Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jian-Cang Zhou, Zhejiang University, China

REVIEWED BY

Dongdong Zhao, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, China Xuedong Jia, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jian Qu, ⊠ qujianstanley@csu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 07 April 2024 ACCEPTED 04 March 2025 PUBLISHED 20 March 2025

CITATION

Zhuang H-H, Chen Q-H, Wang W, Qu Q, Xu W-X, Hu Q, Wu X-L, Chen Y, Wan Q, Xu T-T, Long W-M, Luo Y, Zhang H-N and Qu J (2025) The efficacy of polymyxin B in treating strokeassociated pneumonia with carbapenemresistant Gram-negative bacteria infections: a multicenter real-world study using propensity score matching. *Front. Pharmacol.* 16:1413563. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2025.1413563

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhuang, Chen, Wang, Qu, Xu, Hu, Wu, Chen, Wan, Xu, Long, Luo, Zhang and Qu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The efficacy of polymyxin B in treating stroke-associated pneumonia with carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria infections: a multicenter real-world study using propensity score matching

Hai-Hui Zhuang^{1†}, Qi-Hua Chen^{2†}, Wei Wang², Qiang Qu³, Wei-Xin Xu¹, Qin Hu³, Xiao-Li Wu⁴, Ying Chen⁵, Qing Wan⁶, Tian-Tian Xu⁶, Wen-Ming Long⁷, Yue Luo⁸, Hai-Nan Zhang² and Jian Qu¹⁹*

¹Department of Pharmacy, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Institute of Clinical Pharmacy, Central South University, Changsha, China, ²Department of Neurology, The Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China, ³Department of Pharmacy, Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China, ⁴Department of Pharmacy, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ⁵Department of Pharmacy, Renmin Hospital, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China, ⁶Department of Pharmacy, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, China, ⁷Department of Pharmacy, The Second People's Hospital of Huaihua, Huaihua, China, ⁸Department of Pharmacy, The People's Hospital of Liuyang, Liuyang, China, ⁹Hunan Key Laboratory of the Research and Development of Novel Pharmaceutical Preparations, Changsha Medical University, Changsha, China

Objectives: Infection with Carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria (CR-GNB) poses further challenges in treating stroke-associated pneumonia (SAP) patients. This multicenter retrospective study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of polymyxin B (PMB) in CR-GNB-infected SAP patients and to identify factors that may influence its effectiveness.

Methods: From 1 September 2019, and 30 December 2022, a total of 196 CR-GNB-infected SAP patients from five hospitals in China were included in the study based on specific criteria. Demographics and clinical data were obtained from the electronic medical records. Propensity score matching (PSM) was used to minimize the effect of potential confounding variables. Univariate analysis and multivariate logistic analysis were performed to identify risk factors affecting microbial efficacy.

Results: Among the 196 SAP patients infected with CR-GNB, 24.5% received PMB combined inhalation and 75.5% received non-combined inhalation treatment. The clinical success rate was 68.9%, with 25.5% achieving microbial efficacy within 7 days and 37.8% achieving microbial cure. The 30-day all-cause mortality rate was 14.8%. The incidence of acute kidney injury was 34.7%. After adjustment by propensity score matching, the PMB combined inhalation group exhibited significantly higher microbial efficacy compared to the non-combined inhalation

group (46.7% vs. 26.7%, p = 0.049). Multivariate logistic analysis identified multi-site infections and Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection as independent risk factors for microbial efficacy.

Conclusion: Combined inhalation of PMB demonstrated superior effectiveness in microbial clearance compared to non-combined inhalation in treating CR-GNB-infected SAP patients. We recommend aerosol combined inhalation of PMB and suggest developing personalized PMB-based regimens for individual patients to enhance treatment outcomes.

KEYWORDS

stroke-associated pneumonia, polymyxin B, carbapenem-resistant gram-negative bacteria, clinical efficacy, microbial efficacy

1 Introduction

Stroke-associated pneumonia (SAP) is a common complication that occurs within the first week after a stroke, affecting 7%-38% of stroke patients (Badve et al., 2019). Recent studies have confirmed that SAP is associated with higher mortality, worse outcomes in survivors, and longer hospital stays (Ali et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2021). Patients with acute stroke are particularly susceptible to disruptions in their oral microbiota due to reduced chewing, salivation, swallowing, and oral hygiene (Grossmann et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2021). The presence of oral pathogens in stroke patients is associated with a poor prognosis and can lead to aspiration pneumonia (Grossmann et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2021). SAP often involves a mix of pathogens associated with early-onset nosocomial pneumonia and community-acquired aspiration syndrome (Westendorp et al., 2022). A systematic review of 15 studies identified the commonly detected pathogens as Enterobacteriaceae (Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli), Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter baumannii, and Streptococcus pneumoniae (Kishore et al., 2018). These pathogens are similar to those commonly found in hospitalacquired pneumonia (HAP) or community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) (Zhao et al., 2021). Mechanical ventilation (MV) is used in critically ill patients to maintain normal gas exchange. However, the mechanical force exerted by MV can damage the normal airway barrier and impair the lower respiratory tract's ability to eliminate microbes (Mandell and Niederman, 2019; Zhao et al., 2021). Therefore, MV is a risk factor for SAP, and critically ill patients on MV are at a high risk of developing SAP (Mandell and Niederman, 2019).

Infections caused by carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria (CR-GNB) have drastically increased over the past decade and have become a major global public health challenge with high mortality rates (Lu et al., 2021a). Treatment options for CR-GNB infections are limited, especially in China, where polymyxins, tigecycline, and ceftazidime-avibactam are available (Qu et al., 2022). Polymyxins are a group of chemicals derived from *Paenibacillus polymyxa* and were clinically useful in the 1950s (Nation et al., 2014). Currently, three different forms of polymyxins are available in the international market: colistimethate sodium (CMS), polymyxin B sulfate (PMB), and colistin sulfate, with the latter being unique to the Chinese market (Lu et al., 2021b). Polymyxin B is a polypeptide antibiotic with strong activity against most CR-GNB infections (Lu et al., 2021b; Qu et al., 2022). However, its clinical use has been limited due to side effects, especially nephrotoxicity (Wu et al., 2022). Recent studies have found that PMB can effectively treat extensively drug-resistant Gram-negative ventilator-associated pneumonia, particularly when inhaled (Liu et al., 2022; Ye et al., 2022). However, there are few studies on the use of PMB in the treatment of CR-GNB-infected SAP. In this multicenter retrospective study, we aim to investigate the efficacy of PMB in SAP infections.

2 Patients and methods

2.1 Ethics

The study received approval from the Ethics Committees of the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (LYF-2020021) and was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration (1964). Due to the retrospective nature of the study, written informed consent was waived by the ethics committee.

2.2 Patients

The study included patients who were admitted to several hospitals, namely, the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (a 3,500-bed general hospital), Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (a 3,500-bed general hospital), the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University (a 2,500-bed general hospital), and Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University (a 3,500-bed general hospital) between 1 September 2019, and 30 December 2022. Patients were eligible for inclusion if they met the following criteria: (a) had SAP (diagnosed within 7 days after stroke); (b) were diagnosed with CR-GNB pneumonia based on two consecutive bronchial secretions or bronchoalveolar lavage cultures, antimicrobial susceptibility testing, clinical symptoms, and lung imaging data; (c) received intravenous PMB for \geq 72 h; and (d) had available data to evaluate the effectiveness of PMB. Patients were excluded if they were pregnant, under 18 years of age, the antibiotic susceptibility test indicated cases where CR-GNB strains were resistant to PMB.

Demographics and clinical characteristics, such as age, gender, baseline comorbidities, infection sites, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) score, details of antibiotic use, and inflammatory indicators, were retrospectively obtained from electronic records. All collected data were anonymized.

2.3 Outcomes and definitions

Patients were diagnosed with SAP if they developed lower respiratory tract infections within the first 7 days after the index stroke, based on the modified Centers for Disease Control and Prevention criteria (Smith et al., 2015). The diagnosis of SAP was retrospectively determined by two neurologists (Qi-Hua Chen and Wei Wang). Clinical outcomes were assessed by two physicians and categorized as clinical success or clinical failure. Clinical cure was defined as symptom resolution or significant improvement following PMB therapy, including a composite of survival, hemodynamic stability, body temperature <38°C, improved inflammatory indicators, and a stable or improved PaO2/FiO2 ratio (King et al., 2017). Microbial cure was defined as the absence of the initially isolated pathogen from the site of infection and the disappearance of clinical pneumonia manifestation. In cases of multi-site CR-GNB infection, microbial cure was defined as the absence of detection at all infection sites in the patient. Thirty-day all-cause mortality was defined as death from any cause within 30 days of PMB treatment.

Baseline serum creatinine was measured on the day that PMB was initiated. Normal renal function was defined as a glomerular filtration rate (GFR) \geq 60 mL/min per 1.73 m². Renal injury was evaluated using the RIFLE (risk, injury, failure, loss, end-stage kidney disease) criteria, which compared the highest serum creatinine value observed during PMB therapy with the baseline creatinine level (Bellomo et al., 2004). Acute kidney injury (AKI) was defined according to the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) criteria, which require either a 0.3 mg/dL increase in serum creatinine within 7 days. Creatinine clearance (CrCL) was calculated using the Cockcroft–Gault equation.

2.4 Microbiology

Bacterial species were identified using a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometer (bioMerieux, Marcyl 'Etoile, France). Antimicrobial susceptibilities and the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) breakpoints for most antibiotics were determined by the broth microdilution method using the VITEK[®]2 system (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France PMB) and were interpreted based on the Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) 2020 guideline (Institute, 2020). The MIC breakpoint for tigecycline and PMB was interpreted by European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing 2020 criteria (Satlin et al., 2020). Carbapenem resistance was defined as a MIC ≥4 mg/L of imipenem or meropenem (Codjoe and Donkor, 2017).

2.5 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, United States). Quantitative data were presented as mean \pm standard deviations (SD) or medians (interquartile range,

IQR). For comparisons between the two groups, Student's t-tests or Mann-Whitney U tests were used. Categorical data were presented as numbers of cases and percentages and were analyzed by χ^2 tests or Fisher's exact tests. A group was established that received intravenous and nebulized administration of PMB, and a group that only received intravenous administration of PMB. Univariate analysis was used to compare the differences between the two groups. In order to minimize the impact of potential confounding factors, we used SPSS 21.0 software to perform 1: 1 propensity score matching (PSM) for variables with p < 0.1 between the two groups. The matching tolerance was set at 0.2, and the order of cases was randomly arranged when drawing matched items. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to identify potential independent predictors of PMB efficacy predictors. Factors with p-values <0.1 in univariate analysis were included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. Significance was defined as p-values <0.05 (two-tailed).

3 Results

3.1 Baseline clinical characteristics

According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 196 patients who were treated with intravenous PMB and met the criteria were included in our study. (Figure 1). A total of 66 patients were from the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, 60 patients were from Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, 30 patients were from the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, 21 patients were from the Second Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, 15 patients were from Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, 3 patients were from Liuyang People's Hospital, and 1 patient was from the Second People's Hospital of Huaihua City. The demographic and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The medium age was 64.0 (IQR 51.0-75.8) years, with the majority patients being male gender (79.1%). Among the 196 patients, 81.6% were admitted to ICU, 71.4% received mechanical ventilation, and 44.9% received vasoactive drugs for treatment. The duration of hospitalization was 34.5 (IQR 20.3–55.0) days, and the APACHE II score was 19.6 \pm 5.9. Before PMB treatment, patients' creatinine was 72.0 (IQR 52.1-112.6). The sepsis/septic shock rate was 27.0%. Patients with ischemic stroke accounted for 48.5% and hemorrhagic stroke accounted for 51.5%. Among the infected sites, 25.0% were multi-site infections, 9.7% were central nervous system infections, 9.2% were blood infections, 8.7% were urinary tract infections, 2.0% were abdominal infections, and 2.0% were skin and soft tissue infections.

A total of 35.7% of patients (N = 70) had multiple CR-GNB infections. The most common pathogenic bacteria were Carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (CRAB) (N = 142; 72.4%), followed by Carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* (CRKP) (N = 72; 36.7%), Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (CRPA) (N = 54; 27.6%), and other Carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CREs) (N = 8; 4.1%). The sensitivity rate of PMB was 98.9%, and only three strains, including one CRAB, one CRPA, and one CRKP strain, were resistant to PMB. For most CR-GNB stains, the MIC values of PMB were 1 mg/L or \leq 0.5 mg/L.



A total of 169 isolates of CRKP and CRAB underwent susceptibility testing for tigecycline. The MIC values of tigecycline for 113 isolates were ≤ 1 mg/L. For 31 isolates, the MIC values were 2 mg/L, while 25 isolates had MIC values greater than 8 mg/L.

3.2 Medications and outcomes

Out of the 196 patients included, 24.5% of patients (N = 48) were treated with inhalation of PMB, the specific method of inhalation administration is illustrated in Supplementary Figure S1. Only 66.3% of patients (N = 130) received a PMB loading dose with a medium 100 (IQR 100.0–125.0) mg. The maintenance dose was 75.0 (IQR 50.0–75.0) mg q12 h. The loading dose>2 mg/kg accounted for 36.2% of patients (N = 71). The maintenance dose>1.25 mg/kg accounted for 44.4% (N = 87). The treatment course was 10.3 (IQR 6.5–15.0) days. PMB monotherapy was used in 18.9% of patients, and the combination therapy included carbapenem (46.9%), β -lactam drugs (41.8%), and tigecycline (21.9%) (Table 1).

For CR-GNB-infected SAP patients, the clinical success rate of PMB treatment was 68.9%. The 7-day microbial efficacy and microbial cure were 25.5% and 37.8%, respectively. The median bacterial clearance time was 8.0 (IQR 6.0–15.0) days. The 30-day all-cause mortality was 14.8%, and the average survival time was 27.0 \pm 7.4 days. The incidence of AKI was 34.7% (Table 1), with 42 (21.4%) patients in stage Risk (R), 16 (8.2%) patients in stage Injury (I), and 10 (5.1%) patients in stage Failure (F).

3.3 Clinical characteristics of patients in the propensity score matching cohort

We divided 196 SAP patients who received intravenous PMB into a combined inhalation group and a non-combined

inhalation group, with 48 patients (24.5%) in the combined inhalation group. PMB nebulized administration is carried out via inhalation using ultrasonic nebulization. There were significant differences between the two groups on some baseline clinical characteristics, including age, mechanical ventilation, vasoactive drugs, ICU administration, and sepsis/ septic shock. Moreover, the proportion of PMB loading dose, combination of tigecycline, and number of antibiotics were higher in the PMB combined inhalation group than in the non-combined inhalation group (p < 0.05). PMB monotherapy was more frequently used in the non-combined inhalation group than in the combined inhalation group (p = 0.018) (Table 1).

There were imbalances in the baseline variables between the two groups in the original cohort. The propensity score matching was applied to identify a cohort with similar baseline characteristics in the two groups. After PSM, 45 patients receiving the PMB combined inhalation therapy were matched with 45 patients receiving PMB non-combined inhalation therapy. After PSM adjustment, the risk factors of the two groups were more balanced (p > 0.05 for most factors) (Table 2).

In the matched cohort, the median age of patients was 68.0 (IQR 54.8–77.0) years, and 78.9% were male. A total of 53 (58.9%) patients were diagnosed with ischemic stroke, and 37 (41.1%) were diagnosed with hemorrhagic stroke. Among the included patients, 73.3% were infected with CRAB, 34.4% were with CRKP, 26.7% were with CRPA, 6.7% were with other CREs, and 35.6% of them were infected with multiple CR-GNBs. Details of patients' characteristics in the matched cohort were summarized in Table 2. The overall clinical cure, 30-day all-cause mortality, and AKI incidence in the matched cohort were 72.2%, 20.0%, and 41.0%, respectively. It is worth mentioning that the microbial cure rate was significant higher in the PMB combined inhalation group than in the non-combined inhalation group (46.7% vs. 26.7%, p = 0.049) after PSM.

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics and efficacy of PMB inhalation in the treatment of stroke-associated pneumonia caused by CR-GNB infection (before PSM).

Demographics and clinical characteristics	Total (N = 196)	Non- combined inhalation (N = 148)	Combined inhalation $(N = 48)$	P-value	
Age (years)	64.0 (51.0-75.8)	61.0 (50.0–74.5)	71.0 (51.3-78.0)	0.049	
Gender (male)	155 (79.1%)	118 (79.7%)	37 (77.1%)	0.69	
Mechanical ventilation	140 (71.4%)	97 (65.5%)	97 (65.5%) 43 (89.6%)		
Vasoactive drugs	88 (44.9%)	59 (39.9%)	29 (60.4%)	0.013	
ICU administration	160 (81.6%)	115 (77.7%)	45 (93.8%)	0.013	
Hospital stays (days)	34.5 (20.3–55.0)	19.4 ± 5.7	20.2 ± 6.4	0.404	
APACHE II score	19.6 ± 5.9	19.4 ± 5.7	20.2 ± 6.4	0.40	
Creatinine before treatment (μmol/L)	72.0 (52.1–112.6)	70.6 (50.4–102.8)	81.5 (55.5–140.4)	0.11	
Sepsis/septic shock	53 (27.0%)	31 (20.9%)	22 (45.8%)	0.00	
Comorbidity					
Stroke type	95 (48.5%)	66 (44.6%)	29 (60.4%)	0.05	
Ischemic	20 (100270)		25 (001170)	0100	
Hemorrhagic	101 (51.5%)	82 (55.4%)	19 (39.6%)		
Hypoproteinemia	56 (28.6%)	39 (26.4%)	17 (35.4%)	0.22	
Urinary system disease	29 (14.8%)	20 (13.5%)	9 (18.8%)	0.37	
Diabetes mellitus	50 (25.5%)	36 (24.3%)	14 (29.2%)	0.50	
Digestive system diseases	62 (31.6%)	45 (30.4%)	17 (35.4%)	0.51	
Cardiovascular diseases	133 (67.9%)	98 (66.2%)	35 (72.9%)	0.38	
Malignancy	25 (12.8%)	19 (12.8%)	6 (12.5%)	0.95	
Infection sits					
Multi-site infections	49 (25.0%)	35 (23.6%)	14 (29.2%)	0.44	
Blood	18 (9.2%)	11 (74%)	7 (14.6%)	0.13	
Abdominal	4 (2.0%)	2 (1.4%)	2 (4.2%)	0.15	
Urinary tract	17 (8.7%)	11 (7.4%)	6 (12.5%)	0.27	
Central nervous system Sin and soft tissue	19 (9.7%) 4 (2.0%)	16 (10.8%) 4 (2.7%)	3 (6.3%) 0 (0.0%)	0.51	
	1 (2.070)	1 (2.770)	0 (0.070)	0.57	
Pathogens					
CRKP	72 (36.7%)	57 (38.5%)	15 (31.3%)	0.36	
CRPA	54 (27.6%)	44 (29.7%)	10 (20.8%)	0.23	
CRAB	142 (72.4%)	105 (70.9%)	37 (77.1%)	0.40	
Other CREs	8 (4.1%)	5 (3.4%)	3 (6.3%)	0.65	
Multiple CR-GNBs	70 (35.7%)	56 (37.8%)	14 (29.2%)	0.27	
PMB Treatment					
Loading dose (%)	130 (66.3%)	91 (61.5%)	39 (81.3%)	0.01	
Loading dose (mg)	100 (100.0-125.0)	100.0 (100.0-100.0)	100.0 (100.0-150.0)	0.06	
Maintenance dose (mg)	75.0 (50.0-75.0)	50.0 (50.0-75.0)	75.0 (50.0-75.0)	0.47	
Loading dose≥2 mg/kg	71 (36.2%)	49 (33.1%)	22 (45.8%)	0.11	
Maintenance dose \geq 1.25 mg/kg	87 (44.4%)	63 (42.6%)	24 (50.0%)	0.36	
Treatment course (days)	10.3 (6.5–15.0)	10.0 (6.0–14.0)	11.0 (7.3–18.0)	0.20	
Cumulative dose (mg)	1,325.0	1,300.0 (806.3–1850.0)	1,438.5 (820.0–2,465.0)	0.23	
	(813.8–2,168.8)	-,,	-,		
Number of antibiotics	1.3 ± 0.7	1.2 ± 0.6 1.5 ± 0.8		0.01	
β-lactam	82 (41.8%)	64 (43.2%)	18 (37.5%)	0.48	
Tigecycline	43 (21.9%)	27 (18.2%)	16 (33.3%)	0.02	
Carbapenem	92 (46.9%)	2/ (18.2%) 16 (35.3%) 69 (46.6%) 23 (47.9%)		0.87	
Only PMB	92 (48.9%) 37 (18.9%)	34 (23.0%)	3 (6.3%)	0.87	
Treatment outcome					
Clinical efficacy	135 (68.9%)	99 (66.9%)	36 (75.0%)	0.29	

(Continued on following page)

Demographics and clinical characteristics	Total (N = 196)	Non- combined inhalation $(N = 148)$	Combined inhalation $(N = 48)$	P-value
Microbial cure	74 (37.8%)	51 (34.5%)	23 (47.9%)	0.095
30-day all-cause mortality	29 (14.8%)	21 (14.2%)	8 (16.7%)	0.674
Bacterial clearance time	8.0 (6.0-15.0)	8.0 (5.0-14.0)	8.0 (6.0–17.5)	0.485
Survival period (days)	27.0 ± 7.4	27.3 ± 7.0	26.3 ± 8.6	0.473
AKI rate	68 (34.7%)	46 (31.1%)	22 (45.8%)	0.062
Inhalation therapy regimen (days)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	0.0 (0.0–0.0)	8.0 (5.0–13.8)	-

TABLE 1 (Continued) Clinical characteristics and efficacy of PMB inhalation in the treatment of stroke-associated pneumonia caused by CR-GNB infection (before PSM).

PMB, polymyxin B; AKI, acute kidney injury; ICU, intensive care unit; CR-GNB, carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria; CRKP, carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae; CRAB, Carbapenem-resistant acinetobacter baumannii; CRPA, Carbapenem-resistant pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Bold annotations indicate statistical differences.

TABLE 2 Clinical characteristics and efficacy of PMB inhalation in the treatment of stroke-associated pneumonia caused by CR-GNB infection (after PSM).

Demographics and clinical characteristics	Total (N = 90)	Non-combined inhalation (N = 45)	Combined inhalation $(N = 45)$	P-value	
Age (years)	68.0 (54.8-77.0)	68.0 (55.5-76.0)	69.0 (53.0–77.5)		
Age (years)	08.0 (54.8-77.0)	08.0 (33.3-70.0)	09.0 (33.0-77.3)	0.802	
Gender (male)	71 (78.9%)	37 (82.2%)	34 (75.6%)	0.438	
Mechanical ventilation	79 (87.8%)	39 (86.7%)	40 (88.9%)	0.748	
Vasoactive drugs	46 (51.1%)	20 (44.4%)	26 (57.8%)	0.206	
ICU administration	84 (93.3%)	42 (93.3%)	42 (93.3%)	1.000	
Hospital stays (days)	36.5 (19.0-55.5)	39.0 (24.0-56.0)	29.0 (19.0-56.0)	0.319	
APACHE II score	19.4 (19.4–21.0)	19.4 (18.7–127.0)	19.4 (19.4–23.0)	0.688	
Creatinine before treatment (µmol/L)	81.5 (54.9–129.7)	83.0 (54.9–127.0)	80.3 (55.0-133.8)	0.796	
Sepsis/septic shock	35 (38.9%)	16 (35.6%)	19 (42.2%)	0.517	
Comorbidity					
Stroke type Ischemic	53 (58.9%)	27 (60.0%)	26 (57.8%)		
Hemorrhagic	37 (41.1%)	18 (40.0%)	19 (42.2%)	0.830	
Hypoproteinemia	29 (32.2%)	13 (28.9%))	16 (35.6%)	0.499	
Urinary system disease	16 (17.8%)	7 (15.6%)	9 (20.0%)	0.581	
Diabetes mellitus	24 (26.7%)	11 (24.4%)	13 (28.9%)	0.634	
Digestive system diseases	32 (35.6%)	16 (35.6%)	16 (35.6%)	1.000	
Cardiovascular diseases	60 (66.7%)	28 (62.2%)	32 (71.1%)	0.371	
Malignancy	9 (10.0%)	4 (8.9%)	5 (11.1%)	1.000	
Infection sits					
Multi-site infections	23 (25.6%)	10 (22.2%)	13 (28.9%)	0.468	
Blood	11 (12.2%)	5 (11.1%)	6 (13.3%)	0.748	
Abdominal	2 (2.2%)	1 (2.2%)	1 (2.2%)	1.000	
Urinary tract	10 (11.1%)	4 (8.9%)	6 (13.3%)	0.737	
Central nervous system	6 (6.7%)	3 (6.7%)	3 (6.7%)	1.000	
Sin and soft tissue	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-	
Pathogens		• •			
CRKP	CRKP 31 (34.4%)		13 (28.9%)	0.267	
CRPA	24 (26.7%)	16 (35.6%)	8 (17.8%)	0.057	
CRAB	66 (73.3%)	31 (68.9%)	35 (77.8%)	0.340	
Other CREs	6 (6.7%)	3 (6.7%)	3 (6.7%)	1.000	
Multiple CR-GNBs	32 (35.6%)	20 (44.4%)	12 (26.7%)	0.078	

(Continued on following page)

Demographics and clinical characteristics	Total (N = 90)	Non-combined inhalation $(N = 45)$	Combined inhalation (N = 45)	P-value
PMB Treatment				
Loading dose (%)	74 (82.2%)	37 (82.2%)	37 (82.2%)	1.000
Loading dose (mg)	100.0 (100.0-150.0)	100.0 (100.0-150.0)	100.0 (100.0-150.0)	0.669
Maintenance dose (mg)	75.0 (50.0-75.0)	50.0 (50.0-75.0)	75.0 (50.0-75.0)	0.341
Loading dose≥2 mg/kg	37 (41.1%)	17 (37.8%)	20 (44.4%)	0.520
Maintenance dose≥1.25 mg/kg	42 (46.7%)	20 (44.4%)	22 (48.9%)	0.673
Treatment course (days)	10.0 (6.0-15.0)	10.0 (6.0–14.0)	11.0 (7.0–17.75)	0.196
Cumulative dose (mg)	1,250.0 (801.3–2,200.0)	1,200.0 (712.5–1,650.0)	1,425.0 (830.0–2,437.50)	0.218
Number of antibiotics	1.0 (1.0-2.0)	1.0 (1.0-2.0)	1.0 (1.0-2.0)	0.742
β-lactam	39 (43.3%)	22 (48.9%)	17 (37.8%)	0.288
Tigecycline	31 (34.4%)	17 (37.8%)	14 (31.1%)	0.506
Carbapenem	43 (47.8%)	21 (46.7%)	22 (48.9%)	0.833
Only PMB	4 (4.4%)	1 (2.2%)	3 (6.7%)	0.616
Treatment outcome				
Clinical efficacy	65 (72.2%)	30 (66.7%)	35 (77.8%)	0.239
7-day microbial efficacy	20 (22.2%)	8 (17.8%)	12 (26.7%)	0.310
Microbial cure	33 (36.7%)	12 (26.7%)	21 (46.7%)	0.049
30-day all-cause mortality	18 (20.0%)	10 (22.2%)	8 (17.8%)	0.598
Bacterial clearance time (days)	9.0 (6.0-16.0)	11.0 (5.0-16.0)	8.00 (6.0-16.5)	0.630
30-day Survival period (days)	30.0 (30.0-30.0)	30.0 (30.0-30.0)	30.0 (30.0-30.0)	0.742
AKI rate	37 (41.1%)	17 (37.8%)	20 (44.4%)	0.520
Inhalation therapy regimen (days)	0.5 (0.0-8.0)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	8.0 (4.5-13.5)	-

TABLE 2 (Continued) Clinical characteristics and efficacy of PMB inhalation in the treatment of stroke-associated pneumonia caused by CR-GNB infection (after PSM).

PSM, Propensity score matching. The rest of the abbreviations are the same as Table 1.

Bold annotations indicate statistical differences.

3.4 Risk factors associated the microbial cure of PMB

Next, CR-GNB-infected SAP patients who underwent microbial clearance evaluation after treatment were divided into the clearance group and the non-clearance group. Univariate analysis showed that the proportion of CRAB infection was higher in the microbial cure patients than in the microbial failure patients (83.8% vs. 65.6%, p = 0.006). Conversely, the proportion of CRPA infection and multiple CR-GNB infections was higher in the microbial non-clearance patients than in the microbial clearance patients (36.9% vs. 12.2%, p < 0.001; 41.0% vs. 27.0%, p = 0.048). Additionally, microbiological efficacy was associated with treatment course and cumulative dose (p < 0.05) (Table 3). After PSM, univariate analysis showed that the proportion of hemorrhagic stroke (p = 0.016) and CRPA infection (p = 0.009) was higher in the microbial non-clearance group. And PMB inhalation, treatment course, cumulative dose, number of antibiotics were related to microbial clearance (p < 0.05) (Table 3).

Next, we included variables with p < 0.1 in the univariate analysis into the multivariate logistic regression model. Multivariate logistic analysis before PSM indicated that multi-site infection [OR (95%CI) = 2.759 (1.118–6.410), p = 0.018] and CRPA infection [OR (95%CI) = 3.333 (1.217–9.124), p = 0.019] were independent factors associated with the microbial cure of PMB. Multivariate logistic analysis after PSM revealed that CRPA infection [OR (95%CI) = 9.628 (1.515–80.552), p = 0.037] and number of antibiotics [OR (95% CI) = 0.279 (0.105–0.742), p = 0.011] were independent factors associated with the microbial cure of PMB (Table 4).

3.5 Risk factors associated the clinical efficacy and 30-day all-cause mortality rate of PMB

Concurrently, we investigated the clinical efficacy and 30-day allcause mortality rate of polymyxin B in the treatment of strokeassociated pneumonia. Subgroup analysis of the clinical efficacy real-world data (Supplementary Tables S1, S2) revealed that hemodynamic instability is an independent risk factor for treatment failure [OR (95%CI) = 2.979 (1.311–6.771), p = 0.009], and the combination with meropenem helps to enhance clinical outcomes [OR (95%CI) = 0.394 (0.168–0.922), p = 0.0.032]. However, after PSM, hemodynamic status and combination therapy were not statistically significant in the multivariate analysis (p > 0.05). In the subgroup analysis for 30-day all-cause mortality (Supplementary Tables S3, S4), age was identified as an independent risk factor for 30-day mortality in both real-world data and post-PSM data {[HR (95%CI) = 1.046 (1.010–1.082), p = 0.011] vs. [HR (95%CI) = 1.070 (1.014–1.129), p = 0.013]}.

4 Discussion

The prognosis of stroke patients is closely related to the presence of infectious complications. Among these complications, pneumonia is the most common complication in acute stroke patients, and SAP patients had a higher risk of infection with CR-GNB (Liu et al., 2018). PMB was widely used in the

Demographics and clinical characteristics		Before PSM	After PSM			
	Clearance (N = 74)	Non-clearance (N = 122)	P-value	Clearance (N = 33)	Non-clearance (N = 57)	P-valu
Age (years)	63.5 (50.8–74.0)	65.5 (51.0–76.3)	0.544	64.0 (53.0-72.5)	72.0 (55.5–77.5)	0.187
Gender (male)	56 (75.7%)	99 (1.1%)	0.361	25 (75.8%)	46 (80.7%)	0.580
Mechanical ventilation	56 (75.7%)	84 (68.9%)	0.305	29 (87.9%)	50 (87.7%)	1.000
Vasoactive drugs	32 (43.2%)	56 (45.9%)	0.717	14 (42.4%)	32 (56.1%)	0.210
ICU administration	62 (83.8%)	98 (80.3%)	0.545	31 (93.9%)	53 (93.0%)	1.000
Hospital stays (days)	34.5 (19.8-55.0)	35.0 (21.0-56.3)	0.548	35.0 (21.5-56.0)	40.0 (19.0-56.5)	0.808
APACHE II score	19.4 (19.4–20.0)	19.4 (19.4–19.4)	0.958	19.4 (16.5–20.5)	19.4 (19.4–21.5)	0.299
Creatinine before treatment (µmol/L)	77.9 (51.5-129.5)	71.3 (53.2–108.1)	0.856	83.0 (58.0–133.8)	81.3 (54.4–125.6)	0.516
Sepsis/septic shock	16 (21.6%)	37 (30.3%)	0.183	9 (27.3%)	26 (45.6%)	0.085
	10 (21.0%)	57 (50.576)	0.105	5 (27.576)	20 (13.070)	0.005
Comorbidity						
Stroke type						
Ischemic	43 (58.1%)	58 (47.5%)	0.151	19 (57.6%)	18 (31.6%)	0.016
Hemorrhagic	31 (41.9%)	64 (52.5%)	0.151	14 (42.4%)	39 (68.4%)	0.016
Hypoproteinemia Urinary system disease	23 (31.1%)	33 (27.0%)	0.545	14 (42.4%)	15 (26.3%)	0.115
	9 (12.2%)	20 (16.4%)	0.419	5 (15.2%)	11 (19.3%)	0.620
Diabetes mellitus	19 (25.7%)	31 (25.4%)	0.967	11 (33.3%)	13 (22.8%)	0.277
Digestive system diseases	24 (32.4%)	38 (31.1%)	0.851	12 (36.4%)	20 (35.1%)	0.903
Cardiovascular diseases	52 (70.3%)	81 (66.4%)	0.573	24 (72.7%)	36 (63.2%)	0.353
Malignancy	9 (2.2%)	16 (13.1%)	0.846	4 (12.1%)	5 (8.8%)	0.610
Infection sits						
Multi-site infections	13 (17.6%)	36 (29.5%)	0.061	7 (21.2%)	16 (28.1%)	0.472
Blood	5 (6.8%)	13 (10.7%)	0.360	4 (12.1%)	7 (12.3%)	1.000
Abdominal	1 (1.4%)	3 (2.5%)	1.000	1 (3.0%)	1 (1.8%)	1.000
Urinary tract	7 (9.5%)	10 (8.2%)	0.761	4 (12.1%)	6 (10.5%)	1.000
Central nervous system	5 (6.8%)	14 (11.5%)	0.279	2 (6.1%)	4 (7.0%)	1.000
Sin and soft tissue	1 (1.4%)	3 (2.5%)	1.000	0	0	-
Pathogens						
CRKP	23 (21.1%)	49 (40.2%)	0.201	9 (27.3%)	22 (38.6%)	0.276
CRPA	9 (12.2%)	45 (36.9%)	<0.001	3 (9.1%)	21 (36.8%)	0.009
CRAB	62 (83.8%)	80 (65.6%)	0.006	29 (87.9%)	37 (64.9%)	0.033
Other CREs	2 (2.7%)	6 (4.9%)	0.698	2 (6.1%)	4 (7.0%)	1.000
Multiple CR-GNB infections	20 (27.0%)	50 (41.0%)	0.048	9 (27.3%)	23 (40.4%)	0.212
PMB Treatment				1		
Inhalation (%)	23 (31.1%)	25 (20.5%)	0.095	21 (63.6%)	24 (42.1%)	0.049
Inhalation therapy regimen (days)	0.0 (0.0-5.0)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	0.125	3.5 (0.0-10.0)	0.0 (0.0-7.0)	0.104
Loading dose (%)	49 (66.2%)	81 (66.4%)	0.980	27 (81.8%)	47 (82.5%)	0.939
The loading dose (mg)	100.0 (100.0-125.0)	100.0 (93.8-105.0)	0.356	100.0 (100.0-150.0)	100.0 (100.0-142.5)	0.456
Maintenance dose (mg)	75.0 (50.0-100.0)	50.0 (50.0-75.0)	0.052	75.0 (50.0-95.0)	50.0 (50.0-75.0)	0.102
The loading dose≥2 mg/kg	28 (37.8%)	43 (35.2%)	0.714	14 (42.4%)	23 (40.4%)	0.847
Maintenance dose ≥ 1.25 mg/kg	37 (50.0%)	50 (41.0%)	0.218	17 (51.5%)	25 (26.6%)	0.483
Treatment course (days)	11.0 (8.0-16.0)	10.0 (6.0-14.0)	0.035	14.0 (8.0-16.5)	9.0 (5.3–13.5)	0.008
Cumulative dose (mg)	1,600.0	1,150.0 (718.8–1737.5)	0.002	1,650.0	1,050.0 (700.0–1750.0)	0.001
Number of antibiotics	(1,207.5-2,431.3) 1.0 (1.0-2.0)	1.0 (1.0-2.0)	0.441	(1,275.0-3,000.0) 2.0 (1.0-2.0)	1.0 (1.0-2.0)	0.031
β-lactam	30 (40.5%)	52 (42.6%)	0.441	16 (48.5%)	23 (40.4%)	0.453
Tigecycline	19 (25.7%)		0.774			0.433
Carbapenem	19 (25.7%) 37 (50.0%)	24 (19.7%) 55 (45.1%)	0.325 0.504	14 (42.4%) 18 (54.5%)	17 (29.8%) 25 (43.9%)	0.225
-						

The abbreviations are the same as Table 1.

Bold annotations indicate statistical differences.

Demographics and clinical characteristics	Before PSM		After PSM			
	В	OR (95%CI)	P-value	В	OR (95%CI)	P-value
Sepsis/septic shock	0.371	1.450 (0.633-3.320)	0.380	0.232	1.261 (0.353-4.505)	0.721
Ischemic stroke	-0.366	0.693 (0.337-1.426)	0.319	-0.951	0.386 (0.107-1.395)	0.147
Multi-site infections	1.015	2.759 (1.188-6.410)	0.018	1.222	3.395 (0.796-14.491)	0.099
CRPA infection	1.204	3.333 (1.217-9.124)	0.019	2.265	9.628 (1.515-80.552)	0.037
CRAB infection	-0.462	0.630 (0.261-1.524)	0.305	-0.679	0.507 (0.115-2.235)	0.370
Multiple CR-GNB infections	0.260	1.297 (0.576-2.917)	0.530	0.070	1.072 (0.256-4.493)	0.924
Inhalation	-0.464	0.629 (0.290-1.364)	0.240	-0.775	0.461 (0.151-1.402)	0.172
Maintenance dose (mg)	-0.005	0.995 (0.973-1.018)	0.677	0.000	1.000 (0.965-1.037)	0.988
Treatment course (days)	0.005	1.005 (0.899–1.124)	0.926	0.011	1.011 (0.998-1.001)	0.895
Cumulative dose (mg)	0.000	1.000 (0.999–1.000)	0.417	0.000	1.000 (0.998-1.001)	0.609
Number of antibiotics	-0.365	0.694 (0.414-1.165)	0.167	-1.277	0.279 (0.105-0.742)	0.011

TABLE 4 Multivariate logistic analysis of PMB-associated microbial efficacy in stroke-associated pneumonia patients.

The parameters included in multivariate logistic regression were those with p < 0.1 in the univariate test. Bold font indicates data with significant differences. B indicates regression coefficient. *Data are presented as median (minimum-maximum).

treatment of CR-GNB infections, but there is limited clinical data on the effectiveness of PMB in the treatment of SAP infected with CR-GNB. Herein, we evaluated the clinical and microbiological efficacy of PMB-based regimens in SAP patients with CR-GNB infection. We found that the clinical efficacy was 68.9%, and the 7-day microbial efficacy and the microbial cure rate were 25.5% and 37.8%, respectively. The 30-day all-cause mortality was 14.8% and the AKI rate was 34.7%. Additionally, we identified independent risk factors associated with efficacy.

Infections caused by CR-GNB are known to prolong hospital stays and lead to high mortality (Ye et al., 2022). Treatment options remain limited, particularly in China, with tigecycline, polymyxins, and ceftazidime/avibactam being the primary options (Qu et al., 2022). PMB is effective against all types of carbapenems-producing CR-GNBs, such as CRKP, CRPA, and CRAB (Nang et al., 2021). Previous studies have analyzed the clinical efficacy of PMB against CR-GNB infection, with reported efficacy rates ranging from 25.1% to 66.7% (Zeng et al., 2020; Qu et al., 2022; Tang et al., 2023). A study about PMB treating 105 cases of CR-GNB nosocomial pneumonia reported a clinical efficacy rate was 66.7% (Tang et al., 2023). Another study on 107 patients with nosocomial pneumonia treated with intravenous PMB also has a clinical success rate of 62.6% (Zeng et al., 2020). Our study's clinical efficacy rate of 68.9% aligns closely with these prior findings, underscoring the potential of PMB as a therapeutic option in this challenging patient population.

In a substantial number of patients, the lung concentration of PMB is not ideal, highlighting the need for combination therapy or adjunctive atomized inhalation of PMB (Amaya-Villar and Garnacho-Montero, 2019). Inhalation of PMB is beneficial for patients who do not achieve the target concentration with intravenous administration (Tang et al., 2023). A comparative study about the treatment of pneumonia caused by drug-resistant GNBs found that both inhaled PMB and intravenous PMB showed high microbial clearance rates but with no significant difference in 28-day mortality (Shi et al., 2023). A multicenter case-control study

comparing the efficacy and safety of a combined inhaled and intravenous PMB versus intravenous PMB alone in patients with drug-resistant GNB-infected ventilator-associated extensively pneumonia, found that combination therapy did not significantly improve the efficacy and microbial clearance compared to intravenous PMB alone (Liu et al., 2022). There are conflicting views on the role of aerosolized antibiotics as an adjunct to intravenous antibiotics. In the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2023 Guidelines for the treatment of antimicrobial resistant gram-negative infections, the panel does not recommend aerosolized antibiotics for the treatment of respiratory infections caused by CRPA or CRAB (Tamma et al., 2023), citing a lack of clear clinical benefits from aerosolized therapy in clinical trials. Concerns include the potential for uneven distribution of PMB within infected lung regions and adverse respiratory complications, such as bronchospasm (Maselli et al., 2017). Conversely, the International consensus guidelines for optimal use of polymyxins recommend that patients requiring intravenous polymyxin therapy for extensively drug-resistant GNBinfected ventilator-associated pneumonia should receive adjunctive polymyxin inhalation therapy, and either colistin or PMB is appropriate (Tsuji et al., 2019). In our study, there was no significant difference in clinical efficacy and 30-day all-cause mortality between the PMB combined inhalation group and noncombined inhalation group. However, patients treated with atomized PMB had higher microbial efficacy in the univariate analysis after adjusting the propensity score, suggesting the effectiveness of atomized PMB therapy. Therefore, appropriate inhalation of PMB therapy is important to improve microbial clearance in the lungs (Liu et al., 2022). Interestingly, when comparing the PMB combined inhalation group with the non-combined inhalation group, the incidence of AKI was higher in the combined inhalation group than in the non-combined inhalation group (before PSM: 45.8% vs. 31.1%, after PSM: 44.4% vs. 37.8%, p > 0.05). Although there was no significant difference, this may be attributed to the higher rate of loading dose use in the inhalation group in the cases we included

(81.3% vs. 61.5%, p = 0.062), as well as a higher proportion of loading dose $\geq 2 \text{ mg/kg}$ (45.8% vs. 33.1%, p = 0.475). Further research is essential to optimize dosing regimens for critically ill patients through reliable pharmacokinetics data on aerosol inhalation of PMB.

Clearing CR-GNB strains, especially in SAP patients, is challenging due to factors such as consciousness disturbance and long-term bed rest, which can lead to hypostatic pneumonia (Gittins et al., 2023). Additionally, some patients may experience reflux aspiration and exhibit poor airway clearance ability (Gittins et al., 2023). Our data showed that the microbial clearance rate of PMB was only 37.8%. Logistic regression results identified multi-site infection, CRPA infection, and number of antibiotics as independent positive factors influencing microbial clearance in PMB-based regimens. Our previous comparative study also noted that CRPA is more difficult to clear than CRKP and CRAB (Lu et al., 2021b). In vitro experiments showed that although CRPA was more than 90% sensitive to PMB, some CRPA strains were resistant to PMB (Chen et al., 2020). In summary, the microbial efficacy of PMB in treating CR-GNB-infected SAP patients was related to the infection sites, types of CR-GNB, details of medication, and combination of antibiotics.

This study represents the first study about the efficacy of PMB in SAP patients infected with CR-GNB. Although the data were collected at multicenter, this retrospective study is limited by the small sample size, with only 24.5% of patients in the PMB combined inhalation group. Factors associated with efficacy may require more detailed clinicopathological and physiological data. In addition, potential subjective biases in physicians' evaluation of clinical efficacy should be considered. Moreover, the causes of AKI are very complex, and drugs may only be one aspect of the influencing factors. Although we have tried our best to judge the possibility of PMB-associated AKI, there is still a lack of a more precise definition. Further multicenter prospective cohort studies with larger sample sizes are needed to determine the efficacy of PMB in treating SAP infected with CR-GNB.

5 Conclusion

Although there was no significant difference in clinical efficacy between atomized and non-atomized PMB in SAP patients infected with CR-GNB infection, patients treated with atomized PMB had higher microbial efficacy. Multi-site infections, CRPA infection, and numbers of antibiotics were independent risk factors for microbial clearance in PMB treatment. PMB aerosol therapy is recommended, and personalized PMB-based regimens for individual patients may be beneficial in treating SAP patients infected with CR-GNB.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committees of the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (LYF-2020021). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/institutional review board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because the retrospective nature of the retrospective study.

Author contributions

H-HZ: Data curation, Writing-original draft. Q-HC: Methodology, Writing-original draft. WW: Visualization, Writing-review and editing. QQ: Writing-review and editing. W-XX: Validation, Writing-review and editing. QH: Validation, Writing-review and editing. X-LW: Validation, Writing-review and editing. YC: Software, Writing-review and editing, Funding acquisition. QW: Data curation, Writing-review and editing. T-TX: Data curation, Writing-review and editing. W-ML: Software, Writing-review and editing. YL: Methodology, Writing-review and editing. H-NZ: Writing-review and editing. JQ: Writing-review and editing, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Scientific Research Project of Hunan Health Commission (No. 202213014496), Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 2023JJ50446), Degree and Postgraduate Education Reform Project of Central South University (No. 2023JGB123), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of Central South University (No. 2022XQLH154), Hunan Province Science and Technology Innovation Plan Project (2021SK53501) and Hubei Province Health and Family Planning Scientific Research Project (No. WJ2017Q006).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2025.1413563/ full#supplementary-material

References

Ali, A. N., Howe, J., Majid, A., Redgrave, J., Pownall, S., and Abdelhafiz, A. H. (2018). The economic cost of stroke-associated pneumonia in a UK setting. *Top. Stroke Rehabil.* 25 (3), 214–223. doi:10.1080/10749357.2017.1398482

Amaya-Villar, R., and Garnacho-Montero, J. (2019). How should we treat acinetobacter pneumonia? *Curr. Opin. Crit. Care* 25 (5), 465–472. doi:10.1097/mcc. 000000000000649

Badve, M. S., Zhou, Z., van de Beek, D., Anderson, C. S., and Hackett, M. L. (2019). Frequency of post-stroke pneumonia: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Int. J. Stroke* 14 (2), 125–136. doi:10.1177/1747493018806196

Bellomo, R., Ronco, C., Kellum, J. A., Mehta, R. L., Palevsky, P., and Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative, w. (2004). Acute renal failure - definition, outcome measures, animal models, fluid therapy and information technology needs: the Second International Consensus Conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) Group. *Crit. Care* 8 (4), R204–R212. doi:10.1186/cc2872

Chen, X., Xu, J., Zhu, Q., Ren, Y., and Zhao, L. (2020). Polymyxin B resistance rates in carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates and a comparison between $Etest(^{\circledast})$ and broth microdilution methods of antimicrobial susceptibility testing. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 20 (2), 762–769. doi:10.3892/etm.2020.8777

Codjoe, F. S., and Donkor, E. S. (2017). Carbapenem resistance: a review. *Med. Sci.* (*Basel*) 6 (1), 1. doi:10.3390/medsci6010001

Gittins, M., Lobo Chaves, M. A., Vail, A., and Smith, C. J. (2023). Does strokeassociated pneumonia play an important role on risk of in-hospital mortality associated with severe stroke? A four-way decomposition analysis of a national cohort of stroke patients. *Int. J. Stroke* 18, 1092–1101. doi:10.1177/17474930231177881

Grossmann, I., Rodriguez, K., Soni, M., Joshi, P. K., Patel, S. C., Shreya, D., et al. (2021). Stroke and pneumonia: mechanisms, risk factors, management, and prevention. *Cureus* 13 (11), e19912. doi:10.7759/cureus.19912

Institute, C. (2020). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. United States: CLSI.

King, M., Heil, E., Kuriakose, S., Bias, T., Huang, V., El-Beyrouty, C., et al. (2017). Multicenter study of outcomes with ceftazidime-avibactam in patients with carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae infections. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 61 (7). doi:10.1128/AAC.00449-17

Kishore, A. K., Vail, A., Jeans, A. R., Chamorro, A., Di Napoli, M., Kalra, L., et al. (2018). Microbiological etiologies of pneumonia complicating stroke: a systematic review. *Stroke* 49 (7), 1602–1609. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.020250

Liu, D. D., Chu, S. F., Chen, C., Yang, P. F., Chen, N. H., and He, X. (2018). Research progress in stroke-induced immunodepression syndrome (SIDS) and stroke-associated pneumonia (SAP). *Neurochem. Int.* 114, 42–54. doi:10.1016/j.neuint. 2018.01.002

Liu, J., Shao, M., Xu, Q., Liu, F., Pan, X., Wu, J., et al. (2022). Low-dose intravenous plus inhaled versus intravenous polymyxin B for the treatment of extensive drug-resistant Gram-negative ventilator-associated pneumonia in the critical illnesses: a multi-center matched case-control study. *Ann. Intensive Care* 12 (1), 72. doi:10.1186/ s13613-022-01033-5

Lu, Q., Li, G. H., Qu, Q., Zhu, H. H., Luo, Y., Yan, H., et al. (2021a). Clinical efficacy of polymyxin B in patients infected with carbapenem-resistant organisms. *Infect. Drug Resist* 14, 1979–1988. doi:10.2147/IDR.S312708

Lu, Q., Zhu, H. H., Li, G. H., Qi, T. T., Ye, L. J., Teng, X. Q., et al. (2021b). A comparative study of the microbiological efficacy of polymyxin B on different carbapenem-resistant gram-negative bacteria infections. *Front. Med. (Lausanne)* 8, 620885. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.620885

Mandell, L. A., and Niederman, M. S. (2019). Aspiration pneumonia. N. Engl. J. Med. 380 (7), 651–663. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1714562

Maselli, D. J., Keyt, H., and Restrepo, M. I. (2017). Inhaled antibiotic therapy in chronic respiratory diseases. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 18 (5), 1062. doi:10.3390/ijms18051062

Nang, S. C., Azad, M. A. K., Velkov, T., Zhou, Q. T., and Li, J. (2021). Rescuing the last-line polymyxins: achievements and challenges. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 73 (2), 679–728. doi:10.1124/pharmrev.120.000020

Nation, R. L., Velkov, T., and Li, J. (2014). Colistin and polymyxin B: peas in a pod, or chalk and cheese? *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 59 (1), 88–94. doi:10.1093/cid/ciu213

Qu, J., Qi, T. T., Qu, Q., Long, W. M., Chen, Y., Luo, Y., et al. (2022). Polymyxin B-based regimens for patients infected with carbapenem-resistant gram-negative bacteria: clinical and microbiological efficacy, mortality, and safety. *Infect. Drug Resist* 15, 1205–1218. doi:10.2147/IDR.S357746

Satlin, M. J., Lewis, J. S., Weinstein, M. P., Patel, J., Humphries, R. M., Kahlmeter, G., et al. (2020). Clinical and laboratory standards Institute and European committee on antimicrobial susceptibility testing position statements on polymyxin B and colistin clinical breakpoints. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 71 (9), e523–e529. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa121

Shi, R., Fu, Y., Gan, Y., Wu, D., Zhou, S., and Huang, M. (2023). Use of polymyxin B with different administration methods in the critically ill patients with ventilation associated pneumonia: a single-center experience. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14, 1222044. doi:10.3389/fphar.2023.1222044

Smith, C. J., Kishore, A. K., Vail, A., Chamorro, A., Garau, J., Hopkins, S. J., et al. (2015). Diagnosis of stroke-associated pneumonia: recommendations from the pneumonia in stroke consensus group. *Stroke* 46 (8), 2335–2340. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.115.009617

Tamma, P. D., Aitken, S. L., Bonomo, R. A., Mathers, A. J., van Duin, D., and Clancy, C. J. (2023). Infectious diseases society of America 2023 guidance on the treatment of antimicrobial resistant gram-negative infections. *Clin. Infect. Dis.*, ciad428. doi:10.1093/cid/ciad428

Tang, T., Li, Y., Xu, P., Zhong, Y., Yang, M., Ma, W., et al. (2023). Optimization of polymyxin B regimens for the treatment of carbapenem-resistant organism nosocomial pneumonia: a real-world prospective study. *Crit. Care* 27 (1), 164. doi:10.1186/s13054-023-04448-z

Tsuji, B. T., Pogue, J. M., Zavascki, A. P., Paul, M., Daikos, G. L., Forrest, A., et al. (2019). International consensus guidelines for the optimal use of the polymyxins: endorsed by the American college of clinical pharmacy (ACCP), European society of clinical microbiology and infectious diseases (ESCMID), infectious diseases society of America (IDSA), international society for anti-infective pharmacology (ISAP), society of critical care medicine (SCCM), and society of infectious diseases pharmacists (SIDP). *Pharmacotherapy* 39 (1), 10–39. doi:10.1002/phar.2209

Westendorp, W. F., Dames, C., Nederkoorn, P. J., and Meisel, A. (2022). Immunodepression, infections, and functional outcome in ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 53 (5), 1438–1448. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.122.038867

Wu, X. L., Long, W. M., Lu, Q., Teng, X. Q., Qi, T. T., Qu, Q., et al. (2022). Polymyxin B-associated nephrotoxicity and its predictors: a retrospective study in carbapenemresistant gram-negative bacterial infections. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 672543. doi:10.3389/ fphar.2022.672543

Ye, Q., Wang, Q., Chen, Z., Chen, W., Zhan, Q., and Wang, C. (2022). Effectiveness, nephrotoxicity, and therapeutic drug monitoring of polymyxin B in nosocomial pneumonia among critically ill patients. *Clin. Respir. J.* 16 (5), 402–412. doi:10.1111/crj.13493

Zeng, H., Zeng, Z., Kong, X., Zhang, H., Chen, P., Luo, H., et al. (2020). Effectiveness and nephrotoxicity of intravenous polymyxin B in Chinese patients with MDR and XDR nosocomial pneumonia. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 579069. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.579069

Zhao, J., Li, L. Q., Zhen, N. X., Du, L. L., Shan, H., Yu, Y., et al. (2021). Microbiology and outcomes of institutionalized patients with stroke-associated pneumonia: an observational cohort study. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 720051. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2021.720051