]. Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT04294862.

Nepali, K., Lee, H. Y., and Liou, J. P. (2019). Nitro-group-containing drugs. J. Med. Chem. 62 (6), 2851–2893. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b00147

Neu, H. C. (1991). Synergy and antagonism of combinations with quinolones. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 10 (4), 255–261. doi:10.1007/BF01966998

Nikolaidis, I., Favini-Stabile, S., and Dessen, A. (2014). Resistance to antibiotics targeted to the bacterial cell wall. *Protein Sci.* 23 (3), 243–259. doi:10.1002/pro. 2414

Omura, K., and Swern, D. (1978). Oxidation of alcohols by "activated" dimethyl sulfoxide. a preparative, steric and mechanistic study. *Tetrahedron* 34 (11), 1651–1660. doi:10.1016/0040-4020(78)80197-5

Périchon, B., and Courvalin, P. (2009). VanA-type vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 53, 4580-4587. doi:10.1128/ AAC.00346-09

Pham, T. D. M., Ziora, Z. M., and Blaskovich, M. A. T. (2019). Quinolone antibiotics. *Medchemcomm* 10 (10), 1719–1739. doi:10.1039/c9md00120d

Piret, J., and Boivin, G. (2021). Pandemics throughout history. Front. Microbiol. 11, 11. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.631736

Pokrovskaya, V., and Baasov, T. (2010). Dual-acting hybrid antibiotics: A promising strategy to combat bacterial resistance. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* 5, 883–902. doi:10. 1517/17460441.2010.508069

Pokrovskaya, V., Belakhov, V., Hainrichson, M., Yaron, S., and Baasov, T. (2009). Design, synthesis, and evaluation of novel Fluoroquinolone-Aminoglycoside hybrid antibiotics. J. Med. Chem. 52 (8), 2243-2254. doi:10.1021/jm900028n

Proshkin, S., Rahmouni, A. R., Mironov, A., and Nudler, E. Cooperation between translating ribosomes and RNA polymerase in transcription elongation. *Science* (1979). 328(5977):504–508. doi:10.1126/science.1184939

Rashid, M. U., Dalhoff, A., Weintraub, A., and Nord, C. E. (2014). *In vitro* activity of MCB3681 against *Clostridium difficile* strains. *Anaerobe* 28, 216–219. doi:10.1016/j. anaerobe.2014.07.001

Robertson, G. T., Bonventre, E. J., Doyle, T. B., Du, Q., Duncan, L., Morris, T. W., et al. (2008). *In vitro* evaluation of CBR-2092, a novel rifamycin-quinolone hybrid antibiotic: Studies of the mode of action in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 52 (7), 2313–2323. doi:10.1128/AAC.01649-07

Rybap, M. J., and Mcgrath, B. J. (1996). Combination antimicrobial therapy for bacterial infections. Guidelines for the clinician. *Drugs* 52, 390-405. doi:10.2165/00003495-199652030-00005

Santajit, S., and Indrawattana, N. (2016). Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in ESKAPE pathogens. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2016, 2475067. doi:10.1155/2016/2475067

Sauvage, E., and Terrak, M. (2016). Glycosyltransferases and transpeptidases/ penicillin-binding proteins: Valuable targets for new antibacterials. *Antibiotics* 5 (1), 12. doi:10.3390/antibiotics5010012 Scaiola, A., Leibundgut, M., Boehringer, D., Caspers, P., Bur, D., Locher, H. H., et al. (2019). Structural basis of translation inhibition by cadazolid, a novel quinoxolidinone antibiotic. *Sci. Rep.* 9 (1), 5634. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-42155-4

Shavit, M., Pokrovskaya, V., Belakhov, V., and Baasov, T. (2017). Covalently linked kanamycin - ciprofloxacin hybrid antibiotics as a tool to fight bacterial resistance. *Bioorg Med. Chem.* 25 (11), 2917–2925. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2017.02.068

Stryjewski, M. E., Potgieter, P. D., Li, Y. P., Barriere, S. L., Churukian, A., Kingsley, J., et al. (2012a). Pharmacodynamics of TD-1792, a novel glycopeptide-cephalosporin heterodimer antibiotic used against gram-positive bacteria, in a neutropenic murine thigh model. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56 (3), 1578–1583. doi:10.1128/AAC.05382-11

Stryjewski, M. E., Potgieter, P. D., Li, Y. P., Barriere, S. L., Churukian, A., Kingsley, J., et al. (2012b). TD-1792 versus vancomycin for treatment of complicated skin and skin structure infections. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 56 (11), 5476–5483. doi:10.1128/ AAC.00712-12

Sujatha, S., and Praharaj, I. (2012). Glycopeptide resistance in gram-positive cocci: A review. *Interdiscip. Perspect. Infect. Dis.* 2012, 781679. doi:10.1155/2012/781679

Surur, A. S., and Sun, D. (2021). Macrocycle-antibiotic hybrids: A path to clinical candidates. *Front. Chem.* 9, 659845. doi:10.3389/fchem.2021.659845

Tamma, P. D., Cosgrove, S. E., and Maragakis, L. L. (2012). Combination therapy for treatment of infections with gram-negative bacteria. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 25, 450–470. doi:10.1128/CMR.05041-11

Tande, A. J., and Patel, R. (2014). Prosthetic joint infection. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 27 (2), 302-345. doi:10.1128/CMR.00111-13

Theuretzbacher, U. (2020). Dual-mechanism antibiotics. Nat. Microbiol. 5 (8), 984–985. doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0767-0

Tindula, R. J., Ambrose, P. J., and Harralson, A. F. (1983). Aminoglycoside inactivation by penicillins and cephalosporins and its impact on drug-level

monitoring. Drug Intell. Clin. Pharm. 17 (12), 906-908. doi:10.1177/106002808301701210

Tyrrell, K. L., Citron, D. M., Warren, Y. A., and Goldstein, E. J. C. (2012). *In vitro* activity of TD-1792, a multivalent glycopeptide-cephalosporin antibiotic, against 377 strains of anaerobic bacteria and 34 strains of Corynebacterium species. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 56 (4), 2194–2197. doi:10.1128/AAC. 06274-11

Umscheid, C. A., Margolis, D. J., and Grossman, C. E. (2011). Key concepts of clinical trials: A narrative review. *Postgrad. Med.* 123 (5), 194–204. doi:10.3810/pgm.2011.09. 2475

World Health Organization. 2021 Antibacterial agents in clinical and preclinical development: an overview and analysis. Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/ i/item/9789240047655 (Accessed June 6, 2023).

Worthington, R. J., and Melander, C. (2013). Overcoming resistance to β -Lactam antibiotics. J. Org. Chem. 78 (9), 4207–4213. doi:10.1021/jo400236f

Wright, G. D. (2016). Antibiotic adjuvants: Rescuing antibiotics from resistance. *Trends Microbiol.* 24 (11), 862–871. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2016.06.009

Yuan, Y., Wang, X., Xu, X., Liu, Y., Li, C., Yang, M., et al. (2020). Evaluation of a dualacting antibacterial agent, TNP-2092, on gut microbiota and potential application in the treatment of gastrointestinal and liver disorders. *ACS Infect. Dis.* 6, 820–831. doi:10. 1021/acsinfecdis.9b00374

Zhanel, G. G., Trapp, S., Gin, A. S., DeCorby, M., Lagacé-Wiens, P. R. S., Rubinstein, E., et al. (2008). Dalbavancin and telavancin: Novel lipoglycopeptides for the treatment of Gram-positive infections. *Expert Rev. Anti-Infective Ther.* 6, 67–81. doi:10.1586/14787210.6.1.67

Zhen, X., Lundborg, C. S., Sun, X., Hu, X., and Dong, H. (2019). Economic burden of antibiotic resistance in ESKAPE organisms: A systematic review. *Antimicrob. Resist Infect. Control* 8 (1), 137. doi:10.1186/s13756-019-0590-7