#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Sheema Khan, The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, United States

REVIEWED BY Dalinda Isabel Sánchez-Vidaña, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR, China Yongsheng Chen, Jinan University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jian Wang, ⋈ maswijian@126.com

RECEIVED 19 June 2024 ACCEPTED 18 October 2024 PUBLISHED 05 November 2024

#### CITATION

Zeng J, Wu Q, Meng XD and Wang J (2024) Systematic review of Buzhong Yiqi method in alleviating cancer-related fatigue: a metaanalysis and exploratory network pharmacology approach. *Front. Pharmacol.* 15:1451773. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1451773

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zeng, Wu, Meng and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Systematic review of Buzhong Yiqi method in alleviating cancer-related fatigue: a meta-analysis and exploratory network pharmacology approach

# Ji Zeng<sup>1,2</sup>, Qi Wu<sup>3</sup>, Xu-Dong Meng<sup>4</sup> and Jian Wang<sup>4</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Clinical Pharmacy Department, Department of Pharmacy, Ma'anshan City Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Ma'anshan, Anhui, China, <sup>2</sup>Inflammation and Immune Mediated Diseases Laboratory of Anhui Province, Anhui Institute of Innovative Drugs, School of Pharmacy, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, Anhui, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Ma'anshan City People's Hospital, Ma'anshan, China, <sup>4</sup>The Thyroid and Breast Surgery Department, Ma'anshan City People's Hospital, Ma'anshan, China

**Objectives:** Cancer-related fatigue (CRF) is a prevalent and distressing symptom experienced by many cancer patients, necessitating effective treatments. This study utilizes meta-analysis and network pharmacology to comprehensively assess the efficacy of the Buzhong Yiqi prescription in alleviating cancer-related fatigue and to preliminarily explore the mechanism of its core drugs.

Methods: We included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in cancer patients. The inclusion criteria encompassed a diagnosis of cancer-related fatigue, without limitation on cancer type, the experimental group receiving Buzhong Yigi prescription, the control group receiving conventional treatment, patients awaiting treatment, and articles published in either English or Chinese. We conducted a search through 29 February 2024, across PubMed, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Controlled Clinical Trials (CENTRAL), China Biomedical Literature Service (CBM), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), WANFANG Database, and Weipu Database (VIP). Journal articles that met the inclusion criteria were selected for inclusion. Two independent investigators evaluated the quality of the included studies. A meta-analysis was performed utilizing the Stata 12.0 software package, where estimates of cancer-related fatigue were aggregated through the application of a random-effects model. We employed the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool to evaluate potential biases in RCTs. The primary outcome measures utilized to assess the efficacy and safety of CRF treatment comprised the Revised Piper Fatique Scale (PFS-R) and the Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30 (EORTC QLQ-C30). The secondary outcomes encompassed the KPS score, the effective rate, the TCM syndrome score, and an evaluation of adverse reactions. The Traditional Chinese Medicine Systems Pharmacology (TCMSP) was utilized to identify the active ingredients and targets of BZD. Additionally, the Drug bank, Therapeutic Target Database (TTD), DiaGeNET, and GeneCards databases were utilized to retrieve relevant targets for CRC. The Venn diagram was employed to identify overlapping targets. Cytoscape software was utilized to construct a network of "herb-ingredient-target" and identify core targets. GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses were performed using R language software.

**Results:** In comparison to the control group, patients with CRF who received BZYQ prescription exhibited marked improvements in KPS score, QLQ-C30 quality of life score, and effective rate. Conversely, PFS, TCM syndrome score, and adverse reaction assessments significantly decreased. The primary active ingredients in its core drugs may exert a positive therapeutic effect on CRF by targeting molecules such as AKT1, IL6, IL1B, PTGS2, CASP3, ESR1, and BCL2, as well as through signaling pathways including TNF, IL17, TLR, NF- $\kappa$ B, and C-type lectin receptor.

**Conclusion:** BZYQ demonstrates significant efficacy in treating CRF with minimal adverse reactions. It can serve as a fundamental treatment for CRF in clinical practice, and the medication can be tailored to individual patients for personalized therapy. The potential pharmacological mechanism of BZYQ in treating CRF, as predicted by network pharmacology, offers a molecular foundation for clinical CRF treatment.

Systematic Review Registration: https://inplasy.com, identifier INPLASY202430025

KEYWORDS

Buzhong Yiqi, cancer-related fatigue, meta-analysis, mechanism, network pharmacology

# Introduction

Cancer patients suffer from a complex range of symptoms, including pain, weakness, sleep disturbances, and fatigue, throughout their treatment (Lawrence et al., 2004). These symptoms may limit the ability to function in activities of daily living. Fatigue is a particularly common and troubling symptom for cancer patients that has a negative impact on quality of life (QoL) throughout all phases of treatment and stages of the illness (Bower et al., 2000; Curt et al., 2000). Cancer and its treatments often trigger fatigue, either directly or indirectly through associated toxicities. The majority of cancer patients experience CRF during active treatment, with peak fatigue occurring at the end of treatment and gradually decreasing thereafter (Groenvold et al., 2007; Braun et al., 2008). A significant proportion of cancer survivors who are disease-free experience fatigue for years after completing active treatment (Braun et al., 2008). CRF is a complex and multidimensional condition encompassing environmental, physical, affective, cognitive, psychosocial, and spiritual factors (Bower, 2014). Its rapid onset, severe symptoms, persistence, and unpredictability significantly diminish the QoL and survival of cancer patients (Vogelzang et al., 1997). Despite the growing number of studies on the mechanism, treatment, and care of CRF, western medicine still lacks comprehensive evaluation and effective treatment for CRF (Thong et al., 2020). The main treatment drugs for CRF are central nervous system stimulants and corticosteroids, but their limited effects and obvious adverse reactions make them difficult to promote in clinical practice (Bower, 2014; Thong et al., 2020).

Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) posits that CRF falls under the category of "fatigue," and the symptoms of fatigue discussed in the "Golden Essentials" closely resemble the clinical manifestations of CRF (Berger et al., 2015). Currently, TCM prescriptions are being explored as a new approach to treating CRF (Hsu et al., 2012). The Buzhong Yiqi (BZYQ) prescription has been validated to have a certain therapeutic effect on CRF, with its core therapeutic drugs including: Astragalus (huangqi), Ginseng (renshen), Atractylodes Rhizoma (baizhu), Licorice (gancao), Tangerine peel (chenpi), Angelica sinensis (danggiu), Ascending hoist (shengma) and Bupleurum (chaihu). Depending on the specific conditions of individual patients, the basic medication is adjusted accordingly (Berger et al., 2015).

Recently, network pharmacology has utilized systems biology theory to analyzed biological system networks, select specific signal nodes for designing multi-target drug molecules, emphasize multichannel regulation of signaling pathways, improve drug treatment efficacy, and reduce toxic side effects (Jiashuo et al., 2022). This aims to enhance the success rate of new drug clinical trials and reduce the cost of drug research and development (Jiashuo et al., 2022; Nogales et al., 2022).

Our study involved systematic meta-analysis to assess the efficacy and safety of BZYQ in treating CRF. In accordance with pertinent clinical guidelines, the PFS-R and the EORTC QLQ-C30 were chosen as primary outcome measures to assess the effectiveness and safety of CRF therapy. The secondary outcomes were KPS score, effective rate, TCM syndrome score, and assessment of adverse reactions. Additionally, we utilized network pharmacology to explore the pharmacological mechanism of BZYQ in CRF treatment, aiming to lay the groundwork for further investigation into the mechanisms of TCM ingredients in CRF treatment.

# Materials and methods

The protocol was registered on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (INPLASY, https://inplasy.com; registration No. INPLASY202430025) on 7 March 2024.

# Search strategy

We searched the digital databased PubMed, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Cochrane Controlled Clinical Trials (CENTRAL), China Biomedical Literature Service (CBM), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), WANFANG Database,



and VIPP Database from there inception to 29 February 2024. A search strategy was devised for each database (Table 1 in the Supplementary Figure S1), targeting articles published in English or Chinese.

# Inclusion and exclusion criteria

For inclusion, studies had to meet the specified criteria: 1) Utilization of a randomized controlled trial (RCT) design, 2) Inclusion of adult participants ( $\geq$ 18 years) with cancer, 3) Measurement of cancer-related fatigue (CRF) severity as an outcome, with primary outcomes including progression-free survival rate (PFS-R) and EORTC QLQ-C30, and secondary outcomes encompassing KPS score, effective rate, TCM syndrome score, and assessment of adverse reactions, 4) Evaluation of CRF severity not limited to its status as an adverse effect of cancer treatment, 5) Utilization of the BZYQ prescription as an intervention, 6) Avoidance of using reduced energy, vitality, or vigor as measures of fatigue, as these constructs are qualitatively distinct from CRF.

We excluded studies using BZYQ prescription as a control group and those not primarily focused on combination therapy. In the context of our study, while CRF may have been measured in studies utilizing BZYQ as a comparator, our primary aim was to ascertain whether BZYQ prescription therapy surpassed conventional treatments, including methylphenidate and corticosteroids. Consequently, we excluded articles that employed BZYQ as a control group.

# Study selection

JZ conducted a comprehensive database search. Two reviewers (QW, XDM) independently screened titles and abstracts for eligibility. If there was disagreement, consensus was reached through discussion. Studies were excluded at this stage only if the available information in the title or the abstract clearly indicated ineligibility. Full texts of the remaining articles were retrieved and independently reviewed by the two reviewers. A consensus meeting was held to address any disagreement. The process for selecting studies is illustrated in Figure 1.

# Assessment of risk of bias

Two independent reviewers will assess the risk of bias for each included RCT using the Cochrane Collaboration's risk of bias tool (Higgins et al., 2011). The risk of bias will be critically assessed across seven domains: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting and other sources of bias. This assessment will involve categorizing the risk of bias as "low," "high," or "unclear." We will exclusively include RCTs that employ rigorously described randomisation procedures, ensuring that only those with a low risk of bias in random sequence generation are considered for inclusion. Any disagreements will be resolved through discussion or by reaching a consensus with a third reviewer. The graphical representation of the assessment of risk of bias will be produced using RevMan V.5.4.

#### 10.3389/fphar.2024.1451773

# Data extraction

Two reviewers independently performed article selection and data extraction. Discrepant results were discussed until consensus was reached. The initial set of studies was selected based on title and abstract screening, followed by full-text analysis for confirmation. In cases of multiple records for the same trial, only the earliest publication with the most comprehensive reporting was included. Excluded studies after full-text analysis were documented with reasons for exclusion. Data was extracted into an Excel spreadsheet. Data for the calculation of effect size for primary outcomes (PFS-R and QLQ-C30) and secondary outcomes (KPS score, effective rate, TCM syndrome score, and assessment of adverse reactions) from the first time point after the end of the period of the intervention under assessment in the specific study were extracted by one reviewer (QW) and verified by a second reviewer (XDM). Change values were extracted when both the mean and standard deviation (SD) of the changes were available, or when the available data permitted their calculation. When change values were unavailable or uncalculable, the mean and standard deviation (SD) of post-treatment values were extracted. When SDs were unavailable, various approaches were employed to estimate them, including utilizing p-values, confidence intervals (CIs), and extracting data directly from figures. When only the median and interquartile ranges (IQRs) are reported, the mean can be approximated by the median, and the standard deviation (SD) can be estimated by dividing the IQR by 1.35 (Cumpston et al., 2022). The effective sizes were transformed by reversing the signs of the means, ensuring that higher values consistently represented increased fatigue (Cheung and Vijayakumar, 2016). If reported numeric data was insufficient for effect size calculation or if non-numeric data was missing, the reviewers contacted the authors.

# Summary of evidence

We will utilize the GRADE methodology to assess the quality of evidence and present concise "Summary of Findings" tables. The "Summary of Findings" tables will be produced utilizing the GRADE working group's software, specifically GRADEpro or GRADEpro GDT, accessible at www.gradepro.org (Higgins et al., 2011). The content of the "Summary of Findings" tables, which encompass key outcomes pertinent to patients and decision-makers, will be determined by the aforementioned review group. Whenever feasible, both relative and absolute measures of effect will be included. The GRADE approach assesses the quality of evidence, categorizing it as "high," "moderate," "low," or "very low," based on the outcome. Evidence may be downgraded in its category due to concerns regarding risk of bias, imprecision, inconsistency, indirectness, or publication bias. Conversely, it may be upgraded if it demonstrates a large effect size, plausible confounding that could alter the effect size, or a clear dose-response relationship. Reviewers will adjust the evidence rating based on the GRADE criteria outlined in Chapter 11 of the Cochrane Handbook, considering both the anticipated effects and the differences within the primary group of interest (Higgins et al., 2011; Lin and Chu, 2018). The overall quality of the evidence will be evaluated based on the assessments of both individual reviewers and the collective decision of the review board.

# Statistical analysis

The statistical software Stata 18.0 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, United States) and Review Manager 5.4 (Nordic Cochran Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark) were utilized for the statistical analyses. Heterogeneity among the studies was assessed using Cochrane's Q test and I2 statistics (Migliavaca et al., 2022). If p > 0.1 or I2<50%, a fixed effects model was employed for the meta-analysis; otherwise, a random effects model was used. The Mantel–Haenszel method will be used to pool dichotomous data, presenting results as risk ratios (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The inverse variance method will be used to pool continuous data, presenting results as standardized mean differences (SMD) with 95% Cis (Kuritz et al., 1988). A two-tailed *p*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Publication bias was assessed using funnel plots and Begg's test when 4 or more studies were included in the meta-analysis. If publication bias was present, a trim-and-fill method was used to adjust the estimates from unpublished studies, and the adjusted results were compared with the original pooled RR (Lin, 2020). Sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess the impact of each individual study on the combined results by systematically removing one study at a time from the pooled analysis (Hegedus et al., 2012).

# Collection of effective ingredients and targets of BZYQ

The TCMSP database (https://tcmspw.com/tcmsp.php) was utilized to identify the chemical components of different drugs in BZYQ (Ru et al., 2014). This study employed the criteria of oral bioavailability (OB)  $\geq$  20% and drug-likeness (DL)  $\geq$  0.1 as screening conditions for effective ingredients (35) (Ru et al., 2014). The target proteins of the active drugs were compared with those in the TCMID and DrugBank databases, and subsequently standardized to human genes using the UniProt database (Consortium, 2023).

# Collection of CRF targets

The targets for cancer-related fatigue (CRF) were obtained from 2 databases, namely, GeneCards (https://www.genecards.org) and OMIM (https://www.omim.org) (Stelzer et al., 2016; Amberger and Hamosh, 2017).

# Intersection target and construction of "BZYQ-CRF" network

The intersection target of BZYQ and CRF was obtained using R language version 4.3.2 (Muley et al., 2023). Herbs, active ingredients, and intersection targets were integrated into Cytoscape 3.10.2 to construct a "BZYQ-CRF" network (Doncheva et al., 2019).

# Analysis of protein-protein interaction

The intersection targets of BZYQ and CRF were input into the STRING database (https://string-db.org/) (Szklarczyk et al., 2023). Protein interaction data with a confidence level (score >0.4) was selected and saved in a TSV format file. The information of node1, node2, and combination scores from the file was imported into Cytoscape software to construct a PPI network (Doncheva et al., 2019).

# GO and KEGG enrichment analysis

The "ClusterProfiler" package was used to perform GO and KEGG enrichment analysis on overlapping targets (Yu et al., 2012). The visualization of the enrichment analysis results was carried out using the "ggplot2" package, and the R language version 4.3.2 was used to create the barplot and dotplot (Gustavsson et al., 2022).

# Results

# Search results

The systematic database search and manual search yielded 251 articles. We screened 34 full texts and ultimately included 24 for further qualitative and quantitative analyses (Figure 1). A final list of the 24 articles finally included in the quantitative analysis is provided in Table 2 of Supplementary Figure S4. Subsequently, we conducted an analysis of six outcome indicators, comprising two primary measures (QLQ-C30 and PFS-R) and four secondary measures (KPS score, TCM syndrome score, effective rate, and ADR).

# Patient characteristics

This study included 24 research studies, encompassing a total of 1886 patients, 40 of whom were from South Korea. Among the patients, 989 were male, accounting for approximately 52.44%, and 897 were female, accounting for about 47.56%. The average age of patients experiencing cancer-related fatigue was 56.84 years (ranging from 41 to 80 years). The study involved X types of cancer, including XX and XX, with the remaining types being unspecified. The intervention period of the BZYQ prescription varied from 2 to 12 weeks, comprising 5 studies of 2 or 3 weeks, 7 studies of 4 weeks, and 2 studies of 6, 8, and 12 weeks. Additionally, the study encompassed both BZYQ decoction and BZYQ granules. The characteristics of the included studies are detailed in Table 1.

# Intervention and control conditions

The baseline sample comprised 1886 participants, with 939 assigned to the control group and 947 to the intervention

group. The mean duration of interventions was 5.8 weeks (range, 2–12). Regarding control interventions, 22 studies (91.7%) used standard cancer care, no intervention, or waitlist control, while 2 studies (8.3%) used auricular pressure as a control. All included studies reported nationally and internationally accepted diagnostic criteria for malignancy and cancer-related fatigue. Additionally, 9 studies reported KPS scores, 8 reported Piper fatigue modified scales, 6 reported TCM syndrome scores, 4 reported QLQ-C30 quality of life scales, 7 reported TCM effective rates, and 8 reported adverse reactions. The mean and SD for all outcome measures, including both primary and secondary outcomes, are presented in Table 4 of Supplementary Figure S6. Additionally, the effective rate and adverse reaction outcomes are detailed in Table 5 of Supplementary Figure S7.

# Quality assessment

All the studies included in this analysis were randomized and controlled. 9 utilized the random number table method, 2 utilized the lottery method, and the remaining studies did not specify the randomization process. Quality assessment of the risk of bias is shown in Figure 2; Table 3 in Supplementary Figure S5. The results indicated that the article retrieved for this study exhibited a medium to high level of quality, as presented in Table 6 of Supplementary Figure S8.

# Therapeutic efficacy assessments

## **KPS** score

Nine clinical trials, involving 694 patients, were conducted to compare the KPS scores between the two groups. The pooled results, as depicted in Figures 3A, B, indicated that patients who underwent BZYQ prescription therapy showed an improvement in KPS score (RR = 1.12, 95%CI = 0.45–1.80, p = 0.001) compared to those who received conventional treatments alone. The KPS score (p < 0.00001, I2 = 94%) exhibited heterogeneity among the studies, thus a random-effect model was employed for the analysis of RR, while a fixed-effect model was used otherwise.

## Piper fatigue modified scales

Eight clinical trials, involving 735 patients, compared the modified Piper Fatigue Scale between two groups. As depicted in Figures 4–7A, B, the scores for cognitive, sensory, emotional, and behavioral aspects of the Piper Fatigue Scale decreased significantly (p < 0.05) after treatment with the BZYQ prescription, compared to the baseline results of the patients.

# QLQ-C30 quality of life score

Four clinical trials, involving 330 patients, compared the QLQ-C30 quality of life scores between two groups. As

CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)
Jong Soo Jeong 2010	$53.4 \pm 8.0$ $49.4 \pm 10.8$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction extract granule (OA)	2 weeks	6
高可新2021	$62.24 \pm 6.85$ $61.73 \pm 6.49$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	1 month	1 456
梅莎莎2023	$65.86 \pm 7.37$ $66.15 \pm 6.94$	CT/CT + BZYQ granule (OA)	1 month	_
苏羚子2023	56.0 + 7.18 66.15 + 7.97	CT/CT + BZYQ granule (OA)	3 weeks	6
陈志成2017	$57.64 \pm 5.18$ $58.03 \pm 5.22$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	3 weeks	6
谢燕华2020	$48.56 \pm 3.41$ $48.52 \pm 3.44$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	2 weeks/course, 2 course	26
于建华2019	$58.78 \pm 5.98$ $58.07 \pm 6.36$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	3 weeks	36
杨昌卫2018	$75.01 \pm 10.61$ $74.89 \pm 10.18$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	1 month	23
谭刚2021	$55.89 \pm 4.41$ $56.17 \pm 4.29$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction combined with Shashen Maidong decoction (OA)	12 weeks	2
王欣2023	$56.23 \pm 2.56$ $56.43 \pm 2.37$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction and Siwu decoction (OA)	2 weeks	14
段春燕2021	40~78 41~78	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	1 month	10
梁冰2024	$60.13 \pm 8.08$ $58.29 \pm 7.83$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction combined with Ling turtle eight methods (OA)	8 weeks	1 45
张新庆2022	$53.62 \pm 9.45$ $52.98 \pm 9.86$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction and Gua Sha syndrome differentiation (OA)	1 month	10
李欣欣2023	$\begin{array}{r} 48.02 \pm 7.38 \\ 47.85 \pm 7.41 \end{array}$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	2 weeks	3 56
陈诗园2020	$\begin{array}{c} 49.83 \pm 13.02 \\ 48.20 \pm 12.44 \end{array}$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	1 month	3
李恩强2022	$51.71 \pm 8.31$ $51.08 \pm 8.27$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	3 months	16
林振荣2018	54~76 55~74	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	2 weeks	6
姜爱萍2022	$63.16 \pm 7.29$ $61.62 \pm 3.39$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	1 month	2
朱国栋2016	_	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	56 days	1
王国华2022	$55.30 \pm 2.05$ $59.30 \pm 2.50$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	1 month	3
刘海洋2022	$40.66 \pm 3.66$ $41.11 \pm 3.69$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	42 days	26
陈 宁2017	54.3 ± 5.7 54.6 ± 5.5	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	3 weeks	_
宁博彪2020	$61.2 \pm 9.0$ $61.0 \pm 12.5$	CT/CT + BZYQ decoction (OA)	12 weeks	1 245
季尹霞2021	$48.50 \pm 6.84$ 50 39 + 7 02	CT/CT + Meridian flow theory under the guidance of mild moxibustion and BZYO	2 weeks	1 45

TABLE 1 Clinical information from the eligible trials in the meta-analysis.

Notes: Control group: conventional treatments alone group; Experimental group: Conventional treatments and BZYQ, prescription combined group. (1) KPS, score; (2) Piper fatigue modified scales; (3) QLQ-C30, quality of Life score; (4) TCM, syndrome score; (5) Effective rate; (6) Adverse reactions.

Abbreviations: CT, conventional treatments; OA, oral administration.

 $50.39 \pm 7.02$ 

guidance of mild moxibustion and BZYQ

decoction (OA)



Risk of bias. Review of authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for included studies. (A) bar plot of risk of bias. The composition ratio of different risks in each field of all included studies is shown. (B) Summary of risk of bias. The risk of bias of each included study in each field and the grade evaluation results of the overall risk of bias are shown. Note: Each color represents a different level of bias: read for high-risk, green for low-risk, and yellow for unclear-risk of bias.

depicted in Figures 8A, B, there was no significant difference in the QLQ-C30 scores of the patients at baseline (p > 0.05). However, the QLQ-C30 scores of the patients significantly increased after treatment with the BZYQ prescription (p < 0.05), indicating an improvement in the patients' quality of life to a certain extent.

# Effective rate and TCM syndrome score

According to the TCM Syndrome Efficacy Score Scale, the TCM syndrome score is the sum of each pattern. Seven articles, involving 538 patients, only reported the clinical effectiveness rate (Figure 10A), while six articles, involving 448 patients, reported the TCM syndrome scores (Figures 9A, B). After treatment with the BZYQ prescription, the clinical effectiveness rate and TCM syndrome scores of the patients showed a significant increase compared to the baseline results (p < 0.05).

# Assessment of adverse reactions

Figure 11A shows that patients treated with the BZYQ prescription and conventional methods had lower incidences of adverse reactions (RR = 0.66, 95% CI = 0.46–0.95), indicating a statistically significant difference between the two groups (p < 0.05). The heterogeneity test revealed statistical heterogeneity in adverse reactions (p = 0.02, I2 = 57%), leading to the use of a random effects model for pooling this meta-analysis, while a fixed-effect model was used otherwise.

# **Publication bias**

Figures 3–9C, 10, 11B shows that the funnel plots and Begg's regression tests results indicated no publication bias in the effective rate (Begg = 0.1331), adverse reactions (Begg = 0.9015), KPS score (Begg = 0.0763), cognitive aspect of the Piper Fatigue Scale (Begg = 0.2655), sensory aspect of the Piper Fatigue Scale (Begg = 0.5362),

# A.Forest Plot of KPS score (Baseline period)

	Buzhong '	Yiqi prescr	iption	Other trea	tment met	hods	s	td. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
季尹霞 2021	53.64	7.43	40	54.34	7.09	40	11.6%	-0.10 [-0.53, 0.34]	
宁博彪 2020	68.2	7.66	38	67.8	10.17	36	10.7%	0.04 [-0.41, 0.50]	
张新庆 2022	71.69	9.98	50	72.15	10.63	52	14.8%	-0.04 [-0.43, 0.34]	
朱国栋 2016	80.25	16.32	30	78.15	12.69	30	8.7%	0.14 [-0.36, 0.65]	
李恩强 2022	64.19	8.44	43	64.42	8.36	43	12.5%	-0.03 [-0.45, 0.40]	
梁冰 2024	73.12	5.71	42	76.66	5.84	42	11.6%	-0.61 [-1.05, -0.17]	
段春燕 2021	74.33	12.51	40	75	11.96	40	11.6%	-0.05 [-0.49, 0.38]	
王欣 2023	60.61	4.23	30	60.34	4.51	30	8.7%	0.06 [-0.45, 0.57]	
高可新 2021	66.49	7.93	34	65.89	8.45	34	9.9%	0.07 [-0.40, 0.55]	
Total (95% CI)			347			347	100.0%	-0.07 [-0.22, 0.08]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 7	7.37, df = 8 (P	P = 0.50); I <sup>2</sup>	= 0%						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.90 (P =	0.37)							-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 Favours [Buzhong Yigi] Favours [other]

# B.Forest Plot of KPS score (after treatment)

	Buzhong '	Yiqi prescri	iption	Other trea	tment met	hods		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% CI
季理度2021	67.09	7.14	40	78.95	8.82	40	11.2%	-1.46 [-1.96, -0.97]	
宁博能2020	76.7	7.22	38	88.2	10.14	36	11.2%	-1.30 [-1.80, -0.79]	
张镐夫2022	81.84	9.31	50	87.86	9.52	52	11.4%	-0.63 [-1.03, -0.24]	
朱国东2016	59.31	10.23	30	48.5	8.44	30	11.0%	1.14 [0.59, 1.69]	
李愿量2022	75.17	7.14	43	88.39	9.82	43	11.2%	-1.53 [-2.01, -1.04]	
剩水2024	82.24	2.35	42	88.15	1.96	42	10.9%	-2.71 [-3.31, -2.11]	
段存燕2021	82.33	9.35	40	87.67	10.4	40	11.3%	-0.53 [-0.98, -0.09]	
田秋2023	67.22	2.93	30	75.86	3.66	30	10.6%	-2.57 [-3.27, -1.88]	
高可新2021	78.58	11.21	34	85.39	10.45	34	11.2%	-0.62 [-1.11, -0.13]	
Total (95% CI)			347			347	100.0%	-1.12 [-1.80, -0.45]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = (	0.99; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1	28.28, df =	8 (P < 0.0	00001); l <sup>2</sup> = 9	94%			announcer my that sources	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 3.27 (P =	0.001)							-4 -2 0 2 4 Favours [Buzhong Yiqi] Favours [other]





# D.KPS score sensitivity analysis



#### FIGURE 3

Comparisons of KPS score between experimental and control group. (A) The forest plot shows the comparison of KPS scores between the experimental group and the control group in the baseline period; (B) The forest plot shows a comparison of KPS scores between the experimental and control groups after BZYQ treatment; (C) Funnel plot of KPS score publication bias; (D) Sensitivity analysis for KPS score.

emotional aspect of the Piper Fatigue Scale (Begg = 0.7105), behavioral aspect of the Piper Fatigue Scale (Begg = 0.1078), TCM syndrome score (Begg = 0.2597), and QLQ-C30 quality of life score (Begg = 0.8241).

# Sensitivity analysis

As shown in Figures 3–9D, 10, 11C, sensitivity analyses were performed for all studies, and the results showed that one study was

# A.Forest Plot of Piper scale of cognitive dimensions (Baseline period)

	Buzhong Y	iqi prescr	iption	Other treat	ment met	hods	5	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
刘海洋 2022	7.55	1.35	52	7.52	1.33	51	13.3%	0.02 [-0.36, 0.41]	
姜爱萍 2022	4.99	0.54	59	4.88	0.67	59	14.0%	0.18 [-0.18, 0.54]	
宁博彪 2020	36.3	4	38	32.8	2.66	36	10.8%	1.01 [0.53, 1.50]	
张新庆 2022	4.98	1.16	50	5.03	1.12	52	13.2%	-0.04 [-0.43, 0.34]	
杨昌卫 2018	6.1	1.12	40	6.07	1.09	40	11.9%	0.03 [-0.41, 0.47]	
段春燕 2021	6.6	1.35	40	6.47	1.57	40	11.9%	0.09 [-0.35, 0.53]	
谢燕华 2020	6.15	0.26	46	6.19	0.25	46	12.7%	-0.16 [-0.56, 0.25]	
谭刚 2021	31.27	5.53	43	30.94	5.38	43	12.3%	0.06 [-0.36, 0.48]	
Total (95% CI)			368			367	100.0%	0.13 [-0.09, 0.35]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0	.06; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 16	5.06, df = 7	(P = 0.02	2); l² = 56%					
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.17 (P = 0	0.24)							-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 Favours [Buzhong Yigi] Favours [other]

# B.Forest Plot of Piper scale of cognitive dimensions (after treatment)

	Buzhong Y	iqi prescri	ption	Other treat	tment met	hods	1	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
tudy or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
小海洋 2022	2.55	0.74	52	4.22	1.04	51	12.6%	-1.84 [-2.30, -1.38]	_ <b></b>
愛萍 2022	4.11	0.57	59	4.55	0.56	59	12.8%	-0.77 [-1.15, -0.40]	
=博彪 2020	31	2.14	38	35.4	2.53	36	12.3%	-1.86 [-2.41, -1.31]	
<b>K新庆 2022</b>	4.83	0.75	50	4.94	0.88	52	12.8%	-0.13 [-0.52, 0.26]	
6昌卫 2018	4.64	0.84	40	5.15	0.95	40	12.6%	-0.56 [-1.01, -0.12]	
B春燕 2021	6.17	1.68	40	6.07	1.51	40	12.6%	0.06 [-0.38, 0.50]	<b>-</b> _
燕华 2020	4.06	0.66	46	6.15	0.24	46	11.7%	-4.17 [-4.91, -3.43]	
戰列 2021	25.18	1.74	43	28.33	2.31	43	12.5%	-1.53 [-2.01, -1.04]	_ <b>—</b>
otal (95% CI)			368			367	100.0%	-1.32 [-2.06, -0.58]	-
leterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	1.09; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1	44.05, df :	= 7 (P < 0.	00001); I <sup>2</sup> =	95%			-	
est for overall effect:	Z = 3.48 (P =	0.0005)							-2 -1 U 1 2 Favours (Buzhong Yigi) Favours (other)

### C.Piper scale of cognitive dimensions publication bias



# D.Piper scale of cognitive dimensions sensitivity analysis



#### FIGURE 4

Comparisons of Piper scale of cognitive dimensions between experimental and control group. (A) The forest plot shows the comparison of Piper scale of cognitive dimensions between the experimental group and the control group in the baseline period; (B) The forest plot shows a comparison of Piper scale of cognitive dimensions between the experimental and control groups after BZYQ treatment; (C) Funnel plot of Piper scale of cognitive dimensions publication bias; (D) Sensitivity analysis for Piper scale of cognitive dimensions.

heterogeneous in terms of KPS scores. In terms of Piper Fatigue Scale, heterogeneity was not significant after one study was excluded. In terms of QLQ-C30 quality of life score, one study was excluded, and the heterogeneity was not significant. No individual studies significantly affected the rest indicators, which indicated statistically robust results.

#### A.Forest Plot of Piper scale of sensory dimensions (Baseline period) an Differen Std. Mean Difference rigi prescription Study or Subgrou SD Tota Total IV, Random, 95% C IV, Random, 95% CI SD 13.4% 14.2% 10.6% 13.3% 11.8% 11.8% 刘海洋 2022 6.87 1.52 52 6.98 1.44 0.07 [-0.46, 0.31] 51 59 36 52 40 40 40 46 43 姜愛萍 2022 宁博彪 2020 张新庆 2022 5.94 0.9 59 5.82 0.94 0.13 [-0.23, 0.49] 32.6 5.7 4.6 36.4 4.38 3.87 0.91 [0.43, 1.39] 38 50 40 40 5.65 1.11 1.19 -0.04 [-0.43, 0.35] 杨昌卫 2018 段春燕 2021 4.56 0.89 -0.04 [-0.48, 0.40] 6.23 1.68 6.03 1.52 0.12 (-0.32, 0.56) 谢燕华 2020 4.28 0.31 46 4.3 0.29 12.7% -0.07 [-0.47, 0.34 谭刚 2021 34.87 5.29 43 35.21 5.03 12.2% -0.07 [-0.49, 0.36] Total (95% CI) 368 367 100.0% 0.09 [-0.11, 0.30] Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.04; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 13.78, df = Test for overall effect: Z = 0.89 (P = 0.38) 7 (P = 0.06); I<sup>2</sup> = 49% -0.5 0.5 ò Favours (Bu Yiail

# B.Forest Plot of Piper scale of sensory dimensions (after treatment)







### D.Piper scale of sensory dimensions sensitivity analysis



#### FIGURE 5

Comparisons of Piper scale of sensory dimensions between experimental and control group. (A) The forest plot shows the comparison of Piper scale of sensory dimensions between the experimental group and the control group in the baseline period; (B) The forest plot shows a comparison of Piper scale of sensory dimensions between the experimental and control groups after BZYQ treatment; (C) Funnel plot of Piper scale of sensory dimensions publication bias; (D) Sensitivity analysis for Piper scale of sensory dimensions.

# Active ingredients screening

The TCMSP database identified the active components of the drug through ADME screening. It revealed

20 huangqi, 22 renshen, 17 chaihu, 2 danggui, 17 shengma, 5 chenpi, 7 baizhu and 101Licorice ingredients. Additionally, the database included 790 target genes for these ingredients.











### D.Piper scale of emotional dimensions sensitivity analysis

#### FIGURE 6

Comparisons of Piper scale of emotional dimension between experimental and control group. (A) The forest plot shows the comparison of Piper scale of emotional dimension between the experimental group and the control group in the baseline period; (B) The forest plot shows a comparison of Piper scale of emotional dimension between the experimental and control groups after BZYQ treatment; (C) Funnel plot of Piper scale of emotional dimensions publication bias; (D) Sensitivity analysis for Piper scale of emotional dimensions.

# CRF targets acquisition

In brief, we obtained 7,570 targets from GeneCards and 318 targets from the OMIM databases.

# "BZYQ-CRF" network construction

The action targets of BZYQ components were compared with the targets correlated to CRF, resulting in the

# A.Forest Plot of Piper scale of behavior dimension (Baseline period)

	Buzhong Y	iqi prescri	ption	Other treat	tment met	thods	3	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
刘海洋 2022	6.61	1.49	52	6.58	1.52	51	13.2%	0.02 [-0.37, 0.41]	
姜爱萍 2022	5.96	0.8	59	5.75	0.89	59	13.8%	0.25 [-0.12, 0.61]	
宁博彪 2020	29.2	4.07	38	24.8	3.42	36	10.8%	1.16 [0.66, 1.65]	
张新庆 2022	6.19	1.75	50	6.15	1.87	52	13.2%	0.02 [-0.37, 0.41]	
杨昌卫 2018	5.85	0.91	40	5.81	1.01	40	12.0%	0.04 [-0.40, 0.48]	
段春燕 2021	6.17	1.78	40	5.9	1.42	40	12.0%	0.17 [-0.27, 0.61]	
谢燕华 2020	5.82	0.34	46	5.85	0.32	46	12.7%	-0.09 [-0.50, 0.32]	
谭刚 2021	34.75	4.21	43	34.2	4.4	43	12.4%	0.13 [-0.30, 0.55]	
Total (95% CI)			368			367	100.0%	0.19 [-0.04, 0.43]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect			7 (P = 0.0	110); I <sup>2</sup> = 62%	ò				-2 -1 0 1
rest for overall effect	Z = 1.59 (P =	0.11)							Favours (Buzhong Yigi) Favours (other)

# B.Forest Plot of Piper scale of behavior dimensions (after treatment)

	Buzhong Y			Other treat				Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
刘海洋 2022	3.06	0.67	52	4.37	1.12	51	12.8%	-1.41 [-1.85, -0.98]	
姜爱萍 2022	4.51	0.65	59	5.14	0.86	59	12.9%	-0.82 [-1.20, -0.44]	
宁博彪 2020	18.8	3.3	38	19.3	3.48	36	12.7%	-0.15 [-0.60, 0.31]	
张新庆 2022	3.95	1.71	50	6.14	2.23	52	12.8%	-1.09 [-1.51, -0.67]	
杨昌卫 2018	4.64	0.67	40	5.34	0.85	40	12.7%	-0.91 [-1.37, -0.44]	
段春燕 2021	5.93	1.55	40	5.43	1.68	40	12.8%	0.31 [-0.13, 0.75]	+
谢燕华 2020	4.16	0.22	46	6.18	0.33	46	10.6%	-7.14 [-8.27, -6.01]	
谭刚 2021	23.17	1.84	43	26.94	2.51	43	12.6%	-1.70 [-2.19, -1.20]	
Fotal (95% CI)			368			367	100.0%	-1.49 [-2.32, -0.67]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 1.33; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1	172.22, df:	= 7 (P < 0.	.00001); I <sup>2</sup> =	96%				
Test for overall effect	Z = 3.55 (P =	0.0004)							-2 -1 0 1 2
									Favours (Buzhong Yiqi) Favours (other)

# C.Piper scale of behavior dimensions publication bias



D.Piper scale of behavior dimensions sensitivity analysis

	Lower CI Limit	OEstimate	Upper CI Limit
刘海洋 (2022)			
姜爱萍 (2022)			
宁博彪 (2020)			
张新庆 (2022)			
杨昌卫 (2018)			
段春蒸 (2021)			
谢燕华 (2020)			
谭刚 (2021)			
0	.90 1.28	2.44	3.59 4

#### FIGURE 7

Comparisons of Piper scale of behavior dimension between experimental and control group. (A) The forest plot shows the comparison of Piper scale of behavior dimension between the experimental group and the control group in the baseline period; (B) The forest plot shows a comparison of Piper scale of behavior dimension between the experimental and control groups after BZYQ treatment; (C) Funnel plot of Piper scale of behavior dimensions publication bias; (D) Sensitivity analysis for Piper scale of behavior dimensions.



#### FIGURE 8

王国华 (2022)

陈诗园 (2020)

2.38 4.85

Comparisons of QLQ-C30 Quality of Life score between experimental and control group. (A) The forest plot shows the comparison of QLQ-C30 quality of life score between the experimental group and the control group in the baseline period; (B) The forest plot shows a comparison of QLQ-C30 Quality of Life score between the experimental and control groups after BZYQ treatment; (C) Funnel plot of QLQ-C30 Quality of Life score publication bias; (D) Sensitivity analysis for QLQ-C30 Quality of Life score.

19.30

23.88

12.07

# A.Forest Plot of TCM syndrome score (Baseline period)

	Buzhong Y	'iqi prescr	iption	Other trea	tment met	hods	S	td. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
季尹霞 2021	13.16	1.49	40	13.32	1.48	40	17.9%	-0.11 [-0.55, 0.33]	
宁博彪 2020	32.1	3.68	38	33	3.46	36	16.4%	-0.25 [-0.71, 0.21]	
李欣欣 2023	12.72	1.58	41	12.65	1.53	41	18.4%	0.04 [-0.39, 0.48]	
梁冰 2024	4.52	1.23	42	4.57	1.18	42	18.8%	-0.04 [-0.47, 0.39]	
王欣 2023	2.3	0.19	30	2.23	0.31	30	13.3%	0.27 [-0.24, 0.78]	
高可新 2021	15.54	3.49	34	15.79	3.63	34	15.2%	-0.07 [-0.54, 0.41]	
Total (95% CI)			225			223	100.0%	-0.03 [-0.22, 0.15]	+
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup>	= 2.46, df = 5 (f	P = 0.78); I	<sup>2</sup> = 0%						
Test for overall effe	ct Z = 0.36 (P =	0.72)							-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1
restion System site	0	0.1.2)							Favours (Buzhong Yiqi) Favours (other)

# B.Forest Plot of TCM syndrome score (after treatment)



# C.TCM syndrome score publication bias



# D.TCM syndrome score sensitivity analysis



#### FIGURE 9

Comparisons of TCM syndrome score between experimental and control group. (A) The forest plot shows the comparison of TCM syndrome score between the experimental group and the control group in the baseline period; (B) The forest plot shows a comparison of TCM syndrome score between the experimental and control groups after BZYQ treatment; (C) Funnel plot of TCM syndrome score publication bias; (D) Sensitivity analysis for TCM syndrome score.



identification of 115 intersecting targets (Figure 12A). Subsequently, these 115 intersecting targets were imported into Cytoscape 3.8.0 to construct a "BZYQ-CRF" network (Figure 12B). Nodes with higher degree values may be crucial in a network.

# Protein-protein interaction analysis of intersection targets

A total of 115 intersection targets of BZYQ and CRF were uploaded to the STRING 11.0 database (https://www.stringdb.org/)



Comparisons of adverse reaction between experimental and control group. (A) The forest plot shows a comparison of adverse reaction between the experimental and control groups after BZYQ treatment; (B) Funnel plot of adverse reaction publication bias; (C) Sensitivity analysis for adverse reaction.

for analysis, resulting in the construction of a PPI network representing the shared targets between BZYQ and CRF. The network consists of 115 nodes, where nodes represent the intersecting targets and edges denote the associations between them. The visualization of the PPI network diagram (Figure 13A) was created using Cytoscape 3.10.2. The top 10 hub genes in the indegree ranking, including AKT1, IL6, IL1B, PTGS2, CASP3, ESR1, BCL2, JUN, PPARG, and GSK3B, were identified (Figure 13B).



# GO and KEGG enrichment analysis of intersecting targets

The key biological functions of BZYQ in treating CRF were determined through GO and KEGG enrichment analysis. The plots of the top 10 biological functions concentrated on molecular

function (MF) in GO analysis are presented in Figure 14A. The MF modifications primarily focused on binding with DNA-binding transcription factor, RNA polymerase II transcription factor, DNA-binding transcription activator activity, ubiquitin-like protein ligase, ubiquitin protein ligase, transcription coregulator, and integrin binding. KEGG pathway enrichment analysis identified



PPI network of the common targets of BZYQ and CRF. (A) PPI network diagram plotted on a string network; (B) 20 key targets determined in the PPI network.

138 signal pathways. The analysis indicates that the TNF, IL-17, Toll-like receptor, AGE-RAGE, and C-type lectin receptor signaling pathways could potentially serve as crucial pathways for treating CRF with BZYQ, as illustrated in Figures 14B, 15A–E.

# Discussion

This meta-analysis revealed some heterogeneity among studies utilizing BZYQ interventions for CRF treatment. However, it



#### FIGURE 14

Top GO and KEGG enriched terms of BZYQ in treating CRF. (A) Top GO enriched terms of BZYQ in treating CRF. The X-coordinate indicates the number of enriched genes, and the color of the dot represents the *p*-value of the corresponding term. (B) Top KEGG enriched pathways of HQSJZD in treating CRF. A bigger dot indicates that more genes are enriched. A bigger dot indicates that more genes are enriched in that pathway, and a dot with a darker red color represents a smaller *p*-value.

confirmed that BZYQ significantly improved patients' fatigue status and effectively enhanced their quality of life. This instills hope in fatigued patients for recovery, encouraging active cooperation with treatment to achieve optimal outcomes. BZYQ has broad potential for clinical application, yet the dosage and treatment duration may need to be adjusted according to the individual's specific situation.

Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) postulates that tumors gradually deplete qi and blood within the body over an extended



period. The surgical procedure resulted in significant depletion of the body's vital energy, commonly referred to as qi; Chemotherapy drugs possess potent emetic properties, which can adversely affect the spleen, stomach qi, and body fluids; The physical radiation used in radiotherapy is intense and potentially harmful, as it can damage bodily fluids and deplete vital energy (qi). Various treatment modalities can significantly deplete the body's Yin, fluids, and qi of the spleen and stomach, ultimately contributing to cancer-related fatigue (Berger et al., 2015; Thong et al., 2020; Bower, 2014). Astragalus membranaceus served as the primary constituent in the BZYQ prescription, with other components contributing to a synergistic effect. A study investigating the immunomodulatory effects of Astragalus membranaceus, a key component in BZYQ decoction, on spleen-deficient mice revealed that it was the primary agent responsible for enhancing hemoglobin levels (Huang et al., 2017). Subsequent research has verified that Astragalus

membranaceus enhances not only the efficacy of IL-2 and LAK activity but also elevates hemoglobin levels and modulates the CD4+/CD8+ ratio (Liu et al., 2024). Previous research has demonstrated that astragalus membranaceus enhances vascular dementia symptoms in mouse models and boosts learning and memory capabilities, suggesting a beneficial impact on cognitive decline in patients with CRF (Tsao et al., 2021). Astragalus membranaceus, ginseng, Atractylodes rhizoma, and Glycyrrhizae radix were employed to fortify qi and strengthen the spleen, thereby enhancing IL-2, NK, and IFN- $\gamma$  activity in both healthy and spleen-deficient mice (Huang et al., 2017; Dun et al., 2016). Therefore, the BZYQ prescription for treating CRF not only significantly improves patients' quality of life but also enhances their immunity, promotes bodily recovery, and delays as well as controls tumor progression and metastasis, indicating its high clinical potential value.

Although BZYQ has been shown to be effective in treating CRF, its pharmacological mechanism is still not well understood. Therefore, we also conducted a detailed discussion on the pharmacological mechanism of BZYQ in the treatment of CRF through network pharmacology. This discussion provided a theoretical basis for further research and clinical practice of BZYQ in treating CRF. The network evaluation of BZYQ-CRF revealed the top 5 active compounds in the treatment of CRF by BZYQ, which included Tuberosine A, cimicifugic acid, paeoniflorin, visammiol, 23-epi-26deoxyactein\_qt, and stigmasterol.

The current studies indicate that fukinolic acid and cimicifugic acids found in Cimicifuga rhizomes may have the potential to prevent collagen degradation caused by collagenases or collagenolytic enzymes in pathological conditions, wound healing, or inflammation (Kusano et al., 2001). Paeoniflorin, as a key component of traditional Chinese medicine, is known for its broad anti-inflammatory and immuneregulatory effects. Numerous studies have also validated its antidepressant effects (Zhang and Wei, 2020; Wang et al., 2021). Studies have also demonstrated that stigmasterol can ameliorate neuroinflammation in APP/PS1 mice and suppress the microglial inflammatory response to a- $\beta$ 42 oligomers via the AMPK/NF- $\kappa$ B and AMPK/NLRP3 signaling pathways (Jie et al., 2022).

Based on the attribute parameters of the core targets, BZYQ primarily addresses CRF by targeting AKT1, IL6, IL1B, PTGS2, CASP3, ESR1, and BCL2. One study discovered that alterations in the cellular levels of AKT1 result in changes in the levels of a group of differentially expressed genes, which in turn, indicate the resulting cellular functions of AKT1. Another study demonstrates the critical role of AKT1 in tumor angiogenesis (George et al., 2022; Ha et al., 2022). Longitudinal studies have shown that increased fatigue symptoms, particularly in women with early-stage breast cancer, are linked to high levels of neutrophil/monocyte, IL1RA, and IL6 during radiation therapy (Saligan and Kim, 2012). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in several cytokines, such as IL1B, IL-1RN, and IL-10, exhibited significant correlations with fatigue levels in survivors of lung cancer (Rausch et al., 2010). There is insufficient research on the PTGS2, CASP3, ESR1, and BCL2 targets in cancer-induced fatigue. Future investigations involving target prediction, animal experiments, and clinical testing may reveal their potential as new treatment targets for cancer-induced fatigue.

The KEGG analysis of core targets indicated that BZYQ affects multiple signaling pathways related to tumor and

inflammation in the treatment of CRF, including TNF, IL-17, Toll-like receptor (TLR), NF- $\kappa$ B, and C-type lectin receptor (CLR) signaling pathways.

A study showed that TLR4<sup>-/-</sup> mice implanted with both mEER and LLC tumors exhibited reduced expression of inflammatory cytokines. Only TLR4<sup>-/-</sup> mice implanted with LLC tumors were protected from developing fatigue-like behavior (Vichaya et al., 2020). One study has also found a link between cancer-related fatigue in head and neck cancer (HNC) patients and increased activity of pro-inflammatory NF-kB family transcription factors, as well as decreased activity of innate antiviral IRF family transcription factors in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) (Xiao et al., 2018). While some studies have reported CLR-mediated modulation of T2 immune responses to allergens, it remains unclear whether CLR is involved in the disease progression and prognosis of CRF by modulating the process of inflammatory immunity. Further research is required (Angelina et al., 2023).

In summary, BZYQ's Tuberosine A, cimicifugic acid, paeoniflorin, visammiol, 23-epi-26deoxyactein\_qt, and stigmasterol may target AKT1, IL6, IL1B, PTGS2, CASP3, ESR1, and BCL2, as well as TNF, IL-17, and signaling pathways such as TLR, NF- $\kappa$ B, and C-type lectin receptor, playing an active role in treating CRF. Our study not only validated the therapeutic effect of BZYQ on CRF through meta-analysis but also predicted its potential molecular mechanism in treating CRF through network pharmacology. However, due to the lack of validation from animal experiments in our study, further animal experimental studies are necessary to explore its specific molecular mechanism and provide a certain molecular basis for the clinical treatment of CRF.

# Conclusion

BZYQ demonstrates significant efficacy in treating CRF with minimal adverse reactions. It can serve as a fundamental treatment for CRF in clinical practice, and the medication can be tailored to individual patients for personalized therapy. The potential pharmacological mechanism of BZYQ in treating CRF, as predicted by network pharmacology, offers a molecular foundation for clinical CRF treatment.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Author contributions

JZ: Writing-original draft, Writing-review and editing. QW: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing-review and editing. X-DM: Data curation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing-review and editing. JW: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing-review and editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This project received support from the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (No: 2108085MH278) and the Science and Technology Program of Ma'anshan City (No: YL-2022-8).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# References

Amberger, J. S., and Hamosh, A. (2017). Searching online mendelian inheritance in man (OMIM): a knowledgebase of human genes and genetic phenotypes. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma.* 58, 1.2.1–1.2.12. doi:10.1002/cpbi.27

Angelina, A., Martín-Cruz, L., de la Rocha-Muñoz, A., Lavín-Plaza, B., and Palomares, O. (2023). C-type lectin receptor mediated modulation of T2 immune responses to allergens. *Curr. Allergy Asthma Rep.* 23 (3), 141–151. doi:10.1007/s11882-023-01067-0

Berger, A. M., Mooney, K., Alvarez-Perez, A., Breitbart, W. S., Carpenter, K. M., Cella, D., et al. (2015). Cancer-related fatigue, version 2.2015. *J. Natl. Compr. Canc Netw.* 13 (8), 1012–1039. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2015.0122

Bower, J. E. (2014). Cancer-related fatigue--mechanisms, risk factors, and treatments. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 11 (10), 597–609. doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2014.127

Bower, J. E., Ganz, P. A., Desmond, K. A., Rowland, J. H., Meyerowitz, B. E., and Belin, T. R. (2000). Fatigue in breast cancer survivors: occurrence, correlates, and impact on quality of life. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 18 (4), 743–753. doi:10.1200/JCO.2000.18.4.743

Braun, I. M., Greenberg, D. B., and Pirl, W. F. (2008). Evidenced-based report on the occurrence of fatigue in long-term cancer survivors. *J. Natl. Compr. Canc Netw.* 6 (4), 347–354. doi:10.6004/jnccn.2008.0029

Cheung, M. W. L., and Vijayakumar, R. (2016). A guide to conducting a metaanalysis. *Neuropsychol. Rev.* 26 (2), 121–128. doi:10.1007/s11065-016-9319-z

Consortium, T. U. (2023). UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase in 2023. Nucleic Acids Res. 51 (D1), D523-D531. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac1052

Cumpston, M. S., McKenzie, J. E., Welch, V. A., and Brennan, S. E. (2022). Strengthening systematic reviews in public health: guidance in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions, 2nd edition. J. Public Health (Oxf) 44 (4), e588–e592. doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdac036

Curt, G. A., Breitbart, W., Cella, D., Groopman, J. E., Horning, S. J., Itri, L. M., et al. (2000). Impact of cancer-related fatigue on the lives of patients: new Findings from the fatigue coalition. *Oncol.* 5 (5), 353–360. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.5-5-353

Doncheva, N. T., Morris, J. H., Gorodkin, J., and Jensen, L. J. (2019). Cytoscape StringApp: network analysis and visualization of proteomics data. *J. Proteome Res.* 18 (2), 623–632. doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00702

Dun, C., Liu, J., Qiu, F., Wu, X., Wang, Y., Zhao, Y., et al. (2016). Effects of Astragalus polysaccharides on memory impairment in a diabetic rat model. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.* 12, 1617–1621. doi:10.2147/NDT.S106123

George, B., Gui, B., Raguraman, R., Paul, A. M., Nakshatri, H., Pillai, M. R., et al. (2022). AKT1 transcriptomic landscape in breast cancer cells. *Cells* 11 (15), 2290. doi:10. 3390/cells11152290

Groenvold, M., Petersen, M. A., Idler, E., Bjorner, J. B., Fayers, P. M., and Mouridsen, H. T. (2007). Psychological distress and fatigue predicted recurrence and survival in primary breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 105 (2), 209–219. doi:10.1007/s10549-006-9447-x

Gustavsson, E. K., Zhang, D., Reynolds, R. H., Garcia-Ruiz, S., and Ryten, M. (2022). ggtranscript: an R package for the visualization and interpretation of transcript isoforms using ggplot2. *Bioinformatics* 38 (15), 3844–3846. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btac409

Ha, J. M., Jin, S. Y., Lee, H. S., Kum, H. J., Vafaeinik, F., Ha, H. K., et al. (2022). Akt1dependent expression of angiopoietin 1 and 2 in vascular smooth muscle cells leads to vascular stabilization. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 54 (8), 1133–1145. doi:10.1038/s12276-022-00819-8

Hegedus, E. J., Goode, A. P., Cook, C. E., Michener, L., Myer, C. A., Myer, D. M., et al. (2012). Which physical examination tests provide clinicians with the most value when

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1451773/ full#supplementary-material

examining the shoulder? Update of a systematic review with meta-analysis of individual tests. Br. J. Sports Med. 46 (14), 964–978. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2012-091066

Higgins, J. P., Altman, D. G., Gøtzsche, P. C., Jüni, P., Moher, D., Oxman, A. D., et al. (2011). The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 343, d5928. doi:10.1136/bmj.d5928

Hsu, C. H., Lee, C. J., Chien, T. J., Lin, C. P., Chen, C. H., Yuen, M. J., et al. (2012). The relationship between qi deficiency, cancer-related fatigue and quality of life in cancer patients. *J. Tradit. Complement. Med.* 2 (2), 129–135. doi:10.1016/s2225-4110(16)30086-4

Huang, Y. C., Tsay, H. J., Lu, M. K., Lin, C. H., Yeh, C. W., Liu, H. K., et al. (2017). Astragalus membranaceus-polysaccharides ameliorates obesity, hepatic steatosis, neuroinflammation and cognition impairment without affecting amyloid deposition in metabolically stressed APPswe/PS1dE9 mice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18 (12), 2746. doi:10. 3390/ijms18122746

Jiashuo, W. U., Fangqing, Z., Zhuangzhuang, L. I., Weiyi, J., and Yue, S. (2022). Integration strategy of network pharmacology in Traditional Chinese Medicine: a narrative review. J. Tradit. Chin. Med. 42 (3), 479–486. doi:10.19852/j.cnki.jtcm.20220408.003

Jie, F., Yang, X., Yang, B., Liu, Y., Wu, L., and Lu, B. (2022). Stigmasterol attenuates inflammatory response of microglia via NF-κB and NLRP3 signaling by AMPK activation. *Biomed. and Pharmacother.* 153, 113317. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2022.113317

Kuritz, S. J., Landis, J. R., and Koch, G. G. (1988). A general overview of mantelhaenszel methods: applications and recent developments. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* 9 (9), 123–160. doi:10.1146/annurev.pu.09.050188.001011

Kusano, A., Seyama, Y., Nagai, M., Shibano, M., and Kusano, G. (2001). Effects of fukinolic acid and cimicifugic acids from Cimicifuga species on collagenolytic activity. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 24 (10), 1198–1201. doi:10.1248/bpb.24.1198

Lawrence, D. P., Kupelnick, B., Miller, K., Devine, D., and Lau, J. (2004). Evidence report on the occurrence, assessment, and treatment of fatigue in cancer patients. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst. Monogr.* 2004 (32), 40–50. doi:10.1093/jncimonographs/lgh027

Lin, L. (2020). Hybrid test for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Stat. Methods Med. Res.* 29 (10), 2881–2899. doi:10.1177/0962280220910172

Lin, L., and Chu, H. (2018). Quantifying publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics* 74 (3), 785–794. doi:10.1111/biom.12817

Liu, Y., Song, X. M., Dan, L. W., Tang, J. M., Jiang, Y., Deng, C., et al. (2024). Astragali Radix: comprehensive review of its botany, phytochemistry, pharmacology and clinical application. *Archives Pharmacal Res.* 47 (3), 165–218. doi:10.1007/s12272-024-01489-y

Migliavaca, C. B., Stein, C., Colpani, V., Barker, T. H., Ziegelmann, P. K., Munn, Z., et al. (2022). Meta-analysis of prevalence: 1<sup>2</sup> statistic and how to deal with heterogeneity. *Res. Synthesis Methods* 13 (3), 363–367. doi:10.1002/jrsm.1547

Muley, V. Y. (2023). Centrality analysis of protein-protein interaction networks using R, in *Protein-protein interactions: methods and protocols*. Editor S. Mukhtar and S. Mukhtar (New York, NY: Springer US). p. 445-456.

Nogales, C., Mamdouh, Z. M., List, M., Kiel, C., Casas, A. I., and Schmidt, H. H. H. W. (2022). Network pharmacology: curing causal mechanisms instead of treating symptoms. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 43 (2), 136–150. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2021.11.004

Rausch, S. M., Clark, M. M., Patten, C., Liu, H., Felten, S., Li, Y., et al. (2010). Relationship between cytokine gene single nucleotide polymorphisms and symptom burden and quality of life in lung cancer survivors. *Cancer* 116 (17), 4103–4113. doi:10. 1002/cncr.25255

Ru, J., Li, P., Wang, J., Zhou, W., Li, B., Huang, C., et al. (2014). TCMSP: a database of systems pharmacology for drug discovery from herbal medicines. *J. Cheminform* 6, 13. doi:10.1186/1758-2946-6-13

Saligan, L. N., and Kim, H. S. (2012). A systematic review of the association between immunogenomic markers and cancer-related fatigue. *Brain, Behav. Immun.* 26 (6), 830–848. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2012.05.004

Stelzer, G., Rosen, N., Plaschkes, I., Zimmerman, S., Twik, M., Fishilevich, S., et al. (2016). The GeneCards suite: from gene data mining to disease genome sequence analyses. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma.* 54 (1), 1.30.1–1.30.33. doi:10.1002/cpbi.5

Szklarczyk, D., Kirsch, R., Koutrouli, M., Nastou, K., Mehryary, F., Hachilif, R., et al. (2023). The STRING database in 2023: protein-protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses for any sequenced genome of interest. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51 (D1), D638–D646. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac1000

Thong, M., van Noorden, C. J. F., Steindorf, K., and Arndt, V. (2020). Cancer-related fatigue: causes and current treatment options. *Curr. Treat. Options Oncol.* 21 (2), 17. doi:10.1007/s11864-020-0707-5

Tsao, S. M., Wu, T. C., Chen, J., Chang, F., and Tsao, T. (2021). Astragalus polysaccharide injection (PG2) normalizes the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients with advanced lung cancer receiving immunotherapy. *Integr. Cancer Ther.* 20, 1534735421995256. doi:10.1177/1534735421995256

Vichaya, E. G., Ford, B. G., Quave, C. B., Rishi, M. R., Grossberg, A. J., and Dantzer, R. (2020). Toll-like receptor 4 mediates the development of fatigue in the murine Lewis

Lung Carcinoma model independently of activation of macrophages and microglia. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 122, 104874. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2020.104874

Vogelzang, N. J., Breitbart, W., Cella, D., Curt, G. A., Groopman, J. E., Horning, S. J., et al. (1997). Patient, caregiver, and oncologist perceptions of cancer-related fatigue results of a tripart assessment survey. *Seminars Hematol.* 34 (3), 4–12.

Wang, X., Feng, S. T., Wang, Y. T., Chen, N. H., Wang, Z. Z., and Zhang, Y. (2021). Paeoniflorin: a neuroprotective monoterpenoid glycoside with promising antidepressive properties. *Phytomedicine* 90, 153669. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2021.153669

Xiao, C., Beitler, J. J., Higgins, K. A., Wommack, E. C., Saba, N. F., Shin, D. M., et al. (2018). Differential regulation of NF-kB and IRF target genes as they relate to fatigue in patients with head and neck cancer. *Brain, Behav. Immun.* 74, 291–295. doi:10.1016/j. bbi.2018.09.013

Yu, G., Wang, L. G., Han, Y., and He, Q. Y. (2012). clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* 16 (5), 284–287. doi:10.1089/ omi.2011.0118

Zhang, L., and Wei, W. (2020). Anti-inflammatory and immunoregulatory effects of paeoniflorin and total glucosides of paeony. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 207, 107452. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2019.107452