#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Joseph Kiambi Mworia, Kenyatta University, Kenya

REVIEWED BY Rizaldy Taslim Pinzon, Duta Wacana Christian University, Indonesia Yaqiang Li, People's Republic of China, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Huizhen Wu, ⊠ svshbah@163.com

RECEIVED 24 February 2025 ACCEPTED 13 May 2025 PUBLISHED 04 June 2025

#### CITATION

Shi Y, Yue Y, Wang M and Wu H (2025) Edaravone dexborneol compared to edaravone in the treatment of acute cerebral infarction: A meta-analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 16:1579742. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2025.1579742

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Shi, Yue, Wang and Wu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Edaravone dexborneol compared to edaravone in the treatment of acute cerebral infarction: A meta-analysis

Yanshuo Shi<sup>1,2</sup>, Yuanyuan Yue<sup>1,2</sup>, Mi Wang<sup>3</sup> and Huizhen Wu<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Hebei General Hospital, Shijiazhuang, China, <sup>2</sup>Hebei Key Laboratory of Clinical Pharmacy, Shijiazhuang, Hebei Province, China, <sup>3</sup>Hebei University of Chinese Medicine, Shijiazhuang, Hebei Province, China

**Objective:** The objective of this study was to systematically assess the clinical efficacy and safety of edaravone dexborneol compared to those of edaravone in treating acute cerebral infarction.

**Methods:** We searched the PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase, CBM, CNKI, Wanfang Database, and VIP to gather randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing edaravone dexborneol with edaravone for treating acute cerebral infarction, covering studies from the database inception to February 2024. After data extraction and quality evaluation, a meta-analysis was carried out using RevMan 5.3 and Stada 18.0 statistical software.

**Results:** Seventeen RCTs were enrolled, including 2,778 patients, of which 1,493 and 1,285 were in the observation and control groups, respectively. The meta-analysis revealed that the total effective rate was significantly higher in the edaravone dexborneol group (RR = 1.17, 95% CI [1.11, 1.24], p < 0.00001) than in the edaravone group. Additionally, the rate of adverse reactions was significantly lower in the edaravone group (RR = 0.55, 95% CI [0.36, 0.82], p = 0.004). Fourteen days after treatment, the edaravone dexborneol group showed significantly better scores than the edaravone group in the NIHSS (MD = -2.13, 95% CI [-2.90, -1.35], p < 0.00001), Barthel Index (MD = 12.13, 95% CI [7.68, 16.58], p < 0.00001), and modified Rankin Scale (MD = -1.16, 95% CI [-1.75, -0.56], p = 0.0001).

**Conclusion:** Edaravone dexborneol demonstrates superior clinical efficacy and safety compared to edaravone in the treatment of acute cerebral infarction, suggesting it may be a more effective therapeutic option.

#### KEYWORDS

PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase, CBM, CNKI, Wanfang, edaravone dexborneol, acute cerebral infarction, clinical efficacy

# **1** Introduction

Acute cerebral infarction is a neurological disorder caused by the acute occlusion of cerebral arteries, leading to interrupted blood supply and resulting in functional deficits. The core pathophysiology involves oxidative stress, inflammatory cascade responses, and neuronal apoptosis triggered by the interruption of local cerebral blood flow in the ischemic area (Segura et al., 2008). Clinical manifestations of acute cerebral infarction include

hemiplegia, speech disorders, and consciousness disturbances, severely affecting the quality of life of patients. According to the "China Stroke Prevention and Treatment Report (2023)," the number of stroke cases among individuals aged 40 years and older in China has reached 12.42 million, with over 2.8 million new cases of acute cerebral infarction each year, a disability rate of 75%, and direct medical costs exceeding 40 billion yuan, making it the leading cause of death and disability among adults (Segura et al., 2008). The main clinical treatment methods for acute cerebral infarction include intravenous thrombolysis, neuroprotective agents, anticoagulation, and mechanical thrombectomy. Although intravenous thrombolysis (such as alteplase) and endovascular mechanical thrombectomy have been established as the gold standard therapies for the acute phase, only approximately 10%-15% of patients can receive such reperfusion treatments in clinical practice due to strict treatment time windows (thrombolysis ≤4.5 h and thrombectomy  $\leq 24$  h), the risk of hemorrhagic transformation, and contraindications in some patients. For those who miss the optimal intervention window, neuroprotective treatment becomes a key strategy to delay secondary damage (Nagata, 2023; Berge et al., 2021). Edaravone, as a free radical scavenger, can exert some neuroprotective effects by inhibiting lipid peroxidation; however, its single-target action mode and limited blood-brain barrier penetration lead to individual differences in clinical efficacy (Watanabe et al., 2004). In recent years, the compound formulation of edaravone and dexborneol has shown synergistic enhancement potential in preclinical studies by combining the free radical scavenging effect of edaravone with the anti-inflammatory and blood-brain barrier-regulating dual mechanisms of dexcamphorol. Although several single-center studies suggest that it may improve neurological functional outcomes, there is currently a lack of large-sample, multicenter randomized controlled trial (RCT) data (Xu, et al., 2024), necessitating systematic evidence evaluation to clarify the clinical positioning and application value of this drug. In this study, we aim to integrate existing clinical evidence to provide an evidence-based decision-making basis for optimizing neuroprotective treatment pathways.

# 2 Materials and methods

This study was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Page et al., 2021). Ethical approval and consent were not required because all analyses were based on previously published studies.

## 2.1 Search strategy

We searched PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase, CNKI, Wanfang, CBM, and VIP databases (search period from the database construction to Feb. 2024). The English search term included the following: "edaravone dexborneol" and "edaravone" and "acute cerebral infarction" or "ACI" or "cerebral infarction" and "randomized controlled trial" or "RCT." Supplementary Material S1 provides detailed search strategies. The Chinese search terms were the Chinese forms of the above words.

## 2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

## 2.2.1 Exclusion criteria

The study excluded several categories: republished literature, reviews, and conference papers; studies with a sample size of fewer than 20 patients; those involving patients who had undergone anticoagulation and thrombolytic therapy before admission; and cases where full-text data could not be collected.

## 2.2.2 Inclusion criteria

According to the PICOs principle, the following diagnostic criteria for acute ischemic stroke (ACI) were established based on the Chinese Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute Ischemic Stroke (2018) (Peng et al., 2018): (1) acute onset, (2) focal neurological impairment with minimal total neurological impairment, (3) imaging showing responsible lesions/signs for at least 24 h, (4) nonvascular causes are excluded, and (5) cerebral CT or MRI ruled out cerebral hemorrhage. Research participants included patients aged from 18 to 80 years who met the diagnostic criteria for ACI, which was confirmed by CT or MRI. Interventions: the control group was treated with edaravone, and the experimental group was treated with edaravone dexborneol.

- Outcome indicator: the degree of neurological impairment was assessed based on the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) (Abecassis et al., 2023). (2) Self-care ability in daily life was evaluated using the Barthel Index (BI) (Yang, et al., 2022). Overall living ability was assessed using the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) (Tornero-Quiñones et al., 2020). (4) Clinical efficacy: total effective rate = (number of cured cases + number of apparent cases + number of effective cases)/total cases. The incidence of adverse reactions was used to evaluate the safety indices.
- 2. Research contents: papers published in any language of RCTs of edaravone dexborneol versus edaravone in the treatment of ACI.

## 2.3 Data extraction and quality evaluation

Two investigators independently screened the literature and determined whether they were included in this study. Data extraction information includes the title, author, object, method, measure, outcome, blind method, and allocation concealment.

Assessments were conducted by two investigators according to the Cochrane bias risk assessment tool. The assessment contents include the random sequence method, allocation concealment, blind method, data integrity, and other biases. The quality of the methodology was evaluated by two people separately, and different opinions were jointly judged by a third person.

## 2.4 Statistical method

Statistical analysis was performed using the RevMan 5.3 and Stada 18.0 software packages. The included data were represented by the relative risk (RR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). If there was no statistical difference in heterogeneity among the studies



 $(I^2 \le 50\%, p \ge 0.01)$ , the fixed-effects model was used for analysis. If there was a statistical difference in heterogeneity among the studies (I<sup>2</sup>>50%, p < 0.01), the random-effects model was used for analysis (Zhu et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023). The mean difference (MD) was used as an effect analysis statistic for the continuous variables. In this study, a forest map was used to identify the analysis results of the data, and an inverted funnel map was used to represent the public offset results (Shi et al., 2019).

# **3** Results

## 3.1 General information

A total of 17 RCTs meeting the requirements were finally included in the study after removing duplicates and eliminating unqualified literature (Xu et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021; Tan and Zhu, 2023; Jang, 2023; Xia and Bao, 2022; Wu and Jia, 2022; Zhang et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023; Li and Li, 2023; Tong, 2022; Weng and Guo, 2022; Lu et al., 2022; Feng, 2023; Wang, 2022; Ouyang and Gui, 2022); the screening process is shown in Figure 1.

Seventeen clinical studies were included, with 2,778 cases (1,493 cases in the observation group and 1,285 cases in the control group). The minimum sample size of the observation

group was 30 cases, and the maximum sample size was 599 cases. The observation group received edaravone dexborneol combined with basic treatment, whereas the control group received edaravone combined with basic treatment. Detailed characteristics of the included studies are presented in Table 1, whereas additional patient information is available in Supplementary Material S2.

# 3.2 Quality and risk assessment of included research methodologies

Out of the 17 studies, 14 employed a randomization method. Specifically, 10 studies utilized the random number table method ((Xu et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021; Tan and Zhu, 2023; Xia and Bao, 2022; Chen et al., 2023; Li and Li, 2023; Tong, 2022; Lu et al., 2022; Wang, 2022), whereas four studies were grouped randomly (Jang, 2023; Zhang et al., 2021; Li et al., 2023; Feng, 2023). The remaining studies did not provide descriptions of their grouping methods (Wu and Jia, 2022; Weng and Guo, 2022; Ouyang and Gui, 2022). Only two studies implemented a doubleblind design, and all data were reported in full, with no instances of loss to follow-up or attrition. Methodological quality evaluations are presented in Table 2. The risk of bias was assessed using RevMan 5.3 software, with the findings displayed in Figure 2.

### TABLE 1 General information of included studies.

First author publication vear	Sample size		Male/female		Age		Intervening measure	Course/d	Outcome indicator	
,	т	С	т	С	т	С	т	С		
Xu et al. (2021)	599	595	404/195	407/188	62.96	62.86	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	(1)
Xu et al. (2019)	291	94	196/98	65/29	-	-	Edaravone dexborneol 12.5-62.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	005
Zhang et al. (2021)	40	40	12/18	19/21	62.5 ± 3.8	62.5 ± 3.8	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	12345
Tan and Zhu (2023)	39	34	22/17	20/14	63.1 ± 1.9	62.7 ± 2.4	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	24
Jang (2023)	38	38	22/16	22/16	52.6 ± 2.6	52.5 ± 2.6	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	1234
Xia and Bao (2022)	46	46	-	-	-	-	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	0245
Wu and Jia (2022)	49	49	28/21	29/20	67.8 ± 6.1	68.1 ± 6.6	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	2345
Zhang et al. (2021)	30	30	17/13	16/14	63.5 ± 3.9	643 ± 4.2	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	24
Chen et al. (2023)	45	45	27/18	29/16	59.7 ± 7.5	60.3 ± 6.9	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	023
Li et al. (2023)	53	52	26/27	27/25	58.0 ± 7.2	57.4 ± 7.0	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	05
Li et al. (2023)	40	40	22/18	24/16	55.1 ± 2.4	54.8 ± 2.3	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	035
Tong (2022)	41	41	26/15	24/17	57.8 ± 4.3	57.7 ± 4.3	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	0245
Weng and Guo (2022)	32	32	18/14	17/15	62.5 ± 3.1	62.4 ± 3.3	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	05
Lu et al. (2022)	45	45	29/16	26/19	63.0 ± 7.1	63.4 ± 7.8	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	2345
Feng (2023)	30	30	13/17	15/15	66.3 ± 5.4	64.3 ± 2.3	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	0245
Wang (2022)	44	44	25/19	22/22	65.4 ± 4.3	65.7 ± 4.6	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	1245
Ouyang and Gui (2022)	31	30	15/16	16/14	$60.8 \pm 6.4$	60.7 ± 6.5	Edaravone dexborneol 37.5 mg, bid	Edaravone 30 mg, bid	14	0235

Note: T, experimental group; C, control group; "-" means not mentioned; ① effective rate; ② NIHSS score; ③ Bl; ④ mRS; ⑤ adverse reaction.

Literature resources	Random grouping method	Blind method	Shedding case	Literature quality
Xu et al. (2021)	Random number table	Double blind	Null	А
Xu et al. (2019)	Random number table	Double blind	Null	А
Zhang et al. (2021)	Random number table	Not mentioned	Null	В
Tan and Zhu (2023)	Random number table	Not mentioned	Null	В
Jang (2023)	Random allocation	Not mentioned	Null	В
Xia and Bao (2022)	Random number table	Not mentioned	Null	В
Wu and Jia (2022)	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	Null	В
Zhang et al. (2021)	Random allocation	Not mentioned	Null	В
Chen et al. (2023)	Random number table	Not mentioned	Null	В
Li and Li (2023)	Random allocation	Not mentioned	Null	В
Li and Li (2023)	Random number table	Not mentioned	В	
Tong (2022)	Random number table	Not mentioned	Null	В
Weng and Guo (2022)	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	Null	В
Lu et al. (2022)	Random number table	Not mentioned	Null	В
Feng (2023)	Random allocation	Not mentioned	Null	В
Wang (2022)	Random number table	Not mentioned	Null	В
Ouyang and Gui (2022)	Not mentioned	Not mentioned	Null	В

#### TABLE 2 Methodological quality evaluation.



## 3.3 Meta-analysis results

## 3.3.1 Total effective rate

Total response rates were reported in 13 studies (Xu et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021; Jang, 2023; Xia and Bao, 2022; Chen et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023; Li and Li, 2023; Tong, 2022; Weng and Guo, 2022; Feng, 2023; Wang, 2022; Ouyang and Gui, 2022), and fixed-effect models were used after heterogeneity was detected (p = 0.95,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ). Figure 3 illustrates that the total effective rate in the experimental group was significantly higher than that in the control group, with a statistically significant difference (RR = 1.17, 95% CI [1.11, 1.24], p < 0.00001).

## 3.3.2 NIHSS scores

NIHSS scores were reported in 13 studies (Xu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021; Tan and Zhu, 2023; Jang, 2023; Xia and Bao, 2022; Wu and Jia, 2022; Zhang et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2023; Tong, 2022; Feng, 2023; Wang, 2022; Ouyang and Gui, 2022; Lu et al., 2022). A random-effects model was applied following the detection of heterogeneity (p < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 97\%$ ). As shown in Figure 4, the NIHSS scores of the experimental group were significantly lower than those of the control group. This difference was statistically significant (MD = -2.13, 95% CI [-2.90, -1.35], p < 0.00001).

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Chen, X.N 2023	41	45	33	45	4.4%	1.24 [1.02, 1.52]	
Feng, Z.Y 2023	28	30	22	30	2.9%	1.27 [1.01, 1.61]	
Jiang, J.R 2023	36	38	30	38	4.0%	1.20 [1.00, 1.44]	
Li, D.S 2023	36	40	29	40	3.9%	1.24 [1.00, 1.54]	• • • •
Li, S.F 2023	50	53	42	52	5.6%	1.17 [1.01, 1.35]	
Ouyang, C.Y 2022	28	31	20	30	2.7%	1.35 [1.03, 1.79]	
Tong, C.M 2022	39	41	32	41	4.3%	1.22 [1.02, 1.45]	
Nang, H 2022	40	44	31	44	4.1%	1.29 [1.04, 1.60]	
Veng, R.G 2022	31	32	26	32	3.5%	1.19 [1.00, 1.42]	
Kia, X.J 2022	33	46	27	46	3.6%	1.22 [0.90, 1.65]	
Ku, J 2019	188	291	57	94	11.5%	1.07 [0.89, 1.28]	
Ku, J 2021	393	585	342	580	45.7%	1.14 [1.04, 1.24]	
Zhang, L.B 2021	37	40	29	40	3.9%	1.28 [1.03, 1.57]	
Total (95% CI)		1316		1112	100.0%	1.17 [1.11, 1.24]	•
Total events	980		720				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	5.29, df =	12 (P =	0.95); I <sup>2</sup> =	:0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.94 (F	P < 0.00	001)				U.5 U.7 1 1.5 Z
							Favours (control) Favours (experimental)

Forest plot of total effective rate.

	Experimental Control						Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Chen, X.N 2023	7.9	1.34	45	9.42	1.68	45	7.7%	-1.52 [-2.15, -0.89]	-
Feng, Z.Y 2023	4.25	1.35	30	6.37	1.82	30	7.5%	-2.12 [-2.93, -1.31]	
Jiang, J.R 2023	2.86	0.46	38	4.44	0.78	38	8.0%	-1.58 [-1.87, -1.29]	+
Lu, P.Y 2022	9.53	1.25	45	11.2	1.62	45	7.8%	-1.67 [-2.27, -1.07]	-
Ouyang, C.Y 2022	7.22	1.23	31	9.26	1.21	30	7.7%	-2.04 [-2.65, -1.43]	-
Tan, S.S 2023	4.56	0.77	39	5.17	0.91	34	8.0%	-0.61 [-1.00, -0.22]	-
Tong, C.M 2022	6.05	1.31	41	9.63	1.72	41	7.7%	-3.58 [-4.24, -2.92]	
Wang, H 2022	4.61	1.52	44	6.03	1.98	44	7.6%	-1.42 [-2.16, -0.68]	
Wu, L.J 2022	6.43	0.79	49	10.42	1.04	49	8.0%	-3.99 [-4.36, -3.62]	+
Xia, X.J 2022	4.23	0.69	46	5.96	0.85	46	8.0%	-1.73 [-2.05, -1.41]	+
Xu, J 2019	6.75	2.85	291	7.01	3.41	94	7.5%	-0.26 [-1.02, 0.50]	-+
Zhang, L.B 2021	3.1	3.2	40	4.9	2.5	40	6.7%	-1.80 [-3.06, -0.54]	
Zhang, Y.N 2021	4.67	0.98	30	9.87	1.01	30	7.9%	-5.20 [-5.70, -4.70]	÷.
Total (95% CI)			769			566	100.0%	-2.13 [-2.901.35]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	1 91 C	$hi^2 = 33$	71 97 (	if = 12 (	P < N (	00011	$l^2 = 97\%$		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.39	(P < 0	00001	)					-10 -5 0 5 10
	_ 0.00			,					Favours [control] Favours [experimental
Forest plot of NIHSS s	cores								

Mean Difference Mean Difference Experimental Control Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl IV, Random, 95% CI Chen, X.N 2023 67.14 5.18 45 62.89 4.67 45 14.3% 4.25 [2.21, 6.29] Jiang, J.R 2023 85.61 2.78 14.6% 13.65 [12.42, 14.88] 38 71.96 2.67 38 Li, D.S 2023 82.15 6.24 40 68.77 6.12 40 14.0% 13.38 [10.67, 16.09] Lu, P.Y 2022 64.89 4.27 45 57.67 4.05 45 14.5% 7.22 [5.50, 8.94] Ouyang, C.Y 2022 80.55 5.41 31 75.26 5.45 14.0% 5.29 [2.56, 8.02] 30 49 53.62 5.79 Wu, L.J 2022 82.91 8.38 13.9% 29.29 [26.44, 32.14] 49 Zhang, L.B 2021 84.5 2.7 40 72.3 2.6 40 14.6% 12.20 [11.04, 13.36] Total (95% CI) 288 287 100.0% 12.13 [7.68, 16.58] Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 34.93; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 256.69, df = 6 (P < 0.00001); l<sup>2</sup> = 98% -20 -10 ó 10 20 Test for overall effect: Z = 5.34 (P < 0.00001) Favours [control] Favours [experimental] FIGURE 5 Forest plot of BI.

	experimental Control						Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Feng, Z.Y 2023	2.45	0.75	30	3.37	1.02	30	9.6%	-0.92 [-1.37, -0.47]	+
Jiang, J.R 2023	3.45	0.46	38	6.81	0.56	38	10.0%	-3.36 [-3.59, -3.13]	•
Lu, P.Y 2022	1.82	0.53	45	2.33	0.48	45	10.1%	-0.51 [-0.72, -0.30]	•
Tan, S.S 2023	1.04	0.09	39	1.11	0.14	34	10.2%	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.02]	•
Tong, C.M 2022	1.3	0.21	41	2.29	0.38	41	10.1%	-0.99 [-1.12, -0.86]	• ·
Wang, H 2022	2.55	0.75	44	3.49	1.13	44	9.7%	-0.94 [-1.34, -0.54]	+
Wu, L.J 2022	2.44	0.25	49	2.82	0.29	49	10.1%	-0.38 [-0.49, -0.27]	*
Xia, X.J 2022	2.49	0.42	46	3.01	0.37	46	10.1%	-0.52 [-0.68, -0.36]	•
Zhang, L.B 2021	3.5	0.5	40	6.5	0.5	40	10.0%	-3.00 [-3.22, -2.78]	+
Zhang, Y.N 2021	1.01	0.23	30	1.89	0.65	30	10.0%	-0.88 [-1.13, -0.63]	+
Total (95% CI)			402			397	100.0%	-1.16 [-1.75, -0.56]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.91; C	hi <sup>2</sup> = 14	401.41,	df = 9 (	P < 0.0	0001);	I <sup>z</sup> = 99%	-	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.80	(P = 0)	.0001)						-4 -2 U Z 4
									Favours [control] Favours [experimental]
<b>FIGURE 6</b> Forest plot of mRS.									

	Experim	ental	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Feng, Z.Y 2023	2	30	4	30	6.8%	0.50 [0.10, 2.53]	
Li, D.S 2023	3	40	4	40	6.8%	0.75 [0.18, 3.14]	
Li, S.F 2023	2	53	4	52	6.8%	0.49 [0.09, 2.56]	
Lu, P.Y 2022	2	45	3	45	5.1%	0.67 [0.12, 3.80]	
Ouyang, C 2022	2	31	4	30	6.9%	0.48 [0.10, 2.45]	
Tong, C.M 2022	1	41	4	41	6.8%	0.25 [0.03, 2.14]	
Wang, H 2022	3	44	6	44	10.1%	0.50 [0.13, 1.87]	
Weng, R.G 2022	2	32	5	32	8.4%	0.40 [0.08, 1.91]	
Wu, L.J 2022	4	49	5	49	8.4%	0.80 [0.23, 2.80]	
Xia, X.J 2022	5	46	9	46	15.2%	0.56 [0.20, 1.53]	
Xu, J 2019	11	291	6	94	15.3%	0.59 [0.23, 1.56]	
Zhang, L.B 2021	1	40	2	40	3.4%	0.50 [0.05, 5.30]	
Total (95% CI)		742		543	100.0%	0.55 [0.36, 0.82]	•
Total events	38		56				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	1.36, df = 1	11 (P =	1.00); I <sup>2</sup> =	0%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.92 (F	P = 0.00	4)				Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
FIGURE 7 Forest plot of incidence	e of adverse	e reactio	ons.				

#### 3.3.3 BI

The Barthel Index (BI) was reported in seven studies (Zhang et al., 2021; Jang, 2023; Wu and Jia, 2022; Chen et al., 2023; Li and Li, 2023; Lu et al., 2022; Ouyang and Gui, 2022). A random-effects model was selected due to detected scores (p < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 98\%$ ). As shown in Figure 5, the results of the systematic evaluation showed that BI in the experimental group was significantly higher than that in the control group (MD = 12.13, 95% CI [7.68, 16.58], p < 0.00001).

#### 3.3.4 mRS

The modified Rankin Scale (mRS) was reported in ten studies (Zhang et al., 2021; Tan and Zhu, 2023; Jang, 2023; Xia and Bao, 2022; Wu and Jia, 2022; Zhang et al., 2021; Tong, 2022; Lu et al., 2022; Feng, 2023; Wang, 2022), and a random-effects model was selected after heterogeneity (p < 0.00001,  $I^2 = 99\%$ ). Figure 6 illustrates that the experimental group had a lower Rankin Scale

score than the control group, with this difference being statistically significant (MD = -1.16, 95% CI [-1.75, -0.56], p = 0.0001).

#### 3.3.5 Adverse reaction analysis

Adverse reactions to edaravone dexborneol versus edaravone have been the focus of clinical research. In this study, the adverse reactions to edaravone dexborneol were analyzed in detail, and the results are as follows.

#### 3.3.5.1 Incidence of adverse reactions

The adverse reactions rate was reported in 12 studies (Xu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021; Xia and Bao, 2022; Wu and Jia, 2022; Li et al., 2023; Li and Li, 2023; Tong, 2022; Weng and Guo, 2022; Lu et al., 2022; Feng, 2023; Wang, 2022; Ouyang and Gui, 2022). The fixed-effects model was applied after heterogeneity was identified (p = 1.00,  $I^2 = 0\%$ ). Figure 7 illustrates that the rate of adverse reactions in the experimental group was lower than that in the

#### TABLE 3 Adverse reaction classification information (cases).

Literature resources	Gingival bleeding		Cerebral h	emorrhage	Digesti blee	ve tract ding	Gastroir reac	ntestinal tions	Skin lesions	
	т	С	т	С	т	С	т	С	т	С
Xu et al., 2019										
Zhang et al., 2021	1	1		1						
Xia and Bao 2022				1		1	3	4	2	1
Wu and Jia 2022							3	2	1	2
Li and Li 2023				1					1	1
Li and Li 2023										
Tong 2022							1	2		
Weng and Guo 2022				1		1	1	2	1	1
Lu et al., 2022							2	2	1	
Feng 2023				1			1	2	1	1
Wang 2022			1	1			1	2	1	3
Ouyang and Gui 2022				1					1	2
Total	1	1	1	7	0	2	12	16	9	11

Type of adverse reaction	Literature quantity	Heterog	geneity	RR (95% CI)	Р
		Р	l <sup>2</sup>		
Bleeding	7	0.99	0	0.36 (0.12,1.04)	0.06
Gastrointestinal reactions	7	0.98	0	0.75 (0.36,1.56)	0.44
Skin lesions	8	0.95	0	0.82 (0.35,1.91)	0.65

#### TABLE 4 Subgroup analysis results of adverse reactions.



control group. The difference was statistically significant (RR = 0.55, 95% CI [0.36, 0.82], p = 0.004).

#### 3.3.5.2 Classification of adverse reactions

As shown in Table 3, the adverse reactions of edaravone dexborneol and edaravone mainly included bleeding (gingival bleeding, cerebral hemorrhage, and digestive tract bleeding), gastrointestinal reactions, and skin lesions (rash and skin irritation). Edaravone dexborneol showed fewer adverse and gastrointestinal reactions than edaravone. A subgroup meta-analysis of various adverse reactions was performed, and there was no statistical significance in the subgroup meta-analysis of bleeding, gastrointestinal reactions, or skin lesions (Table 4).

## 3.4 Sensitivity analysis

The results of the sensitivity analysis conducted using Stata software are presented in Figure 8. When excluding the studies by Xu et al. (2021) and Xu et al. (2019), the point estimate of the combined effect size becomes significantly biased. Although the CI widens, it does not cross the null value, indicating that these two studies exert larger influence on the precision of the combined effect.

## 3.5 Publication bias analysis

This study examined publication bias using the trim and fill method. The analysis revealed that six potentially missing studies were filled, and these studies were all located in the statistically nonsignificant region (p > 0.05), suggesting that the original meta-analysis may have unpublished negative results, indicating the presence of publication bias (Figure 9). Despite the publication bias, the combined effect size adjusted by the trim and fill method remained in the original direction (LogRR =  $0.221 \rightarrow 0.169$ ), and the 95% CI did not cross the null line, indicating the robustness of the results. Furthermore, the p-value obtained from the Egger regression test was less than 0.05, further supporting the potential presence of publication bias.

## 4 Discussion

Edaravone is a key neuroprotective agent for treating acute ischemic stroke, whereas dexborneol, a natural extract, protects brain nerves by reducing inflammation and excitotoxicity (Liu et al., 2011). The new drug, launched in 2020, is composed of edaravone and dexborneol in a 4:1 ratio. From a biochemical



perspective, dexborneol is lipophilic and increases the brain levels of edaravone by approximately 30% by inhibiting the function of P-glycoprotein efflux pumps and enhancing the membrane fluidity of brain microvascular endothelial cells in combination therapy. From a pharmacological perspective, the two components work together to surpass the efficacy limitations of single-target drugs (Xu et al., 2021). This study evaluated 17 RCTs to determine the clinical effectiveness and safety of edaravone dexborneol in treating acute ischemic stroke. The findings revealed that edaravone dexborneol exhibited a more pronounced neuroprotective effect than edaravone alone. This was demonstrated by a higher overall effective rate and Barthel Index score among patients in the experimental group than among patients in the control group. Such results imply that edaravone dexborneol significantly improves patients' functional status and quality of life. Moreover, the NIHSS and Rankin Scores in the experimental group were notably lower than those in the control group, reflecting enhancements in neurological deficits. Additionally, the experimental group encountered a reduced incidence of adverse reactions during treatment relative to the control group, with most reactions being mild gastrointestinal or dermatological issues, thereby indicating a favorable safety profile for edaravone dexborneol, which is encouraging for clinical use.

In addition, we conducted a sensitivity analysis and publication bias assessment on the overall effectiveness, and the results indicate that our findings are robust. The results indicate that our findings are robust. The Egger regression analysis and the trim and fill method revealed a potential risk of publication bias (p < 0.05). The trimmed pooled effect size remained stable, and the CI did not cross the null line. This suggests that the number or effect size of negative results is not enough to change our conclusions.

Overall, this study has several notable limitations: 1) most of the included studies have small sample sizes and poor methodological quality. 2) The limited number of studies included prevents comparisons of how factors such as age and gender affect outcome indicators. 3) Currently, the studies focus solely on the Chinese population, with no research conducted on other racial

groups. 4) Some studies do not specify whether patients received reperfusion therapy prior to the study. Additionally, no subgroup analyses were performed regarding this aspect. 5) The short followup period limits the ability to analyze long-term effects. 6) The significant contribution of Xu et al.'s study (2021) (45.7% in the primary analysis) requires careful interpretation. The disproportionate weighting, primarily due to its larger sample size (n = 1,165 compared to a median of n = 41 in other trials), raises theoretical concerns about the potential overrepresentation of single-center evidence. Nevertheless, our sensitivity analyses demonstrated preserved significance upon exclusion, suggesting that the findings were not solely driven by this trial.

In summary, edaravone dexborneol has the potential to alleviate neurological deficits in patients with acute cerebral infarction, enhancing their daily living activities and mobility while improving clinical outcomes. Future multicenter trials with balanced sample sizes are needed to validate these observations.

## Author contributions

YS: conceptualization, data curation, methodology, resources, and writing – original draft. YY: data curation and writing – review and editing. MW: formal analysis and writing – review and editing. HW: data curation, supervision, and writing – review and editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by the Medical Scientific Research of Hebei Province (2011721).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

Abecassis, I. J., Almallouhi, E., Chalhoub, R., Kasab, S. A., Bass, E., Ding, D., et al. (2023). Outcomes after endovascular mechanical thrombectomy for low compared to high National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS): a multicenter study. *Clin. Neurology Neurosurg.* 225, 107592. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2023.107592

Berge, E., Whiteley, W., Audebert, H., De Marchis, G. M., Fonseca, A. C., Padiglioni, C., et al. (2021). European Stroke Organisation (ESO) guidelines on intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischaemic stroke. *Eur. Stroke J.* 6 (1), I–LXII. doi:10.1177/2396987321989865

Chen, X. N., Tan, H. H., and Chen, R. (2023). Neuroprotective effect of edaravone dexcamphenol combined with thrombolytic therapy on neurological function in patients with acute ischemic stroke and its mechanism. *Hainan Med. J.* 34 (03), 314–318. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1003-6350.2023.03.003

Feng, Z. Y. (2023). Clinical analysis of edaravondendol injection forthe treatment of acute cerebral infarction. Spec. Health. (13), 282–283. doi:10.3969/j.issn2095-6851.2023.13.158

Jang, J. R. (2023). Therapeutic effect of edaravone dexvorneol in patients with moderate cerebral infarction onset within 48 hours. *Chin. Community Dr.* 39 (20), 64–66. doi:10.15900/j.cnki.zylf1995.2023.07.036

Li, D. S., and Li, M. T. (2023). Effect of edaravone and dexborneol in the treatment of patients with hemorrhagic cerebral infarction. *Chin. Foreign Mediccal Res.* 21 (21), 67–70. doi:10.14033/j.cnki.cfmr.2023.21.017

Li, S. F., Xiao, W., and Zhang, H. P. (2023). Efficacy of edaravone dexborneol combined with vascular intervention in treatment of acute cerebral infarction and the influence on serum Lp-PLA\_(2) and NSE levels and platelet function. *Hainan Med. J.* 34 (13), 1825–1828. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1003-6350.2023.13.001

Li, X. X., Zhang, N., Li, S. B., Zhang, Y. K., Chen, Y., and Liu, X. C. (2023). Metaanalysis of shexiang baoxin pills combined with conventional western medicine in treatment of stable angina pectoris. *Chin. J. Evidence-based Cardiovasc. Med.* 15 (03), 270–276. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1674-4055.2023.03.04

Liu, R., Zhang, L., Lan, X., Li, L., Zhang, T. T., Sun, J. H., et al. (2011). Protection by borneol on cortical neurons against oxygen-glucose deprivation/reperfusion: involvement of anti-oxidation and anti-inflammation through nuclear transcription factor kappaB signaling pathway. *Neuroscience* 176, 408–419. doi:10.1016/j. neuroscience.2010.11.029

Lu, P. Y., Liu, Y., and Hu, F. Y. (2022). Effect observsation of early intravascular thrombolysis combined with edaravone and dexborneol in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke. *Shandong Med. J.* 62 (32), 63–66. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1002-266X.2022. 32.015

Nagata, E. (2023). Therapeutic strategies for ischemic stroke. Brain Nerve 75 (5), 450-455. doi:10.11477/mf.1416202358

Ouyang, C. Y., and Gui, B. H. (2022). Effect observation of edaravone and dexborneol concentrated solution for injection on treatment of acute cerebral infarction. *Mod. Diagnosis Treat.* 33 (23), 3512–3514.

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 10 (1), 89. doi:10.1186/s13643-021-01626-4

Peng, B., Wu, B., Liu, M., and Cui, L. Y. (2018). Chinese guideline for diagnosis and treatment of acute ischemic stroke. *Chin. J. Neurology* 51 (09), 666–682. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1006-7876.2018.09.004

Segura, T., Calleja, S., and Jordan, J. (2008). Recommendations and treatment strategies for the management of acute ischemic stroke. *Expert Opin. Pharmacother.* 9 (7), 1071–1085. doi:10.1517/14656566.9.7.1071

Shi, Y. S., Wang, Q. M., Qiu, X. J., and Pang, G. X. (2019). Meta-analysis of efficacy and safety of Tripterygium Glycosides Tables combined with desloratadine in treatment of chronic urticarial. *China J. Chin. Materia Medica* 44 (16), 3551–3557. doi:10.19540/j. cnki.cjcmm.20181112.001

Tan, S. S., and Zhu, M. F. (2023). Therapeutic effect of edaravone and dexborneol concentrated solution for injection on patients with acute anterior circulation cerebral infarction after vascular intervention. *Chin. J. Drug Abuse Prev. Treat.* 29 (07), 1256–1271. doi:10.15900/j.cnki.zylf1995.202307.036

Tong, C. M. (2022). Clinical observation of edaravone dexborneol for the treatment of acute cerebral infarction. *Prev. Treat. Cardiovasc.* 12 (25), 11–13. doi:10.3969/j.issn. 1672-3015(x).2022.25.003

Tornero-Quiñones, I., Sáez-Padilla, J., Espina Díaz, A., Abad Robles, M. T., and Sierra, R. (2020). Functional ability, frailty and risk of falls in the elderly: relations with autonomy in daily living. *Int. J. Environ. Res. public health* 17 (3), 1006. doi:10.3390/ ijerph17031006

Wang, H. (2022). Clinical observation of edaravone and dexborneol concentrated solution for injection on treatment of acute cerebral infarction. *Clin. Res.* 30 (10), 85–88. doi:10.12385/j.issn.2096-1278(2022)10-0085-04

Watanabe, T., Tanaka, M., Takamatsu, Y., and Tobe, A. (2004). Research and development of the free radical scavenger edaravone as a neuroprotectant. *Yakugaku Zasshi*. 124 (3), 99–111. doi:10.1248/yakushi.124.99

Weng, R. G., and Guo, S. Y. (2022). Clinical efficacy of edaravone and dexborneol in the treatment of patients with progressive cerebral infarction. *Shenzhen J. Integr. Traditional Chin. West. Med.* 32 (22), 122–124. doi:10.16458/j.cnki.1007-0893.2022. 22.038

Wu, L. J., and Jia, X. F. (2022). Safety and effect of edaravone dexborneol on clinical indexes of patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Shenzhen J. Integr. Traditional Chin. West. Med.* 32 (13), 100–103. doi:10.16458/j.cnki.1007-0893.2022.13.031

Xia, X. J., and Bao, Z. S. (2022). Effects of edaravone dexborneol on neurological function, oxidative stress and inflammatory factors in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *J. of Hunan Normal Univ.* 19 (02), 133–136. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1673-016X.2022. 02.037

Xu, J., Wang, A., Meng, X., Yalkun, G., Xu, A., Gao, Z., et al. (2021). Edaravone dexborneol versus edaravone alone for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke: a phase III, randomized, double-blind, comparative trial. *Stroke* 52 (3), 772–780. doi:10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.031197

Xu, J., Wang, Y., Wang, A., Gao, Z., Gao, X., Chen, H., et al. (2019). Safety and efficacy of Edaravone Dexborneol versus edaravone for patients with acute ischaemic stroke: a phase II, multicentre, randomised, double-blind, multiple-dose, active-controlled clinical trial. *Stroke Vasc. neurology* 4 (3), 109–114. doi:10.1136/svn-2018-000221

Xu, M., Li, L., Xu, B., Yuan, S., Zhheng, Q., and Sun, W. (2024). Observations on the efficacy of edaravone dexborneol in preventing post-stroke depression and its inflammatory mechanism: a prospective, randomized, control trial. *Front. Neurosci.* 9, 1451060. doi:10.3389/fnins.2024.1451060

Yang, P., Rong, X., Wang, T., Gong, X., Yu, C., Xu, B., et al. (2022). Effect of cluster nursing on recovery effect and hospitalization time of patients with acute cerebral infarction after thrombectomy. *J. Multidiscip. Healthc.* 15, 2503–2510. doi:10.2147/ JMDH.S378509

Zhang, L. B., Feng, Z. P., Chen, R. S., and Wang, D. H. (2023). The efficacy of alteplase combine with edaravone dexborneol on acute ischemic stroke. *Smart Healthc.* 7 (20), 133–135. doi:10.19335/j.cnki.2096-1219.2021.20.045

Zhang, Y. N., Jiang, Y., Ren, L., Sui, Y., and Xu, B. (2021). Improvement effect of edaravone dexborneol intravenous drip in endovascular treatment of patients with acute anterior circulation cerebral infarction. *Shan dong Med. J.* 61 (18), 76–79. doi:10. 3969/j.issn.1002-266X.2021.18.019

Zhu, L. L., Yang, Z. Y., Deng, H. Y., Zhang, Y. G., Liao, X. Y., and Clarke, M. (2023). Citation of updated and co-published Cochrane methodology reviews. *Syst. Rev.* 12 (1), 120. doi:10.1186/s13643-023-02270-w