Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Narayan Prasad, Sanjay Gandhi Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences (SGPGI), India

REVIEWED BY Diogo B. Peruchetti, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil Wangbin Wu, University of Nebraska Medical Center, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Yan Wang, wanyan4127@bjhmoh.cn

RECEIVED 12 October 2024 ACCEPTED 09 June 2025 PUBLISHED 24 June 2025

CITATION

Zheng L, Gu X, Liu M, Fu C and Wang Y (2025) Effectiveness and safety of Shengxuening for treatment of renal anemia: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 16:1510227. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2025.1510227

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zheng, Gu, Liu, Fu and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effectiveness and safety of Shengxuening for treatment of renal anemia: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis

Li Zheng¹, Xiaotong Gu¹, Ming Liu², Changhai Fu³ and Yan Wang⁴*

¹Department of Pharmacy, China Aerospace Science and Industry Corporation 731 Hospital, Beijing, China, ²Evidence-Based Medicine Center, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, China, ³Department of Nephrology, China Aerospace Science and Industry Corporation, Beijing, China, ⁴Department of Nephrology, China Aerospace Science and Industry Corporation 731 Hospital, Beijing, China

Aim: We aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of Shengxuening (SXN) in treating renal anemia by systematic review and meta-analysis.

Methods: PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane Library, the ClinicalTrials.gov, SinoMed, the China Knowledge Network, the Wanfang Data Knowledge Service Platform and Technology Journal Database were searched from inception to September 2024 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that compared SXN and other drugs or placebo in treating renal anemia. We used the Cochrane Bias Risk Tool to evaluate the risk of bias of all included RCTs, and used the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) to evaluate the certainty of the evidence.

Results: 31 RCTs involving 2,372 patients were included. The efficiency of SXN was superior than the control group (included placebo group, other drugs group) in improving hemoglobin (compared with placebo: MD: 7.15 g/L, 95% CI: 5.68-8.62, P < 0.001, GRADE: high; compared with other drugs: MD: 6.49 g/ L, 95% CI: 3.50-9.47, P < 0.001, GRADE: very low), serum ferritin (MD: 57.53 ng/ mL, 95% CI: 29.70-85.36, P < 0.001, GRADE: moderate; MD: 28.96 ng/mL, 95% CI: 1.88–56.04, P = 0.04, GRADE: moderate) and transferrin saturation level (MD: 7.00%, 95% CI: 3.40-10.60, P = 0.0001, GRADE: moderate; MD: 3.64%, 95% CI: 1.41-5.88, P = 0.001, GRADE: moderate). Besides, the efficiency of SXN combined with other drugs was superior than other drugs group in improving hemoglobin (MD: 11.95 g/L, 95% CI: 6.19-17.71, P < 0.001, GRADE: moderate), serum ferritin (MD: 53.43 ng/mL, 95% CI: 20.65-86.21, P = 0.001, GRADE: moderate) and transferrin saturation level (MD: 5.91%, 95% CI: 3.72-8.10, P < 0.001, GRADE: moderate). Additionally, the incidence of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) in the SXN group was lower than that in other drugs treatment group (OR: 0.20, 95%CI: 0.12-0.33, P < 0.00001).

Conclusion: The efficacy of SXN in treating renal anemia is convincing. Compared with other drugs, SXN is comparable or even better in treating renal anemia. Additionally, the safety of SXN is also relatively high.

KEYWORDS

renal anemia, Shengxuening, systematic review, meta-analysis, GRADE

1 Introduction

Renal anemia is a prevalent and serious complication of chronic kidney disease (CKD) (Haalen et al., 2020). It is strongly correlates with a significant adverse outcome on the burden and progression of the CKD, and has a strong negative impact on quality of life, hospitalization rates, major adverse cardiovascular events and mortality (Palaka et al., 2020; Taderegew et al., 2023; Habas et al., 2023). The causes of renal anemia include erythropoietin (EPO) deficiency, iron deficiency, disorder of iron metabolism in the body, and resistance to the EPO signaling pathway (Haase, 2017). Absolute or relative deficiency of EPO is the main factor leading to anemia.

Shengxuening (SXN) extracted from nontoxic silkworm excrement is an effective heme-like iron supplement which has hematopoiesis effects on patients with renal anemia and is widely used for the treatment of renal anemia (Ding et al., 2019; Zeng et al., 2020). Its constituents, sodium iron chlorophyllin, can improve iron metabolism, stimulate erythropoiesis in the bone and protect human cells from oxidative stress (Ding et al., 2019). Some clinical studies have indicated that SXN was more effective than oral iron supplementation in the treating renal anemia (Zeng et al., 2020; Zhang L. et al., 2016). The reported of Cheng X et al. (Cheng et al., 2016) showed that SXN combined with EPO was safe and effective in patients with renal anemia.

In the past few years, there has been a growing body of clinical evidence supporting the beneficial effects of SXN in the treatment of renal anemia. However, there is currently a lack of a meta-analysis that combines and analyzes all the available randomized controlled trials (RCTs). So we conducted a systematic review and metaanalysis to explore the effectiveness and safety of SXN in treating renal anemia, and provide evidence for the treatment of renal anemia patients.



TABLE 1 The detailed characteristics of the included RCTs.

Comparation	First author	Publication year	Type of patients	Group	Age	No.	Intervation	Duratio (weeks
SXN VS other drug	Sun et al. (2022)	2022	DD	Intervation	65.45 ± 11.38	40	SXN	12
				Control	66.31 ± 12.62	40	Ferrlecit 2	-
	Zhong et al. (2020)	2020	DD	Intervation	55.68 ± 13.28	38	SXN	12
				Control	50.33 ± 13.25	38	Iron Dextran	
	Tang et al. (2020)	2020	DD	Intervation	58.6 ± 13.4	47	SXN	12
				Control	56.9 ± 10.7	47	Ferrlecit 2	
	Tang et al. (2019)	2019	NDD	Intervation	58.9 ± 14.5	53	SXN	12
				Control	55.1 ± 14.7	41	Ferrlecit 2	
	Shi (2019)	2019	DD	Intervation	47.0 ± 18	15	SXN	8
				Control	49.5 ± 21.5	15	Ferrous Saccharose	
	Xu et al. (2018)	2018	NDD	Intervation	59.3 ± 17.2	35	SXN	12
				Control	56.8 ± 15.7	35	Ferrlecit 2	
	Fan et al. (2017)	2017	DD	Intervation	40.24 ± 17.76	38	SXN	8
				Control	41.37 ± 17.02	34	Ferrous fumarate	-
	Zhou et al. (2016a)	2016	DD	Intervation	45.38 ± 9.09	32	SXN	16
				Control	46.06 ± 9.83	32	Ferrlecit 2	
	Zhou et al. (2016b)	2016	DD	Intervation	54.8 ± 3.6	67	SXN	12
				Control	55.3 ± 4.3	67	Iron Dextran	
	Guo (2015)	2015	NDD	Intervation	45.3 ± 19.5	29	SXN	12
				Control	-	29	Ferrous fumarate	
	Zhang and Xu	2015	DD	Intervation	45.5 ± 9.22	30	SXN	12
	(2015)			Control	NA	30	Ferrlecit 2	
	Liu et al. (2015)	2015	DD	Intervation	45.9 ± 18.6	32	SXN	12
				Control	47.1 ± 10.7	32	Ferrlecit 2	
	Wang et al. (2013)	2013	DD	Intervation	45.4 ± 9.2	21	SXN	16
				Control	-	21	Ferrous Sulfate and Vitamin Complex Sustained-release Tablets	
	Caixia (2013)	2013	DD	Intervation	52.7 ± 11.3	30	SXN	12
				Control	-	30	Ferrlecit 2	ł
	Long et al. (2012)	2013	NDD	Intervation	54.2 ± 12	34	SXN	12
				Control	51.6 ± 13.8	34	Ferrous Sulfate	ł
	Tan et al. (2013)	2013	NA	Intervation	52.7 ± 11.4	58	SXN	12
				Control		58	Ferrous Sulfate	
	Zhang et al. (2010)	2010	NDD	Intervation	37.6 ± 16.4	50	SXN	4

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 1 (Continued) The detailed characteristics of the included RCTs.

Comparation	First author	Publication year	Type of patients	Group	Age	No.	Intervation	Duration (weeks)	
				Control	42.3 ± 13.5	50	ferrous lactate		
	Zhou (2009)	2009	DD	Intervation	NA	77	SXN	8	
				Control	NA	77	Iron Dextran		
	Li (2009)	2009	NDD	Intervation	51 ± 3	34	SXN	12	
				Control		34	Ferrous Sulfate		
SXN VS Placebo	Zeng and Guo	2022	DD	Intervation	55.92 ± 4.23	39	SXN	12	
	(2022)			Control	55.86 ± 4.17	39	Placebo	-	
	Cheng et al. (2016)	2016	DD	Intervation	64.2 ± 6.9	38	SXN	24	
				Control	63.5 ± 6.7	34	Placebo		
	Zhang et al.	2016	DD	Intervation	48.0 ± 10.9	21	SXN	12	
	(2016b)			Control	47.3 ± 10.8	23	Placebo		
	Xu (2012)	2012	DD	Intervation	55.6 ± 11.1	22	SXN	12	
				Control	-	22	Placebo	-	
	Tang (2011)	2011	DD	Intervation	52.6 ± 10.5	18	SXN	8	
				Control	-	18	Placebo		
SXN + other drug VS other	Tang (2023)	2023	NA	Intervation	55.10 ± 4.45	40	SXN + Roxadustat	12	
drug				Control	55.19 ± 4.42	40	Roxadustat		
	Liu et al. (2022)	2022	NA	Intervation	51.22 ± 2.27	49	SXN + Roxadustat	12	
				Control	51.24 ± 2.21	50	Roxadustat		
	Huang et al. (2022)	2022	NA	Intervation	40.12 ± 2.60	30	SXN + Roxadustat	8	
				Control	40.16 ± 2.63	30	Roxadustat		
	Li et al. (2021)	2021	NDD	Intervation	46.5 ± 13.2	49	SXN + Ferrlecit 2	12	
				Control	42.3 ± 10.5	50	Ferrlecit 2		
	Kang and Liang (2020)	2020	NDD	Intervation	46 ± 10.6	28	SXN + Iron Polysaccharide Complex Capsules	4	
				Control	45 ± 9.4	26	Iron Polysaccharide Complex Capsules	-	
	Zeng and Qu (2016)	2016	DD	Intervation	52.35 ± 9.86	47	SXN + Levocarnitine Oral Solution	12	
				Control	52.37 ± 9.88	47	Levocarnitine Oral Solution		
	Yi et al. (2015)	2015	NDD	Intervation	52.84 ± 11.5	58	SXN + Iron Polysaccharide Complex Capsules	NA	
				Control	52.1 ± 14.0	50	Iron Polysaccharide Complex Capsules	1	

2 Methods

This meta-analysis was preferred according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) and Cochrane Handbook (Moher et al., 2009). We registered the review prospectively in Open Science Frameworks, https://osf.io/qryds/.

2.1 Search strategy

We searched PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane Library, the ClinicalTrails.gov, CNKI, Wanfang, VIP and SinoMed from inception to 24 April 2024, without language restrictions. The searched keywords and Medical Subject Headings were

"shengxuening," "sheng xue ning tablets," "renal anemia" and "chronic kidney disease." The detailed search strategy of all databases can be seen in Supplementary Text S1.

2.2 Eligibility criteria

We included studies in this meta-analysis if they met the following eligibility criteria: 1) the patients with renal anemia who have clear diagnostic criteria; 2) the intervention group used SXN; 3) reported change of one or more of the following outcomes of interest: hemoglobin (Hb), serum ferritin (SF), transferrin saturation (TSAT), serum iron (SI), and adverse drug reactions (ADRs); 4) the study type is a RCT.

We exclude studies without clear diagnostic criteria and inability to obtain the full text. Meanwhile, duplicate articles were excluded.

2.3 Study selection

All study search records were imported into the EndNote software. Two authors (XTG and ML) independently screened studies based on the title and abstract, and deleted the articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria. The remaining articles need to be read in full to determine if they are suitable for inclusion in the analysis. When two authors have different opinions, they can resolve it through discussion or have a third author (YW) make the final decision.

2.4 Data extraction

The information we screened includes: study title, first author's name, publication time, study type, patient characteristics, intervention measures, treatment duration, and the outcomes of interest.

Two authors (LZ and XTG) independently extracted data using a standardized form, and in case of disagreements, discussions were held or a decision was made by a third author (CHF). When encountering multiple reports on the same study (such as original full text, conference, abstract, and post-analysis), we will collect all relevant data and analyze it as a study.

2.5 Risk of bias assessment

Two authors (LZ and XTG) independently performed the risk of bias of the included RCTs by using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool 2.0 (ROB 2) (Sterne et al., 2019) based on based on six domains: bias arising from the randomization process, bias due to deviations from the intended intervention, bias due to missing outcome data, bias in measurement of the outcome, bias in selection of the reported result, and other biases. We sub-classified "some concerns" judgements as "some concerns, probably high" and "some concerns, probably low," if at least one domain was high, we considered the study at high risk of bias. Any discrepancies were resolved through mutual consultation or determined by a third author (ML).

2.6 Statistical analysis

We used software Review Manager (RevMan), version 5.4.1 (The Cochrane Collaboration Network, 2020) to conduct metaanalysis of the included studies. The dichotomous outcomes were evaluated by odds ratio (OR) while the mean difference (MD) was used to represent continuous outcomes, with 95% confidence interval (CI). I^2 was used to evaluate heterogeneity among included RCTs, and when I^2 is greater than 50%, it indicates at least moderate heterogeneity between included RCTs. Based on the assumption of significant clinical heterogeneity, a random effects model was used in this meta-analysis. In order to effectively avoid heterogeneity between included RCTs, we divided them into different subgroups for analysis based on the comparison measures of the included studies. We also conducted metaregression to clarify the source of heterogeneity. Additionally, sensitivity analysis was used to evaluate the stability of the results.

2.7 Publication bias

According to the Cochrane Reviewer's Handbook, Egger's test require more than 10 studies to be meaningful. Otherwise, their test accuracy decreases, and the assessment of publication bias becomes inaccurate. Therefore, we performed the Egger's test on the outcomes which was reported at least 10 RCTs by using the statistical software Stata (version 18.0; StataCorp, College Station, TX) to assess potential publication bias, and also used these tests to identify outliers (Egger et al., 1997).

2.8 Certainty of evidence

We used the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach to assess the certainty of the evidence for the critical outcomes, considering factors such as the risk of bias, imprecision, indirectness, inconsistency and publication bias. The certainty of evidence was graded into four level: (1) very low, any estimate of effect is very uncertain; (2) low, further research is very likely to have a significant impact on our faith in the assessment of development and is expected to change the assessment; (3) moderate, further research is likely to have a significant impact on our faith in the assessment of effect and may change the estimate; (4) high, further research is improbable to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

3 Results

3.1 Search results

A total of 601 relevant studies were screened from the above mentioned databases. Of these, 233 were duplicate studies and 266 studies were excluded based on the title and abstract. After reading the full text, 71 studies were deleted, and ultimately 31 RCTs met the inclusion criteria and were included for the meta-analysis (Figure 1).

TABLE 2 The risk of bias assessment in included studies.

Study	Bias arising from the randomization process	Bias due to deviations from the intended intervention	Bias due to missing outcome data	Bias in measurement of the outcome	Bias in selection of the reported results	Other risk of bias	Overall judgement
Sun et al. (2022)	Probably low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low
Zhong et al. (2020)	Probably low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low
Tang et al. (2020)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Probably Low	Low
Tang et al. (2019)	Probably low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low
Shi (2019)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably high	Low	Probably low	Probably high
Xu et al. (2018)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably high	Low	Probably low	Probably high
Fan et al. (2017)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably high	Low	Probably low	Probably high
Zhou et al. (2016a)	Probably low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low
Zhou et al. (2016b)	Probably low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low
Guo (2015)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably high	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Cheng et al. (2016)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably high	Low	Probably low	Probably high
Liu et al. (2015)	Probably low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low
Wang et al. (2013)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably high	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Caixia (2013)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Long et al. (2012)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Tan et al. (2013)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably high	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Zhang et al. (2010)	Probably low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably low
Zhou (2009)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Li (2009)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Zeng and Guo (2022)	Probably low	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably low
Cheng et al. (2016)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Probably Low	Low

(Continued on following page)

Study	Bias arising from the randomization process	Bias due to deviations from the intended intervention	Bias due to missing outcome data	Bias in measurement of the outcome	Bias in selection of the reported results	Other risk of bias	Overall judgement
Zhang et al. (2016b)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Xu (2012)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably high	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Tang (2011)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably high	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Tang (2023)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably high	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Liu et al. (2022)	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Probably Low	Low
Huang et al. (2022)	Probably low	Probably low	Low	Low	Low	Probably low	Probably low
Li et al. (2021)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Kang and Liang (2020)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high
Zeng and Qu (2016)	High	High	Low	Probably Low	Probably Low	Probably High	High
Yi et al. (2015)	Probably high	Probably low	Low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably low	Probably high

TABLE 2 (Continued) The risk of bias assessment in included studies.

3.2 Basic characteristics of included RCTs

All included RCTs can be divided into three different comparison measures, namely, SXN vs. placebo, SXN vs. other drugs, and SXN combined with other drugs vs. other drugs. A total of 2,372 patients were included, with an average age between 37.6 and 66.5. The included RCTs were published between 2009 and 2023. The names of other drugs and detailed comparison measures on the inclusion of the study was presented in Table 1.

3.3 Risk of bias

Table 2 summarizes the risk of bias for the included studies. Three studies (Cheng et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2022) were judged as low risk of bias, nine studies (Sun et al., 2022; Zhong et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2016a; Zhou et al., 2016b; Liu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2010; Zeng and Guo, 2022; Huang et al., 2022) were judged as probably low risk of bias, one study (Zeng and Qu, 2016) was judged as high risk of bias, and the remaining 18 studies (Shi, 2019; Xu et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2017; Guo, 2015; Zhang and Xu, 2015; Wang et al., 2013; Caixia, 2013; Long et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2013; Zhou, 2009; Li, 2009; Zhang W. et al., 2016; Xu, 2012; Tang, 2011; Tang, 2023; Li et al., 2021; Kang and Liang, 2020; Yi et al., 2015) were judged as probably high risk of bias. Overall, the quality of the included studies was not high. However, in the section of "Bias due to missing outcome data,"

all studies were judged as low risk of bias and there were no missing outcome data.

3.4 Meta-analysis

3.4.1 Effect of SXN in renal anemia patients 3.4.1.1 Hemoglobin level (primary outcome)

30 included RCTs (Cheng et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2022; Zhong et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2019; Shi, 2019; Xu et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2016a; Zhou et al., 2016b; Guo, 2015; Zhang and Xu, 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2013; Caixia, 2013; Long et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2010; Zhou, 2009; Li, 2009; Zeng and Guo, 2022; Zhang W. et al., 2016; Xu, 2012; Tang, 2011; Tang, 2023; Liu et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022; Li et al., 2021; Kang and Liang, 2020; Zeng and Qu, 2016) included 2,256 patients reported the changes in Hb from the baseline (ΔHb) after treatment with SXN alone or in combination with other drugs in renal anemia. Among them, 5 RCTs (Cheng et al., 2016; Zeng and Guo, 2022; Zhang W. et al., 2016; Xu, 2012; Tang, 2011) included 274 patients compared ΔHb after treatment with SXN or placebo, 6 RCTs (Tang, 2023; Liu et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022; Li et al., 2021; Kang and Liang, 2020; Zeng and Qu, 2016) included 481 patients compared the ΔHb after treatment with SXN combined with other drugs or other drugs alone, and 19 RCTs (Sun et al., 2022; Zhong et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2019; Shi, 2019; Xu et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2016a; Zhou et al.,

	E	xperimental			Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 SXN vs Placebo									
Chongyu Tang 2011		13.083146	18		11.250738	18	3.4%	8.31 [0.34, 16.28]	
Hongmei Zeng 2022		6.8005956	39		6.5530451	39	24.7%	7.75 [4.79, 10.71]	
Huaqing Xu 2012	25.11	11.32163	22	15.33	11.24503	22	4.9%	9.78 [3.11, 16.45]	
Wenjie Zhang 2016		15.708415	21		15.587825	23	2.5%	8.74 [-0.51, 18.00]	
Xin Chen 2016	13.5	4.0632499	38	6.9	3.8691084	34	64.5%	6.60 [4.77, 8.43]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			138			136	100.0%	7.15 [5.68, 8.62]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00			P = 0.86	6); I ² = 09	6				
Test for overall effect: Z = 9	9.52 (P ≤	0.00001)							
1.1.2 SXN+Other drugs vs	other (drugs							
Li Kang 2020	1	12.5592	23	3	12.5363	26	15.2%	-2.00 [-9.04, 5.04]	
Liangmin Li 2021	25.3	22.722896	49	10.4	13.788401	50	14.8%	14.90 [7.48, 22.32]	
Lingling Zeng 2016		14.114046	47		14.069929	47	16.5%	12.92 [7.22, 18.62]	· · · · ·
Lu Liu 2022		9.4035897	49		7.2486481	50	18.4%	8.78 [5.47, 12.09]	
Na Tang 2023		10.690898	40	55.29	9.24	40	17.6%	13.80 [9.42, 18.18]	
Qiuer Huang 2022	32.9	9.164022	30		8.3437102	30		22.06 [17.63, 26.49]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			238			243	100.0%	11.95 [6.19, 17.71]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 44.0)8; Chi² ⊧	= 39.75, df =	5 (P < 0	.00001);	I² = 87%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 4									
1.1.3 SXN vs Other drugs									
Aili Guo 2015		14.365483	29	26.67	13.258778	29	4.5%	8.19 [1.08, 15.30]	
Caixia Mi 2013		11.511733	30	20.8	11.44727	29	4.9%	7.00 [1.19, 12.81]	
Gaojun Fan 2017		9.3754093	38		8.6855224	34	5.3%	5.69 [1.52, 9.86]	
Huiling Zhong 2020		9.3754093 6.1526173	38		6.0192691	38	5.7%	-1.49 [-4.23, 1.25]	
Jianwei Zhang 2015		8.9143087	30		9.6279333	30	5.2%	11.51 [6.81, 16.21]	
Jin Zhou(1) 2016		4.3360466	30		3.9341708	32	5.8%	1.10 [-0.93, 3.13]	
Jin Zhou(2) 2016		6.7472883	67		5.8002759	67		16.94 [14.81, 19.07]	
Jinping Tan 2013	25.89	9.321738	58		9.5340915	58		16.85 [13.42, 20.28]	
Junwei Sun 2022		8.6004477	40		8.8717924	40	5.4%	12.87 [9.04, 16.70]	
Li Long 2013		9.6290342	34		10.074185	34		17.24 [12.56, 21.92]	
Li Xu 2009		1.3271398	34		1.2352732	34	5.9%	1.00 [0.39, 1.61]	-
Shan Shi 2019		8.9351161	34 15		8.4956047	34 15	4.7%	6.80 [0.56, 13.04]	
Songlin Zhou 2009		8.7793337	77		14.237489	77		-7.63 [-11.37, -3.89]	_ —
Weiving Wang 2013		4.3046022	21		3.3171825	21	5.7%	-0.01 [-2.33, 2.31]	4
Wenjun Xu 2018		11.396052	35		11.265434	35	5.0%	12.30 [6.99, 17.61]	
Wensheng Zhang 2010		10.223991	49		8.9866568	48	5.4%	4.30 [0.47, 8.13]	
Xiaojing Tang 2019		23.815751	53		14.156624	40	4.3%	4.50 [-3.24, 12.24]	_ _
Xiaojing Tang 2019 Xiaojing Tang 2020		18.559903	47		18.210711	47	4.3%	4.50 [-5.24, 12.24]	
Yingshuang Liu 2015		3.7403208	32		2.9206164	32	4.3%	5.90 [4.26, 7.54]	-
Subtotal (95% Cl)	JJ.Z	5.1403200	759	27.3	2.3200104		0.9% 100.0%	6.49 [3.50, 9.47]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 38.9	92; Chi ≇ ⊧	= 424.74, df=	: 18 (P	< 0.0000	1); I² = 96%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 4			•						
								=	
								_	-20 -10 0 10 20
Test for subaroup differen	ces: Chi	i ^z = 2.82. df =	2 (P = 1	0.24), I ² =	29.1%				Favours [control] Favours [SXN]
IGURE 2									
IGURE 2 Aeta-analysis results on 2	∆ <i>Hb</i> aft	er treatmer	nt with	SXN or	SXN + oth	ner dru	ias.		
							5		

2016b; Guo, 2015; Zhang and Xu, 2015; Caixia, 2013; Long et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2010; Zhou, 2009; Li, 2009) included 1,501 patients compared ΔHb after treatment with SXN or other drugs. The meta-analysis results showed that the ΔHb in SXN group was significantly higher than that in placebo group (MD: 7.15 g/L, 95% CI: 5.68–8.62, P < 0.001, the certainty of evidence was high) and other drugs group (MD: 6.49 g/L, 95% CI: 3.50–9.47, P < 0.001, the certainty of evidence was very low). Comprehensive data were presented in Figure 2. The detail of GRADE can be seen in Supplementary Table S1. In addition, the ΔHb in SXN combined with other drugs group was also significantly higher than that in other drugs group (MD: 11.95 g/L, 95% CI: 6.19–17.71, P < 0.001), and the certainty of evidence was moderate (see detail in Supplementary Table S1).

3.4.1.2 Serum ferritin level

24 included RCTs (Cheng et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2022; Tang et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2019; Shi, 2019; Xu et al., 2018; Fan et al.,

2017; Zhou et al., 2016b; Guo, 2015; Zhang and Xu, 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Caixia, 2013; Long et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2013; Zhou, 2009; Zeng and Guo, 2022; Zhang W. et al., 2016; Xu, 2012; Tang, 2023; Liu et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022; Li et al., 2021; Zeng and Qu, 2016; Yi et al., 2015) included 1932 patients reported the changes in SF from the baseline (ΔSF) after treatment with SXN alone or in combination with other drugs in renal anemia. Among them, 4 RCTs (Cheng et al., 2016; Zeng and Guo, 2022; Zhang W. et al., 2016; Xu, 2012) included 238 patients compared ΔSF after treatment with SXN or placebo, 6 RCTs (Tang, 2023; Liu et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022; Li et al., 2021; Zeng and Qu, 2016; Yi et al., 2015) included 540 patients compared the ΔSF after treatment with SXN combined with other drugs or other drugs alone, and 14 RCTs (Sun et al., 2022; Tang et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2019; Shi, 2019; Xu et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2016b; Guo, 2015; Zhang and Xu, 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Caixia, 2013; Long et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2013; Zhou, 2009) included 1,154 patients compared ΔSF after treatment with SXN or other drugs. The meta-analysis results showed that the



 ΔSF in SXN group was significantly higher than that in placebo group (MD: 57.53 ng/mL, 95% CI: 29.70–85.36, P < 0.001, the certainty of evidence was moderate) and other drugs group (MD: 28.96 ng/mL, 95% CI: 1.88–56.04, P = 0.04, the certainty of evidence was moderate). Additionally, the ΔSF in SXN combined with other drugs group was also significantly higher than that in other drugs group (MD: 53.43 ng/mL, 95% CI: 20.65–86.21, P = 0.001), and the certainty of evidence was moderate. Detailed information can be found in Figure 3.

3.4.1.3 Transferrin saturation level

23 included RCTs (Cheng et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2022; Tang et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2016a; Zhou et al., 2016b; Guo, 2015; Zhang and Xu, 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2013; Caixia, 2013; Long et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2013; Zhou, 2009; Zeng and Guo, 2022; Xu, 2012; Tang, 2023; Liu et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022; Li et al., 2021; Zeng and Qu, 2016) included 1856 patients reported the changes in *TSAT* from the baseline ($\Delta TSAT$) after treatment with SXN alone or in combination with other drugs in renal anemia. Among them, 3 RCTs (Cheng et al., 2016; Zeng and Guo, 2022; Xu, 2012) included 194 patients compared $\Delta TSAT$ after treatment with SXN or placebo, 5 RCTs (Tang, 2023; Liu et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022; Li et al., 2021; Zeng and Qu, 2016) included 432 patients compared the $\Delta TSAT$ after treatment with SXN combined with other drugs or other drugs alone, and 15 RCTs (Sun et al., 2022; Tang et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2016a; Zhou et al., 2016b; Guo, 2015; Zhang and Xu, 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2013; Caixia, 2013; Long et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2013; Zhou, 2009) included 1,230 patients compared $\Delta TSAT$ after treatment with SXN or other drugs. The meta-analysis results showed that the $\Delta TSAT$ in SXN group was significantly higher than that in placebo group (MD: 7.00%, 95% CI: 3.40-10.60, P = 0.0001, the certainty of evidence was moderate) and other drugs group (MD: 3.64%, 95% CI: 1.41–5.88, P = 0.001, the certainty of evidence was moderate). Additionally, the $\Delta TSAT$ in SXN combined with other drugs group was also significantly higher than that in other drugs group (MD: 5.91%, 95% CI: 3.72–8.10, P < 0.001), and the certainty of evidence was moderate. Detailed information can be seen in Figure 4.

3.4.1.4 Serum iron level

Eight included RCTs (Tang et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2016a; Guo, 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2013; Zhang W.



et al., 2016; Li et al., 2021) included 559 reported the changes in SI from the baseline (ΔSI) after treatment with SXN alone or in combination with other drugs in renal anemia. Among them, one RCTs (Zhang W. et al., 2016) included 44 patients compared ΔSI after treatment with SXN or placebo, one RCTs (Li et al., 2021) included 99 patients compared the ΔSI after treatment with SXN combined with other drugs or other drugs alone, and 6 RCTs (Tang et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2016a; Guo, 2015; Liu et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2013) included 416 patients compared ΔSI after treatment with SXN or other drugs. The meta-analysis results showed that the ΔSI in SXN group was significantly higher than that in placebo group (MD:3.93 µmol/L, 95% CI: 1.54–6.32, P = 0.001), and the certainty of evidence was low. But there was no significant difference in ΔSI between SXN group and other drugs group (MD: 0.42 µmol/L, 95% CI: -0.63-1.46, P = 0.43), the certainty of evidence was moderate. Additionally, the ΔSI in SXN combined with other drugs group was also no significant difference in other drugs group (MD: $-4.0 \ \mu mol/L$, 95% CI: -1.62-0.82, P = 0.52), and the certainty of evidence was low. Comprehensive data were presented in Figure 5.

3.4.2 Safety of SXN on the outcomes in renal anemia patients

The common ADRs reported mainly included nausea, constipation, headache, diarrhea, insomnia, abdominal distension, abdominal pain, sore throat, itchy skin, burning sensation in the stomach, and so on. No serious ADRs were reported in all the included RCTs.

3.4.2.1 Adverse drug reactions

ADRs were reported in 19 RCTs (Zhong et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2016a; Zhou et al., 2016b; Guo, 2015; Zhang and Xu, 2015; Wang et al., 2013; Caixia, 2013; Long et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2013; Li, 2009; Zeng and Guo, 2022; Xu, 2012; Liu et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022; Zeng and Qu, 2016; Yi et al., 2015) which included 1,465 patients. Among them, two RCTs (Zeng and Guo, 2022; Xu, 2012) included 122 patients compared the incidence of ADRs between SXN- and placebo-treated renal anemia patients, four RCTs (Liu et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2022; Zeng and Qu, 2016; Yi et al., 2015) included 361 patients compared the incidence of ADRs after treatment with SXN combined with other drugs or other drugs alone, and 13 RCTs (Zhong et al., 2020;



Tang et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2018; Fan et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2016a; Zhou et al., 2016b; Guo, 2015; Zhang and Xu, 2015; Wang et al., 2013; Caixia, 2013; Long et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2013; Li, 2009) included 982 patients compared the incidence of ADRs between SXN- and other drugs-treated renal anemia patients. The meta-analysis results showed that there was no significant difference between SXN and placebo group (OR: 2.19, 95%CI: 0.57–8.50, P = 0.26) or between SXN combined with other drugs and other drugs alone group (OR: 0.58, 95%CI: 0.24–1.38, P = 0.22). It is worth noting that the incidence of ADRs in the SXN group was lower than that in the other drugs group (OR: 0.20, 95%CI: 0.12–0.33, P < 0.00001). Detailed information can be seen in Figure 6.

3.5 Sensitivity analysis

We conducted a sensitivity analysis to assess the stability and reliability of the meta-analysis results. We used sensitivity analysis on the 31 included studies by excluding studies one by one. The results indicated that excluding any individual study did not significantly alter the therapeutic efficacy of SXN treatment. This suggests that the results of the meta-analysis are stable (see Supplementary Figures S1–S5).

3.6 Meta-regression

Due to significant heterogeneity among the included RCTs, we performed meta-regression to identify and analyze the sources of heterogeneity. The observed heterogeneity primarily stems from three sources: (1) variations in intervention protocols, which we categorized into three distinct comparison groups for subgroup analysis in our meta-analysis; (2) differences in patient types, specifically distinguishing between dialysis-dependent (DD) and non-dialysis-dependent (NDD) patient; and (3) variability in the risk of bias across included studies. Based on these factors, we performed meta-regression analyses focusing on patient disease subtypes (named as Type 1) and the risk of bias levels in included studies (named as Type 2). The results demonstrated that neither Type 1 nor Type 2 served as significant sources of heterogeneity among the randomized controlled trials (Table 3), with neither factor compromising the stability of the overall findings.

3.7 Publication bias

We found that among the three subgroups, only the comparison between SXN and other drugs included more than 10 studies. In addition, except for the change in SI level, which was reported in less than 10 studies, the number of reports for other outcomes was all greater than 10. Based on this, we conducted an Egger test on the subgroup which compared SXN with other drugs and outcomes included Hb, SF, TSAT, ADR. We found that the P-values from Egger's test were all greater than 0.05, indicating that there was no publication bias in the results (Table 4).

4 Discussion

This study included 31 RCTs and divided them into three subgroups for meta-analysis based on different comparative measures. The results of the study demonstrate that SXN has good efficacy and high safety in treating renal anemia. We found that the results of three subgroups all showed that SXN can effectively increase the levels of Hb, SF, and TSAT in renal

	Experime		Contr			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
1.5.1 SXN vs Placebo							_
Hongmei Zeng 2022	5	39	3	39	80.9%	1.76 [0.39, 7.96]	
Huaqing Xu 2012	2	22	0	22	19.1%	5.49 [0.25, 121.18]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		61		61	100.0%	2.19 [0.57, 8.50]	-
Fotal events	7		3				
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0 Fest for overall effect: Z			lf=1 (P=	0.52);	I²=0%		
1.5.2 SXN+Other drug	s vs Other (drugs					
ingling Zeng 2016	3	47	4	47	25.8%	0.73 [0.15, 3.47]	
Lu Liu 2022	2	49	2	50	16.8%	1.02 [0.14, 7.55]	+
Qiuer Huang 2022	3	30	2	30	19.0%	1.56 [0.24, 10.05]	-
(iaoying Yi 2015	4	58	12	50	38.4%	0.23 [0.07, 0.78]	_ _
Subtotal (95% CI)		184		177	100.0%	0.58 [0.24, 1.38]	
Fotal events	12		20				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = ().14; Chi ^z =	3.62, d	lf = 3 (P =	0.31);	I²=17%		
Fest for overall effect: Z	:= 1.23 (P =	= 0.22)					
1.5.3 SXN vs Other dru	Jgs						
Aili Guo 2015	0	29	8	29	2.9%	0.04 [0.00, 0.78]	
Caixia Mi 2013	2	30	4	30	7.9%	0.46 [0.08, 2.75]	
Gaojun Fan 2017	6	38	15	34	20.4%	0.24 [0.08, 0.72]	
Huiling Zhong 2020	1	38	3	38	4.7%	0.32 [0.03, 3.18]	
Jianwei Zhang 2015	1	30	10	30	5.5%	0.07 [0.01, 0.58]	
Jin Zhou(1) 2016	2	32	5	32	8.4%	0.36 [0.06, 2.01]	
Jin Zhou(2) 2016	1	67	15	67	5.9%	0.05 [0.01, 0.41]	
Jinping Tan 2013	0	58	15	58	3.1%	0.02 [0.00, 0.41]	
Li Long 2013	Ō	34	9	34	3.0%	0.04 [0.00, 0.70]	
_i Xu 2009	6	34	14	34	20.0%	0.31 [0.10, 0.93]	
Veiying Wang 2013	0	21	4	21	2.8%	0.09 [0.00, 1.80]	
Venjun Xu 2018	0	35	3	35	2.8%	0.13 [0.01, 2.63]	
(iaojing Tang 2020	3	47	8	47	12.8%	0.33 [0.08, 1.34]	- _+
Subtotal (95% CI)		493		489	100.0%	0.20 [0.12, 0.33]	◆
	22		113				
Fotal events	1 00° Chi ^z =	10.94,	df = 12 (F	P = 0.50	3); I ^z = 0%		
Fotal events Heterogeneity: Tau² = (. 0 000	01)		Pain		
		0.000					
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0		0.000					
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0		0.000					0.001 0.1 1 10 1000
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0	Z= 6.36 (P ≤		04. df= 2	(P = 0.	001). I² =	84.7%	0.001 0.1 1 10 1000 Favours [SXN] Favours [control]
Heterogeneity: Tau² = (Fest for overall effect: Z	Z= 6.36 (P ≤		04. df= 2	(P = 0.	001). I² =	84.7%	

TABLE	3	The	results	of	Meta-regression.

Outcomes	Hb	SF	TSAT	SI	ADR
			Р		
Type 1	0.273	0.055	0.121	0.065	0.636
Type 2	0.267	0.265	0.158	0.554	0.115

anemia, and there were no significant differences in the level of SI between SXN- and other drugs-treated groups. In terms of safety, the incidence of ADRs in the SXN group was significantly lower than that in other drugs group. However, when SXN was combined with other drugs to treat renal anemia, the incidence of ADRs was not different from that in other drugs group.

In our search of the aforementioned databases, we identified two meta-analyses (Zeng et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022). The study by Zeng et al. (2020) reported the efficacy and safety of SXN compared with oral iron supplements in the treatment of renal anemia, while Zhang et al. (2022) documented the effectiveness and safety of SXN in treating and preventing iron-deficiency anemia. Our findings are consistent with those of Zeng et al. (2020), confirming that SXN can significantly increase Hb, TSAT, and SF levels, while demonstrating a low incidence of ADRs. Unlike Zeng et al. (2020), our study included a larger sample size than their, rendering the obtained data more persuasive to some extent. In comparison with the study by Zhang et al., 2022), our analysis revealed that SXN can improve Hb and TSAT levels in both renal anemia and iron-deficiency anemia patients. However, the effects of SXN on SF in iron-deficiency anemia patients were not statistically significant. Notably, the incidence of ADRs to SXN in both renal anemia and iron-deficiency anemia patients was lower than that of oral iron supplements, further confirming the safety profile of SXN.

SXN is a second-grade new drug, and its main component, sodium iron chlorophyllin, has a structure similar to heme. It is

TABLE 4 The results of Egger's test.

Outcomes	Hb	SF	TSAT	ADR
			Р	
Egger	0.696	0.059	0.649	0.774

absorbed by the exclusive channel of heme receptors in small intestinal villous mucosal cells, and is not subject to competitive inhibition by other divalent metal ions or diet, and does not produce free ions or stimulate the gastrointestinal tract (Yin et al., 2013). Sodium iron chlorophyllin can also improve iron metabolism, promote iron absorption, increase the release of stored iron in the body, increase the level of SI and TSAT, promote hematopoiesis, and improve the condition of renal anemia (Suryavanshi et al., 2015; Ouameur et al., 2005). It has the characteristics of high bioavailability and good regulatory performance. In addition, SXN can improve the micro inflammatory state, reduce the levels of C-reactive protein, tumor necrosis factor, and interleukin-6 (Liu and Hu, 2016).

At present, the drugs used to treat renal anemia include oral iron supplements and intravenous iron supplements. Generally speaking, intravenous iron injection is superior to oral supplements. However, when using intravenous iron injection, we should fully consider the possible adverse reactions, including allergic reactions, infections, and oxidative stress (Bansal et al., 2014; Akarsu et al., 2013). Several studies had found that microinflammation and oxidative stress can increase the incidence and mortality of cardiovascular events (Rozen-Zvi et al., 2008; Martinez-Vea et al., 2012). Although oral iron is easy to use and cost-effective, its efficacy is not as good as intravenous iron injection. In addition, oral iron supplements can cause adverse gastrointestinal reactions such as constipation, loss of appetite, bloating, and diarrhea (Tolkien et al., 2015; Kortman et al., 2017), the frequency of gastrointestinal-related side effects can impact patients' compliance with iron treatment (Lewkowitz and Tuuli, 2019). SXN is an efficient iron supplement with a high absorption rate of 25%-30%, which is 12.5 times higher than other oral iron supplements. It can also promote the absorption of other free iron in food (Jiahuan et al., 2017). SXN is superior to other oral iron supplements in many aspects. Its main component, sodium iron chlorophyllin, remains stable under gastrointestinal conditions, avoiding the common gastrointestinal adverse reactions of traditional oral iron supplements (Ding et al., 2019; Miret et al., 2010), and rarely associated with ADRs (Sheng-Ming et al., 2018).

The study has several limitations: First, we conducted three subgroup analyses, but heterogeneity still exists in several outcomes. This may be due to the fact that some patients in the included studies require dialysis treatment, while others do not. However, we conducted a meta-regression on both types of patients and found that different patient types did not affect the heterogeneity between the studies. We speculate that heterogeneity may be caused by the differences in the number of patients and treatment durations in the included studies; Second, of the 31 studies included, only three RCTs were accessed as a low risk of bias, while 18 were accessed as a high risk of bias. Therefore, the methodological quality of the included RCTs may be low; Third, although we conducted a comprehensive search and used GRADE to evaluate the quality of evidence in order to ensure that all relevant studies were included and reduce the possibility of publication bias, we found that due to the low quality of the main studies or insufficient trials, the overall level of evidence was not high, and evidence users needed to be careful.

5 Conclusion

According to the meta-analysis results of 31 RCTs, the efficacy of SXN in treating renal anemia is convincing. Compared with other drugs, SXN is comparable or even better in treating renal anemia. Additionally, the safety of SXN is also relatively high. We hope that further researches can overcome the limitations of this study and provide stronger evidence for the effectiveness and safety of SXN, and bring more treatment possibilities to patients with renal anemia.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

LZ: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Software, Writing – original draft. XG: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft. ML: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft. CF: Data curation, Writing – original draft. YW: Conceptualization, Writing – review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Centrallevel Scientific Research Institute (Nos 2019XK320078 and BJ-2019-092), National High Level Hospital Clinical Research Funding (BJ-2022-155).

Conflict of interest

Authors LZ, XG, and CF were employed by China Aerospace Science and Industry Corporation 731 Hospital.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the

References

Akarsu, S., Demir, H., Selek, S., and Oguzoncul, F. (2013). Iron deficiency anemia and levels of oxidative stress induced by treatment modality. *Pediatr. Int. Official J. Jpn. Pediatr. Soc.* 55 (3), 289–295. doi:10.1111/ped.12054

Bansal, A., Sandhu, G., Gupta, I., Kalahalli, S., Nayak, R., Zouain, E., et al. (2014). Effect of aggressively driven intravenous iron therapy on infectious complications in end-stage renal disease patients on maintenance hemodialysis. *Am. J. Ther.* 21 (4), 250–253. doi:10.1097/MJT.0b013e31825425bd

Caixia, M (2013). Comparison of the therapeutic effects of Shengxuening tablets and ferrous succinate on hemodialysis induced renal anemia. *China Pharm. Ind.* 22 (09), 8–10.

Cheng, X., Yu, G., Hu, J., Xu, X., Luo, F., Shen, P., et al. (2016). Clinical study of Shengxuening tablet combined with rHuEPO for the treatment of renal anemia of maintenance hemodialysis patients. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 12 (1), 157–160. doi:10.3892/etm. 2016.3307

Ding, L., Xu, L., Jin, Y., Wei, Y., Pan, Y., Sattar, S., et al. (2019). Efficacy of SXN in the treatment of iron deficiency anemia: a phase IV clinical trial. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2019, 8796234. doi:10.1155/2019/8796234

Egger, M., Davey Smith, G., Schneider, M., and Minder, C. (1997). Bias in metaanalysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ Clin. Res. Ed.* 315 (7109), 629–634. doi:10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629

Fan, G., Pan, J., and Liu, Y. (2017). Clinical observation of Shengxuening tablets combined with erythropoietin in the treatment of renal anemia in hemodialysis patients. *Hubei J. Traditional Chin. Med.* 39 (01), 6–8.

Guo, A. (2015). Observation of the therapeutic effect of Shengxuening in the treatment of renal anemia in non dialysis patients with chronic kidney disease. *J. Clin. Nephrol.* 15 (05), 285–288.

Haalen, H. V., Sloand, J., Moon, R., Palaka, E., Milligan, G., Allum, A., et al. (2020). Drug treatment patterns and work productivity in chronic kidney disease patients with anemia in China: cross sectional analysis of real-world data. *Kidney Res. Clin. Pract.* 39 (3), 318–333. doi:10.23876/j.krcp.20.020

Haase, V. H. (2017). HIF-prolyl hydroxylases as therapeutic targets in erythropoiesis and iron metabolism. *Hemodial. Int. Int. Symposium Home Hemodial.* 21 (Suppl. 1), S110–s124. doi:10.1111/hdi.12567

Habas, E. S., Al Adab, A., Arryes, M., Alfitori, G., Farfar, K., Habas, A. M., et al. (2023). Anemia and hypoxia impact on chronic kidney disease onset and progression: review and updates. *Cureus* 15 (10), e46737. doi:10.7759/cureus.46737

Huang, Q., Che, W., and Wu, Z. (2022). Observation of the effect of Shengxuening tablets combined with Rosuvastatin capsules in the treatment of renal anemia in patients with chronic kidney disease stage 4-5. *J. Jiangxi Univ. Traditional Chin. Med.* 34 (05), 53–554-59.

Jiahuan, L. I., Haihong, L., and Gangjun, D. U. (2017). Prevention and treatment of shengxuening on renal anemia and chronic renal injury of iron overload in mice. *Journal of Henan University*. 47(02):170–176.

Kang, L., and Liang, Y. (2020). Clinical observation of Shengxuening in the treatment of renal anemia in chronic kidney disease. *Chin. Health Nutr.* 30 (11), 62–63.

Kortman, G. A. M., Reijnders, D., and Swinkels, D. W. (2017). Oral iron supplementation: potential implications for the gut microbiome and metabolome in patients with CKD. *Hemodial. Int. Symposium Home Hemodial.* 21 (Suppl. 1), S28–s36. doi:10.1111/hdi.12553

Lewkowitz, A. K., and Tuuli, M. G. (2019). Iron-deficiency anaemia in pregnancy: the role of hepcidin. *Lancet. Glob. Health* 7 (11), e1476–e1477. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(19) 30414-0

Li, L., Peng, B., and Xiao, X. (2021). The effect of Shengxuening tablets combined with ferrous succinate tablets on the dosage of erythropoietin and iron metabolism indicators in patients with non dialysis chronic kidney disease and renal anemia. *Chin. Sci. Technol. J. Database Med. Health* (7), 2.

editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2025.1510227/ full#supplementary-material

Li, X. (2009). Comparison of the therapeutic effects of Shengxuening tablets and ferrous sulfate on renal iron deficiency anemia. *Chin. Health Nutr.* (2), 2.

Liu, L., Xu, L., and Wang, Y. (2022). Clinical efficacy study of Shengxuening tablets combined with rosuvastatin in the treatment of renal anemia. *Chin. J. Traditional Chin. Med.* 40 (09), 247–250.

Liu, Y., and Hu, D. (2016). Clinical observation of Shengxuening tablets in reducing the risk of micro inflammation during maintenance hemodialysis treatment of renal anemia. *Chin. Pharm.* 27 (8), 1096–1098.

Liu, Y., Zhang, Y., and Wang, S. (2015). Clinical analysis of Shengxuening combined with L-carnitine in the treatment of renal anemia in hemodialysis patients. *J. Clin. Nephrol.* 15 (07), 406–409.

Long, L., Wu, Y., and Lu, Y. (2012). Clinical observation of Shengxuening tablets in the treatment of renal anemia in non dialysis patients with chronic kidney disease. *J. Changchun Univ. Traditional Chin. Med.* 28 (03), 504–506.

Martinez-Vea, A., Marcas, L., Bardají, A., Romeu, M., Gutierrez, C., García, C., et al. (2012). Role of oxidative stress in cardiovascular effects of anemia treatment with erythropoietin in predialysis patients with chronic kidney disease. *Clin. Nephrol.* 77 (3), 171–181. doi:10.5414/cn107309

Miret, S., Tascioglu, S., van der Burg, M., Frenken, L., and Klaffke, W. (2010). *In vitro* bioavailability of iron from the heme analogue sodium iron chlorophyllin. *J. Agric. food Chem.* 58 (2), 1327–1332. doi:10.1021/jf903177q

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., and PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA Statement. *Indep. Open-Access J.* 3 (3), e123–e130.

Ouameur, A. A., Marty, R., and Tajmir-Riahi, H. A. (2005). Human serum albumin complexes with chlorophyll and chlorophyllin. *Biopolymers* 77 (3), 129–136. doi:10. 1002/bip.20173

Palaka, E., Grandy, S., van Haalen, H., McEwan, P., and Darlington, O. (2020). The impact of CKD anaemia on patients: incidence, risk factors, and clinical outcomes-A systematic literature review. *Int. J. Nephrol.* 2020, 7692376. doi:10.1155/2020/7692376

Rozen-Zvi, B., Gafter-Gvili, A., Paul, M., Leibovici, L., Shpilberg, O., and Gafter, U. (2008). Intravenous versus oral iron supplementation for the treatment of anemia in CKD: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. kidney Dis. official J. Natl. Kidney Found.* 52 (5), 897–906. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.05.033

Sheng-Ming, R., Zhi-Ping, W. U., and Hui-Min, W. (2018). Curative effect of SXN tablets combined with ferrous succinate tablets on patients with iron deficiency anemia during pregnancy. *Prog. Mod. Biomed.*

Shi, S. (2019). Observation of the therapeutic effect of Shengxuening on renal anemia in hemodialysis patients. *Famous Dr.* (12), 248.

Sterne, J. A. C., Savović, J., Page, M. J., Elbers, R. G., Blencowe, N. S., Boutron, I., et al. (2019). RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ Clin. Res. Ed.* 366, 14898. doi:10.1136/bmj.14898

Sun, J., Du, Y., and Lin, J. (2022). Clinical study on the treatment of renal anemia in maintenance hemodialysis patients with Shengxuening combined with recombinant human erythropoietin. *New Tradit. Chin. Med.* 54 (03), 91–94.

Suryavanshi, S., Sharma, D., Checker, R., Thoh, M., Gota, V., Sandur, S. K., et al. (2015). Amelioration of radiation-induced hematopoietic syndrome by an antioxidant chlorophyllin through increased stem cell activity and modulation of hematopoiesis. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 85, 56–70. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2015.04.007

Taderegew, M. M., Wondie, A., Terefe, T. F., Tarekegn, T. T., GebreEyesus, F. A., Mengist, S. T., et al. (2023). Anemia and its predictors among chronic kidney disease patients in Sub-Saharan African countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 18 (2), e0280817. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0280817

Tan, J., Wen, S., and Guan, R. (2013). Clinical effect analysis of Shengxuening in the treatment of 58 cases of renal anemia. *Natl. Med. Front. China* 8 (09), 37–38.

Tang, C. (2011). Clinical observation of Shengxuening combined with erythropoietin in the treatment of chronic renal failure and renal anemia. *Pract. Integration Traditional Chin. West. Med. Clin. Pract.* 11 (03), 27–28.

Tang, N. (2023). Observation on the effect of Shengxuening tablets combined with rosuvastatin in the treatment of renal anemia. *Hubei J. Traditional Chin. Med.* 45 (10), 45–47.

Tang, X., Rong, S., and Mei, C. (2019). Clinical efficacy of Shengxuening tablets in patients with non dialysis chronic kidney disease and renal anemia. *Traditional Chin. Pat. Med. Simple Prep.* 41 (02), 316–321.

Tang, X. J., Rong, S., Mei, C. L., Ni, Z. H., Jiang, G. R., Yuan, W. J., et al. (2020). Effect of sheng xue ning tablets on renal anemia in patients subject to maintenance hemodialysis and safety evaluation: a multi-setting prospective randomized study. *Curr. Med. Sci.* 40 (2), 327–331. doi:10.1007/s11596-020-2179-z

Tolkien, Z., Stecher, L., Mander, A. P., Pereira, D. I. A., and Powell, J. J. (2015). Ferrous sulfate supplementation causes significant gastrointestinal side-effects in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 10 (2), e0117383. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0117383

Wang, W., Pang, J., and Qi, Z. (2013). Clinical study on the adjuvant treatment of renal anemia in maintenance hemodialysis patients with Shengxuening. *Chin. J. Integr. Traditional Chin. West. Med. Nephrol.* 14 (01), 70–71.

Xu, H. (2012). Observation of the therapeutic effect of Shengxuening as an adjuvant therapy for 44 hemodialysis patients with renal anemia. *Prim. Healthc. China* 26 (05), 128–129.

Xu, W., Wu, G., and Zheng, W. (2018). Clinical observation of Shengxuening tablets in the treatment of renal anemia in chronic kidney disease. *Mod. Diagnosis Treat.* 29 (06), 900–902.

Yi, X., Ma, Y., and He, Y. (2015). Shengxuening tablets were used to treat 58 cases of chronic kidney disease complicated with renal anemia. *Henan Tradit. Chin. Med.* 35 (12), 3050–3052.

Yin, L. M., Jiang, H. F., Wang, X., Qian, X. D., Gao, R. L., Lin, X. J., et al. (2013). Effects of sodium copper chlorophyllin on mesenchymal stem cell function in aplastic anemia mice. *Chin. J. Integr. Med.* 19 (5), 360–366. doi:10.1007/s11655-012-1210-z

Zeng, H., and Guo, L. (2022). The therapeutic effect of Shengxuening tablets on renal anemia in patients with chronic renal failure and uremia. *Chin. J. Drug Abuse Prev. Treat.* 28 (10), 1495–1498.

Zeng, L., and Qu, S. (2016). Clinical study on the treatment of renal anemia with Shengxuening tablets combined with erythropoietin and L-carnitine. *Mod. Drugs Clin. Pract.* 31 (05), 678–682.

Zeng, Q., Wang, X. H., Yang, L. P., Lang, R., Liang, Y., and Yu, R. H. (2020). Shengxuening versus oral iron supplementation for the treatment of renal anemia: a systematic review. *J. Transl. Int. Med.* 8 (4), 245–254. doi:10.2478/jtim-2020-0037

Zhang, J., and Xu, L. (2015). Effect of Shengxuening tablet combined with erythropoietin on renal anemia in patients with stage V diabetes kidney disease. *J. Clin. Nephrol.* 15 (08), 481–484.

Zhang, L., Zhang, W., Jin, H., Wang, D., Wei, N., and Wang, Y. (2016a). Effect and safety of Shengxuening (extract from excrement of bombyxin) for renal anemia: a systematic review. *J. Tradit. Chin. Med.* 36 (5), 588–595. doi:10.1016/s0254-6272(16) 30077-2

Zhang, W., Chen, J., and Yu, F. (2016b). Clinical observation of Shengxuening tablets in maintenance hemodialysis patients with renal an emia and improve iron metabolism of blood. *J. Clin. Nephrol.* 16 (02), 110–113.

Zhang, W., Lu, M., and Bi, J. (2010). A clinical randomized controlled trial of Shengxuening tablets combined with recombinant human erythropoietin in the treatment of mild to moderate renal anemia. *Chin. Health Care* 19 (8), 2.

Zhang, Y., Lv, Y., Sun, Y., Li, Y., Wang, D., Niu, J., et al. (2022). The efficiency and safety of Shengxuening tablet on treating and preventing iron deficiency anemia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 1029641. doi:10.3389/fphar. 2022.1029641

Zhong, H., Wu, Y., and Liao, X. (2020). Observation of the therapeutic effect of Shengxuening tablets in reducing the risk of micro inflammatory reactions during maintenance hemodialysis treatment of renal anemia. *Jilin Med.* 41 (01), 116–118.

Zhou, J., Guo, F., and Duan, S. (2016a). The clinical observation of ferrous succinate and Shengxuening in adjuvant treatment of renal anemia in peritoneal dialysis patients with diabetes nephropathy. *Chin. Pharm.* 27 (27), 3777–3779.

Zhou, J., Guo, G. F., and Dong, Q. (2016b). Effect of Shengxuening tablet on renal anemia in peritoneal dialysis patients with diabetes nephropathy. *Chin. J. Gerontology* 36 (21), 5383–5384.

Zhou, S. (2009). Observation of the effect of Shengxuening tablets combined with erythropoietin in the treatment of renal anemia in maintenance hemodialysis patients. *Chin. J. Clin. Med. Health Nutr.* 18 (02), 93–94.