#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lili Jin, Yanbian University, China

REVIEWED BY Zhiqing Pang, Fudan University, China Andreia Castro Gomes, University of Minho, Portugal

\*CORRESPONDENCE Raul Chioibas, ⊠ chioibas.raul@umft.ro

RECEIVED 28 August 2024 ACCEPTED 20 January 2025 PUBLISHED 06 February 2025

#### CITATION

Marcovici I, Chioibas R, Zupko I, Pinzaru I, Moaca A, Ledeti A, Barbu-Tudoran L, Geamantan A, Predescu I and Dehelean CA (2025) Preclinical pharmaco-toxicological screening of biomimetic melanin-like nanoparticles as a potential therapeutic strategy for cutaneous melanoma. *Front. Pharmacol.* 16:1487854. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2025.1487854

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Marcovici, Chioibas, Zupko, Pinzaru, Moaca, Ledeti, Barbu-Tudoran, Geamantan, Predescu and Dehelean. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Preclinical pharmaco-toxicological screening of biomimetic melanin-like nanoparticles as a potential therapeutic strategy for cutaneous melanoma

Iasmina Marcovici<sup>1,2</sup>, Raul Chioibas<sup>3,4</sup>\*, Istvan Zupko<sup>5</sup>, Iulia Pinzaru<sup>1,2</sup>, Alina Moaca<sup>1,2</sup>, Adriana Ledeti<sup>1,6</sup>, Lucian Barbu-Tudoran<sup>7,8</sup>, Andreea Geamantan<sup>1,2</sup>, Iasmina Predescu<sup>1,2</sup> and Cristina Adriana Dehelean<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, "Victor Babes" University of Medicine and Pharmacy from Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania, <sup>2</sup>Research Center for Pharmaco-Toxicological Evaluations, Faculty of Pharmacy, "Victor Babes" University of Medicine and Pharmacy from Timisoara, Timisoara, Romania, <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Medicine, "Victor Babes" University of Medicine and Pharmacy from Timisoara, Romania, <sup>4</sup>CBS Medcom Hospital, Timisoara, Romania, <sup>5</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Szeged, Szeged, Hungary, <sup>6</sup>Advanced Instrumental Screening Center, Faculty of Pharmacy, "Victor Babes" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania, <sup>7</sup>Electron Microscopy Laboratory "Prof. C. Craciun", Faculty of Biology and Geology, "Babes-Bolyai" University, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, <sup>8</sup>Electron Microscopy Integrated Laboratory, National Institute for R and D of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

**Introduction:** Despite its rarity, cutaneous melanoma (CM) represents the deadliest skin cancer with a high mortality rate, an incidence on the rise, and limited therapeutic options at present. Melanin is a polymeric pigment naturally produced within melanocytes and CM cells that gained a noteworthy attention due to its pharmacological properties, and potential for the design of nanoplatforms with biomedical applications. Up to date, the utilization of melanin-like nanoparticles (MEL-NPs) in cancer treatment has been well-documented, although their efficacy in CM therapy remains scarcely investigated. The current study presents the preclinical evaluation of MEL-NPs as a potential nanomedicine for CM management.

**Methods:** MEL-NPs were produced through the oxidative polymerization of dopamine and characterized via electron microscopy and UV-VIS spectroscopy. The antioxidant activity was determined by using the DPPH method. The cytotoxic, anti-migratory, anti-clonogenic, pro-oxidant and pro-apoptotic properties of MEL-NPs were investigated *in vitro* by applying the MTT viability test, bright-field and immunofluorescence microscopy, DCFDA/H2DCFDA test, scratch assay, colony formation assay, and RT-qPCR. The irritant and anti-angiogenic effects were assessed *in ovo* on the vascularized chorioallantoic membrane (CAM).

**Results:** The as-made MEL-NPs presented a spherical morphology, an average size of 85.61 nm, a broad UV-VIS absorption spectrum, and a strong antioxidant activity. After a 24 h treatment, MEL-NPs exerted a selective cytotoxicity in SH-4 and B164A5 CM cells compared to HEMa, HaCaT, and JB6 Cl 41-5a healthy skin

cells, except for the concentration of 100 µg/mL, at which their viability declined under 70%. Additionally, MEL-NPs accumulated within the intracellular space of CM cells, forming a perinuclear coating, inhibited their motility and clonogenic potential, increased intracellular oxidative stress, targeted the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, and induced apoptosis by altering cell morphology, nuclear aspect, F-actin and tubulin distribution, and by modulating the expression of pro- and anti-apoptotic markers. *In ovo*, MEL-NPs lacked irritant and vascular toxic effects, while exerting an angio-suppressive activity.

**Conclusion:** MEL-NPs demonstrated promising anti-melanoma properties, showing a selective cytotoxicity, a strong anti-invasive effect and a proapoptotic activity in CM cells, while inhibiting CAM angiogenesis, these novel findings contributing to future research on the potential application of this nanoplatform in CM therapy.

KEYWORDS

cutaneous melanoma, melanin-like nanoparticles, cytotoxicity, cell migration, epithelialto-mesenchymal transition, apoptosis, irritant potential, angiogenesis

### **1** Introduction

Cutaneous melanoma (CM) represents the malignant neoplasm that originates from the cancerous switch of epidermal melanocytes due to aberrant molecular and biochemical changes. Despite being the third most frequent skin malignancy after basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas, accounting for less than 5% of all cases, CM remains the deadliest and the most aggressive cutaneous cancer, having a high metastatic potential and a mortality rate that exceeds 10% (Coricovac et al., 2018; Davey et al., 2021). Epidemiologically, the overall global incidence of CM presents an alarming annual increase, surpassing the rate of any other cancer type (Leonardi et al., 2018). Numerous environmental and genetic risk factors have been correlated with CM oncogenesis (Conforti and Zalaudek, 2021). Although there is a certain interplay between these factors that contributes to CM arising, the excessive exposure to ultraviolet radiation (UVR) emanated by natural or artificial sources remains the greatest trigger for the development of the "cancer that rises with the Sun" (Coricovac et al., 2018; Burns et al., 2019; Davey et al., 2021). Additionally, cutaneous pigmentation-mainly caused by the production and accumulation of melanin (MEL) within the epidermal level of the skin, plays an indisputable key role in the susceptibility of melanocytes to malignant transformation (Conforti and Zalaudek, 2021; Moreiras et al., 2021).

MEL is a generic term defining a widely spread class of pigments with diverse structure and origin, synthesized by melanocytes through a unique and strictly-controlled process known as melanogenesis, via the oxidation and polymerization of tyrosine (Mostert, 2021; Marcovici et al., 2022). This process results in the obtainment of two distinct MEL subtypes: the brown-to-black eumelanin comprising 5,6-dihydroxyindole (DHI) and 5,6dihydroxyindole-2-carboxylic acid (DHICA) moieties, and the yellow-to-red pheomelanin containing sulphurous benzothiazine and benzothiazole units (Moreiras et al., 2021; Marcovici et al., 2022). Melanogenesis is regulated by several factors acting at the systemic, tissue, cellular, and subcellular levels. UVR was established as the primordial exogenous factor regulating cutaneous melanogenesis, nonetheless, skin pigmentation is also influenced by other factors (e.g., nutritional, endocrine, paracrine, autocrine, intracrine) that involve the interaction between melanocytes and keratinocytes (Slominski et al., 2022). Both melanocytes and CM cells present the ability of producing MEL, and thus causing pigmentation (Bratu et al., 2022). However, melanogenesis is highly dysfunctional in CM cells, which can shift from pigmented to non-pigmented states (Sarna et al., 2019). Therefore, based on the presence or absence of this pigment, CM has been classified as melanotic (pigmented) and amelanotic (unpigmented) (Bratu et al., 2022). Up to date, the ability of MEL to prevent CM development and progression has been documented. The pigment acts as a an UVR-absorbing and photoprotective agent that shields epidermal cells from radiationinduced DNA damage, and oxidative stress, this activity being attributed to the eumelanin subtype (Solano, 2020; Cabaço et al., 2022; Slominski et al., 2022). The presence of endogenous MEL was also correlated to impaired CM metastasis and invasiveness under both in vitro and in vivo conditions, by enhancing the stiffness and attenuating the elasticity of CM cells, while also diminishing their spread in nude mice (Sarna et al., 2018; 2019; Cabaço et al., 2022). Moreover, amelanotic CM was associated with a lower patient survival rate and higher metastatic potential compared to melanotic CM (Sarna et al., 2019).

Recently, MEL has garnered significant attention from the scientific community owing to its numerous therapeutic properties (e.g., antioxidant, anti-hemolytic, immunomodulatory, anti-neoplastic), exceeding conventional drugs in terms of safety profile and broad activity spectrum (Marcovici et al., 2022). An increasing importance has been appointed to the anti-carcinogenic effect of MEL, its potential efficacy in the treatment of several cancers (e.g., colorectal, leukemia) being explored in previous preclinical studies (Öberg et al., 2009; Al-Obeed et al., 2020; El-Obeid et al., 2020). Additionally, MEL was also found to exert antimelanoma properties, presenting cytotoxic and pro-apoptotic effects in CM cells (Rudrappa et al., 2023). The abrupt and continuous expansion of nanotechnology, as well as the fascinating functionalities of the natural pigment (e.g., biocompatibility, broadband light absorption, chelating ability, radical-scavenging effects, etc.), fueled the strategic design of versatile MEL-based

nanoplatforms for various biomedical applications (Kohri, 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Marcovici et al., 2022). The majority of the as-made MEL-like nanostructures were developed from polydopamine (PDA), the artificial analogue of the natural eumelanin in terms of precursors, synthesis pathways, and structure-property relationship, which has gained a noteworthy popularity especially due to its diverse functions in nanotechnology-based cancer diagnosis and treatment, either as a platform with intrinsic antineoplastic properties, or as a carrier for anti-cancer agents (Liang et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2020; Marcovici et al., 2022). Despite these previous findings, the therapeutic potential of MEL/PDA nanoparticles in CM management remains insufficiently explored nowadays, urging further investigations in this research area.

Therefore, driven by the innate ability of CM in producing MEL, the known implications of the pigment in CM prevention, the inherent anti-melanoma effects of MEL, the unprecedented outburst in MEL/PDA-based nanotechnological advancements and the recent studies of the anti-tumor activity of MEL and MEL/PDA nanoparticles, the present study endeavors to conduct a preclinical evaluation of the anti-cancer properties retained by artificially produced bioinspired MEL nanoparticles (MEL-NPs) as a potential platform with applications in CM therapy. Debuting with the physicochemical characterization of the obtained MEL-NPs, the study continues by exploring their safety profile in healthy cutaneous cells (human melanocytes -HEMa, human keratinocytes - HaCaT, murine epidermal cells -JB6 Cl 41-5a) and anti-neoplastic properties in CM cells (human melanoma cells - SH-4, murine melanoma cells -B164A5) and ends with the investigation of their irritant potential and anti-angiogenic effect in ovo, furnishing novel perspectives to the applications of MEL-like nanostructures in CM treatment.

### 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Reagents, cell lines and instruments

The following reagents were received from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., (Waltham, MA, United States): dopamine (3hydroxythyramine) hydrochloride, ultrapure distilled water, alpha tubulin monoclonal antibody (B-5-1-2), Texas Red<sup>™</sup>-X phalloidin, goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor<sup>™</sup> 488), Invitrogen<sup>™</sup> PureLink<sup>™</sup> RNA Mini Kit, 10x DNase I reaction buffer, DNase I Amplification Grade and Power SYBR<sup>™</sup> Green RNA-to-CT<sup>™</sup> 1-Step Kit. The MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay kit, DAPI (4',6-diamino-2phenylindole), diphenylpikrylhydrazyl (DPPH), TritonX-100, penicillin/streptomycin solution, phosphate saline buffer (PBS), sodium lauryl sulfate (SLS), the B164A5 cell line, and ascorbic acid were bought from Sigma Aldrich, Merck KgaA (Darmstadt, Germany). Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM; 30-2002<sup>™</sup>), Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM; 30-2003<sup>™</sup>), Dermal Cell Basal Medium, Adult Melanocyte Growth Kit, Penicillin-Streptomycin-Amphotericin B solution, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), fetal bovine serum (FBS), Trypsin-EDTA solution, and HEMa (PCS-200-013<sup>™</sup>), JB6 Cl 41-5a (CRL-

2010<sup>™</sup>), and SH-4 (CRL-7724<sup>™</sup>) cells were acquired from ATCC (American Type Culture Collection, Lomianki, Poland). Sodium hydroxide was obtained from Honeywell International Inc. (Charlotte, North Carolina, United States). Crystal violet 1% was bought from Electron Microscopy Sciences (Hatfield, PA, United States). Paraformaldehyde 4% was delivered by Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, United States). DCFDA/H2DCFDA-Cellular ROS Assay Kit was provided by Abcam (Cambridge, United Kingdom). The Lionheart FX microscope, the Cytation 5 microplate reader, the AutoScratch<sup>™</sup> Wound Making Tool, and the Gen5<sup>™</sup> Microplate Data Collection and Analysis Software (Version 3.14) were provided by BioTek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, United States.

#### 2.2 MEL-NPs synthesis method

MEL-NPs were synthesized through the spontaneous oxidation and polymerization of dopamine in alkaline conditions by applying a protocol similar to the one presented by Li et al. (2018). Simply, dopamine hydrochloride was dissolved in ultrapure sterile distilled water and treated with a solution of NaOH 1 M. The color of the solution turned yellow after alkalinization and gradually changed to brown. After stirring for 5 h at 50°C, the black granular MEL-NPs were retrieved through high-speed centrifugation (15,000 rpm, 20 min), washed, and redispersed in ultrapure sterile distilled water. The obtained MEL-NPs were stored at 4°C until further use.

# 2.3 Electron microscopy and UV-VIS spectroscopy analyses

The size and morphology of MEL-NPs were determined through scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), respectively, using the microscope Hitachi SU8230 cold field emission gun STEM (Chiyoda, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with EDX detectors X-MaxN 80 (Oxford Instruments, United Kingdom) and following the procedure reported previously by Moacă et al. (2023). The UV-VIS spectrum of MEL-NPs was obtained using the Jena Analytik Specord 250 Plus double beam spectrophotometer (Jena, Germany) with matched quartz cells of 1 cm, at a wavelength range between 200 and 1,000 nm.

### 2.4 Antioxidant activity evaluation

The antioxidant potential of the obtained MEL-NPs was assessed by evaluating their ability to scavenge the DPPH free radical, as presented previously by Mansour et al. (2016). Thus, 50  $\mu$ L of samples (MEL-NPs) and 150  $\mu$ L of DPPH methanol solution were added in a 96-well plate. The concentration of MEL-NPs was maintained at 10, 25, 50, 75, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively, while the DPPH concentration in each well was 200  $\mu$ M. Next, the plate was incubated at room temperature, protected from light for 20 min and the absorbance was read at 520 nm using Cytation 5. Ascorbic acid (10, 25, 50, 75, and 100  $\mu$ g/

mL) was selected as a positive control. The antioxidant activity (%) was calculated as follows:

 $Antioxidant activity (\%) = \frac{(AbsorbanceDPPH - AbsorbanceSample)}{AbsorbanceDPPH} \ge 100$ 

#### 2.5 Cell culture protocol

The cell lines selected for the present study were cultured in standard conditions-humidified atmosphere, 37°C, and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. All procedures were performed following the manufacturers' protocols. Briefly, HaCaT, SH-4, and B164A5 cells were grown in DMEM with 10% FBS, JB6 Cl 41-5a cells in EMEM with 5% FBS, and HEMa in Dermal Cell Basal Medium containing one Adult Melanocyte Growth Kit. DMEM and EMEM were supplemented with 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin mixture, while 500  $\mu$ L of Penicillin-Streptomycin-Amphotericin B Solution were added to the Dermal Cell Basal Medium. The cells presented normal morphology, growth and proliferation during the experiments.

#### 2.6 Cytotoxicity assessment

The cytotoxic effect of MEL-NPs on HEMa, HaCaT, JB6 Cl 41-5a, SH-4 and B164A5 cells was assessed by applying the MTT viability assay after 24 h of treatment. In brief, at the end of the incubation interval, 10  $\mu$ L of MTT reagent were added in every well, and the plates were incubated for 3 h at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Finally, 100  $\mu$ L of MTT solubilizing solution were added, the plates were kept at room temperature for 30 min, in the dark, and the absorbance was measured on Cytation 5 at two wavelengths - 570 and 630 nm.

# 2.7 Cell morphology and intracellular distribution of MEL-NPs

The localization of the obtained MEL-NPs within SH-4 and B164A5 cells was assessed microscopically. Representative images were taken using the Lionheart FX microscope and the images were analyzed in bright-field using the Gen5<sup>TM</sup> Microplate Data Collection and Analysis Software Version 3.14.

# 2.8 Immunofluorescence staining of nuclei, tubulin and F-actin

The impact of the 24 h treatment with MEL-NPs on nuclear morphology and the distribution of cytoskeletal F-actin and tubulin filaments was investigated through immunofluorescence staining. Initially, the cells were grown in clear-bottom, black 96-well plates, left to attach, and exposed to MEL-NPs for the indicated period of time. Then, they were treated with paraformaldehyde 4%, TritonX 0.1%, and bovine serum albumin (BSA) 1%. Tubulin was visualized by exposing the cells to the alpha tubulin monoclonal antibody (B-5-1-2) for 4 h and the goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor<sup>TM</sup> 488) for 45 min. F-actin was stained with Texas Red<sup>TM</sup>-X phalloidin for 30 min at room temperature. The cell nuclei

were counterstained with a DAPI solution for 5 min. The treatments were performed at room temperature and protected from light. These steps were also preceded by the plates' three-times washing with PBS. At the end, the cells were imaged using the Lionheart FX microscope and analyzed in the Gen5<sup>™</sup> Microplate Data Collection and Analysis Software Version 3.14. The apoptotic index was finally calculated by applying the following formula:

Apoptotic index (%) =  $\frac{Number of a poptotic nuclei}{Total number of nuclei} \times 100$ 

#### 2.9 Measurement of intracellular oxidative stress

The ability of MEL-NPs to trigger oxidative stress in SH-4 and B164A5 cells was determined by applying the DCFDA/ H2DCFDA–Cellular ROS Assay Kit according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. For this experiment, the cells were cultured in clear-bottom, black 96-well plates, left to adhere, and treated with MEL-NPs for 24 h. Next, the culture medium was removed, the cells were washed with 1X Buffer and treated for 45 min at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> with a DCFDA solution prepared in 1X Buffer at a concentration of 20  $\mu$ M. Finally, the DCFDA solution was removed and 1X Supplemented Buffer was added. The volume used in these steps was 100  $\mu$ L/well. Representative images were taken using the Lionheart FX microscope and fluorescence was measured at excitation/emission of 485/535 nm on Cytation 5. The images and fluorescence data were analyzed in the Gen5<sup>TM</sup> Microplate Data Collection and Analysis Software Version 3.14.

# 2.10 Automatic scratch assay for cell migration

The influence of MEL-NPs on the migration of SH-4 and B164A5 cells was assessed by performing the automatic scratch assay. In brief, the cells were cultured in Corning Costar 24-well plates, an automatic scratch was made in each well using the AutoScratch<sup>TM</sup> Wound Making Tool, the cells were treated with MEL-NPs for 24 h, and representative images (at magnification ×4) of the scratch area were taken at 0 and 24 h post-treatment on Cytation 1 (BioTek<sup>®</sup> Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT, United States). The width of the performed scratches was measured in Gen5<sup>TM</sup> Microplate Data Collection and Analysis Software Version 3.14, and the migration rates were calculated using the formula (Simu et al., 2021):

Migration rate (%) = 
$$\frac{At0 - At24}{At0} \ge 100$$
, where

At0 = scratch width at 0 h. At24 = scratch width at 24 h.

#### 2.11 Colony formation test

The ability of MEL-NPs (10  $\mu g/mL$ ) to inhibit colony formation in SH-4 and B164A5 cells was assessed through the colony formation test. Shortly, the cells were cultured in 6-well plates at

Primer	Forward	Reverse
β-actin	5'AGAGGGAAATCGTGCGTGAC3'	5'CAATAGTGATGACCTGGCCGT3'
E-cadherin	5'TTCCTCCCAATACATCTCCC3'	5'TTGATTTTGTAGTCACCCACC3'
Vimentin	5'CTCTTCCAAACTTTTCCTCCC3'	5'AGTTTCGTTGATAACCTGTCC3'
MMP-2	5'ATGACAGCTGCACCACTGAG3'	5'ATTTGTTGCCCAGGAAAGTG3'
MMP-9	5'TTGACAGCGACAAGAAGTGG3'	5'GCCATTCACGTCGTCCTTAT3'
Bad	5'CCCAGAGTTTGAGCCGAGTG3'	5'CCCATCCCTTCGTCCT3'
Bak	5'ATGGTCACCTTACCTCTGCAA3'	5'TCATAGCGTCGGTTGATGTCG3'
Bax	5'GCCGGGTTGTCGCCCTTTT3'	5'CCGCTCCCGGAGGAAGTCCA3'
Bcl-XL	5'GATCCCCATGGCAGCAGTAAAGCAAG3'	5'CCCCATCCCGGAAGAGTTCATTCACT3'

TABLE 1 Forward and reverse sequences of the gene-specific primers used in this study.

a low density of 400 cells/well and left to attach. Then, the cells were treated with MEL-NPs for 24 h, and the medium was changed regularly with fresh one for 7–10 days. At the end of the experiment, the cells were fixed with paraformaldehyde 4% and stained for 10 min at room temperature with crystal violet 0.2% diluted in PBS. Following the rinsing of the wells with water and acquisition of representative images, the cells were lysed with SLS 1%, and the absorbance was read at 550 nm on Cytation 5. The number of formed colonies was determined microscopically. A similar method was described by Han et al. (2018).

#### 2.12 RT-qPCR analysis of mRNA expressions

For this assay, SH-4 CM cells were grown in 6-well plates at a density of 106 cells/well and treated with MEL-NPs for 24 h. RNA was extracted using the Invitrogen<sup>™</sup> PureLink<sup>™</sup> RNA Mini Kit by following the manufacturer's instructions and quantified using the DS-11 Wilmington, spectrophotometer (DeNovix, DE, United States) at 260/280 nm. The contamination with genomic DNA was eliminated by subjecting all RNA samples to a treatment with DNase I. To accomplish this, the RNA samples were incubated for 15 min at room temperature 10X DNase I Reaction Buffer and DNase I Amplification Grade, further treated with 25 mM EDTA for DNase I inactivation and heated for 10 min at 65°C. Reversetranscription was conducted using the Power SYBR<sup>™</sup> Green RNA-to-CT<sup>™</sup> 1-Step Kit according to the protocol available from the manufacturer. Each reaction contained sample RNA, Power SYBR® Green RT-PCR Mix (2x), RT Enzyme Mix (125x), specific primers (forward and reverse), and RNase-free water at a final volume of 20 µL. The reaction plate was sealed with optical adhesive film and cDNA amplification was performed on the Quant Studio 5 real-time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, United States) using the following thermal conditions: 30 min at 48°C, 10 min at 95°C, 40 cycles at 95°C (15 s), and 1 min at 60°C, as recommended by manufacturer of the kit. Relative mRNA expressions were determined by applying the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method, normalized to  $\beta$ -actin used as housekeeping gene and further expressed as fold change relative to control. The used forward and reverse primer sequences (Table 1) were specifically designed to target the respective genes and commercially provided by Eurogentec (Seraing, Belgium) and Invitrogen (Waltham, MA, United States).

# 2.13 *In ovo* experimentation on the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM)

The *in ovo* experiments were performed using white fertilized chicken eggs (Gallus *gallus domesticus*), as previously described (Rednic et al., 2022). On the first day of receiving, the eggs were washed, disinfected with 70% alcohol, and carefully transferred to the incubator. On the fourth day of incubation, a cut was made at the tip of the egg, allowing the extraction of approximately 6–7 mL of albumen. The next day of incubation, a small window was cut in the upper part of each egg and then covered with adhesive tape. The eggs were placed back into the incubator, and kept in standard conditions (37°C, 60% humidity) during the experiments which were finalized before reaching the 14th day of embryonic development.

### 2.14 Hen's egg CAM irritation test

The HET-CAM test was performed at the 10th day of eggs' incubation, following a procedure described by Kis et al. (2022). The potential irritant effect of MEL-NPs at concentrations of 10, 50, and 100  $\mu g/mL$  was compared with the one exerted by SLS 1% used as positive control and distilled water (H<sub>2</sub>O) used as negative control. Briefly, a volume of 600 µL of positive control, negative control and sample was applied to the CAM. Signs of hemorrhage (H), lysis (L) and vascular coagulation (C) were observed for 5 min. Representative photographs of the CAM were taken before the application of the samples (at T0) and 5 min after their application (at T5). The vascular changes were observed and evaluated using a stereomicroscope (Stereomicroscope Discovery 8; Zeiss). The images were taken with color Axio CAM 105-Zeiss and then processed using the ZEN core version 3.8 software. The possible irritating potential of MEL-NPs was determined using the irritation scoring method which classifies substances based on the irritation score (IS) value as non-irritating (IS = 0-0.9), irritating (IS = 1-8.9) and severely irritating (IS = 9-21) (Racea et al., 2023).



#### 2.15 In ovo angiogenesis study

The potential angio-inhibitory effect of MEL-NPs was assessed using chicken fertilized eggs at the 8–10th day of embryonic development to cover the period at which the CAM reaches the highest point of neovascularization (Aventurado et al., 2020). The applied method was presented in a previous study (Parveen and Nadumane, 2020). Shortly, MEL-NPs were directly applied on the CAM, the eggs were incubated for a period of 24 h, and representative images were obtained using the Discovery 8 SteREO microscope and the ZEN core version 3.8 software. The quantitative analysis of the vascular area and number of branching points was conducted on the IKOSA Prism Application CAM assay (Version 3.1.0).

#### 2.16 Statistical analysis of the results

The data from this paper are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). The differences between data were compared in GraphPad Prism 10 version for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, United States, www.graphpad.com), using the one-way ANOVA analysis, the Dunett's multiple comparisons post-test, and the unpaired t-test. Statistical significance was marked with \* (\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.001; \*\*\*p < 0.001; \*\*\*p < 0.001 versus Control), respectively.

### **3** Results

### 3.1 Characterization of MEL-NPs

Figure 1 depicts the characterization of the synthesized MEL-NPs that presented a well-defined spherical shape and an average

size of 85.61 nm although a small population of MEL-NPs showed a dimension that was over 100 nm. Four samples were evaluated by UV-VIS spectrophotometry at different concentrations of MEL-NPs (10, 25, 50 and 75 µg/mL). A strong relationship between the concentration of MEL-NPs and the absorbance was found, as its values increased with the increase of the MEL-NPs concentration. MEL-NPs presented a monotonic and broad-band absorption in the UV-VIS spectrum, the absorbance gradually decreasing from 200 nm to 1,000 nm at all tested concentrations. The antioxidant potential of MEL-NPs at five concentrations of interest - 10, 25, 50, 75, and 100 µg/mL-was evaluated using the DPPH assay. The ability of MEL-NPs to scavenge the DPPH free radical increased with increasing concentrations. Thus, at 10 µg/mL, the antioxidant activity was 18.27%, and gradually reached 68.31%, 79.27%, 83.03%, and 84.17% at higher concentrations (25, 50, 75, and 100 µg/mL, respectively). The antioxidant property of MEL-NPs was slightly lower compared to ascorbic acid used as positive control, which exerted a dose-dependent efficacy in scavenging the DPPH radical at these concentrations, the highest antioxidant activity (96.11%) being reached at 100 µg/mL (data not shown). The estimated IC<sub>50</sub> for MEL-NPs, representing the concentration at which 50% of the DPPH radical was scavenged, is 20.38 µg/mL.

# 3.2 Cytocidal potential of MEL-NPs in healthy and CM cells

The cytotoxicity of MEL-NPs in healthy skin-derived cells (HEMa, HaCaT, JB6 Cl 41-5a) and CM cells (SH-4, B164A5) was assessed by evaluating their impact on cell viability after a 24 h treatment (Figure 2). MEL-NPs significantly lowered the viability of HEMa, HaCaT, and JB6 Cl 41-5a cells only at the highest concentration of 100  $\mu$ g/mL (to 58.89%, 68.43%, and



58.14%, respectively). At 10 µg/mL, MEL-NPs exerted a slight stimulatory effect on the cells' viability which increased to 111.14% (HEMa), 105.74% (HaCaT) and 102.53% (JB6 Cl 41-5a), while at 25, 50, and 75 µg/mL, it was maintained over 80% in all healthy cell lines. Comparatively, the 24 h treatment of the CM cells with MEL-NPs caused a concentration-dependent decline in cell viability that reached statistical significance starting with the concentration of 25 µg/mL. The viability of SH-4 cells was gradually reduced by MEL-NPs from 87.27% (at 10 µg/mL) to 73.98% (at 25 µg/mL), 61.12% (at 50 µg/mL), 53.98% (at 75 µg/ mL), and 46.81% (at 100 µg/mL), respectively. The percentage of viable B164A5 cells was also lowered by the 24 h exposure to MEL-NPs to 86.95% (at 10 µg/mL), 72.66% (at 25 µg/mL), 67.30% (at 50 µg/mL), 61.23% (at 75 µg/mL), and 55.24% (at 100 µg/mL), respectively. Cytotoxicity, suggested by a reduction in cell viability below 70%, was observed at 100 µg/mL in the case of HEMa, HaCaT and JB6 Cl 41-5a healthy cells, as well as starting with the concentration of 50 µg/mL in the case of SH-4 and B164A5 CM cells.

# 3.3 Cell morphology and intracellular localization of MEL-NPs

To explore the intracellular localization of MEL-NPs and their impact on cellular morphology and confluence, the aspect of SH-4 and B164A5 cells following treatment was further observed (Figure 3). After 24 h of treatment, MEL-NPs efficiently infiltrated within the SH-4 cells' cytoplasmic space, accumulating in the perinuclear area (white arrows) at all tested concentrations, however, the highest amount of internalized MEL-NPs was obtained at 100  $\mu$ g/mL. This effect was associated with a concentration-dependent loss of cell confluence and changes in the cells' morphology which adopted a spherical shape and a reduced size compared to control. A similar behavior was also noticed in B164A5 cells, although a higher intracellular accumulation was detected, MEL-NPs forming a more prominent perinuclear cap in this cell line compared to SH-4 cells. In comparison to control, cell rounding, shrinkage, and loss of confluence were observed in B164A5 cells treated with MEL-NPs (10, 50, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL) for 24 h.

# 3.4 Impact of MEL-NPs on cell nuclei and cytoskeletal filaments

The potential changes in the aspect of cellular components (nuclei, tubulin, and F-actin) following the CM cells' treatment with MEL-NPs was next investigated (Figure 4). MEL-NPs caused visible alterations in SH-4 cells after 24 h, evidenced by a considerable constriction of both cell nuclei and cytoskeletal tubulin and F-actin fibers associated with cell rounding, as well as by a significant increase in apoptotic index (over 20%) at all tested



concentrations. Additionally, at 50 and 100 µg/mL, the SH-4 cells exposed to MEL-NPs presented signs of bleb formation. MEL-NPs also induced several changes in the aspect of B164A5 cells' nuclei, tubulin and F-actin at all tested concentrations after 24 h of treatment. At 10 µg/mL, a slight chromatin constriction but an intense condensation of F-actin at the peripheral area of the cells or within the entire cell space were observed, while tubulin maintained a distribution similar to control. At 50 and 100 µg/mL, all cellular components appeared massively condensed whereas the cells became spherical in shape. The size of the nuclei and the longitudinal axis of the cells exposed to MEL-NPs were visibly reduced compared to control. A significant elevation in apoptotic index was registered in B164A5 cells treated for 24 h with MEL-NPs (10, 50, and 100 µg/mL).

# 3.5 Effect of MEL-NPs on intracellular oxidative stress

The potential impact of MEL-NPs on the oxidative stress status in SH-4 and B164A5 CM cells was next investigated (Figure 5). The results indicated a concentration-dependent increase in ROS production in both cell lines after 24 h of treatment. In SH-4 cells the percentages (101.54% at 10  $\mu$ g/mL, 108.32% at 50  $\mu$ g/mL and 117.11% at 100  $\mu$ g/mL) were not significant compared to control. Higher oxidative stress was induced by MEL-NPs in B164A5 cells, the ROS production percentages gradually increasing to 103.30% at 10  $\mu$ g/mL, 119.67% at 50  $\mu$ g/mL and 129.5% at 100  $\mu$ g/mL, without reaching statistical significance compared to control.

#### 3.6 Influence of MEL-NPs on cell migration

The influence of MEL-NPs after 24 h of stimulation on the migratory ability of CM cells was evaluated using the automatic scratch assay (Figure 6). The migratory ability of SH-4 cells was considerably inhibited by MEL-NPs at a low concentration (10  $\mu$ g/mL), the calculated migration rate being 72.33% compared to control. A slightly higher motility blockage was obtained in the case of B164A5 CM cells, MEL-NPs 10  $\mu$ g/mL significantly reducing the migration rate to 60.85%.

#### 3.7 Effect of MEL-NPs on cell clonogenicity

The impact of MEL-NPs on the CM cells' clonogenic properties was further investigated (Figure 7). At the concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/mL, MEL-NPs suppressed the colony formation rate and reduced the number of formed colonies in SH-4 cells (to around 90%), however the effect was not significant compared to control. A slightly stronger inhibition in colony formation rate (to 85.13%) and reduction of colony number (to 79.44%) was obtained in B164A5 cells treated with MEL-NPs 10  $\mu$ g/mL.

#### 3.8 Impact of MEL-NPs on epithelial-tomesenchymal transition (EMT) and apoptosis markers

The ability of MEL-NPs to target the EMT and apoptosis processes in SH-4 CM cells was assessed by determining their impact on specific markers (Figure 8). At the low concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/mL, MEL-NPs caused a significant increase in the mRNA expression of E-cadherin, while also reducing vimentin, MMP-2, and MMP-9 expressions compared to control. At a higher concentration (50  $\mu$ g/mL), MEL-NPs elevated the mRNA expression of the pro-apoptotic markers Bad, Bak, and Bax, but lowered the expression of the anti-apoptotic marker Bcl-XL. Statistical significance was reached only in the case of Bad and Bcl-XL.

# 3.9 Potential irritant effect and vascular toxicity of MEL-NPs

The irritant potential of MEL-NPs 10, 50, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL was evaluated *in ovo* by applying the HET-CAM test (Figure 9). Distilled water (H<sub>2</sub>O) used as negative control induced no alteration on the vascular structure, while SLS 1% (positive control) caused haemorrhage, lysis, and coagulation shortly after its application on the CAM. No severe vascular impairments were observed after the exposure of the CAM to MEL-NPs at the evaluated concentrations, except for slight signs of coagulation (at 50  $\mu$ g/mL) and lysis (at 100  $\mu$ g/mL) at the end of the treatments.



(10, 50, and 100 µg/mL) and (**B**) Representative images of B164A5 cells' nuclei, F-actin, and tubulin filaments and apoptotic index percentages after a 24 h treatment with MEL-NPs (10, 50, and 100 µg/mL). The scale bars indicate 20 µm, and the arrows indicate apoptotic-like changes in the aspect of nuclei, tubulin and F-actin. The data are expressed as means  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments conducted in triplicate. The statistical differences between Control and MEL-NPs-treated groups were determined using the one-way ANOVA analysis and the Dunnett's multiple comparisons post-test (\*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001; \*\*\*p < 0.0001 versus control).

The calculated IS for the tested samples is presented in Table 2. The highest IS value was obtained for SLS 1%. MEL-NPs 10  $\mu$ g/mL presented the same IS as H<sub>2</sub>O, while at higher concentrations (50  $\mu$ g/mL and 100  $\mu$ g/mL) it slightly increased to 0.34 and 0.49, respectively. MEL-NPs were classified as non-irritant on the CAM at these three concentrations, lacking vascular toxicity.

# 3.10 Potential anti-angiogenic effect of MEL-NPs

Finally, the potential ability of MEL-NPs (10, 50, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL) to inhibit neovascularization *in ovo* was evaluated. The results presented in Figure 10 indicate that the 24 h application of MEL-NPs on the CAM leads to a concentration-dependent reduction in the total vascular area, as well as in the number of vascular branching points, statistically significant changes being obtained at 50 and

 $100~\mu\text{g/mL}.$  At these concentrations, the total vascular area declined to 87.78% and 84%, respectively, while the number of vascular branching points was 86.65% and 74.78%, respectively.

## 4 Discussion

CM remains a leading contributor to the global increases in mortality rates caused by skin cancers, owing to its resistance to therapy, aggressive and metastatic nature, but also to the severe adverse effects and lack of tumor-specificity of the current treatment approaches (Cassano et al., 2021; Dhanyamraju and Patel, 2022). Thus, the modern pharmaceutical and biomedical research has prioritized the development of state-of-the-art site-specific therapeutics, the applications of nanotechnology promptly expanding within the area of CM diagnosis and treatment (Chen et al., 2013; Cassano et al., 2021). The outstanding properties and



innate biocompatibility of MEL and PDA hastened the obtainment of MEL/PDA-based nanomaterials for cancer therapy (Qi et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2022), being able to trigger therapeutic cellular responses per se, in the absence of drug cargoes (Liang et al., 2023). However, their applications in CM management lack a thorough investigation at present, requiring supplemental research. In the light of these data, the current work proposed the synthesis, physicochemical characterization, and preclinical pharmacotoxicological screening of bioinspired MEL-NPs as a potential nanomedicine for CM, revealing several novelties, as follows: (i) the obtained MEL-NPs present a size of around 85.61 nm, a spherelike shape, and a strong antioxidant potential; (ii) MEL-NPs retain a proper cytocompatibility in healthy skin cells (HEMa, HaCaT, and JB6 Cl 41-5a) at concentrations up to 75 µg/mL; (iii) MEL-NPs exert potent anti-tumor and anti-migratory activities in SH-4 and B164A5 CM cells after 24 h of treatment; (iv) the anti-neoplastic effect of MEL-NPs in CM cells is related to their efficacy in modulating the EMT, triggering apoptosis, and generating intracellular oxidative stress; and (v) MEL-NPs are non-irritant on the CAM and suppress angiogenesis in ovo.

The first point of interest in the current study was the physicochemical characterization of MEL-NPs (Figure 1) which were artificially produced through the controlled oxidative polymerization of dopamine in alkaline conditions, a method routinely employed for the obtainment of this type of nanoparticles which generally present a round morphology and a size up to 500 nm (Lemaster et al., 2019; Mavridi-Printezi et al., 2020; Marcovici et al., 2022). The as-synthesized MEL-NPs exhibited a clear sphere-like shape, and an average size of 85.61 nm with a small population of MEL-NPs presenting a dimension higher than 100 nm. The specific characteristics of their UV-VIS spectrum included a gradual decrease of absorbance from 200 nm to 1,000 nm, which is in accordance with the optical features of eumelanin (Ju et al., 2011), and other studies reporting the UV-VIS spectra of MEL or MEL-NPs (Li et al., 2018; Madkhali et al., 2019).

Oxidative stress plays a crucial role in different phases of melanomagenesis from tumor initiation and progression, to metastasis onset and chemoresistance development, CM exhibiting particularly elevated ROS levels compared to other solid cancers (Pizzimenti et al., 2021; Becker and Indra, 2023). Thus, antioxidants have become a promising strategy for CM management, with several radical-scavenging products showing potential applications in CM prevention and progression blockage (Becker and Indra, 2023). Based on these aspects, the study also included the assessment of the antioxidant activity retained by MEL-NPs at five increasing concentrations of interest – 10, 25, 50, 75, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL, by applying the DPPH assay, defined as a simple, reliable, and widely used *in vitro* non-cellular test (Semenescu et al., 2023). MEL-NPs showed a



concentration-dependent scavenging potential of the DPPH radical that was comparable to the antioxidant efficacy of ascorbic acid and gradually increased from 18.27% (at 10 µg/mL) to 84.17% (at 100 µg/ mL), results that confirm previous reports, as MEL-like (PDA) nanostructures have been employed and thoroughly studied before as efficient oxidative stress scavengers (Yang et al., 2020). Lou et al. demonstrated the ROS-suppressing effects of PDA nanoparticles which showed a concentration-dependent clearance of O<sub>2</sub>-, OH, and DPPH radicals (Lou et al., 2021). Similarly, Ju and colleagues showed that the antioxidant activity of MEL-NPs increased dose-dependently up to 85%, stressing the importance of particle size in the DPPH scavenging ability of MEL-NPs: the smallest nanoparticles (68 ± 21 nm) exerting almost the same scavenging activity as ascorbic acid, while the ones with the highest size (291 ± 57 nm) presenting the lowest efficacy (Ju et al., 2011). The obtained results can be also correlated with the antioxidant ability of the natural pigment resulting from its efficacy in binding metal ions or quenching excited molecules and free

radicals due to the chemical structure formed of phenol and indole groups, and especially to the eumelanin-specific DHICA unit that comprises of an extra carboxylate group (Caldas et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020).

The second aspect investigated herein was the biocompatibility of MEL-NPs in cutaneous non-tumorigenic HEMa, HaCaT and JB6 Cl 41-5a cell lines, selected as representative *in vitro* models for cutaneous toxicity evaluation due to their specific characteristics. HEMa are human primary epidermal melanocytes presenting a needle-like, stellar, multipolar, or dendritic aspect and applications in skin diseases such as CM (https://www.atcc.org/). HaCaT represent spontaneously immortalized human keratinocytes (KCs) that contain similar surface markers and functionalities as isolated KCs and are employed in epidermal homeostasis and pathophysiology studies (Colombo et al., 2017; Blanchard et al., 2022). The murine JB6 Cl 41-5a epidermal cells exhibit an epithelial morphology and are currently employed in skin toxicity investigations (https://www.atcc.org/; Tewari-Singh et al., 2010).



(A) Representative images of the crystal violet-stained SH-4 colonies with and without the 24 h treatment with MEL-NPs 10 µg/mL, as well as graphical representation of the impact of MEL-NPs 10 µg/mL on the colony formation rate and number of formed colonies in SH-4 cells after a 24 h treatment. (B) Representative images of the crystal violet-stained B164A5 colonies with and without the 24 h treatment with MEL-NPs 10 µg/mL, as well as graphical representation of the impact of MEL-NPs 10 µg/mL on the colony formation rate and number of formed colonies in B164A5 cells after a 24 h treatment. (B) Representative images of the crystal violet-stained B164A5 colonies with and without the 24 h treatment with MEL-NPs 10 µg/mL, as well as graphical representation of the impact of MEL-NPs 10 µg/mL on the colony formation rate and number of formed colonies in B164A5 cells after a 24 h treatment. The data were normalized to control (representing cells without treatment) and expressed as means ± SD of three independent experiments conducted in triplicate. The statistical differences between Control and MEL-NPs-treated group were determined using the unpaired t-test.

MEL-NPs are generally considered as highly biocompatible due to their similarity to the natural pigment which is produced within melanocytes in a nanosized form (Marcovici et al., 2022). The results obtained during this study (Figure 2) indicated that these nanoparticles triggered a concentration-dependent decline in the viability of skin-derived cells. Thus, MEL-NPs lacked cytotoxic potential in HEMa, HaCaT and JB6 Cl 41-5a cells up to the concentration of 75  $\mu$ g/mL, while at 100  $\mu$ g/mL a significant decline in cell viability (under 70%) was noticed. Moreover, at the lowest concentration, 10  $\mu$ g/mL, MEL-NPs exerted a stimulatory effect on the viability of all healthy cell lines. In a recent report, PDA nanosheets presented a low cytotoxicity in L929 fibroblasts after 24, 72, and 144 h of treatment, the cells' viability remaining over 90% (Chen et al., 2021). A significant decrease in the viability of mesenchymal stem cells' viability was obtained only after their 24 h stimulation with MEL-NPs at a high concentration ( $200 \ \mu g/mL$ ), while at lower ones ( $20 \ and 40 \ \mu g/mL$ ), a stimulatory effect was noted (Tang et al., 2022).

The third interest of this research was the intrinsic antimelanoma properties exerted by MEL-NPs that were explored using two melanogenic CM cell lines–SH-4 and B164A5. SH-4 is a human MEL-producing BRAF-mutated CM cell line formed of a mixture of spindle- and epithelial-shaped cells (https://www.atcc. org/; Bratu et al., 2020; Gambichler et al., 2023). B164A5 is a



FIGURE 8

Relative fold change expression of mRNA expression (normalized to  $\beta$ -actin) of **(A)** EMT markers (E-cadherin, Vimentin, MMP-2, and MMP-9) and **(B)** apoptosis markers (Bad, Bak, Bax, Bcl-XL) in SH-4 cells after a 24 h treatment with MEL-NPs. The data were expressed as means  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments conducted in triplicate. The statistical differences between Control and MEL-NPs-treated group were determined using the unpaired t-test (\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001; \*\*\*p < 0.001).



fibroblast-like highly-aggressive murine CM cell line capable of producing MEL in very high quantities (Danciu et al., 2013; Danciu et al., 2015), which was previously selected as an *in vitro* 

model for the investigation of the anti-tumor effects of various nanoplatforms (Soica et al., 2014; Danciu et al., 2019). According to Figure 2, MEL-NPs reduced the viability of SH-4 and B164A5 cells

## TABLE 2 Irritation score values for negative control (H2O), positive control (SLS 1%), and MEL-NPs (10, 50, and 100 $\mu g/mL).$

Sample	Irritation score (IS)	Irritant potential
Negative Control (H <sub>2</sub> O)	0.07	Non-irritant
Positive Control (SLS 1%)	19.88	Strong irritant
MEL-NPs 10 µg/mL	0.07	Non-irritant
MEL-NPs 50 µg/mL	0.34	Non-irritant
MEL-NPs 100 µg/mL	0.49	Non-irritant

in a dose-dependent trend, a significant loss of viability being obtained starting with 25  $\mu$ g/mL. Nonetheless, cytotoxicity, evidenced by a decline of viability under 70% according to the ISO Standard 10993-5:2009 (Marcovici et al., 2024), was obtained

starting with the concentration of 50  $\mu$ g/mL in CM cells compared to 100 µg/mL in healthy cutaneous cells. A previous study conducted by Nieto et al. described PDA as being an antineoplastic system by inducing cytopathic effects in BT4T4 and HCT116 cancer cells after 24, 48, and 72 h of treatment (Nieto et al., 2018), as well as to the findings of Perring and colleagues who described an increase in MO59K, RH30, RD, and U87 cancer cell death exposed to MEL-NPs for 24 h, at concentrations up to 200  $\mu$ M (Perring et al., 2018). The cytocidal properties of MEL-NPs were associated with a significant shape change in SH-4 and B164A5 cells which became round and shrinked following treatment (Figure 3). One factor ensuring the successful application of nanomaterials in biomedicine is their interactions with target cells, resulting in cytoplasmic internalization and consequent cellular responses (Kim et al., 2012; Donahue et al., 2019). After 24 h of treatment, both SH-4 and B164A5 cells presented signs of pigmentation, evidencing the ability of MEL-NPs to enter and reside within their intracellular



(A) Representative stereomicroscopic images (original and processed in IKOSA Prism) of the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) vascularization after a 24 h treatment with MEL-NPs (10, 50, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL). Control represents CAM without MEL-NPs treatment. The scale bars represent 500  $\mu$ m. (B) Quantitative analysis of the total vascular area in the CAM treated for 24 h with MEL-NPs (10, 50, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL). (C) Quantitative analysis of the number of vascular branching points in the CAM treated for 24 h with MEL-NPs (10, 50, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL). (C) Quantitative analysis of the number of vascular branching points in the CAM treated for 24 h with MEL-NPs (10, 50, and 100  $\mu$ g/mL). The quantifications were performed using the IKOSA Prism AI Cam Assay (Version 3.1.0). The statistical differences between Control and the MEL-NPs-treated groups were calculated by one-way ANOVA analysis followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons post-test (\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < 0.001).

space and adopt a specific perinuclear localization. Nonetheless, a stronger pigmentation degree was noticed in B164A5 CM cells, indicating a potential more effective accumulation of MEL-NPs compared to the SH-4 cell line. The efficacy of the initial nanoparticle-cell interactions and cellular nanoparticle uptake depends on the properties of nanoparticles such as size, morphology, aggregation state, density, and sedimentation rate, but also on the cell phenotype and cell cycle phase (Kim et al., 2012; Donahue et al., 2019), which could partially explain the differences in the ability of SH-4 and B164A5 cells to internalize MEL-NPs. Moreover, as demonstrated in preceding studies, the PDA-NPs-cell interactions are facilitated by the dopamine receptors placed within the cell membrane and is performed through caveolae- and Rab34-mediated endocytosis, these nanoplatforms presenting an increased structural integrity within the intracellular space (Liang et al., 2023). Despite the observed differences in MEL-NPs uptake, their cytotoxic potential was similar in both SH-4 and B164A5 CM cells. The potential cell death behind the anti-CM properties of MEL-NPs was another aspect of interest for this study. Considering that apoptosis is distinguished from other cell death types due to its unique morphological features such as cell shrinkage and blebbing, nuclear constriction, cleavage of chromatin into pyknotic bodies, actinomyosin ring contraction and microtubule reorganization (Povea-Cabello et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2020), the aspect of the nuclei and cytoskeletal filaments in the CM cells treated with MEL-NPs was explored. These nanoparticles were found to produce several apoptotic-like alterations in cell nuclei indicated by a visible size reduction, and a massive chromatin condensation at all tested concentrations, and changes in cytoskeletal dynamics such as tubulin and F-actin constriction associated with cell dysmorphology, rounding and blebbing (Figure 4). The study continued with an assessment of the potential ability of MEL-NPs to trigger oxidative stress in SH-4 and B164A5 CM cells considering that redox homeostasis targeting was described as an appealing therapeutic strategy for CM (Becker and Indra, 2023) and that one potential mechanism underlying the anti-tumor activity of PDA nanoparticles is ROS production (Nieto et al., 2018). The findings presented in Figure 5 indicated an increased but statistically non-significant level of ROS in both CM cell lines treated with MEL-NPs (10, 50 and 100 µg/mL) for 24 h, with higher oxidative stress being caused in B164A5 cells, which can be related to the more pronounced internalization of MEL-NPs in this cell line compared to SH-4 cells as illustrated in Figure 3. The observed double-edge properties of these nanostructures that, on one hand scavenge oxidants (Figure 1E) while on the other hand trigger oxidative stress (Figure 5), were previously explained by Liu et al. who found that PDA is redox-active and has electron-donating abilities, being able to donate electrons not only to  $O_2$  to generate ROS, but also to free radicals to quench them (Liu et al., 2019).

At the lowest non-cytotoxic concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/mL, MEL-NPs significantly suppressed the motility of both SH-4 and B164A5 CM cells, causing a reduction in migration rate following treatment (Figure 6). In a previous study, it was found that PDA-NPs associated with a mild photothermal effect hindered the migration of CM and breast cancer cells without causing cell death. The observed effects were associated with the ability of PDA-NPs to efficiently target the transmembrane MUC18 marker highly expressed in metastatic cancers and subsequently alter cytoskeletal actin

dynamics, as well as cell morphology and stiffness (Liu et al., 2021). The impaired SH-4 and B164A5 cell migratory ability caused by MEL-NPs 10 µg/mL was also accompanied by repressed clonogenic properties and inhibited colonization (Figure 7), a process described as micrometastasis formation (Werner-Klein et al., 2018), although the inhibitions were not significant compared to control. The EMT is a complex biologic process through which tumor cells lose their epithelial character, acquire a mesenchymal phenotype and consequently gain enhanced migratory and invasive capacity along with elevated resistance to apoptosis and therapy (Pedri et al., 2022). EMT is characterized by an increase in the expression of mesenchymal proteins such as vimentin and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), as well as a loss in the expression of proteins involved in epithelial integrity maintenance (e.g., E-cadherin, Occludins, Claudins, etc.) (Pearlman et al., 2017; Dongre and Weinberg, 2019). Its contribution to melanoma aggressiveness has been extensively documented up to date, therefore becoming a potential therapeutic target for diminishing its invasion and metastasis formation abilities (Pearlman et al., 2017). As presented in Figure 8, after a 24 h treatment of SH-4 CM cells, MEL-NPs 10 µg/mL modulated the mRNA expressions of several markers involved in EMT by significantly elevating E-cadherin and inhibiting vimentin, MMP-2 and MMP-9. E-cadherin represents a well-studied type-I classical cadherin and a strong tumor suppressor which plays a crucial role in maintaining the cell epithelial phenotype (Loh et al., 2019), its loss of expression in melanoma cells being associated with increased proliferation and mobility (D'arcy and Kiel, 2021). Vimentin is a key EMT biomarker present in mesenchymal cells and usually overexpressed during cancer metastasis (Usman et al., 2021), while MMP-2 and MMP-9 are peptidases involved in extracellular matrix remodeling and tumor invasive processes, favoring melanoma spreading and metastasis (Napoli et al., 2020). A recently published study reported the development of N-cadherin targeted MEL-NPs able to reverse the EMT in vascular endothelial cells and thus potentially slow cancer progression (Liu et al., 2024). According to the results from Figure 8, at a high and cytotoxic concentration (50 µg/mL), MEL-NPs were also found to trigger apoptosis by increasing pro-apoptotic Bad, Bak, and Bax and suppressing anti-apoptotic Bcl-XL, specific biomarkers belonging to the B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) superfamily of proteins that regulates the intrinsic mitochondrial apoptosis pathway and is highly dysfunctional in different human cancers, including melanoma (Coricovac et al., 2021). However, statistical significance was obtained only in the case of Bad and Bcl-XL markers. This apoptosis-inducing effect of MEL-NPs relates to previous findings on the cell death mechanisms of MEL in cancer cells. For instance, Al-Obeed et al. previously showed the proapoptotic effect of herbal MEL in colorectal carcinoma cells which was associated with increased cytochrome c release, inhibition of Bcl-2 proteins and Caspase -3/-7 activation (Al-Obeed et al., 2020).

The last point of interest in the pharmaco-toxicological screening of MEL-NPs was the *in ovo* evaluation of their potential vascular toxicity and angio-inhibitory effect using the CAM, a vascularized extraembryonic membrane with versatile applications in the evaluation of nanomaterials in terms of mucosal irritant potential and impact on vascularization, among others (Buhr et al., 2020). As presented in Figure 9 and Table 2, at the concentrations of 10, 50, and

100 µg/mL, MEL-NPs lacked irritant activity on the CAM, inducing no significant alterations in the structure of the blood vessels and presenting an IS score lower than 0.9. Angiogenesis, the complex process leading to the formation of new blood vessels, is crucial for the occurrence and development of CM that requires a sustained supply of nutrients and oxygen to grow. Therefore, angiogenesis inhibition using anti-angiogenic drugs has emerged as a potential therapeutic measure for CM (Wu et al., 2022a). As highlighted in Figure 10, MEL-NPs exerted an angiostatic effect in ovo by suppressing CAM neovascularization, significant reductions in the total vascular area (to 87.78% and 84%) and number of vascular branching points (to 86.65% and 74.78%) being obtained at 50 and 100 µg/mL. By investigating similar concentrations as the ones tested herein, namely 25, 50, and 100 µg/mL, a recent study has demonstrated the ability of PDA-PEG nanoparticles to inhibit osteoclast-related angiogenesis, and block blood vessel formation in vivo (Wu et al., 2022b).

Although the present study provided novel perspectives on the innate anti-tumor properties of MEL-NPs describing their promising application in CM treatment, some limitations should be considered in future studies. On one hand, the pro-apoptotic effect of MEL-NPs in CM cells was assessed by evaluating the specific hallmarks of apoptosis such as nuclear and cytoskeletal morphological changes and the expression of apoptosis markers, while additional methods are necessary to fully capture the complexity of the cell death underlying the observed effects of MEL-NPs in CM cells. On the other hand, the full understanding of the potential utilization of MEL-NPs in CM treatment in terms of safety and efficacy should be further extended by validating these *in vitro* and *in ovo* findings through *in vivo* studies.

## 5 Conclusion

Built upon previous contributions, this study furthers the current understanding on the anti-tumor activity of MEL-NPs and their utilization in cancer therapy, opening a new avenue for the therapeutic approach of CM by redirecting the applications of MEL-NPs towards the management of skin malignancies. The findings related herein show that MEL-NPs selectively target CM cells, while presenting a proper, but concentration-dependent also cytocompatibility in healthy cutaneous cells, illustrate the specific intracellular localization of MEL-NPs in the perinuclear area of CM cell lines, and demonstrate the innate anti-melanoma activity of MEL-NPs which was correlated to their ability to trigger apoptosis-related cytotoxicity, cause oxidative stress and block cell motility by targeting the EMT. The conducted in ovo screenings also classified MEL-NPs as a non-irritant nanoplatform with angio-inhibitory properties.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### **Ethics statement**

Ethical approval was not required for the studies on humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used. Ethical approval was not required for the study involving animals in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because the study was conducted using commercialized cell lines as *in vitro* models and the chick chorioallantoic membrane as in ovo model (up to the 14th day of embryonic development) which can be used during experiments without ethical approval.

### Author contributions

IM: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Writing original draft. RC: Conceptualization, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review and editing. IZ: Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review and editing. IuP: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing - review and editing. AM: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - original draft. AL: Data curation, Investigation, Software, Writing - original draft. LB-T: Data curation, Software, Writing - original draft. AG: Data curation, Software, Writing - original draft. AG: Data curation, Software, Writing - original draft. IaP: Data curation, Software, Writing - original draft. IaP: Data curation, Software, Writing - original draft. CD: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Supervision, Validation, Writing - review and editing.

### Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This resesearch received no external funding. The authors would like to acknowledge the "Victor Babes" University of Medicine and Pharmacy from Timisoara for covering the publication costs for this paper.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### References

Al-Obeed, O., El-Obeid, A. S., Matou-Nasri, S., Vaali-Mohammed, M. A., Alhaidan, Y., Elwatidy, M., et al. (2020). Herbal melanin inhibits colorectal cancer cell proliferation by altering redox balance, inducing apoptosis, and modulating MAPK signaling. *Cancer Cell Int.* 20, 126–217. doi:10.1186/s12935-020-01206-x

Aventurado, C. A., Billones, J. B., Vasquez, R. D., and Castillo, A. L. (2020). In ovo and *in silico* evaluation of the anti-angiogenic potential of syringin. *Drug Des. Devel. Ther.* 14, 5189–5204. doi:10.2147/DDDT.S271952

Becker, A. L., and Indra, A. K. (2023). Oxidative stress in melanoma: beneficial antioxidant and pro-oxidant therapeutic strategies. *Cancers (Basel)* 15, 3038–3118. doi:10.3390/cancers15113038

Blanchard, G., Pich, C., and Hohl, D. (2022). HaCaT cells as a model system to study primary cilia in keratinocytes. *Exp. Dermatol.* 31, 1276–1280. doi:10.1111/exd.14626

Bratu, L. M., Marcovici, I., Macasoi, I., Manea, A., Niculescu, B., Olaru, F., et al. (2022). *In vitro* insights regarding the role of melanin in melanoma cells' response to betulinic acid treatment. *Farmacia* 70, 8–16. doi:10.31925/farmacia.2022.1.2

Bratu, T., Berceanu, M., Coricovac, D., Crainiceanu, Z., Gyori, Z., Roman, A., et al. (2020). Melanin quantification by chemical methods in healthy and melanoma cell lines correlated with the importance in the development of melanoma. *Rev. Chim.* 71, 430–437. doi:10.37358/RC.20.4.8084

Buhr, C. R., Wiesmann, N., Tanner, R. C., Brieger, J., and Eckrich, J. (2020). The chorioallantoic membrane assay in nanotoxicological research—an alternative for *in vivo* experimentation. *Nanomaterials* 10, 2328–2416. doi:10.3390/nano10122328

Burns, D., George, J., Aucoin, D., Bower, J., Burrell, S., Gilbert, R., et al. (2019). The pathogenesis and clinical management of cutaneous melanoma: an evidence-based review. J. Med. Imaging Radiat. Sci. 50, 460–469.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jmir.2019.05.001

Cabaço, L. C., Tomás, A., Pojo, M., and Barral, D. C. (2022). The dark side of melanin secretion in cutaneous melanoma aggressiveness. *Front. Oncol.* 12, 887366–887416. doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.887366

Caldas, M., Santos, A. C., Veiga, F., Rebelo, R., Reis, R. L., and Correlo, V. M. (2020). Melanin nanoparticles as a promising tool for biomedical applications – a review. *Acta Biomater.* 105, 26–43. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2020.01.044

Cassano, R., Cuconato, M., Calviello, G., Serini, S., and Trombino, S. (2021). Recent advances in nanotechnology for the treatment of melanoma. *Molecules* 26, 785. doi:10. 3390/molecules26040785

Chen, J., Shao, R., Zhang, X. D., and Chen, C. (2013). Applications of nanotechnology for melanoma treatment, diagnosis, and theranostics. *Int. J. Nanomedicine* 8, 2677–2688. doi:10.2147/IJN.S45429

Chen, X., Yang, W., Zhang, J., Zhang, L., Shen, H., and Shi, D. (2021). Alkalinity triggered the degradation of polydopamine nanoparticles. *Polym. Bull.* 78, 4439–4452. doi:10.1007/s00289-020-03312-2

Colombo, I., Sangiovanni, E., Maggio, R., Mattozzi, C., Zava, S., Corbett, Y., et al. (2017). HaCaT cells as a reliable *in vitro* differentiation model to dissect the inflammatory/repair response of human keratinocytes. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 2017, 7435621. doi:10.1155/2017/7435621

Conforti, C., and Zalaudek, I. (2021). Epidemiology and risk factors of melanoma: a review. *Dermatol. Pract. Concept.* 11, 2021161S. doi:10.5826/dpc.11s1a161s

Coricovac, D., Dehelean, C., Moaca, E. A., Pinzaru, I., Bratu, T., Navolan, D., et al. (2018). Cutaneous melanoma-a long road from experimental models to clinical outcome: a review. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19, 1566–1618. doi:10.3390/ijms19061566

Coricovac, D., Dehelean, C. A., Pinzaru, I., Mioc, A., Aburel, O. M., Macasoi, I., et al. (2021). Assessment of betulinic acid cytotoxicity and mitochondrial metabolism impairment in a human melanoma cell line. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 4870. doi:10.3390/ jms22094870

Danciu, C., Falamas, A., Dehelean, C., Soica, C., Radeke, H., Barbu-Tudoran, L., et al. (2013). A characterization of four B16 murine melanoma cell sublines molecular fingerprint and proliferation behavior. *Cancer Cell Int.* 13, 75–12. doi:10.1186/1475-2867-13-75

Danciu, C., Oprean, C., Coricovac, D. E., Andreea, C., Cimpean, A., Radeke, H., et al. (2015). Behaviour of four different B16 murine melanoma cell sublines: C57BL/6J skin. *Int. J. Exp. Pathol.* 96, 73–80. doi:10.1111/iep.12114

Danciu, C., Pinzaru, I., Coricovac, D., Andrica, F., Sizemore, I., Dehelean, C., et al. (2019). Betulin silver nanoparticles qualify as efficient antimelanoma agents in *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 134, 1–19. doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2018.11.006

D'arcy, C., and Kiel, C. (2021). Cell adhesion molecules in normal skin and melanoma. *Biomolecules* 11, 1213. doi:10.3390/biom11081213

Davey, M. G., Miller, N., and McInerney, N. M. (2021). A review of epidemiology and cancer biology of malignant melanoma. *Cureus* 13, e15087. doi:10.7759/cureus.15087

Dhanyamraju, P. K., and Patel, T. N. (2022). Melanoma therapeutics: a literature review. J. Biomed. Res. 36, 77–97. doi:10.7555/JBR.36.20210163

Donahue, N. D., Acar, H., and Wilhelm, S. (2019). Concepts of nanoparticle cellular uptake, intracellular trafficking, and kinetics in nanomedicine. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 143, 68–96. doi:10.1016/j.addr.2019.04.008

Dongre, A., and Weinberg, R. A. (2019). New insights into the mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and implications for cancer. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 20, 69–84. doi:10.1038/s41580-018-0080-4

El-Obeid, A., Alajmi, H., Harbi, M., Yahya, W. B., Al-Eidi, H., Alaujan, M., et al. (2020). Distinct anti-proliferative effects of herbal melanin on human acute monocytic leukemia thp-1 cells and embryonic kidney hek293 cells. *BMC Complement. Med. Ther.* 20, 154–212. doi:10.1186/s12906-020-02944-1

Gambichler, T., Harnischfeger, F., Skrygan, M., Majchrzak-Stiller, B., Buchholz, M., Müller, T., et al. (2023). *In vitro* experiments on the effects of GP-2250 on BRAFmutated melanoma cell lines and benign melanocytes. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24, 15336. doi:10. 3390/ijms242015336

Han, L., Yuan, B., Shimada, R., Hayashi, H., Si, N., Zhao, H-Y., et al. (2022). Cytocidal effects of arenobufagin and hellebrigenin, two active bufadienolide compounds, against human glioblastoma cell line U-87. *Int. J. Oncol.* 53 (6), 2488–2502. doi:10.3892/ijo.2018.4567

Ju, K. Y., Lee, Y., Lee, S., Park, S. B., and Lee, J. K. (2011). Bioinspired polymerization of dopamine to generate melanin-like nanoparticles having an excellent free-radical-scavenging property. *Biomacromolecules* 12, 625–632. doi:10.1021/bm101281b

Kim, J. A., Aberg, C., Salvati, A., and Dawson, K. A. (2012). Role of cell cycle on the cellular uptake and dilution of nanoparticles in a cell population. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 7, 62–68. doi:10.1038/nnano.2011.191

Kis, A. M., Macasoi, I., Paul, C., Radulescu, M., Buzatu, R., Watz, C. G., et al. (2022). Methotrexate and cetuximab—biological impact on non-tumorigenic models: *in vitro* and in ovo assessments. *Med* 58, 167. doi:10.3390/medicina58020167

Kohri, M. (2019). Artificial melanin particles: new building blocks for biomimetic structural coloration. *Polym. J.* 51, 1127–1135. doi:10.1038/s41428-019-0231-2

Lemaster, J. E., Jeevarathinam, A. S., Kumar, A., Chandrasekar, B., Chen, F., and Jokerst, J. V. (2019). Synthesis of ultrasmall synthetic melanin nanoparticles by UV irradiation in acidic and neutral conditions. *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.* 2, 4667–4674. doi:10. 1021/acsabm.9b00747

Leonardi, G. C., Falzone, L., Salemi, R., Zanghi, A., Spandidos, D. A., Mccubrey, J. A., et al. (2018). Cutaneous melanoma: from pathogenesis to therapy (Review). *Int. J. Oncol.* 52, 1071–1080. doi:10.3892/ijo.2018.4287

Li, Z., Wang, B., Zhang, Z., Wang, B., Xu, Q., Mao, W., et al. (2018). Radionuclide imaging-guided chemo-radioisotope synergistic therapy using a 1311-labeled polydopamine multifunctional nanocarrier. *Mol. Ther.* 26, 1385–1393. doi:10.1016/j. ymthe.2018.02.019

Liang, Z., He, Y., Ieong, C. S., and Choi, C. H. J. (2023). Cell–nano interactions of polydopamine nanoparticles. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 84, 103013. doi:10.1016/j.copbio. 2023.103013

Liu, H., Qu, X., Tan, H., Song, J., Lei, M., Kim, E., et al. (2019). Role of polydopamine's redox-activity on its pro-oxidant, radical-scavenging, and antimicrobial activities. *Acta Biomater.* 88, 181–196. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2019.02.032

Liu, H., Yang, Y., Liu, Y., Pan, J., Wang, J., Man, F., et al. (2020). Melanin-like nanomaterials for advanced biomedical applications: a versatile platform with extraordinary promise. *Adv. Sci.* 7, 1903129. doi:10.1002/advs.201903129

Liu, J., Kang, L., Smith, S., and Wang, C. (2021). Transmembrane MUC18 targeted polydopamine nanoparticles and a mild photothermal effect synergistically disrupt actin cytoskeleton and migration of cancer cells. *Nano Lett.* 21, 9609–9618. doi:10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c03377

Liu, J., Yu, X., Braucht, A., Smith, S., and Wang, C. (2024). N-cadherin targeted melanin nanoparticles reverse the endothelial-mesenchymal transition in vascular endothelial cells to potentially slow the progression of atherosclerosis and cancer. *ACS Nano* 18, 8229–8247. doi:10.1021/acsnano.3c12281

Loh, C. Y., Chai, J. Y., Tang, T. F., Wong, W. F., Sethi, G., Shanmugam, M. K., et al. (2019). The E-cadherin and N-cadherin switch in epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition: signaling, therapeutic implications, and challenges. *Cells* 8, 1118. doi:10.3390/cells8101118

Lou, X., Hu, Y., Zhang, H., Liu, J., and Zhao, Y. (2021). Polydopamine nanoparticles attenuate retina ganglion cell degeneration and restore visual function after optic nerve injury. *J. Nanobiotechnology* 19, 436–516. doi:10.1186/s12951-021-01199-3

Madkhali, N., Alqahtani, H. R., Al-Terary, S., Laref, A., and Hassib, A. (2019). Control of optical absorption and fluorescence spectroscopies of natural melanin at different solution concentrations. *Opt. Quantum Electron.* 51, 227–313. doi:10.1007/s11082-019-1936-3

Mansour, R. B., Ksouri, W. M., Cluzet, S., Krisa, S., Richard, T., and Ksouri, R. (2016). Assessment of antioxidant activity and neuroprotective capacity on PC12 cell line of Frankenia thymifolia and related phenolic LC-MS/MS identification. *Evidence-based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2016, 2843463. doi:10.1155/2016/2843463

Marcovici, I., Coricovac, D., Pinzaru, I., Macasoi, I. G., Popescu, R., Chioibas, R., et al. (2022). Melanin and melanin-functionalized nanoparticles as promising tools in cancer research—a review. *Cancers (Basel)* 14, 1838. doi:10.3390/cancers14071838

Marcovici, I., Vlad, D., Buzatu, R., Popovici, R. A., Cosoroaba, R. M., Chioibas, R., et al. (2024). Rutin linoleate triggers oxidative stress-mediated cytoplasmic vacuolation in non-small cell lung cancer cells. *Life* 14, 215. doi:10.3390/life14020215

Mavridi-Printezi, A., Guernelli, M., Menichetti, A., and Montalti, M. (2020). Bioapplications of multifunctional melanin nanoparticles: from nanomedicine to nanocosmetics. *Nanomaterials* 10, 2276. doi:10.3390/nano10112276

Moacă, E. A., Watz, C., Faur, A. C., Lazăr, D., Socoliuc, V., Păcurariu, C., et al. (2023). Biologic impact of green synthetized magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles on two different lung tumorigenic monolayers and a 3D normal bronchial model—EpiAirwayTM microtissue. *Pharmaceutics* 15, 2. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics15010002

Moreiras, H., Seabra, M. C., and Barral, D. C. (2021). Melanin transfer in the epidermis: the pursuit of skin pigmentation control mechanisms. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 4466. doi:10.3390/ijms22094466

Mostert, A. B. (2021). Melanin, the what, the why and the how: an introductory review for materials scientists interested in flexible and versatile polymers. *Polym. (Basel)* 13, 1670. doi:10.3390/polym13101670

Napoli, S., Scuderi, C., Gattuso, G., Di Bella, V., Candido, S., Basile, M. S., et al. (2020). Functional roles of matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in melanoma. *Cells* 9, 1151–1223. doi:10.3390/cells9051151

Nieto, C., Vega, M. A., Marcelo, G., and Martín Del Valle, E. M. (2018). Polydopamine nanoparticles kill cancer cells. RSC Adv. 8, 36201–36208. doi:10.1039/c8ra05586f

Öberg, F., Haseeb, A., Ahnfelt, M., Pontén, F., Westermark, B., and El-Obeid, A. (2009). Herbal melanin activates TLR4/NF-kappaB signaling pathway. *Phytomedicine* 16, 477–484. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2008.10.008

Parveen, S., and Nadumane, V. K. (2020). Anti-angiogenesis and apoptogenic potential of the brown marine alga, Chnoospora minima. *Futur. J. Pharm. Sci.* 6, 19. doi:10.1186/s43094-020-00039-9

Pearlman, R. L., Montes de Oca, M. K., Pal, H. C., and Afaq, F. (2017). Potential therapeutic targets of epithelial-mesenchymal transition in melanoma. *Cancer Lett.* 391, 125–140. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2017.01.029

Pedri, D., Karras, P., Landeloos, E., Marine, J. C., and Rambow, F. (2022). Epithelialto-mesenchymal-like transition events in melanoma. *FEBS J.* 289, 1352–1368. doi:10. 1111/febs.16021

Perring, J., Crawshay-Williams, F., Huang, C., and Townley, H. E. (2018). Bioinspired melanin nanoparticles induce cancer cell death by iron adsorption. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* 29, 181. doi:10.1007/s10856-018-6190-x

Pizzimenti, S., Ribero, S., Cucci, M. A., Grattarola, M., Monge, C., Dianzani, C., et al. (2021). Oxidative stress-related mechanisms in melanoma and in the acquired resistance to targeted therapies. *Antioxidants* 10, 1942. doi:10.3390/antiox10121942

Povea-Cabello, S., Oropesa-Ávila, M., de la Cruz-Ojeda, P., Villanueva-Paz, M., De La Mata, M., Suárez-Rivero, J. M., et al. (2017). Dynamic reorganization of the cytoskeleton during apoptosis: the two coffins hypothesis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18, 2393. doi:10.3390/ ijms18112393

Qi, C., Fu, L. H., Xu, H., Wang, T. F., Lin, J., and Huang, P. (2019). Melanin/ polydopamine-based nanomaterials for biomedical applications. *Sci. China Chem.* 62, 162–188. doi:10.1007/s11426-018-9392-6

Racea, R. C., Macasoi, I. G., Dinu, S., Pinzaru, I., Marcovici, I., Dehelean, C., et al. (2023). Eugenol: *in vitro* and in ovo assessment to explore cytotoxic effects on osteosarcoma and oropharyngeal cancer cells. *Plants* 12, 3549. doi:10.3390/ plants12203549

Rednic, R., Macasoi, I., Pinzaru, I., Dehelean, C. A., Tomescu, M. C., Susan, M., et al. (2022). Pharmaco-toxicological assessment of the combined cytotoxic effects of digoxin and betulinic acid in melanoma cells. *Life* 12, 1855. doi:10.3390/life12111855

Rudrappa, M., Nayaka, S., and Kumar, R. S. (2023). *In silico* molecular docking approach of melanin against melanoma causing MITF proteins and anticancer, oxidation–reduction, photoprotection, and drug-binding affinity properties of extracted melanin from streptomyces sp. strain MR28. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 195, 4368–4386. doi:10.1007/s12010-023-04358-4

Sarna, M., Krzykawska-Serda, M., Jakubowska, M., Zadlo, A., and Urbanska, K. (2019). Melanin presence inhibits melanoma cell spread in mice in a unique mechanical fashion. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 9280–9289. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-45643-9

Sarna, M., Zadlo, A., Czuba-Pelech, B., and Urbanska, K. (2018). Nanomechanical phenotype of melanoma cells depends solely on the amount of endogenous pigment in the cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19, 607. doi:10.3390/ijms19020607

Semenescu, A. D., Moacă, E. A., Iftode, A., Dehelean, C. A., Tchiakpe-Antal, D. S., Vlase, L., et al. (2023). Phytochemical and nutraceutical screening of ethanol and ethyl acetate phases of Romanian galium verum herba (rubiaceae). *Molecules* 28, 7804. doi:10. 3390/molecules28237804

Simu, S., Marcovici, I., Dobrescu, A., Malita, D., Dehelean, C. A., Coricovac, D., et al. (2021). Insights into the behavior of triple-negative MDA-MB-231 breast carcinoma cells following the treatment with 17 $\beta$ -ethinylestradiol and levonorgestrel. *Molecules* 26, 2776–2815. doi:10.3390/molecules26092776

Slominski, R. M., Sarna, T., Płonka, P. M., Raman, C., Brożyna, A. A., and Slominski, A. T. (2022). Melanoma, melanin, and melanogenesis: the yin and Yang relationship. *Front. Oncol.* 12, 842496–842518. doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.842496

Soica, C., Danciu, C., Savoiu-Balint, G., Borcan, F., Ambrus, R., Zupko, I., et al. (2014). Betulinic acid in complex with a gamma-cyclodextrin derivative decreases proliferation and *in vivo* tumor development of non-metastatic and metastatic B164A5 cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 15, 8235–8255. doi:10.3390/ijms15058235

Solano, F. (2020). Photoprotection and skin pigmentation: melanin-related molecules and some other new agents obtained from natural sources. *Molecules* 25, 1537–1618. doi:10.3390/molecules25071537

Tang, C., Luo, J., Yan, X., Huang, Q., Huang, Z., Luo, Q., et al. (2022). Melanin nanoparticles enhance the neuroprotection of mesenchymal stem cells against hypoxic-ischemic injury by inhibiting apoptosis and upregulating antioxidant defense. *Cell Biol. Int.* 46, 933–946. doi:10.1002/cbin.11781

Tewari-Singh, N., Gu, M., Agarwal, C., White, C. W., and Agarwal, R. (2010). Biological and molecular mechanisms of sulfur mustard analogue-induced toxicity in JB6 and HaCaT cells: possible role of ataxia telangiectasia-mutated/ataxia telangiectasia-Rad3-related cell cycle checkpoint pathway. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 23, 1034–1044. doi:10.1021/tx100038b

Usman, S., Waseem, N. H., Nguyen, T. K. N., Mohsin, S., Jamal, A., Teh, M. T., et al. (2021). Vimentin is at the heart of epithelial mesenchymal transition (Emt) mediated metastasis. *Cancers (Basel)* 13, 4985–5026. doi:10.3390/cancers13194985

Werner-Klein, M., Scheitler, S., Hoffmann, M., Hodak, I., Dietz, K., Lehnert, P., et al. (2018). Genetic alterations driving metastatic colony formation are acquired outside of the primary tumour in melanoma. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 595–617. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02674-y

Wu, Z., Bian, Y., Chu, T., Wang, Y., Man, S., Song, Y., et al. (2022a). The role of angiogenesis in melanoma: clinical treatments and future expectations. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 1028647–1028716. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.1028647

Wu, Z., Yuan, K., Zhang, Q., Guo, J. J., Yang, H., and Zhou, F. (2022b). Antioxidant PDA-PEG nanoparticles alleviate early osteoarthritis by inhibiting osteoclastogenesis and angiogenesis in subchondral bone. *J. Nanobiotechnology* 20, 479–517. doi:10.1186/s12951-022-01697-y

Yan, G., Elbadawi, M., and Efferth, T. (2020). Multiple cell death modalities and their key features (Review). *World Acad. Sci. J.* 2, 39–48. doi:10.3892/wasj.2020.40

Yang, P., Gu, Z., Zhu, F., and Li, Y. (2020). Structural and functional tailoring of melanin-like polydopamine radical scavengers. CCS Chem. 2, 128–138. doi:10.31635/ ccschem.020.201900077

Zheng, Y., Cao, T., Han, X., Cao, P., and Zhan, Q. (2022). Structurally diverse polydopamine-based nanomedicines for cancer therapy. *Acta Mater. Medica* 1, 427–444. doi:10.15212/amm-2022-0023

18