Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Edilene Oliveira Silva, Federal University of Pará, Brazil

REVIEWED BY May Lee Low, UCSI University, Malaysia Sol Martinez, National University of Río Cuarto, Argentina

*CORRESPONDENCE Jun Deng, Ø di2882@sina.com

¹These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 13 October 2024 ACCEPTED 30 May 2025 PUBLISHED 20 June 2025

CITATION

Wen W, Liang S, Zhang Y, Lin M, Gao Y and Deng J (2025) Exploring research dynamics of PDT in anti-infective applications (2004–2024): a bibliometric perspective. *Front. Pharmacol.* 16:1510690. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2025.1510690

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wen, Liang, Zhang, Lin, Gao and Deng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Exploring research dynamics of PDT in anti-infective applications (2004–2024): a bibliometric perspective

Wen Wen[†], Shuang Liang[†], Yun Zhang, Meiyuan Lin, Yuan Gao and Jun Deng*

Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, The Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University, Luzhou, Sichuan, China

Background: Photodynamic therapy (PDT) has become a popular research direction in the field of infection control; however, there is still a lack of systematic bibliometric analysis. This paper aims to fill this gap by conducting the first comprehensive bibliometric analysis of studies related to PDT in infection control over the past 20 years, in order to gain in-depth insights into its current status and emerging trends.

Methods: Publications related to PDT and infection control were collected from the Web of Science Core Collection database (WoSCC) from January 2004 to April 2024. Microsoft Excel was used to organize the data and analyze annual publication trends, while VOSviewer and CiteSpace were employed for the visual analysis of the selected literature.

Results: A total of 9.711 articles and reviews meeting the inclusion criteria were retrieved for this study. Over the past two decades, publications in the field of PDT for infection control have exhibited a marked upward trajectory. China leads globally in research output and influence within this domain, establishing robust collaborative networks with the United States and Brazil. The most productive institution, journal, and author were the Universidade de São Paulo, Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy, and Michael R. Hamblin, respectively. Early studies frequently featured keywords such as "5aminolevulinic acid." "photosensitization," "toluidine blue O," and "photodynamic inactivation." In contrast, recent research has shifted toward innovative themes such as "nanoparticles," "antibacterial nanomaterials," "aggregation-induced emission," "photothermal and therapy." Nanotechnology-based synergistic enhancement strategies for PDT and antimicrobial photodynamic therapy (aPDT) represent a current research hotspot. Nine emerging themes - "aggregation-induced emission," "wound healing," "photothermal therapy," "sonodynamic therapy," "antioxidant," "formulation," "design," "nanosheets," and "graphene oxide"-have notably influenced future directions and warrant special attention.

Conclusion: This study is the first to comprehensively summarize the research trends and progress in PDT for anti-infective treatment through bibliometric

analysis, and to clarify recent research frontiers and hot directions, providing a valuable reference for the continued exploration of PDT applications in antiinfective therapy.

KEYWORDS

photodynamic therapy, infections, antibacterial, photothermal therapy, bacteria resistance, biofilm, nanoparticles

1 Introduction

Infectious diseases remain one of the major global challenges to human health. Although conventional antimicrobial therapies, particularly antibiotics, have significantly reduced infection-related mortality in recent decades, the spread of drug-resistant pathogens has increased markedly due to antibiotic overuse and rapid microbial evolution through genetic mutations and horizontal gene transfer (Friedman et al., 2016; Ferri et al., 2017; Alanis, 2005). The emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria has severely compromised the efficacy of traditional antibiotics (Tängdén et al., 2024; Murray et al., 2022). Notably, highly resistant strains such as methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus (VRE) pose substantial clinical challenges, establishing them as priority targets for global public health prevention and control efforts (Guo et al., 2020; Chambers and Deleo, 2009; Ahmed and Baptiste, 2018). Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is currently responsible for approximately 700,000 deaths annually worldwide. A government-commissioned report in the United Kingdom projected that, without effective intervention strategies, AMRrelated fatalities could rise to 10 million per year by 2050 (Willyard, 2017; O'Neill, 2014). Although these estimates remain debated (de Kraker et al., 2016), AMR undeniably represents a grave public health threat. This threat disproportionately affects elderly and immunocompromised populations, manifesting primarily through elevated rates of treatment failure and complications (Duhaniuc et al., 2024; World Health Organization, 2023; Nooruzzaman et al., 2024). Consequently, there is an urgent need to develop new antimicrobial alternatives. However, the protracted timeline and high costs associated with new antibiotic development have caused therapies to lag behind the rapid evolution of resistance, exacerbating the global AMR crisis (Tängdén et al., 2024). Furthermore, the growing prevalence of biofilmassociated infections presents additional clinical challenges. Pathogenic bacteria can form structurally complex biofilms within host tissues, conferring enhanced resistance to both antibiotic therapy and host immune defenses, ultimately leading to persistent infections and frequent recurrences (Nesse et al., 2023; Cieplik et al., 2018; Mah and O'Toole, 2001). Conventional antibiotic regimens often exhibit poor clinical efficacy against such infections, highlighting the limitations of traditional antimicrobial approaches in managing complex cases (Rabin et al., 2015; Uruén et al., 2020; Lewis, 2001). Alarmingly, the frequent coexistence of AMR and biofilm formation further compounds these challenges. Confronted with the dual threats of multidrug

resistance and biofilm-associated infections, the development of novel treatment strategies has become an urgent priority.

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a non-antibiotic treatment that employs photosensitizers (PSs) to interact with specific wavelengths of light, generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the presence of oxygen, which effectively eliminate pathogens (Cieplik et al., 2018; Wainwright and Crossley, 2004). Its antibacterial mechanism primarily operates through Type I (electron transfer) and Type II (energy transfer) photochemical reactions (Wainwright, 1998; Cieplik et al., 2018). Initially, PDT was predominantly used for cancer treatment, and its antimicrobial effects were largely overlooked. However, in the 1990s, PDT garnered renewed interest due to its significant success in treating drug-resistant pathogens (Wainwright et al., 2017) Compared to traditional antibiotics, PDT offers substantial advantages in combating antibiotic-resistant pathogens and biofilm-associated infections (Zanin et al., 2005; Cieplik et al., 2014). Notably, due to its unique antimicrobial mechanism, no microbial resistance has been observed to date (Maisch, 2015). It has been successfully applied to treat various infections, demonstrating notable efficacy in wound and burn infections (Hamblin et al., 2003), oral infections (Javed et al., 2014) (such as periodontitis and gingivitis), skin infections (Maisch et al., 2004), and persistent biofilm infections (Bair et al., 2020) that are difficult to treat with antibiotics. Despite these advancements, PDT faces several challenges in practical applications, including the selection of PSs and non-specific targeting, optimization of light conditions, and limitations in the lifetime and range of action of singlet oxygen (¹O₂). With advancements in nanotechnology and light source technologies, the efficacy of antimicrobial PDT (aPDT) has been notably improved (Liu et al., 2024; An et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2024). In recent years, research in this area has shown rapid growth. The increasing research activity highlights its potential and broad application prospects in antimicrobial treatments.

Bibliometric analysis is a quantitative research tool used to examine scientific literature and academic communication, aiming to reveal research trends, hotspots, and developmental directions within specific fields (Li et al., 2020). By analyzing information such as citations, authors, journals, institutions, and keywords, researchers can assess disciplinary dynamics from a global perspective, identify high-impact research outputs and scholars, and explore the formation and evolution of academic collaboration networks. In recent years, while bibliometric analyses have been conducted on the application of PDT in diseases such as skin cancer, periodontitis, lung cancer, and cervical cancer (Sun et al., 2023; Shanazarov et al., 2024; Gu et al., 2024), there remains a gap in bibliometric analysis regarding PDT in the field of anti-infection. Therefore, this study, for the first time, employs bibliometric analysis based on



the Web of Science Core Collection (WoSCC) database to evaluate the research impact and innovation of PDT in anti-infective treatment from multiple perspectives, including countries, institutions, authors, journals, and keywords. It provides a comprehensive overview of the research status in this field, reveals current research hotspots, and predicts future research directions, offering important references and in-depth insights for subsequent research.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data sources and retrieval strategies

The WoSCC is a comprehensive, multidisciplinary database that offers extensive publication information, including detailed references to authors, institutions, journals, and publishers. Its powerful features and citation analysis tools enable researchers to efficiently identify high-impact studies, track the research focus of leading scholars globally, and monitor emerging trends in their fields. As a widely recognized data source for bibliometric analysis, WoSCC has been extensively utilized in academic research.

To minimize information bias due to database updates, data retrieval was completed in the WoSCC on 19 March 2025. The specific search strategy was as follows: TS=(("pathogen*" OR "bacteria*" OR "bacterial infections" OR "bacterial tolerance" OR "infectious diseases" OR "infection*" OR "antibacterial" OR "antibacterial" OR "antimicrobial" OR "anti-infective" OR "antimicrobial activity" OR "antibacterial activity" OR "antibiotic resistance" OR "antimicrobial resistance" OR "fungi" OR "fungal infections" OR "antifungal resistance" OR "virus*" OR "viral infections" OR "antifungal resistance" OR "virus*" OR "viral infections" OR "antimicrobial photodynamic therapy" OR "aPDT" OR "photodynamic antimicrobial chemotherapy" OR "PACT" OR "photodynamic inactivation" OR "PDI")), Time range = January 2004 to April 2024, Document Type = (Article OR Review), and Language = English. Detailed information regarding literature screening is presented in Figure 1. All screening procedures were independently conducted by two investigators, with any disagreements resolved through discussion or, if necessary, arbitration by a third investigator.

2.2 Data extraction and collection

Two researchers exported the "full-text records and cited references" of the target publications (n = 9,711 articles) in plain text format for subsequent analysis. The impact factor (IF) and subject category quartile rankings of the journals were obtained from the latest edition of the Journal Citation Reports (JCR). The H-index, calculated based on citation data from Web of Science, refers to the number of articles (h) published by a researcher, each of which has been cited at least h times. It is a key indicator for quantifying the productivity and impact of scholarly output by a researcher or academic journal.

2.3 Data analysis and visualization

All data were imported into Microsoft Excel, VOSviewer, and CiteSpace for analysis. Excel was used to categorize and manage target indicators, including countries/regions, institutions, authors, journals, keywords, and references, as well as to chart annual publications. Utilizing VOSviewer (version 1.6.18), network, density, and overlay visualization maps were constructed by analyzing co-authorship networks among countries, institutions, and journals, co-citations of journals and authors, and cooccurrence relationships of keywords. CiteSpace (version 6.4 R1) was applied to detect keyword bursts and identify research frontiers and emerging trends in the field of PDT for anti-infection treatment. In the visual map constructed by VOSviewer, colors distinguish clusters, circles represent nodes, the size of the circles indicates the frequency of occurrences, and the thickness of the connecting lines reflects the strength of the relationships between the nodes.

3 Results

3.1 Annual growth trend

A total of 9,711 English-language publications on PDT for antiinfective applications were included in this study, comprising 7,900 original articles (81.35%) and 1,811 review articles (18.65%). Figure 2 illustrates the annual distribution and cumulative publication count for studies on PDT in anti-infective research. Over the past two decades, research output in this field has demonstrated a significant upward trend. Specifically, from 2004 to 2013, annual publications increased steadily, followed by a minor decline in 2014. From 2015 to 2020, publication numbers rebounded noticeably, accompanied by an accelerating rate of growth. Between 2021 and 2023, annual publication output remained at elevated levels, exceeding 1,000 publications each year and peaking at



TABLE 1 The top 10	countries and regions	in terms of the number	of publications.
--------------------	-----------------------	------------------------	------------------

Rank	Country	Publications	Percentage (n = 9,711)	Total citations	Mean citations	H-index	Total link strength
1	China	2,583	26.60%	98,331	38.07	131	776
2	United States	1,507	15.52%	82,079	54.47	129	1,121
3	Brazil	1,254	12.91%	31,463	25.09	74	444
4	India	680	7.00%	19,256	28.32	65	365
5	Iran	500	5.15%	13,002	26.00	49	196
6	Germany	480	4.94%	22,217	46.29	76	347
7	Italy	429	4.42%	14,613	34.06	57	318
8	England	372	3.83%	18,064	48.56	68	383
9	Saudi Arabia	367	3.78%	6,741	18.37	40	338
10	South korea	273	2.81%	9,782	35.83	48	149

1,308 in 2023. Although data from the first 4 months of 2024 are insufficient for evaluating full-year trends, current publication rates already exceed 50% of 2023's total output, indicating that research activity remains robust. Overall, PDT anti-infection research exhibits a consistent year-over-year increase, underscoring the field's growing significance and sustained scholarly engagement.

3.2 Analysis of countries/regions

A total of 126 countries have participated in research on PDT for anti-infective therapy. Table 1 presents the top 10 countries in terms of research output. China ranks first in both the number of publications (n = 2,583) and total citations (98,331), followed by the United States (n = 1,507, 82,079) and Brazil (n = 1,254, 31,463). The combined publication output of these three countries accounts for over 50% of the total publications, highlighting their significant involvement and leadership in this research field. In terms of average citations per article, the United States (54.47), England (48.56), and Germany (46.29) rank in the top three, indicating that their research began earlier and their results are relatively well-developed. Regarding the H-index, which reflects both productivity and academic impact, the top five



countries are China (H = 131), the United States (H = 129), Germany (H = 76), Brazil (H = 74), and England (H = 68). Figure 3A shows the co-authorship network among countries with no fewer than 40 publications. Network analysis reveals strong collaborations between China, the United States, and Brazil, consistent with their high productivity. The historical trend (Figure 3B) indicates that the early contributions to this field primarily came from developed countries such as the United States, England, Germany, and Japan. Although China entered this field relatively late, it has experienced rapid development in recent years, with its research output and academic influence now ranking among the leaders internationally. In recent years, countries such as Saudi Arabia and Egypt have also emerged as new contributors to international research collaborations. Figure 3C shows the distribution of countries with more than 150 publications, providing a clear reference for analyzing global research strength.

Rank	Institutions	Location	Publications	Percentage (n = 9,711)	Total citations	Mean citations	H-index	Total link strength
1	Universidade de Sao Paulo	Brazil	433	4.46%	12,534	28.95	56	323
2	Chinese Academy of Sciences	China	228	2.35%	13,653	59.88	69	195
3	King Saud University	Saudi Arabia	181	1.86%	4,190	23.15	35	91
4	Tehran University of Medical Sciences	Iran	180	1.85%	3,373	18.74	34	115
5	Massachusetts General Hospital	United States	169	1.74%	19,850	117.46	83	477
6	Harvard university	United States	168	1.73%	19,231	114.47	88	354
7	Universidade Estadual Paulista	Brazil	132	1.36%	2,483	18.81	48	80
8	Universidade de Aveiro	Portugal	129	1.33%	6,297	48.81	43	55
9	Islamic Azad University	Iran	110	1.13%	2,319	21.08	26	65
10	Russian Academy Of Sciences	Russia	99	1.02%	2,389	24.13	24	3

TABLE 2 The top 10 institutions ranked by the number of publications.

3.3 Analysis of institutions

A total of 7,141 institutions have engaged in research on PDT for anti-infective therapy. As shown in Table 2, the top 10 institutions in terms of publication output exhibit a multipolar distribution: the United States, Brazil, and Iran each hold two positions. One institution each from China, Saudi Arabia, Portugal, and Russia is also included. Among these, the Universidade de São Paulo ranks first globally with 433 publications (4.46%), followed by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (228, 2.35%), King Saud University (181, 1.86%), and Tehran University of Medical Sciences (180, 1.85%). Notably, although Massachusetts General Hospital (169, 1.74%) and Harvard University (168, 1.73%) in the United States rank fifth and sixth in terms of publication output, their research impact indicators are outstanding: the total citation counts are 19,850 and 19,231, respectively, with average citations per article reaching 117.46 and 114.47, and H-index of 83 and 88. These three core metrics consistently place them among the top two positions globally, highlighting the significant academic standing of the United States institutions in this research field. To further investigate inter-institutional collaboration, a co-authorship analysis was conducted on all publications. Figure 4A shows that 136 institutions have published at least 25 papers. These 136 institutions form 7 clusters, with the red cluster being the largest, consisting of 55 institutions, primarily from China. The United States institutions exhibit the most intense collaboration (yellow cluster). The analysis of historical contributions (Figure 4B) reveals that several United States research institutions, led by Massachusetts General Hospital, have dominated the early development of PDT anti-infective research. Meanwhile, research forces from Saudi Arabia and China began to participate more actively in this field starting in 2022.

3.4 Analysis of journals

A total of 1,903 journals have contributed to the research on PDT in the field of anti-infection treatment. The top 10 journals with the highest publication volumes in this field are presented in Table 3. Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy leads the field in antimicrobial photodynamic research with 998 publications, 18,089 citations, and an H-index of 49 - all core metrics ranking first among peer journals. The Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology ranks second, with 234 publications and an H-index equal to that of the leading journal (H = 49). Lasers in Medical Science ranks third, with 191 publications and an H-index of 41. Notably, Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences ranks fourth with 158 publications, but it has the highest average citations per article and the second-highest TLS, highlighting its "high quality with fewer publications" characteristic. Among the Top 10 high-producing journals, five journals are ranked in Quartile 1 (Q1) of the JCR. The IF of these 10 journals ranges between 2.1 and 8.5, with ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces becoming the benchmark journal for academic influence in this group with an IF of 8.5. Furthermore, there are 111 journals in this field with more than 15 publications. A journal collaboration network (Figure 5A) and density visualization map (Figure 5B) were constructed using VOSviewer. In the density map, red areas indicate higher cooccurrence frequencies, meaning the journal is cited or mentioned more frequently. Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy occupies a dominant position in the visualization map, fully demonstrating its central role and strong academic influence.



Co-citation analysis (Figure 6) further reveals that 215 journals form a closely connected academic community. The top five cocited journals were Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology, Photochemistry and Photobiology, ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces, and ACS Nano.

3.5 Analysis of authors

A total of 40,081 authors have contributed to PDT research in antimicrobial therapy. Table 4 lists the top 10 most prolific authors. Michael R. Hamblin ranks first with 150 publications, leading in total citations (15,788), average citations per article

Rank	Journals	Location	Publications	Percentage (n = 9,711)	Total citations	Mean citations	IF (2023)	JCR	H-index	Total link strength
1	Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy	Netherlands	998	10.28%	18,089	18.13	3.1	Q2	49	9,574
2	Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology	Switzerland	234	2.41%	7,614	32.54	3.9	Q1/ Q2	49	3,929
3	Lasers in Medical Science	England	191	1.97%	5,429	28.42	2.1	Q2/ Q3	41	3,280
4	Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences	England	158	1.63%	8,090	51.20	2.7	Q2/ Q3	45	4,042
5	Photochemistry and photobiology	United States	128	1.32%	3,706	28.95	2.6	Q3	36	2,268
6	International journal of Molecular sciences	Switzerland	125	1.29%	3,847	30.78	4.9	Q1/ Q2	32	1,681
7	ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces	United States	117	1.20%	5,191	44.37	8.5	Q1	45	1,375
8	Pharmaceutics	Switzerland	116	1.19%	2,564	22.10	4.9	Q1	24	1,219
9	Molecules	Switzerland	90	0.93%	3,736	41.51	4.2	Q2	27	1,107
10	Plos One	United States	84	0.86%	2,431	28.94	2.9	Q1	31	878

TABLE 3 The top 10 journals in terms of the number of publications.

(105.25), and H-index (77). The authors ranked second to 10th are Abbas Bahador (91), Adelaide Almeida (88), Maryam Pourhajibagher (85), Tebello Nyokong (82), Vanderlei S. Bagnato (74), Nasim Chiniforush (70), Edgardo N. Durantini (58), Maria Amparo F. Faustino (55), and Ben Zhong Tang (55). Although Tang and Faustino have relatively fewer publications, their high average citations and H-index (both top 5) highlight the impact and depth of their scholarly contributions. The analysis of the author collaboration network (Figures 7A,B) reveals that 147 scholars have collectively published over 15 relevant papers. Among these scholars, the group centered around Hamblin played a dominant role in pioneering early research in this field. In contrast, research activity among Chinese scholars has only seen a significant increase in recent years. Further analysis of the co-citation network (Figure 8) revealed that 404 authors were grouped into five distinct academic clusters. Hamblin (2,288 citations), Mark Wainwright, and Tim Maisch dominate in both co-citation frequency and Total Link Strength (TLS), constituting the structural core of the field's citation network. In addition, we identified the ten most important articles in PDT-based anti-infective therapy (Table 5), further demonstrating the key literature that has shaped this research area.

3.6 Analysis of keywords

After deleting meaningless words, merging synonyms and setting the minimum frequency of keywords to 90 times, a total of 146 keywords were obtained and then visualized using VOSviewer. Figure 9A shows that the target keywords are divided into four clusters (red, blue, green, and yellow). The top five keywords with the highest occurrence frequencies are "photodynamic therapy" (4,148), "nanoparticles" (1,611), "photosensitizers" (1,304), "in vitro" (1,222), and "therapy" (1,107). Given the time-sensitive nature of the research topic, a temporal overlay analysis of the keywords was conducted. As shown in Figure 9B, clusters in purple or blue represent early research themes in the field, while yellow clusters indicate current research hotspots. Common keywords in the early stages included "5aminolevulinic acid," "photoinactivation," "porphyrins," and "photosensitization." With the continuous development of the field, terms such as "nanoparticle," "antibacterial," "photothermal therapy," "nanomaterial," "nanocomposite," "aggregation-induced emission," and "metal-organic framework" have emerged as new



focal points of research. Furthermore, we employed CiteSpace to perform burst detection analysis of the keywords. As illustrated in Figure 10, a total of 47 keywords with the highest citation frequencies were identified. Among them, the two with the strongest burst intensities were "lethal photosensitization" (burst strength = 38.55) and "photothermal therapy" (burst strength = 30.26). Since 2021/2022, nine keywords—including "aggregationinduced emission," "wound healing," "photothermal therapy," "sonodynamic therapy," "antioxidant," "formulation," "design," "nanosheets," and "graphene oxide"—have shown continuous burst trends and have had a significant impact on the future research of PDT in the field of anti-infective treatment.



TADLE 4 The ter	10 authors	haaad an the		
TABLE 4 The top	D 10 authors	based on the	e number of	publications.

Rank	Authors	Publications	Percentage (n = 9711)	Total citations	Mean citations	H-index	Total link strength
1	Hamblin, MR.	150	1.54%	15788	105.25	77	220
2	Bahador, A	91	0.94%	1884	20.70	27	131
3	Almeida, A	88	0.91%	4742	53.89	40	315
4	Pourhajibagher, M	85	0.88%	1674	19.69	26	122
5	Nyokong, T	82	0.84%	1165	14.21	20	34
6	Bagnato, VS	74	0.76%	2176	29.41	33	100
7	Chiniforush, N	70	0.72%	1364	19.49	23	87
8	Durantini, EN.	58	0.60%	1788	30.83	29	64
9	Faustino, MAF.	55	0.57%	2657	48.31	41	245
10	Tang, BZ	55	0.57%	3653	66.42	31	41

4 Discussion

In this study, we conducted a bibliometric analysis of 9,711 publications related to PDT for anti-infective treatment using VOSviewer and CiteSpace software. We comprehensively reviewed the current research status and hotspots within this field and predicted future research trends. Our results indicate a significant global increase in publication volume from January 2004 to December 2023, which can be divided into three phases:

a period of steady growth (2004–2013), an accelerated phase (2014–2020), and a peak phase (2021–2023). The earliest foundational work on antimicrobial PDT was established by Raab in 1900, marking the inception of a century-plus of sustained scientific exploration (Raab, 1900). However, early research progress was relatively slow due to the inherent limitations of traditional PSs. The phenomenon of aggregation-induced emission (AIE), discovered in 2001, effectively addressed the challenge of PS aggregation and opened new avenues for the



development of novel PSs (Luo et al., 2001; Abrahamse et al., 2022). Independent applications of nanotechnology, along with its integration with combination therapies, have driven significant advancements in the field (Youf et al., 2021; Yan et al., 2024). Since 2021, annual publication outputs have consistently surpassed 1,000 articles, with 1,308 publications in 2023—marking an increase of more than 17 times relative to the output in 2004. Notably, publication output from January to April 2024 has already exceeded the cumulative total for the same period over the past 5 years, indicating that the field remains in a phase of rapid growth, with



TABLE 5 The top 10 most influential references in the application of PDT	for infection control research.
--	---------------------------------

Rank	Title	Authors	Journal	Citations	Year
1	Photodynamic therapy: a new antimicrobial approach to infectious disease?	Hamblin, MR	Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences	945	2004
2	Photodynamic antimicrobial chemotherapy (PACT)	Wainwright, M	Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy	639	1998
3	Photodynamic therapy in the treatment of microbial infections: Basic principles and perspective applications	Jori, G	Lasers in Surgery and Medicine	484	2006
4	Antimicrobial photodynamic inactivation: a bright new technique to kill resistant microbes	Hamblin, MR	Current opinion in microbiology	399	2016
5	Photodynamic therapy for cancer	Dolmans, DEJGJ	Nature Reviews Cancer	398	2003
6	Photodynamic therapy for localized infections—State of the art	DAI, TH	Photodiagnosis and photodynamic therapy	390	2009
7	Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy-what we know and what we don't	Cieplik, F	Critical reviews in microbiology	376	2018
8	Photodynamic therapy of cancer: an update	Agostinis, P	CA: a cancer journal for clinicians	370	2011
9	Photoantimicrobials—are we afraid of the light?	Wainwright, M	The Lancet Infectious Diseases	367	2017
10	Photodynamic therapy in dentistry	Konopka, K	Journal of dental research	347	2007

increasing scholarly attention and the potential for sustained expansion in the foreseeable future.

As illustrated in Table 1, China dominates PDT research for anti-infective applications among the 126 analyzed countries/ regions. It leads globally in publication volume, total citations, and H-index, underscoring its robust research capacity and academic influence in this field, followed by the United States and Brazil. In terms of average citations per article, the United States ranks first, reflecting the high quality and international impact of its research. China ranks fourth in this



metric, suggesting that with ongoing improvements in its research environment, the country is steadily advancing toward higherquality scientific output. In terms of international collaboration, China has also demonstrated outstanding performance, emerging as a key collaborative hub in the field alongside the United States and Brazil. The top 10 institutions by global publication output are distributed across the Americas, Asia, and Europe. The University of São Paulo and the Chinese Academy of Sciences rank first and second in publication volume, with corresponding global H-index rankings of fourth and third, respectively. Interestingly, although Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard University have published fewer articles, they rank fourth and third, respectively,

Top 47 Keywords with the Strongest Citation Bursts

Keywords		trength Begin End 38.55 2004 2015	
lethal photosensitization	2004		
toluidine blue	2004		
protein disulfide isomerase	2004	22.68 2004 2016	
photosensitization	2004		
gram negative bacteria	2004		
5 aminolevulinic acid	2004	20.01 2004 2012	
expression	2004	17.3 2004 2014	
escherichia coli	2004	15.2 2004 2015	
human immunodeficiency virus	2004		
photobactericidal activity	2004		
porphyrins	2004		
efficient photosensitizers	2004	9.36 2004 2012	
wound infections	2004	9.36 2004 2011	
acinetobacter baumannii	2004	8.77 2004 2012	
disulfide isomerase	2004	8.49 2004 2016	
choroidal neovascularization	2005	21.28 2005 2016	
porphyromonas gingivalis	2005	17.18 2005 2013	_
macular degeneration	2005	16.08 2005 2015	
endothelial growth factor	2005	15.73 2005 2015	
oral bacteria	2005		
photoinactivation	2005		
pathogenesis	2005		
sensitization	2005		
toluidine blue o	2006	19.35 2006 2019	
endoplasmic reticulum	2006		
binding	2007	15.35 2007 2015	
identification	2007		
age-related macular degeneration	2005	12.07 2007 2014	
susceptibility	2007	25.82 2008 2016	
waste water	2003	11.15 2008 2014	
mice	2008	9.59 2009 2017	
infections	2009	11.93 2010 2017	
strains			
	2011	8.47 2011 2017	
maintenance	2012	10.49 2012 2016	
toenail onychomycosis	2012	8.82 2012 2018	_
viability	2014	9.86 2014 2018	
chronic periodontitis	2013	10.37 2016 2018	
antimicrobial photodynamic inactivat		10.37 2017 2019	
wound healing	2013	8.99 2021 2024	
nanosheets	2021	8.59 2021 2024	
aggregation-induced emission	2017	8.57 2021 2024	
photothermal therapy	2015	30.26 2022 2024	
antioxidant	2017	21.29 2022 2024	
formulation	2017	10.04 2022 2024	
design	2012	9.72 2022 2024	
graphene oxide	2018	9.14 2022 2024	
sonodynamic therapy	2021	9.13 2022 2024	

FIGURE 10

The top 47 keywords with the strongest citation bursts by CiteSpace.

in total citations, average citations per article, and H-index—highlighting their substantial academic influence in this research domain. The institutional collaboration network depicted in Figure 3C reveals that several institutions from China, the

United States, Brazil, and Iran are actively engaged in scholarly publishing, forming a significant research force in this field. However, their collaborations remain largely confined within national boundaries, with limited international interaction. This cooperation pattern may hinder cross-disciplinary communication and the development of innovation to some extent. Moving forward, fostering transnational collaboration, promoting knowledge sharing, and enhancing technological exchange will be essential to achieving higher-quality scientific research outcomes.

Journal analysis constitutes a critical component of bibliometric research, as significant studies in this field are often published in leading core journals. Accordingly, this study analyzed the most influential journals within the field. As presented in Table 3, the top 10 most productive journals collectively published 2,241 articles, representing 23.08% of total publications. The five highest-output journals were Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology, Lasers in Medical Science, Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences, and Photochemistry and Photobiology. Among them, Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy significantly outperformed the others in terms of publication volume, total citations, and H-index, underscoring its exceptional academic standing. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology ranked second in publication count and shared the highest H-index with Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy. Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences stood out in terms of average citations per article, reflecting its strong research impact. When assessing journal influence through established metrics, the Journal IF and JCR classifications reveal significant insights. Per JCR quartile rankings based on IF, 50% of top 10 journals reside in Q1, with ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces possessing the highest IF (8.5). Notably, despite substantial research contributions from China and Brazil, the top 10 high-output journals lack representation from Asian or South American publishers. This observation underscores the necessity for enhanced development of internationally competitive academic platforms in these regions. Subject classification analysis indicates that the top 10 journals predominantly focus on the following disciplines: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Biophysics, Biomedical Sciences, Physical Chemistry, Materials Science, and Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, reflecting the primary research directions and thematic priorities in this field. Subsequent co-citation analysis reveals a substantial overlap between the five most frequently cited journals and the most productive ones. These journals include Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology, Photochemistry and Photobiology, ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces, and ACS Nano, further substantiating their scholarly authority and disciplinary influence. Looking forward, the core research outputs in this field are likely to continue appearing in these journals and will remain pivotal in shaping academic directions and advancing scientific progress.

Identifying prolific authors and their academic influence is essential for understanding the core forces and knowledge structure within a research field. Among the top 10 authors ranked by publication output, Hamblin stands out as the only scholar in this field with over 150 published papers, more than 15,000 total citations, and an average of over 100 citations per paper, securing the highest global H-index. His research primarily focuses on the mechanisms and applications of antimicrobial photodynamic inactivation (PDI) and aPDT (Hamblin and Hasan, 2004; Hamblin, 2016; Hamblin and Abrahamse, 2020). His seminal 2004 paper, titled "Photodynamic Therapy: A New Antimicrobial Approach to Infectious Disease?" (Hamblin and Hasan, 2004), remains highly cited. This work not only established the foundational theoretical framework of PDT in the field of anti-infection but also directly propelled the development and clinical translation of pathogenspecific PSs, such as cationic-modified compounds. Furthermore, he demonstrated that the antibacterial efficacy of PDT could be significantly enhanced by integrating nanoparticles or inorganic salts (Hamblin, 2017; Alipoor et al., 2022; Wen et al., 2017). Hamblin, widely regarded as the "father of modern phototherapy," holds a prestigious international reputation for his pioneering contributions to the field. Amid the COVID-19 pandemic, Faustino and her colleagues proposed that using safe and cost-effective PSs, such as methylene blue (MB) and porphyrins, for aPDT may help alleviate COVID-19 symptoms (Almeida et al., 2020). Hamblin further suggested combining photobiomodulation therapy (PBMT) with lung-targeted nano- PSs, offering new ideas for combating COVID-19 and reducing dependence on antiviral drugs (Fekrazad et al., 2021). Scholars such as Almeida, Wainwright, and Maisch have critically examined the limitations of conventional antimicrobial strategies, highlighting the advantages of aPDT, including its multi-targeted attack mechanisms, broad-spectrum efficacy, and low propensity for inducing resistance, advocating for increased attention to this modality within the medical community (Wainwright et al., 2017). This academic initiative has garnered cross-regional support. Researchers from Tehran University of Medical Sciences-Bahador, Pourhajibagher, and Chiniforush-have been actively engaged in developing novel nanoparticles and nanocarrier systems with high biocompatibility, aiming to enhance the therapeutic efficacy of aPDT in pathogen eradication and biofilm disruption. Their work has significantly advanced the translational potential of this technology in the treatment of oral infections and refractory lesions (Gholibegloo et al., 2018; Akbari et al., 2017; Pourhajibagher et al., 2020). In addition, Bahador and Pourhajibagher have also focused on elucidating the mechanisms and improving the efficacy of antimicrobial sonodynamic therapy (aSDT), particularly enhancing its antibiofilm effects through nanotechnological approaches (Pourhajibagher and Bahador, 2021; Pourhajibagher et al., 2023; Bahrami et al., 2023). The innovative contributions from the Asia-Pacific region are equally remarkable. Professor Tang Benzhong from China, a pioneer in the field of AIE, and his team have systematically constructed a series of novel AIE PSs with type I ROS generation capabilities, high targeting ability, and good biocompatibility through molecular engineering strategies. These PSs effectively enhance the antibacterial efficacy against various pathogens, including MDR bacteria, Gram-negative bacteria, and Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Gong et al., 2023; Yu et al., 2023; Xiao et al., 2022). This work provides a representative "Chinese solution" for aPDT. The co-citation network analysis of the authors reveals that Wainwright, Hamblin, Maisch, and Altair S. de Almeida Alves, among others, form a tightly knit collaborative cluster. Their extensive contributions to high-impact journals and close collaborations have significantly advanced the application and development of PDT for infection control. Looking forward, they are expected to remain at the forefront of research in this field.

Keywords, as core elements of academic papers, not only help explore the current state of research in the target field but also

predict research hotspots and development trends. To map the intellectual structure, a visual analysis of keywords was conducted using VOSviewer, revealing central themes in the global PDT antiinfective field. As shown in Figure 7B, early research in this domain primarily focused on the mechanisms of PSs, particularly their photochemical reactions during microbial inactivation (Wainwright, 1998). The emphasis was placed on evaluating the photochemical properties, toxicity, and safety of traditional PSs, such as porphyrins, phthalocyanines, and chlorins, and on verifying their bactericidal effects against various pathogens (such as bacteria and fungi) (O'Riordan et al., 2005; Javed et al., 2014), thus laying the foundation for the initial application of PDT in anti-infective treatment. As research progressed, biofilm-associated infections emerged as a key focus. This transition can be attributed to the fact that biofilm-embedded pathogens exhibit reduced susceptibility antibiotics to conventional and enhanced resistance mechanisms-challenges that aPDT is uniquely equipped to address. Indeed, a growing body of in vitro and in vivo evidence confirms that aPDT can effectively eradicate or substantially reduce microbial biofilms (Wang et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2021; Ribeiro et al., 2022; He et al., 2022). Despite the considerable advantages of PDT in anti-infective treatment, its practical application remains hindered by the limitations of traditional PSs, including poor target specificity, short-lived ROS, strict dependence on specific light conditions, and limited efficacy against Gram-negative bacteria (Polat and Kang, 2021).

To overcome the aforementioned limitations, researchers have significantly enhanced the antibacterial efficacy of aPDT through multidimensional strategies, including structural modification of PSs, development of novel PSs, and synergistic integration of enhancement techniques. Studies have demonstrated that cationic derivatives of phenothiazine, phthalocyanine, and porphyrin obtained through structural modifications significantly enhance PDI efficacy against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Yin and Hamblin, 2015), and the development or synthesis of new/natural PSs can further improve their photochemical properties and targeting capabilities (Polat and Kang, 2021; Zhou et al., 2023). Additionally, electroporation and chemical enhancers such as calcium chloride (CaCl₂) and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) can promote the uptake and accumulation of PSs by target bacteria, thereby amplifying the photodynamic bactericidal effect (de Melo Wde et al., 2013; Winter et al., 2013; Li et al., 2019). As a multifunctional platform for aPDT, nanomaterials overcome biological barriers by leveraging their tunable optical properties and versatile designs. Engineered as PSs, these optimized nanomaterials exert targeted antibacterial and anti-biofilm activity through multimodal mechanisms, including physical membrane disruption, oxidative stress via ROS generation, and intrinsic photodynamic effects. Representative materials include noble metal nanoparticles (e.g., Ag/Au NPs) (Liu et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2020; Huo et al., 2016; Mammari and Duval, 2023), metal oxides (e.g., ZnO, TiO₂) (Siddiqi et al., 2018; Ziental et al., 2020), semiconductor quantum dots (McCollum et al., 2021), and carbon-based architectures such as graphene oxide (GO) and carbon dots (C-dots) (Nie et al., 2020; Perreault et al., 2015). The combined action of these functions shows their ability to fight drugresistant bacteria and stubborn biofilm infections. Furthermore, innovations in drug delivery systems have propelled the

advancement of aPDT. Carriers based on chitosan (Boroumand et al., 2021; Sinani et al., 2024), upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) (Hamblin, 2018), and liposomes (Zang et al., 2024) achieve lesion-targeted therapy through controlled PS release, maintaining antibacterial efficacy and biofilm clearance efficiency while minimizing dose-dependent toxicity (Gupta et al., 2019). Advancements in light source technology are equally crucial for optimizing the efficacy of aPDT. Effective aPDT implementation necessitates defined spectral overlap between the emission profile of the light source and the absorption spectrum of the PS to trigger phototoxic reactions. Laser and light-emitting diode (LED) technologies are widely employed to eradicate pathogens while minimizing damage to healthy tissues (Polat and Kang, 2021). Near-infrared (NIR) light, with its superior tissue penetration capability, provides improved therapeutic solutions for deepseated infections when paired with compatible PSs (Vankayala and Hwang, 2018). Notably, nanotechnology not only optimizes the structural design of PSs but also enhances the overall therapeutic efficacy of aPDT by improving spectral absorption efficiency.

Building upon these developments, contemporary research has progressively shifted toward nanotechnology-based approaches, as evidenced by the clustering of keywords such as "nanoparticles," "nanomaterials," and "nanocomposites" in the time-series analysis (Figure 7B). These terms, along with emerging modalities including "photothermal therapy" and "aggregation-induced emission", occupy prominent positions in the visualization, reflecting their status as current research priorities. Furthermore, a growing body of evidence has demonstrated that the combined use of PDT with conventional antibiotics (Pérez-Laguna et al., 2021; Branco et al., 2018), photothermal therapy (PTT) (Naskar and Kim, 2023; Cui et al., 2020), sonodynamic therapy (SDT) (Alves et al., 2021; Pang et al., 2020), and gas therapy (Fraix and Sortino, 2018; Zhu et al., 2021) enhances the overall therapeutic efficacy. The integration of nanotechnology further amplifies the complementary actions of these combinatorial therapies, maximizing antimicrobial efficacy and exhibiting pronounced activity against drug-resistant infections (Gao et al., 2023; Li et al., 2021; Hu et al., 2022). In conclusion, nanotechnology-based synergistic enhancement strategies for PDT and aPDT have become a dominant research focus in this field. However, challenges such as the complex design of nanosystems, high production costs, and unresolved issues related to targeting selectivity, biocompatibility, low toxicity, and long-term efficacy necessitate further investigation. Addressing these limitations will be critical for advancing the clinical translation of aPDT technologies.

Burst detection is a technique used to identify keywords that exhibit a significant increase in frequency over a specific period, often serving as an indicator for tracking dynamic changes at the forefront of a research field. During the study period, we identified the top 47 keywords with the highest burst strengths. Among them, "lethal photosensitization" showed the strongest citation burst, highlighting its central role and confirming that photosensitization-mediated pathogen elimination remains a key mechanism of aPDT (Hu et al., 2022). Notably, nine keywords, including "aggregation-induced emission," "wound healing," "photothermal therapy," "sonodynamic therapy," "antioxidant," "formulation," "design," "nanosheets," and "graphene oxide", have been experiencing continuous bursts since 2021/2022. These

10.3389/fphar.2025.1510690

topics likely represent the cutting edge of current research and may significantly influence future directions. In optical therapy, the success of aPDT heavily depends on the choice of PS. Traditional PSs such as porphyrins and phthalocyanines suffer from the aggregation-induced quenching (ACQ) effect, which diminishes ROS production. In contrast, PSs developed based on the phenomenon of AIE exhibit enhanced radiative transitions in the aggregated state, leading to increased ROS generation and improved therapeutic outcomes (Zhou et al., 2023). For instance, a Type I AIE PS developed by Professor Tang Benzhong's group achieved 99% photodynamic bactericidal efficiency against MRSA and Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) under low-dose light exposure, outperforming both conventional PDT and clinical antibiotics (Yu et al., 2023). Similarly, Guo et al. designed AIE nanofibers (AIE-NFs) that effectively eliminated pathogens both in vitro and in vivo while accelerating the healing of infected wounds (Guo et al., 2022). To address the high resistance of biofilm-associated infections, the introduction of two-dimensional (2D) nanomaterials including graphene derivatives, molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂), Mxenes, and black phosphorus has added a new dimension to synergistic antimicrobial strategies (Xin et al., 2024). Using GO as an example, its ultrathin structure and programmable surface chemistry enable multiple mechanisms of action, including physical disruption (e.g., "nano-knife" membrane cutting), photothermal/photodynamic synergy (local heating combined with ROS bursts), and biofilm penetration, thereby significantly enhancing antimicrobial efficacy (Ng and Shamsi, 2022; Ramalingam et al., 2019). Experiments by Romero et al. showed that GO nanomaterials, under LED red light irradiation, could completely inactivate both Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria, while exhibiting significantly lower toxicity to human dermal fibroblasts (HDFs) compared to traditional PSs (Romero et al., 2020).

PTT and SDT are two other effective emerging antimicrobial strategies. SDT, derived from PDT, utilizes ultrasound (US) to activate sonosensitizers to produce ROS. Its main advantages lie in its deep tissue penetration and biofilm-disrupting capabilities, effectively overcoming the limitations of traditional PDT, which is generally restricted to superficial tissues (Xu et al., 2023; Li et al., 2021). The MCHH nanoclusters developed by Zhang's group enhanced both biofilm permeability and local oxygenation, significantly improving SDT efficacy under US irradiation (Zhang et al., 2024). Similarly, PTT eradicates pathogens by locally increasing temperature to disrupt cell membranes and protein structures (Naskar and Kim, 2023). It is often combined with PDT to form dual-modal phototherapy. Recent studies have demonstrated that dual-modality phototherapy (combining PDT and PTT) not only reduces treatment duration and drug dosage but also synergistically enhances antibacterial efficacy (Huang et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2024). For example, Ru@MXene nanocomposites can achieve a local temperature increase to 50.4°C under light exposure, and when combined with ROS production, achieve near-100% bactericidal efficiency against Escherichia coli and S. aureus (Liu et al., 2023). It's worth noting that the synergistic PTT/PDT approach not only exerts dual physical-chemical antimicrobial effects but also promotes wound healing by modulating immune responses, such as enhancing macrophage M2 polarization (Chen et al., 2023). Currently, PDT and its combination with antibiotics have demonstrated significant therapeutic efficacy in clinical treatments of brain abscesses and superficial infections such as cutaneous fungal infections and periodontal disease (Kharkwal et al., 2011; Gholami et al., 2023). In contrast, although nanomaterial-based multimodal synergistic antibacterial strategies have shown promising bactericidal potential in basic research, their clinical translation still faces multiple challenges. These include unresolved biosafety issues such as immunogenic responses to nanomaterials, poor stability in complex physiological environments and unpredictable metabolic clearance pathways, and potential organ accumulation toxicity (Tang et al., 2024; Holsapple et al., 2005; Xin et al., 2024). Additionally, the lack of standardized fabrication processes, unclear dose-response relationships, and the absence of unified evaluation systems further hinder their clinical application (Parvin et al., 2024; Rashed et al., 2021). Therefore, future research should focus on optimizing nanomaterial synthesis to improve biocompatibility, developing biodegradable PSs and targeted delivery systems, establishing dynamic visualization tools and standardized therapeutic evaluation frameworks, and promoting interdisciplinary collaboration to overcome the challenges of large-scale production and regulatory adaptation.

5 Limitations

This study presents several significant findings; however, the following limitations should be considered when interpreting the results. First, only the WoSCC database was used as the data source, which may have led to the omission of relevant literature from other databases, such as Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar, particularly studies published in non-English or lower-impact journals. Second, only original articles and review articles written in English were included, which may have excluded important publications in other types or languages. Nevertheless, WoSCC, with its extensive literature resources and recognized authority, is still widely considered the most suitable and recommended database for bibliometric analysis. Furthermore, given that citation accumulation takes time, recently published high-quality papers may not yet have received sufficient citations to be fully represented. The timeliness of bibliometric analysis also implies that research trends and hot spots may evolve as databases are updated. Therefore, the findings of this study reflect only the overall status of research on PDT for anti-infection from January 2004 to April 2024.

6 Conclusion

In summary, this study systematically analyzed the application status and global development trends of PDT in the field of antiinfection using bibliometric methods. Over the past 20 years, global research in this field has significantly increased, with China undoubtedly occupying a central position, ranking first in both the number of publications and total citations. The United States ranks second and maintains a leading influence. Cooperation between institutions is primarily domestic, while international collaboration is mainly concentrated among China, the United States, and Brazil. In the future, researchers should further strengthen collaboration among different countries and institutions. Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy is the highest-producing journal in this field, and many highly cited papers have also been published in this journal.

Hamblin is recognized as one of the most influential scholars in this area. Through keyword analysis, we believe that nanotechnology-based synergistic enhancement strategies for PDT and aPDT represent an important current research hotspot. Meanwhile, topics such as sonodynamic therapy, aggregation-induced emission, photothermal therapy, design, nanosheets, and graphene oxide are likely to remain at the forefront of research in this field in the coming years, warranting significant attention.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

WW: Conceptualization, Writing - review and editing, Data curation, Visualization, Writing - original draft. SL: Conceptualization, Data curation. Validation. Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing. YZ: Data curation, Writing - review and editing. ML: Visualization, Writing review editing. YG: and Visualization, Writing _ review and editing. ID: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing - review and editing.

References

Abrahamse, H., Hamblin, M. R., and George, S. (2022). Structure and functions of Aggregation-Induced Emission-Photosensitizers in anticancer and antimicrobial theranostics. *Front. Chem.* 10, 984268. doi:10.3389/fchem.2022.984268

Ahmed, M. O., and Baptiste, K. E. (2018). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci: a review of antimicrobial resistance mechanisms and perspectives of human and animal health. *Microb. Drug Resist.* 24 (5), 590–606. doi:10.1089/mdr.2017.0147

Akbari, T., Pourhajibagher, M., Hosseini, F., Chiniforush, N., Gholibegloo, E., Khoobi, M., et al. (2017). The effect of indocyanine green loaded on a novel nanographene oxide for high performance of photodynamic therapy against *Enterococcus faecalis*. *Photodiagn*. *Photodyn*. *Ther*. 20, 148–153. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt.2017.08.017

Alanis, A. J. (2005). Resistance to antibiotics: are we in the post-antibiotic era? Arch. Med. Res. 36 (6), 697–705. doi:10.1016/j.arcmed.2005.06.009

Alipoor, R., Ayan, M., Hamblin, M. R., Ranjbar, R., and Rashki, S. (2022). Hyaluronic acidbased nanomaterials as a new approach to the treatment and prevention of bacterial infections. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 10, 913912. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2022.913912

Almeida, A., Faustino, M. A. F., and Neves, M. G. (2020). Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy in the control of COVID-19. *Antibiotics* 9 (6), 320. doi:10. 3390/antibiotics9060320

Alves, F., Gomes Guimaraes, G., Mayumi Inada, N., Pratavieira, S., Salvador Bagnato, V., and Kurachi, C. (2021). Strategies to improve the antimicrobial efficacy of photodynamic, sonodynamic, and sonophotodynamic therapies. *Lasers Surg. Med.* 53 (8), 1113–1121. doi:10.1002/lsm.23383

An, Y., Xu, D., Wen, X., Chen, C., Liu, G., and Lu, Z. (2024). Internal light sourcesmediated photodynamic therapy nanoplatforms: hope for the resolution of the traditional penetration problem. *Adv. Healthc. Mat.* 13 (1), 2301326. doi:10.1002/ adhm.202301326

Bahrami, R., Pourhajibagher, M., Parker, S., Esmaeili, D., and Bahador, A. (2023). Anti-biofilm and bystander effects of antimicrobial photo-sonodynamic therapy against polymicrobial periopathogenic biofilms formed on coated orthodontic mini-screws with zinc oxide nanoparticles. *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.* 41, 103288. doi:10.1016/j. pdpdt.2023.103288

Bair, K. L., Shafirstein, G., and Campagnari, A. A. (2020). *In vitro* photodynamic therapy of polymicrobial biofilms commonly associated with otitis media. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 558482. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2020.558482

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Boroumand, H., Badie, F., Mazaheri, S., Seyedi, Z. S., Nahand, J. S., Nejati, M., et al. (2021). Chitosan-based nanoparticles against viral infections. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 11, 643953. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2021.643953

Branco, T. M., Valerio, N. C., Jesus, V. I. R., Dias, C. J., Neves, M. G., Faustino, M. A., et al. (2018). Single and combined effects of photodynamic therapy and antibiotics to inactivate *Staphylococcus aureus* on skin. *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.* 21, 285–293. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt.2018.01.001

Chambers, H. F., and Deleo, F. R. (2009). Waves of resistance: staphylococcus aureus in the antibiotic era. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 7 (9), 629-641. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2200

Chen, H., Wu, L., Wang, T., Zhang, F., Song, J., Fu, J., et al. (2023). PTT/PDT-induced microbial apoptosis and wound healing depend on immune activation and macrophage phenotype transformation. *Acta Biomater*. 167, 489–505. doi:10.1016/j.actbio.2023. 06.025

Chen, S., Huang, B., Tian, J., and Zhang, W. (2024). Advancements of porphyrinderived nanomaterials for antibacterial photodynamic therapy and biofilm eradication. *Adv. Healthc. Mat.* 13 (27), 2401211. doi:10.1002/adhm.202401211

Cieplik, F., Deng, D., Crielaard, W., Buchalla, W., Hellwig, E., Al-Ahmad, A., et al. (2018). Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy-what we know and what we don't. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 44 (5), 571–589. doi:10.1080/1040841X.2018.1467876

Cieplik, F., Tabenski, L., Buchalla, W., and Maisch, T. (2014). Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy for inactivation of biofilms formed by oral key pathogens. *Front. Microbiol.* 5, 405. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2014.00405

Cui, Q., Yuan, H., Bao, X., Ma, G., Wu, M., and Xing, C. (2020). Synergistic photodynamic and photothermal antibacterial therapy based on a conjugated polymer nanoparticle-doped hydrogel. *ACS Appl. Bio Mat.* 3 (7), 4436–4443. doi:10. 1021/acsabm.0c00423

de Kraker, M. E., Stewardson, A. J., and Harbarth, S. (2016). Will 10 million people die a year due to antimicrobial resistance by 2050? *PLoS Med.* 13 (11), e1002184. doi:10. 1371/journal.pmed.1002184

de Melo Wde, C., Lee, A. N., Perussi, J. R., and Hamblin, M. R. (2013). Electroporation enhances antimicrobial photodynamic therapy mediated by the hydrophobic photosensitizer, hypericin. *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.* 10 (4), 647–650. doi:10. 1016/j.pdpdt.2013.08.001 Duhaniuc, A., Păduraru, D., Nastase, E. V., Trofin, F., Iancu, L. S., Sima, C. M., et al. (2024). Multidrug-resistant bacteria in immunocompromised patients. *Pharm. (Basel)* 17 (9), 1151. doi:10.3390/ph17091151

Fekrazad, R., Asefi, S., Pourhajibagher, M., Vahdatinia, F., Fekrazad, S., Bahador, A., et al. (2021). "Photobiomodulation and antiviral photodynamic therapy in COVID-19 management," in *Coronavirus disease-covid-19*. Advances in experimental medicine and Biology. Editor N. Rezaei (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 517–547.

Ferri, M., Ranucci, E., Romagnoli, P., and Giaccone, V. (2017). Antimicrobial resistance: a global emerging threat to public health systems. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 57 (13), 2857–2876. doi:10.1080/10408398.2015.1077192

Fraix, A., and Sortino, S. (2018). Combination of PDT photosensitizers with NO photodononors. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 17 (11), 1709–1727. doi:10.1039/c8pp00272j

Friedman, N. D., Temkin, E., and Carmeli, Y. (2016). The negative impact of antibiotic resistance. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 22 (5), 416–422. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2015. 12.002

Gao, Y., Lin, H., Luo, Y., Li, J., Gong, C., Chen, H., et al. (2023). Nanomaterial-based photodynamic therapy for antibacterial applications: a comprehensive review. *Front. Mat.* 10, 1260887. doi:10.3389/fmats.2023.1260887

Gholami, L., Shahabi, S., Jazaeri, M., Hadilou, M., and Fekrazad, R. (2023). Clinical applications of antimicrobial photodynamic therapy in dentistry. *Front. Microbiol.* 13, 1020995. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.1020995

Gholibegloo, E., Karbasi, A., Pourhajibagher, M., Chiniforush, N., Ramazani, A., Akbari, T., et al. (2018). Carnosine-graphene oxide conjugates decorated with hydroxyapatite as promising nanocarrier for ICG loading with enhanced antibacterial effects in photodynamic therapy against Streptococcus mutans. J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 181, 14–22. doi:10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2018.02.004

Gong, J., Liu, L., Li, C., He, Y., Yu, J., Zhang, Y., et al. (2023). Oxidization enhances type 1 ROS generation of AIE-active zwitterionic photosensitizers for photodynamic killing of drug-resistant bacteria. *Chem. Sci.* 14 (18), 4863–4871. doi:10.1039/d3sc00980g

Gu, Z., Wu, L., Li, J., Liu, B., Huang, M., and Zheng, S. (2024). Bibliometric and visual analysis of photodynamic therapy for lung cancer from 2010 to 2022. *Trans. Cancer Res.* 13 (2), 738–751. doi:10.21037/tcr-23-1238

Guo, K., Zhang, M., Cai, J., Ma, Z., Fang, Z., Zhou, H., et al. (2022). Peptideengineered AIE nanofibers with excellent and precisely adjustable antibacterial activity. *Small* 18 (17), 2108030. doi:10.1002/smll.202108030

Guo, Y., Song, G., Sun, M., Wang, J., and Wang, Y. (2020). Prevalence and therapies of antibiotic-resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 10, 107. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2020.00107

Gupta, A., Mumtaz, S., Li, C. H., Hussain, I., and Rotello, V. M. (2019). Combatting antibiotic-resistant bacteria using nanomaterials. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 48 (2), 415–427. doi:10.1039/c7cs00748e

Hamblin, M. R. (2016). Antimicrobial photodynamic inactivation: a bright new technique to kill resistant microbes. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 33, 67–73. doi:10.1016/j.mib. 2016.06.008

Hamblin, M. R. (2017). Potentiation of antimicrobial photodynamic inactivation by inorganic salts. *Expert. Rev. anti. Infect. Ther.* 15 (11), 1059–1069. doi:10.1080/14787210.2017.1397512

Hamblin, M. R. (2018). Upconversion in photodynamic therapy: plumbing the depths. *Dalton Trans.* 47 (26), 8571–8580. doi:10.1039/C8DT00087E

Hamblin, M. R., and Abrahamse, H. (2020). Oxygen-independent antimicrobial photoinactivation: type III photochemical mechanism? *Antibiotics* 9 (2), 53. doi:10. 3390/antibiotics9020053

Hamblin, M. R., and Hasan, T. (2004). Photodynamic therapy: a new antimicrobial approach to infectious disease? *Photoch. Photobiol. Sci.* 3 (5), 436–450. doi:10.1039/b311900a

Hamblin, M. R., Zahra, T., Contag, C. H., McManus, A. T., and Hasan, T. (2003). Optical monitoring and treatment of potentially lethal wound infections *in vivo*. *J. Infect. Dis.* 187 (11), 1717–1725. doi:10.1086/375244

He, Y., Pang, J., Yang, Z., Zheng, M., Yu, Y., Liu, Z., et al. (2022). Toluidine blue O-induced photoinactivation inhibit the biofilm formation of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Photodiagn*. *Photodyn*. *Ther.* 39, 102902. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt. 2022.102902

Holsapple, M. P., Farland, W. H., Landry, T. D., Monteiro-Riviere, N. A., Carter, J. M., Walker, N. J., et al. (2005). Research strategies for safety evaluation of nanomaterials, part II: toxicological and safety evaluation of nanomaterials, current challenges and data needs. *Toxicol. Sci.* 88 (1), 12–17. doi:10.1093/toxsci/kfi293

Hu, X., Zhang, H., Wang, Y., Shiu, B. C., Lin, J. H., Zhang, S., et al. (2022). Synergistic antibacterial strategy based on photodynamic therapy: progress and perspectives. *Chem. Eng. J.* 450, 138129. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2022.138129

Huang, X., Chen, G., Pan, J., Chen, X., Huang, N., Wang, X., et al. (2016). Effective PDT/PTT dual-modal phototherapeutic killing of pathogenic bacteria by using ruthenium nanoparticles. *J. Mat. Chem. B* 4 (37), 6258–6270. doi:10.1039/C6TB01122E

Huang, X., Fu, Y., Guo, Y., Cai, Y., Li, T., Zhao, P., et al. (2024). Two birds with one stone: a multi-functional nanoplatform for sensitive detection and real-time

inactivation of pathogenic bacteria with NIR-triggered PTT/PDT. Chem. Eng. J. 481, 148649. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2024.148649

Huo, S., Jiang, Y., Gupta, A., Jiang, Z., Landis, R. F., Hou, S., et al. (2016). Fully zwitterionic nanoparticle antimicrobial agents through tuning of core size and ligand structure. ACS Nano 10 (9), 8732–8737. doi:10.1021/acsnano.6b04207

Javed, F., Samaranayake, L. P., and Romanos, G. E. (2014). Treatment of oral fungal infections using antimicrobial photodynamic therapy: a systematic review of currently available evidence. *Photoch. Photobiol. Sci.* 13 (5), 726–734. doi:10.1039/c3pp50426c

Kharkwal, G. B., Sharma, S. K., Huang, Y. Y., Dai, T., and Hamblin, M. R. (2011). Photodynamic therapy for infections: clinical applications. *Lasers Surg. Med.* 43 (7), 755–767. doi:10.1002/lsm.21080

Lewis, K. (2001). Riddle of biofilm resistance. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 45 (4), 999–1007. doi:10.1128/aac.45.4.999-1007.2001

Li, R., Chen, Z., Dai, Z., and Yu, Y. (2021). Nanotechnology assisted photo-and sonodynamic therapy for overcoming drug resistance. *Cancer Biol. Med.* 18 (2), 388–400. doi:10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2020.0328

Li, R., Sun, J., Hu, H., Zhang, Q., Sun, R., Zhou, S., et al. (2020). Research trends of acupuncture therapy on knee osteoarthritis from 2010 to 2019: a bibliometric analysis. *J. Pain Res.* 30, 1901–1913. doi:10.2147/JPR.S258739

Li, X., Huang, W., Zheng, X., Chang, S., Liu, C., Cheng, Q., et al. (2019). Synergistic *in vitro* effects of indocyanine green and ethylenediamine tetraacetate-mediated antimicrobial photodynamic therapy combined with antibiotics for resistant bacterial biofilms in diabetic foot infection. *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.* 25, 300–308. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt.2019.01.010

Liu, W., Sun, Y., Zhou, B., Chen, Y., Liu, M., Wang, L., et al. (2024). Near-infrared light triggered upconversion nanocomposites with multifunction of enhanced antimicrobial photodynamic therapy and gas therapy for inflammation regulation. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 663, 834–846. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2024.02.179

Liu, X., Cheng, Z., Wen, H., Zhang, S., Chen, M., and Wang, J. (2020). Hybrids of upconversion nanoparticles and silver nanoclusters ensure superior bactericidal capability via combined sterilization. *ACS Appl. Mat. Interfaces* 12 (46), 51285–51292. doi:10.1021/acsami.0c15710

Liu, X., Xie, H., Zhuo, S., Zhou, Y., Selim, M. S., Chen, X., et al. (2023). Ru (II) complex grafted $Ti_3C_2T_x$ MXene nano sheet with photothermal/photodynamic synergistic antibacterial activity. *Nanomater. (Basel)* 13 (6), 958. doi:10.3390/nano13060958

Luo, J., Xie, Z., Lam, J. W., Cheng, L., Chen, H., Qiu, C., et al. (2001). Aggregationinduced emission of 1-methyl-1, 2, 3, 4, 5-pentaphenylsilole. *Chem. Commun.* 18, 1740–1741. doi:10.1039/B105159H

Mah, T. F., and O'Toole, G. A. (2001). Mechanisms of biofilm resistance to antimicrobial agents. *Trends Microbiol.* 9 (1), 34–39. doi:10.1016/s0966-842x(00) 01913-2

Maisch, T. (2015). Resistance in antimicrobial photodynamic inactivation of bacteria. *Photoch. Photobiol. Sci.* 14 (8), 1518–1526. doi:10.1039/c5pp00037h

Maisch, T., Szeimies, R. M., Jori, G., and Abels, C. (2004). Antibacterial photodynamic therapy in dermatology. *Photoch. Photobiol. Sci.* 3 (10), 907–917. doi:10.1039/B407622B

Mammari, N., and Duval, R. E. (2023). Photothermal/photoacoustic therapy combined with metal-based nanomaterials for the treatment of microbial infections. *Microorganisms* 11 (8), 2084. doi:10.3390/microorganisms11082084

McCollum, C. R., Bertram, J. R., Nagpal, P., and Chatterjee, A. (2021). Photoactivated indium phosphide quantum dots treat multidrug-resistant bacterial abscesses *in vivo*. ACS Appl. Mat. Interfaces 13 (26), 30404–30419. doi:10.1021/acsami.1c08306

Murray, C. J., Ikuta, K. S., Sharara, F., Swetschinski, L., Aguilar, G. R., Gray, A., et al. (2022). Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* 399 (10325), 629–655. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02724-0

Naskar, A., and Kim, K. S. (2023). Friends against the foe: synergistic photothermal and photodynamic therapy against bacterial infections. *Pharmaceutics* 15 (4), 1116. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics15041116

Nesse, L. L., Osland, A. M., and Vestby, L. K. (2023). The role of biofilms in the pathogenesis of animal bacterial infections. *Microorganisms* 11 (3), 608. doi:10.3390/microorganisms11030608

Ng, I. M., and Shamsi, S. (2022). Graphene oxide (GO): a promising nanomaterial against infectious diseases caused by multidrug-resistant bacteria. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23 (16), 9096. doi:10.3390/ijms23169096

Nie, X., Jiang, C., Wu, S., Chen, W., Lv, P., Wang, Q., et al. (2020). Carbon quantum dots: a bright future as photosensitizers for *in vitro* antibacterial photodynamic inactivation. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 206, 111864. doi:10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2020. 111864

Nooruzzaman, M., Johnson, K. E., Rani, R., Finkelsztein, E. J., Caserta, L. C., Kodiyanplakkal, R. P., et al. (2024). Emergence of transmissible SARS-CoV-2 variants with decreased sensitivity to antivirals in immunocompromised patients with persistent infections. *Nat. Commun.* 15 (1), 7999. doi:10.1038/s41467-024-51924-3

O'Neill, J. (2014). Antimicrobial resistance: tackling a crisis for the health and wealth of nations. Available online at: https://amr-review.org/sites/default/files/AMR% 20Review%20Paper%20-%20Tackling%20a%20crisis%20for%20the%20health%20and %20wealth%20of%20nations_1.pdf (Accessed April 15, 2025).

O'Riordan, K., Akilov, O. E., and Hasan, T. (2005). The potential for photodynamic therapy in the treatment of localized infections. *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.* 2 (4), 247–262. doi:10.1016/s1572-1000(05)00099-2

Pang, X., Li, D., Zhu, J., Cheng, J., and Liu, G. (2020). Beyond antibiotics: photo/ sonodynamic approaches for bacterial theranostics. *Nano-Micro Lett.* 12 (1), 144. doi:10.1007/s40820-020-00485-3

Parvin, N., Kumar, V., Joo, S. W., and Mandal, T. K. (2024). Emerging trends in nanomedicine: carbon-based nanomaterials for healthcare. *Nanomater. (Basel)* 14, 1085. doi:10.3390/nano14131085

Pérez-Laguna, V., García-Luque, I., Ballesta, S. I. A., Rezusta, A., and Gilaberte, Y. (2021). Photodynamic therapy combined with antibiotics or antifungals against microorganisms that cause skin and soft tissue infections: a planktonic and biofilm approach to overcome resistances. *Pharm. (Basel)* 14 (7), 603. doi:10.3390/ph14070603

Perreault, F., de Faria, A. F., Nejati, S., and Elimelech, M. (2015). Antimicrobial properties of graphene oxide nanosheets: why size matters. *ACS Nano* 9 (7), 7226–7236. doi:10.1021/acsnano.5b02067

Polat, E., and Kang, K. (2021). Natural photosensitizers in antimicrobial photodynamic therapy. *Biomedicines* 9 (6), 584. doi:10.3390/biomedicines9060584

Pourhajibagher, M., Azimi Mohammadabadi, M., Ghafari, H. A., Hodjat, M., and Bahador, A. (2023). Evaluation of anti-biofilm effect of antimicrobial sonodynamic therapy-based periodontal ligament stem cell-derived exosome-loaded kojic acid on *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilm. J. Med. Microbiol. 72 (11), 001772. doi:10.1099/jmm.0001772

Pourhajibagher, M., and Bahador, A. (2021). Synergistic biocidal effects of metal oxide nanoparticles-assisted ultrasound irradiation: antimicrobial sonodynamic therapy against Streptococcus mutans biofilms. *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.* 35, 102432. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt.2021.102432

Pourhajibagher, M., Hosseini, N., Boluki, E., Chiniforush, N., and Bahador, A. (2020). Photoelimination potential of chitosan nanoparticles-indocyanine green complex against the biological activities of Acinetobacter baumannii strains: a preliminary *in vitro* study in burn wound infections. *J. Lasers Med. Sci.* 11 (2), 187–192. doi:10.34172/jlms.2020.31

Raab, O. (1900). Uber die wirkung fluoreszierender stoffe auf infusorien. *Zeitschr Biol.* 39, 524–546.

Rabin, N., Zheng, Y., Opoku-Temeng, C., Du, Y., Bonsu, E., and Sintim, H. O. (2015). Biofilm formation mechanisms and targets for developing antibiofilm agents. *Future Med. Chem.* 7 (4), 493–512. doi:10.4155/fmc.15.6

Ramalingam, V., Raja, S., Sundaramahalingam, S., and Rajaram, R. (2019). Chemical fabrication of graphene oxide nanosheets attenuates biofilm formation of human clinical pathogens. *Bioorg. Chem.* 83, 326–335. doi:10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.10.052

Rashed, A. O., Merenda, A., Kondo, T., Lima, M., Razal, J., Kong, L., et al. (2021). Carbon nanotube membranes-strategies and challenges towards scalable manufacturing and practical separation applications. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 257, 117929. doi:10.1016/j. seppur.2020.117929

Ribeiro, I. D., Pinto, J. G., Souza, B. M. N., Miñán, A. G., and Ferreira-Strixino, J. (2022). Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy with curcumin on methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm. *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.* 37, 102729. doi:10.1016/j. pdpdt.2022.102729

Romero, M. P., Marangoni, V. S., de Faria, C. G., Leite, I. S., Silva, C. C. C. E., Maroneze, C. M., et al. (2020). Graphene oxide mediated broad-spectrum antibacterial based on bimodal action of photodynamic and photothermal effects. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 2995. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.02995

Shanazarov, N. A., Zare, A., Mussin, N. M., Albayev, R. K., Kaliyev, A. A., Iztleuov, Y. M., et al. (2024). Photodynamic therapy of cervical cancer: a scoping review on the efficacy of various molecules. *Ther. Adv. Chronic Dis.* 15, 20406223241233206. doi:10. 1177/20406223241233206

Shi, H., Li, J., Peng, C., Xu, B., and Sun, H. (2021). The inhibitory activity of 5aminolevulinic acid photodynamic therapy (ALA-PDT) on *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.* 34, 102271. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt.2021.102271

Siddiqi, K. S., Ur Rahman, A., Tajuddin, N., and Husen, A. (2018). Properties of zinc oxide nanoparticles and their activity against microbes. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 13 (1), 141–13. doi:10.1186/S11671-018-2532-3

Sinani, G., Sessevmez, M., and Şenel, S. (2024). Applications of chitosan in prevention and treatment strategies of infectious diseases. *Pharmaceutics* 16 (9), 1201. doi:10.3390/ pharmaceutics16091201

Sun, J., Zhao, H., Fu, L., Cui, J., and Yang, Y. (2023). Global trends and research progress of photodynamic therapy in skin cancer: a bibliometric analysis and literature review. *Clin. Cosmet. Investig. Dermatol.* 16, 479–498. doi:10.2147/CCID.S401206

Tang, W., Zhang, X., Hong, H., Chen, J., Zhao, Q., and Wu, F. (2024). Computational nanotoxicology models for environmental risk assessment of engineered nanomaterials. *Nanomater.* (*Basel*) 14 (2), 155. doi:10.3390/nano14020155

Tängdén, T., Carrara, E., Hellou, M. M., Yahav, D., and Paul, M. (2024). Introducing new antibiotics for multidrug-resistant bacteria: obstacles and the way forward. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 31 (3), 354–359. doi:10.1016/j.cmi.2024.09.025

Uruén, C., Chopo-Escuin, G., Tommassen, J., Mainar-Jaime, R. C., and Arenas, J. (2020). Biofilms as promoters of bacterial antibiotic resistance and tolerance. *Antibiotics* 10 (1), 3. doi:10.3390/antibiotics10010003

Vankayala, R., and Hwang, K. C. (2018). Near-infrared-light-activatable nanomaterial-mediated phototheranostic nanomedicines: an emerging paradigm for cancer treatment. *Adv. Mat.* 30 (23), 1706320. doi:10.1002/adma. 201706320

Wainwright, M. (1998). Photodynamic antimicrobial chemotherapy (PACT). J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 42 (1), 13–28. doi:10.1093/jac/42.1.13

Wainwright, M., and Crossley, K. B. (2004). Photosensitising agents-circumventing resistance and breaking down biofilms: a review. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegr.* 53 (2), 119–126. doi:10.1016/j.ibiod.2003.11.006

Wainwright, M., Maisch, T., Nonell, S., Plaetzer, K., Almeida, A., Tegos, G. P., et al. (2017). Photoantimicrobials—are we afraid of the light? *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 17 (2), e49–e55. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30268-7

Wang, M., Gu, K., Ding, W., Wan, M., Zhao, W., Shi, H., et al. (2022). Antifungal effect of a new photosensitizer derived from BODIPY on *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther.* 39, 102946. doi:10.1016/j.pdpdt.2022.102946

Wen, X., Zhang, X., Szewczyk, G., El-Hussein, A., Huang, Y. Y., Sarna, T., et al. (2017). Potassium iodide potentiates antimicrobial photodynamic inactivation mediated by rose bengal in *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 61 (7), 10–1128. doi:10.1128/aac.00467-17

Willyard, C. (2017). The drug-resistant bacteria that pose the greatest health threats. *Nature* 543 (7643), 15. doi:10.1038/nature.2017.21550

Winter, S., Tortik, N., Kubin, A., Krammer, B., and Plaetzer, K. (2013). Back to the roots: photodynamic inactivation of bacteria based on water-soluble curcumin bound to polyvinylpyrrolidone as a photosensitizer. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 12 (10), 1795–1802. doi:10.1039/c3pp50095k

World Health Organization (2023). Antimicrobial resistance. Available online at: https:// www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/antimicrobial-resistance (Accessed April 12, 2025).

Xiao, P., Shen, Z., Wang, D., Pan, Y., Li, Y., Gong, J., et al. (2022). Precise molecular engineering of type I photosensitizers with near-infrared aggregation-induced emission for image-guided photodynamic killing of multidrug-resistant bacteria. *Adv. Sci.* 9 (5), 2104079. doi:10.1002/advs.202104079

Xie, Y., Zheng, W., and Jiang, X. (2020). Near-infrared light-activated phototherapy by gold nanoclusters for dispersing biofilms. *ACS Appl. Mat. Interfaces* 12 (8), 9041–9049. doi:10.1021/acsami.9b21777

Xin, L., Zhao, H., Peng, M., and Zhu, Y. (2024). Roles of two-dimensional materials in antibiofilm applications: recent developments and prospects. *Pharm. (Basel)* 17 (7), 950. doi:10.3390/ph17070950

Xu, Q., Xiu, W., Li, Q., Zhang, Y., Li, X., Ding, M., et al. (2023). Emerging nanosonosensitizers augment sonodynamic-mediated antimicrobial therapies. *Mat. Today Bio* 19, 100559. doi:10.1016/j.mtbio.2023.100559

Yan, R., Zhan, M., Xu, J., and Peng, Q. (2024). Functional nanomaterials as photosensitizers or delivery systems for antibacterial photodynamic therapy. *Biomater. Adv.* 159, 213820. doi:10.1016/j.bioadv.2024.213820

Yin, R., and Hamblin, M. R. (2015). Antimicrobial photosensitizers: drug discovery under the spotlight. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 22 (18), 2159–2185. doi:10.2174/0929867322666150319120134

Youf, R., Müller, M., Balasini, A., Thétiot, F., Müller, M., Hascoët, A., et al. (2021). Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy: latest developments with a focus on combinatory strategies. *Pharmaceutics* 13 (12), 1995. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics13121995

Yu, Y., Liu, Y., Chen, Y., Chen, J., Feng, G., and Tang, B. Z. (2023). Cationic AIE-active photosensitizers for highly efficient photodynamic eradication of drug-resistant bacteria. *Mat. Chem. Front.* 7 (1), 96–105. doi:10.1039/d2qm01043g

Zang, J., Zhang, L., Guo, R., Kong, L., Yu, Y., Li, S., et al. (2024). Baicalein loaded liposome with hyaluronic acid and Polyhexamethylene guanidine modification for anti methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 276, 133432. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2024.133432

Zanin, I. C., Goncalves, R. B., Junior, A. B., Hope, C. K., and Pratten, J. (2005). Susceptibility of *Streptococcus mutans* biofilms to photodynamic therapy: an *in vitro* study. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 56 (2), 324–330. doi:10.1093/jac/dki232

Zhang, T., Xing, H., Xiong, M., Gu, M., Xu, Z., Zhang, L., et al. (2024). Carbon dotsbased nanoclusters for sonodynamic therapy of bacterial infection enhanced by deep biofilm penetration and hypoxia alleviation. *Chem. Eng. J.* 488, 150819. doi:10.1016/j. cej.2024.150819

Zhou, W., Jiang, X., and Zhen, X. (2023). Development of organic photosensitizers for antimicrobial photodynamic therapy. *Biomater. Sci.* 11 (15), 5108–5128. doi:10.1039/ D3BM00730H

Zhu, J., Tian, J., Yang, C., Chen, J., Wu, L., Fan, M., et al. (2021). L-Arg-rich amphiphilic dendritic peptide as a versatile NO donor for NO/photodynamic synergistic treatment of bacterial infections and promoting wound healing. *Small* 17 (32), 2101495. doi:10.1002/smll.202101495

Ziental, D., Czarczynska-Goslinska, B., Mlynarczyk, D. T., Glowacka-Sobotta, A., Stanisz, B., Goslinski, T., et al. (2020). Titanium dioxide nanoparticles: prospects and applications in medicine. *Nanomater. (Basel)* 10 (2), 387. doi:10.3390/nano10020387