Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Anick Bérard, Montreal University, Canada

REVIEWED BY Fathi M. Sherif, University of Tripoli, Libya Arinjita Bhattacharyya, University of Louisville, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Kaiwang Cui, ☑ cuikaiwang123@163.com Qingrong Wu, ☑ gzwywqr@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 17 August 2024 ACCEPTED 12 May 2025 PUBLISHED 22 May 2025

CITATION

Chen Y, Lin L, Sun S, Cui K and Wu Q (2025) Prevalence and factors associated with Chinese herbal medicine use among middle-aged and older Chinese adults with diabetes mellitus. *Front. Pharmacol.* 16:1482228. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2025.1482228

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Chen, Lin, Sun, Cui and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Prevalence and factors associated with Chinese herbal medicine use among middle-aged and older Chinese adults with diabetes mellitus

Yanting Chen^{1†}, Leying Lin^{2†}, Shanwei Sun¹, Kaiwang Cui^{3*} and Qingrong Wu^{4*}

¹Rheumatology Department, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Pharmaceutical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, ²School of Basic Medicine, Gannan Medical University, Ganzhou, Jiangxi, China, ³Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Ganzhou Key Laboratory of Respiratory Diseases, Ganzhou Institute of Respiratory Diseases, Ganzhou Fifth People's Hospital, Ganzhou, Jiangxi, China, ⁴Department of Pharmacy, Ganzhou Fifth People's Hospital, Ganzhou, Jiangxi, China

Background: The effectiveness of traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) in treating diabetes has been confirmed in China and globally. However, research on the use of Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) among middle-aged and elderly patients with diabetes and its associated factors is limited. This study aims to explore the prevalence of CHM use among these patients and its associated factors.

Methods: This study utilized data from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study, which covers Chinese adults aged ≥45 years. A cross-sectional analysis was conducted on 3,347 participants who used CHM for diabetes treatment. Multivariate logistic regression models were employed to identify key factors (including demographic characteristics, health status, and healthcare utilization) that predict CHM use among patients with diabetes.

Results: The prevalence of CHM use for diabetes was 10.8% among middle-aged and older Chinese patients with diabetes. Compared to patients with diabetes who did not use CHM, those who did were more likely to be older (OR = 1.31; 95% CI = 1.04, 1.65), visit TCM hospitals (OR = 1.24; 95% CI = 1.01, 1.53), engage in self-treatment (OR = 1.90; 95% CI = 1.38, 2.61), have kidney disease (OR = 1.50; 95% CI = 1.05, 2.14), and have asthma (OR = 2.19; 95% CI = 1.29, 3.70). In the combined effect analysis, patients with both kidney disease and asthma were most likely to use CHM (OR = 4.20; 95% CI = 1.93, 9.14).

Conclusion: The prevalence of CHM use among middle-aged and elderly Chinese patients with diabetes was relatively low, and was associated with specific health conditions and healthcare behaviors.

KEYWORDS

complementary and alternative medicine, Chinese herbal medicine, diabetes, prevalence, associated factors

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a group of complex metabolic disorders characterized by chronic hyperglycemia, which is characterized by glucose imbalance, insulin resistance, and dysfunction of pancreatic β-cells. Clinically, it presents with symptoms such as polyphagia, polydipsia, polyuria, irritability, weight loss, and obesity (Kretchy et al., 2016). According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), approximately 537 million people worldwide have diabetes, with projections suggesting a continued increase in the coming decades (Sun et al., 2022). China currently has the highest number of diabetic patients, with 125 million affected individuals, and this number is expected to double by 2040 (Chinese Diabetes Society and National Office for Primary Diabetes CareNational Office for Primary Diabetes Care, 2022). Consequently, strategies for the prevention and control of diabetes have become an urgent public health priority in China and globally. Traditional treatments for diabetes include lifestyle modifications (Schellenberg et al., 2013; Li et al., 2003), oral hypoglycemic agents (such as metformin, aglucosidase inhibitors, and insulin sensitizers) (Tran et al., 2015a), and injectable medications (such as insulin and glucagonlike peptide-1) (Tran et al., 2015b). However, despite intensified glycemic control, most diabetic patients experience various complications, and the use of hypoglycemic drugs often results in adverse effects. A meta-analysis has shown that the use of metformin, sulfonylureas, and thiazolidinediones increases the risk of cardiovascular events and mortality in diabetic patients (Rao et al., 2008; Roumie et al., 2012; Lincoff et al., 2007). Longterm use of thiazolidinediones also increases the risks of fractures, lower respiratory infections, and bladder cancer (Loke et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2011; Turner et al., 2014). Therefore, the search for complementary and alternative medicine to prevent or delay the complications and progression of diabetes is a current research focus (Ching et al., 2013; Naja et al., 2014).

Chinese herbal medicine (CHM), an important component of traditional medicine, offers possibilities for achieving this goal. In fact, some general populations and chronic disease patients worldwide rely on traditional medicine to meet their basic healthcare needs, particularly in developing countries, where approximately 70%–95% of the population uses CHM (Pearson et al., 2018; Kifle et al., 2021; Ghorat et al., 2024; El-Nimr et al., 2015; Amaeze et al., 2018). Chronic disease patients often employ CHM to treat and delay the progression of their conditions (Ching et al., 2013; Yildirim and Marakoglu, 2018; Mbizo et al., 2018), and they generally perceive these remedies as natural, safe, and free of adverse side effects.

In China, CHM has been used in the clinical treatment of diabetes for thousands of years. Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) refers to diabetes as "Xiao Ke" (Guo et al., 2014), which is characterized by symptoms and signs such as sweet urine, dry mouth, thirst, excessive drinking, overeating, emaciation, and fatigue (Ma et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2020). Previous studies have also reported the effectiveness and safety of CHM. CHM has been shown to effectively reduce blood glucose and HbA1c levels in diabetic patients (Bai et al., 2019; Pang et al., 2019), alleviate inflammation (Gui et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2021), and decrease the incidence of complications and mortality caused by elevated blood sugar levels (Huang et al., 2020; Li et al., 2012), thereby improving

the disease condition and enhancing the quality of life. The underlying mechanism of blood sugar regulation by CHM involves correction of the instability of the internal environment of the human body caused by pathogenic factors, allowing the body to return to a stable state. The mechanism may involve multiple pathways and targets, such as inhibiting the apoptosis of pancreatic beta cells and regulating insulin microcirculation (Pang et al., 2019; Leng et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2018). In summary, CHM can achieve both symptomatic treatment and correction of the underlying cause of this common yet complex metabolic disease. Additionally, the safety and lack of side effects of CHM reduce the reliance on Western medications and significantly increase their enthusiasm for use.

In China, the use of CHM is not only widespread but also deeply rooted in cultural awareness. A previous study among Chinese diabetic patients has indicated that TCM therapies (Ji et al., 2024; Tian et al., 2016), particularly herbal treatments, play a significant role in diabetes management. However, literature on the prevalence and relevance of herbal use among middle-aged and elderly diabetic patients in China remains scarce. Therefore, this study aims to utilize the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study to investigate the prevalence, characteristics, and associated factors of CHM use among middle-aged and elderly diabetic patients in China. Our findings will provide data for healthcare professionals to enhance research on the use and safety of herbal treatments.

Materials and methods

Study design and population

This study constitutes a secondary analysis of a nationwide longitudinal survey, namely, the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). CHARLS is an ongoing nationally representative cohort, targeting individuals aged 45 years and above, covering 150 regions and 450 villages/urban communities, employing a stratified multistage probability proportional random sampling method. Detailed information on the cohort design has been reported in previous studies (Zhao et al., 2014). To date, five datasets have been released in 2011, 2013, 2015, 2018, and 2020. The original CHARLS received approval from by the Ethical Review Committee of Peking University (IRB00001052-11015). All procedures adhered to pertinent guidelines and regulations. Furthermore, all participants provided informed consent.

This study extracted data from five surveys published in the CHARLS database on patients with diabetes who used CHM treatment. If a patient had multiple follow-up records, the most recent data were selected. For this study, a total of 3,347 participants aged 45 years or older who used CHM for diabetes treatment were included.

CHM use

Participants who responded "yes" to the following question were considered CHM users: "Are you currently taking CHM to treat diabetes or its complications?"

Demographic data

Demographic data were gathered using a standardized questionnaire that encompassed variables such as age, gender, educational attainment, place of residence, marital status, financial stability, smoking habits, and alcohol use. Age was stratified into two groups: under 65 years and 65 years or older. Educational levels were categorized as illiterate, primary education or less, and secondary education or higher. Residence was dichotomized into urban and rural areas. Marital status was grouped into married and other categories which included unmarried, separated, divorced, and widowed individuals. Financial status was assessed based on whether respondents had received a wage and bonus income in the preceding year, with responses categorized as "yes" or "no." Smoking and alcohol consumption were classified into three categories: "never," "former," and "current."

Health services

Health service data included aspects such as insurance status, type of medical facility utilized, and self-treatment practices. The insurance status was determined by querying participants about their current health insurance coverage. A response indicating no insurance was classified as "no," while any other response was classified as "yes."

The type of medical facility was determined by asking participants about the medical facilities they visited within the past month. The responses were categorized as "general hospitals," "specialized hospitals," and "TCM hospitals." Selftreatment was evaluated by inquiring about the self-treatment methods used by participants within the past month. A response indicating no self-treatment was categorized as "no," while any other response was categorized as "yes".

Health status

Health status information included variables related to general health, chronic conditions, depression, and life satisfaction. General health was assessed based on participants' self-reported ratings of their overall health as "good," "fair," and "poor." Chronic conditions were determined by asking participants whether a physician had diagnosed them with hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes, cancer, chronic lung disease, liver disease, heart disease, stroke, kidney disease, stomach disease, psychiatric disorders, memory-related diseases, arthritis, or asthma. Depression was assessed using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale, with scores above 12 indicating depression. Life satisfaction was evaluated by asking participants to rate their overall satisfaction with life.

Statistical analysis

Differences between diabetic patients who used and did not use CHM were analyzed using Chi-square tests. A stepwise backward logistic regression model was used to identify statistically significant factors associated with CHM use among diabetic patients. Variables with P-value <0.2 in the univariate analysis were included in the model. Additionally, subgroup analyses were performed based on common demographic variables, such as age, gender, and residence region. The additive interaction between kidney disease and asthma on CHM use for diabetes treatment was evaluated. Furthermore, the relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI), attributable proportion (AP), and synergy index (S) were calculated. All analyses were performed using Stata 15.0 SE, and a two-sided P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Demographic characteristics

This study included 3,347 diabetic patients, of whom 361 (10.8%) were treated with CHM. No significant differences were observed between diabetic patients who did and did not use CHM in terms of demographic characteristics (age, gender, education, residence, marital status, receive status, and smoking and alcohol consumption) (Table 1).

Health services

Regarding health services, diabetic patients who used CHM had higher rates of visiting Chinese medicine hospitals and engaging in self-treatment compared to those who did not use CHM. However, there were no significant differences in insurance status or proportion of visits to general hospitals or specialized hospitals between the two groups (Table 2).

Health status

As for health status, diabetic patients treated with CHM were more likely to rate their health status as poor and have stroke, kidney disease, and asthma compared to those not treated with CHM. No significant differences were observed between the two groups in terms of other health status variables, including other chronic diseases, depression, and satisfaction (Table 3).

Associated factors

The stepwise backward logistic regression model showed that diabetic patients who were older (OR = 1.31, 95% CI = 1.04, 1.65), visited Chinese medicine hospitals (OR = 1.24, 95% CI = 1.01, 1.53), and engaged in self-treatment (OR = 1.90, 95% CI = 1.38, 2.61) had higher likelihood of CHM use compared to those who were middle-aged, did not visit Chinese medicine hospitals, or engage in self-treatment. Further, participants with kidney disease (OR = 1.50, 95% CI = 1.05, 2.14) and asthma (OR = 2.19, 95% CI = 1.29, 3.70) were more likely to use CHM compared with those without these diseases (Figure 1).

Subgroup analyses were performed by age, gender, educational level, and residence region. The results of the subgroup analyses were consistent with the main results (Supplementary Table S2).

TABLE 1 Associations between CHM use and demographic characteristics in Chinese middle-aged and older adults with diabetes.

Total (n = 3,347) No (n = 2,986) Yes (n = 361) Age Middle-aged adults Older adults 1,715 (51.24) 1,581 (47.24) 1,550 (51.91) 1,389 (46.52) 165 (45.71) 192 (53.19) 0.052 Gender Male Permale 1,451 (43.35) 1,475 (53.93) 1,278 (42.80) 1,486 (56.64) 173 (47.92) 186 (51.52) 170 Education level Illiterate 703 (21.00) 1,156 (34.54) 64 (21.23) 1,228 (34.43) 69 (19.11) 128 (35.46) 64 (35.94) Are of residence Urban 899 (26.86) 2,266 (70.69) 807 (27.03) 2,2103 (70.43) 92 (25.48) 2,302 (77.70) 83 (22.99) 278 (77.01) 83 (22.99) 0.459 Married Other 2,598 (77.62) 2,698 (77.62) 2,698 (77.62) 2,320 (77.70) 666 (22.00) 278 (77.01) 83 (22.99) 0.210 Income Yes No 574 (17.15) 2,646 (79.96) 524 (17.55) 2,249 (78.67) 223 (61.77) 49 (13.57) 80 (21.85) 223 (61.77) 49 (13.77) 80 (21.97) 0.400 Smoker Never 2,551 (61.28) 548 (16.37) 672 (20.08) 1,828 (61.22) 49 (16.71) 592 (19.83) 228 (63.16) 38 (02.37) 0.400 Alcohol consumption Never drinker 2,413 (40.03) 612 (27.37) 1,915 (64.13) 244 (81.7) 228 (63.16) 38 (10.33) 95 (26.32) 236 (35.2)	Demographic characteristics	CHM use			Р
Age Midle-aged adults Older adults1,715 (51,24)1,550 (1,51)1,560 (5,57)1,500 (5,77)1,000Gender Male Tenale1,451 (4,35)1,273 (420)1,71 (7,92)1,0001,000Interate Midle abola and above1,030 (1,000)1,686 (56,460)1,066 (56,460)1,0001,000Interate Urban Vilage1,030 (1,000)1,686 (45,100)1,020 (1,000)1,020 (1,000)1,020 (1,000)1,020 (1,000)Maria fersidence Urban Vilage890 (26,610)2,020 (1,000)1,020 (1,000)1,020 (1,000)1,020 (1,000)Maria fersidence Urban Vilage890 (26,610)2,020 (1,000)2,020 (1,000)2,020 (1,000)1,000 (1,000)Maria fersidence Urban Vilage2,598 (7,020)2,300 (77,03)2,92 (25,48)2,010 (1,000)1,000 (1,000)Maria fersidence Urban Vilage2,598 (7,020)2,300 (77,010)2,807 (20,00)2,807 (20,00)2,807 (20,00)2,807 (20,00)Maria fersidence Urban No2,598 (7,020)2,598 (7,020)2,300 (77,010)2,807 (20,00)2,800 (1,000)2,800 (1,000)Maria fersidence Urban No2,598 (7,020)2,598 (7,020)2,300 (77,010)2,807 (20,00)2,800 (1,000)2,800 (1,000)Maria fersidence Urban No2,598 (7,020)2,598 (7,020)2,300 (77,010)2,800 (1,000)2,900 (1,000)2,900 (1,000)Maria fersidence Urban No2,598 (7,020)2,598 (7,020)2,598 (7,020)2,598 (7,020)2,598 (7,020)2,598 (7,020) <tr< td=""><td></td><td>Total (n = 3,347)</td><td>No (n = 2,986)</td><td>Yes (n = 361)</td><td></td></tr<>		Total (n = 3,347)	No (n = 2,986)	Yes (n = 361)	
Middle-aged adults 1,715 (51,24) 1,550 (51,91) 165 (65,71) Older adults 1,581 (47,24) 1,389 (46,52) 192 (53,19) 1 Gender 1,451 (43,35) 1,278 (42,80) 173 (47,92) 1 1 Male 1,451 (43,35) 1,278 (42,80) 128 (51,52) 1	Age				0.052
Older adults 1,581 (47,24) 1,389 (46,52) 192 (53.19) 100 Gender Male 1,451 (43.35) 1,278 (42.80) 173 (47.92) 0.170 Female 1,872 (55.93) 1,686 (56.46) 186 (5.12) 168 (5.12) 0.170 Education level 1111terate 703 (21.00) 634 (21.23) 69 (19.11) 128 (35.46) 0.650 Primary school and below 1,156 (34.54) 1,028 (34.43) 128 (35.46) 0.170 Middle school and above 1,488 (44.40) 1,224 (44.34) 164 (45.43) 0.450 Vurban 899 (26.86) 207 (72.03) 20 (25.48) 0.450 Vulge 2,366 (70.69) 2,103 (70.43) 263 (72.85) 0.770 Married 2,598 (77.62) 2,320 (77.70) 278 (77.01) 0.770 Married 2,598 (77.62) 2,320 (77.70) 278 (77.01) 0.700 Yes 574 (17.15) 524 (17.55) 207 (82.27) 0.210 Never 2,051 (61.28) 1,828 (61.22) 233 (61.77) 90 (13.75) Yes	Middle-aged adults	1,715 (51.24)	1,550 (51.91)	165 (45.71)	
Gender Male remaleLafs (43.35) Lafs (25.93)Lafs (42.80) Lafs (25.93)Lafs (42.80) Lafs (25.46)Lafs (47.92) Lafs (12.20) Lafs (12.20)Lafs (12.20) Lafs (12.20) Lafs (12.20) Lafs (12.20)Lafs (12.20) Lafs (12.20) Lafs (12.20)Lafs (12.	Older adults	1,581 (47.24)	1,389 (46.52)	192 (53.19)	
Male Female1,451 (43.35) 1,872 (55.93)1,278 (42.80) 1,686 (56.46)173 (47.92) 186 (15.2)Funde733 (21.00) 703 (21.00) Midel school and above703 (21.00) 703 (21.00) 1,488 (44.46)634 (21.23) 634 (21.23) 1,288 (34.43)69 (91.11) 128 (35.46) 128 (35.46) 164 (64.53)634 (21.23) 128 (35.46) 128 (35.46)634 (21.23) 128 (35.46) 128 (35.46)634 (21.23) 128 (35.46) 128 (35.46)634 (21.23) 128 (35.46) 128 (35.46)634 (21.23) 128 (35.47)634 (21.23) 12	Gender				0.170
Fenale1,872 (553)1,686 (56.4)186 (51.52)1Education level Illiterate Primary school and below703 (21.00) 1,156 (34.54) 1,288 (44.60)634 (21.23) 1,028 (34.43) 1,228 (34.43)69 (19.11) 128 (35.46) 128 (35.46) 128 (35.46)0.550Area f residence Urban Vulage899 (26.86) 2,366 (70.99)807 (27.03) 2,103 (70.03)22 (25.48) 2,03 (72.50)0.450Maritel status Married Other2,598 (77.62) 3,260 (70.90)3,320 (77.70) 66 (22.30)278 (77.01) 80 (22.99)0.700Income Yes No574 (17.15) 2,646 (79.06)2,320 (77.70) 524 (17.55) 2,349 (78.67)278 (7.01) 80 (22.99)0.100Smoking status Never Ever snoker Current smoker0.51 (61.28) 548 (16.37) 548 (16.37) 548 (16.37) 548 (16.37) 549 (17.15) 521 (19.83)223 (61.77) 49 (12.51) 40 (13.57) 40 (13.	Male	1,451 (43.35)	1,278 (42.80)	173 (47.92)	
Education level 703 (21.00) 634 (21.23) 69 (19.11) 128 (35.46) 0.650 Primary school and below 1,156 (34.54) 1.028 (34.43) 128 (35.46) 164 (45.33) 0.450 Area of residence 0.703 (21.00) 1,488 (44.46) 899 (26.86) 807 (27.03) 22 (25.48) 0.450 Urban 2,366 (70.69) 2,320 (77.70) 263 (72.85) 0.770 0.770 Marital status 2,598 (77.62) 2,320 (77.70) 666 (22.30) 83 (22.99) 0.770 Income 2,598 (77.62) 2,320 (77.70) 666 (22.30) 83 (22.99) 0.770 Smoking status 574 (17.15) 524 (17.55) 50 (13.85) 0.770 Never 574 (17.15) 524 (17.55) 50 (13.85) 0.400 Never 2,051 (61.28) 1,828 (61.22) 49 (13.57) 0.400 Never 2,051 (61.28) 1,828 (61.22) 223 (61.77) 49 (13.57) 0.400 Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 1,915 (64.13) 228 (63.16) 0.400 0.400 Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 1,915 (64.13) 228 (63.16) 38 (10.53)	Female	1,872 (55.93)	1,686 (56.46)	186 (51.52)	
Illiterate 703 (21.00) 634 (21.23) 69 (19.11) 128 (35.46) Primary school and below 1,156 (34.54) 1,324 (44.30) 128 (35.46) 124 (45.43) Area of residence 1,488 (44.66) 807 (27.03) 22 (25.48) 0.450 Urban 2,598 (77.62) 2,320 (77.70) 278 (77.01) 0.770 Married 2,598 (77.62) 2,320 (77.70) 278 (77.01) 0.770 Yes 574 (17.15) 2,320 (77.70) 278 (77.01) 0.210 No 574 (17.15) 2,349 (78.67) 297 (82.27) 0.450 Smoking status 574 (17.15) 2,349 (78.67) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Never 548 (16.37) 199 (16.71) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Never funker 548 (16.37) 199 (16.71) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Never drinker 548 (16.37) 199 (16.71) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Never drinker 548 (16.37) 199 (16.71) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Never drinker 548 (16.37) 199 (16.71) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Never drinker 548 (16.37) 199 (16.71	Education level				0.650
Primary school and below 1,156 (34.54) 1,028 (34.43) 128 (35.46) 164 (45.43) Middle school and above 1,488 (44.46) 1,324 (44.34) 164 (45.43) 0.450 Area of residence 899 (26.86) 2,366 (70.69) 20 (25.48) 263 (72.85) 0.450 Marital status 899 (26.86) 2,366 (70.69) 2,300 (77.03) 263 (72.85) 0.770 Married 2,598 (77.62) 7,40 (22.38) 2,320 (77.70) 278 (77.01) 0.770 Income 2,598 (77.62) 7,40 (22.38) 2,540 (79.05) 278 (17.55) 278 (17.01) 0.210 Income 574 (17.15) 2,544 (79.06) 254 (17.55) 297 (82.27) 0.400 Never 2,051 (61.28) 1,828 (61.22) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Never finker 672 (20.08) 1,991 (6.71) 49 (13.57) 0.400 Never drinker 2,14	Illiterate	703 (21.00)	634 (21.23)	69 (19.11)	
Midde school and above1,488 (44.46)1,324 (44.34)164 (45.43)64 (45.43)Area of residence Urban VillageBy9 (26.86) 2,366 (70.69)Bo7 (27.03) 2,103 (70.43)D2 (25.48) 2,63 (72.25)D450Maritel Other2,598 (77.62) 749 (22.38)D320 (77.70) 666 (22.30)D78 (77.01) 83 (22.99)D770Income Yes No749 (22.38)D320 (77.70) 666 (22.30)D78 (77.01) 83 (22.99)D700Income Yes No754 (17.15) 2,646 (79.06)D24 (17.55) 2,349 (78.67)D50 (13.85) 297 (82.27)D210Smoking status Never Ever smokerD51 (61.28) 548 (16.37) 672 (20.08)D428 (61.22) 499 (16.71) 592 (19.83)D23 (61.77) 49 (13.57) 80 (22.16)D400More drinker Former drinkerD,413 (46.03) 282 (64.31) 282 (64.31) 291 (62.37)D28 (63.16) 282 (63.16) 281 (27.49)D380D380	Primary school and below	1,156 (34.54)	1,028 (34.43)	128 (35.46)	
Area of residence B99 (26.86) 807 (27.03) 92 (25.48) 0.450 Urban 2,366 (70.69) 2,103 (70.43) 92 (25.48) 0.70 Marital status 2,598 (77.62) 2,320 (77.70) 278 (77.01) 0.70 Married 2,598 (77.62) 2,320 (77.70) 666 (22.30) 83 (22.99) 0.210 Income 749 (22.38) 524 (17.55) 50 (13.85) 0.210 Yes 574 (17.15) 524 (17.55) 50 (13.85) 0.400 Smoking status 2,051 (61.28) 1,828 (61.22) 223 (61.77) 49 (13.57) Never 2,051 (61.28) 1,828 (61.22) 49 (13.57) 80 (22.16) 0.400 Alcohol consumption 743 (22.08) 1,915 (64.13) 228 (63.16) 38 (0.25) 0.380 Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 2,143 (64.03) 244 (8.17) 38 (10.53) 0.580 1.583 Alcohol consumption 228 (84.3) 916 (27.37) 821 (27.49) 95 (26.32) 0.580	Middle school and above	1,488 (44.46)	1,324 (44.34)	164 (45.43)	
Urban Village899 (26.86) 2,366 (70.69)807 (27.03) 2,103 (70.43)92 (25.48) 263 (72.85)1Marital status Married Other2,598 (77.62) 749 (22.38)2,320 (77.70) 666 (22.30)278 (77.01) 83 (22.99)0.770Income Yes No574 (17.15) 2,646 (79.06)2,324 (17.55) 2,349 (78.67)297 (82.27)0.210Smoking status Never Ever smoker Current smoker2,051 (61.28) 548 (16.37) 672 (20.08)1,828 (61.22) 499 (16.71) 592 (19.83)223 (61.77) 49 (13.57) 80 (22.16)0.400Alcohol consumption Never drinker Former drinker Former drinker2,143 (64.03) 282 (8.43) 91 (62.737)1,915 (64.13) 244 (8.17) 821 (27.49)228 (63.16) 38 (10.53) 95 (26.32)0.380	Area of residence				0.450
Village 2,366 (70.69) 2,103 (70.43) 263 (72.85) Marital status 2,598 (77.62) 2,320 (77.70) 278 (77.01) 0.770 Married 2,598 (77.62) 2,320 (77.70) 278 (77.01) 0.770 Other 749 (22.38) 666 (22.30) 278 (77.01) 0.770 Income 574 (17.15) 524 (17.55) 50 (13.85) 0.210 Yes 574 (17.15) 2,249 (78.67) 297 (82.27) 0.210 Smoking status 2,646 (79.06) 1,828 (61.22) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Never 2,051 (61.28) 1,828 (61.22) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Never smoker 2,051 (61.28) 1,828 (61.22) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Current smoker 672 (20.08) 199 (16.71) 49 (13.57) 0.400 Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 219 (19.3) 80 (22.16) 0.300 Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 244 (8.17) 38 (10.53) 0.380 Never drinker 28 (8.43) 244 (8.17) 38 (10.53) 0.50 (3.2) Othor 91 (27.37) 821 (27.49) 50 (20.32) 0.30	Urban	899 (26.86)	807 (27.03)	92 (25.48)	
Marital status Married Sp8 (77.62) Sp8 (66 (22.30) Sp8 (22.99) Sp8 (22.99) Sp8 (22.99) Sp8 (21.62) Sp8 (21	Village	2,366 (70.69)	2,103 (70.43)	263 (72.85)	
Married Other 2,598 (77.62) 749 (22.38) 2,320 (77.70) 666 (22.30) 278 (77.01) 83 (22.99) 200 Income Yes No 574 (17.15) 2,646 (79.06) 524 (17.55) 2,349 (78.67) 50 (13.85) 297 (82.27) 0.210 Smoking status Never Ever smoker 2,051 (61.28) 548 (16.37) 1,828 (61.22) 499 (16.71) 223 (61.77) 49 (13.57) 80 (22.16) 0.400 Alcohol consumption Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 220 (84.3) 1,915 (64.13) 244 (8.17) 228 (63.16) 38 (10.53) 91 (27.37) 0.380	Marital status				0.770
Other 749 (22.38) 666 (22.30) 83 (22.99) 0 Income Yes No 574 (17.15) 2,646 (79.06) 524 (17.55) 2,349 (78.67) 50 (13.85) 297 (82.27) 0.210 Smoking status Never Ever smoker 2,051 (61.28) 548 (16.37) 1,828 (61.22) 499 (16.71) 223 (61.77) 49 (13.57) 0.400 Alcohol consumption Never drinker 672 (20.08) 592 (19.83) 80 (22.16) 0.380 Alcohol consumption Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 282 (8.43) 1,915 (64.13) 244 (8.17) 228 (63.16) 38 (10.53) 0.380	Married	2,598 (77.62)	2,320 (77.70)	278 (77.01)	
Income Yes 574 (17.15) 524 (17.55) 50 (13.85) 0.210 No 2,646 (79.06) 2,349 (78.67) 297 (82.27) 0 Smoking status 0.400 Never 2,051 (61.28) 1,828 (61.22) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Ever smoker 2,051 (61.28) 499 (16.71) 49 (13.57) 0 0.400 Current smoker 672 (20.08) 592 (19.83) 80 (22.16) 0 0.400 Alcohol consumption 228 (63.16) 0.380 Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 1,915 (64.13) 228 (63.16) 0.380 Former drinker 28 (8.43) 244 (8.17) 38 (10.53) 0 0.400 Current drinker 916 (27.37) 821 (27.49) 95 (26.32) 0.380 0.380	Other	749 (22.38)	666 (22.30)	83 (22.99)	
Yes 574 (17.15) 524 (17.55) 50 (13.85) 50 (13.85) No 2,646 (79.06) 2,349 (78.67) 297 (82.27) 0.400 Smoking status 1,828 (61.22) 223 (61.77) 0.400 Never 548 (16.37) 499 (16.71) 49 (13.57) 0.400 Current smoker 574 (20.08) 592 (19.83) 80 (22.16) 0.400 Alcohol consumption 7,143 (64.03) 1,915 (64.13) 228 (63.16) 0.380 Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 244 (8.17) 38 (10.53) 0.50 0.50 Current drinker 916 (27.37) 821 (27.49) 95 (26.32) 0.50 0.50	Income				0.210
No 2,646 (79.06) 2,349 (78.67) 297 (82.27) Smoking status	Yes	574 (17.15)	524 (17.55)	50 (13.85)	
Smoking status Image: Marcine status Im	No	2,646 (79.06)	2,349 (78.67)	297 (82.27)	
Never 2,051 (61.28) 1,828 (61.22) 223 (61.77) Ever smoker 548 (16.37) 499 (16.71) 49 (13.57) Current smoker 672 (20.08) 592 (19.83) 80 (22.16) Alcohol consumption 9.15 (64.13) 228 (63.16) Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 1,915 (64.13) 228 (63.16) 0.380 Former drinker 282 (8.43) 244 (8.17) 38 (10.53) 14 (10.53) Current drinker 916 (27.37) 821 (27.49) 95 (26.32) 14 (10.53)	Smoking status				0.400
Ever smoker 548 (16.37) 499 (16.71) 49 (13.57) Current smoker 672 (20.08) 592 (19.83) 80 (22.16) Alcohol consumption 0.380 Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 1,915 (64.13) 228 (63.16) Former drinker 282 (8.43) 244 (8.17) 38 (10.53) Current drinker 916 (27.37) 821 (27.49) 95 (26.32)	Never	2,051 (61.28)	1,828 (61.22)	223 (61.77)	
Current smoker 672 (20.08) 592 (19.83) 80 (22.16) Alcohol consumption 0.380 Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 1,915 (64.13) 228 (63.16) Former drinker 282 (8.43) 244 (8.17) 38 (10.53) Current drinker 916 (27.37) 821 (27.49) 95 (26.32)	Ever smoker	548 (16.37)	499 (16.71)	49 (13.57)	
Alcohol consumption Image: Marcine and	Current smoker	672 (20.08)	592 (19.83)	80 (22.16)	
Never drinker 2,143 (64.03) 1,915 (64.13) 228 (63.16) Former drinker 282 (8.43) 244 (8.17) 38 (10.53) Current drinker 916 (27.37) 821 (27.49) 95 (26.32)	Alcohol consumption				0.380
Former drinker282 (8.43)244 (8.17)38 (10.53)Current drinker916 (27.37)821 (27.49)95 (26.32)	Never drinker	2,143 (64.03)	1,915 (64.13)	228 (63.16)	
Current drinker 916 (27.37) 821 (27.49) 95 (26.32)	Former drinker	282 (8.43)	244 (8.17)	38 (10.53)	
	Current drinker	916 (27.37)	821 (27.49)	95 (26.32)	

Data are presented as number (proportion, %).

Missing data: Age = 51, Gender = 24, Residential address = 82, Income = 127, Smoking status = 76, Alcohol consumption = 6.

Additive interaction between kidney disease and asthma

We performed additive interaction analyses using participants without kidney disease and asthma as a reference. The OR of CHM use among those with asthma was 4.20 (95% Cl = 1.93, 9.14) (Figure 2; Supplementary Table S1). There was a significant additive interaction between kidney disease and asthma on CHM use in diabetic patients (RERI: 2.22, 95% Cl = 0.65, 3.79; AP: 0.53, 95% Cl = 0.27, 0.79; S: 3.26, 95% Cl = 1.19, 8.98).

Discussion

Diabetes is a common chronic disease globally and a leading cause of morbidity and mortality (Authors Anonymous, 2021). Western medical approaches to diabetes management are often associated with high risks of adverse drug reactions and are costly, making their use challenging in low-income countries (Liu et al., 2023). The use of CHM for diabetes treatment has gained recognition internationally (Pang et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2023; Shin et al., 2020; Ooi et al., 2012). The present study investigated the prevalence and associated factors of CHM use among middle-aged and elderly diabetic patients in China.

We found that the proportion of diabetic patients using CHM was relatively low at 10.8%. A similar study in Taiwan reported that 77.9% of diabetic patients used CHM, but only 13.9% used it specifically for diabetes (Huang et al., 2013), aligning with our findings. TCM categorizes diabetes as "Xiao Ke Syndrome." This condition has been treated with TCM for over 2000 years in China, utilizing ancient formulas such as "Gegen Qinlian Decoction (GQD) (Tian et al., 2016)" and "Huanglian Jiedu decoction" (Hu et al., 2021). Modern scientific research has identified anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, lipid metabolism-regulating, and hypoglycemic effects in some herbal medicine components, which can effectively improve blood glucose levels and diabetes prognosis (Kim et al., 2020; Zare et al., 2019; Ceylan-Isik et al., 2008; Li et al., 2004).

In middle-aged and elderly diabetic patients, certain healthcare service variables significantly influence CHM use. Patients who engage in self-treatment or seek treatment at Chinese medicine hospitals are more likely to use CHM. In China, CHM is a traditional practice passed down through generations, making it widely accepted and used. Self-medicating patients with failure of Western treatments are often influenced by Chinese medicinal

Health services	CHM use			Р
	Total (n = 3,347)	No (n = 2,986)	Yes (n = 361)	
Insurance status				0.310
Yes	2,905 (86.79)	2,598 (87.01)	307 (85.04)	
No	395 (11.80)	349 (11.69)	46 (12.74)	
General hospital				0.800
No	2,852 (85.21)	2,546 (85.26)	306 (84.76)	
Yes	495 (14.79)	440 (14.74)	55 (15.24)	
Specialized hospital				0.340
No	3,285 (98.15)	2,933 (98.23)	352 (97.51)	
Yes	62 (1.85)	53 (1.77)	9 (2.49)	
Chinese Medicine hospital				0.030
No	3,271 (97.73)	2,924 (97.92)	347 (96.12)	
Yes	76 (2.27)	62 (2.08)	14 (3.88)	
Self-treatment				<0.001
No	821 (24.53)	766 (25.65)	55 (15.24)	
Yes	2,508 (74.93)	2,204 (73.81)	304 (84.21)	

TABLE 2 Associations between CHM use and health services in Chinese middle-aged and older adults with diabetes.

Data are presented as number (proportion, %).

Missing data: Insurance status = 47, Self-treatment = 18.

cultural and social factors (such as other people with diabetes, relatives, or friends), leading them to consider CHM as an alternative therapy (Burke et al., 2006; Choudhury et al., 2018). Furthermore, physicians at Chinese medicine hospitals prefer using CHM for treatment; therefore, patients seeking care at Chinese medicine hospitals are more likely to use CHM. However, a lower proportion of CHM users visited Chinese medicine hospitals, indicating a lack of consultation with Clinical Practitioners, which could lead to inadequate coordinated care for diabetes management.

Our results show that CHM users are more likely to have poor general health and to suffer from conditions such as stroke, kidney disease, or asthma compared to non-users. These findings suggest that patients with poor health status or multiple health problems are more likely to seek alternative treatments, similar to a previous study (Cauffield, 2000). CHM, an important type of alternative treatment, is effective for various chronic conditions, including diabetes, and has improved survival rates in diabetic patients (Lin et al., 2015). Furthermore, our interaction analysis confirmed that diabetic patients with both kidney disease and asthma were 4.2 times more likely to use CHM than those without these conditions, indicating that the presence of certain comorbidities is significantly associated with CHM use. Therefore, complementary therapies such as CHM are potentially useful strategies to address complex, multifaceted health conditions.

The strengths of this study are as follows. First, this is the first study to investigate the prevalence and associated factors of CHM use among middle-aged and elderly patients with diabetes in China using a nationally representative sample. Second, we have comprehensively analyzed the associated factors of CHM use among middle-aged and elderly patients with diabetes, including demographic characteristics, health status, and healthcare utilization. Third, our study demonstrated the combined effect of kidney disease and asthma on CHM use. These findings provide new insights and directions for future research on CHM use for diabetes treatment.

This cross-sectional study has several limitations. First, self-reported data were collected from patients, which may lead to recall bias. Second, the cross-sectional study design restricts our ability to establish causal relationships between associated variables and CHM use in patients with diabetes. Third, the cultural context and widespread acceptance of TCM in China may influence both the prevalence and patterns of CHM use, making it difficult to extrapolate these results to other countries where CHM may not be integrated in mainstream healthcare. Fourth, certain advanced statistical methods, such as Bayesian shrinkage models (Bhattacharyya et al., 2022), may offer a more powerful approach for variable selection in regression analysis. We did not employ these methods in our study, which is a potential limitation. Fifth, although we conducted subgroup analyses using traditional methods, emerging approaches such as Bayesian Decision-Theoretic Methods (Mitra et al., 2020) could further improve the subgroup analysis.

In light of these limitations, we suggest several directions for future research. First, as previous studies have indicated (Matthews et al., 2022; Bhattacharyya and Rai, 2019), clinical trials should be conducted to assess the safety and effectiveness of CHM use. Second, applying advanced methods such as Bayesian shrinkage models (Bhattacharyya et al., 2022), Bayesian subgroup analysis methods (Mitra et al., 2020), and prediction models could enhance the statistical analysis of CHM use and its associated factors. Third, interventions targeting the identified influencing factors can improve the utilization rate of CHM.

In summary, our findings indicate a relatively low utilization rate of CHM for diabetes treatment among middle-aged and elderly patients. CHM use was associated with specific comorbid conditions, preference for self-treatment, and visit to Chinese medicine hospitals. Our findings emphasize the role of specific health conditions and healthcare behaviors associated with CHM usage among diabetic patients.

Health status	CHM use			Р
	Total (n = 3,347)	No (n = 2,986)	Yes (n = 361)	
General health status				0.041
Good	288 (8.60)	266 (8.91)	22 (6.09)	
Fair	1,875 (56.02)	1,682 (56.33)	193 (53.46)	
Poor	1,184 (35.37)	1,038 (34.76)	146 (40.44)	
Hypertension				0.480
No	2,555 (76.34)	2,274 (76.16)	281 (77.84)	
Yes	792 (23.66)	712 (23.84)	80 (22.16)	
Dyslipidemia				0.620
No	2,584 (77.20)	2,309 (77.33)	275 (76.18)	
Yes	763 (22.80)	677 (22.67)	86 (23.82)	
Cancer				0.440
No	3.273 (97.79)	2.922 (97.86)	351 (97.23)	
Yes	74 (2.21)	64 (2.14)	10 (2.77)	
Chronic lung diseases				0.300
No	3 044 (90 95)	2 721 (91 13)	323 (89.47)	0.500
Yes	303 (9.05)	265 (8.87)	38 (10.53)	
T				0.120
Liver disease	2 171 (04 74)	2.025 (04.04)	226 (02.07)	0.150
INO X	3,1/1 (94./4)	2,835 (94.94)	336 (93.07)	
Yes	1/6 (5.26)	151 (5.06)	25 (6.93)	
Heart attack				0.071
No	2,851 (85.18)	2,555 (85.57)	296 (81.99)	
Yes	496 (14.82)	431 (14.43)	65 (18.01)	
Stroke				0.025
No	3,054 (91.25)	2,736 (91.63)	318 (88.09)	
Yes	293 (8.75)	250 (8.37)	43 (11.91)	
Kidney disease				0.002
No	3,058 (91.37)	2,744 (91.90)	314 (86.98)	
Yes	289 (8.63)	242 (8.10)	47 (13.02)	
Stomach disease				0.300
No	2,931 (87.57)	2,621 (87.78)	310 (85.87)	
Yes	416 (12.43)	365 (12.22)	51 (14.13)	
Emotional problems				0.460
No	3,264 (97.52)	2.914 (97.59)	350 (96.95)	
Yes	83 (2.48)	72 (2.41)	11 (3.05)	
Memory-related disease				0.490
No	3,186 (95,19)	2.845 (95.28)	341 (94.46)	
Yes	161 (4.81)	141 (4.72)	20 (5.54)	
Arthritis				0.070
No	2 826 (84 43)	2 533 (84 83)	293 (81 16)	0.07.0
Ves	521 (15 57)	453 (1517)	68 (18 84)	
100	521 (15.57)	100 (10.17)	00 (10.01)	
Asthma				0.002
No	3,243 (96.89)	2,903 (97.22)	340 (94.18)	
Yes	104 (3.11)	83 (2.78)	21 (5.82)	
Depression				0.680
No	1,893 (56.56)	1,696 (56.80)	197 (54.57)	
Yes	1,442 (43.08)	1,279 (42.83)	163 (45.15)	
Satisfaction				0.120
Satisfied	2,745 (82.01)	2,459 (82.35)	286 (79.22)	

TABLE 3 Associations between CHM use and health status in Chinese middle-aged and older adults with diabetes.

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 3 (Continued) Associations between CHM use and health status in Chinese middle-aged and older adults with diabetes.

Health status	CHM use			Р
	Total (n = 3,347)	No (n = 2,986)	Yes (n = 361)	
Not satisfied	433 (12.94)	384 (12.86)	49 (13.57)	

Data are presented as number (proportion, %).

Missing data: depressive symptoms = 12, satisfaction = 169.

Predictors of CHM use	Odds ratio	95%Cl			Р
Age			1		
middle-aged adults	Reference		. ↓		
older adults	1.31	(1.04, 1.65)	H	•	0.021
Chinese Medicine Hospit	al				
No	Reference		+		
Yes	1.24	(1.01, 1.53)	H	H	0.04
Self-treatment					
No	Reference		+		
Yes	1.9	(1.38, 2.61)		⊢ •−−1	< 0.001
Kidney disease					
No	Reference		÷		
Yes	1.5	(1.05, 2.14)	H	→ 1	0.027
Asthma					
No	Reference		÷.		
Yes	2.19	(1.29, 3.70)	1	• • · · · ·	0.003
			0 1	0 0	
			0 1	2 3 4	ł

Logistic regression analysis for predictors of CHM use in Chinese middle-aged and older adults with diabetes.



Combined effect of kidney disease and asthma on Chinese herbal medicine use in Chinese middle-aged and older adults with diabetes. Adjusted for received income, Chinese medicine hospital visits, self-treatment, general health status, diabetes, stroke, and kidney disease.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: CHARLS, http://charls.pku.edu.cn/.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Peking University (IRB00001052-11015). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

YC: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft. LL: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – review and editing. SS: Methodology, Writing – review and editing. KC: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review and editing. QW: Writing – review and editing, Conceptualization, Supervision.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported

References

Amaeze, O. U., Aderemi-Williams, R. I., Ayo-Vaughan, M. A., Ogundemuren, D. A., Ogunmola, D. S., and Anyika, E. N. (2018). Herbal medicine use among Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in Nigeria: understanding the magnitude and predictors of use. *Int. J. Clin. Pharm.* 40 (3), 580–588. doi:10.1007/s11096-018-0648-2

Authors Anonymous (2021). The prevention of diabetes mellitus. JAMA 325 (2), 190. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.17738

Bai, L., Li, X., He, L., Zheng, Y., Lu, H., Li, J., et al. (2019). Antidiabetic potential of flavonoids from traditional Chinese medicine: a review. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* 47 (5), 933–957. doi:10.1142/S0192415X19500496

Bhattacharyya, A., Pal, S., Mitra, R., and Rai, S. (2022). Applications of Bayesian shrinkage prior models in clinical research with categorical responses. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.* 22 (1), 126. doi:10.1186/s12874-022-01560-6

Bhattacharyya, A., and Rai, S. N. (2019). Adaptive Signature Design-review of the biomarker guided adaptive phase -III controlled design. *Contemp. Clin. Trials Commun.* 15, 100378. doi:10.1016/j.conctc.2019.100378

Burke, A., Upchurch, D. M., Dye, C., and Chyu, L. (2006). Acupuncture use in the United States: findings from the national health interview survey. J. Altern. Complement. Med. 12 (7), 639–648. doi:10.1089/acm.2006.12.639

Cauffield, J. S. (2000). The psychosocial aspects of complementary and alternative medicine. *Pharmacotherapy* 20 (11), 1289–1294. doi:10.1592/phco.20.17.1289.34898

Ceylan-Isik, A. F., Fliethman, R. M., Wold, L. E., and Ren, J. (2008). Herbal and traditional Chinese medicine for the treatment of cardiovascular complications in diabetes mellitus. *Curr. Diabetes Rev.* 4 (4), 320–328. doi:10.2174/157339908786241142

Chinese Diabetes Society and National Office for Primary Diabetes CareNational Office for Primary Diabetes Care (2022). National handbook for the prevention and control of diabetes in primary care. *Chin. J. Intern. Med.* 61 (7), 717–748. doi:10.3760/cma.j.cn112138-20220509-00350

Ching, S. M., Zakaria, Z. A., Paimin, F., and Jalalian, M. (2013). Complementary alternative medicine use among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the primary

by Guangzhou Basic and Applied Basic Program (No. 2023A04J1148).

Acknowledgments

We thank the staff and participants involved in CHARLS.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2025.1482228/ full#supplementary-material

care setting: a cross-sectional study in Malaysia. BMC Complement. Altern. Med. 13, 148. doi:10.1186/1472-6882-13-148

Choudhury, H., Pandey, M., Hua, C. K., Mun, C. S., Jing, J. K., Kong, L., et al. (2018). An update on natural compounds in the remedy of diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *J. Tradit. Complement. Med.* 8 (3), 361–376. doi:10.1016/j.jtcme.2017.08.012

El-Nimr, N. A., Wahdan, I. M., Wahdan, A. M., and Kotb, R. E. (2015). Selfmedication with drugs and complementary and alternative medicines in Alexandria, Egypt: prevalence, patterns and determinants. *East Mediterr. Health J.* 21 (4), 256–265. doi:10.26719/2015.21.4.256

Ghorat, F., Mosavat, S. H., Hadigheh, S., Kouhpayeh, S. A., Naghizadeh, M. M., Rashidi, A. A., et al. (2024). Prevalence of complementary and alternative medicine use and its associated factors among Iranian diabetic patients: a cross-sectional study. *Curr. Ther. Res. Clin. Exp.* 100, 100746. doi:10.1016/j.curtheres.2024.100746

Gui, Q. F., Xu, Z. R., Xu, K. Y., and Yang, Y. M. (2016). The efficacy of ginseng-related therapies in type 2 diabetes mellitus: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med. Baltim.* 95 (6), e2584. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000002584

Guo, J., Chen, H., Song, J., Wang, J., Zhao, L., and Tong, X. (2014). Syndrome differentiation of diabetes by the traditional Chinese medicine according to evidencebased medicine and expert consensus opinion. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2014, 492193. doi:10.1155/2014/492193

Hu, Z., Yang, M., Liu, Y., Yang, Q., Xie, H., Peng, S., et al. (2021). Effect of huang-lian jie-du decoction on glucose and lipid metabolism in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 12, 648861. doi:10.3389/fphar.2021.648861

Huang, C. Y., Tsai, Y. T., Lai, J. N., and Hsu, F. L. (2013). Prescription pattern of Chinese herbal products for diabetes mellitus in taiwan: a population-based study. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2013, 201329. doi:10.1155/2013/201329

Huang, D. D., Shi, G., Jiang, Y., Yao, C., and Zhu, C. (2020). A review on the potential of Resveratrol in prevention and therapy of diabetes and diabetic complications. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 125, 109767. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109767

Ji, H., Zhao, X., Chen, X., Fang, H., Gao, H., Wei, G., et al. (2024). Jinlida for diabetes prevention in impaired glucose tolerance and multiple metabolic abnormalities: the FOCUS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* 184 (7), 727–735. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2024.1190

Kifle, Z. D., Bayleyegn, B., Yimer Tadesse, T., and Woldeyohanins, A. E. (2021). Prevalence and associated factors of herbal medicine use among adult diabetes mellitus patients at government hospital, Ethiopia: an institutional-based cross-sectional study. *Metabol. Open* 11, 100120. doi:10.1016/j.metop.2021.100120

Kim, S. K., Jung, J., Jung, J. H., Yoon, N., Kang, S. S., Roh, G. S., et al. (2020). Hypoglycemic efficacy and safety of Momordica charantia (bitter melon) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Complement. Ther. Med.* 52, 102524. doi:10.1016/j.ctim. 2020.102524

Kretchy, I. A., Okere, H. A., Osafo, J., Afrane, B., Sarkodie, J., and Debrah, P. (2016). Perceptions of traditional, complementary and alternative medicine among conventional healthcare practitioners in Accra, Ghana: implications for integrative healthcare. J. Integr. Med. 14 (5), 380–388. doi:10.1016/S2095-4964(16)60273-X

Leng, Y., Zhou, X., Xie, Z., Hu, Z., Gao, H., Liu, X., et al. (2020). Efficacy and safety of Chinese herbal medicine on blood glucose fluctuations in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a protocol of systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med. Baltim.* 99 (34), e21904. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000021904

Li, G. Q., Kam, A., Wong, K. H., Zhou, X., Omar, E. A., Alqahtani, A., et al. (2012). Herbal medicines for the management of diabetes. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 771, 396–413. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-5441-0_28

Li, S., Culver, B., and Ren, J. (2003). Benefit and risk of exercise on myocardial function in diabetes. *Pharmacol. Res.* 48 (2), 127–132. doi:10.1016/s1043-6618(03) 00099-9

Li, W. L., Zheng, H. C., Bukuru, J., and De Kimpe, N. (2004). Natural medicines used in the traditional Chinese medical system for therapy of diabetes mellitus. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 92 (1), 1–21. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2003.12.031

Lin, Y. J., Ho, T. J., Yeh, Y. C., Cheng, C. F., Shiao, Y. T., Wang, C. B., et al. (2015). Chinese herbal medicine treatment improves the overall survival rate of individuals with hypertension among type 2 diabetes patients and modulates *in vitro* smooth muscle cell contractility. *PLoS One* 10 (12), e0145109. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0145109

Lincoff, A. M., Wolski, K., Nicholls, S. J., and Nissen, S. E. (2007). Pioglitazone and risk of cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a metaanalysis of randomized trials. *JAMA* 298 (10), 1180–1188. doi:10.1001/jama.298. 10.1180

Liu, H., Peng, S., Yuan, H., He, Y., Tang, J., and Zhang, X. (2023). Chinese herbal medicine combined with western medicine for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus with hyperuricemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14, 1102513. doi:10.3389/fphar.2023.1102513

Loke, Y. K., Singh, S., and Furberg, C. D. (2009). Long-term use of thiazolidinediones and fractures in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *CMAJ* 180 (1), 32–39. doi:10.1503/ cmaj.080486

Ma, Y., Zhou, W. X., and Cheng, J. P. (2004). Study on effect and mechanism of liuwei dihuang decoction in modulating hypothalamus-pituitary-ovary axis in senescence accelerated mice model. *Zhongguo Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Za Zhi* 24 (4), 325–330.

Matthews, R. P., Cao, Y., Patel, M., Weissler, V. L., Bhattacharyya, A., De Lepeleire, I., et al. (2022). Safety and pharmacokinetics of islatravir in individuals with severe renal insufficiency. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 66 (12), e0093122. doi:10.1128/aac. 00931-22

Mbizo, J., Okafor, A., Sutton, M. A., Leyva, B., Stone, L. M., and Olaku, O. (2018). Complementary and alternative medicine use among persons with multiple chronic conditions: results from the 2012 National Health Interview Survey. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 18 (1), 281. doi:10.1186/s12906-018-2342-2

Mitra, R., Muller, P., and Bhattacharyya, A. (2020). Bayesian decision-theoretic methods for survival data using stochastic optimization. *Stat. Med.* 39 (30), 4841–4852. doi:10.1002/sim.8755

Naja, F., Mousa, D., Alameddine, M., Shoaib, H., Itani, L., and Mourad, Y. (2014). Prevalence and correlates of complementary and alternative medicine use among diabetic patients in Beirut, Lebanon: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 14, 185. doi:10.1186/1472-6882-14-185 Ooi, C. P., Yassin, Z., and Hamid, T. A. (2012). Momordica charantia for type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2012 (8), CD007845. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007845.pub3

Pang, G. M., Li, F. X., Yan, Y., Zhang, Y., Kong, L. L., Zhu, P., et al. (2019). Herbal medicine in the treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Chin. Med. J. Engl.* 132 (1), 78–85. doi:10.1097/CM9.00000000000000

Pearson, H., Fleming, T., Chhoun, P., Tuot, S., Brody, C., and Yi, S. (2018). Prevalence of and factors associated with utilization of herbal medicines among outpatients in primary health centers in Cambodia. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 18 (1), 114. doi:10.1186/s12906-018-2181-1

Rao, A. D., Kuhadiya, N., Reynolds, K., and Fonseca, V. A. (2008). Is the combination of sulfonylureas and metformin associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease or all-cause mortality? a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Diabetes Care* 31 (8), 1672–1678. doi:10.2337/dc08-0167

Roumie, C. L., Hung, A. M., Greevy, R. A., Grijalva, C. G., Liu, X., Murff, H. J., et al. (2012). Comparative effectiveness of sulfonylurea and metformin monotherapy on cardiovascular events in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a cohort study. *Ann. Intern Med.* 157 (9), 601–610. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-157-9-201211060-00003

Schellenberg, E. S., Dryden, D. M., Vandermeer, B., Ha, C., and Korownyk, C. (2013). Lifestyle interventions for patients with and at risk for type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann. Intern Med.* 159 (8), 543–551. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-159-8-201310150-00007

Shin, S., Choi, Y., Ko, H., and Cho, Y. (2020). Using herbal medicine (Cheong-Yeol Sodang-decoction) for fasting blood glucose in patients with diabetes mellitus and prediabetes mellitus: a retrospective chart review. *Integr. Med. Res.* 9 (4), 100413. doi:10. 1016/j.imr.2020.100413

Singh, S., Loke, Y. K., and Furberg, C. D. (2011). Long-term use of thiazolidinediones and the associated risk of pneumonia or lower respiratory tract infection: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thorax* 66 (5), 383–388. doi:10.1136/thx.2010.152777

Sun, H., Saeedi, P., Karuranga, S., Pinkepank, M., Ogurtsova, K., Duncan, B. B., et al. (2022). IDF Diabetes Atlas: global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 183, 109119. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119

Sun, J., Ren, J., Hu, X., Hou, Y., and Yang, Y. (2021). Therapeutic effects of Chinese herbal medicines and their extracts on diabetes. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 142, 111977. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111977

Tian, J., Lian, F., Yu, X., Cui, Y., Zhao, T., Cao, Y., et al. (2016). The efficacy and safety of Chinese herbal decoction in type 2 diabetes: a 5-year retrospective study. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2016, 5473015. doi:10.1155/2016/5473015

Tran, L., Zielinski, A., Roach, A. H., Jende, J. A., Householder, A. M., Cole, E. E., et al. (2015a). Pharmacologic treatment of type 2 diabetes: oral medications. *Ann. Pharmacother.* 49 (5), 540–556. doi:10.1177/1060028014558289

Tran, L., Zielinski, A., Roach, A. H., Jende, J. A., Householder, A. M., Cole, E. E., et al. (2015b). Pharmacologic treatment of type 2 diabetes: injectable medications. *Ann. Pharmacother.* 49 (6), 700–714. doi:10.1177/1060028015573010

Turner, R. M., Kwok, C. S., Chen-Turner, C., Maduakor, C. A., Singh, S., and Loke, Y. K. (2014). Thiazolidinediones and associated risk of bladder cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 78 (2), 258–273. doi:10.1111/bcp.12306

Wang, J., Ma, Q., Li, Y., Li, P., Wang, M., Wang, T., et al. (2020). Research progress on Traditional Chinese Medicine syndromes of diabetes mellitus. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 121, 109565. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2019.109565

Xu, L., Li, Y., Dai, Y., and Peng, J. (2018). Natural products for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: pharmacology and mechanisms. *Pharmacol. Res.* 130, 451–465. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2018.01.015

Yildirim, D. I., and Marakoglu, K. (2018). Complementary and alternative medicine use amongst Turkish type 2 diabetic patients: a cross-sectional study. *Complement. Ther. Med.* 41, 41–46. doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2018.08.008

Zare, R., Nadjarzadeh, A., Zarshenas, M. M., Shams, M., and Heydari, M. (2019). Efficacy of cinnamon in patients with type II diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Clin. Nutr.* 38 (2), 549–556. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2018.03.003

Zhao, Y., Hu, Y., Smith, J. P., Strauss, J., and Yang, G. (2014). Cohort profile: the China health and retirement longitudinal study (CHARLS). *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 43 (1), 61–68. doi:10.1093/ije/dys203