Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Paola Patrignani, University of Studies G. d'Annunzio Chieti and Pescara, Italy

REVIEWED BY Dermot Cox, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, Ireland Zhongheng Zhang, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Wenyuan Zhang, ⊠ zhangwenyuan@zju.edu.cn Zhihao Pan, ⊠ 13586651740@163.com

RECEIVED 03 August 2024 ACCEPTED 18 December 2024 PUBLISHED 09 January 2025

CITATION

Huang C, Tong Q, Zhang W and Pan Z (2025) Association of early aspirin use with 90-day mortality in patients with sepsis: an PSM analysis of the MIMIC-IV database. *Front. Pharmacol.* 15:1475414. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1475414

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Huang, Tong, Zhang and Pan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Association of early aspirin use with 90-day mortality in patients with sepsis: an PSM analysis of the MIMIC-IV database

Chunsheng Huang¹, Qiaoling Tong², Wenyuan Zhang³* and Zhihao Pan¹*

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Ningbo Medical Center Lihuili Hospital, Medical School of Ningbo University, Ningbo, China, ²Department of Otolaryngology, Ningbo No.2 Hospital, Ningbo, China, ³The Children's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, National Clinical Research Center for Child Health, Hangzhou, China

Objective: In addition to its antiplatelet and anti-inflammatory properties, aspirin inhibits bacterial proliferation directly. The potential benefits of aspirin may enhance the prognosis for sepsis patients. However, little is known about the effects of early aspirin administration. This study aimed to examine the correlation between the administration of aspirin at an early stage and the 90-day mortality rate among sepsis patients.

Methods: In order to distinguish between septic patients who received early aspirin treatment and those who did not, queries were conducted on the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV (MIMIC-IV) database. The principal metric utilized was 90-day mortality. We determined the association between early aspirin use and 90-day mortality using multivariate Cox regression, and propensity score matching (PSM) was utilized to validate our findings. The analyses of the subgroups have been completed.

Results: Our analysis comprised 28,425 septic patients, of whom 7,568 (26.6%) received aspirin within 24 h of intensive care unit (ICU) admission. The aspirin users group had a lower 90-day mortality than the aspirin nonusers group [1,624 (21.8%) vs. 2,035 (27.3%), P < 0.001]. The logistic regression showed that early aspirin use was associated with a lower 90-day mortality (OR, 0.74, 95% CI, 0.69–0.80, P < 0.001). K-M curve analysis showed that the 90-day mortality of the aspirin users group was significantly lower than that of the aspirin nonusers group (P < 0.001). Subgroup analysis revealed comparable relationships between early aspirin use and 90-day mortality among individuals.

Conclusion: In conclusion, early aspirin use was associated with decreased inhospital and 90-day mortality in septic patients, emphasizing the significance of early aspirin use administration in the ICU.

KEYWORDS

aspirin, sepsis, intensive care unit, 90-day mortality, propensity score matching

Introduction

Sepsis is the dysfunction of an organ resulting from an infection induced by the pathogen's disease (Evans et al., 2021). Every year, approximately 48 million patients worldwide are diagnosed with sepsis, which is responsible for as many as 11 million deaths; sepsis has emerged as the primary cause of death among critically ill patients (Rudd et al., 2020). In the past two decades, sepsis mortality has decreased progressively due to the prompt administration of antibiotics, fluids for resuscitation, and multiple organ support therapies. Still substantial mortality exists, and there is scope for enhancement (Seymour et al., 2019; Kempker and Martin, 2020; Fleischmann-Struzek et al., 2020). Survivors of sepsis who are readmitted to the intensive care unit experience substantial functional impairment and a decline in their quality of life (Cheung et al., 2006). Both conditions impose substantial personal and financial burdens on families and society due to the necessity for personal care expenses and employment loss (Rudd et al., 2020; GBD, 2016 Causes of Death Collaborators, 2017).

Despite the progress made in the field of critical care, the fundamental aspects of sepsis management continue to revolve around organ support and infection control (Dellinger et al., 2012). The investigation of the correlation between thrombus, inflammation, and platelets, as well as the potential therapeutic advantages of antiplatelet medications in sepsis, has emerged as a prominent subject of scholarly inquiry (Toner et al., 2015). Acetylsalicylic acid (ASA), often known as aspirin, is a medication that shows promise in treating sepsis. Aspirin exhibits anti-inflammatory and antiplatelet properties, and has been suggested as a potential antisepsis drug due to its direct inhibition of bacterial growth (Menter and Bresalier, 2023; Van Oosterom et al., 2022; Patrono, 2023). The clinical evidence on the efficacy of aspirin in sepsis is inconclusive. Aspirin has been observed to decrease serum levels of inflammatory markers, sepsis-related multiorgan failure (MOF), and mortality in clinical investigations (Hsu et al., 2022; Boyle et al., 2015). A comprehensive cohort research employing propensity-matched design revealed a significant association between prehospital antiplatelet medication and reduced fatality rates (Chow et al., 2022). One randomized clinical investigation, however, revealed that the utilization of aspirin, in comparison to a placebo, did not result in a reduction in the likelihood of developing acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (Kor et al., 2016). The study's findings may be constrained by a restricted sample size and may be influenced by uncontrolled confounding variables, such as pre-existing problems, additional life support interventions, and the timing of aspirin administration.

Propensity score matching (PSM) approaches are employed in order to mitigate the influence of sample selection bias and replicate the randomization process (Ryan, 2021; Narduzzi et al., 2014; Austin and Stuart, 2015). The objective of this study is to ascertain whether the early utilization of aspirin is a standalone indicator of mortality in individuals with sepsis. The current study utilized the PSM to examine the influence of early aspirin administration on the 90day mortality of patients with sepsis.

Methods

Study design

A retrospective cohort analysis was conducted utilizing the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care IV (MIMIC-IV), a prominent database renowned for its origins in the United States (Johnson et al., 2023). The MIMIC-IV database contains complete and high-quality patient information who were admitted to the intensive care units (ICUs) at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center. ZWY, one of the writers, mastered the required training to utilize the database and obtained the certificate (certified number 41767848). Individual patient consent was waived due to the project's lack of impact on clinical care and the anonymization of all protected health information.

Selection of participants

The study included patients in the MIMIC-IV who satisfied the criteria for sepsis and were eligible to participate. The sepsis was determined to be present based on the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3) criteria (Singer et al., 2016). In summary, sepsis was identified in persons who had confirmed or suspected infection and saw a sudden increase in their overall Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score of at least two points. Infection was identified by the MIMIC-IV system using the International Classification of Diseases, ninth Edition (ICD-9) code. The study incorporated data from the initial admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) in cases where a patient has been admitted many times. Patients who had an ICU stay of less than 24 h were excluded from the study. Patients who were administered aspirin during a 24 h period following their admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) were categorized



into the group of aspirin users, while the remainder patients were categorized into the group of aspirin nonusers. The patient enrollment method of this study is illustrated in Figure 1.

Variable extraction

Using structured query language (SQL), baseline characteristics within the first 24 h after ICU admission were obtained, including age, gender, weight, race, and insurance. Severity at admission as measured by SOFA score. The use of mechanical ventilation (MV), administration of vasoactive agent, application of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) and continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) were recorded. Comorbidities including hypertension, diabetes, myocardial infarction (MI), congestive heart failure (CHF), cerebrovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), liver disease, renal disease, malignancy, and acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) were also collected for analysis based on the recorded ICD-9 codes in the MIMIC-IV database. Vital signs included the heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), systolic blood pressure (MBP), Diastolic blood pressure (DBP), temperature and saturation of pulse oxygen (SpO₂). Laboratory variables including white blood cell (WBC) count, haemoglobin (HBG), platelet (PLT) count, international normalized ratio (INR), sodium, potassium, calcium, anion gap (AG), blood glucose (Glu), creatinine (Cr), and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) were measured during the first 24 h in the ICU. The primary outcome in the present study was 90-day mortality. The secondary outcomes were length of ICU stay, death in hospital and 30-day mortality.

Statistical analysis

There was no occurrence of data loss for categorical variables. The loss rate of continuous variables was below 10%, resulting in the substitution of mean values for the missing information. The normal distribution of all continuous variables was assessed using the Anderson-Darling test. The medians [interquartile ranges (IQRs)] are used to represent continuous data, whereas total numbers and percentages are used to represent categorical variables. The X² test was employed to compare groups with categorical variables, whereas the Mann-Whitney U test was utilized for continuous variables, as deemed suitable.

The original cohort consisted of the whole number of initial participants. PSM was employed to generate balanced groupings, specifically the matched cohort, in conjunction with the original cohort. A non-parsimonious multivariable logistic regression model was used to calculate the propensity score. The dependent variable was early aspirin use, whereas other baseline parameters were considered as independent factors. The individuals belonging to the group of aspirin users were paired with individuals from the group of aspirin nonusers using the greedy nearest neighbor matching technique, employing a caliper width of 0.2. We implemented PSM changes to validate the accuracy of our findings (Ryan, 2021; Narduzzi et al., 2014; Austin and Stuart, 2015). The effectiveness of PSM was evaluated

by calculating standardized mean differences (SMD). SMD plots offer a clear and interpretable method for assessing covariate balance between treated and untreated groups. Since SMD is independent of the unit of measurement, it enables comparisons across variables with different scales, making it especially useful for visual diagnostics (Zhang et al., 2019). A standard deviation of less than 0.1 is seen as a reasonable compromise between the parties (Austin, 2009).

Statistical analysis was conducted using R software (version 4.1.1), with a significance level of P < 0.05.

Results

Baseline characteristics

A total of 28,425 patients were included in this study, with 7,568 individuals in the group of aspirin users and 20,857 patients in the group of aspirin nonusers. The median age of the study patients was 66.42 [54.83–77.92] years old, and 11,687 (56.0%) were males. In total, the 90-day mortality was 27.6%, 3,462 (16.6%) patients died in the hospital and the median length of ICU stay was 3.33 [1.96–6.82] days. After PSM, 7,459 patients in the aspirin users group and 7,459 patients in the aspirin nonusers group were enrolled in the PSM cohort (Table 1). Before matching, the majority of factors between the two groups were not balanced. After matching, the imbalanced covariates were balanced in the matched cohort (Table 2; Figure 2).

The secondary outcomes with different cohorts

In the original cohort, the aspirin users group had a lower length of ICU stay [2.70 [1.49-5.17] days vs. 3.33 [1.96-6.82] days, P < 0.001] than the aspirin nonusers group. In the matched cohort, the aspirin users group also had a lower length of ICU stay $[2.65 \ [1.48-5.07]$ days vs. $3.30 \ [1.97-6.59]$ days, P < 0.001] than the aspirin nonusers group (Table 2). The chi-square test showed that the aspirin users group had a lower in-hospital mortality [903 (11.9%) vs. 3,462 (16.6%), P < 0.001] than the aspirin nonusers group in the original cohort. In the matched cohort, the aspirin users group also had a lower in-hospital mortality than the aspirin nonusers group [903 (12.1%) vs. 1,171 (15.7%), P < 0.001]. The aspirin users group had a lower 30-day mortality [1,164 (15.4%) vs. 4,185 (20.1%), P < 0.001] than the aspirin nonusers group in the original cohort. In the matched cohort, the aspirin users group also had a lower 30-day mortality than the aspirin nonusers group [1,164 (15.6%) vs. 1,453 (19.5%), P < 0.001] (Table 2). The Cox regression in the original cohort showed that early aspirin use was associated with a lower 30-day mortality, with an HR of 0.75 (95% CI, 0.70–0.80, P < 0.001). This association remained significant in the matched cohort (HR, 0.79, 95% CI, 0.73-0.85, P < 0.001). In the original cohort, K-M curve analysis showed that the 30-day mortality of the aspirin users group was significantly lower than that of the aspirin nonusers group (Supplementary Figure S1A, P < 0.001). This result remained significant in the matched cohort (Supplementary Figure S1B, P < 0.001).

| N20,857Characteristics66.42Age (Years)66.42Male (%)11,687Weight (Kg)79.20Race (%)79.20White14,865Black3,183Yellow2,117Other/unkown3,183Insurance (%)11,462SOFA score3.00 (CInterventions (1st 24 h) (%)MV use9,604Vasoactive agent use10,116ECMO use42 (0.15) | birin nonusers 57 2 (54.83–77.92) 87 (56.0%) 0 (66.00–95.10) 65 (71.3%) 3 (15.3%) 7 (10.2%) 3 (15.3%) 62 (55.0%) (2.00–4.00) 4 (46.0%) | Aspirin users 7,568 7,568 71.31 (62.49-80.24) 4,711 (62.2%) 82.60 (69.20-98.20) 82.60 (69.20-98.20) 5,526 (73.0%) 1,117 (14.8%) 713 (9.4%) 1,117 (14.8%) 1,117 (14.8%) 4,440 (58.7%) 3.00 (2.00-4.00) | SMD 0.370 0.127 0.104 0.045 | Aspirin nonusers 7,459 72.83 (62.72–82.42) 4,568 (61.2%) 81.00 (67.80–97.40) 5,473 (73.4%) 726 (9.7%) 208 (2.8%) 1,052 (14.1%) 2,967 (39.8%) | Aspirin users 7,459 71.27 (62.41-80.23) 4,626 (62.0%) 82.40 (69.10-98.00) 5,417 (72.6%) 713 (9.6%) 212 (2.8%) 1,117 (15.0%) | SMD 0.076 0.016 0.010 0.025 |
|---|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Characteristics Age (Years) 66.42 Male (%) 11,687 Weight (Kg) 79.20 Race (%) 79.20 Race (%) 14,865 Black 3,183 Yellow 2,117 Other/unkown 3,183 Insurance (%) 11,462 SOFA score 300 (C Interventions (1st 24 h) (%) 11,462 Wv use 9,604 Vasoactive agent use 10,116 ECMO use 42 (0) CRRT use 1,364 | 2 (54.83-77.92) 87 (56.0%) 0 (66.00-95.10) 65 (71.3%) 3 (15.3%) 7 (10.2%) 3 (15.3%) 62 (55.0%) (2.00-4.00) | 71.31 (62.49-80.24) 4,711 (62.2%) 82.60 (69.20-98.20) 5,526 (73.0%) 1,117 (14.8%) 713 (9.4%) 1,117 (14.8%) 4,440 (58.7%) | 0.127 0.104 0.045 | 72.83 (62.72-82.42) 4,568 (61.2%) 81.00 (67.80-97.40) 5,473 (73.4%) 726 (9.7%) 208 (2.8%) 1,052 (14.1%) | 71.27 (62.41-80.23) 4,626 (62.0%) 82.40 (69.10-98.00) 5,417 (72.6%) 713 (9.6%) 212 (2.8%) | 0.016 |
| Age (Years) 66.42 Male (%) 11,68 Weight (Kg) 79.20 Race (%) 79.20 Race (%) 14,86 White 14,86 Black 3,183 Yellow 2,117 Other/unkown 3,183 Insurance (%) 3,183 SOFA score 3,00 (0) Interventions (1st 24 + V) 14,662 WV use 9,604 Vasoactive agent use 10,162 ECMO use 42 (0) CRRT use 13,363 | 87 (56.0%) 0 (66.00-95.10) 65 (71.3%) 3 (15.3%) 7 (10.2%) 3 (15.3%) 62 (55.0%) (2.00-4.00) | 4,711 (62.2%) 82.60 (69.20-98.20) 5,526 (73.0%) 1,117 (14.8%) 713 (9.4%) 1,117 (14.8%) 4,440 (58.7%) | 0.127 0.104 0.045 | 4,568 (61.2%) 81.00 (67.80–97.40) 5,473 (73.4%) 726 (9.7%) 208 (2.8%) 1,052 (14.1%) | 4,626 (62.0%) 82.40 (69.10–98.00) 5,417 (72.6%) 713 (9.6%) 212 (2.8%) | 0.016 |
| Male (%) 11,687 Weight (Kg) 79,20 Race (%) 14,865 White 14,865 Black 3,183 Yellow 2,117 Other/unkown 3,183 Insurance (%) 11,462 SOFA score 300 (0) Interventions (1st 24 h) 9,604 Vasoactive agent use 10,116 ECMO use 42 (0) CRRT use 13,364 | 87 (56.0%) 0 (66.00-95.10) 65 (71.3%) 3 (15.3%) 7 (10.2%) 3 (15.3%) 62 (55.0%) (2.00-4.00) | 4,711 (62.2%) 82.60 (69.20-98.20) 5,526 (73.0%) 1,117 (14.8%) 713 (9.4%) 1,117 (14.8%) 4,440 (58.7%) | 0.127 0.104 0.045 | 4,568 (61.2%) 81.00 (67.80–97.40) 5,473 (73.4%) 726 (9.7%) 208 (2.8%) 1,052 (14.1%) | 4,626 (62.0%) 82.40 (69.10–98.00) 5,417 (72.6%) 713 (9.6%) 212 (2.8%) | 0.016 |
| Weight (Kg) 79.20 Race (%) 79.20 White 14.865 Black 3,183 Yellow 2,117 Other/unkown 3,183 Insurance (%) 11,462 SOFA score 300 (C Interventions (1st 24 h) 9,604 Vasoactive agent use 10,116 ECMO use 42 (0.20) CRRT use 13,364 | 0 (66.00-95.10) 65 (71.3%) 3 (15.3%) 7 (10.2%) 3 (15.3%) 62 (55.0%) (2.00-4.00) | 82.60 (69.20–98.20) 5,526 (73.0%) 1,117 (14.8%) 713 (9.4%) 1,117 (14.8%) 4,440 (58.7%) | 0.104 | 81.00 (67.80-97.40) 5,473 (73.4%) 726 (9.7%) 208 (2.8%) 1,052 (14.1%) | 82.40 (69.10–98.00) 5,417 (72.6%) 713 (9.6%) 212 (2.8%) | 0.010 |
| Race (%)14,865White14,865Black3,183Yellow2,117Other/unkown3,183Insurance (%)11,462SOFA score300 (0Interventions (1st 24 b)9,604Vasoactive agent use10,116ECMO use42 (0.CRRT use13,363 | 65 (71.3%) 3 (15.3%) 7 (10.2%) 3 (15.3%) 62 (55.0%) (2.00-4.00) | 5,526 (73.0%) 1,117 (14.8%) 713 (9.4%) 1,117 (14.8%) 4,440 (58.7%) | 0.045 | 5,473 (73.4%) 726 (9.7%) 208 (2.8%) 1,052 (14.1%) | 5,417 (72.6%) 713 (9.6%) 212 (2.8%) | |
| White14,865Black3,183Yellow2,117Other/unkown3,183Insurance (%)11,462SOFA score3.00 (CInterventions (1st 24 h)(%)MV use9,604Vasoactive agent use10,116ECMO use42 (0.CRRT use1,336 | 3 (15.3%) 7 (10.2%) 3 (15.3%) 62 (55.0%) (2.00-4.00) | 1,117 (14.8%) 713 (9.4%) 1,117 (14.8%) 4,440 (58.7%) | | 726 (9.7%) 208 (2.8%) 1,052 (14.1%) | 713 (9.6%) 212 (2.8%) | 0.025 |
| Black3,183Yellow2,117Other/unkown3,183Insurance (%)1,1462SOFA score3,000Interventions (1st 24 b)1,1462MV use9,604Vasoactive agent use10,100ECMO use42,00CRRT use1,336 | 3 (15.3%) 7 (10.2%) 3 (15.3%) 62 (55.0%) (2.00-4.00) | 1,117 (14.8%) 713 (9.4%) 1,117 (14.8%) 4,440 (58.7%) | 0.075 | 726 (9.7%) 208 (2.8%) 1,052 (14.1%) | 713 (9.6%) 212 (2.8%) | |
| Yellow2,17Other/unkown3,183Insurance (%)11,462SOFA score3,00 (0Interventions (1st 24)9,604Vasoactive agent use9,604ECMO use42 (0CRRT use13,364 | 7 (10.2%) 3 (15.3%) 62 (55.0%) (2.00-4.00) | 713 (9.4%) 1,117 (14.8%) 4,440 (58.7%) | 0.075 | 208 (2.8%) 1,052 (14.1%) | 212 (2.8%) | |
| Other/unkown3,183Insurance (%)11,462SOFA score3.00 (2)Interventions (1st 24 h) (%)(%)MV use9,604Vasoactive agent use10,116ECMO use42 (0)CRRT use1,336 | 3 (15.3%) 62 (55.0%) (2.00-4.00) | 1,117 (14.8%) 4,440 (58.7%) | 0.075 | 1,052 (14.1%) | | |
| Insurance (%)11,462SOFA score3.00 (2)Interventions (1st 24 h) (%)MV use9,604Vasoactive agent use10,116ECMO use42 (0.CRRT use1,336 | 62 (55.0%) (2.00-4.00) | 4,440 (58.7%) | 0.075 | | 1,117 (15.0%) | |
| SOFA score3.00 (Interventions (1st 24 h) (%)MV use9,604Vasoactive agent use10,116ECMO use42 (0.CRRT use1,336 | (2.00-4.00) | | 0.075 | 2 967 (39 8%) | | |
| Interventions (1st 24 h) (%)MV use9,604Vasoactive agent use10,116ECMO use42 (0.CRRT use1,336 | | 3.00 (2.00-4.00) | | 2,707 (37.070) | 3,085 (41.4%) | 0.032 |
| MV use9,604Vasoactive agent use10,116ECMO use42 (0.CRRT use1,336 | 4 (46.0%) | | 0.019 | 3.00 (2.00-4.00) | 3.00 (2.00-4.00) | 0.017 |
| Vasoactive agent use10,116ECMO use42 (0.CRRT use1,336 | 4 (46.0%) | | | | | |
| ECMO use 42 (0. CRRT use 1,336 | | 3,721 (49.2%) | 0.063 | 3,641 (48.8%) | 3,643 (48.8%) | 0.001 |
| CRRT use 1,336 | 16 (48.5%) | 4,634 (61.2%) | 0.258 | 4,495 (60.3%) | 4,542 (60.9%) | 0.013 |
| | 0.2%) | 28 (0.4%) | 0.032 | 26 (0.3%) | 25 (0.3%) | 0.002 |
| Comorbidities (%) | 6 (6.4%) | 422 (5.6%) | 0.035 | 395 (5.3%) | 412 (5.5%) | 0.010 |
| | | | | | | |
| Hypertension 8,403 | 3 (40.3%) | 3,479 (46.0%) | 0.115 | 3,465 (46.5%) | 3,429 (46.0%) | 0.010 |
| Diabetes 1948 | 3 (9.3%) | 1,174 (15.5%) | 0.118 | 1,138 (15.3%) | 1,148 (15.4%) | 0.004 |
| MI 2,670 | 0 (12.8%) | 2,443 (32.3%) | 0.479 | 2,156 (28.9%) | 2,352 (31.5%) | 0.057 |
| CHF 5,814 | 4 (27.9%) | 3,476 (45.9%) | 0.381 | 3,478 (46.6%) | 3,391 (45.5%) | 0.023 |
| Cerebrovascular disease 3,022 | 2 (14.5%) | 1,078 (14.2%) | 0.007 | 1,116 (15.0%) | 1,065 (14.3%) | 0.019 |
| COPD 5,726 | 6 (27.5%) | 2,258 (29.8%) | 0.035 | 2,338 (31.3%) | 2,230 (29.9%) | 0.031 |
| Liver disease 2021 | (9.7%) | 129 (1.7%) | 0.350 | 102 (1.4%) | 129 (1.7%) | 0.029 |
| Renal disease 4,775 | 5 (22.9%) | 2,370 (31.3%) | 0.190 | 2,407 (32.3%) | 2,322 (31.1%) | 0.024 |
| Malignancy 3,181 | 1 (15.3%) | 654 (8.6%) | 0.205 | 644 (8.6%) | 654 (8.8%) | 0.005 |
| AIDS 214 (1 | (1.0%) | 33 (0.4%) | 0.069 | 33 (0.4%) | 33 (0.4%) | < 0.001 |
| Vital signs (1st 24 h) | | | | | | |
| HR (Beats/min) 87.00 | 0 (76.13–99.15) | 82.51 (74.32-92.09) | 0.279 | 82.31 (73.15-92.96) | 82.54 (74.35-92.18) | 0.012 |
| RR (Beats/min) 19.14 | 4 (16.76–22.20) | 18.75 (16.69–21.41) | 0.112 | 18.69 (16.56-21.50) | 18.74 (16.69–21.41) | 0.015 |
| SBP (mmHg) 113.00 | 00 (104.25-124.86) | 112.31 (105.19–121.12) | 0.070 | 112.23 (104.17-122.74) | 112.39 (105.22–121.25) | < 0.001 |
| DBP (mmHg) 60.83 | 3 (54.82–68.04) | 58.74 (53.40-64.63) | 0.238 | 58.48 (52.83-64.92) | 58.78 (53.44-64.68) | 0.025 |
| Temperature (°C) 36.87 | 7 (36.61–37.23) | 36.80 (36.56-37.09) | 0.163 | 36.81 (36.55-37.12) | 36.81 (36.56-37.09) | 0.006 |
| SpO ₂ (%) 97.25 | 5 (95.77–98.59) | 97.40 (96.00–98.55) | 0.058 | 97.39 (95.93–98.65) | 97.40 (96.00-98.55) | 0.002 |
| Laboratory tests (1st 24 h) | | | | | | |
| WBC (×10 ⁹ /L) 13.40 | 0 (9.40–18.50) | 14.10 (10.50–18.60) | 0.010 | 13.60 (9.70–18.60) | 14.10 (10.50–18.50) | 0.011 |

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of subjects in the original and matched cohorts.

| Covariates | Before PSM | | | After PSM | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| | Aspirin nonusers | Aspirin users | SMD | Aspirin nonusers | Aspirin users | SMD |
| HBG (g/dL) | 9.60 (8.20-11.20) | 9.50 (8.20-10.90) | 0.050 | 9.50 (8.20-10.90) | 9.50 (8.20-10.90) | 0.002 |
| PLT (×10 ⁹ /L) | 164.00 (107.00-233.00) | 158.00 (117.00-219.00) | 0.017 | 166.00 (116.00-226.00) | 158.00 (118.00-219.00) | 0.021 |
| INR | 1.2000 (1.1000-1.5000) | 1.2000 (1.1000-1.4000) | 0.099 | 1.20 (1.10-1.40) | 1.20 (1.10–1.40) | 0.010 |
| Sodium (mmol/L) | 140.00 (137.00-143.00) | 140.00 (137.00-142.00) | 0.056 | 140.00 (137.00-142.00) | 140.00 (137.00-142.00) | 0.012 |
| Potassium (mmol/L) | 4.50 (4.10-5.00) | 4.60 (4.20-5.00) | 0.102 | 4.50 (4.10-5.10) | 4.60 (4.20-5.00) | 0.001 |
| Calcium (mg/dL) | 8.50 (8.00-9.00) | 8.50 (8.10-9.00) | 0.035 | 8.50 (8.00-9.00) | 8.50 (8.10-9.00) | 0.005 |
| AG (mmHg) | 16.00 (14.00-19.00) | 15.00 (13.00-19.00) | 0.145 | 16.00 (13.00-19.00) | 15.00 (13.00-19.00) | < 0.001 |
| Glu (mg/dL) | 131.11 (111.00–161.17) | 132.00 (118.14–160.83) | 0.012 | 132.67 (114.00–161.77) | 131.92 (118.00-160.82) | 0.001 |
| Cr (mg/dL) | 1.20 (0.80–1.90) | 1.20 (0.90-1.90) | 0.021 | 1.20 (0.90-2.00) | 1.20 (0.90-2.00) | 0.010 |
| BUN (mg/dL) | 24.00 (16.00-40.00) | 24.00 (16.00-40.00) | 0.006 | 25.00 (17.00-41.00) | 24.00 (16.00-40.00) | 0.020 |

TABLE 1 (Continued) Baseline characteristics of subjects in the original and matched cohorts.

SOFA: sequential organ failure assessment, SAPS II: Simplified Acute Physiology Score II, GCS: glasgow coma scale, MV: mechanical ventilation, ECMO: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, CRRT: continuous renal replacement therapy, MI: myocardial infarction, CHF: congestive heart failure, COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, AIDS: acquired immune deficiency syndrome, HR: heart rate, RR: respiratory rate, MBP: mean blood pressure, SpO₂: saturation of pulse oxygen, WBC: white blood cell count, HCT: haematocrit, HBG: haemoglobin, PLT: platelet counts, INR: international normalized ratio, AG: anion gap, Glu: blood glucose, Cr: creatinine, BUN: blood urea nitrogen.

TABLE 2 Outcomes of subjects in the original and matched cohorts.

| Covariates | Before PSM | | | After PSM | | |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| | Aspirin nonusers | Aspirin users | Р | Aspirin nonusers | Aspirin users | Ρ |
| N | 20,857 | 7,568 | | 7,459 | 7,459 | |
| Length of ICU stay | 3.33 (1.96-6.82) | 2.70 (1.49-5.17) | < 0.001 | 3.30 (1.97-6.59) | 2.65 (1.48-5.07) | <0.001 |
| Death in hospital | 3,462 (16.6%) | 903 (11.9%) | < 0.001 | 1,171 (15.7%) | 903 (12.1%) | <0.001 |
| 30-day mortality | 4,185 (20.1%) | 1,164 (15.4%) | < 0.001 | 1,453 (19.5%) | 1,164 (15.6%) | <0.001 |
| 90-day mortality | 5,761 (27.6%) | 1,624 (21.5%) | <0.001 | 2035 (27.3%) | 1,624 (21.8%) | <0.001 |

90-day mortality with different cohorts

The chi-square test showed that the aspirin users group had a lower 90-day mortality [1,624 (21.5%) vs. 5,761 (27.6%), *P* < 0.001] than the aspirin nonusers group in the original cohort. In the matched cohort, the aspirin users group had a lower 90-day mortality than the aspirin nonusers group [1,624 (21.8%) vs. 2,035 (27.3%), P < 0.001] (Table 2). The Cox regression in the original cohort showed that early aspirin use was associated with a lower 90-day mortality, with an HR of 0.75 (95% CI, 0.71–0.79, P <0.001). This association remained significant in the matched cohort (HR, 0.77, 95% CI, 0.73–0.83, P < 0.001). In the original cohort, K-M curve analysis showed that the 90-day mortality of the aspirin users group was significantly lower than that of the aspirin nonusers group (Figure 3A, P < 0.001). This result remained significant in the matched cohort (Figure 3B, P < 0.001). Subgroup analysis revealed comparable relationships between early aspirin use and 90-day mortality among individuals (Figure 4).

Discussion

The main aim of this study was to assess the potential association between early treatment of aspirin and enhanced survival outcomes in individuals with sepsis. The justification for investigating aspirin as a novel therapeutic intervention arises from its extensively documented anti-inflammatory and antiplatelet characteristics. In the present study, it was observed that those who were administered aspirin during the initial phases of sepsis demonstrated a notably reduced mortality rate during a 90-day period in comparison to those who did not get aspirin. This observation necessitates additional examination of the potential of aspirin in alleviating the systemic ramifications of sepsis. The results of our study provide valuable insights that contribute to the continuing scholarly conversation on the management of sepsis.

Previous research examining the impact of aspirin on the vulnerability to sepsis and its resulting effects has yielded diverse findings. Using a nested cohort study, Al Harbi, et al. found that





aspirin use in ICU patients was associated with increased rates of severe sepsis and length of stay (Al Harbi et al., 2016). The findings of a population-based cohort analysis indicate that there is no significant association between baseline aspirin use and long-term incidence of sepsis (Hsu et al., 2018). In a retrospective population-

based cohort analysis, it was observed that the administration of aspirin before to hospital admission is linked to a decreased mortality rate within a 90-day period among patients diagnosed with sepsis (Hsu et al., 2022). These findings align with the outcomes of our research. Our data differed from previous research in that

| ubgroup | Nondeath in 90 days | Death in 90 days | | | | OR(95% CI) | P for interacti |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|----|---|---|-----------------|
| ge 65 years | 3919 | 659 | ⊢ ⊷1 | | | 0.79 (0.68-0.92) | 0.914 |
| 65 years | 7340 | 3000 | H . | | | 0.78 (0.73-0.84) | |
| ender | | | | | | | 0.476 |
| ale | 7015 | 2179 | H | | | 0.72 (0.78-0.85) | |
| male | 4244 | 1480 | H | | | 0.77 (0.70-0.85) | 0.407 |
| ace hite | 8326 | 2564 | H I | | | 0.77 (0.71-0.84) | 0.427 |
| ack | 1069 | 370 | — | | | 0.84 (0.69-1.04) | |
| llow | 307 | 113 | | | | 0.87 (0.60-1.26) | |
| her/unkown | 1557 | 612 | H | | | 0.72 (0.61-0.85) | |
| surance | | | | | | | < 0.001 |
| S | 6391 | 2475 | H | | | 0.85 (0.78-0.92) | |
| ofa score | 4868 | 1184 | · · · · | | | 0.66 (0.59-0.74) | 0.246 |
| 5 | 9877 | 3036 | H | | | 0.79 (0.73-0.85) | 0.240 |
| 5 | 1382 | 623 | H= | | | 0.71 (0.61-0.84) | |
| V use | | | | | | | 0.979 |
| s | 5502 | 1782 | H | | | 0.78 (0.71-0.85) | |
| | 5757 | 1877 | H | | | 0.77 (0.71–0.85) | 0.004 |
| soactive agent use | 6678 | 2359 | H | | | 0.71 (0.66-0.78) | 0.001 |
|) | 4581 | 1300 | ···- | | | 0.89 (0.80-1.00) | |
| CMO use | | | | | | | 0.006 |
| s | 22 | 29 | | | | → 2.11 (0.99-4.49) | |
| | 11237 | 3630 | M | | | 0.77 (0.72-0.82) | 0.040 |
| RRT use | 380 | 427 | | | | 0.97 (0.80-1.17) | 0.016 |
| 2 2 | 10879 | 3232 | щŢ | | | 0.75 (0.70-0.81) | |
| pertension | 10070 | OLOL | | | | 0.70 (0.70 0.01) | 0.001 |
| S | 5546 | 1348 | •H | | | 0.61 (0.67-0.75) | |
| | 5713 | 2311 | • | | | 0.84 (0.77-0.91) | |
| iabetes | 1011 | 045 | | | | 0.00 (0.00, 0.04) | 0.61 |
| es D | 1641 9618 | 645 3014 | F | | | 0.80 (0.69-0.94) 0.77 (0.72-0.83) | |
| Ĩ | 3010 | 3014 | | | | 0.77 (0.72 0.03) | < 0.001 |
| es | 3176 | 1332 | нeн | | | 0.94 (0.85-1.05) | |
| 0 | 8083 | 2327 | H | | | 0.68 (0.63-0.74) | |
| HF | 1000 | 0470 | | | | 0.05 (0.70, 0.00) | 0.003 |
| S D | 4693 6566 | 2176 1483 | H H | | | 0.85 (0.78-0.92) 0.70 (0.63-0.77) | |
| , erebrovascular disease | 0300 | 1405 | · · · · · | | | 0.70 (0.05-0.77) | 0.095 |
| s | 1464 | 717 | ⊢ ⊷- | | | 0.87 (0.75-1.01) | 0.000 |
|) | 9795 | 2942 | H | | | 0.76 (0.70–0.81) | |
| OPD | | 1001 | | | | | 0.314 |
| es D | 3304 7955 | 1264 2395 | H H | | | 0.81 (0.73-0.91) 0.76 (0.70-0.82) | |
| ver disease | 7955 | 2393 | · · · · | | | 0.70 (0.70-0.82) | < 0.001 |
| s | 131 | 100 | | | | 1.98 (1.30-3.02) | |
| D | 11128 | 3559 | • | | | 0.75 (0.71-0.81) | |
| enal disease | | | 1000 | | | | 0.002 |
| is D | 3151 8108 | 1578 2081 | H=H H | | | 0.88 (0.80-0.97) | |
| alignancy | 0100 | 2081 | · · · · | | | 0.71 (0.66–0.78) | 0.271 |
| s | 743 | 555 | F | | | 0.84 (0.71-0.99) | 0.271 |
|) | 10516 | 3104 | H | | | 0.76 (0.71-0.82) | |
| DS | | | | | | | 0.024 |
| es | 41 | 25 | | • | | \rightarrow 2.02 (0.89-4.58) | |
|) Il participants | 11218 11259 | 3634 3659 | <u>,</u> | | | 0.77 (0.72–0.82) 0.77 (0.73–0.82) | |
| | 11255 | | | | | 0.11 (0.13 0.02) | |
| | | Ċ |) 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | | · · · · | | OR | - | | |
| | | | | 51 | | | |
| URE 4 | | | | | | | |

they were not restricted to ICU admission and encompassed data on various baseline participant characteristics. After accounting for demographics and chronic medical disorders, we saw that the strongest reduction in the connection between early aspirin usage and 90-day mortality occurred. This indicates that aspirin use could potentially serve as an indicator of the burden of other medical conditions.

The correlation established between the early initiation of aspirin usage and a decrease in mortality rates presents thoughtprovoking inquiries on the fundamental mechanisms involved. However, the precise role of aspirin in sepsis remains uncertain, particularly with respect to the dosage and the reasons for its prescription. The vast majority of sepsis patients in this study received low-dose aspirin (e.g., 75 mg per day), which is commonly used for secondary prevention post-myocardial infarction (MI), primarily acting by inhibiting platelet cyclooxygenase (COX-1), which reduces platelet aggregation. This mechanism may offer a plausible explanation for its potential benefit in sepsis, given the recognized role of platelets in the pathogenesis of sepsis-related thrombosis and microvascular dysfunction (Cox, 2023; Yang et al., 2023). Moreover, while anti-platelet agents are not a cure for sepsis, they have shown promise in stabilizing patients by preventing progression to disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC). This can provide clinicians with valuable time to address the underlying infection, particularly in cases where sepsis is culturenegative, a scenario in which antibiotics may be ineffective in the absence of an identified pathogen. Sepsis is known to induce endothelial dysfunction, leading to vascular leakage and contributing to organ failure (Joffre et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2023). In this context, the potential protective effects of aspirin on the endothelium have been proposed (Dzeshka et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2023). By modulating the balance between vasoconstrictive and vasodilatory chemicals, aspirin may help preserve endothelial integrity, potentially counteracting the vascular damage seen in sepsis. Furthermore, The immunomodulatory effects of aspirin have been demonstrated, encompassing the modification of immune cell function (Schieffer and Drexler, 2003). This has the potential to impact the equilibrium between pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory reactions in sepsis, thereby promoting a more regulated and harmonious immune response.

Despite the importance of our findings, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, the retrospective nature of the study design introduces potential selection bias, although we employed the PSM approach to mitigate this. Additionally, we lacked information on whether patients were on aspirin prior to admission and the specific reasons for its use, which limits our understanding of its mechanisms in sepsis outcomes. Future research should focus on prospectively documenting patients' medication history, including aspirin use and the clinical indications for its prescription, to better assess its impact on disease progression and treatment outcomes. Furthermore, since our study is based on an observational database, the findings should be interpreted as preliminary. Therefore, future prospective studies, particularly randomized controlled trials comparing aspirin with a placebo in sepsis patients, are necessary to more conclusively determine the causal relationship between aspirin use and mortality.

In conclusion, early aspirin use was associated with decreased 90-day mortality in septic patients, emphasizing the significance of early aspirin use strategy in the ICU.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://www.physionet.org/content/mimiciv/ 3.0/.

Author contributions

CH: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing-original draft. QT: Formal Analysis, Methodology,

References

Al Harbi, S. A., Tamim, H. M., Al-Dorzi, H. M., Sadat, M., and Arabi, Y. M. (2016). Association between aspirin therapy and the outcome in critically ill patients: a nested cohort study. *BMC Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 17, 5. doi:10.1186/s40360-016-0047-z

Austin, P. C. (2009). Balance diagnostics for comparing the distribution of baseline covariates between treatment groups in propensity-score matched samples. *Stat. Med.* 28 (25), 3083–3107. doi:10.1002/sim.3697

Austin, P. C., and Stuart, E. A. (2015). Moving towards best practice when using inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) using the propensity score to estimate causal treatment effects in observational studies. *Stat. Med.* 34 (28), 3661–3679. doi:10.1002/sim.6607

Boyle, A. J., Di Gangi, S., Hamid, U. I., Mottram, L. J., McNamee, L., White, G., et al. (2015). Aspirin therapy in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is associated with reduced intensive care unit mortality: a prospective analysis. *Crit. Care* 19 (1), 109. doi:10.1186/s13054-015-0846-4

Cheung, A. M., Tansey, C. M., Tomlinson, G., Diaz-Granados, N., Matté, A., Barr, A., et al. (2006). Two-year outcomes, health care use, and costs of survivors of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 174 (5), 538–544. doi:10.1164/rccm.200505-693OC

Chow, J. H., Rahnavard, A., Gomberg-Maitland, M., Chatterjee, R., Patodi, P., Yamane, D. P., et al. (2022). Association of early aspirin use with in-hospital mortality in patients with moderate COVID-19. *JAMA Netw. open* 5 (3), e223890. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.3890 Validation, Writing-review and editing. WZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing-original draft. ZP: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project administration, Supervision, Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Children's Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine Pre Research Fund (No. CHXJU2023YY002).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1475414/ full#supplementary-material

Cox, D. (2023). Sepsis - it is all about the platelets. *Front. Immunol.* 14, 1210219. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2023.1210219

Dellinger, R. P., Trzeciak, S. W., Criner, G. J., Zimmerman, J. L., Taylor, R. W., Usansky, H., et al. (2012). Association between inhaled nitric oxide treatment and long-term pulmonary function in survivors of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit. Care* 16 (2), R36. doi:10.1186/cc11215

Dzeshka, M. S., Shantsila, A., and Lip, G. Y. (2016). Effects of aspirin on endothelial function and hypertension. *Curr. Hypertens. Rep.* 18 (11), 83. doi:10.1007/s11906-016-0688-8

Evans, L., Rhodes, A., Alhazzani, W., Antonelli, M., Coopersmith, C. M., French, C., et al. (2021). Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock 2021. *Intensive Care Med.* 47 (11), 1181–1247. doi:10.1007/s00134-021-06506-y

Fleischmann-Struzek, C., Mellhammar, L., Rose, N., Cassini, A., Rudd, K. E., Schlattmann, P., et al. (2020). Incidence and mortality of hospital- and ICU-treated sepsis: results from an updated and expanded systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intens. Care Med.* 46 (8), 1552–1562. doi:10.1007/s00134-020-06151-x

GBD 2016 Causes of Death Collaborators (2017). Global, regional, and national agesex specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet London, Engl.* 390 (10100), 1151–1210. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32152-9 Hsu, J., Donnelly, J. P., Chaudhary, N. S., Moore, J. X., Safford, M. M., Kim, J., et al. (2018). Aspirin use and long-term rates of sepsis: a population-based cohort study. *PloS One* 13 (4), e0194829. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0194829

Hsu, W. T., Porta, L., Chang, I. J., Dao, Q. L., Tehrani, B. M., Hsu, T. C., et al. (2022). Association between aspirin use and sepsis outcomes: a national cohort study. *Anesth. analgesia* 135 (1), 110–117. doi:10.1213/ANE.00000000005943

Joffre, J., Hellman, J., Ince, C., and Ait-Oufella, H. (2020). Endothelial responses in sepsis. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 202 (3), 361–370. doi:10.1164/rccm.201910-1911TR

Johnson, A. E. W., Bulgarelli, L., Shen, L., Gayles, A., Shammout, A., Horng, S., et al. (2023). MIMIC-IV, a freely accessible electronic health record dataset. *Sci. data* 10 (1), 1. doi:10.1038/s41597-022-01899-x

Kempker, J. A., and Martin, G. S. (2020). A global accounting of sepsis. Lancet London, Engl. 395 (10219), 168–170. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)33065-X

Kor, D. J., Carter, R. E., Park, P. K., Festic, E., Banner-Goodspeed, V. M., Hinds, R., et al. (2016). Effect of aspirin on development of ARDS in at-risk patients presenting to the emergency department: the LIPS-A randomized clinical trial. *Jama* 315 (22), 2406–2414. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.6330

Liu, Q., Dong, S., Zhou, X., Zhao, Y., Dong, B., Shen, J., et al. (2023). Effects of longterm intervention with losartan, aspirin and atorvastatin on vascular remodeling in juvenile spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Molecules* 28 (4), 1844. doi:10.3390/ molecules28041844

Menter, D. G., and Bresalier, R. S. (2023). An aspirin a day: new pharmacological developments and cancer chemoprevention. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 63, 165–186. doi:10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-052020-023107

Narduzzi, S., Golini, M. N., Porta, D., Stafoggia, M., and Forastiere, F. (2014). Inverse probability weighting (IPW) for evaluating and "correcting" selection bias. *Epidemiol. Prev.* 38 (5), 335–341.

Patrono, C. (2023). Fifty years with aspirin and platelets. Br. J. Pharmacol. 180 (1), 25-43. doi:10.1111/bph.15966

Rudd, K. E., Johnson, S. C., Agesa, K. M., Shackelford, K. A., Tsoi, D., Kievlan, D. R., et al. (2020). Global, regional, and national sepsis incidence and mortality, 1990-2017:

analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Lancet* 395 (10219), 200–211. doi:10. 1016/S0140-6736(19)32989-7

Ryan, B. M. (2021). In response: using propensity score matching to balance the baseline characteristics. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 16 (6), E46. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2021. 02.028

Schieffer, B., and Drexler, H. (2003). Role of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme a reductase inhibitors, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, and aspirin in anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory treatment of cardiovascular diseases. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 91 (12a), 12H–18H. doi:10.1016/s0002-9149(03)00429-6

Seymour, C. W., Kennedy, J. N., Wang, S., Chang, C.-C. H., Elliott, C. F., Xu, Z., et al. (2019). Derivation, validation, and potential treatment implications of novel clinical phenotypes for sepsis. *JAMA* 321 (20), 2003–2017. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.5791

Singer, M., Deutschman, C. S., Seymour, C. W., Shankar-Hari, M., Annane, D., Bauer, M., et al. (2016). The Third international Consensus Definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* 315 (8), 801–810. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.0287

Toner, P., McAuley, D. F., and Shyamsundar, M. (2015). Aspirin as a potential treatment in sepsis or acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit. Care* 19, 374. doi:10. 1186/s13054-015-1091-6

Van Oosterom, N., Barras, M., Cottrell, N., and Bird, R. (2022). Platelet function assays for the diagnosis of aspirin resistance. *Platelets* 33 (3), 329–338. doi:10.1080/09537104.2021.1942816

Yang, M., Jiang, H., Ding, C., Zhang, L., Ding, N., Li, G., et al. (2023). STING activation in platelets aggravates septic thrombosis by enhancing platelet activation and granule secretion. *Immunity* 56 (5), 1013–1026.e6. doi:10.1016/j. immuni.2023.02.015

Zhang, H., Wang, Y., Qu, M., Li, W., Wu, D., Cata, J. P., et al. (2023). Neutrophil, neutrophil extracellular traps and endothelial cell dysfunction in sepsis. *Clin. Transl. Med.* 13 (1), e1170. doi:10.1002/ctm2.1170

Zhang, Z., Kim, H. J., Lonjon, G., Zhu, Y., and written on behalf of AME Big-Data Clinical Trial Collaborative Group (2019). Balance diagnostics after propensity score matching. *Ann. Transl. Med.* 7 (1), 16. doi:10.21037/atm.2018.12.10