#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Xiumin Wang, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

REVIEWED BY Kirtikumar B. Jadhav, University of Vienna, Austria Christina Lamers, Leipzig University, Germany

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Sara Hadjigol, i sara.hadjigol@unimelb.edu.au Neil M. O'Brien-Simpson, i neil.obs@unimelb.edu.au

RECEIVED 04 June 2024 ACCEPTED 09 September 2024 PUBLISHED 07 October 2024

#### CITATION

Matthyssen T, Li W, Holden JA, Lenzo JC, Hadjigol S and O'Brien-Simpson NM (2024) Dimerization and lysine substitution of melittin have differing effects on bacteria. *Front. Pharmacol.* 15:1443497. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1443497

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Matthyssen, Li, Holden, Lenzo, Hadjigol and O'Brien-Simpson. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Dimerization and lysine substitution of melittin have differing effects on bacteria

Tamara Matthyssen<sup>1</sup>, Wenyi Li<sup>1,2</sup>, James A. Holden<sup>3</sup>, Jason C. Lenzo<sup>4</sup>, Sara Hadjigol<sup>1\*</sup> and Neil M. O'Brien-Simpson<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ACTV Research Group, Melbourne Dental School, Division of Basic and Clinical Oral Sciences, Royal Dental Hospital and The Bio21 Institute of Molecular Science and Biotechnology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, <sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Chemistry, La Trobe Institute for Molecular Science, La Trobe University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, <sup>3</sup>Melbourne Dental School, Centre for Oral Health Research, Royal Dental Hospital and The Bio21 Institute of Molecular Science and Biotechnology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, <sup>4</sup>Western Australian Health Translation Network, Harry Perkins Institute of Medical Research, Nedlands, WA, Australia

**Introduction:** Melittin is a potent antimicrobial peptide from bee venom that is effective against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. However, it is extremely toxic to mammalian cells and, as yet, has no clinical use. Modifications to its amino acid sequence, cyclization, truncation, and dimerization have been attempted in order to reduce its toxicity whilst maintaining its antimicrobial activity.

**Methods:** In this study, we targeted the three lysine residues present in melittin and substituted them with lysine homologs containing shorter side chains (ornithine, Orn, diaminobutyric acid, Dab, and diaminopropanoic acid, Dap) and made both parallel and antiparallel melittin dimers to observe how lysine substitution and dimerization affects its activity and toxicity. The antibacterial activity of melittin and its analogs was tested against *S. aureus* (Gram-positive bacteria) and *E. coli* (Gram-negative bacteria), and cytotoxicity was tested against the mammalian cell lines HEK293 and H4IIE.

**Results:** Overall, dimerization and lysine substitution exhibited improved antimicrobial activity toward *E. coli* and limited improvement toward *S. aureus.* However, mammalian cell toxicity was only marginally reduced compared to native melittin. Interestingly, the parallel dimer was found to be marginally more active than the antiparallel dimer, indicating orientation maybe important for activity, although both dimers were less effective than the native and Lys-analog peptides toward *S. aureus.* Of the Lys substitutions, Dab and Dap improved melittin's activity toward *E. coli.* 

**Discussion:** Dimerization and Lys substitution of melittin improved the antimicrobial activity toward Gram-negative bacteria but did not significantly improve its activity toward Gram-positive bacteria. Some analogs also displayed reduced toxicity toward HEK293 and H4IIE cells but overall remained toxic at bactericidal concentrations. Our data indicates that although highly antibacterial, melittin's toxicity is the major drawback in its potential use.

#### KEYWORDS

peptide, antimicrobial activity, melittin, melittin analog, toxicity

# Introduction

Infections caused by drug-resistant bacteria have become an increasing threat to public health, with an estimated 4.95 million deaths associated with antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in 2019 (Murray, 2022). It is estimated that six pathogens were the leading cause of AMR-associated deaths: Escherichia Coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Moreover, this rise in AMR-related deaths has been accompanied by a decrease in the development of novel antimicrobials (Brown and Wright, 2016). In a bid to combat resistant organisms and to not induce resistance or slow its development, research has focused on antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). Found in various organisms, AMPs exhibit strong antimicrobial activity against a wide variety of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (including drugresistant strains) as well as fungi, viruses, and parasites (Hancock and Sahl, 2006; Hancock and Lehrer, 1998; Zasloff, 2002; Ageitos et al., 2017). However, when used in an exogenous manner, their cell selectivity is greatly diminished, and these promising therapeutics can also be toxic to host cells. A recent review by Torres et al. highlighted amino acid substitution, the hydrophobic/hydrophilic ratio of amino acids, the net charge, overall structure, and the addition of unnatural modifications as key areas to target to improve the design process and cell selectivity of AMPs (Torres et al., 2019). AMP development is one avenue being pursued for the discovery of new therapeutics that can combat the threat of multidrug-resistant bacteria and the emergence of "super-bugs."

Melittin is a well-known AMP from European honeybee venom that is highly efficacious at eradicating bacteria but is also extremely toxic to mammalian cells due to its general mode of action of membrane permeabilization (Askari et al., 2021; Memariani et al., 2019). Given that melittin's inherent purpose in nature is to protect its host from a variety of predators, it is unsurprising that there is little selectivity in the types of membranes it can permeabilize, and thus, this toxicity is a major barrier to its clinical development (Guha et al., 2021). Many attempts have been made to modify melittin to reduce its toxicity whilst maintaining its activity. These modifications have included the substitution of specific amino acids (such as proline and leucine), cyclization, truncation, and dimerization of truncated melittin (Rex, 2000; Krauson et al., 2015; Mayandi et al., 2020; Pandey et al., 2010; Unger et al., 2001). Here, we attempted to reduce the toxicity and improve the antimicrobial activity of melittin by targeting its structure and amino acid composition through either dimerization or substitution of the three lysine residues present at positions 7, 21, and 23 with lysine mimetic derivatives (Figure 1). Previous work has shown that replacing Lys residues with lysine mimetic derivatives (ornithine (Orn), 2, 4diaminobutyric acid (Dab) or 2, 3-diaminopropionic acid (Dap)) resulted in reduced toxicity of an AMP, whereas dimerization improved antimicrobial activity (Li W. et al., 2017; O'Brien-Simpson et al., 2016). Mayandi et al. also showed that the substitution of melittin's a-lysines with ε-lysine improved activity and reduced toxicity (Mayandi et al., 2020). In a separate study, Tran et al. demonstrated that substitution of lysine in an engineered stapled heptapeptide with Orn, Dab, or Dap reduced hemolysis, had varying effects on its activity toward a variety of Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria, and increased their proteolytic stability (Tran et al., 2024). Substitution with Orn, Dab, and Dap does not alter the overall charge of an AMP, but these amino acids do differ in the length of their side chains and may give insights into the subtle features of lysine that make it indispensable to many AMPs (Figure 1). In this study, we were interested in observing how different peptide modifications affect typical Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and whether they impact different membranes in different ways. As such, S. aureus and E. coli were chosen as model Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, respectively, as they are widely used in many AMP studies and are on the WHO list of pathogens that are the leading cause of AMR-associated deaths (World-Health-Organisation, 2017). This work offers insights into the role that dimerization and reduced side-chain length of lysine residues play in the activity of melittin against a Grampositive versus Gram-negative bacteria and highlights the extreme cytotoxicity of full-length melittin as a major barrier to its clinical use as an antimicrobial.

## Materials and methods

## Determining CFUs/mL

The bacterial strains *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 and *E. coli* ATCC 8739 lyophilized or glycerol stocks were obtained from the culture collection of The Melbourne Dental School, University of



Structure of lysine, ornithine (Orn), 2,4-diaminobutyric acid (Dab), and 2,3-diaminopropionic acid (Dap) (structures obtained from PubChem (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)) (Kim et al., 2023; National Center for Biotechnology Information, 2024a, 2024b, 2024c, 2024d).

Melittin variation	Amino acid sequence			
1. Melittin	$\underline{GIGAVLKVLTTGLPALISWIK\underline{R}K\underline{R}QQ\text{-}CONH_2}$			
2. Lys $\rightarrow$ Orn melittin	GIGAVL (Orn)VLTTGLPALISWI(Orn)R (Orn)RQQ-CONH <sub>2</sub>			
3. Lys $\rightarrow$ Dab melittin	GIGAVL (Dab)VLTTGLPALISWI(Dab) <u>R</u> (Dab) <u>R</u> QQ-CONH <sub>2</sub>			
4. Lys $\rightarrow$ Dap melittin	<u>GIGAVL (Dap)VLTTGL</u> PALISWI(Dap) <u>R</u> (Dap) <u>R</u> QQ-CONH <sub>2</sub>			
5. Parallel melittin dimer	GIGAVLKVLTTGLPALISWIK <u>R</u> K <u>R</u> QQ GIGAVLKVLTTGLPALISWIK <u>R</u> K <u>R</u> QQ			
6. Antiparallel melittin dimer	QQRKRKIWSILAPLGTTLVKLVAGIG K-GIGAVLKVLTTGLPALISWIKRKRQQ-CONH2			

TABLE 1 Peptides and their amino acid sequences.

Underlined amino acids indicate double coupling.

Orn, ornithine; Dab, 2,4-diaminobutyric acid; Dap, 2,3-diaminopropionic acid

Melbourne, Australia. These strains were selected for this study as they are widely used in antimicrobial studies and are considered to be important pathogens and major targets for antibiotic-resistant studies. The bacteria were grown aerobically and maintained on Lysogeny/Luria Broth (LB) agar (1% w/v Oxoid tryptone, 0.5% w/v NaCl, 0.5% w/v Oxoid Yeast Extract and 1.5% w/v BD Bacto<sup>™</sup> Agar, Thermo Scientific Pty, Ltd., Sydney, Australia, pH 7.0) at 4°C. Growth curves and colony forming units (CFUs) per mL were determined as follows: for each bacterium, one colony from an LB agar plate was suspended in 10 mL LB broth and grown aerobically at 37°C in an orbital shaker (Minitron, Infors HT) at 200 rpm. After overnight incubation, 95 mL of fresh LB broth was inoculated with 5 mL of the overnight culture so that the final solution was a 5% v/v inoculum. The optical density (OD) was measured every 30 min at 600 nm using a spectrophotometer (model Varian Cary 50 Bio UV-Vis) and plotted against time to generate a growth curve. Each sample was then titrated out and spotted onto LB agar plates. In brief, 20 µL of the bacteria culture at each time point was titrated out across eight wells in phosphatebuffered saline (PBS, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, and 1.80 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>). From each well, 10 µL was spotted onto an LB agar plate and incubated overnight at 37°C. The next day, the CFUs were counted, and CFUs/mL were determined. The CFUs/mL were then plotted against the OD<sub>600</sub> reading of the sample, and a line of best fit was determined. The equation of the line of best fit was later used to determine CFUs/mL according to the OD<sub>600</sub>.

### Synthesis of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs)

2-Cyano-2-(hydroxyamino) acetate (Oxyma), *N,N'*diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC), and 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) amino acids were obtained from Mimotopes Pty Ltd. (Melbourne, Australia). Triisopropylsilane (TIPS), *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF), piperidine, trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), diethyl ether, and dichloromethane (DCM) were obtained from Aldrich (New South Wales, Australia). Unless otherwise stated, all the chemicals were of analytical grade or equivalent and used directly without further treatment.

AMPs and peptides for multimerization (Table 1) were chemically synthesized on a CEM Liberty-blue microwave peptide synthesizer (Ai Scientific, Victoria, Australia). The peptide-resins were assembled on Fmoc-Rink-Amide AM  ${\rm SURE}^{{\scriptscriptstyle\rm TM}}$  resin (0.68 mmol/g) to produce the C-terminal carboxamide peptides. For a 0.1 mmol reaction scale, Fmocdeprotection was performed in 20% piperidine/DMF (v/v) under microwave radiation for 15 s (155 W, 75°C), followed by filtration and a second addition of the above solution (30 W, 90°C; 50 s). The peptide-resins were then rinsed with DMF ( $4 \times 7$  mL). Standard Fmoc (9-fluorenylmethoxy carbonyl) chemistry was used throughout with a 5-fold molar excess of Fmoc-protected amino acids in the presence of Oxyma (5 eq) and DIC (10 eq) in DMF/ DCM (1:1, v/v; 4 mL) added to the Na-amino deprotected peptideresin. The mixture was coupled under microwave radiation for 15 s (170 W, 75°C), followed by another 110 s (30 W, 90°C). The addition of underlined amino acids in Table 1 was accomplished by double coupling. For the melittin dimers, the parallel dimer was synthesized by simultaneously synthesizing both arms from the C to N terminus, one from the amino group on the  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  carbon and the other from the side-chain amino group of 2,4-diaminobutyric acid (Dab). The antiparallel melittin dimer was synthesized first from the C to the N terminus, Boc-Lys (Fmoc) was added, and the synthesis continued from the C to the N terminus of the second melittin from the amino group on the  $\varepsilon$  carbon of the Lys.

Post synthesis, the peptide-bound resin was washed with DCM (5 × 10 mL) and diethyl ether (5 × 10 mL) and allowed to dry prior to the peptide cleavage. The peptides were cleaved from the resin support by the addition of TFA/TIPS/phenol/water (95:3:1:1, % v/v/ v/v; 10 mL) for 2.5 h or 4 h for Arg-containing peptides, under nitrogen and no light. Following cleavage, the TFA/peptide solution was isolated by filtration, the volume was reduced (1 mL) under nitrogen, and the crude product was isolated by precipitation in cold ether (4 × 20 mL). The dried crude peptides were then dissolved in buffer B (0.1% TFA in 90% acetonitrile, 10% milliQ water, v/v) and buffer A (0.1% v/v TFA in milliQ water) added so the final concentration of acetonitrile was 10%. The crude peptides were purified using a semi-preparative ZORBAX 300 SB-C18 column (9.4 mm × 25 cm) installed in a High Performance Liquid

Chromatography (HPLC) 1,200 system (Agilent Technologies Pty. Ltd., VIC, Australia) under a flow rate of 2 mL/min using buffer A (0.1% v/v TFA in milliQ water) and buffer B (0.1% TFA in 90% acetonitrile, 10% milliQ water, v/v) as the limiting solvent. Peptide detection was performed by absorbance at 214 nm. Fractions were collected and identified using an Exactive<sup>TM</sup> OrbiTrap Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Purified peptides (greater than 99% purity) were lyophilized, and peptide-TFA salt was displaced by three rounds of lyophilization in 5 mM HCl to form the peptide-HCl salt and then stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C (Sani et al., 2007).

### Growth conditions for antimicrobial assays

For the antimicrobial peptide assays, a 10 mL starter culture was produced by taking single colonies from LB agar plates to inoculate in Lysogeny/Luria Broth (1% w/v Oxoid Tryptone, 0.5% w/v NaCl, 0.5% w/v Oxoid Yeast Extract, pH 7.0, Thermo Scientific Pty, Ltd., Sydney, Australia) for bacteria; *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 and *E. coli* ATCC 8739 were grown aerobically at  $37^{\circ}$ C in an orbital shaker (Minitron, Infors HT) at 200 rpm. After overnight incubation, 0.5–1.0 mL of the starter culture was used to inoculate fresh LB broth (20 mL), and its growth was monitored at 600 nm using a spectrophotometer (model Varian Cary 50 Bio UV-Vis). Bacteria were harvested during the late exponential growth phase, and CFUs/mL were determined using the growth curve data set that correlated OD<sub>600</sub> to CFUs/mL.

### Antimicrobial assays

Antibacterial assays were undertaken to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC), and the level of membrane disruption of each of the antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) and multimerized AMPs. For each bacterium, a stock solution  $(2 \times 10^6 \text{ cells/mL})$  in cation-adjusted Mueller Hinton Broth (MHB) was made, and an aliquot was incubated with AMPs within 15 min from viable cell count and stock preparation. All AMPs were dissolved in 5% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and 1 mg/mL stock solutions prepared in PBS. Serial dilutions with 2 x dilution factor (16.87–0.18  $\mu$ M) of the AMP in cation-adjusted MHB (100 µL/well) were made immediately prior to the addition of bacteria. The final assay concentration of DMSO was ≤2.5% v/v, which we have previously shown does not affect bacteria viability or susceptibility to AMPs (O'Brien-Simpson et al., 2016). Aliquots (100 µL) of the bacterial stock solution were added to the AMP serial dilutions (final bacterial concentration  $1 \times$ 10<sup>5</sup> cells/well) and incubated at 37°C for 90 min. Bacteria were also incubated in the absence of AMP to serve as a growth control for the assay. After the 90-min incubation period, the antimicrobial activity (MIC and MBC) was determined as follows:

For MIC, the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) broth microdilution assay was followed, with the modifications being that due to the rapid action of the AMPs, the assay incubation time was shortened from 16–20 h to 90 min (CLSI, 2018). In brief, after the 90 min incubation, bacterial growth was monitored at 10 min intervals with shaking at each interval over a 20 h period at  $OD_{630}$  using a BioTek 800<sup>TM</sup> TS Absorbance Reader (Millennium Science Pty Ltd. Melbourne, Australia), which

incubated the cultures at 37°C. The MIC was calculated using the Lambert and Pearson growth curve analysis method by plotting the relative growth at each peptide concentration compared to maximal growth (determined as the point when bacteria incubated in broth alone entered the stationary phase of growth, 100% growth). The MIC was determined as the lowest peptide concentration ( $\mu$ M) required to completely inhibit the growth of the bacteria, that is, the intersection of the linear curve with the x-axis (Lambert and Pearson, 2000).

The CLSI protocol was followed to determine MBC. After incubation, bacteria were serially diluted (1:10) in PBS, plated on LB agar, and incubated for 12–24 h at 37°C; then, the CFUs were quantified. The MBC was thus determined as the lowest concentration ( $\mu$ M) of AMP resulting in less than one CFU. The data were fitted to an exponential model, and as such, 1 CFU was considered to be a ≥99.9% reduction in the initial colony count after incubation.

To observe membrane disruption, a 50  $\mu$ L aliquot of the bacteria/AMP was mixed with 100  $\mu$ L of 0.9% w/v saline containing 0.07% v/v of SYTO 9 (5 mM stock solution) and 0.04% v/v of PI (1.5 mM stock solution). Following incubation, the bacterial cell samples were analyzed using a CytoFLEX LX flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter). The fluorescence from SYTO 9 was measured through a 525/40 nm band-pass filter, the red emission of PI was measured with a 610/20 nm band-pass filter, and a minimum of 10,000 bacterial events were recorded. The % PI + cells values were plotted against each peptide concentration.

## Cytotoxicity toward cells

To determine toxicity toward mammalian cells,  $1 \times 10^4$  cells/well of HEK-293 (ATCC CRL-1573TM) or H4IIE in a total volume of 200 µL in media (Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) supplemented with 10% v/v fetal bovine serum, 5% v/v L-glutamine and 5% v/v penicillin/streptomycin) were seeded into 96-well plates and cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator for 16-20 h. When cells were  $\geq$ 90% confluent, the 100 µL media was removed and replaced with 50 µL serial dilutions of the AMP in media (final concentration 20–0.5  $\mu M)$  and cultured at 37°C in a 5%  $CO_2$  incubator for 90 min. From each well, 50  $\mu$ L was transferred to a new plate. To the 50  $\mu$ L, 50 µL of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) solution (CytoTox 96® Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay kit, Promega) was added to each well and incubated for a further 30 min at room temperature and protected from light. Cell death was determined by measuring absorbance at 490 nm using a microplate reader (PerkinElmer 1,420 Multilabel Counter VICTOR3). Positive and negative controls for inhibition were taken as cells incubated with lysis solution (CytoTox 96" Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay kit, Promega) and cells incubated in media alone, respectively. The background absorbance of media alone was subtracted from the sample absorbance, and the percentage of inhibition was calculated using the following formula:

% Cytotoxicity = [(A490 test sample – A490 negative control)/ (A490 positive control – A490 negative control)] × 100.

The percentage of lysis was plotted against peptide concentration, and linear regression analysis was used to determine the AMP concentration needed to lyse 50% ( $\rm LD_{50}$ ) of the cells.

### Inhibition of cell proliferation assay

To determine the inhibition of cell proliferation, 20  $\mu$ L of 3-(4,5dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium (MTS) solution (CellTiter 96 AQueous Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay kit, Promega) was added to the remaining 100  $\mu$ L from the toxicity assay, and the plates were incubated for a further 1 h at 37°C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator protected from light. Cell proliferation was determined by measuring absorbance at 490 nm using a microplate reader (PerkinElmer 1,420 Multilabel Counter VICTOR3). Positive and negative controls for inhibition were taken as cells incubated with lysis solution (CytoTox 96<sup>®</sup> Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay kit, Promega) and cells incubated in media alone, respectively. The background absorbance of media alone was subtracted from the samples, and the percentage of inhibition was calculated using the following formula:

% Inhibition = [(A490 test sample – A490 negative control)/ (A490 positive control – A490 negative control)] × 100.

The percentage of inhibition was plotted against peptide concentration, and linear regression analysis was used to determine the AMP concentration needed to inhibit 50% ( $IC_{50}$ ) of cell growth.

#### Hemolysis assay

Chicken red blood cells (RBCs) in Alsever's solution (RBCs, Equicell, Victoria, Australia) were diluted 1 in 20 in PBS (pH 7.4), pelleted by centrifugation, and washed three times in PBS (1,000 g, 10 min). The RBCs were counted using a cell counter (Coulter Particle Counter Z series, Beckman Coulter) and diluted to a final concentration of  $2 \times 10^7$  cells/mL. Aliquots (100 µL) of the RBC solution were seeded into a V-bottomed 96-well plate containing 100  $\mu L$  of serial dilutions (10–0.08  $\mu M)$  of the AMP in PBS and incubated in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 at 37°C for 2 h. Following incubation, the RBCs were pelleted in a centrifuge (1,000 g, 10 min), and the amount of hemoglobin released in 100  $\mu$ L aliquots of the supernatant was determined by measuring the absorbance at 405 nm using a microplate reader (PerkinElmer 1,420 Multilabel Counter VICTOR3). Positive and negative controls for hemolysis were taken as RBC lysed with 1% v/v Triton X-100 and RBC suspension in PBS, respectively. The percentage of hemolysis was calculated using the following formula:

#### % Hemolysis [ (A405 test sample – A405 negative control) / (A405 positive control – A405 negative control)] x 100.

The percentage hemolysis was plotted against peptide concentration, and linear regression analysis was used to determine the hemolytic concentration needed to lyse 50% ( $HC_{50}$ ) of RBCs.

## Statistical analysis

All data were obtained from at least three biological repeats (except for membrane disruption, for which the data were obtained

from two biological repeats) and expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. The data were determined to be normally distributed, and equality of variance was assessed using the Brown–Forsythe test. Statistical analyses (one-way ANOVA and multiple paired t-tests) were carried out with Prism v9.0 (GraphPad Software Inc.), and differences were regarded as statistically significant when p < 0.05. The effect size was also calculated using the Cambridge effect size calculator spreadsheet (https://www.cem.org/effect-size-calculator). A negative effect size indicates a lower MIC/MBC of the melittin analog than the native melittin, and a positive effect size indicates a larger MIC/MBC than the native melittin.

# **Results and discussion**

# Peptide synthesis and purification of melittin and its analogs

Structural changes were made to the native AMP melittin by creating both parallel and antiparallel melittin dimers and lysine melittin analogs in which the three Lys residues (Torres et al., 2019) (Lys<sup>7</sup>, Lys<sup>21</sup> and Lys<sup>23</sup>) were substituted with Orn, Dab, or Dap. All peptides were synthesized using standard solid phase protocols for microwave addition of amino acids and using Oxyma/DIC chemistry for coupling with each amino acid prior to Fmoc removal with 20% piperidine. Once synthesized, melittin and its analogs were purified by RP-HPLC under optimized conditions and identified by mass spectrometry (Table 2; Supplementary Figures S1-S6). The final product was lyophilized (3×) in 0.05 mM HCl to form a peptide-HCl salt and used in antimicrobial assays to determine the effect of the sequence and structural changes.

# Melittin and its analogs exhibit strong antimicrobial activity

The MICs and MBCs of the six melittin peptides toward *S. aureus* and *E. coli* were determined as previously described (O'Brien-Simpson et al., 2016) using cation-adjusted MHB as the assay media. Vancomycin and gentamycin were used as comparative positive controls for *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, respectively, as they are clinically used antibiotics for treating nosocomial infections caused by these bacteria.

The MIC and MBC values for each peptide toward *S. aureus* and *E. coli* are shown in Table 3. For *S. aureus*, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05) in the MIC and MBC values between the control antibiotic vancomycin, peptide 1 (melittin), and peptides 2–4 (Orn-, Dab-, and Dap-melittin). However, both peptides 5 and 6 (parallel and antiparallel melittin dimers) exhibited a significant decrease (d = 5.99; 95% (p < 0.05) CI: 0.70, 5.41 and d = 7.22; 95% (p < 0.05) CI: 1.42, 7.30 MBC, respectively) in activity compared to native melittin. The MIC of peptide 5 was statistically lower than peptide 6 ( $3.83 \pm 0.71 \mu$ M vs 5.18  $\pm 0.36 \mu$ M, respectively, p = 0.008). However, there was no significant difference in their bactericidal (MBC) activity ( $6.31 \pm 1.50 \mu$ M vs. 7.25  $\pm 1.20 \mu$ M, respectively). Between the two dimers, peptide 6 had the larger positive effect size, indicating that the antiparallel formation was more detrimental to antimicrobial activity than the parallel formation. As for the lysine

Melittin variation	Amino acid sequence	Expected mass (Da) <sup>a</sup>	Observed mass (Da)	Peptide yield (mg, (%))
1. Melittin	$GIGAVLKVLTTGLPALISWIKRKRQQ-CONH_2$	2846.5	2845.8	15.68 (5.2%)
2. Lys → Orn melittin	GIGAVL (Orn)VLTTGLPALISWI(Orn)R (Orn)RQQ-CONH $_2$	2804.5	2803.72	43.2 (15.4%)
3. Lys $\rightarrow$ Dab melittin	GIGAVL (Dab)VLTTGLPALISWI(Dab)R (Dab)RQQ-CONH <sub>2</sub>	2762.4	2761.70	17.76 (6.4%)
4. Lys → Dap melittin	GIGAVL (Dap)VLTTGLPALISWI(Dap)R (Dap)RQQ- CONH2	2720.3	2719.70	25.2 (9.3%)
5. (Melittin)2-Dab (Parallel dimer)	(GIGAVLKVLTTGLPALISWIKRKRQQ)2-Dab- CONH <sub>2</sub>	5775.1	5775.6	5.52 (0.96%)
6. (Melittin-ε-)K-Melittin (Antiparallel dimer)	QQRKRKIWSILAPLGTTLVKLVAGIG eK-GIGAVLKVLTTGLPALISWIKRKRQQ	5804.2	5803.7	7.2 (1.2%)

TABLE 2 Synthesized peptides with their amino acid sequences, expected and observed masses, and the amount of peptide obtained.

"The expected mass was determined by the CEM, Liberty-blue microwave peptide synthesizer software.

Orn, ornithine; Dab, 2,4-diaminobutyric acid; Dap, 2,3-diaminopropionic acid.

All peptides used in antimicrobial assays are peptide-HCl.

#### TABLE 3 MIC and MBC values for melittin and its analogs toward S. aureus and E. coli.

	S. aureus (µM)		E. coli (µM)		
Peptide	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	
1. Melittin	$1.44 \pm 0.11$	1.76 ± 0.76	6.89 ± 0.72	8.67 ± 0.93	
2. Lys $\rightarrow$ Orn melittin	$1.28 \pm 0.06^{b}$	1.83 ± 1.21	4.32 ± 0.31 <sup>a, b-</sup>	7.10 ± 1.68 <sup>b-</sup>	
3. Lys $\rightarrow$ Dab melittin	$1.47 \pm 0.08$	1.76 ± 0.92	$5.51 \pm 0.52^{b}$	5.62 ± 1.10 <sup>a, b-</sup>	
4. Lys $\rightarrow$ Dap melittin	$1.59 \pm 0.15^{b+}$	$2.76 \pm 0.01^{b+}$	$5.62 \pm 0.81^{b}$	5.64 ± 1.17 <sup>a, b-</sup>	
5. Parallel melittin	$3.83 \pm 0.71^{a,b+}$	$6.31 \pm 1.50^{a,b+}$	4.52 ± 0.72 <sup>a, b-</sup>	$4.39 \pm 0.54^{a, b}$	
6. Antiparallel melittin	$5.18 \pm 0.36^{a,b+}$	$7.25 \pm 1.20^{a,b+}$	$6.02 \pm 1.22^{b}$	5.64 ± 1.08 <sup>a, b-</sup>	
Gentamycin			2.27 ± 0.33	3.3 ± 0.78	
Vancomycin	$1.80 \pm 0.40$	1.61 ± 0.44			

<sup>a</sup>Significantly different (p < 0.05) MIC or MBC, respectively, compared to melittin (native) peptide.

<sup>b</sup>Large effect size (Cohen's d > 0.8) MIC or MBC, respectively, compared to melittin (native) peptide with b+ indicating a higher and b- indicating a lower MIC or MBC than native melittin, respectively.

substituted analogs, although there were no significant differences in activity compared to native melittin, the large negative effect size of peptide 2 (MIC (d = -1.45; 95% (p > 0.05) CI: -3.24, 0.36) suggests improved inhibitory activity. Conversely, the large positive effect size of the MIC and MBC values of peptide 4 (d = 1.36; 95% (p >0.05) CI: -0.77, 2.59 and d = 1.32; 95% (p > 0.05) CI: -0.32, 3.29, respectively) suggests that substitution with Dap may reduce the antimicrobial activity compared to native melittin. With decreasing side-chain length from lysine to Orn, Dab, and Dap, there was a significant change in the effect size, with Orn producing an increase in activity, and then with decreasing chain length, there was a decrease in the activity of the melittin Lys analogs with peptide 4 having the weakest activity. This trend is similar to an earlier report where replacing lysine in magainin II with Orn, Dab, and Dap resulted in a decrease in activity correlating to shorter side-chain lengths for another Gram-positive bacteria, Streptococcus mutans, but no change in activity against the Gram-negative bacterium Fusobacterium nucleatum (O'Brien-Simpson et al., 2016).

When tested against E. coli, peptides 1-6 exhibited no significant differences between their MIC and MBC values, indicating that all peptides retained their bactericidal activity. When compared to peptide 1, both peptide 2 and peptide 5 showed significantly lower MIC values (d = -3.29; 95% (p < 0.05) CI: -4.81, -0.44 and d = -3.57; 95% (p < 0.05) CI: -6.33, -1.06, respectively). Although peptides 3, 4, and 6 did not exhibit significantly different MIC values compared to melittin, they did display large negative effect sizes (peptide 3, d = -1.92; 95% (p > 0.05) CI: -3.64, 0.13; peptide 4, d = -1.76; 95% (*p* > 0.05) CI: -3.09, 0.44; peptide 6, d = -0.93; 95% (*p* > 0.05) CI: -2.16, 1.09), indicating that these analogs are trending toward an increase in antimicrobial activity. For peptides 2-4, decreasing side-chain length trended toward decreasing inhibitory activity, as noted when tested against S. aureus. Comparing MBC values, both peptides 5 and 6 showed significantly improved bactericidal activity (d = -4.6; 95% (p < 0.05) CI: 7.49, -1.49 and d = -3.26; 95% (p < 0.05) CI: -4.5, -0.30, respectively) compared to peptide 1 with



Percentage of PI + cells for *S. aureus* and *E. coli* treated with  $\sim$ 0.5 × MBC of melittin, melittin dimers, and Lys-substituted melittin. After 90 min incubation of either *S. aureus* or *E. coli* with each peptide at  $\sim$ 0.5 × MBC, cells were treated with Syto9 and PI and analyzed on a flow cytometer. The percentage of PI + cells was then calculated from two biological replicates. The error bars represent the standard deviation of the sample size.

considerably large effect sizes. Other examples of dimerized AMPs have shown that dimerization at the C-terminal vs the N-terminal can make a difference in activity; no significant differences in bactericidal activity were observed between the two melittin dimers tested here (Lorenzón et al., 2016). However, peptide 5 had a larger negative effect size than peptide 6, suggesting parallel (C-terminal) dimerization to be more effective, corroborating earlier reports (Lorenzón et al., 2016; Dempsey et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2009). Peptides 3 and 4 also displayed significantly improved MBCs (d = -3.28; 95% (p < 0.05) CI: 4.48, -0.29; d = -3.26; 95% (p < 0.05) CI: -4.35, -0.23, respectively) while peptide 2 displayed a large negative effect size even though its MBC was not significantly different from peptide 1 (d = -1.69; 95% (p > 0.05) CI: -2.61, 0.76). The MBC values indicated an opposite trend to the MIC values, with the shorter sidechain length leading to increasing bactericidal activity. Peptide 2 may be better at disrupting the outer membrane of E. coli than peptides 3 and 4 but is less effective at penetrating the inner membrane than peptides 3 and 4. The MBC values indicate that dimerization and Lys substitution with Dab or Dap have the potential to improve the bactericidal activity of melittin toward E. coli. For such different structural changes, the outcome was the same, with no significant differences in activity toward E. coli between peptides 3, 4, 5, and 6. There were also no significant differences between the MIC and MBC values for each peptide toward S. aureus or E. coli (MBC equivalent to MIC), indicating that dimerization and Lys substitution did not affect the bactericidal mode of action of melittin (Levison and Levison, 2009).

To observe the cytoplasmic membrane disruption of these peptides, flow cytometry was used to determine the percent PI + cells at ~0.5 x MBC (O'Brien-Simpson et al., 2016). For S. aureus,

89% membrane disruption was observed for melittin, and on average, ~77% disruption was observed for each analog. For E. coli, ~73% disruption was observed for melittin but only 40%-55% for the melittin dimers and Lys-substituted melittin (Figure 2). Considering both the improved MBC values of the melittin analogs toward E. coli and the reduced membrane disruption suggests that the improved activity of the analogs is not only linked to cytoplasmic membrane permeability. However, these differences were not considered significant, and, as such, the dimerization and Lys substitution of melittin did not appear to affect the peptides' membranolytic mode of action toward S. aureus or E. coli. There were, however, multiple populations that appeared in the PI + region of S. aureus treated with the melittin dimers (Supplementary Figure S7). These populations created a striated appearance, suggesting that the dimers were interacting with each other or the bacterial membrane in a variety of ways and causing multiple degrees of membrane disruption. This striated appearance was also observed to a lesser extent in E. coli (Supplementary Figure S8).

Peptides 5 and 6 exhibited decreased antimicrobial activity toward S. aureus compared to native melittin but displayed a similar overall level of membrane disruption. Conversely, peptides 3, 4, 5, and 6 showed improved antimicrobial activity toward E. coli compared to peptide 1, but there was no significant difference in membrane disruption. The additional outer membrane of E. coli can make membrane disruption and, hence, the influx of membrane-impermeable PI, much more difficult. This basic structural difference may explain the difference in PI+ percentages between S. aureus and E. coli. The lack of difference in membrane disruption of peptide 1 compared to peptides 3, 4, 5, and 6, coupled with their improved MBC values toward E. coli, suggests that membrane lysis may not be the only mode of action for these peptides. Although previous research has shown that melittin may act on an internal target, this target has not vet been identified (Ravensdale et al., 2016). Intracellular melittin targets have, however, been identified in human hepatocellular carcinomas (Wu et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014). For both S. aureus and E. coli, the level of membrane disruption did not increase at  $1 \times MBC$ .

Both the parallel and antiparallel dimers have double the amount of melittin for the same molar concentration compared to the other analogs and yet exhibited varying changes in activity depending on which bacteria they were tested against. It is widely accepted that for AMPs to insert into the bacterial membrane, a threshold concentration of peptide at the membrane interface first needs to be achieved; the AMP can only reorient itself and translocate across the membrane to form pores once this threshold concentration has been reached (Hong et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2013). This reasoning has been used to justify, in part, the increased activity observed in many multimerized compounds. However, no increase in activity was observed for either form of dimerized melittin when tested against S. aureus; rather, a decrease in activity was noted. Conformational flexibility has been highlighted as important for AMP activity, and in the case of melittin, the flexibility around the Pro residue is important for membrane permeabilization (Krauson et al., 2015). The Pro residue (Pro-14) in the middle of the melittin sequence on the polar face (Figure 3) creates a bend connecting the two  $\alpha$ -helices that melittin forms in a hydrophobic environment (Jamasbi et al., 2016; Jeon



et al., 2019). It has been shown to be essential for activity as the substitution of Pro with other amino acids significantly reduces the antibacterial activity of melittin (Jamasbi et al., 2014). Computational modeling also suggests melittin may form an intermediate U-shape when inserted into the lipid membrane (Hong et al., 2019). If dimerization affects melittin's flexibility and adoption of such an intermediary shape, particularly in the presence of peptidoglycan, this may explain the lack of increased activity toward *S. aureus* that is otherwise expected due to the increased and localized peptide concentration.

However, improved bactericidal activity was noted toward E. coli. Comparison of the MBC values of each peptide toward S. aureus and E. coli indicated that the impact on bactericidal activity for both peptides 5 and 6 (parallel and antiparallel dimers) and peptides 3 and 4 (Dab- and Dap-melittin) was bacteria dependent. Dimerization did improve activity toward E. coli, as did the substitutions in peptides 3 and 4. This suggests that these modifications may have disrupted/ improved specific interactions that occur between melittin and components of the Gram-negative bacterial cell wall. Further studies looking at whether melittin preferentially targets specific bacteria in a mixed model and whether these melittin analogs impact that preference could indicate whether specific interactions with bacterial cell wall components are occurring. Additionally, determining the antimicrobial activity of dimerized Lys-substituted melittin would be of use to determine whether there is a compounding effect of these two strategies.

Melittin's C-terminus is regarded as highly hydrophilic, with four of its five positively charged amino acids clustered at this end (Figure 3). The positive charge of many AMPs is crucial for their association with negatively charged bacterial membranes and contributes to the selectivity of bacterial cells over eukaryotic cells. With regards to melittin, removal or replacement of the charged residues at its C-terminus does result in reduced affinity for anionic lipid membranes (Hall et al., 2011; Therrien et al., 2016). The overall charge of the Lys-substituted melittins in this study was not changed; however, the parallel and antiparallel dimers had an increased net positive charge. As this increase in charge for the dimers affected the overall antibacterial activity of these peptides in different ways depending on which bacteria it was tested against, it would be interesting to observe the rate at which these dimers assemble on an anionic lipid membrane prior to pore formation. Electrostatic interactions are believed to be the initial step in membrane permeabilization, and observing whether an increased charge facilitates this process would further highlight how changes in structure, and hence charge, impact the sequence of events in AMP action (Li J. et al., 2017). Previous research has indicated that increasing the positive charge only affects antibacterial activity to a certain point, with a charge of +8 to +9 being considered the turning point at which further increases in charge have no effect (Zelezetsky and Tossi, 2006). Melittin and its Lys-substituted analogs have a net charge of +6, whilst the dimers have a net charge of +11. The variation in antimicrobial activity of the dimers compared to the linear analogs suggests that the increased charge of the dimers was not solely responsible for changes in activity; this is consistent with the charge threshold point being approximately +8 to +9 for maximum AMP activity.

Amino acid substitution often results in a change of activity in AMPs, and significant changes were observed in this study when the three Lys residues of melittin were substituted with Orn, Dab, or Dap, and the peptide activity was evaluated against *S. aureus* and *E. coli*. Similar substitutions with these non-proteinogenic amino acids have been reported to also affect the activity of other peptides (magainin II, D16, C18G, and tritrpticin) to different extents (O'Brien-Simpson et al., 2016; Arias et al., 2018; Khara et al., 2016; Kohn et al., 2018; Mant et al., 2019). Such substitutions were capable of either increasing or decreasing activity, and again, this varied depending on which bacteria they were tested against. In these instances, the substitutions may have

	H4IIE		HEK293		RBCs
Peptide	LD <sub>50</sub> (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> (μΜ)	LD <sub>50</sub> (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> (μΜ)	HC <sub>50</sub> (µM)
1. Melittin	1.79 ± 0.95	$2.88 \pm 0.08$	0.75 ± 0.21	9.47 ± 3.63	$0.59 \pm 0.04$
2. Lys $\rightarrow$ Orn melittin	2.22 ± 1.31	$3.37 \pm 0.08$	$1.16 \pm 0.09$	$10.49 \pm 2.65$	$1.4 \pm 1.14$
3. Lys $\rightarrow$ Dab melittin	2.42 ± 1.82	3.08 ± 0.24	1.19 ± 0.22	9.23 ± 2.91	1.33 ± 1.02
4. Lys $\rightarrow$ Dap melittin	5.43 ± 0.91	7.93 ± 0.12	2.02 ± 0.77	$14.32 \pm 6.02$	0.89 ± 0.39
5. Parallel dimer	1.13 ± 0.35	2.44 ± 0.78	0.63 ± 0.18	5.04 ± 3.05	0.20 ± 0.03
6. Antiparallel dimer	4.66 ± 4.49	7.91 ± 0.82	2.01 ± 0.66	12.96 ± 1.91	$0.29 \pm 0.02$

TABLE 4 LD<sub>50</sub>, IC<sub>50</sub>, and HC<sub>50</sub> of melittin, melittin dimers, and Lys-substituted melittin toward H4IIE and HEK293 cells and chicken red blood cells (RBCs), respectively.

TABLE 5 Therapeutic index for cell lysis, cell proliferation, and hemolysis of H4IIE and HEK293 cells based on the antimicrobial activity of the peptides toward *S. aureus*.

	H4IIE				
Peptide	TI (cell lysis)ª	TI (cell proliferation) <sup>a</sup>	TI (cell lysis)ª	TI (cell proliferation) <sup>a</sup>	TI (hemolysis)ª
1. Melittin	2.05	4.01	0.85	13.18	0.67
2. Lys $\rightarrow$ Orn melittin	2.80	5.26	1.47	16.39	1.80
3. Lys $\rightarrow$ Dab melittin	2.74	4.21	1.35	12.60	1.50
4. Lys $\rightarrow$ Dap melittin	3.93	10.00	1.47	18.07	0.64
5. Parallel dimer	0.36	1.27	0.20	2.63	0.06
6. Antiparallel dimer	1.28	3.06	0.55	5.01	0.08

<sup>a</sup>Therapeutic index (TI) determined for cell lysis by LD<sub>50</sub>/MBC<sub>50</sub>, for inhibition of proliferation by IC<sub>50</sub>/MIC<sub>50</sub>, and hemolysis by HC<sub>50</sub>/MBC<sub>50</sub> (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MBC<sub>50</sub> of peptides toward S. *aureus* 29213 used to calculate TI).

disrupted or improved features essential to activity, such as overall hydrophobicity and helicity, whereas in melittin, they had no effect. Generally, it is the substitution of hydrophobic amino acids or the substitution of key amino acids (such as the Pro in melittin) with residues that have a different function that results in a change in activity (Jamasbi et al., 2016). This change in activity is often due to changes in amphipathicity, helicity, or hydrophobicity. For instance, substituting leucine (Leu) 9 and 13 in melittin with the less hydrophobic residue Ala resulted in decreased activity toward S. aureus and B. subtilis (Pandey et al., 2010). Interestingly, however, the substituted melittin maintained its activity toward E. coli and was still active when only Leu9 or only Leu16, or Leu9 and Leu16 were substituted with Ala. However, when Leu6, Leu13, and isoleucine (Ile) 20 of melittin were substituted with the peptoid (residues with the sidechain connected to the backbone nitrogen rather than the  $\alpha$ -carbon) versions of Ala, Leu, Phe, and Lys, antimicrobial activity was reduced by 2- to 10-fold (Liu et al., 2007). These structural substitutions affected both the helicity and the overall hydrophobicity of melittin, which in turn affected its activity. In this study, Lys was substituted with analogs that differed from each other solely by the length of their sidechain. This did not affect the overall charge of the peptide and is unlikely to have affected hydrophobicity, as all peptides exhibited the same retention time in RP-HPLC. Previous studies where Lys has been substituted with these same residues have reported little to no effect on helicity but reduced AMP toxicity to mammalian cells (Khara et al., 2016; Kohn et al., 2018; Mant et al., 2019). Despite the limited change reported in the activity of the previously mentioned peptides (magainin II, D16, C18G, and tritrpticin) where Lys had also been replaced with Orn, Dab, and Dap, reduced toxicity was observed in these analogs, indicating that the major advantage of lysine substitution with Orn, Dab, and Dap is reducing toxicity but not altering helicity or substantially improving antimicrobial activity.

# Cytotoxicity of melittin, melittin dimers, and the Lys-substituted melittin analogs

The ability of melittin, melittin dimers, and the Lys-substituted melittin analogs to lyse eukaryotic cells and inhibit proliferation was determined using H4IIE cells and HEK293 cells. Percent lysis and percent proliferation for each peptide against each cell type indicate that each peptide is highly cytotoxic, as indicated by the  $LD_{50}$  and  $IC_{50}$  (Table 4).

Another measure of cytotoxicity is hemolysis of red blood cells. Here, hemolysis toward chicken red blood cells was determined using standard protocols in our laboratory (Lam et al., 2016). The  $HC_{50}$  is shown in Table 4, with the low concentration of each peptide (~3× less the antimicrobial activity of each peptide), indicating the extreme hemolytic nature of melittin and its analogs.

The  $LD_{50}$  and  $IC_{50}$  for each cell line, as well as the  $HC_{50}$ , were used to calculate the therapeutic index (TI), which is a measure of a material's biological safety (Table 5). Generally, the higher the

therapeutic index, the safer and less toxic a material is, with a TI of 10 being a threshold point between a material being considered safe (TI greater than 10) or toxic (TI less than 10) (Tamargo et al., 2015). The TIs of melittin and each of its analogs, based on cell lysis and hemolysis and the MIC<sub>50</sub>/MBC<sub>50</sub> toward S. aureus (Table 5), were very low (<10), indicating that these peptides are extremely toxic and would not be suitable for therapeutic use as antimicrobials. When considering the TI based on the IC<sub>50</sub>, peptide 4 (Dapmelittin) was the least toxic, with a TI of 18.07 (based on its  $IC_{50}$ for HEK293 cells). Overall, although still considered poor TIs, peptides 2, 3, and 4 (Orn-, Dab-, and Dap-melittin) had higher TIs than peptide 1 (native melittin), and both peptides 5 and 6 (parallel and antiparallel dimers) had lower TIs. When the TIs were calculated using the MIC<sub>50</sub>/MBC<sub>50</sub> toward E. coli (Supplementary Table S1), none of the peptides exhibited TIs >10. However, in general, peptides 2-6 all had higher TIs than native melittin.

All peptides were generally far more lytic toward HEK293 cells than H4IIE cells. Peptides 1, 2, 3, and 6 were the most toxic, and peptides 4 and 5 were the least toxic; however, the differences were not considered significant at peptide concentrations relative to their MBC values. Previous reports of substituting Lys with Orn, Dab, or Dap have shown that each shorter iteration is less and less toxic, and although similar trends were observed here  $(LD_{50}/IC_{50})$  peptide 4 > peptide 3 > peptide 2), the differences were not considered significant, and peptide 4 was more hemolytic than peptides 2 and 3 (O'Brien-Simpson et al., 2016; Mant et al., 2019). Similarly, for hemolysis at concentrations within the MBC range of these peptides, melittin and all analogs were equally very lytic. Although the substitutions and dimerization improved melittin's activity toward E. coli, the concentrations at which the analogs were active were greater than for S. aureus and were thus still very toxic. Better TIs were achieved using the MIC and MBC values of melittin and analogs toward S. aureus than for E. coli.

The lack of change in activity for the Lys-substituted melittin toward S. aureus supports the theory that the positively charged amino acids are predominantly involved in the initial electrostatic interaction with the bacterial membrane. The length of the side chains on the polar face of an AMP has been shown to be a determining factor in the degree of insertion of the peptide into the lipid membrane, with shorter side chains resulting in the peptide being less deeply inserted into the membrane (Uggerhøj et al., 2015; Zelezetsky et al., 2005). This is thought to be due to the shorter alkyl groups increasing the polarity. This does not always result in better activity or reduced toxicity, as shown here and in several other studies, but a decrease in activity is rarely observed (Lu et al., 2020). One advantage of Lys substitutions with Orn, Dab, and Dap is that these substitutions decrease the susceptibility of peptides to protease degradation, thereby increasing the half-life of the peptides (Arias et al., 2018; Khara et al., 2016; Lu et al., 2020). Thus, although the Lys substitutions or dimerization did not always alter antimicrobial activity or significantly reduce the cytotoxicity of melittin in this study, they remain valuable tools in the chemistry toolbox for altering the activity of antimicrobial peptides.

# Conclusion

This work explores the extent to which AMPs can tolerate structural changes and the effect of lysine substitution and dimerization on melittin. Whilst dimerization of the AMP melittin did not result in significantly improved antimicrobial activity toward S. aureus or reduced toxicity at antimicrobial concentrations, this result does not indicate that this strategy would not benefit other AMPs or other microbes. As shown here, dimerization and Dab and Dap substitution significantly improved the antibacterial activity toward E. coli. Given the improved activity observed in these analogs toward E. coli, testing them in other Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria would be of interest to determine whether the improved activity is strain specific. As for toxicity, melittin is already an extremely lytic AMP with no specificity for any organism, meaning reducing toxicity rather than improving its activity may be of more consequence. The non-proteinogenic amino acids Orn, Dab, and Dap were explored as alternatives to Lys in the sequence of melittin to try and address the issue of toxicity. Although unsuccessful in significantly decreasing toxicity at antimicrobial concentrations, peptides 4 (Dap-melittin) and 6 (antiparallel melittin) did show decreased toxicity compared to peptide 1 (melittin) at sub-inhibitory concentrations. It was also shown that these amino acid substitutions did not negatively impact activity or toxicity and, in some cases, even improved activity. This shows these alterations may still have a role to play in the rational design and modification of other AMPs and in other clinical uses for melittin, such as cancer therapies. Numerous studies investigating the anticancer effects of melittin have found it to be effective at doses lower than the MBCs observed in this study (Mahmoodzadeh et al., 2015; Chang et al., 2021; Mir Hassani et al., 2021; Duffy et al., 2020; Jeong et al., 2014). Observing the effect of substituting Lys with Orn, Dab, and Dap in other AMPs would help further our understanding of the role these cationic residues play in membrane insertion, activity, and toxicity. Changing only one Lys, or combinations thereof, would also help explore how specific sequence position impacts their role in peptide structure and activity. Lys has been shown to be important for peptide activity (Torres et al., 2019), with decreased antimicrobial activity observed when substituted with  $\varepsilon$ -lysine, but no change in activity was observed when Lys21 and Lys23 were substituted (Mayandi et al., 2020). Additionally, this could be attempted for the positively charged Arg within the melittin sequence. Given that substituting Lys with Arg often increases activity as well as toxicity, it would be worthwhile investigating whether short-chain analogs of Arg can maintain increased activity but reduce toxicity. In a study by Oren and Shai (1997), Lys (Wayne, 2009), Val (Zasloff, 2002; Askari et al., 2021), and Ile (O'Brien-Simpson et al., 2016) were replaced with D-amino acid equivalents, resulting in a loss of helicity, reduced toxicity but a retention in antimicrobial activity. However, in another study, D-amino acid melittin single peptide was found to retain its toxicity and antimicrobial activity (Sylvestre et al., 2021), whereas a polymer form of D-melittin has significantly reduced toxicity. In future studies, determining the conformation of these analogs would also be useful for establishing the effect these substitutions and dimerization or oligo/polymerization have on the structure and whether a lack of conformational flexibility alters their activity. This study has strongly highlighted melittin's toxicity as its greatest impediment to potential clinical development, as have many others (Askari et al., 2021; Memariani et al., 2019; Guha et al., 2021; Erkoc et al., 2022; Rady et al., 2017; Haque et al., 2023). However, encapsulation in nanocarriers (predominantly being researched for melittin's anticancer effects), truncated

versions of melittin, conjugation to other substances, and synergistic effects of melittin with antibiotics all have the potential to reduce the effective dose of melittin to non-toxic levels (Ye et al., 2021; Gui et al., 2020; Subbalakshmi et al., 1999; Nabizadeh et al., 2023; Bardbari et al., 2018). The topical application remains a potential clinical pathway for full-length melittin, but future studies may benefit from making more drastic changes to melittin rather than single amino acid substitutions or dimerization alone (An et al., 2018; Lima et al., 2021; Bae et al., 2022).

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

### Author contributions

TM: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. WL: Supervision, Writing-review and editing. JH: Supervision, Writing-review and editing. JL: Supervision, Writing-review and editing. SH: Investigation, Project administration, Supervision, Writing-review and editing. NO-S: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia and the Australian Research Council (ARC) are thanked for financial support over many years for the peptide chemistry and chemical biology studies reported in the authors' laboratories. NMOS is the recipient of NHMRC funding (APP1142472, APP1158841, and

### References

Ageitos, J. M., Sánchez-Pérez, A., Calo-Mata, P., and Villa, T. G. (2017). Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs): ancient compounds that represent novel weapons in the fight against bacteria. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 133, 117–138. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2016. 09.018

An, H. J., Kim, J. Y., Kim, W. H., Gwon, M. G., Gu, H. M., Jeon, M. J., et al. (2018). Therapeutic effects of bee venom and its major component, melittin, on atopic dermatitis *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 175, 4310–4324. doi:10.1111/bph.14487

Arias, M., Piga, K., Hyndman, M., and Vogel, H. (2018). Improving the activity of trprich antimicrobial peptides by arg/lys substitutions and changing the length of cationic residues. *Journal* 8, 19. doi:10.3390/biom8020019

Askari, P., Namaei, M. H., Ghazvini, K., and Hosseini, M. (2021). *In vitro* and *in vivo* toxicity and antibacterial efficacy of melittin against clinical extensively drug-resistant bacteria. *Journal* 22, 42. doi:10.1186/s40360-021-00503-z

Bae, S., Gu, H., Gwon, M.-G., An, H.-J., Han, S.-M., Lee, S.-J., et al. (2022). Therapeutic effect of bee venom and melittin on skin infection caused by *Streptococcus pyogenes. Toxins* 14, 663. doi:10.3390/toxins14100663

Bardbari, A. M., Arabestani, M. R., Karami, M., Keramat, F., Aghazadeh, H., Alikhani, M. Y., et al. (2018). Highly synergistic activity of melittin with imipenem and colistin in biofilm inhibition against multidrug-resistant strong biofilm producer strains of Acinetobacter baumannii. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. and Infect. Dis. official Publ. Eur. Soc. Clin. Microbiol.* 37, 443–454. doi:10.1007/s10096-018-3189-7

APP1185426), ARC funding (DP210102781, DP160101312, and LE200100163), Cancer Council Victoria funding (APP1163284), and Australian Dental Research Funding in antimicrobial materials, and research is supported by the Centre for Oral Health Research at The Melbourne Dental School.

## Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the Melbourne Cytometry Platform (Melbourne Dental School, The University of Melbourne) for the provision of flow cytometry services.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1443497/ full#supplementary-material

Brown, E. D., and Wright, G. D. (2016). Antibacterial drug discovery in the resistance era. *Nature* 529, 336–343. doi:10.1038/nature17042

Chang, S.-N., Kim, S.-H., Kim, H. J., Jeong, Y. J., and Lee, K. C. (2021). *In vitro* and *in vivo* investigation of the radiation-sensitizing effects of melittin in breast cancer cells. *Int. J. Peptide Res. Ther.* 28, 8. doi:10.1007/s10989-021-10313-w

Dempsey, C. E., Ueno, S., and Avison, M. B. (2003). Enhanced membrane permeabilization and antibacterial activity of a disulfide-dimerized magainin analogue. *Biochemistry* 42, 402–409. doi:10.1021/bi026328h

Duffy, C., Sorolla, A., Wang, E., Golden, E., Woodward, E., Davern, K., et al. (2020). Honeybee venom and melittin suppress growth factor receptor activation in HER2enriched and triple-negative breast cancer. *NPJ Precis. Oncol.* 4, 24. doi:10.1038/s41698-020-00129-0

Erkoc, P., von Reumont, B. M., Lüddecke, T., Henke, M., Ulshöfer, T., Vilcinskas, A., et al. (2022). The pharmacological potential of novel melittin variants from the honeybee and solitary bees against inflammation and cancer. *Toxins (Basel)* 14, 818. doi:10.3390/toxins14120818

Guha, S., Ferrie, R. P., Ghimire, J., Ventura, C. R., Wu, E., Sun, L., et al. (2021). Applications and evolution of melittin, the quintessential membrane active peptide. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 193, 114769. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2021.114769

Gui, Z., Zhu, J., Ye, S., Ye, J., Chen, J., Ling, Y., et al. (2020). Prolonged melittin release from polyelectrolyte-based nanocomplexes decreases acute toxicity and improves blood

glycemic control in a mouse model of type II diabetes. *Int. J. Pharm.* 577, 119071. doi:10. 1016/j.ijpharm.2020.119071

Hall, K., Lee, T. H., and Aguilar, M. I. (2011). The role of electrostatic interactions in the membrane binding of melittin. J. Mol. Recognit. 24, 108–118. doi:10.1002/jmr.1032

Hancock, R. E., and Sahl, H. G. (2006). Antimicrobial and host-defense peptides as new anti-infective therapeutic strategies. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 24, 1551–1557. doi:10.1038/ nbt1267

Hancock, R. E. W., and Lehrer, R. (1998). Cationic peptides: a new source of antibiotics. Journal 16, 82-88. doi:10.1016/s0167-7799(97)01156-6

Haque, S., Hussain, A., Joshi, H., Sharma, U., Sharma, B., Aggarwal, D., et al. (2023). Melittin: a possible regulator of cancer proliferation in preclinical cell culture and animal models. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* 149, 17709–17726. doi:10.1007/s00432-023-05458-8

Hong, J., Lu, X., Deng, Z., Xiao, S., Yuan, B., and Yang, K. (2019). How melittin inserts into cell membrane: conformational changes, inter-peptide cooperation, and disturbance on the membrane. *Molecules* 24, 1775. doi:10.3390/molecules24091775

Jamasbi, E., Batinovic, S., Sharples, R. A., Sani, M. A., Robins-Browne, R. M., Wade, J. D., et al. (2014). Melittin peptides exhibit different activity on different cells and model membranes. *Amino acids* 46, 2759–2766. doi:10.1007/s00726-014-1833-9

Jamasbi, E., Mularski, A., and Separovic, F. (2016). Model membrane and cell studies of antimicrobial activity of melittin analogues. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 16, 40–45. doi:10. 2174/1568026615666150703115919

Jeon, J., Thurber, K. R., Ghirlando, R., Yau, W.-M., and Tycko, R., 2019, Application of millisecond time-resolved solid state NMR to the kinetics and mechanism of melittin self-assembly, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 116, 16717–16722. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1908006116

Jeong, Y. J., Choi, Y., Shin, J. M., Cho, H. J., Kang, J. H., Park, K. K., et al. (2014). Melittin suppresses EGF-induced cell motility and invasion by inhibiting P13K/Akt/ mTOR signaling pathway in breast cancer cells. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 68, 218–225. doi:10.1016/j.fct.2014.03.022

Khara, J. S., Priestman, M., Uhía, I., Hamilton, M. S., Krishnan, N., Wang, Y., et al. (2016). Unnatural amino acid analogues of membrane-active helical peptides with antimycobacterial activity and improved stability. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 71, 2181–2191. doi:10.1093/jac/dkw107

Kohn, E. M., Shirley, D. J., Arotsky, L., Picciano, A. M., Ridgway, Z., Urban, M. W., et al. (2018). Role of cationic side chains in the antimicrobial activity of C18G. *Molecules* 23, 329. doi:10.3390/molecules23020329

Krauson, A. J., Hall, O. M., Fuselier, T., Starr, C. G., Kauffman, W. B., and Wimley, W. C. (2015). Conformational fine-tuning of pore-forming peptide potency and selectivity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 137, 16144–16152. doi:10.1021/jacs.5b10595

Kim, S., Chen, J., Cheng, T., Gindulyte, A., He, J., He, S., et al. (2023). PubChem 2023 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51 (D1), D1373–D1380. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac956

Lam, S. J., O'Brien-Simpson, N. M., Pantarat, N., Sulistio, A., Wong, E. H. H., Chen, Y.-Y., et al. (2016). *Nat. Microbiol.* 1, 16162. doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.162

Lambert, R. J., and Pearson, J. (2000). Susceptibility testing: accurate and reproducible minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and non-inhibitory concentration (NIC) values. J. Appl. Microbiol. 88, 784–790. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2672.2000.01017.x

Lee, M. T., Sun, T. L., Hung, W. C., and Huang, H. W. (2013). Process of inducing pores in membranes by melittin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 14243–14248. doi:10. 1073/pnas.1307010110

Levison, M. E., and Levison, J. H. (2009). Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of antibacterial agents. *Journal* 23, 791–815. doi:10.1016/j.idc.2009.06.008

Li J, J., Koh, J. J., Liu, S., Lakshminarayanan, R., Verma, C. S., and Beuerman, R. W. (2017). Membrane active antimicrobial peptides: translating mechanistic insights to design. *Front. Neurosci.* 11, 73. doi:10.3389/fnins.2017.00073

Li W, W., O'Brien-Simpson, N. M., Yao, S., Tailhades, J., Reynolds, E. C., Dawson, R. M., et al. (2017). *Chem. – A Eur. J.* 23, 390–396. doi:10.1002/chem.201604172

Lima, W. G., de Brito, J. C. M., Cardoso, V. N., and Fernandes, S. O. A. (2021). Indepth characterization of antibacterial activity of melittin against *Staphylococcus aureus* and use in a model of non-surgical MRSA-infected skin wounds. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 156, 105592. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2020.105592

Liu, S., Yu, M., He, Y., Xiao, L., Wang, F., Song, C., et al. (2008). Melittin prevents liver cancer cell metastasis through inhibition of the Rac1-dependent pathway. *Hepatology* 47, 1964–1973. doi:10.1002/hep.22240

Liu, Z., Young, A. W., Hu, P., Rice, A. J., Zhou, C., Zhang, Y., et al. (2007). Tuning the membrane selectivity of antimicrobial peptides by using multivalent design. *Chembiochem* 8, 2063–2065. doi:10.1002/cbic.200700502

Lorenzón, E. N., Santos-Filho, N. A., Ramos, M. A., Bauab, T. M., Camargo, I. L., and Cilli, E. M. (2016). *Protein Pept. Lett.* 23, 738–747. doi:10.2174/0929866523666160511150907

Lu, J., Xu, H., Xia, J., Ma, J., Xu, J., Li, Y., et al. (2020). D- and unnatural amino acid substituted antimicrobial peptides with improved proteolytic resistance and their proteolytic degradation characteristics. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 563030. doi:10.3389/ fmicb.2020.563030 Mahmoodzadeh, A., Zarrinnahad, H., Bagheri, K. P., Moradia, A., and Shahbazzadeh, D. (2015). First report on the isolation of melittin from Iranian honey bee venom and evaluation of its toxicity on gastric cancer AGS cells. *J. Chin. Med. Assoc.* 78, 574–583. doi:10.1016/j.jcma.2015.06.008

Mant, C. T., Jiang, Z., Gera, L., Davis, T., Nelson, K. L., Bevers, S., et al. (2019). *De novo* designed amphipathic  $\alpha$ -helical antimicrobial peptides incorporating Dab and Dap residues on the polar face to treat the gram-negative pathogen, acinetobacter baumannii. *J. Med. Chem.* 62, 3354–3366. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.8b01785

Mayandi, V., Xi, Q., Leng Goh, E. T., Koh, S. K., Jie Toh, T. Y., Barathi, V. A., et al. (2020). Rational substitution of ε-lysine for α-lysine enhances the cell and membrane selectivity of pore-forming melittin. *J. Med. Chem.* 63, 3522–3537. doi:10.1021/acs. jmedchem.9b01846

Memariani, H., Memariani, M., Shahidi-Dadras, M., Nasiri, S., Akhavan, M. M., and Moravvej, H. (2019). Melittin: from honeybees to superbugs. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 103, 3265–3276. doi:10.1007/s00253-019-09698-y

Mir Hassani, Z., Nabiuni, M., Parivar, K., Abdirad, S., and Karimzadeh, L. (2021). Melittin inhibits the expression of key genes involved in tumor microenvironment formation by suppressing HIF-1 $\alpha$  signaling in breast cancer cells. *Med. Oncol.* 38, 77. doi:10.1007/s12032-021-01526-6

Murray, C. J. I. (2022). Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *The Lancet* 399(10325), 629–655.

Nabizadeh, S., Rahbarnia, L., Nowrozi, J., Farajnia, S., and Hosseini, F. (2023). Rational design of hybrid peptide with high antimicrobial property derived from Melittin and Lasioglossin. J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn., 1–9. doi:10.1080/07391102.2023.2274971

National Center for Biotechnology Information (2024a). PubChem Compound Summary for CID 364, 2,3-Diaminopropionic acid. Available at: https://pubchem. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/2\_3-Diaminopropionic-acid (Accessed July 13, 2024).

National Center for Biotechnology Information (2024b). PubChem Compound Summary for CID 470, 2,4-Diaminobutyric acid. Available at: https://pubchem.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/compound/2\_4-Diaminobutyric-acid (Accessed July 13, 2024).

National Center for Biotechnology Information (2024c). PubChem Compound Summary for CID 5962, Lysine. Available at: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Lysine (Accessed July 13, 2024).

National Center for Biotechnology Information (2024d). PubChem Compound Summary for CID 6262, L-ornithine. Available at: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/compound/L-ornithine (Accessed July 13, 2024).

O'Brien-Simpson, N. M., Pantarat, N., Attard, T. J., Walsh, K. A., and Reynolds, E. C. (2016). *PLoS ONE* 11, e0151694. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0151694

Oren, Z., and Shai, Y. (1997). Selective lysis of bacteria but not mammalian cells by diastereomers of melittin: structure-function study. *Biochemistry* 36, 1826–1835. doi:10. 1021/bi962507l

Pandey, B. K., Ahmad, A., Asthana, N., Azmi, S., Srivastava, R. M., Srivastava, S., et al. (2010). Cell-selective lysis by novel analogues of melittin against human red blood cells and *Escherichia coli*. *Biochemistry* 49, 7920–7929. doi:10.1021/bi100729m

Rady, I., Siddiqui, I. A., Rady, M., and Mukhtar, H. (2017). Melittin, a major peptide component of bee venom, and its conjugates in cancer therapy. *Cancer Lett.* 402, 16–31. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2017.05.010

Ravensdale, J., Wong, Z., O'Brien, F., and Gregg, K. (2016). Efficacy of antibacterial peptides against peptide-resistant MRSA is restored by permeabilization of bacteria membranes. *Front. Microbiol.* 7, 1745. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.01745

Rex, S. (2000). A Pro $\rightarrow$ Ala substitution in melittin affects self-association, membrane binding and pore-formation kinetics due to changes in structural and electrostatic properties. *Biophys. Chem.* 85, 209–228. doi:10.1016/s0301-4622(00)00121-6

Sani, M. A., Loudet, C., Gröbner, G., and Dufourc, E. J. (2007). Pro-apoptotic bax-alpha1 synthesis and evidence for beta-sheet to alpha-helix conformational change as triggered by negatively charged lipid membranes. *J. Pept. Sci.* 13, 100–106. doi:10.1002/psc.803

Subbalakshmi, C., Nagaraj, R., and Sitaram, N. (1999). Biological activities of C-terminal 15-residue synthetic fragment of melittin: design of an analog with improved antibacterial activity. *Journal* 448, 62–66. doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(99) 00328-2

Sylvestre, M., Lv, S., Yang, L. F., Luera, N., Peeler, D. J., Chen, B.-M., et al. (2021). Replacement of L-amino acid peptides with D-amino acid peptides mitigates anti-PEG antibody generation against polymer-peptide conjugates in mice. *J. Control. Release* 331, 142–153. doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2021.01.015

Tamargo, J., Le Heuzey, J.-Y., and Mabo, P. (2015). Narrow therapeutic index drugs: a clinical pharmacological consideration to flecainide. *Journal* 71, 549–567. doi:10.1007/s00228-015-1832-0

Therrien, A., Fournier, A., and Lafleur, M. (2016). Role of the cationic C-terminal segment of melittin on membrane fragmentation. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 120, 3993–4002. doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.5b11705

Torres, M. D. T., Sothiselvam, S., Lu, T. K., and de la Fuente-Nunez, C. (2019). Peptide design principles for antimicrobial applications. *Journal* 431, 3547–3567. doi:10.1016/j. jmb.2018.12.015

Tran, D. V. H., Luong, H. X., Kim, D.-H., Lee, B.-J., and Kim, Y.-W. (2024). Lysinehomologue substitution: impact on antimicrobial activity and proteolytic stability of cationic stapled heptapeptides. *Bioorg. and Med. Chem.* 106, 117735. doi:10.1016/j.bmc. 2024.117735

Uggerhøj, L. E., Poulsen, T. J., Munk, J. K., Fredborg, M., Sondergaard, T. E., Frimodt-Moller, N., et al. (2015). Rational design of alpha-helical antimicrobial peptides: do's and don'ts. *Chembiochem* 16, 242–253. doi:10.1002/cbic.201402581

Unger, T., Oren, Z., and Shai, Y. (2001). The effect of cyclization of magainin 2 and melittin analogues on structure, function, and model membrane interactions: implication to their mode of action. *Journal* 40, 6388–6397. doi:10.1021/bi0026066

CLSI(2018). Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically. 11th ed. CLSI standard M07. *World Health Organ. Tech. Rep. Ser.* Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Available at: https://www. nih.org.pk/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/CLSI-2020.pdf.

World-Health-Organisation, Global priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research, discovery, and development of new antibiotics, 2017.

Wu, X., Zhao, B., Cheng, Y., Yang, Y., Huang, C., Meng, X., et al. (2015). Melittin induces PTCH1 expression by down-regulating MeCP2 in human hepatocellular carcinoma SMMC-7721 cells. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* 288, 74–83. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2015.07.010

Yang, S. T., Kim, J. I., and Shin, S. Y. (2009). Effect of dimerization of a  $\beta$ -turn antimicrobial peptide, PST13-RK, on antimicrobial activity and mammalian cell toxicity. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 31, 233–237. doi:10.1007/s10529-008-9848-5

Ye, R., Zheng, Y., Chen, Y., Wei, X., Shi, S., Chen, Y., et al. (2021). Stable loading and delivery of melittin with lipid-coated polymeric nanoparticles for effective tumor therapy with negligible systemic toxicity. *ACS Appl. Mater. and interfaces* 13, 55902–55912. doi:10.1021/acsami.1c17618

Zasloff, M. (2002). Antimicrobial peptides of multicellular organisms. *Journal* 415, 389–395. doi:10.1038/415389a

Zelezetsky, I., Pacor, S., Pag, U., Papo, N., Shai, Y., Sahl, H. G., et al. (2005). Controlled alteration of the shape and conformational stability of alpha-helical cell-lytic peptides: effect on mode of action and cell specificity. *Biochem. J.* 390, 177–188. doi:10.1042/BJ20042138

Zelezetsky, I., and Tossi, A. (2006). Alpha-helical antimicrobial peptides-using a sequence template to guide structure-activity relationship studies. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1758, 1436–1449. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2006.03.021

Zhang, H., Zhao, B., Huang, C., Meng, X. M., Bian, E. B., and Li, J. (2014). Melittin restores PTEN expression by down-regulating HDAC2 in human hepatocelluar carcinoma HepG2 cells. *PLoS One* 9, e95520. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095520

Zhang, Z., Zhang, H., Peng, T., Li, D., and Xu, J. (2016). Melittin suppresses cathepsin S-induced invasion and angiogenesis via blocking of the VEGF-A/VEGFR-2/MEK1/ ERK1/2 pathway in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncol. Lett.* 11, 610–618. doi:10. 3892/ol.2015.3957