Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Fenglei Huang, Boehringer Ingelheim, Germany

REVIEWED BY

Mehdi El Hassani, Boehringer Ingelheim, United States Saikumar Matcha, University of Southern California, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Fei Wang, I feiwang19@fudan.edu.cn Xingang Li, I kg198320022003@163.com Min Chen, II 9201951091@fjmu.edu.cn

 $^{\mathrm{t}}\mathrm{These}$ authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 11 February 2025 ACCEPTED 08 April 2025 PUBLISHED 29 April 2025

CITATION

Wang F, Li Z, Huang Y, Liu Q, Zhao L, Wang H, Gao H, Chen M, Lin Y, Li X and Chen M (2025) Effect of ABCB1 SNP polymorphisms on the plasma concentrations and clinical outcomes of rivaroxaban in Chinese NVAF patients: a population pharmacokinetic-based study. *Front. Pharmacol.* 16:1574949. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2025.1574949

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wang, Li, Huang, Liu, Zhao, Wang, Gao, Chen, Lin, Li and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effect of ABCB1 SNP polymorphisms on the plasma concentrations and clinical outcomes of rivaroxaban in Chinese NVAF patients: a population pharmacokinetic-based study

Fei Wang^{1*†}, Ze Li^{2†}, Youqi Huang^{3†}, Qin Liu¹, Libin Zhao⁴, Honghong Wang^{5,6}, Hongjin Gao⁷, Mingyu Chen³, Yuze Lin³, Xingang Li^{2*} and Min Chen^{7*}

¹Department of Pharmacy, Fujian Provincial Geriatric Hospital, Clinical College of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China, ²Department of Pharmacy, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ³Shengli Clinical College of Fujian Medical University, School of Pharmacy, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China, ⁴Department of Intensive Care Unit, Fujian Provincial Geriatric Hospital, Clinical College of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou, China, ⁵Department of Pharmacy, Liuzhou Maternity and Child Healthcare Hospital, Affiliated Maternity Hospital, Liuzhou, China, ⁶Department of Pharmacy, Liuzhou Hospital of Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Liuzhou, China, ⁷Shengli Clinical College of Fujian Medical University, Department of Pharmacy, Fujian Provincial Hospital, Fuzhou University Affiliated Provincial Hospital, Fuzhou, China

Background: This study utilized a population pharmacokinetic (PPK) approach to assess the influence of ABCB1 genetic polymorphisms on the plasma concentrations and clinical outcomes of rivaroxaban.

Methods: The PPK model for rivaroxaban was developed using the nonlinear mixed-effects modelling approach and Monte Carlo simulations were employed to derive peak concentration (C_{max}) and trough concentration (C_{trough}). ABCB1 genetic variants were analyzed for their impact on the plasma concentrations and clinical outcomes.

Results: Analysis of 287 rivaroxaban plasma concentrations from 228 non-valvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF) patients revealed significant associations between AST (aspartate aminotransferase)/ALT (alanine aminotransferase) ratios and the apparent clearance (CL/F), the apparent volume of distribution (V/F). ABCB1 1236C>T TT and ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T CC genotypes exhibited higher dose-adjusted C_{max} (C_{max}/D) compared to other relevant genotypes. Additionally, the ABCB1 3435C>T TT genotype showed lower dose-adjusted C_{trough}/D) compared to CC or CT genotypes. For clinical outcomes, the ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T CC genotype had a higher bleeding risk compared to TT (RR = 1.99, 95% CI 1.08–3.69) or CT genotypes (RR = 1.42, 95% CI 1.04–1.92), and ABCB1 3435C>T TT genotype showed a higher thromboembolic risk compared to CC genotype (RR = 3.48, 95% CI 1.02–11.85).

Conclusion: The PPK model incorporated CL/F and V/F with the covariate AST/ALT. Model-based simulations revealed that ABCB1 1236C>T, ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T, and ABCB1 3435C>T genotypes had significant

impacts on the plasma concentrations of rivaroxaban. Specifically, ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T and ABCB1 3435C>T genotypes were associated with bleeding events and thromboembolic events, respectively.

KEYWORDS

population pharmacokinetic, rivaroxaban, non-valvular atrial fibrillation, ABCB1 genetic polymorphisms, TDM (therapeutic drug monitoring)

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) stands as the most prevalent sustained cardiac arrhythmia observed in clinical settings, impacting millions globally (Chugh et al., 2014). AF poses substantial risks to cardiovascular health and overall wellness. The irregular ventricular response associated with AF can lead to hemodynamic instability, significantly elevating the likelihood of thromboembolic events, particularly ischemic stroke (Chugh et al., 2014). Anticoagulant therapy, commonly using oral anticoagulants such as warfarin or non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs), like rivaroxaban, dabigatran, or apixaban, plays a crucial role in mitigating thromboembolic risks among AF patients (January et al., 2019).

In recent years, rivaroxaban, a direct inhibitor of factor Xa, has gained considerable attention for its effectiveness and safety profile in managing thromboembolic disorders among patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF) (Patel et al., 2011). Despite the generally predictable nature of rivaroxaban's PK, individual variability in drug exposure has been observed, leading to challenges in optimizing dosage regimens. This variability can impact both the efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban therapy, potentially increasing the risk of bleeding or reducing its antithrombotic effects (Testa et al., 2016). Specifically, genetic polymorphisms in drug-metabolizing enzymes and drug transporters may contribute to this variability.

Cytochrome P450 (CYP) isoforms 3A4, 3A5, and 2J2 primarily metabolize rivaroxaban (Daly and King, 2003). Investigations have indicated that the activity of CYP3A4 affects the peak concentration (C_{max}) and trough concentration (C_{trough}) of rivaroxaban (Sychev et al., 2018), suggesting that genetic variations in CYP genes may affect the pharmacokinetic (PK) of rivaroxaban. A recent study highlighted that individuals with mutant genotypes of CYP3A4 (rs2242480, rs2246709, and rs3735451) and CYP3A5 (rs776746) displayed higher Ctrough of rivaroxaban compared to those with wild-type genotypes, and the minor allele (C) carriers on rs3735451 and the minor allele (A) carriers on rs2246709 were correlated with the minor bleeding events (Li X. Y. et al., 2023). Furthermore, rivaroxaban is transported by P-glycoprotein, encoded by the ATP Binding Cassette Subfamily B Member 1 (ABCB1) gene (Gnoth et al., 2011). Variations in this gene may influence P-glycoprotein activity and expression levels, thereby impacting rivaroxaban's absorption, distribution, and elimination processes, finally leading to variations in plasma concentrations and clinical outcomes. Therefore, we aim to investigate the impact of ABCB1 gene polymorphisms on the plasma concentrations and clinical outcomes of rivaroxaban in this study.

However, accurately determining the C_{max} and C_{trough} can be challenging due to imprecise experimental operations in clinical

experimental procedures. Meanwhile, Population pharmacokinetics (PPK) has emerged as a robust analytical tool for elucidating variability in drug exposure across diverse individuals within a population (Mould and Upton, 2012). PPK models can identify sources of variability and facilitate accurate prediction of PK parameters, even with limited sampling instances or variable dosing histories (Mould and Upton, 2012). In light of these considerations, the present study aims to investigate the impact of ABCB1 gene polymorphisms on the plasma concentrations and clinical outcomes of rivaroxaban in Chinese NVAF patients using a PPK-based approach. Specifically, we sought to determine whether genetic variations in ABCB1 influence the dose-adjusted Cmax (C_{max}/D) and C_{trough} (C_{trough}/D) of rivaroxaban, and whether these variations are associated with bleeding or thromboembolic events. By addressing these questions, our study provides valuable insights into the role of ABCB1 genetic polymorphisms in rivaroxaban therapy, paving the way for personalized dosing strategies in NVAF patients.

Methods

Subjects and therapeutic interventions

This was prospective research conducted at the Fujian Provincial Hospital, which was approved by the institutional ethics committee (No. k2022-09-014). Inclusion criteria encompassed adult individuals diagnosed with NVAF receiving rivaroxaban treatment, while notable exclusion criteria included patients with poorly controlled hypertension (systolic blood pressure \geq 160 mmHg), a history of cerebral hemorrhage or arterial dissection, or abnormal coagulation function. Specifically, poorly controlled hypertension is associated with an increased risk of bleeding events, such as intracerebral hemorrhage, which could complicate the interpretation of rivaroxaban's safety profile (Lane and Lip, 2012). Additionally, poorly controlled hypertension may lead to secondary complications, such as renal impairment, which could indirectly influence rivaroxaban's PK by reducing its elimination (Kvasnicka et al., 2017).

Patients received rivaroxaban doses of 5, 7.5, 10, 15, or 20 mg once daily. Blood samples were collected from residual blood after routine biochemical tests during patient clinical care, with inclusion limited to samples with validated sampling information. Plasma samples were centrifuged at 2,500×g at 4°C for 10 min and stored at -70° C. Additionally, the clinical characteristics and outcomes of the patients were extracted from medical records and the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation (Kong et al., 2013). Bleeding events comprised minor bleeding (epistaxis, gingival

bleeding, skin ecchymosis), urinary and gastrointestinal bleeding (hematemesis, melena, stool routine positive, hematuria), and intracranial hemorrhage. Thrombotic events included arterial thrombosis (acute myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke), and venous thrombosis (lower extremity deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism).

Measurement of the collected rivaroxaban plasma concentrations

The rivaroxaban plasma concentrations were analyzed using a highly sensitive and specific high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) method. This method was adapted from a previously published protocol (Derogis et al., 2017), with modifications to chromatographic conditions and the linear calibration range to suit the specific requirements of our study. Validation of the analytical method was performed in accordance with the guidelines outlined by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) for bioanalytical method validation. The calibration curve was linear over 3 ~ 1,600 ng/mL, with an LLOQ of 3 ng/mL and $r^2 > 0.99$. Both intra-day and inter-day precision and accuracy were assessed. Precision (coefficient of variation, CV) was < 5%, and accuracy ranged from 93% to 100%. Recovery was consistent and reproducible at low, medium, and high-quality control levels. No matrix interference was observed, and dilution integrity (1:2, 1:4) met the acceptance criteria. Stability studies under various conditions (short-term, freeze-thaw, long-term) were satisfactory. No carry-over was detected. Standard samples of rivaroxaban and apixaban (used as an internal standard) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States). Methanol and formic acid of chromatography grade were sourced from Merck Company. These standards were used throughout the validation process to ensure consistency and reproducibility.

Assessment of gene polymorphisms

Gene polymorphisms at four gene loci, specifically ABCB1 3435C>T, ABCB1 1236C>T, ABCB1 2677G>T/A, and ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T, were investigated in this research. Massarray SNP typing technology, conducted by BGI Tech Solutions (Beijing Liuhe) Co., Limited, was employed for the detection of gene polymorphisms.

Pharmacokinetic model development

The compartment model and nonlinear mixed-effects modelling strategy were employed in the development of the PPK model. The Phoenix[®] NLMETM 7.0 software from Certara (St. Louis, MO) was utilized, employing the FOCE-ELS (first-order conditional estimation and extended least squares) method. It is important to note that a log-normal distribution was assumed for the interindividual variability (IIV) of the PK parameters. Specifically, the random effect term (η_i) associated with each individual's parameter

was assumed to follow a normal distribution with a mean of zero and a variance of ω^2 (Equation 1).

$$P_i = P \times e^{\eta_i} \tag{1}$$

where P is the typical value of a PK parameter and P_i represents the *i*th patient's individual PK parameter.

Furthermore, the residual error is described by the proportional, additive, or combined error model (Equations 2–4).

$$C_i = C \times (1 + \varepsilon_1) \tag{2}$$

$$C_i = C + \varepsilon_2 \tag{3}$$

$$C_i = C \times (1 + \varepsilon_1) + \varepsilon_2 \tag{4}$$

where Ci and C represent the individual plasma concentrations and predictions of plasma concentrations, respectively. ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 represent the proportional errors and additive errors of predictions for drug concentrations, respectively, which are normally distributed with a mean of zero and a variance of σ^2 .

Interindividual variability in rivaroxaban PK was estimated, and covariate analyses were performed to identify factors that could explain or reduce this variability. The dataset included both categorical covariates and continuous covariates. The impact of categorical covariates on each parameter was assessed using a scale model (Equation 5) (Liu et al., 2022), whereas continuous covariates were evaluated using an exponential function model (Equation 6) (Li et al., 2016).

$$P_{i} = \begin{cases} P \times \theta_{1} \text{ (Category 1)} \\ P \times \theta_{2} \text{ (Category 2)} \\ P \times \theta_{3} \text{ (Category 3)} \end{cases}$$
(5)

$$P_i = P \times \left(\frac{Cov}{Cov_{median}}\right)^{\theta} \times e^{\eta_i} \tag{6}$$

where *Cov* and *Cov*_{median} represent the individual and median values of a covariate, respectively, while θ represents the estimated value of the continuous covariate effect. θ_1 , θ_2 and θ_3 represent the estimated values of the different categorical covariate effects, respectively.

The correlation between covariates and the parameters of the base model was used to construct the initial population model. The final population model was obtained through the forward inclusion-backward elimination approach. A covariate was deemed significant if its inclusion resulted in a reduction of more than 6.635 in the objective function value (OFV) (P < 0.01), and its exclusion led to an increase of more than 10.828 in the OFV (P < 0.001).

Model validation

The final model's reliability was evaluated using visual assessment methods, specifically employing goodness-of-fit (GOF) plots (Li Z. et al., 2023). These primary GOF plots included four scatter plots: conditional weighted residual errors (CWRES) versus time after last dose (TAD), CWRES versus population-predicted concentration (PRED), observations versus PRED, and observations versus individual predicted concentration (IPRED). To assess the final model's robustness, a bootstrap resampling technique was employed. A total of 2000 datasets were generated by randomly selecting different patient combinations, and parameters were reestimated using the final population model (Li et al., 2016). Median parameter values and their 95% confidence intervals (CI), derived from the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of the 2000 bootstrap-estimated parameters, were compared with the estimates of the final model. Additionally, visual predictive checks (VPCs) were performed using 1,000 simulations to assess the predictive performance of the final model (Li et al., 2015). In these VPCs, the observed drug concentrations were plotted against the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles of the simulated concentrations, which represent the 90% prediction intervals. Furthermore, the 90% CIs for the 5th, 50th, and 95th percentiles were calculated across the 1,000 simulated datasets to evaluate the robustness of the model predictions. Lastly, for each patient, observed plasma concentrations were plotted against time, and the corresponding predicted concentration-time profiles were generated. The individual fits were visually evaluated by assessing the alignment between observed data points and predicted concentration-time profiles, with the plots used to identify potential outliers or systematic deviations in model predictions at the individual level.

Monte Carlo simulations

Monte Carlo simulations were performed using the final PPK model to estimate individual C_{max} and C_{trough} for each patient. A total of 1,000 simulations were conducted per patient to account for IIV and residual error. The primary objectives of the Monte Carlo simulation were: (1) to evaluate the effects of ABCB1 genetic polymorphisms on C_{max}/D and C_{trough}/D ; and (2) to explore potential correlations between rivaroxaban plasma concentrations and clinical outcomes, including bleeding and thromboembolic events.

Statistical analysis

The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium test was conducted using the χ^2 test. To evaluate the impact of ABCB1 SNPs on rivaroxaban plasma concentrations, continuous variables such as Cmax/D and Ctrough/D were compared among genotypes using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Pairwise comparisons were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test with Bonferroni correction to adjust for multiple testing. For clinical outcomes, categorical variables such as bleeding events and thromboembolic events were analyzed using the χ^2 test or Fisher's Exact test. Relative risks (RR) with 95% CI were calculated to assess the risk of clinical events associated with specific genotypes. All analyses were performed using Stata 17.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, United States). Data are presented as median and range or interquartile range, or mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05. However, for the univariate analysis, Bonferroni correction-adjusted P-values were considered statistically significant to address multiple testing.

Results

Participants

A cohort of 228 patients was successfully recruited for participation in this study, in accordance with the predetermined

eligibility criteria. Throughout the study duration, a total of 287 plasma concentration data points were collected and documented. The median number of blood samples per participant was 1 (range: $1 \sim 3$; mean \pm SD: 1.26 ± 0.54). Approximately 78% of participants contributed one sample, 18% contributed two samples, and 4% contributed three samples. Detailed information regarding the demographic and clinical characteristics of the enrolled patients has been summarized in Table 1. Genotyping for four SNPs was performed, and no observed frequencies deviated significantly from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, as shown in Table 2. Analyzing and summarizing confounding factors potentially impacting drug metabolism or clinical outcomes were conducted and presented in Table 3, where no significant differences were observed among different ABCB1 genotypes.

Population pharmacokinetic model

In this study, we evaluated six different combinations of compartment models and error models to determine the most appropriate structure for our PPK model. Specifically, we tested one-compartment and two-compartment models with additive, proportional, and combined error models. The optimal model was selected based on the OFV and diagnostic plots, with the combination yielding the lowest OFV and most consistent diagnostic plots being chosen as the final model. The OFV values for each combination are presented in Table 4. Through this comparative analysis, a one-compartment model with a proportional error model was ultimately identified as the bestfitting model for describing the PK of rivaroxaban in the studied population. Additionally, the absorption rate constant (k_a) was fixed at 0.617 h⁻¹ based on a prior PPK study involving Japanese patients (Kaneko et al., 2013). This decision was made due to the limited sampling during the absorption phase in our dataset, which could lead to high uncertainty in k_a estimation. Fixing k_a ensured stability in parameter estimation while maintaining consistency with prior knowledge.

A comprehensive set of covariates was evaluated for their potential influence on the apparent clearance (CL/F) and apparent volume of distribution (V/F) of rivaroxaban. The covariates analyzed included age, sex, body weight (BW), body mass index (BMI), albumin (ALB), bilirubin (BIL), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), the AST/ALT, ratio, serum creatinine (S_{CR}), and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). Notably, the k_a was fixed at 0.617 h⁻¹ based on findings from prior studies, thereby precluding the need for covariate analysis related to k_a .

Covariate analysis revealed significant associations between several variables and the PK parameters of rivaroxaban. Specifically, age, AST, ALT, eGFR, and the AST/ALT ratio demonstrated notable effects on CL/F or V/F, as evidenced by diagnostic covariate plots. Consequently, these covariates were incorporated into the initial PPK model. A stepwise approach, involving forward inclusion and backward elimination, was utilized to refine the model. This iterative process identified AST/ ALT ratios as the sole covariate significantly influencing CL/F and V/F of rivaroxaban. The refinement resulted in a decrease in the

TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants.

	Median (range)	Mean <u>+</u> SD
Age (years)	73 (36, 94)	72.3 ± 10
Female, n (%)	88 (38.6)	
BW (kg)	65 (33.5, 99)	65.2 ± 11.2
BMI ^a (kg/m ²)	23.7 (13.6, 36)	24 ± 3.4
ALB (g/L)	41 (27, 54)	41 ± 4.7
BIL (µmol/L)	11.6 (2.1, 53.6)	13.6 ± 8.2
ALT (U/L)	18 (1.5, 82)	22.1 ± 13.7
AST (U/L)	21 (6.2, 197)	24.1 ± 14.2
SCR (mg/dL)	0.89 (0.31, 4.21)	0.98 ± 0.43
eGFR ^b (mL/min)	79.2 (13.3, 130.4)	74.6 ± 20.8
CHA2DS2-VASc	4 (2, 10)	4.13 ± 1.82
HAS-BLED	2 (1, 5)	1.99 ± 0.94

BW, body weight; BMI: body mass index; ALB, albumin; BIL, bilirubin; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; SCR, serum creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; SD, standard deviation.

^ameans BMI, body weight (kg)/height (m)2.

^bmeans eGFR, was calculated by the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation.

TABLE 2 Variation in genotypes and allele frequencies.

SNP	Genotype	n	Frequency (%)	Allele	n	Frequency (%)	Р
ABCB1 3435C>T	СС	77	39.7	С	248	63.9	0.4822
	СТ	94	48.5	Т	140	36.1	-
	ТТ	23	11.8				
ABCB1 1236C>T	CC	21	10.6	С	147	37.1	0.0557
	СТ	105	53	Т	249	62.9	
	TT	72	36.4				-
ABCB1 2677G>T/A	GG	67	34.7	G	211	54.7	0.8048
	GT	77	39.9	Т	145	37.5	-
	ТА	20	10.4	А	30	7.8	-
	TT	24	12.4				-
	AA	5	2.6				-
ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T	CC	66	33.6	С	235	59.9	0.1865
	СТ	103	52.6	Т	157	40.1	
	TT	27	13.8				

OFV value from 2,661 to 2,506. Consequently, the final PPK model, which incorporates the AST/ALT covariate, is represented mathematically by Equations 7, 8.

$$CL/F(L/h) = 5.64 \times \left(\frac{AST/ALT}{1.188}\right)^{-0.074}$$
 (7)

$$V/F(L) = 41.7 \times \left(\frac{AST/ALT}{1.188}\right)^{0.213}$$
 (8)

In Equations 7, 8, the typical values for CL/F and V/F are 5.64 L/ h and 41.7 L, respectively, while the median value of AST/ALT is 1.188. The coefficients ($f_{CL/F-AST/ALT}$) and ($f_{V/F-AST/ALT}$) are -0.074 and 0.213, respectively, indicating the relationship between AST/ALT and these two PK parameters. The equations indicate a clear decrease in CL/F and an increase in V/F as AST/ALT ratios rise. Initially, a diagonal OMEGA matrix was used to estimate the variances of the PK parameters (CL/F and V/F). To assess potential correlations between parameters, a full OMEGA variance-

Selection of the selection o	SNP	Wild type	Heterozygotes mutant type	Homozygotes mutant type	Р
App Wagh21 (66, 30)72 (65, 73)74 (58, 73)0.684Wagh660, 72,575 (58, 73)0.624AST(ALT)11 (0.91, 1.63)115 (0.94, 1.46)114 (0.7, 1.82)0.933CHALDR2, VAS:4 (3.5)2 (3.0)2 (3.0)0.933CHALDR2, VAS:1 (3.0)2 (3.0)0.9330.000Challer, VAS:(3.5)2 (3.0)0.0000.000Challer, VAS:1 (3.0)0.010.010.01Apprin100.010.010.01Apprin100.010.010.01BATCAIN100.010.010.01BATCAIN79 (59, 03)0.010.010.01BATCAIN79 (59, 03)0.020.010.01BATCAIN1 (200, 15.1)114 (0.7, 15.8)0.020.01BATCAIN1 (200, 15.1)114 (0.7, 15.8)0.020.01ASTAILT1 (201, 15.2)100 (0.9, 15.1)114 (0.7, 15.8)0.02ASTAILT1 (201, 15.2)100 (0.9, 15.2)114 (0.7, 15.8)0.02ASTAILT1 (201, 15.2)100 (0.9, 15.2)114 (0.7, 15.2)0.02ASTAILT1 (201, 15.2)1 (201, 15.2)0.020.02ASTAIL	ABCB1 3435C>T				
Name GRP61 (60, 73)61 (60, 72.5)61 (67, 75.5)0.984ASTALT11 (00,1, 1.6)11 (00,1, 1.6)11 (00,1, 1.6)0.91LTAS BLID2 (1, 5)4 (0, 5)4 (0, 6)0.91LTAS BLID2 (1, 5)4 (0, 5)4 (0, 6)0.91Anishore51 (2, 1, 2)2 (1, 2)0.81Hybologlogic11220.81Applic600.610.61Applic1000.61BATCM1000.61BATCM1000.61BATCM6000.61BATCM6000.61BATCM6000.61BATCM6000.61Collectured6000.61Collectured6000.61BATCM60000.61Collectured1.90.810.610.61Collectured1.90.810.610.61Collectured1.90.610.610.61Collectured1.90.610.610.61Collectured1.90.610.610.61Collectured1.90.610.610.61Collectured1.90.610.610.61Collectured1.90.610.610.61Collectured1.90.610.610.61	Age	72 (66, 80)	72.5 (65, 79)	74 (65, 81)	0.885
nergy Arryat Arryat Arryat, 11 (003, L3)76 (983, 91.2)10 (07, 75.9)0.03Arryat Arryat, 21 (003, L3)13 (004, L40)14 (07, 75.9)0.03(FABJDS-VAS)13 (004, L40)14 (0, 5)0.03(FABJDS-VAS)13 (0.32 (0.3)0.03(FABJDS-VAS)13 (0.3)2 (0.3)0.03Concentardug000.04Anadarom (Fyrderophorget)13600.04Apprin13600.04Apprin140.100.040.04Apprin100.100.040.04Arrow100.040.04Arrow10.040.040.04Arrow10.040.040.04Arrow10.040.040.04Arrow10.040.040.04Arrow10.040.040.04Arrow10.040.040.04Arrow1.04 (0.05)1.14 (0.71,18)0.03Arrow1.04 (0.05)1.14 (0.71,18)0.03Arrow1.04 (0.08,15)1.14 (0.71,18)0.03Arrow1.04 (0.08,15)1.14 (0.71,18)0.03Arrow1.04 (0.08,15)1.14 (0.71,18)0.03Arrow1.04 (0.08,15)1.14 (0.71,18)0.03Arrow1.04 (0.08,15)1.14 (0.71,18)0.03Arrow1.04 (0.08,15)1.14 (0.71,18)0.03Arrow1.04 (0.03,16)1.0	Weight	65 (60, 73)	65 (60, 72, 5)	67 (55, 75)	0.968
Durn STATAT110 (09), 1.431.50 (09.4, 1.40)0.141 (07), 7.83)0.924STATAT4 (b, 5)4 (b, 5)4 (b, 5)0.021Austa (b, 5)4 (b, 5)4 (b, 5)0.0210.021Austa (b, 5)4 (b, 5)4 (b, 5)0.0210.021Austa (b, 5)5000.0210.024Appirin5000.0210.024BATCA000.0210.0210.021Austa (b, 5)0000.0210.021BATCA0100.0210.021BATCA0100.0210.021BATCA0100.0210.021BATCA0100.0210.021BATCA0100.0210.021BATCA7 (00, 04)6 (60, 723)6 (60, 724)0.021Weght6 (60, 723)6 (60, 724)0.0210.021CHALDES VANC120 (1, 133)119 (0.09, 15)114 (0.79, 138)0.237ASTALT120 (1, 133)119 (0.09, 15)114 (0.79, 138)0.237ASTALT120 (1, 133)119 (0.09, 16)114 (0.79, 138)0.021ASTALT120 (1, 133)119 (0.09, 16)114 (0.79, 138)0.021ASTALT120 (1, 139)14 (0.19, 14)1200.021ASTALT120 (1, 139)14 (0.19, 14)0.0210.021ASTALT120 (1, 139)14 (0.19, 14)0.0210.021 <td>*CED</td> <td>$74 \in (56, 0, 75)$</td> <td>77.6(50, 72.5)</td> <td>07 (00, 70) 91 0 (57, 05 2)</td> <td>0.124</td>	*CED	$74 \in (56, 0, 75)$	77.6(50, 72.5)	07 (00, 70) 91 0 (57, 05 2)	0.124
ANIAL111 (09, 143)113 (09, 143)113 (09, 143)0.93CHALDS2 X/SA2 (3,3)2 (1,2)2 (1,3)0.902Concentiant drug (n)2 (1,3)0.061Anolatoron (n)1220.054Angetrin (n)500.9410.941Apritin (n)500.9410.941Apritin (n)1000.9410.941Apritin (n)000.9410.941Apritin (n)7 (0,71)0.9610.961SNUIS000.9610.961Margitin (n)7 (0,71)0.9610.961SNUIS7 (0,74)7 (0,75,943)0.767GRR (n)7 (0,71)0.9690.961GRR (n)7 (0,71)0.9690.971GRR (n)7 (0,71)0.9690.971GRR (n)7 (0,71)0.9690.971GRR (n)7 (0,71)0.9690.971GRR (n)7 (0,71)0.9690.971GRR (n)7 (0,71)0.9690.971GRR (n)7 (0,71)0.9690.971Apprin (n)7 (0,71)0.9690.971Apprin (n)7 (0,71)0.9690.971Apprin (n)7 (0,71)0.9610.971Apprin (n)7 (0,71)0.9610.971Apprin (n)7 (0,71)0.9610.971Apprin (n)7 (0,72)7 (0,72)0.971Apprin (n)7 (0,72)7 (0,72)0.971	egfk	/4.5 (56.9, 8/.6)	//.6 (59.9, 91.2)	81.0 (57, 95.3)	0.424
CHA2DS-VAS4 (3, 5)4 (3, 5)4 (3, 6)070HAS-NED2 (1,3)2 (1,3)0.869Concomitant drug (n)5500.61Hydrocloptologi131220.654Aspitin5600.626Calcataral100.8100.815NATOM9900.0000.815Marcolataral1000.000NATOM9900.000Marcolataral65 (60, 70)65 (60, 72)67 (60, 70)0.667Viejdat65 (60, 70)65 (60, 72)67 (60, 70)0.869GRA71 (35, 85.5)76.4 (92, 88.8)76 (79, 93.3)0.237ASTALT1.23 (8, 85.5)76.4 (92, 88.8)76 (79, 93.93.3)0.237CHA2DSAVAS2 (1, 5)2 (1, 5)0.8580.858ASTALT1.23 (8, 85.5)76.4 (92, 88.8)1.14 (07, 1.83)0.237ASTALT1.23 (8, 85.5)76.4 (92, 88.8)2 (1, 5)0.858Chactarada01.14 (07, 1.83)0.8710.871ASTALT1.23 (8, 85.5)1.44 (92, 93.1)0.8710.871Astarada1000.8710.871Astarada1000.8710.871Astarada1000.8710.871Astarada1000.8710.871Astarada1000.8710.871Astarada<	AST/ALT	1.11 (0.91, 1.43)	1.15 (0.84, 1.46)	1.14 (0.7, 1.82)	0.953
IA.BELD2 (1,9)2 (1,9)2 (1,9)(1,9)(1,9)Construct of the second se	CHA2DS2-VASc	4 (3, 5)	4 (3, 5)	4 (3, 6)	0.702
Concention of the second of t	HAS-BLED	2 (1,3)	2 (1, 2)	2 (1,3)	0.860
Anisone Picture Picture Picture 	Concomitant drug (n)				
Index Aprin18122008Aprin3000.000.00SATCA9000.00BATCA9000.00ASTON9000.00ASTON70.000.000.00ASTON70.000.000.00ASTON70.000.000.00ASTON70.000.000.000.00ASTON70.000.000.000.00ASTAN10.000.000.000.00ASTAN10.000.000.000.00ASTAN20.000.000.000.00ASTAN10.000.000.000.00ASTAN10.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.000.000.000.00ASTAN0.00	Amiodarone	5	5	0	0.661
Image P <td>Hydroclopidogrel</td> <td>13</td> <td>12</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.584</td>	Hydroclopidogrel	13	12	2	0.584
Name GlobatzolP00000Solution0100	Aspirip	5	6	0	0.626
LatistationI000.515NSAIDs0100.001BATCMs9910.001ACR 123CC-1Versite Versite	Aspirin	5		0	0.020
	Cilostazol	1	0	0	0.515
BATCM9910.601BIC STACKBIC STACKAge62(0,70)62(0,72,5)67(0,74)0.60962(R, 7)12(8,85)74(32,88,8)79(75,93,3)0.237ASTALT21(3)119(0,84,15)14(0,29,138)0.237ASTALT21(3)119(0,84,15)14(3,5)0.237ASTALT21(3)119(0,84,15)14(3,5)0.338BATCM21(3)119(0,84,15)1100.371Amiology colspan="4">Aniotame0.793Hydrodojodiel314120.872Arindonne010.1000.000Hydrodojodiel314120.001Age180.0010.001NSAIDS100.0010.001NSAIDS100.0010.001NSAIDS2100.0010.001NSAIDS210.0010.0010.001NSAIDS10.0100.0010.0010.001NSAIDS210.0100.0010.001Age75(60,71)221.0100.001ASTALT82,81,9011310,87,1454(3,51,910.001ASTALT82,81,9011310,87,14514(0,78,143)0.901ASTALT82,81,9011310,87,14514(0,78,143)0.901ASTALT1310,87,14514,072,1430.9010.901ASTALT	NSAIDs	0	1	0	1.000
ABCBI 1236C>IAge70(70, 40)65 (60, 72)67 (60, 74)0.67GGR65 (60, 72)67 (60, 74)0.67GGR71 (58, 85)76 (59, 9.8.3)0.753ASTALT129 (1, 153)119 (08, 1.5)114 (079, 138)0.27(1A2DS2 VAS)2 (1, 2)2 (1, 3)0.880.83ASTALT129 (1, 153)119 (08, 1.5)114 (079, 138)0.83(1A2DS2 VAS)2 (1, 2)2 (1, 3)0.880.83ASTALT129 (1, 153)14 (120, 140)0.830.83ASTALT9180.830.83ASTALT180.930.830.83Appin180.930.830.83Aspinin180.930.830.83Aspinin190.930.830.83Astalt10.940.930.930.93Astalt10.940.930.930.93Astalt10.930.930.930.93Astalt12 (68, 79)72 (64, 79)64 (69, 725)64 (55, 79, 89)0.93Astalt73 (55, 78, 85)73 (55, 88, 98)0.930.930.93Astylat12 (68, 71, 94)14 (07, 14, 91, 94)0.930.93Astylat12 (68, 72, 94)13 (68, 74, 94)0.930.93Astylat12 (68, 72, 98)14 (63, 74, 94)0.930.93Astylat13 (68, 74, 98)14 (74, 164, 94) </td <td>BATCMs</td> <td>9</td> <td>9</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.661</td>	BATCMs	9	9	1	0.661
Age78 (70, 94)73 (65, 80)72 (65, 76.5)70.61 (0.72)Wight65 (60, 70.7)65 (60, 72.5)67 (0.74)0.669GCFR12.5 (58, 85.5)7.64 (59.2, 88.8)79 (57.9, 93.3)0.751ATVALT12.9 (1, 1.33)1.19 (0.89, 1.5)1.14 (0.79, 1.38)0.247HAS-BLED5 (3.6)2 (1.31.19 (0.89, 1.5)1.14 (0.79, 1.39)0.247HAS-BLED2 (1.2)2 (1.3)1.19 (0.89, 1.5)1.14 (0.79, 1.39)0.38Contront of the colspan="3">Contront of the colspan="3">Contr	ABCB1 1236C>T				
Weight 65 (60, 70) 65 (60, 72) 67 (60, 74) 0.669 GFR 7.13 (58, 85) 7.6 (59, 2, 88.) 79 (57, 93.3). 0.753 ASTVALT 7.13 (58, 85.) 7.4 (59, 2, 88.) 79 (57, 93.3). 0.753 ASTVALT 1.4 (0.79, 1.38) 0.338 0.338 HAS*BLED 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 5.) 0.338 Aniofarone 0 6 4 (3, 5) 0.798 Hydroclopidogref 3 14 12 0.871 Asprin 1 8 3 0.821 0.061 NSALDS 0 1 0 0.061 1.001 NSALDS 0 1 0 0.061 1.001 NSALDS 0 1 0 0.075 0.579 Ger (So (74) 5 (60, 72) 6 (55, 70) 0.579 0.579 GER (So (74) 6 (60, 72.5) 6 (55, 70) 0.591 0.591 AST (AT) 12 (0.89, 15) 1.13 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.491	Age	78 (70, 84)	73 (65, 80)	72 (65, 76,5)	0.077
Nrigh D (00, 70) D (00, 72) D (00, 72) D (00, 72) D (00, 72) GCR 7.1 (58, 85.5) 7.4 (52, 28.8) 7 (57.9, 93.3) 0.733 ASTALT 1.2 (1, 1.53) 1.19 (0.89, 1.5) 1.14 (0.79, 1.38) 0.247 CHA2DS2-VASC 5 (3, 6) 2 (1, 3) 1.18 (0.79, 1.38) 0.388 HAS-BILD Z (1, 2) Z (1, 3) Z (15, 3) I.18 (0.79, 1.38) 0.388 Aniodarone 0 4 (3, 5) 2 (1, 5) 0.106 Hydroclopidogref 3 1.4 1.2 0.00 0.00 Nation 1.0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 NATDS 1.0 0 0.00 0.00 0.00 NATDS 2.0 2.0 0 0.00 0.00 NATDS 3.0 2.0 0.00 0.00 0.00 NATDS 2.0 (2.0, 73) 2.0 (2.0, 73) 6.055, 70 0.535 0.535 CFR 7.8 (58, 78.6) 7.8 (58.9, 90.1) 7.6 (55, 70)	Weight	65 (60, 70)	65 (60, 72,5)	67 (60, 74)	0.669
evers 1.5 (26, 8.3) 149 (392, 88.3) 19 (393, 15) 114 (0.79, 133) 0.735 AST/AIT 1.29 (1, 153) 1.19 (398, 15) 1.14 (0.79, 133) 0.338 HAS 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 1.05 (3, 6) 0.338 Correctatt drug (n) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.158 Amiodarone 0 0.798 0.798 Hydroclogoged 3 14 12 0.877 Asprin 1 8 0.000 0.000 NSAIDS 0 1.000 0.000 0.000 NATCMS 3 9 0 0.000 0.000 BATCMS 6 5.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 SATDS 0 1.000 1.000 0.000 0.000 BATCMS 72 (66, 79) 72 (66, 79) 6 (55, 70) 0.000 Correctattor 728 (582, 98.001) 764 (59.1) 93.3) 0.900 0.900 ASTAIT 1.2 (0.89, 1.5.0 1.18 (0.87, 1.45) 1.4 (0.78, 1.40)	CED	71 2 (50, 95 5)	(00, 72.3)	70(57, 0, 02, 2)	0.009
ART (IT 129 (1, 15) 14 (0.89, 15) 14 (0.79, 1.89) 0.247 CHA2DS2VASE 5 (5, 6) 4 (3, 6) 0.38 0.38 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 5, 3) 0.186 Concomitant drug (n) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 5, 3) 0.186 Amiodarone 0 6 4 0.798 0.798 Hydroclopidoged 3 14 2 0.000 0.021 Calostazol 1 0 0 0.0106 0.0106 NATLM 1 0 0 0.000 0.000 NATLM 3 0 0 0.000 0.000 NATLM 0 0 0.000 0.000 0.000 NATCM 3 0 0.000 0.000 0.000 NATLM 12 (66, 79) 2 (64, 79) 2 (64, 79) 4 (65, 83) 0.477 Veight 52 (56, 67, 01) 64 (60, 72.5) 66 (55, 70) 0.353 0.000 AST	eGFR	71.3 (58, 85.5)	76.4 (59.2, 88.8)	79 (57.9, 93.3)	0.753
CHA2DS2-VASc5 (a, 6)4 (a, 6)4 (a, 5)0.338HACDS2-VASc2 (1, 2)2 (1, 3)0.138ConstructuresAmiodarone04 (1, 3)0.078Hydroclopidoged314120.877Aspirin1830.0821Cilostazol1830.021NSAIDS001.0000.001BATCM30900.001BATCM4200.0010.001BATCM52000.001Age2 (6, 67)7 (64, 79)7 (46, 78)6 (57, 0010.537GCFR728 (58, 78, 68)788 (58, 90, 10)764 (591, 93, 3)0.019AST/ALT12 (0.89, 1.5)13 (0.87, 1.45)14 (0.78, 1.44)0.899ASTALDS2-VASc4 (5, 5)13 (0.87, 1.45)14 (0.78, 1.44)0.899Chadardare620.2010.216Hydroclopidoged141050.216ASTRD514 (0.78, 1.44)0.4010.4010.401Chadardare660.2160.216Appin301.1021.1020.2160.216Appin30220.2160.216Appin666660.216Appin141010100.216Appin1422100.216Appin142 <th< td=""><td>AST/ALT</td><td>1.29 (1, 1.53)</td><td>1.19 (0.89, 1.5)</td><td>1.14 (0.79, 1.38)</td><td>0.247</td></th<>	AST/ALT	1.29 (1, 1.53)	1.19 (0.89, 1.5)	1.14 (0.79, 1.38)	0.247
HAS-BED2 (1, 2)2 (1, 3)2 (1, 5)0.186Intermetting intermetting int	CHA2DS2-VASc	5 (3, 6)	4 (3, 6)	4 (3, 5)	0.338
Solution of the second	HAS-BLED	2 (1, 2)	2 (1, 3)	2 (1.5, 3)	0.186
Amiodarone 0 6 4 4 0 798 Hydrochopidogrel 3 14 12 0.877 0.877 Asprin 1 8 3 0.877 0.877 Cilostazol 1 0 0 0.006 0.006 NSAIDs 0 1 0 0 1.000 BATCMs 3 9 0 1.000 0.007 ASPER 72 (66, 79) 72 (64, 79) 74 (65, 83) 0.477 0.535 GCFR 73 (587, 86, 78) 68 (58, 90, 90, 1) 76 (59, 19, 33) 0.559 AST/ALT 1.2 (0.89, 1.5) 1.13 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.44) 0.899 CHADS2-VASc 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 0.494 0.891 0.81 Aspirin 3 6 0 0.218 0.81 Hydroclopidogrel 14 10 0 0.218 0.81 Aspirin 3 6 0 0.021 0.81	Concomitant drug (n)				
Initiation 0	Amiodarone	0	6	4	0.798
Inforcioproop Information Information <thinformation< th=""> <thinformation< th=""></thinformation<></thinformation<>	Hada da il and	2	14	12	0.750
Aprin 1 8 3 3 0.821 Cilostazol 1 0 0.100 0.100 NSAIDs 0 1 0 0.000 0.000 BATCMs 3 9 8 0.579 0.579 ACBEN CASS 5 9 8 0.579 0.579 ACBEN CASS 5 5 6 5.701 0.579 Veight 67.5 (60, 74) 64 (60, 72.5) 66 (55, 70) 0.535 cFR 78.3 (58.7, 88.6) 78.8 (58.9, 90.1) 76.4 (59.1, 93.3) 0.590 AST/AIT 12 (0.89, 1.5) 1.13 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.44) 0.899 CHADDS2-VASC 4 (3, 5) 2 (1, 3) 0.491 0.491 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.491 Assita 6 6 5 0.246 0.491 Aperin 3 6 0 0.246 0.491 Stato 1 0 0	Hydrociopidogrei	3	14	12	0.877
Colstand 1 0 0 0 0.06 NSAIDs 0 1 0 0.00 0.00 BATCMs 3 9 8 0.00 0.00 BATCMs 3 9 8 0.00 0.00 ABCTSTAST 5 6 5.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Meight 6.50,70 64 (60,72.5) 66 (55,70) 0.535 0.50 0.50 ASTALT 1.20,80,150 1.31 (0.87,14.5) 1.14 (0.78,1.44) 0.899 0.401 ASTALT 1.20,80,150 1.31 (0.87,14.5) 1.41 (0.78,1.44) 0.402 0.402 HASBED 2.10,30 2.10,30 0.401 0.402 0.402 HASBED 2.10,30 2.020 0.401 0.402 0.402 Sciencarden 6 6.50,700 0.216 0.402 0.402 Madone 6 6.50,700 0.216 0.402 0.402 0.402 0.403 0.409 <t< td=""><td>Aspirin</td><td>1</td><td>8</td><td>3</td><td>0.821</td></t<>	Aspirin	1	8	3	0.821
NSADbs01001.000BATCMs3090000.000BATCMs309000.0000.000BACEJ 2677G>7/AAge72 (66, 79)2 (64, 79)64 (60, 72.5)66 (55, 70)0.535eGFR78.3 (58.7, 88.6)78.6 (58.90, 0.1)66 (55, 70)0.535aCHA2DS2-VASC1.2 (0.89, 1.5)1.13 (0.87, 1.45)1.14 (0.78, 1.40)0.891ATAT1.2 (0.89, 1.5)1.3 (0.87, 1.45)1.14 (0.78, 1.40)0.494AS-BLED2 (1.3)2 (1.2)2 (1.3)0.218Amiodarone649.00.2180.246Hydroclopidogel1.40.00.2180.246Aprin360.20.2010.218Aprin360.20.2010.201NSADS01.10.710.2010.218Modarone64.00.00.2010.201Aprin3.40.00.2010.2010.201Aprin3.40.00.2010.2010.201NSADS01.00.2010.2010.2010.201Modarone66.40.00.2010.201Aprin3.46.00.2010.2010.201NSADS00.2011.2010.2010.201Modarone2.00.2010.2010.2010.201Might6.5 <t< td=""><td>Cilostazol</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.106</td></t<>	Cilostazol	1	0	0	0.106
BATCMs39880.579BATCMs0.579ACBCB 12677G>T/AAge2 (66,79)2 (64,79)74 (65,83)0.4776 (75,07,40)64 (60,72.5)66 (55,70)0.5356 GFR78.3 (58.7, 88.6)78.8 (58.9,90.1)76.4 (59.1,93.3)0.590ATAL2DS2-VASC4 (3,5)1.13 (0.87, 1.45)1.14 (0.78, 1.44)0.899CHA2DS2-VASC4 (3,5)4 (3,5)4 (3,5)0.401HAS-BLED2 (1,3)2 (1,3)0.810.81Armidarone620.41Hydroclopidogel141050.42Aspirin3620.404Cilostaol100.010.601NSAIDS010.910.910.91BATCMS77776Age7 (26,79)7 (64,79)7 (65,79)0.91NSAIDS010.910.91BATCMS7 (60,72)1.64 (60,70)615,75)0.433GFR70 (64,88,1)79.659,91,3065.50,188.600.433GFR9.3 (44,88,1)79.659,91,3065.50,188.600.413AST/AIT123 (0.92,15)1.11 (0.71,145)1.14 (0.78,167)0.572GFR9.3 (4.48,81)79.659,91,3065.50,188.600.413AST/AIT123 (0.92,15)1.11 (0.71,145)1.14 (0.78,167)0.572GFR9.3 (4.48,81)79.659,91,30<	NSAIDs	0	1	0	1.000
ABCB1 2677G>T/A Age 72 (66, 79) 72 (64, 79) 74 (65, 83) 0.477 Meight 67.5 (60, 74) 64 (60, 72.5) 66 (55, 70) 0.535 eGFR 78.3 (58.7, 88.6) 78.8 (58.9, 90.1) 76.4 (59.1, 93.3) 0.590 AST/ALT 1.2 (0.89, 1.5) 1.13 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.44) 0.899 CHA2DS2-VASc 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 0.781 MAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.781 Concomitant drug (n)	BATCMs	3	9	8	0.579
Age 72 (66, 79) 72 (64, 79) 74 (65, 83) 0.477 Weight 67.5 (60, 74) 64 (60, 72.5) 66 (55, 70) 0.535 eGFR 78.3 (58.7, 88.6) 78.8 (58.9, 90.1) 76.4 (59.1, 93.3) 0.590 AST/ALT 1.2 (0.89, 1.5) 1.13 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.44) 0.899 CHA2DS2-VASc 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 0.494 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.781 Concomitant drug (n) Amiodarone 6 4 0 0.218 0.246 Aspirin 3 6 0.246 0.246 0.246 Asplrin 3 6 0.246 0.246 0.246 NAIDS 0 0 0.218 0.246 0.246 NAIDS 0 1 0 0.208 0.246 MacOLAS 1 0 0.208 0.201 0.200 SATCMs 8 9 2 0.201 0.201	ABCB1 2677G>T/A				1
Age 72 (00, 7) 72 (00, 7) 74 (00, 7) 74 (00, 7) 0.77 Weight 67.5 (00, 74) 64 (60, 72.5) 66 (55, 70) 0.535 GGR 78.3 (58.7, 88.6) 78.8 (58.9, 90.1) 76 (59.1, 93.3) 0.590 AST/ALT 1.2 (0.89, 1.5) 1.13 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.44) 0.899 CHA2DS2-VASc 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 0.494 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.781 Concomitant drug (n) Amiodarone 6 4 0 0.781 0.218 Aspirin 3 6 0.01 0.218 0.246 Aspirin 3 6 0.01 0.218 0.246 NSAIDs 0 0 0.218 0.246 0.218 Meight 6 (60, 72.5) 6 0.216 0.246 0.216 NSAIDs 0 0 0.216 0.216 0.216 0.216 Meight 6 (60, 72.5)	Δαο	72 (66 79)	72 (64, 79)	74 (65 83)	0.477
Weight 65, 600, 74) 64 (60, 72.5) 66 (65, 70) 0.535 eGR 783 (58.7, 88.6) 788 (58.9, 90.1) 76.4 (59.1, 93.3) 0.590 AST/ALT 1.2 (0.89, 1.5) 1.13 (0.87, 1.45) 1.4 (0.78, 1.44) 0.899 CHA2DS2-VASC 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 0.494 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.781 Concomitant drug(n) 0 0.781 Minodarone 6 4 0.000 0.218 Aspirin 3 6 0.200 0.246 Aspirin 3 6 0.200 0.246 KSAIDS 0 0 0.409 0.409 KSAIDS 0 0 0.409 0.409 BATCMS 8 9 0 0.000 0.601 KSAIDS 0 9 2 0.275 0.433 Michard Marce 9 6 6.57,57 0.433 Weight 766 (60,72,50) <td>Age</td> <td>72 (00, 79)</td> <td>/2 (64, 79)</td> <td>/4 (05, 85)</td> <td>0.4//</td>	Age	72 (00, 79)	/2 (64, 79)	/4 (05, 85)	0.4//
eGFR 78.3 (58.7, 88.6) 78.8 (58.9, 90.1) 76.4 (59.1, 93.3) 0.579 AST/ALT 1.2 (0.89, 1.5) 1.13 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.44) 0.899 CHA2DS2-VASc 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 0.494 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.781 Concomitant drug (n) 0.781 Amiodarone 6 4 0 0.13 0.218 Aspirin 3 6 0.201 0.246 Aspirin 3 6 0.201 0.409 Kolbszol 1 0 0.01 0.601 NSAIDs 0 1.40 0.201 0.201 BATCMs 8 9 2 0.201 0.201 Meight 6.5 (60, 72.5) 9 2 0.201 0.201 Meight 65 (60, 72.5) 64 (60, 70) 68.5 (56.1, 88.6) 0.491 Weight 63 (60, 72.5) 1.10 (0.71, 455 68.5 (56.1, 88.6) </td <td>Weight</td> <td>67.5 (60, 74)</td> <td>64 (60, 72.5)</td> <td>66 (55, 70)</td> <td>0.535</td>	Weight	67.5 (60, 74)	64 (60, 72.5)	66 (55, 70)	0.535
AST/ALT 1.2 (0.89, 1.5) 1.13 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.44) 0.899 CHA2DS2-VASC 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 0.494 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.899 Concomitant drug (n) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.899 Concomitant drug (n) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.899 Amiodarone 6 0.218 0.218 Hydroclopidogrel 14 10 5 0.246 Aspirin 3 6 2 0.409 0.409 Cilostazol 1 0 0 0.601 0.601 NSAIDS 0 1 0 0.021 0.091 BATCMs 8 9 2 0.201 0.091 Weight 65 (60, 72.5) 64 (60, 70) 9 (55, 75) 0.433 GFR 9.3 (64, 88.1) 76 (59.2 91.3) 65 (61, 88.6) 0.491 AST/ALT 1.23 (0.92, 1.5) 1.11 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.67) 0.527<	eGFR	78.3 (58.7, 88.6)	78.8 (58.9, 90.1)	76.4 (59.1, 93.3)	0.590
CHA2DS2-VASc 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 5) 0.494 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.781 Concomitant drug (n) 2 2 (1, 3) 0.781 0.781 Amiodarone 6 4 0 0.13 0.218 Mydrodopidogref 14 10 5 0.246 0.246 Aspirin 3 6 2 0.409 0.409 Cilostazol 1 0 0 0.601 0.601 NSAIDS 0 1 0 0.001 0.001 0.001 BATCMS 8 9 0 0 0.995 0.995 Age 12 (67.79) 12 (64.79) 4 (65.80, 0.201 0.433 eGFR 53 (64.4.88.1) 796 (592.91.3) 685 (56.1.88.6) 0.491 AST/ALT 1.23 (0.92.1.5) 1.11 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.67) 0.527 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.692	AST/ALT	1.2 (0.89, 1.5)	1.13 (0.87, 1.45)	1.14 (0.78, 1.44)	0.899
HAS-BLED2 (1, 3)2 (1, 2)2 (1, 3)0.781Concomitant drug (n)Amiodarone6400.218Hydroclopidogrel141050.246Aspirin3620.409Cilostazol1000.601NSAIDs0100.601BATCMs89020.795CHARENE SETAge72 (67, 79)72 (64, 79)74 (65, 84)0.491Veight65 (60, 72.5)64 (60, 70)645 (55, 75)0.433AGFR73 (644, 88.1)79.6 (59.2, 91.3)68.5 (561, 88.6)0.491AST/ALT1.23 (0.92.1.5)1.11 (0.87, 1.45)1.14 (0.78, 1.67)0.527HAS-BLED2 (1, 3)2 (1, 2)2 (1, 3)0.692	CHA2DS2-VASc	4 (3, 5)	4 (3, 5)	4 (3, 5)	0.494
Amiodarone 6 4 0 0.218 Hydroclopidogrel 14 10 5 0.246 Aspirin 3 6 2 0.409 Cilostazol 1 0 0 0.601 NSAIDs 0 1 0 0 0.601 NSAIDs 0 1 0 0 0.0795 ABCCB1 c.2482-2236C>T Y 72 (67, 79) 72 (64, 79) 74 (65, 84) 0.391 Weight 65 (60, 72.5) 64 (60, 70) 69 (55, 75) 0.433 eGFR 79.3 (64.4, 88.1) 79.6 (59.2, 91.3) 68.5 (56.1, 88.6) 0.491 AST/ALT 1.23 (0.92, 1.5) 1.11 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.67) 0.527 CHA2DS2-VASc 4 (3, 6) 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 6) 0.711 0.692	HAS-BLED	2 (1, 3)	2 (1, 2)	2 (1, 3)	0.781
Amiodarone 6 4 0 0.218 Hydroclopidogrel 14 10 5 0.246 Aspirin 3 6 2 0.409 Cilostazol 1 0 0 0.601 NSAIDs 0 1 0 0 0.001 BATCMs 8 9 2 0.795 0.795 ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T 72 (67, 79) 72 (64, 79) 74 (65, 84) 0.391 Weight 65 (60, 72.5) 64 (60, 70) 69 (55, 75) 0.433 eGFR 79.3 (64.4, 88.1) 79.6 (59.2, 91.3) 68.5 (56.1, 88.6) 0.491 AST/ALT 1.23 (0.92, 1.5) 1.11 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.67) 0.527 CHA2DS2-VASc 4 (3, 6) 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 6) 0.711 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.692	Concomitant drug (n)				1
Internation Image: Constraint of the second se	Amiodarone	6	4	0	0.218
Aspirin 3 6 2 0 0.246 Aspirin 3 6 2 0 0.409 Cilostazol 1 0 0 0.601 0.601 NSAIDs 0 1 0 1.000 1.000 BATCMs 8 9 2 0.795 0.795 Age 72 (67, 79) 72 (64, 79) 72 (64, 79) 74 (65, 84) 0.391 Weight 65 (60, 72.5) 64 (60, 70) 69 (55, 75) 0.433 eGFR 79.3 (64, 48.1) 79.6 (59.2, 91.3) 685 (56.1, 88.6) 0.491 AST/ALT 1.23 (0.92, 1.5) 1.11 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.67) 0.527 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.692	Hudroclopidogral	14	10	5	0.246
Aspirin 3 6 2 2 0 0.409 Cilostazol 1 0 0 0.601 0.601 NSAIDs 0 1 0 1.000 1.000 BATCMs 8 9 2 0 0.795 ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T S 9 2 2 0.90 0.91 Age 72 (67, 79) 72 (64, 79) 72 (64, 79) 74 (65, 84) 0.391 Weight 65 (60, 72.5) 64 (60, 70) 69 (55, 75) 0.433 eGFR 79.3 (64.4 88.1) 79.6 (59.2, 91.3) 685 (56.1, 88.6) 0.491 AST/ALT 1.23 (0.92, 1.5) 1.11 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.67) 0.527 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.592	ilydrociopidogref	14	10		0.240
Cilostazol 1 0 0 0.601 NSAIDs 0 1 0 1.000 BATCMs 8 9 2 0.795 ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T Age 72 (67, 79) 72 (64, 79 72 (64, 79 74 (65, 84) 0.391 Weight 65 (60, 72.5) 64 (60, 70) 69 (55, 75) 0.433 eGFR 79.3 (64, 88.1) 79.6 (59.2, 91.3) 68.5 (56.1, 88.6) 0.491 AST/ALT 1.23 (0.92, 1.5) 1.11 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.67) 0.527 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 2) 0.521	Aspirin	3	6	2	0.409
NSAIDs 0 1 0 1,000 BATCMs 8 9 2 0.795 ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T 7 64,799 72 (64,799 72 (64,799 74 (65,84) 0.391 Meight 65 (60,72.5) 64 (60,70) 69 (55,75) 0.433 eGFR 79.3 (64,88.1) 79.6 (59.2,91.3) 68.5 (56.1, 88.6) 0.491 AST/ALT 1.23 (0.92, 1.5) 1.11 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.67) 0.527 CHA2DS2-VASc 4 (3, 6) 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 6) 0.711 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 2) 0.692	Cilostazol	1	0	0	0.601
BATCMs 8 9 2 0.795 ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T 7 64,799 72 (64,79) 74 (65,84) 0.391 Age 72 (67,79) 72 (64,79) 64 (60,70) 69 (55,75) 0.433 eGFR 79.3 (64,88.1) 79.6 (59.2,91.3) 68.5 (56.1, 88.6) 0.491 AST/ALT 1.23 (0.92, 1.5) 1.11 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.67) 0.527 CHA2DS2-VASc 4 (3, 6) 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 6) 0.711 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 2) 0.527	NSAIDs	0	1	0	1.000
ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T 72 (67, 79) 72 (64, 79) 74 (65, 84) 0.391 Meight 65 (60, 72.5) 64 (60, 70) 69 (55, 75) 0433 eGFR 79.3 (64.4, 88.1) 79.6 (59.2, 91.3) 68.5 (56.1, 88.6) 0.491 AST/ALT 1.23 (0.92, 1.5) 1.11 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.67) 0.527 CHA2DS2-VASc 4 (3, 6) 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 6) 0.711 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.692	BATCMs	8	9	2	0.795
Age72 (67, 79)72 (64, 79)74 (65, 84)0.391Weight65 (60, 72.5)64 (60, 70)69 (55, 75)0.433eGFR79.3 (64, 88.1)79.6 (59.2, 91.3)68.5 (56.1, 88.6)0.491AST/ALT1.23 (0.92, 1.5)1.11 (0.87, 1.45)1.14 (0.78, 1.67)0.527CHA2DS2-VASc4 (3, 6)4 (3, 5)4 (3, 6)0.711HAS-BLED2 (1, 3)2 (1, 2)2 (1, 2)0.692	ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T	· ·			
NgcNgcNgcNgcNgcNgcNgcNgcNgcNgcNgcWeight65 (60, 72.5)64 (60, 70)69 (55, 75)69 (55, 75)0.433eGFR79.3 (64.4, 88.1)79.6 (59.2, 91.3)68.5 (56.1, 88.6)0.491AST/ALT1.23 (0.92, 1.5)1.11 (0.87, 1.45)1.14 (0.78, 1.67)0.527CHA2DS2-VASc4 (3, 6)4 (3, 5)4 (3, 6)0.711HAS-BLED2 (1, 3)2 (1, 2)2 (1, 3)0.692	Age	72 (67 79)	72 (64 79)	74 (65 84)	0 391
weight b5 (00, 72.5) b4 (00, 70) 69 (55, 75) 0.433 eGFR 79.3 (64.4, 88.1) 79.6 (59.2, 91.3) 68.5 (56.1, 88.6) 0.491 AST/ALT 1.23 (0.92, 1.5) 1.11 (0.87, 1.45) 1.14 (0.78, 1.67) 0.527 CHA2DS2-VASc 4 (3, 6) 4 (3, 5) 4 (3, 6) 0.711 HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.692	Mainha	(0, 7)	(4 (60 70)	(0 (FE 7E)	0.371
eGFR79.3 (64.4, 88.1)79.6 (59.2, 91.3)68.5 (56.1, 88.6)0.491AST/ALT1.23 (0.92, 1.5)1.11 (0.87, 1.45)1.14 (0.78, 1.67)0.527CHA2DS2-VASc4 (3, 6)4 (3, 5)4 (3, 6)0.711HAS-BLED2 (1, 3)2 (1, 2)2 (1, 3)0.692	vv eight	00 (00, 72.5)		(5, /5)	0.455
AST/ALT1.23 (0.92, 1.5)1.11 (0.87, 1.45)1.14 (0.78, 1.67)0.527CHA2DS2-VASc4 (3, 6)4 (3, 5)4 (3, 6)0.711HAS-BLED2 (1, 3)2 (1, 2)2 (1, 3)0.692	eGFR	79.3 (64.4, 88.1)	79.6 (59.2, 91.3)	68.5 (56.1, 88.6)	0.491
CHA2DS2-VASc4 (3, 6)4 (3, 5)4 (3, 6)0.711HAS-BLED2 (1, 3)2 (1, 2)2 (1, 3)0.692	AST/ALT	1.23 (0.92, 1.5)	1.11 (0.87, 1.45)	1.14 (0.78, 1.67)	0.527
HAS-BLED 2 (1, 3) 2 (1, 2) 2 (1, 3) 0.692	CHA2DS2-VASc	4 (3, 6)	4 (3, 5)	4 (3, 6)	0.711
	HAS-BLED	2 (1, 3)	2 (1, 2)	2 (1, 3)	0.692

TABLE 3 Confounding factors among different ABCB1 genotypes.

(Continued on following page)

SNP	Wild type	Heterozygotes mutant type	Homozygotes mutant type	Р
Concomitant drug (n)				
Amiodarone	6	4	0	0.150
Hydroclopidogrel	13	12	5	0.313
Aspirin	4	6	1	1.000
Cilostazol	1	0	0	0.474
NSAIDs	0	1	0	1.000
BATCMs	8	9	2	0.748

TABLE 3 (Continued) Confounding factors among different ABCB1 genotypes.

AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; BATCMs, blood activating traditional Chinese medicines.

Values are shown as median and interquartile range.

TABLE 4 Objective function values for different combinations of compartment models and error models.

	Error model						
		Proportional	Additive	Combined			
Compartment model	One-compartment	2,661	3,203	2,886			
	Two-compartment	2,693	6,996	OOR			

OOR, out of range.

TABLE 5 Parameter estimates and bootstrap analysis of rivaroxaban population pharmacokinetic model in the NVAF population.

Parameters (Unit)	Model estimates				Bootstrap results			
	Estimate	RSE%	IIV (CV%)	shrinkage (%)	Median	RSE%	95% CI	
0.617 k _a (h ⁻¹) (Freeze)								
CL/F (L/h)	5.64	5.49	34.64	15.8	5.66	4.82	5.33 ~ 6.02	
V/F (L)	41.7	7.58	19.81	22.4	41.7	7.86	38.8 ~ 45.0	
$f_{CL/F-AST/ALT}$	-0.074	-14.74			-0.074	-15.13	-0.044 ~ -0.092	
$f_{ m V/F-AST/ALT}$	0.213	15.87			0.218	16.25	0.135 ~ 0.294	
Residual error (proportional error)								
σ	0.71	9.61		18.7	0.71	10.17	0.57 ~ 0.85	

 k_a , absorption rate constant; CL/F, the apparent clearance; V/F, the apparent volume of distribution; RSE, relative standard error; IIV, inter-individual variability; CV, coefficient of variation; CI, confidence interval; $f_{CL/F-AST/ALT}$, coefficient representing the relationship between AST/ALT and CL/F; $f_{V/F-AST/ALT}$, coefficient representing the relationship between AST/ALT and V/F; NVAF, non-valvular atrial fibrillation.

covariance matrix was subsequently evaluated. However, the correlation between CL/F and V/F was found to be negligible, and the inclusion of covariances did not significantly improve the model fit. Thus, a diagonal OMEGA matrix was retained in the final model to maintain parsimony.

Table 5 displays the estimates, relative standard errors (RSE), IIV, and shrinkage of the final PPK model, alongside the residual errors. It is noteworthy that the estimates' precision is acceptable, given the RSE values ranging from 5.49% to 15.87%. The interindividual variability (ETA) shrinkage values for CL/F and V/F were 15.8% and 22.4%, respectively, while the residual error (EPSILON) shrinkage value for the proportional error was 18.7%. These values are below the commonly accepted threshold of 30%, indicating that the model is well-supported by the data and the parameter estimates are robust.

Goodness-of-fit and model evaluation

The final PPK model underwent a favorable GOF assessment. When comparing observed concentrations (DV) with both PRED and IPRED, data points were generally distributed symmetrically around the Y = X axis, indicating good agreement between model predictions and actual observations (Figures 1A,B). However, it is worth noting that for observed concentrations above approximately 200 μ g/L, the model tends to underpredict the observed values. This



FIGURE 1

The goodness-of-fit plots of the final population pharmacokinetic model. (A) DV versus PRED; (B) DV versus IPRED; (C) CWRES versus PRED; (D) CWRES versus TAD. DV, dependent value (observations); PRED, population predicted concentration; IPRED, individual predicted concentration; CWRES, conditional weighted residuals; TAD, time after last dose. The red lines represent theoretical reference lines (y = -2, 0, +2), while the blue lines indicate the observed trends in the data.

systematic bias may be attributed to the limited number of highconcentration data points in the dataset, which could affect the model's ability to accurately predict extreme values. Moreover, CWRES distribution versus PRED or TAD exhibited symmetry, with the majority of values falling within the -2 to +2 range (Figures 1C,D). The reliability and robustness of the final PPK model were further confirmed through bootstrap analysis. Median parameters derived from bootstrap samples closely resembled original parameter estimates, with the 95% CI encompassing these estimates as well (Table 5). Additionally, the VPCs demonstrated that the 90% CI of predictions from the final PPK model aligned well with observed data (Figure 2). Lastly, the individual fits demonstrated strong agreement between observed and predicted concentrations across most patients, indicating that the model adequately captured the PK profiles at the individual level. Representative individual fit plots are shown in Supplementary Figure S1.

Correlation analyses between rivaroxaban plasma concentrations and ABCB1 SNP polymorphisms

Using Monte Carlo simulations based on the final PPK model, we simulated C_{max} at 2 ~ 4 h post-dose and C_{trough} at 24 h post-dose for each patient. Subsequently, we assessed the impact of ABCB1 SNPs on the C_{max}/D and C_{trough}/D of rivaroxaban. The results are summarized in Table 6, where ABCB1 1236C>T (P = 0.0001) and ABCB1 c.24822236C>T demonstrated (P = 0.0001) a significant impact on C_{max}/D .



FIGURE 2

The visual predictive check plots of the final population pharmacokinetic model. The blue dots are the actual original observations; the red line is the 50% quantile from the population predicted concentrations, and the upper and lower blue dashed lines are the 95% and 5% quantiles from the population predicted concentrations, respectively; the shade areas are the 90% confidence intervals of the relevant quantiles. TAD, time after last dose.

TABLE 6	Impacts	of ABCB1	SNPs	on	the	plasma	concentrations	of	rivaroxaban.
---------	---------	----------	------	----	-----	--------	----------------	----	--------------

SNP	Genotype	C _{max} /D	Р	C _{trough} /D	Р
ABCB1 3435C>T ^a	CC	15.80 (15.12, 16.59)	0.64424	1.36 (0.92, 1.90)	0.0001
	СТ	15.86 (14.99, 16.68)		1.35 (0.94, 1.92)	
	TT	15.82 (14.45, 17.12)		1.24 (0.88, 1.73)	
ABCB1 1236C>T ^a	CC	15.61 (14.99, 16.23)	0.0001	1.29 (0.92, 1.78)	0.23295
	СТ	15.76 (14.88, 16.59)		1.33 (0.93, 1.84)	
	TT	15.97 (15.10, 16.85)		1.28 (0.90, 1.85)	
ABCB1 2677G>T/Ab	GT	15.88 (15.06, 16.69)	0.02658	1.29 (0.90, 1.85)	0.50769
	ТА	15.83 (14.67, 16.62)		1.39 (0.98 1.85)	
	GG	15.75 (15.02, 16.61)		1.30 (0.92, 1.81)	
	TT	15.96 (14.84, 17.00)		1.27 (0.85, 1.83)	
	AA	15.80 (15.22, 16.28)		1.24 (0.83, 1.82)	
ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T ^a	CC	15.89 (15.05, 16.70)	0.0001	1.30 (0.92, 1.79)	0.4534
	СТ	15.70 (15.01, 16.49)		1.30 (0.90, 1.85)	
	TT	15.69 (14.49, 16.73)		1.31 (0.88, 1.85)	

Values are shown as median and interquartile range.

^arepresents the adjusted p-value for significance is 0.008333.

^brepresents the adjusted p-value for significance is 0.0025.

Furthermore, ABCB1 3435C>T showed a significant effect on C_{trough}/D (P = 0.0001). Further analyses in pairwise comparisons among ABCB1 SNPs revealed that ABCB1 1236C>T TT carriers exhibited higher C_{max}/D values compared to CC (P < 0.000001) or CT (P < 0.000001) carriers. Similarly, ABCB1 c.2482–2236C>T CC carriers displayed higher C_{max}/D values than TT (P = 0.000042) or CT (P = 0.000103) carriers. Regarding C_{trough}/D, ABCB1 3435C>T TT carriers showed lower C_{trough}/D compared to CC (P < 0.000001) or CT (P = 0.001744) carriers. Detailed results are provided in Table 7.

Impacts of ABCB1 SNP polymorphisms on the clinical outcomes

The effects of ABCB1 SNPs on clinical outcomes in NVAF patients receiving rivaroxaban are summarized in Table 8. We observed that ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T and ABCB1 3435C>T were significantly associated with impacts on bleeding events and thromboembolic events, respectively. However, no difference was observed for the other ABCB1 genotypes. Further pairwise comparisons of RR were conducted for the significant SNPs and

TABLE 7 Pair comparisons of plasma concentrations among ABCB1 SNPs.

Plasma concentrations	SNP	Genotype pair	Р
C _{max} /D	ABCB1 1236C>T ^a	CC-CT	0.016715
		CC-TT	<0.000001
		CT-TT	<0.000001
	ABCB1 c.2482–2236C>T ^a	CC-CT	0.000103
		CC-TT	0.000042
		CT-TT	0.123518
C _{trough} /D	ABCB1 3435C>T ^a	CC-CT	0.350755
		CC-TT	<0.000001
		CT-TT	0.001744

^arepresents the adjusted p-value for significance is 0.008333.

SNP	Genotype	n	Bleeding events	Р	Thromboembolic events	Р
ABCB1 3435C>T	СС	77	43	0.126	4	0.048
	СТ	94	39	_	8	-
	ТТ	23	9	_	5	-
ABCB1 1236C>T	CC	21	13	0.105	2	0.235
	СТ	105	51		12	
	ТТ	72	27		3	
ABCB1 2677G>T/A	GG	67	37	0.122	4	0.152
	GT	77	31	_	5	_
	ТА	20	10		3	
	ТТ	24	8	_	5	_
	АА	5	4		0	
ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T	CC	66	39	0.016	4	0.137
	СТ	103	43		8	
	ТТ	27	8		5	

are depicted in Figure 3. The analysis revealed that ABCB1 c.24822236C>T CC carriers were at a higher risk of bleeding events compared to TT carriers (RR = 1.99, 95% CI 1.08–3.69) or CT carriers (RR = 1.42, 95% CI 1.04–1.92). Additionally, ABCB1 3435C>T TT carriers showed a higher risk of thromboembolic events compared to CC carriers (RR = 3.48, 95% CI 1.02–11.85).

Discussion

In this study, successful characterization of the PK of rivaroxaban was achieved using a one-compartment model. The final PPK model yielded typical values of 5.64 L/h for CL/ F and 41.7 L for V/F. Through an extensive covariate search,

AST/ALT ratios were identified as a significant factor affecting CL/F and V/F in the final PPK model. The relationship between CL/F, V/F and AST/ALT was best described by the exponential model, with a scaling factor ($f_{CL/F-AST/ALT}$) of -0.074 and ($f_{V/F-AST/ALT}$) of 0.213, indicating the decrease in CL/F and increase in V/F as AST/ALT ratios rise. Collectively, evidence from GOF analysis, bootstrap evaluation, VPCs and individual fits supports the conclusion that the final PPK model possesses the adequate predictive ability to accurately describe the PK behavior of rivaroxaban in these patients.

Prior rivaroxaban PPK studies typically excluded patients with body weight (BW) below 45 kg and severe renal or hepatic impairment (Liu et al., 2022; Li Z. et al., 2023). In contrast, our prospective study encompassed patients across a spectrum of BW (33.5 kg–99 kg), eGFR (13.3 mL/min–130.4 mL/min), and AST/ALT



ratio (0.37-6.5). In our study, The AST/ALT ratio was identified as a significant covariate influencing both CL/F and V/F in the final PPK model. Beyond its statistical significance, the AST/ALT ratio has a well-established physiological relevance as an indicator of liver function (Cohen and Kaplan, 1979; Gitlin, 1982; Williams and Hoofnagle, 1988). Elevated AST/ALT ratios are associated with progressive liver impairment (Giannini et al., 1999), which can directly affect the activity of metabolic enzymes (e.g., CYP3A4, CYP3A5, and CYP2J2) and transporters (e.g., P-glycoprotein) involved in rivaroxaban disposition. Our findings suggest that higher AST/ALT ratios are associated with reduced CL/F and increased V/F, consistent with the expected effects of liver dysfunction on drug metabolism and protein binding. Incorporating the AST/ALT ratio into the PPK model thus provides valuable insights into the individualization of rivaroxaban dosing, particularly in patients with varying degrees of liver function.

Previous studies have emphasized the significant impact of genetic polymorphisms on both plasma concentration and clinical outcomes, such as bleeding or thromboembolic events, in NVAF patients undergoing rivaroxaban treatment. Xiang et al. suggested an association between SUSD3 rs76292544 and 12month bleeding events, along with potential links of genetic variants from 52 SNPs in 36 genes (including GOT2 rs14221 and MMP13 rs640198) with the peak anti-FXa level related to bleeding events (Xiang et al., 2023). Zhang et al. found that rivaroxaban Cmax/D and ABCB1 2677G variation correlated with a higher incidence of bleeding events (Zhang et al., 2022). Additionally, Lähteenmäki et al. emphasized associations of ABCB1 3435C>T SNP and 1236T-2677T-3435T (rs1128503-rs2032582-rs1045642) haplotype with reduced thromboembolic risks in rivaroxaban users, while ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T SNP was associated with lower bleeding risk in apixaban users (Lähteenmäki et al., 2021).

Our investigation extends these findings, highlighting the significant impacts of ABCB1 1236C>T, ABCB1 c.2482–2236C>T, and ABCB1 3435C>T on the plasma concentrations of rivaroxaban.

Specifically, ABCB1 1236C>T TT and ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T CC genotypes were associated with higher C_{max}/D compared to other genotypes. Furthermore, ABCB1 3435C>T significantly influenced C_{trough}/D , with ABCB1 3435C>T TT demonstrating lower C_{trough}/D compared to CC or CT genotypes. Importantly, different ABCB1 genotypes showed significant differences in clinical outcomes. For instance, ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T CC genotype had a higher bleeding risk than CT or TT genotypes, whereas ABCB1 3435C>T TT genotypes.

In addition to genetic polymorphisms, various confounding factors may contribute to the variability in the plasma concentrations or clinical outcomes of rivaroxaban. Our study identified and analyzed several types of confounding factors. Firstly, rivaroxaban undergoes elimination through both renal excretion and hepatic metabolism (Kvasnicka et al., 2017), thereby age, BW, liver and kidney function were considered confounding factors. Additionally, our study identified AST/ALT ratios as a significant covariate influencing CL/F and V/F, it is important to consider the potential for confounding when interpreting the relationship between ABCB1 genotypes and rivaroxaban exposure. Specifically, differences in AST/ALT ratios across ABCB1 genotype groups could contribute to the observed genotype-exposure correlations. Secondly, CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED scores are clinical tools used to assess stroke/ thromboembolism or bleeding risk in AF patients, respectively (Lane and Lip, 2012; Pisters et al., 2010). Variations in these scores among different genetic polymorphisms may lead to diverse clinical outcomes; thus, they were also included as confounding factors. In addition, polypharmacy is common among AF patients and is associated with increased mortality and bleeding risk (Proietti et al., 2016) due to drug-drug interactions (DDIs) (Ferri et al., 2022). DDIs can manifest as both pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic interactions. For instance, amiodarone can alter rivaroxaban plasma concentrations, while drugs like clopidogrel, aspirin, cilostazol, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and blood-

activating traditional Chinese medicines (BATCMs) can increase bleeding risk in AF patients taking rivaroxaban (Ferri et al., 2022). Therefore, these concomitant medications were considered confounding factors in our analysis. In general, the results of confounding factors analyses indicated no significant differences among different ABCB1 genotypes in the included patients (Table 3), suggesting that the observed effects of ABCB1 polymorphisms on rivaroxaban exposure are unlikely to be confounded by these factors. However, given the inherent limitations of observational studies, residual confounding cannot be entirely ruled out (Euser et al., 2009). Future studies incorporating larger sample sizes may help further disentangle the independent contributions of genetic factors.

In this study, we observed simultaneous impacts of ABCB1 genetic polymorphisms on both plasma concentrations and clinical outcomes. Specifically, carriers of the ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T CC genotype exhibited higher Cmax/D and bleeding risk compared to those with CT or TT genotypes. On the other hand, carriers of the ABCB1 3435C>T TT genotype showed lower Ctrough/ D and higher thromboembolic risk than CC carriers. These findings suggest a hypothesis that ABCB1 genotypes may influence clinical outcomes by altering the plasma concentrations of rivaroxaban. However, it is important to note that this hypothesis is based on trend observations from model simulation results. To validate this hypothesis more conclusively, a well-designed prospective study with a larger number of participants is necessary. Such a study would provide stronger evidence regarding the impact of ABCB1 genetic variants on both plasma concentrations and clinical outcomes of rivaroxaban.

Limitation

It is important to recognize several potential limitations that could affect the applicability and precision of our findings.

Firstly, our study lacked adequate samples during the absorption phase, leading to the adoption of a fixed k_a value of 0.617 h⁻¹ based on a previous rivaroxaban PPK study involving Japanese patients (Kaneko et al., 2013). Given potential differences between Chinese and Japanese populations (Johnson, 1997), this fixed parameter may introduce inaccuracies into the PPK model, consequently affecting the outcomes of model-based simulations.

Secondly, While the one-compartment model demonstrated superior performance in describing the PK of rivaroxaban in Chinese NVAF patients, it is important to recognize that the choice of model structure depends on the specific dataset and population characteristics. The observed biphasic decline in plasma concentrations (Figure 2) suggests that a two-compartment model might be more appropriate in certain scenarios. However, in our study, the onecompartment model provided a better fit based on OFV, while maintaining parsimony and avoiding overparameterization. Despite rigorous model development and validation procedures being followed, the potential for structural misspecification remains a limitation of the current study. Thus, the findings and conclusions of this study should be interpreted with caution.

Thirdly, our study examined individual ABCB1 SNPs' effects on rivaroxaban plasma levels and outcomes, but it is important to

note that SNPs often occur in linkage disequilibrium. Haplotypes, such as 1236T-2677T-3435T, may better explain rivaroxaban variability than single SNPs (Lähteenmäki et al., 2021). The absence of haplotype analysis is a limitation, as haplotypes capture more comprehensive genetic interactions. Future studies should explore haplotypes to clarify their combined impact on rivaroxaban exposure and risks, aiding personalized dosing strategies.

Fourthly, while we analyzed CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED scores across ABCB1 genotypes, finding no significant differences, this approach has limitations. These composite indices do not fully capture individual patient characteristics or confounders like socioeconomic status, medication adherence, or lifestyle factors, which may influence genotype-outcome associations. Our study used cross-sectional comparisons instead of time-to-event analyses (e.g., Cox models), risking bias by ignoring temporal variations in exposure or outcomes. Additionally, limited adjustments beyond composite scores may leave residual confounding unaddressed. Future studies should employ advanced methods, including time-to-event analysis and multivariable adjustments, to better assess ABCB1 polymorphisms' effects on rivaroxaban outcomes and reduce biases.

Fifthly, Our study links ABCB1 polymorphisms to rivaroxaban plasma levels and clinical outcomes like bleeding or thromboembolic events. However, these correlations are inferred indirectly via model-based simulations, not direct pharmacokineticpharmacodynamic (PK-PD) relationships. A key limitation is the lack of a formal PK-PD model linking rivaroxaban exposure to outcomes. While ABCB1 polymorphisms may modulate outcomes via altered PK, results should be interpreted cautiously. Future studies with PK-PD models, integrating anti-Factor Xa activity or prothrombin time, are needed for clearer mechanistic insights.

Lastly, our study population comprised exclusively Chinese individuals, necessitating further investigations involving diverse racial backgrounds to validate the generalizability of our results. In general, given these limitations, caution should be exercised in interpreting and generalizing the conclusions drawn from our findings. Future larger and well-designed studies are warranted to corroborate and expand upon our observations.

Conclusion

We have successfully developed a PPK model of rivaroxaban in Chinese NVAF patients, incorporating AST/ALT with CL/F and V/F. The model-based simulations indicated that ABCB1 1236C>T TT or ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T CC genotypes exhibited higher C_{max}/D compared to other genotypes, respectively. Additionally, the ABCB1 3435C>T TT genotype showed lower C_{trough}/D than CC or CT genotypes. For clinical outcomes, ABCB1 c.2482-2236C>T CC genotypes, while ABCB1 3435C>T TT genotype demonstrated a higher bleeding risk than CT or TT genotypes, while ABCB1 3435C>T TT genotype. However, it is important to note that our conclusions should be interpreted cautiously due to inherent limitations in our study design. These findings warrant validation through larger well-designed prospective studies in the future.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Fujian Provincial Hospital institutional ethics committee (No. k2022-09-014). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

FW: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Validation, Writing - original draft. ZL: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing. YH: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Resources, Validation, Writing - review and editing. QL: Data curation, Resources, Validation, Writing - review and editing. LZ: Data curation, Resources, Validation, Writing - review and editing. HW: Data curation, Methodology, Resources, Validation, Writing - review and editing. HG: Resources, Validation, Writing - review and editing. MC: Data curation, Validation, Writing - review and editing. YL: Data curation, Validation, Writing - review and editing. XL: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review and editing, Software, Validation, Visualization. MC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review and editing.

References

Chugh, S. S., Havmoeller, R., Narayanan, K., Singh, D., Rienstra, M., Benjamin, E. J., et al. (2014). Worldwide Epidemiology of atrial fibrillation A global burden of disease 2010 study. *Circulation* 129 (8), 837–847. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113. 005119

Cohen, J. A., and Kaplan, M. M. (1979). The SGOT/SGPT ratio-an indicator of alcoholic liver disease. *Dig. Diseases&Sciences* 24 (11), 835-838. doi:10.1007/BF01324898

Daly, A. K., and King, B. P. (2003). Pharmacogenetics of oral anticoagulants. *Pharmacogenetics* 13 (5), 247–252. doi:10.1097/00008571-200305000-00002

Derogis, P. B. M., Sanches, L. R., de Aranda, V. F., Colombini, M. P., Mangueira, C. L. P., Katz, M., et al. (2017). Determination of rivaroxaban in patient's plasma samples by anti-Xa chromogenic test associated to High Performance Liquid Chromatography tandem Mass Spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS). *Plos One* 12 (2), e0171272. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171272

Euser, A. M., Zoccali, C., Jager, K. J., and Dekker, F. W. (2009). Cohort studies: prospective versus retrospective. *Nephron Clin. Pract.* 113 (3), C214–C217. doi:10.1159/000235241

Ferri, N., Colombo, E., Tenconi, M., Baldessin, L., and Corsini, A. (2022). Drug-drug interactions of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs): from pharmacological to clinical practice. *Pharmaceutics* 14 (6), 1120. doi:10.3390/pharmaceutics14061120

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was financed by Guiding Projects issued by Fujian Provincial Department of Science and Technology, China (Project NO: 2023Y0045), the Department of Finance, Fujian Province, China (Project. No (2024)881-2024058) and Fujian Provincial Joint Fund for Scientific and Technological Innovation Project (2024Y9009).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2025.1574949/ full#supplementary-material

Giannini, E., Botta, F., Fasoli, A., Ceppa, P., Risso, D., Lantieri, P. B., et al. (1999). Progressive liver functional impairment is associated with an increase in AST/ALT ratio. *Dig. Dis. and Sci.* 44 (6), 1249–1253. doi:10.1023/a:1026609231094

Gitlin, N. (1982). The serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase/serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase ratio as a prognostic index in severe acute viral hepatitis. *American J. Gastroenterology* 77 (1), 2–4.

Gnoth, M. J., Buetehorn, U., Muenster, U., Schwarz, T., and Sandmann, S. (2011). *In vitro* and *in vivo* P-glycoprotein transport characteristics of rivaroxaban. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 338 (1), 372–380. doi:10.1124/jpet.111.180240

January, C. T., Wann, L. S., Calkins, H., Chen, L. Y., Cigarroa, J. E., Cleveland, J. C., et al. (2019). 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS focused update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of cardiology/American heart association task force on clinical practice guidelines and the heart rhythm society in collaboration with the society of thoracic surgeons. *Circulation* 140 (2), E125–E151. doi:10.1161/CIR. 00000000000665

Johnson, J. A. (1997). Influence of race or ethnicity on pharmacokinetics of drugs. J. Pharm. Sci. 86 (12), 1328-1333. doi:10.1021/js9702168

Kaneko, M., Tanigawa, T., Hashizume, K., Kajikawa, M., Tajiri, M., and Mueck, W. (2013). Confirmation of model-based dose selection for a Japanese phase III study of

rivaroxaban in non-valvular atrial fibrillation patients. Drug Metabolism Pharmacokinet. 28 (4), 321-331. doi:10.2133/dmpk.dmpk-12-rg-109

Kong, X. L., Ma, Y. C., Chen, J. H., Luo, Q., Yu, X., Li, Y., et al. (2013). Evaluation of the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation for estimating glomerular filtration rate in the Chinese population. *Nephrol. Dial. Transplant.* 28 (3), 641–651. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfs491

Kvasnicka, T., Malikova, I., Zenahlikova, Z., Kettnerova, K., Brzezkova, R., Zima, T., et al. (2017). Rivaroxaban - metabolism, pharmacologic properties and drug interactions. *Curr. Drug Metab.* 18 (7), 636–642. doi:10.2174/1389200218666170518165443

Lähteenmäki, J., Vuorinen, A. L., Pajula, J., Harno, K., Lehto, M., Niemi, M., et al. (2021). Pharmacogenetics of bleeding and thromboembolic events in direct oral anticoagulant users. *Clin. Pharmacol. and Ther.* 110 (3), 768–776. doi:10.1002/cpt.2316

Lane, D. A., and Lip, G. Y. H. (2012). Use of the CHA2DS2-VASc and HAS-BLED scores to aid decision making for thromboprophylaxis in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Circulation* 126 (7), 860–865. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.111.060061

Li, X. G., Wu, Y. X., Sun, S. S., Mei, S., Wang, J., Wang, Q., et al. (2015). Population pharmacokinetics of vancomycin in postoperative neurosurgical patients. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 104 (11), 3960–3967. doi:10.1002/jps.24604

Li, X. G., Wu, Y. X., Sun, S. S., Zhao, Z., and Wang, Q. (2016). Population pharmacokinetics of vancomycin in postoperative neurosurgical patients and the application in dosing recommendation. *J. Pharm. Sci.* 105 (11), 3425–3431. doi:10. 1016/j.xphs.2016.08.012

Li, X. Y., Gu, Z. C., Wang, Z., Xu, Q., Ma, C.L., and Lv, Q.Z. (2024). Mutant CYP3A4/ 5 correlated with clinical outcomes by affecting rivaroxaban pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in patients with atrial fibrillation, in *Cardiovasc. Drugs. Ther.* 38 (6), 1315–1325. doi:10.1007/s10557-023-07495-4

Li, Z., Yang, S. Y., Hua, Z. X., Lu, Y., and Li, X. (2023). Population pharmacokinetics of rivaroxaban in Chinese deep vein thrombosis patients and the exposure simulation for dosing recommendation. *Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Archives Pharmacol*. 397, 3351–3362. doi:10.1007/s00210-023-02798-7

Liu, X. Q., Zhang, Y. F., Ding, H. Y., Yan, M. M., Jiao, Z., Zhong, M. K., et al. (2022). Population pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic analysis of rivaroxaban in Chinese patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 43 (10), 2723–2734. doi:10.1038/s41401-022-00892-9

Mould, D. R., and Upton, R. N. (2012). Basic concepts in population modeling, simulation, and model-based drug development. *Cpt Pharmacometrics and Syst. Pharmacol.* 1 (9), e6. doi:10.1038/psp.2012.4

Patel, M. R., Mahaffey, K. W., Garg, J., Pan, G., Singer, D. E., Hacke, W., et al. (2011). Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *New England J. Med.* 365 (10), 883–891. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1009638

Pisters, R., Lane, D. A., Nieuwlaat, R., de Vos, C. B., Crijns, H. J. G. M., and Lip, G. Y. H. (2010). A novel user-friendly score (HAS-BLED) to assess 1-year risk of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation: the Euro Heart Survey. *Chest* 138 (5), 1093–1100. doi:10.1378/chest.10-0134

Proietti, M., Raparelli, V., Olshansky, B., and Lip, G. Y. H. (2016). Polypharmacy and major adverse events in atrial fibrillation: observations from the AFFIRM trial. *Clin. Res. Cardiol.* 105 (5), 412–420. doi:10.1007/s00392-015-0936-y

Sychev, D. A., Vardanyan, A., Rozhkov, A., Hachatryan, E., Badanyan, A., Smirnov, V., et al. (2018). CYP3A activity and rivaroxaban serum concentrations in Russian patients with deep vein thrombosis. *Genet. Test. Mol. Biomarkers* 22 (1), 51–54. doi:10.1089/gtmb.2017.0152

Testa, S., Tripodi, A., Legnani, C., Pengo, V., Abbate, R., Dellanoce, C., et al. (2016). Plasma levels of direct oral anticoagulants in real life patients with atrial fibrillation: results observed in four anticoagulation clinics. *Thrombosis Res.* 137, 178–183. doi:10. 1016/j.thromres.2015.12.001

Williams, A. L. B., and Hoofnagle, J. H. (1988). Ratio of serum aspartate to alanine aminotransferase in chronic hepatitis. Relationship to cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 95 (3), 734–739. doi:10.1016/s0016-5085(88)80022-2

Xiang, Q., Wang, Z., Mu, G. Y., Xie, Q., Liu, Z., Zhou, S., et al. (2023). Genetic variants influenced the risk of bleeding and pharmacodynamics of rivaroxaban in patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: a multicentre prospective cohort study. *Clin. Transl. Med.* 13 (5), e1263. doi:10.1002/ctm2.1263

Zhang, D., Qin, W., Du, W. W., Wang, X., Chen, W., and Li, P. (2022). Effect of ABCB1 gene variants on rivaroxaban pharmacokinetic and hemorrhage events occurring in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. *Biopharm. and Drug Dispos.* 43 (4), 163–171. doi:10.1002/bdd.2327