Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Hailin Tang, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center (SYSUCC), China

REVIEWED BY Jin-hua He, Guangzhou Panyu Central Hospital, China Guo Huang, Shenzhen University, China Chao Dong, Xinjiang Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Fangbiao Zhan, I zhanfangbiao@bjmu.edu.cn Xiaoping Huang, I huangxiaoping2020@cqu.edu.cn Huawen Liu, I liuhuawen@cqu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 09 March 2025 ACCEPTED 08 April 2025 PUBLISHED 15 April 2025

CITATION

Ming R, Zhang H, Wu H, Zhan F, Huang X and Liu H (2025) Integrative analysis of m6A-SNPs and single-cell RNA sequencing reveals key drivers of endocrine combined with CDK4/ 6 inhibitor therapy resistance in ER+ breast cancer. *Front. Pharmacol.* 16:1590363. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2025.1590363

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Ming, Zhang, Wu, Zhan, Huang and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Integrative analysis of m6A-SNPs and single-cell RNA sequencing reveals key drivers of endocrine combined with CDK4/6 inhibitor therapy resistance in ER+ breast cancer

Ruijie Ming^{1,2†}, Han Zhang^{1†}, Huan Wu¹, Fangbiao Zhan^{2,3}*, Xiaoping Huang^{1,2}* and Huawen Liu^{1,2}*

¹Department of Oncology, Chongqing University Three Gorges Hospital, Chongqing, China, ²School of Medicine, Chongqing University, Chongqing, China, ³Department of Orthopedics, Chongqing University Three Gorges Hospital, Chongqing, China

Background: Endocrine therapy combined with CDK4/6 inhibitors remains a standard treatment for ER+ breast cancer, yet resistance is a prevalent challenge. This study explores the role of N6-methyladenosine (m6A) modifications, influenced by m6A-SNPs, in shaping therapy resistance, utilizing single-cell RNA sequencing to delineate the underlying molecular mechanisms.

Methods: We integrated genome-wide association study data with single-cell transcriptomic profiles from ER+ breast cancer patients, focusing on differences between resistant and sensitive responses to CDK4/6 inhibitors. m6A-SNPs were identified and analyzed for their impact on gene expression and interactions with RNA-binding proteins, with a particular focus on their roles within key cellular pathways.

Results: The study identified crucial m6A-SNPs associated with therapy resistance. Notably, changes in the expression of FILIP1L and TOM1L1, related to these SNPs, were mapped using pseudotime trajectory analysis, which traced the evolution from sensitive to resistant cellular states. FILIP1L and TOM1L1 exhibited dynamic expression changes along the trajectory, correlating with significant shifts in cell fate decisions. These findings underscore their potential roles as mediators in the development of resistance, particularly through their involvement in the PI3K-Akt and Wnt signaling pathways, critical in cancer progression and drug resistance.

Conclusion: Our findings emphasize the importance of m6A-SNPs in influencing resistance to therapy in ER+ breast cancer. The dynamic regulation of FILIP1L and TOM1L1 along the developmental trajectory of tumor cells from sensitivity to resistance provides insights into the molecular complexity of therapy resistance.

These results pave the way for developing targeted therapies that modify m6Adriven pathways, offering new strategies to counteract resistance and improve patient outcomes.

KEYWORDS

m6A methylation, single nucleotide polymorphism, CDK4/6 inhibitor, ER+ breast cancer, therapy resistance

1 Introduction

Breast cancer is now the most commonly diagnosed malignancy and remains a significant cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide among women (Siegel et al., 2024). The subtype characterized by the overexpression of estrogen receptors (ER+ breast cancer) accounts for approximately 70% of all breast cancer cases and has seen significant treatment advancements due to the introduction of combined therapy of endocrine and CDK4/6 inhibitor (Blakely et al., 2023). These treatments primarily target estrogen signaling and other critical cellular pathways, markedly improving clinical outcomes (Glaviano et al., 2024; Lloyd et al., 2024). However, despite initial therapeutic success, resistance to endocrine therapy is common, particularly in metastatic breast cancer, where most patients eventually develop resistance, leading to recurrence and poor prognosis (Hanker et al., 2020; Glaviano et al., 2024; Zheng et al., 2024).

The challenge of CDK4/6 inhibitor resistance necessitates a deeper understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms driving this phenomenon. Recently, the post-transcriptional RNA modifications, specifically N6-methyladenosine (m6A) methylation, known to regulate mRNA stability, translation, and splicing, has emerged as influential in cancer progression and treatment responses (Deng et al., 2023; Wen et al., 2023). Specifically, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that affect m6A modifications (m6A-SNPs) have recently emerged as important factors in multiple diseases including cancer (Lv et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2022). Nevertheless, the involvement of m6A modifications in resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitors in ER+ breast cancer remains unclear (Wang D. et al., 2023; Zhuang et al., 2023).

Moreover, the advent of single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) technology has enabled unprecedented insights into the cellular heterogeneity of tumors, allowing the identification of distinct subpopulations of tumor cells that may drive resistance to therapy (Zhang et al., 2021). Integrating genomic data with single-cell transcriptomic profiles holds great promise for uncovering the molecular basis of resistance and identifying potential therapeutic targets.

This study aims to elucidate the role of m6A-SNPs in endocrine therapy resistance in ER+ breast cancer by employing a two-pronged approach. First, we identified breast cancer-associated m6A-SNPs by integrating GWAS summary data with m6A modification profiles from the RMVar database, focusing on SNPs with significant regulatory potential. Second, we utilized scRNA-seq to analyze the heterogeneity of tumor cells, distinguishing between resistant and sensitive subpopulations based on their response to CDK4/ 6 inhibitor therapy. By combining these genomic and transcriptomic analyses, we aimed to uncover key molecular pathways and gene regulatory networks driving resistance, providing insights into potential therapeutic targets for overcoming resistance in breast cancer treatment.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data sources

In this study, we employed several publicly available databases to gather genomic and transcriptomic data for analysis. ER+ Breast cancer genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary statistics were obtained from the IEU database (ieu-a-1127, https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk), which includes 69,501 breast cancer cases and 105,974 controls of Europeans (Michailidou et al., 2017). The RMVar database was used to identify m6A-SNPs, which provides comprehensive information on RNA modifications and associated genetic variants (https://www.rmvar.renlab.org) (Luo et al., 2021). Additionally, we retrieved single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) data from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) under accession number GSE158724, which includes data from 41 ER+ breast cancer patients treated with a combination of endocrine therapy and CDK4/6 inhibitor (Griffiths et al., 2021).

Bulk transcriptomic data of ER+ breast cancer were obtained from the TCGA breast cancer (TCGA-BRCA) cohort through the R package TCGAbiolinks (Colaprico et al., 2016). Expression levels were normalized using log2(FPKM +1) transformation. To ensure consistency with the single-cell dataset, we included only ER+ breast cancer patients with complete overall survival (OS) information and corresponding normal control samples. As the dataset was derived from processed expression matrices, no raw sequencing read filtering was required.

2.2 Identification of m6A-SNPs

As previously mentioned in earlier studies, we identified m6A-SNPs associated with ER+ breast cancer by examining the intersection of SNPs with p < 5e-8 between ER+ breast cancer GWAS datasets and m6A-SNPs listed in the RMVar database (Xuan et al., 2021). We further filtered for m6A-SNPs that demonstrated expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) signals using the HaploReg database (http://compbio.mit.edu/ HaploReg), which provides information on the regulatory potential of genetic variants (Ward and Kellis, 2012; Ward and Kellis, 2016). HaploReg database utilizes linkage disequilibrium (LD) information from the 1000 Genomes Project to enable SNP linkage analyses.

2.3 Interaction between m6A-SNPs and RNA-Binding proteins

The UCSC Genome Browser (GRCh37/hg19; https://genome. ucsc.edu/) hosts an extensive compendium of annotations and components for vertebrate and model organism genomes (Lee et al., 2020; Nassar et al., 2023). To analyze the potential functional impacts of m6A-SNPs, we submitted those m6A-SNPs that exhibited eQTL signals to the UCSC Genome Browser. We used this platform to systematically predict and visualize the genomic regions where modifications due to m6A-SNPs are likely to occur, and to infer the consequential changes in RNA-protein interactions.

2.4 Processing and pretreatment of single cell transcriptome data

2.4.1 Selection of single-cell samples

The GSE158724 dataset contained single-cell transcriptomic profiles from 41 estrogen receptor-positive (ER+) breast cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant endocrine therapy (letrozole) and/or CDK4/6 inhibitor (ribociclib), totaling 95 samples. For this analysis, 12 samples with available gene expression data were selected corresponding to the intermittent high-dose combination therapy group (letrozole + ribociclib), comprising six responders (R) and six non-responders (NR) based on their clinical outcomes.

2.4.2 Single-cell RNA-seq quality control and normalization

Cause this dataset only provided the transcriptomic profiles of tumor cells, we focused solely on tumor cells, which were preprocessed as follows. According to the dataset description, all cells underwent a rigorous preprocessing pipeline that classified them as tumor cells, so no further cell- or gene-level filtering was conducted in this study. The raw scRNA-seq data were normalized using the NormalizeData function in the Seurat R package, which scales the gene expression counts by total expression per cell, followed by log transformation (Gribov et al., 2010). To account for variability in gene expression, the FindVariableFeatures function was employed to identify the top 3,000 highly variable genes across all cells using the "vst" method. These genes are critical for downstream analysis as they capture the biological variation in the dataset. Data scaling was performed with the ScaleData function.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using the RunPCA function in Seurat, with the top 30 principal components (PCs) chosen based on the ElbowPlot visualization. To address potential batch effects and harmonize the data across samples, the Harmony algorithm was applied (Korsunsky et al., 2019). Harmony adjusts for batch-to-batch variability by aligning gene expression profiles across different patients, ensuring that biological signals are not confounded by technical artifacts. Following batch correction, a shared nearest neighbor (SNN) graph was constructed using the FindNeighbors function with the top 30 PCs. Cells were clustered into distinct subgroups using the FindClusters function, with a resolution parameter of 0.05 to define six distinct clusters. The clusters were visualized using uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) plots, generated with the RunUMAP function (with n. neighbors = 30 and dims = 1:30), which provided a low-

dimensional representation of the cellular landscape and allowed for clear distinction between the cell populations.

2.5 Differential expression and metabolic pathway analysis

To investigate gene expression differences between resistant (Tumor_Res) and sensitive (Tumor_Sen) tumor cells, we performed differential expression analysis using the limma package. Genes with an absolute log2 fold change greater than 0.8 and an adjusted p-value less than 0.05 were considered differentially expressed. Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses were conducted using the clusterProfiler R package to identify pathways enriched among differentially expressed genes (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000; Kanehisa et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2021). Metabolic pathway analysis was performed using the GSVA R package (Hänzelmann et al., 2013), and metabolic flux analysis was conducted using single-cell flux estimation analysis (scFEA), a tool designed to infer the metabolic activity of cells based on single-cell transcriptomic data (Alghamdi et al., 2021).

2.6 Identification and analysis of drugresistant subpopulations

Tumor cells were classified into drug-resistant and sensitive subpopulations based on the ratio of NR (Non-responder) and R (Responder) cell sources. The R package oncoPredict was used to calculate the IC50 value of ribociclib drugs for each tumor cell and analyze the difference between the IC50 values of drugs in the drugresistant vs non-resistant subpopulations (Maeser et al., 2021). Then, we divided each cluster into the R or NR group according to whether it contained a significantly higher proportion of R or NR cells (Ren et al., 2025).

Based on the TCGA-BRCA cohort, the score of resistant subpopulations was calculated based on GSVA method, and the samples were grouped according to the score to show the prognostic Kaplan-Meier survival curve (OS). GSVA quantified each tumor cell subpopulation to calculate the score of KEGG metabolic pathway, and the drug-resistant subpopulation vs non-resistant subpopulation was analyzed comparatively, to identify the significant metabolic pathway.

Metabolite abundance was calculated for each tumor cell subpopulation using scFEA to identify the enrichment of metabolic pathway products in each subpopulation. Spearman was used to calculate the correlation between the relevant metabolite levels and the IC50 of ribociclib.

2.7 Pseudotime trajectory and cell fate analysis

We employed pseudotime trajectory analysis using the monocle R package to explore the developmental trajectory of tumor cells from a sensitive to a resistant state (Trapnell et al., 2014). Cells were ordered along the pseudotime trajectory, and key transition points



were identified. To further investigate the molecular mechanisms driving this transition, we performed branch expression analysis modeling (BEAM) to identify genes with branch-specific expression patterns. These genes were then subjected to GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses to identify the biological processes associated with the transition to resistance.

2.8 Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network analysis

To explore the interactions between differentially expressed genes and m6A-SNP-associated genes, we constructed a proteinprotein interaction (PPI) network using the STRING database (Szklarczyk et al., 2023). Cytoscape software was used to visualize the network and identify hub genes that may play key roles in the development of endocrine therapy resistance (Doncheva et al., 2019).

2.9 Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using R software (v4.1.2). Differential expressions were determined using moderated t-statistics, and significance was defined as p < 0.05. Adjustments

for multiple testing were made using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to control the false discovery rate (FDR).

3 Results

3.1 Identification of m6A-SNPs associated with breast cancer

The flowchart was shown in Figure 1. To identify m6A-SNPs associated with breast cancer, we performed an intersection of SNPs from the GWAS and RMVar databases and screened SNPs that met the threshold p < 5e-8. Based on the 10,680,257 SNPs in the ER+ breast cancer GWAS data and 133977 m6A-SNPs in RMVar databases, we identified 24 m6A-SNPs associated with ER+ breast cancer (Figure 2; Supplementary Table S1).

Among the 24 identified m6A-SNPs, 13 exhibited eQTL signals, corresponding to 10 distinct genes. These SNPs include rs4829 in TOM1L1, rs244298 and rs2541243 in STXBP4, rs388685 in ZNF45, rs1017968 in FILIP1L, rs1802212 and rs2267372 and rs9610915 in MAFF, rs2974935 in MTX1, rs2981428 in FGFR2, rs3104793 in CASC16, rs4973758 in NEK10, and rs6465348 in CYP51A1 (Supplementary Figure S1). These findings were summarized in Supplementary Table S2, which detailed the specific eQTL associations and their potential implications in gene regulation by m6A modifications.





3.2 Functional enrichment analysis

GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses were conducted on the 10 genes associated with the identified m6A-SNPs. The findings indicated significant enrichment in biological functions such as steroid biosynthesis and positive regulation of protein autophosphorylation (Figure 3). These results suggested that m6A-SNPs might modulate biological functions by regulating the expression levels of corresponding genes, particularly impacting pathways like steroid biosynthesis.



FIGURE 4 Differential expression and m6A modification sites of m6A-SNPs related genes. Differentially expressed genes between ER+ breast cancer and normal tissues in TCGA-BRCA cohort (A). M6A modifications near the rs1017968 (FILIP1L, (B), rs1802212 and rs4829 (TOM1L1, (C), and rs2267372 and rs9610915 (MAFF, (D). In B-D, the x-axis represents the nucleotide sequence containing the target SNP position, while the y-axis indicates the score assigned by SRAMP for the presence of an m6A peak. In the plot, taller black vertical lines denote a higher predicted probability of m6A modification at that specific sequence position.



3.3 Differential expression analysis and prediction of m6A modification sites

In the TCGA-BRCA bulk RNA-seq dataset, we identified differentially expressed genes between ER+ breast cancer samples and normal control samples. Using a threshold of $|\log 2FC| > 0.8$ and adjusted p < 0.05, a total of 2,526 differentially expressed genes were identified. Notably, this set includes three genes associated with m6A-SNPs: FILIP1L, TOM1L1, and MAFF (Figure 4A).

Based on the genomic FASTA sequences of the above three differentially expressed m6A-SNPs-related genes, we examined the m6A peak positions in the SRAMP database and compared the m6A peaks to determine whether there was a "Moderate confidence" or "High confidence" m6A peak in the vicinity of the SNPs. If there is, it can be assumed that there is a m6A modification with medium or high confidence near the SNP site. It was found that rs1017968 (FILIP1L), rs1802212 and rs4829 (TOM1L1), and rs2267372 and

rs9610915 (MAFF) SNPs did have m6A modifications near the SNP loci (Figures 4B–D).

3.4 m6A-SNPs and interaction with RNA-Binding proteins

We utilized the UCSC Genome Browser to analyze the potential roles of m6A-SNPs. As illustrated in Figure, rs1017968 is located in the intronic region of the FILIP1L gene on chromosome three and exhibits potential interactions with RNA-binding proteins such as ELAVL1 and PABPC1 (Figure 5A). Similarly, rs1802212 and rs4829 are located in the 3'UTR of the TOM1L1 gene on chromosome 17 and show potential interactions with PABPC1 (Figures 5B,C). Additionally, rs2267372 and rs9610915, situated in the exon and 3'UTR regions of the MAFF gene on chromosome 22, also potentially interact with RNA-binding proteins including PABPC1 (Figures 5D,E).



3.5 Identification of resistant cell subpopulations

In this study, we analyzed 28,495 tumor cells, including 18,948 cells in the Responders (R) group and 9,547 cells in the non-responders (NR) group. A notable batch effect was identified within these 12 samples and subsequently removed through the harmony package before re-clustering, as illustrated by the comparison in Supplementary Figure S2. We clustered these tumor cells and stratified them into subpopulations at a resolution of 0.05, resulting in six distinct cell clusters (Figure 6A). Based on the proportion of NR cells within each cluster, clusters 0, 1, and 4 exhibited a significantly higher proportion of NR cells, while clusters 2 and 3 had a significantly higher proportion of R cells (Figure 6B). Consequently, we defined clusters 0, 1, and 4 as Tumor_Resistant (Tumor_Res), clusters 2 and 3 as Tumor_Sensitive (Tumor_Sen), and cluster 5, which showed no significant differences between NR and R proportions, as Mixed and excluded it from further analysis.

After calculating the IC50 of ribociclib in tumor cells, we found that the IC50 values were significantly higher in the Tumor_Res cells, indicating a lower sensitivity to ribociclib (Figure 6C). Differential analysis revealed significant upregulation of genes such as LRP1B and ZNF385B in the Tumor_Res group (Figure 6D). Functional analysis showed that these genes play crucial roles in regulatory pathways such as the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway and the Wnt signaling pathway (Figure 6E).

Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) was employed to assess the differential expression scores of genes associated with the resistant subgroups across individual samples in the TCGA-BRCA dataset. Based on median values, samples were stratified into groups to evaluate the prognostic impact using Kaplan-Meier survival curves. These analyses indicate that the resistant subgroups are associated with poor patient outcomes (Figure 6F). In the multivariate Cox regression analysis incorporating score, clinical stage, and age, a high score was also significantly associated with poor prognosis in patients with ER+ breast cancer (Figure 6G). These Results highlighted the potential prognostic significance of these gene expression patterns in ER+ breast cancer.

3.6 Metabolic profiling of cell subpopulations

We utilized Gene Set Variation Analysis (GSVA) to evaluate the metabolic characteristics of tumor cells. As depicted in Figure 7A, there were significant differences in metabolic features between Tumor_Resistant (Tumor_Res) and Tumor_Sensitive (Tumor_



Sen) groups. Notably, caffeine metabolism activity was significantly enhanced in the Tumor_Res group. Additionally, scFEA (single-cell Flux Estimation Algorithm) was used to calculate the abundance of metabolites in the tumor cell subpopulations, revealing a significant increase in UMP and phenylalanine levels in the Tumor_Res group (Figure 7B). The results showed that metabolic pathways such as folate biosynthesis, caffeine metabolism, and ether lipid metabolism were upregulated in Tumor_Res group, suggesting that these elevated pathways may contribute to CDK4/6 inhibitor resistance. In addition, increased levels of metabolites-including lysine, acetyl-CoA, and glutamine-were detected in Tumor_Res group, indicating that their enrichment may further promote resistance development (Figures 7A,B). Notably, methionine, known as m6Arelated metabolite, was significantly downregulated in Tumor_Res group, suggesting that aberrant m6A modifications might play a critical role in the development of resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitor (Figure 7B). Furthermore, there was a significant positive correlation between the IC50 of the drug ribociclib and caffeine metabolism (Figures 7C,D), suggesting that altered metabolic pathways may influence drug sensitivity in these cells.

3.7 Pseudo-temporal analysis of the Tumor_ Res cell cluster

To explore the transformation process from sensitive to resistant tumor cells, we constructed a pseudo-temporal

developmental trajectory of tumor cells using single-cell data. The tumor cells were divided into three developmental states, with resistant tumor cells occupying the trajectory's endpoint, exhibiting a highly differentiated state (Figure 8A). Further analysis indicated that tumor cells underwent a round of cell fate selection, with a subset ultimately differentiating into resistant cells (Figure 8A).

Node 1 represents a critical point in the differentiation trajectory where tumor cells transition from sensitive to resistant, prompting us to perform BEAM analysis at this node to explore genes exhibiting branch-dependent expression. During the differentiation process, genes in cluster 3 were highly expressed in cell fate1, while genes in cluster 2 were highly expressed in cell fate2 (Figure 8B). During the differentiation from sensitive to resistant cells, the expression levels of the m6A-SNP-related gene FILIP1L initially decreased and then increased, whereas another m6A-SNP-related gene, TOM1L1, showed a decrease in expression as cells became resistant. This suggests that FILIP1L and TOM1L1 may be part of the mechanism of driving resistance (Figure 8C).

An enrichment analysis of the genes involved in each cluster revealed that genes in cluster1 were associated with functions like circadian entrainment and regulation of GTPase activity (Figure 9A). Genes in cluster2 played roles in functions such as oligopeptide transmembrane transporter activity (Figure 9B). Meanwhile, genes in cluster3 were crucial in regulating the PI3K-Akt and Wnt signaling pathways (Figure 9C).



3.8 Analysis of resistant subgroup characteristic genes and m6A-SNPs related genes

To further investigate the association between resistant subgroup characteristic genes and m6A-SNPs related genes, we conducted protein-protein interaction (PPI) and correlation analyses. Utilizing the String database, we explored the interaction network between characteristic genes of the resistant subgroup and m6A-SNPs related genes. Notably, the m6A-SNP related gene FILIP1L interacts with the resistant subgroup characteristic gene MT-ND4, and MAFF interacts NR4A2 and GCLC within the resistant with subgroup (Figure 10A).

Correlation analysis between m6A-SNPs related genes and the characteristic genes of the resistant subgroup revealed that FILIP1L shows a significant positive correlation with GNGT1 and RBFOX1, and a significant negative correlation with BMPR1B (Figure 10B).

These findings suggested that FILIP1L and TOM1L1 might serve as potential biomarkers for resistance.

4 Discussion

Resistance to endocrine therapy in patients with ER+ breast cancer represents a significant clinical challenge, often leading to treatment failure and poor prognostic outcomes (Portman et al., 2019; Ferro et al., 2024). The role of m6A modification has been increasingly recognized in ER+ breast cancer, influencing RNA stability and gene expression that are pivotal in cancer progression and response to therapy (Yang et al., 2024).

Our study approached this problem by integrating GWAS data with scRNA-seq analyses to identify m6A-SNPs that potentially contribute to endocrine therapy resistance. We pinpointed significant SNPs and their association with gene expression changes in tumor cells, focusing on their variability



between sensitive and resistant cell populations. Our findings highlight the roles of specific m6A-SNPs related genes, particularly FILIP1L and TOM1L1, which were found involved in key pathways influencing therapy resistance. Additionally, our study elucidated the diverse expression patterns within tumor cell subpopulations, offering insights into the heterogeneity of response to CDK4/6 inhibitors.

Although there still no studies revealed the roles of FILIP1L and TOM1L1 in m6A modification, their functions in cancer have been preliminarily described. FILIP1L is known for its proven ability to



inhibit the biological functions of a wide range of tumor cells and has the potential to be a therapeutic target for cancer (Kwon and Libutti, 2014). It can inhibit the formation of chemoresistance in tumor cells by suppressing the Wnt signaling pathway (Kwon et al., 2016). Similarly, upregulated FILIP1L inhibited metastasis of triplenegative breast cancer cells (Jiang et al., 2024). Moreover, the upregulated FILIP1L was also able to increase the sensitivity of breast cancer cells to Topoisomerase II (TOP2) targeting drugs (Lu and Hallstrom, 2012). Previous studies have identified TOM1L1 as a gene associated with m6A-SNPs in breast cancer (Xuan et al., 2021). And TOM1L1 was able to promote ERBB2-induced breast cancer cell invasion by driving membrane delivery of membrane-type 1 matrix metalloprotease (MT1-MMP) (Chevalier et al., 2016a; Chevalier et al., 2016b). The mechanisms by which these two genes regulate m6A modifications that affect drug resistance in breast cancer have not yet been revealed, and more in-depth studies are urgently needed to disclosure.

In ER+ breast cancer, the modulation of the PI3K-Akt and Wnt signaling pathways by m6A-SNPs related genes like FILIP1L and TOM1L1 is particularly compelling, given the established role of these pathways in promoting estrogen receptor signaling and cellular proliferation (Alves and Ditzel, 2023). Our observations are consistent with literature that connects dysregulated m6A landscapes with altered signaling pathways, which may enhance tumor aggressiveness and resistance to endocrine therapy (Tabnak et al., 2023). Thus, targeting specific m6A modifications offers a promising strategy to modulate these critical pathways and improve therapeutic outcomes. Recent findings have highlighted the role of N6-methyladenosine (m6A) modification in ER+ breast cancer, particularly its capacity to regulate mRNA dynamics, such as the expression of CDK6, a critical mediator in cell cycle progression and a known target of CDK4/6 inhibitors (Xia et al., 2024).

Recent evidence has further illuminated the interplay between m6A regulatory enzymes and critical drivers of therapy response in ERa-positive breast cancer. For example, METTL3-mediated m6A methylation has been shown to stabilize ESR1 transcripts, thereby reinforcing ESR1 activity as a key transcription factor (Zhou et al., 2025). Such a METTL3-ESR1 loop can potentially sustain estrogen-driven signaling under therapeutic pressure. Additionally, inhibition of METTL14 has been reported to overcome CDK4/6 inhibitor resistance by disrupting the METTL14-m6A-E2F1 axis in ERa-positive cells (Liu et al., 2025). These findings imply that m6A modifications may act in parallel with, or even converge upon, established drivers of endocrine therapy resistance, including ESR1 mutations and dysregulated cell-cycle regulators (e.g., CDK4/6). Future studies integrating high-throughput m6A mapping, gene mutation profiling, and functional assays will be critical for clarifying whether targeting FILIP1L or TOM1L1 could synergistically restore CDK4/6 inhibitor sensitivity. Elucidating these overlapping mechanisms stands to refine combination regimens against therapy-resistant ER+ breast cancer.

Recent studies suggest that other RNA modifications, such as 5methylcytosine (m5C) and 1-methyladenosine (m1A), may likewise influence progression and therapy resistance in various malignancies (Wang et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2024). Aberrant m5C modifications have been reported to impair RNA stability and alter gene expression, leading to metabolic reprogramming and ferroptosis, ultimately facilitating tumor resistance to therapy (Hou et al., 2025; Shi et al., 2025). Meanwhile, aberrant m1A modifications can alter mRNA structure or translation efficiency, potentially driving tumor cells to evade therapeutic pressure (Li et al., 2024; Modi et al., 2024). Incorporating these emerging insights into the epitranscriptomic landscape of ER+ breast cancer will be critical for pinpointing novel targets and devising more effective, combinationbased treatments aimed at overcoming resistance.

Emerging evidence highlights the critical of role STAT3 signaling in mediating CDK4/6 inhibitors resistance in several cancers including breast cancer. Persistent or dysregulated STAT3 activation has been shown to drive tumor cell proliferation, apoptosis evasion, and immune modulation, thereby promoting resistance to CDK4/6 inhibitors (Dong et al., 2024; Wu et al., 2024). Notably, STAT3 synergizes with PI3K-Akt and Wnt pathways-central to our findings-to bypass therapy. For example, STAT3 elevates cyclin D1 expression, circumventing CDK4/6 inhibition, and stabilizes MCL1 to suppress apoptosis (Osaki et al., 2022; Wang X. et al., 2023; Yin et al., 2024). Furthermore, STAT3 modulates RNA metabolism, including m6A dynamics, by regulating RNA-binding proteins like METTL3, which may influence mRNA stability of resistance genes (Liu et al., 2023). These insights align with our observed pathway dysregulation and suggest combinatorial targeting of STAT3 and m6A modifiers as a resistance-countering strategy.

While our findings were promising, they were not without limitations. The reliance on bioinformatic predictions and singlecell RNA-seq data may introduce biases and limit the physiological interpretation of m6A-SNPs' roles without direct experimental validation. Furthermore, the complexity of m6A regulatory mechanisms and their context-dependent effects demand more comprehensive in vivo studies to fully understand their impact on breast cancer pathology and treatment outcomes. Additionally, our analysis was based on GWAS data derived solely from individuals of European ancestry, reflecting the current lack of large-scale datasets from other populations, such as Asian cohorts. Given that allele frequencies and regulatory effects of m6A-SNPs may vary across ethnic backgrounds, the generalizability of our findings may be limited. Future studies incorporating ethnically diverse GWAS and epitranscriptomic data will be essential to validate these associations and support broader clinical translation. Furthermore, integrating longitudinal clinical data and functional assays to validate the influence of identified m6A-SNPs on therapy resistance and patient outcomes.

5 Conclusion

This study confirms the role of m6A-SNPs in influencing endocrine therapy resistance in ER+ breast cancer, highlighting how modifications mediated by specific SNPs, particularly in FILIP1L and TOM1L1, impact key regulatory pathways and cellular metabolism. Our findings emphasize the potential of targeting m6A-related mechanisms to improve therapeutic strategies and overcome resistance, suggesting a direction for future research to enhance the precision of breast cancer treatment.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: The GWAS summary statistics for ER+ breast cancer were sourced from the IEU database (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac. uk/datasets/ieu-a-1127/). M6A-SNPs were from RMVar database (https://www.rmvar.renlab.org/). Single-cell data for ER+ breast cancer treated with CDK4/6 inhibitors combined with endocrine therapy were sourced from GSE158724 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE158724).

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by University of Kansas Institutional Review Board (protocol #CLEE011XUS10T). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

RM: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. HZ: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. HW: Visualization, Writing – original draft. FZ: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Writing – review and editing. XH: Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review and editing. HL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Chongqing Wanzhou Science and Health Joint Project (wzwjw-kw2024026), Chongqing Science and Health Joint Project (2025MSXM125), Chongqing University Central University Medical Integration Project (2023CDJYGRH-ZD04), and the Chongqing University Three Gorges Hospital (2022YJKYXM-001 and 2022YJKYXM-043).

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the invaluable contributions of the data contributors and managers of the public database. Their commitment to open science has been instrumental in facilitating our research. We also extend our heartfelt thanks to each author for their innovative insights, relentless dedication, and collaborative spirit, all of which have been crucial in making this publication a pioneering effort.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

Alghamdi, N., Chang, W., Dang, P., Lu, X., Wan, C., Gampala, S., et al. (2021). A graph neural network model to estimate cell-wise metabolic flux using single-cell RNA-seq data. *Genome Res.* 31 (10), 1867–1884. doi:10.1101/gr.271205.120

Alves, C. L., and Ditzel, H. J. (2023). Drugging the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway in ER+ breast cancer. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 24 (5), 4522. doi:10.3390/ijms24054522

Blakely, B., Shin, S., and Jin, K. (2023). Overview of the therapeutic strategies for ER positive breast cancer. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 212, 115552. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2023.115552

Chen, D., Gu, X., Nurzat, Y., Xu, L., Li, X., Wu, L., et al. (2024). Writers, readers, and erasers RNA modifications and drug resistance in cancer. *Mol. Cancer* 23 (1), 178. doi:10.1186/s12943-024-02089-6

Chevalier, C., Collin, G., Descamps, S., Touaitahuata, H., Simon, V., Reymond, N., et al. (2016a). TOM11.1 drives membrane delivery of MT1-MMP to promote ERBB2induced breast cancer cell invasion. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 10765. doi:10.1038/ ncomms10765

Chevalier, C., Roche, S., and Bénistant, C. (2016b). Vesicular trafficking regulators are new players in breast cancer progression: role of TOM1L1 in ERBB2-dependent invasion. *Mol. Cell Oncol.* 3 (4), e1182241. doi:10.1080/23723556.2016.1182241

Colaprico, A., Silva, T. C., Olsen, C., Garofano, L., Cava, C., Garolini, D., et al. (2016). TCGAbiolinks: an R/Bioconductor package for integrative analysis of TCGA data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44 (8), e71. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1507

Deng, X., Qing, Y., Horne, D., Huang, H., and Chen, J. (2023). The roles and implications of RNA m(6)A modification in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 20 (8), 507–526. doi:10.1038/s41571-023-00774-x

Doncheva, N. T., Morris, J. H., Gorodkin, J., and Jensen, L. J. (2019). Cytoscape StringApp: network analysis and visualization of Proteomics data. *J. Proteome Res.* 18 (2), 623–632. doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00702

Dong, L., Liu, C., Sun, H., Wang, M., Sun, M., Zheng, J., et al. (2024). Targeting STAT3 potentiates CDK4/6 inhibitors therapy in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Lett.* 593, 216956. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2024.216956

Ferro, A., Campora, M., Caldara, A., De Lisi, D., Lorenzi, M., Monteverdi, S., et al. (2024). Novel treatment strategies for hormone receptor (HR)-Positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer. *J. Clin. Med.* 13 (12), 3611. doi:10.3390/jcm13123611

Glaviano, A., Wander, S. A., Baird, R. D., Yap, K. C., Lam, H. Y., Toi, M., et al. (2024). Mechanisms of sensitivity and resistance to CDK4/CDK6 inhibitors in hormone receptor-positive breast cancer treatment. *Drug Resist Updat* 76, 101103. doi:10. 1016/j.drup.2024.101103

Gribov, A., Sill, M., Lück, S., Rücker, F., Döhner, K., Bullinger, L., et al. (2010). SEURAT: visual analytics for the integrated analysis of microarray data. *BMC Med. Genomics* 3, 21. doi:10.1186/1755-8794-3-21

Griffiths, J. I., Chen, J., Cosgrove, P. A., O'Dea, A., Sharma, P., Ma, C., et al. (2021). Serial single-cell genomics reveals convergent subclonal evolution of resistance as earlystage breast cancer patients progress on endocrine plus CDK4/6 therapy. *Nat. Cancer* 2 (6), 658–671. doi:10.1038/s43018-021-00215-7

Hanker, A. B., Sudhan, D. R., and Arteaga, C. L. (2020). Overcoming endocrine resistance in breast cancer. *Cancer Cell* 37 (4), 496–513. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.03.009

Hänzelmann, S., Castelo, R., and Guinney, J. (2013). GSVA: gene set variation analysis for microarray and RNA-seq data. *BMC Bioinforma*. 14, 7. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-14-7

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2025.1590363/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1

Among the identified m6A-SNPs, 13 exhibited eQTL signals, collectively corresponding to 10 distinct genes.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S2

Comparison of tumor cell clustering before and after batch effect removal. (A) UMAP embedding without batch correction. (B) UMAP embedding following the Harmony algorithm.

Hou, X., Dong, Q., Hao, J., Liu, M., Ning, J., Tao, M., et al. (2025). NSUN2-mediated m(5)C modification drives alternative splicing reprogramming and promotes multidrug resistance in anaplastic thyroid cancer through the NSUN2/SRSF6/UAP1 signaling axis. *Theranostics* 15 (7), 2757–2777. doi:10.7150/thno.104713

Jiang, X., Lin, J., and Zhu, Z. (2024). Long-chain noncoding RNA LINC01569 upregulates filamin A-interacting protein 1-like to prevent metastasis of triple-negative breast cancer via sponging miR-300. *Cancer Biomark.* 39 (2), 79–94. doi:10.3233/cbm-230261

Kanehisa, M., Furumichi, M., Tanabe, M., Sato, Y., and Morishima, K. (2017). KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45 (D1), D353-D361–d361. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1092

Kanehisa, M., and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 28 (1), 27-30. doi:10.1093/nar/28.1.27

Korsunsky, I., Millard, N., Fan, J., Slowikowski, K., Zhang, F., Wei, K., et al. (2019). Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of single-cell data with Harmony. *Nat. Methods* 16 (12), 1289–1296. doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0619-0

Kwon, M., Kim, J. H., Rybak, Y., Luna, A., Choi, C. H., Chung, J. Y., et al. (2016). Reduced expression of FILIP1L, a novel WNT pathway inhibitor, is associated with poor survival, progression and chemoresistance in ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget* 7 (47), 77052–77070. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.12784

Kwon, M., and Libutti, S. K. (2014). Filamin A interacting protein 1-like as a therapeutic target in cancer. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 18 (12), 1435–1447. doi:10. 1517/14728222.2014.957181

Lee, C. M., Barber, G. P., Casper, J., Clawson, H., Diekhans, M., Gonzalez, J. N., et al. (2020). UCSC Genome Browser enters 20th year. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48 (D1), D756-D761-d761. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz1012

Li, S., Feng, T., Liu, Y., Yang, Q., Song, A., Wang, S., et al. (2024). m(1)A inhibition fuels oncolytic virus-elicited antitumor immunity via downregulating MYC/PD-L1 signaling. *Int. J. Oral Sci.* 16 (1), 36. doi:10.1038/s41368-024-00304-0

Liu, B., Cao, J., Wu, B., Hao, K., Wang, X., Chen, X., et al. (2023). METTL3 and STAT3 form a positive feedback loop to promote cell metastasis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell Commun. Signal* 21 (1), 121. doi:10.1186/s12964-023-01148-7

Liu, C., Fan, D., Sun, J., Li, G., Du, R., Zuo, X., et al. (2025). Inhibition of METTL14 overcomes CDK4/6 inhibitor resistance driven by METTL14-m6A-E2F1-axis in ER α -positive breast cancer. *J. Nanobiotechnology* 23 (1), 3. doi:10.1186/s12951-024-03021-2

Lloyd, M. R., Jhaveri, K., Kalinsky, K., Bardia, A., and Wander, S. A. (2024). Precision therapeutics and emerging strategies for HR-positive metastatic breast cancer. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 21 (10), 743–761. doi:10.1038/s41571-024-00935-6

Lu, H., and Hallstrom, T. C. (2012). Sensitivity to TOP2 targeting chemotherapeutics is regulated by Oct1 and FILIP1L. *PLoS One* 7 (8), e42921. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0042921

Luo, X., Li, H., Liang, J., Zhao, Q., Xie, Y., Ren, J., et al. (2021). RMVar: an updated database of functional variants involved in RNA modifications. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49 (D1), D1405–d1412. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa811

Lv, J., Song, Q., Bai, K., Han, J., Yu, H., Li, K., et al. (2022). N6-methyladenosinerelated single-nucleotide polymorphism analyses identify oncogene RNFT2 in bladder cancer. *Cancer Cell Int.* 22 (1), 301. doi:10.1186/s12935-022-02701-z Maeser, D., Gruener, R. F., and Huang, R. S. (2021). oncoPredict: an R package for predicting *in vivo* or cancer patient drug response and biomarkers from cell line screening data. *Brief. Bioinform* 22 (6), bbab260. doi:10.1093/bib/bbab260

Michailidou, K., Lindström, S., Dennis, J., Beesley, J., Hui, S., Kar, S., et al. (2017). Association analysis identifies 65 new breast cancer risk loci. *Nature* 551 (7678), 92–94. doi:10.1038/nature24284

Modi, A. D., Zahid, H., Southerland, A. C., and Modi, D. M. (2024). Epitranscriptomics and cervical cancer: the emerging role of m(6)A, m(5)C and m(1)A RNA modifications. *Expert Rev. Mol. Med.* 26, e20. doi:10.1017/erm.2024.20

Nassar, L. R., Barber, G. P., Benet-Pagès, A., Casper, J., Clawson, H., Diekhans, M., et al. (2023). The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2023 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51 (D1), D1188–d1195. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac1072

Osaki, Y., Manolopoulou, M., Ivanova, A. V., Vartanian, N., Mignemi, M. P., Kern, J., et al. (2022). Blocking cell cycle progression through CDK4/6 protects against chronic kidney disease. *JCI Insight* 7 (12), e158754. doi:10.1172/jci.insight.158754

Portman, N., Alexandrou, S., Carson, E., Wang, S., Lim, E., and Caldon, C. E. (2019). Overcoming CDK4/6 inhibitor resistance in ER-positive breast cancer. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 26 (1), R15-R30-r30. doi:10.1530/erc-18-0317

Ren, F., Pang, X., Jin, F., Luan, N., Guo, H., and Zhu, L. (2025). Integration of scRNA-seq and bulk RNA-seq to reveal the association and potential molecular mechanisms of metabolic reprogramming regulated by lactylation and chemotherapy resistance in ovarian cancer. *Front. Immunol.* 16, 1513806. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2025. 1513806

Shi, C. J., Pang, F. X., Lei, Y. H., Deng, L. Q., Pan, F. Z., Liang, Z. Q., et al. (2025). 5methylcytosine methylation of MALAT1 promotes resistance to sorafenib in hepatocellular carcinoma through ELAVL1/SLC7A11-mediated ferroptosis. *Drug Resist Updat* 78, 101181. doi:10.1016/j.drup.2024.101181

Siegel, R. L., Giaquinto, A. N., and Jemal, A. (2024). Cancer statistics, 2024. CA Cancer J. Clin. 74 (1), 12–49. doi:10.3322/caac.21820

Szklarczyk, D., Kirsch, R., Koutrouli, M., Nastou, K., Mehryary, F., Hachilif, R., et al. (2023). The STRING database in 2023: protein-protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses for any sequenced genome of interest. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51 (D1), D638–d646. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac1000

Tabnak, P., Ghasemi, Y., Natami, M., Khorram, R., and Ebrahimnezhad, M. (2023). Role of m(6)A modification in dysregulation of Wnt/ β -catenin pathway in cancer. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 157, 114023. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2022.114023

Trapnell, C., Cacchiarelli, D., Grimsby, J., Pokharel, P., Li, S., Morse, M., et al. (2014). The dynamics and regulators of cell fate decisions are revealed by pseudotemporal ordering of single cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32 (4), 381–386. doi:10.1038/nbt.2859

Wang, D., Zhang, Y., Li, Q., Zhang, A., Xu, J., Li, Y., et al. (2023a). N6methyladenosine (m6A) in cancer therapeutic resistance: potential mechanisms and clinical implications. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 167, 115477. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2023. 115477

Wang, E., Li, Y., Ming, R., Wei, J., Du, P., Zhou, P., et al. (2021). The prognostic value and immune landscapes of a m(6)A/m(5)C/m(1)A-Related LncRNAs Signature in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 9, 718974. doi:10.3389/fcell. 2021.718974

Wang, X., Shi, W., Wang, X., Lu, J. J., He, P., Zhang, H., et al. (2023b). Nifuroxazide boosts the anticancer efficacy of palbociclib-induced senescence by dual inhibition of

STAT3 and CDK2 in triple-negative breast cancer. *Cell Death Discov.* 9 (1), 355. doi:10. 1038/s41420-023-01658-w

Ward, L. D., and Kellis, M. (2012). HaploReg: a resource for exploring chromatin states, conservation, and regulatory motif alterations within sets of genetically linked variants. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40 (Database issue), D930–D934. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr917

Ward, L. D., and Kellis, M. (2016). HaploReg v4: systematic mining of putative causal variants, cell types, regulators and target genes for human complex traits and disease. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44 (D1), D877–D881. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1340

Wen, T., Li, T., Xu, Y., Zhang, Y., Pan, H., and Wang, Y. (2023). The role of m6A epigenetic modifications in tumor coding and non-coding RNA processing. *Cell Commun. Signal* 21 (1), 355. doi:10.1186/s12964-023-01385-w

Wu, S., Lu, J., Zhu, H., Wu, F., Mo, Y., Xie, L., et al. (2024). A novel axis of circKIF4AmiR-637-STAT3 promotes brain metastasis in triple-negative breast cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 581, 216508. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2023.216508

Wu, T., Hu, E., Xu, S., Chen, M., Guo, P., Dai, Z., et al. (2021). clusterProfiler 4.0: a universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *Innov. (Camb)* 2 (3), 100141. doi:10.1016/j.xinn.2021.100141

Wu, Z., Lin, W., Yuan, Q., and Lyu, M. (2022). A genome-wide association analysis: m6A-SNP related to the onset of oral ulcers. *Front. Immunol.* 13, 931408. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.931408

Xia, T., Dai, X. Y., Sang, M. Y., Zhang, X., Xu, F., Wu, J., et al. (2024). IGF2BP2 drives cell cycle progression in triple-negative breast cancer by Recruiting EIF4A1 to promote the m6A-Modified CDK6 translation Initiation process. *Adv. Sci. (Weinh)* 11 (1), e2305142. doi:10.1002/advs.202305142

Xuan, Z., Zhang, Y., Jiang, J., Zheng, X., Hu, X., Yang, X., et al. (2021). Integrative genomic analysis of N6-methyladenosine-single nucleotide polymorphisms (m(6)A-SNPs) associated with breast cancer. *Bioengineered* 12 (1), 2389–2397. doi:10.1080/21655979.2021.1935406

Yang, Y., Gao, F., Ren, L., Ren, N., Pan, J., and Xu, Q. (2024). Functions and mechanisms of RNA m^6A regulators in breast cancer (Review). *Int. J. Oncol.* 65 (3), 86. doi:10.3892/ijo.2024.5674

Yin, Y., Wang, Z., Hu, Y., Wang, J., Wang, Y. I., and Lu, Q. (2024). Caffeic acid hinders the proliferation and migration through inhibition of IL-6 mediated JAK-STAT-3 signaling axis in human prostate cancer. *Oncol. Res.* 32 (12), 1881–1890. doi:10. 32604/or.2024.048007

Zhang, Y., Wang, D., Peng, M., Tang, L., Ouyang, J., Xiong, F., et al. (2021). Single-cell RNA sequencing in cancer research. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* 40 (1), 81. doi:10.1186/s13046-021-01874-1

Zheng, Y., Zhang, Z., Li, D., Huang, R., and Ning, S. (2024). Breaking through therapeutic barriers: insights into CDK4/6 inhibition resistance in hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Cancer* 1879 (5), 189174. doi:10.1016/j.bbcan.2024.189174

Zhou, H., Feng, S., Cai, J., Shao, X., Zhu, S., Zhou, H., et al. (2025). Oestrogen suppresses the adipogenesis of fibro/adipogenic progenitors through reactivating the METTL3-ESR1-mediated loop in post-menopausal females. *Clin. Transl. Med.* 15 (2), e70206. doi:10.1002/ctm2.70206

Zhuang, H., Yu, B., Tao, D., Xu, X., Xu, Y., Wang, J., et al. (2023). The role of m6A methylation in therapy resistance in cancer. *Mol. Cancer* 22 (1), 91. doi:10.1186/s12943-023-01782-2