Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Maryam Shafaati, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

REVIEWED BY Adnan Amin, Yeungnam University, Republic of Korea Puneet Gandhi, Bhopal Memorial Hospital and Research Centre, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Meiyu Yu, ⊠ ymy@cmu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 25 March 2025 ACCEPTED 12 May 2025 PUBLISHED 23 May 2025

CITATION

Li J, Sun Y, Wen H, Xiao B, Wang J, Li Z, Wang L and Yu M (2025) Therapeutic efficacy and mechanisms of Xuebijing in *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection based on meta-analysis and integrated pharmacology approaches. *Front. Pharmacol.* 16:1598359. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2025.1598359

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Li, Sun, Wen, Xiao, Wang, Li, Wang and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Therapeutic efficacy and mechanisms of Xuebijing in *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection based on meta-analysis and integrated pharmacology approaches

Jiaqi Li^{1†}, Yihan Sun^{1†}, Hao Wen¹, Boying Xiao¹, Jianming Wang², Ziqi Li¹, Lei Wang² and Meiyu Yu^{1*}

¹Department of Trauma Center, The First Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ²Department of General Surgery, The First Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning, China

Background: Xuebijing (XBJ) is a traditional Chinese medicine widely used in China for managing sepsis, systemic inflammatory response syndrome, and multiple organ dysfunction secondary to severe infections. This study aimed to assess the therapeutic efficacy and safety of XBJ as an adjuvant treatment for *Acinetobacter baumannii* (*A. baumannii*) infections through meta-analysis, and to explore its potential mechanisms via integrated pharmacological approaches.

Materials and methods: A systematic search was conducted across multiple databases for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating XBJ in *A. baumannii* infections up to 9 February 2025. Meta-analyses were conducted to synthesize clinical outcomes, and evidence certainty was assessed using the GRADE framework. Network pharmacology, molecular docking, and molecular dynamics simulations were used to evaluate the interactions between active ingredients of XBJ and protein targets in *A. baumannii* infections.

Results: A total of 11 RCTs involving 1,035 patients met the inclusion criteria. Meta-analysis demonstrated that XBJ significantly improved clinical outcomes, with a higher overall effective rate (RR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.13–1.27, P < 0.01) and enhanced bacterial clearance (RR = 1.44, 95% CI: 1.23–1.68, P < 0.01) compared to conventional treatment alone. Additionally, XBJ treatment was associated with marked reductions in inflammatory markers, including C-reactive protein (CRP) (SMD = -2.12), procalcitonin (PCT) (SMD = -2.28), and white blood cell (WBC) count (SMD = -1.00) (all P < 0.01). Notably, no serious adverse drug events were reported. Mechanistic investigations identified three active ingredients of XBJ including scutellarin, salvianolic acid C, and isosalvianolic acid C, as potential modulators of MMP9 and TLR4, suggesting the role of XBJ in attenuating inflammatory responses and improving infection outcomes.

Conclusion: XBJ appears effective and safe as an adjuvant therapy for *A. baumannii* infections, but further high-quality RCTs are warranted to validate these findings.

Systematic Review Registration: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/, identifier CRD42023486389.

KEYWORDS

Xuebijing, Acinetobacter baumannii, meta-analysis, network pharmacology, molecular docking, molecular dynamics simulations

1 Introduction

Acinetobacter baumannii (A. baumannii) has emerged as a critical global healthcare challenge, primarily due to its extraordinary capacity for developing resistance to multiple antimicrobial agents, rendering infections increasingly refractory to treatment (Marino et al., 2024). As a major nosocomial pathogen, it is a leading cause of ventilatorassociated pneumonia, bloodstream infections, and urinary tract infections, particularly in critically ill patients (Cavallo et al., 2023; Diao et al., 2024). These infections often progress rapidly to sepsis and septic shock (Niu et al., 2023), driven by an initial phase of immune evasion that triggers an excessive inflammatory response, largely mediated through lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) signaling (Sabatini et al., 2024). However, the growing threat of antimicrobial resistance continues to narrow the available therapeutic options. Carbapenems, once the mainstay of therapy, now frequently fail due to widespread resistance. Polymyxins, though still active against some strains, are limited by their nephrotoxicity, neurotoxicity, and the emergence of resistance (Novovic and Jovcic, 2023). Tigecycline, initially considered a promising alternative, is undermined by poor plasma concentrations, inadequate tissue penetration, and inconsistent clinical efficacy (Sun et al., 2023). Moreover, resistance also compromises sulbactam (Principe et al., 2022), β -lactam/ β -lactamase inhibitors, and aminoglycosides (Kyriakidis et al., 2021), further narrowing the therapeutic arsenal. Given these challenges, there is an urgent need for alternative or adjunctive therapies that not only improve antimicrobial efficacy but also mitigate the excessive inflammatory responses (Cirino et al., 2023).

Xuebijing (XBJ), a novel Chinese patent medicine developed based on the "bacteria-toxin-inflammation" theory, is formulated from equal proportions of five medicinal plants-Paeonia lactiflora Pall. (Chishao), Ligusticum striatum DC. (Chuanxiong), Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge (Danshen), Carthamus tinctorius L. (Honghua), and Angelica sinensis (Oliv.) Diels (Danggui)-all verified in the "World Flora Online" on 13 January 2025 (Ma et al., 2009). XBJ was reported to exert detoxifying, antioxidative and immunemodulating effects by inhibiting inflammatory mediators and attenuating endotoxins, and has been approved as a State Category II New Drug by the China Food and Drug Administration for clinical use in sepsis (Song et al., 2019; Yin and Li, 2014). Recent clinical investigations suggested that XBJ may also serve as an effective and safe adjuvant therapy for A. baumannii infections (Bai et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2018; Dong, 2019; He et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Liu, 2020; Ma et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2021; Niu and Zhu, 2022; Ma et al., 2024). However, current evidence is limited by heterogeneity and lacks comprehensive synthesis.

The present study aims to systematically review and metaanalyze the existing clinical evidence on XBJ as an adjuvant therapy for *A. baumannii* infections, and to further investigate its underlying mechanisms using an integrated pharmacological approach. These findings are expected to provide a more robust scientific foundation for the clinical application of XBJ, with the potential to improve treatment outcomes and alleviate the growing healthcare burden associated with *A. baumannii*.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Protocols and registration

This systematic review and meta-analysis followed the methodological guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) (Page et al., 2021) to ensure methodological rigor. Moreover, the research protocol was prospectively registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) under registration number CRD42023486389.

2.2 Search strategy

A comprehensive search was systematically conducted across both English and Chinese databases, covering the period from their inception to February 2025. The English databases included PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, EBSCO, and Web of Science, while key Chinese databases-China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Biomedicine Literature Database (CBM), Chinese Science and Technology Journal Database (VIP), and Wanfang Database-were also thoroughly examined. The search strategy combined medical subject headings (MeSH) with free-text terms, ensuring a detailed analysis of titles, abstracts, and keywords. Specifically, the terms ("Acinetobacter baumannii" OR "A. baumannii") AND ("Xuebijing" OR "XBJ") were applied, with modifications tailored to the indexing systems of individual databases. To further enhance completeness, reference lists of included studies and relevant systematic reviews/meta-analyses were manually screened. Detailed search strategies are provided in Supplementary Material 1.

2.3 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: Clinical trials assessing the therapeutic efficacy of XBJ in combination with other standard treatments for *A. baumannii* infection were eligible for inclusion, provided that the effects of XBJ could be clearly differentiated from those of other interventions. Eligible studies were required to report at least one of the following outcomes: overall effective rate, bacterial clearance rate, levels of inflammatory markers (including white blood cell (WBC) count, C-reactive protein (CRP) level, and procalcitonin (PCT)), or adverse

drug events. Only randomized controlled trials (RCTs) published in English or Chinese were considered for inclusion.

Exclusion Criteria: Studies that were non-clinical, reviews, case reports, or conference abstracts were excluded. Non-randomized studies of interventions (NRSIs) were also excluded. Furthermore, studies with insufficient outcome reporting, significant methodological flaws, duplicate publications, or irrelevant data were excluded from this analysis.

2.4 Literature screening and data extraction

Two evaluators (YHS and JQL) independently screened the literature, extracted data, and cross-verified their findings. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion or, when necessary, consultation with a third reviewer (HW) to reach a consensus. The screening process followed a stepwise approach, beginning with an initial assessment of titles and abstracts to exclude clearly irrelevant studies, followed by a full-text review based on predefined inclusion criteria. When key data were missing or unclear, the original authors were contacted via telephone or email for clarification or additional information. The extracted data encompassed study characteristics (author, publication year, country, study design, sample size, participant demographics, and specific disease conditions), intervention details (single dose, frequency, daily dosage, treatment duration, and total dosage of XBJ), and outcome measures (overall effective rate, bacterial clearance rate, and inflammatory markers such as CRP, PCT, and WBC), along with adverse drug events. Additionally, information relevant to the risk of bias assessment, including methods of randomization, allocation concealment, and other methodological aspects, was systematically collected.

2.5 Quality assessment

The risk of bias for all included studies was independently assessed following the guidelines of the *Cochrane Handbook* (version 6.4) (JPT et al., 2023). The methodological quality of RCTs was evaluated using the revised tool for risk of bias in randomized trials (RoB 2) tool, a revised framework for assessing bias in randomized trials (Sterne et al., 2019). Two researchers (HW and JMW) independently conducted the assessments, classifying studies as having low, some concerns, or high risk of bias. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion or, if necessary, by consulting the supervisor (MYY) for consensus. The results were visualized using the R package "robvis".

2.6 Data analysis

Meta-analysis was conducted using the "meta" (Balduzzi et al., 2019), "metafor" (Viechtbauer, 2010), and "dmetar" (Harrer et al., 2019) packages in R software (version 4.3.2). Risk ratios (RR) was employed for categorical variables, while standardized mean differences (SMD) was used for continuous variables, with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI) as the measure of effect size. Heterogeneity was assessed using the Cochrane Q and I² statistics, with a *P*-value >0.1 and I² < 50% indicating low

heterogeneity, warranting the use of a fixed-effects model. Conversely, if $P \le 0.1$ and $I^2 \ge 50\%$, significant heterogeneity was assumed, necessitating the application of a random-effects model. Sensitivity analysis was performed to evaluate the influence of individual studies on overall heterogeneity. To further explore sources of heterogeneity and assess the impact of key factors on the overall effect, subgroup analysis was conducted when at least six studies were available. Publication bias was assessed using Egger's test, funnel plots, and the trim-and-fill method.

Trial sequential analysis (TSA) was performed to assess potential errors arising from limited sample sizes (Wang et al., 2023). Graphical representations depicted sample size on the x-axis and cumulative Z-scores on the y-axis, with parallel lines representing conventional significance thresholds. The required information size (RIS) was automatically estimated with a pre-specified type I error rate of 5%. Robustness was determined by the intersection of the cumulative Z-curve with both conventional and TSA boundaries, indicating whether further studies were necessary.

2.7 Evidence quality assessment

In this study, the quality and relevance of evidence were systematically evaluated using the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation) framework. The GRADEpro software was employed to assess the certainty of each outcome in accordance with established guidelines. This assessment incorporated key methodological domains, including study design, risk of bias, inconsistency across findings, indirectness of evidence, and imprecision of estimates. Based on these criteria, evidence certainty was classified into four levels: high, moderate, low, or very low. To further refine the evaluation, outcome importance was rated on a nine-point scale, stratified into three significance categories: non-critical, important, and critical. This structured approach ensured a rigorous appraisal of both the strength and applicability of the evidence, providing a robust foundation for interpreting the findings within a broader scientific and clinical context.

2.8 Network pharmacology

The bioactive ingredients of XBJ were identified through previous published mass spectrometry-based studies (Huang et al., 2011; Jiang et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2017; Zuo et al., 2017a; Zuo et al., 2017b; Zuo et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023), and subsequently analyzed using SwissTargetPrediction (Daina et al., 2019) to predict associated protein targets of XBJ ingredients. A. baumannii-related genes were retrieved from the GEO dataset GSE69528 (Pankla et al., 2009) and reanalyzed with the limma package in R to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs). The intersection of predicted protein targets of XBJ ingredients and A. baumannii-related genes was visualized using a Venn diagram. To explore molecular interactions, the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) database (https://cn.string-db.org/) and Cytoscape (version 3.10.1) were employed to construct the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network. The "analyze network" function in Cytoscape was applied to score the network, with the two nodes exhibiting the highest degree selected as key targets.



2.9 Molecular docking

Molecular docking was performed using AutoDock Vina (version 1.5.7) (Trott and Olson, 2010) to predict ligand-target interactions. Crystal structures of target proteins were retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (https://www.rcsb.org/), while 3D ligand structures were batch downloaded from the PubChem database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) using PubChemPy in Python (version 3.11.7). Docking results were visualized and analyzed using PyMOL (version 2.4.0) and Discovery Studio (version 2019).

2.10 Molecular dynamics simulation

Molecular dynamics simulations were conducted using GROMACS (version 2023.3) to evaluate the stability of proteinligand complexes. Protein and ligand structures were separately processed, with topology files and simulation boxes generated using the pdb2gmx and gmx editconf commands, respectively. The system underwent initial energy minimization via the steepest descent method, followed by 100,000 steps of isothermal-isovolumetric (NVT) and isothermal-isobaric (NPT) equilibration, with coupling constants set at 0.1 ps and durations of 100 ps. Subsequently, a free dynamics simulation was performed with a 2 fs time step, extending up to 100 ns using the gmx grompp and gmx mdrun commands. Conformational data were continuously recorded to monitor the stability of the protein-ligand complexes.

3 Results

3.1 Literature search and study selection

A total of 603 relevant articles were imported into EndNote X9. Using the "find duplicates" feature, 33 duplicate records were automatically removed, with an additional 14 eliminated through manual screening. Among the remaining 556 articles, a review of titles and abstracts resulted in the exclusion of 522 studies, including 416 that did not meet the experimental requirements, interventions, or methods, 92 review articles, and 14 conference abstracts. A full-text review of the remaining 34 articles led to further exclusions: 6 for non-compliance with control principles, 1 for not meeting outcome criteria, 3 for non-compliance with diagnostic standards, 2 for not being RCTs, and 11 for being animal studies (Figure 1). Ultimately, 11 studies were included in the meta-analysis (Bai et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2018; Dong, 2019; He et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Liu, 2020; Ma et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2021; Niu and Zhu, 2022; Ma et al., 2024). Detailed flowchart of literature search and study selection is shown in Figure 1.

3.2 Characteristics of included studies

This analysis included 11 RCTs conducted in Mainland China between 2017 and 2024, enrolling a total of 1,035 participants, of whom 521 received XBJ as adjuvant treatment and 514 received conventional symptomatic therapy, with individual study sample sizes ranging from 66 to 140. The mean participant age varied from 47.67 to 71.03 years, with a generally balanced gender distribution. All studies had evaluated XBJ as an adjuvant therapy alongside conventional symptomatic treatment, either alone or in combination with antibiotics, for A. baumannii infections. XBJ was administered at doses ranging from 50 to 100 mL, with a frequency of one to three times daily, over treatment durations varying from 7 to 30 days. Nine studies assessed the overall effective rate, five evaluated bacterial clearance rate, and seven reported changes in inflammatory markers such as CRP and PCT. Additionally, five studies analyzed white blood cell count, while only three examined adverse drug events. The general characteristics of the included studies were summarized in Table 1.

3.3 Risk of bias assessment

Although all included studies reported randomization, only eight trials (Ma et al., 2018; Dong, 2019; He et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Liu, 2020; Ma et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2024) explicitly described the randomization method. In contrast, the remaining studies lacked clarity in random sequence generation, which raised concerns regarding allocation concealment (Bai et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017; Niu and Zhu, 2022). Regarding deviations from intended interventions, one study (Zhang et al., 2017) was rated as having some concerns due to insufficient methodological detail. Overall, six studies were classified as low risk of bias (Ma et al., 2018; He et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2024), three as having some concerns (Bai et al., 2017; Dong, 2019; Liu, 2020), and two as high risk (Zhang et al., 2017; Niu and Zhu, 2022). A summary of the risk of bias assessment was provided in Figure 2.

3.4 Primary outcomes

3.4.1 Overall effective rate

Nine RCTs (Bai et al., 2017; He et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Liu, 2020; Ma et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2021; Zhang et al.,

2017; Ma et al., 2024) evaluated the overall effective rate of XBJ in the treatment of A. baumannii infections. Using a fixed-effects model, the analysis yielded an RR of 1.20 (95% CI: 1.13-1.27), with no significant heterogeneity detected (P = 0.98, $I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 3A). Consequently, leave-one-out sensitivity analysis was deemed unnecessary. To explore potential factors influencing the overall effective rate of XBJ, subgroup analyses were conducted based on disease type and key application parameters, including single dose, frequency, daily dosage, treatment duration, and total dosage. The results indicated that in A. baumannii-related pneumonia, the RR for the XBJ group compared to the control was 1.20 (95% CI: 1.12-1.29), while in A. baumannii-related pyelonephritis, the RR was 1.19 (95% CI: 1.08-1.31). No significant difference was observed between these disease types ($Chi^2 = 0.02$, P = 0.89), and heterogeneity within each subgroup remained negligible (P >0.05, $I^2 = 0\%$ for both) (Supplementary Material 2). Additionally, no significant differences were detected across the various application characteristics of XBJ (P > 0.05 for all) (Supplementary Material 2).

3.4.2 Bacterial clearance rate

Five RCTs (Ma et al., 2018; Dong, 2019; Ma et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2021; Niu and Zhu, 2022) assessed the bacterial clearance rate of XBJ in *A. baumannii* infections, with a particular focus on *A. baumannii*-related pneumonia. Using a fixed-effects model, XBJ demonstrated a significant RR of 1.44 (95% CI: 1.23–1.68) compared to controls, with no observed heterogeneity (P = 0.89, $I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 3B). Given the absence of significant heterogeneity and the limited number of included studies (<6), sensitivity analysis and subgroup analysis were not conducted.

3.5 Secondary outcomes

3.5.1 CRP

Seven RCTs (Zhang et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2018; He et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2024) evaluated CRP levels in patients with *A. baumannii* infections receiving XBJ treatment. Using a random-effects model, the meta-analysis yielded an SMD of -2.12 (95% CI: -3.46 to -0.77) compared to controls, indicating substantial heterogeneity (P < 0.01, $I^2 = 96.5\%$) (Figure 4A). Sensitivity analysis did not identify any single study as the primary source of heterogeneity. Further subgroup analyses showed significant findings for disease type, frequency, treatment duration, and total dosage, whereas no significant differences were observed for single dose and daily dosage (Supplementary Material 3).

3.5.2 PCT

Five RCTs (Ma et al., 2018; He et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020; Niu and Zhu, 2022; Ma et al., 2024) assessed PCT levels in patients with *A. baumannii* infections treated with XBJ. Using a random-effects model, the analysis yielded an SMD of -2.28 (95% CI: -3.33 to -1.22) compared to controls, indicating significant heterogeneity (P < 0.01, $I^2 = 93.7\%$) (Figure 4B). Sensitivity analysis did not identify any single study as the primary source of heterogeneity (Supplementary Material 4). Given the limited number of included studies, subgroup analysis was not conducted.

TABLE 1 Basic characteristics of	the included studies.
----------------------------------	-----------------------

Author (year)	Country	Design	San si:	nple ze	Gen ma	der, ale	Age, j mean	years, ⊨ <u>+</u> SD	Treatment			Details of XBJ		Outcomes
			С	Т	С	т	С	т	С	Т	Single dose, <i>mL</i>	Frequence, times/day	Duration, days	
Bai et al. (2017)	China	RCT	66	74	39	43	51.50 ± 12.60	48.20 ± 14.20	СТ	XBJ + CT	100	2	14	15
Zhang et al. (2017)	China	RCT	50	50	/	/	47.80 ± 2.40	47.80 ± 2.40	CT + CRO	XBJ + CT + CRO	50	2	30	03
Ma et al., 2018)	China	RCT	46	46	26	28	71.03 ± 4.80	70.12 ± 4.75	CT + TIG+ CFP-Sulb	XBJ + CT + TIG + CFP-Sulb	50	3	14	123456
He et al. (2019)	China	RCT	41	41	25	28	49.24 ± 6.52	48.75 ± 6.24	CT + TIG	XBJ + CT + TIG	100	2	7–15	030
Liu et al. (2019)	China	RCT	60	60	33	34	48.11 ± 1.39	47.67 ± 1.42	CT + CRO	XBJ + CT + CRO	50	2	30	03
Dong (2019)	China	RCT	38	38	20	21	49.27 ± 9.72	48.11 ± 10.36	CT + CFP- Sulb	XBJ + CT + CFP- Sulb	50	2	7	26
Liu (2020)	China	RCT	50	50	30	29	49.40 ± 4.20	49.20 ± 4.10	CT + TIG	XBJ + CT + TIG	100	2	14	1
Ma et al. (2020)	China	RCT	33	33	22	20	64.23 ± 8.28	64.30 ± 8.32	CT + TIG	XBJ + CT + TIG	50	2	14	12345
Pang et al. (2021)	China	RCT	50	50	34	30	49.80 ± 5.20	51.30 ± 4.70	CT + TIG+ CFP-Sulb	XBJ + CT + TIG + CFP-Sulb	100	1	14	003
Niu and Zhu (2022)	China	RCT	46	45	27	25	69.23 ± 4.72	70.02 ± 4.81	CT + TIG+ CFP-Sulb	XBJ + CT + TIG + CFP-Sulb	50	3	14	245
Ma et al. (2024)	China	RCT	34	34	20	21	50.51 ± 6.35	50.60 ± 6.41	CT + CZA	XBJ + CT + CZA	50	2	7	03456

RCT, randomized controlled trials; C, control group; T, treatment group; SD, standard deviation; XBJ, Xuebijing; CT, conventional symptomatic treatment; CRO, ceftriaxone; TIG, tigecycline; CFP-Sulb, cefoperazone sulbactam; CZA, ceftazidime avibactam sodium; ①, overall effective rate; ②, bacterial clearance rate; ③, C- reactive protein, CRP; ④, procalcitonin, PCT; ⑤, white blood cell counts, WBC; ⑥, adverse drug events/: not mentioned.

Li et al.



FIGURE 2

Risk of bias assessment. Weighted bar plots illustrates the distribution of risk-of-bias judgments within each bias domain for (A) RCTs, respectively. Traffic light plots indicates the domain-level judgments for each individual result of (B) RCTs, respectively. RoB 2 tool was used for RCTs.

Study	Experime Events	ental Fotal E	Co Events	ntrol Fotal V	Veight I	Risk Ratio MH, Fixed, 95% Cl	R MH, F	isk Ratio Fixed, 95% Cl	
Yan Bai 2017	69	74	48	66	15.2%	1.28 [1.09; 1.50]			
Jie Zhang 2017	47	50	39	50	11.7%	1.21 [1.02; 1.42]			
Xin Ma 2018	42	46	33	46	9.9%	1.27 [1.04; 1.56]			
Wei He 2019	39	41	33	41	9.9%	1.18 [1.00; 1.40]			_
Jingtao Liu 2019	59	60	50	60	15.0%	1.18 [1.05; 1.33]			
Xin Ma 2020	29	33	26	33	7.8%	1.12 [0.90; 1.39]			_
Yanfeng Liu 2020	46	50	39	50	11.7%	1.18 [1.00; 1.40]			-
Yuju Pang 2021	41	50	37	50	11.1%	1.11 [0.90; 1.37]			-
Li Ma 2024	32	34	26	34	7.8%	1.23 [1.00; 1.51]			
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ²	= 0; Chi ²	438 = 2.17,	df = 8 (P	430 1 = 0.975	00.0% 52); I ² = (1.20 [1.13; 1.27] 0.0%	0.75	1	1.5
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² B. bacterial c	= 0; Chi ² learan Experi	438 = 2.17, CE ra	df = 8 (P ite	430 1 = 0.975	00.0% 52); ² = (1.20 [1.13; 1.27] 0.0% Risk Ratio	0.75	1 Risk Ratio	1.5
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² B. bacterial c Study	= 0; Chi ² learan Experi Event	438 = 2.17, CE ra imenta s Tota	df = 8 (P I te Il C Il Events	430 1 = 0.975 Control s Total	00.0% 52); I ² = (I Weigh	1.20 [1.13; 1.27] 0.0% Risk Ratio t MH, Fixed, 95% (0.75 CI MH	1 Risk Ratio , Fixed, 95% C	1.5
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² B. bacterial c Study Xin Ma 2018	= 0; Chi ² learan Experi Event 3	438 = 2.17, CE ra menta s Tota 6 46	df = 8 (P ite il C il Event : 6 2 ⁻	430 1 = 0.975 Control s Total 7 46	00.0% 52); I ² = (Weigh 5 25.3%	1.20 [1.13; 1.27] 0.0% Risk Ratio t MH, Fixed, 95% (6 1.33 [1.00; 1.78]	0.75 CI MH	1 Risk Ratio , Fixed, 95% C	
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² B. bacterial c Study Xin Ma 2018 Chunying Dong 20	= 0; Chi ² learan Experi Event	438 = 2.17, CE ra imenta s Tota 6 46 5 38	df = 8 (P Ite I Event: 6 2' 8 1	430 1 = 0.975 Control s Total 7 46 8 38	00.0% 52); ² = (Weigh 5 25.3% 5 16.9%	1.20 [1.13; 1.27] 0.0% Risk Ratio t MH, Fixed, 95% (6 1.33 [1.00; 1.78] 6 1.39 [0.93; 2.08]	0.75 Сі МН	1 Risk Ratio , Fixed, 95% C	
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² B. bacterial c Study Xin Ma 2018 Chunying Dong 20 Xin Ma 2020	= 0; Chi ² learan Experi Event	438 = 2.17, Ce ra imenta s Tota 6 46 5 38 9 33	df = 8 (P Ite I Events 6 2 8 16 3 16	430 1 = 0.975 Control s Total 7 46 8 38 0 33	00.0% 52); ² = (Weigh 25.3% 16.9% 9.4%	1.20 [1.13; 1.27] 0.0% Risk Ratio t MH, Fixed, 95% (6 1.33 [1.00; 1.78] 6 1.39 [0.93; 2.08] 6 1.90 [1.05; 3.44]	0.75 Сі МН	1 Risk Ratio , Fixed, 95% C	1.5 :I
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² B. bacterial c Study Xin Ma 2018 Chunying Dong 20 Xin Ma 2020 Yuju Pang 2021	= 0; Chi ² learan Experi Event 19 2 13	438 = 2.17, Ce ra imenta s Tota 6 46 5 38 9 33 7 50	df = 8 (P ite i Event 6 2 8 1 3 1 0 2 0	430 1 = 0.975 Control s Total 7 46 8 38 0 33 6 50	00.0% 52); ² = (Weigh 525.39 516.99 516.99 516.99 516.99 516.94 5	1.20 [1.13; 1.27] 0.0% Risk Ratio t MH, Fixed, 95% (6 1.33 [1.00; 1.78] 6 1.39 [0.93; 2.08] 6 1.90 [1.05; 3.44] 6 1.42 [1.04; 1.95]	0.75	1 Risk Ratio , Fixed, 95% C	1.5 1.5
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² B. bacterial c Study Xin Ma 2018 Chunying Dong 20 Xin Ma 2020 Yuju Pang 2021 Jianfeng Niu 2022	= 0; Chi ² learan Experi Event 19 2 1 3 3 3	438 = 2.17, Ce ra imenta s Tota 6 46 5 38 9 33 7 50 6 45	df = 8 (P ite il Event: 6 2' 8 1' 3 1' 0 2' 5 2'	430 1 = 0.975 Control s Total 7 46 8 38 0 33 6 50 6 46	00.0% 52); ² = (Weigh 525.3% 525.3% 54.9% 54.9% 54.4% 524.4% 524.4% 524.4%	1.20 [1.13; 1.27] 0.0% Risk Ratio t MH, Fixed, 95% (6 1.33 [1.00; 1.78] 6 1.39 [0.93; 2.08] 6 1.90 [1.05; 3.44] 6 1.42 [1.04; 1.95] 6 1.42 [1.06; 1.90]	0.75 Сі МН	1 Risk Ratio , Fixed, 95% C	1.5
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² . bacterial c tudy in Ma 2018 hunying Dong 20: in Ma 2020 uju Pang 2021 anfeng Niu 2022	= 0; Chi ² learan Experi Event 19 2 1 3 3	438 = 2.17, CE ra menta s Tota 6 46 5 38 9 33 7 50 6 45	df = 8 (P ite ii C ii Event : 5 20	430 1 = 0.975 Control s Total 7 46 8 38 0 33 3 50 6 46	00.0% 52); ² = (Weigh 5 25.39 5 16.99 5 9.49 5 24.49 5 24.19	1.20 [1.13; 1.27] 0.0% Risk Ratio t MH, Fixed, 95% (6 1.33 [1.00; 1.78] 6 1.39 [0.93; 2.08] 6 1.39 [0.93; 2.08] 6 1.42 [1.04; 1.95] 6 1.42 [1.06; 1.90]	о.75 Сі МН	1 Risk Ratio , Fixed, 95% C	- 1.5

FIGURE 3

Forest plots for primary outcomes. Forest plots illustrates the effects of XBJ compared to the control group on (A) overall effective rate and (B) bacterial clearance rate in A. baumannii infection.

3.5.3 WBC counts (×10⁹/L)

Five RCTs (Bai et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2020; Niu and Zhu, 2022; Ma et al., 2024) evaluated the impact of XBJ on WBC counts in patients with *A. baumannii*-related pneumonia. Meta-analysis using a random-effects model yielded an SMD of -1.13 (95% CI: -1.47 to -0.79) compared to controls, with substantial heterogeneity (P = 0.02, $I^2 = 65.6\%$) (Supplementary Material 5).

Sensitivity analysis identified the study by Bai et al. (2017) as a major source of heterogeneity, likely due to its disproportionately large effect size. After excluding this study, the revised meta-analysis using a random-effects model produced an SMD of -1.00 (95% CI: -1.32 to -0.69), with reduced but persistent heterogeneity (P = 0.15, $I^2 = 44\%$). Given the limited number of studies, further subgroup analysis was not performed.

A. C-reactive protein

	Experimental Control			ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	ce Std. Mean Difference					
Study	Mean	SD	Total N	llean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI				
Jie Zhang 2017	5.60	1.10	50	9.30	1.50	50	14.3%	-2.79 [-3.35; -2.24]					
Xin Ma 2018	64.42	17.12	46 7	2.36	18.40	46	14.5%	-0.44 [-0.86; -0.03]					
Vei He 2019	3.64	2.12	41	8.59	4.27	41	14.4%	-1.45 [-1.94; -0.97]	<mark></mark>				
lingtao Liu 2019	9 5.70	1.10	60	9.90	1.30	60	14.3%	-3.47 [-4.04; -2.89]	 -				
(in Ma 2020	62.14	8.67	33 6	68.52	9.09	33	14.4%	-0.71 [-1.21; -0.21]					
Juju Pang 2021	58.20	10.40	50 6	5.40	9.60	50	14.5%	-0.71 [-1.12; -0.31]					
_i Ma 2024	7.92	0.76	34 1	2.03	0.72	34	13.5%	-5.49 [-6.55; -4.43]	- -				
Fotal (95% CI)			314			314	100.0%	-2.12 [-3.46; -0.77]	•				
leterogeneity: Ta	$au^2 = 3.20$	031; Ch	$i^2 = 169.6$	62, df	= 6 (P	< 0.000	()1); $I^2 = 96$	6.5%					
- /									-6 -4 -2 0 2 4 6				

B. Procalcitonin

	Experim	ental		Co	ntrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean	Difference
Study	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Rando	om, 95% Cl
Xin Ma 2018	0.93	0.33	46	1.32	0.40	46	20.7%	-1.05 [-1.49; -0.62]		
Wei He 2019	0.35	0.14	41	1.24	0.42	41	20.0%	-2.82 [-3.43; -2.20]		
Xin Ma 2020	24.34	3.32	33	36.37	5.08	33	19.7%	-2.77 [-3.46; -2.09]		
Jianfeng Niu 20	22 0.94	0.32	45	1.33	0.39	46	20.7%	-1.08 [-1.52; -0.64]		
Li Ma 2024	0.15	0.09	34	0.56	0.12	34	19.0%	-3.82 [-4.64; -3.01]	- <mark></mark>	
Total (95% CI)	2		199			200	100.0%	-2.28 [-3.33; -1.22]	-	
Heterogeneity: Ta	au ² = 1.353	32; Ch	i ² = 63.	.08, df =	• 4 (P ·	< 0.000	01); I ² = 93	3.7%		

C. White blood cell

	Experim	perimental Control					Std. Mean Differen	ce	e Std. Mean Difference						
Study	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C			IV, Ran	dom	, 95%	6 CI	
Xin Ma 2018	8.30	2.38	46	10.24	2.52	46	28.2%	-0.78 [-1.21; -0.36	5]		-				
Xin Ma 2020	15.82	2.18	33	19.47	2.62	33	20.9%	-1.50 [-2.05; -0.95	5	-					
Jianfeng Niu 202	22 8.31	2.42	45	10.23	2.53	46	28.1%	-0.77 [-1.20; -0.34	ų.						
Li Ma 2024	36.34	1.17	34	37.53	0.96	34	22.9%	-1.10 [-1.61; -0.59	j	-					
Total (95% CI)			158			159	100.0%	-1.00 [-1.32; -0.69	9]		•				_
Heterogeneity: Ta	$u^2 = 0.044$	15; Ch	$i^2 = 5.3$	36, df = 3	3 (P =	0.1475	5); I ² = 44.	0%			1	1		1	
									-	2	-1	0		1	2

D. Adverse drug events

Study	Experimental Events Total		ntal Control			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio MH Fixed 95% Cl
otudy	Lvento	Total	Lvento	Total	Weight	Mill, 1 1xeu, 3076 Of	
Xin Ma 2018	3	46	10	46	50.0%	0.30 [0.09; 1.02]	<u>_</u>
Chunying Dong 2019	2	38	5	38	25.0%	0.40 [0.08; 1.94]	
Li Ma 2024	1	34	5	34	25.0%	0.20 [0.02; 1.62]	
Total (95% Cl)): $Chi^2 = 0$	118	= 2 (P =	118	100.0%	0.30 [0.13; 0.72] %	
ricterogeneity. rad = t	, oni – c	, ui	- 2 (1	0.0700,	,1 = 0.0	70	0.1 0.5 1 2 10

FIGURE 4

Forest plots for secondary outcomes. Forest plots illustrates the effects of XBJ compared to the control group on (A) C-reactive protein, (B) procalcitonin, (C) white blood cell and (D) adverse drug events in *A. baumannii* infection.

3.5.4 Adverse drug events

Three RCTs (Ma et al., 2018; Dong, 2019; Ma et al., 2024) reported adverse drug events associated with XBJ treatment in patients with A.

baumannii infections. Using a fixed-effects model, the meta-analysis yielded an RR of 0.30 (95% CI: 0.13–0.72) compared to controls, with no observed heterogeneity (P = 0.87, $I^2 = 0\%$) (Figure 4D). Among the



included studies, one (Ma et al., 2018) reported 4 cases of nausea (8.70%), 2 cases each of vomiting (4.35%), rash (4.35%), and thrombocytopenia (4.35%) in the XBJ treatment group. Another (Dong, 2019) documented 1 case each of diarrhea (2.63%) and skin itching (2.63%). The third study (Ma et al., 2024) reported 5 cases (14.71%) of vomiting, diarrhea, or skin itching.

3.6 Publication bias

Egger's test for funnel plot asymmetry and the trim-and-fill method were employed to assess publication bias for the primary outcomes, including overall effective rate and bacterial clearance rate. For overall effective rate, Egger's test indicated no significant publication bias (t = -0.26, df = 7, P = 0.8048), with a bias estimate of -0.2458 (SE = 0.9575), suggesting minimal asymmetry. For bacterial clearance rate, some evidence of asymmetry was observed (t = 2.87, df = 3, P = 0.0643), with a bias estimate of 1.7239 (SE = 0.6016), indicating a potential bias toward positive results. However, the further trim-and-fill method did not identify any missing studies, suggesting no need for adjustments in the funnel plots for overall effective rate (Figure 5A) or bacterial clearance rate (Figure 5B).

3.7 Trial sequential analysis

In our analysis, TSA was conducted to evaluate cumulative evidence and assess whether the available data provided sufficient certainty to draw reliable conclusions regarding the intervention's efficacy. In the TSA for overall effective rate (Figure 5C) and bacterial clearance rate (Figure 5D), the cumulative Z-score line crossed the benefit boundary and met the required sample size for both outcomes, as indicated in the 90% (red) and 99% (green) analyses.

3.8 GRADE evidence quality assessment

The GRADE evaluation revealed varying levels of certainty across key outcomes (Table 2). The overall effective rate (nine RCTs) was rated high certainty, indicating a significant

TABLE 2 GRADE evidence quality assessment.

			Certainty	assessment			No of patients		Efi	fect	Certainty	Importance
No of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Xuebijing injection	Normal treatment	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		
Overall eff	Overall effective rate (follow-up: range 1 week to 4 weeks; assessed with: RR)											
9	randomised trials	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	not serious	dose response gradient	404/ 438 (92.2%)	331/ 430 (77.0%)	RR 1.20 (1.13–1.27)	154 more per 1,000 (from 100 more to 208 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High ^a	CRITICAL
bacterial c	learance rate (follow-up:	range 1 week to 2	weeks; assessed v	vith: RR)							
5	randomised trials	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	not serious	dose response gradient	153/ 212 (72.2%)	107/ 213 (50.2%)	RR 1.44 (1.23–1.68)	221 more per 1,000 (from 116 more to 342 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ High ^a	IMPORTANT
C reactive	protein (follow	v-up: rang	e 1 week to 4 week	s; assessed with: S	SMD)							
7	randomised trials	serious ^a	very serious ^b	not serious	not serious	dose response gradient	314	314	-	SMD 2.12 SD lower (3.46 lower to 0.77 lower)	⊕⊕îxî Low ^{a,b}	IMPORTANT
Procalcitor	nin (follow-up:	range 1 v	veek to 2 weeks; as	sessed with: SMD)								
5	randomised trials	serious ^a	very serious ^b	not serious	not serious	publication bias strongly suspected dose response gradient ^e	199	200	-	SMD 2.28 SD lower (3.33 lower to 1.22 lower)	⊕îxîx̂ Very low ^{a,b,c}	NOT IMPORTANT
White bloc	od cell count (f	follow-up:	range 1 week to 2	weeks; assessed v	vith: SMD)							
4	randomised trials	very serious ^a	serious ^b	not serious	not serious	dose response gradient	158	159	-	SMD 1 SD lower (1.32 lower to 0.69 lower)	⊕⊕îxî Low ^{a,b}	IMPORTANT
Adverse d	rug events (fol	low-up: ra	ange 1 week to 2 w	eeks; assessed wit	h: RR)							
3	randomised trials	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	serious ^d	strong association dose response gradient	6/118 (5.1%)	20/118 (16.9%)	RR 0.30 (0.13–0.72)	119 fewer per 1,000 (from 147 fewer to 47 fewer)	High ^{a,d}	IMPORTANT

CI, confidence interval; RR, risk ratio; SMD, standardised mean difference.

Explanations.

^aThe absence of a study protocol poses a risk of selective reporting.

^bCI, range is narrow or no overlap, and I2 value is large.

'High risk of publication bias.

^dInsufficient sample size.

frontiersin.org



improvement with XBJ compared to conventional treatment (RR 1.20, 95% CI: 1.13–1.27), despite concerns over potential bias. Similarly, the bacterial clearance rate (five RCTs) was supported by high-certainty evidence (RR 1.44, 95% CI: 1.23–1.68), reflecting a robust and consistent therapeutic benefit. In contrast, the certainty of evidence for inflammatory markers was considerably lower. CRP (seven RCTs) and WBC count (four RCTs) were rated low certainty, while PCT (five RCTs) was rated as very low-certainty evidence, primarily due to serious risk of bias, inconsistency, and suspected publication bias. For adverse drug events (three RCTs), XBJ significantly reduced incidence (RR 0.30, 95% CI: 0.13–0.72), though the certainty of evidence remained moderate to high, constrained by a small sample size.

3.9 Network pharmacology

A comprehensive analysis was conducted on 145 active ingredients of XBJ, utilizing SwissTargetPrediction to predict their target proteins. A stringent filtering process, which applied a probability threshold of >0.1, identified 758 potential target proteins of XBJ ingredients. Differentially expressed gene (DEG) analysis of the GSE69528 dataset revealed 533 genes upregulated in the *A. baumannii* infection group compared to the control group (Figures 6A,B). The intersection of these datasets yielded 35 common target proteins (Figure 6C).

Functional enrichment analyses, including Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analyses, revealed associations with key inflammation-related pathways such as Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF- α) signaling pathway, Nuclear Factor Kappa B (NF- κ B) signaling pathway, and Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1 (HIF-1) signaling pathway, as well as pathways involved in infectious diseases, including Leishmaniasis, Hepatitis B, and Kaposi sarcomaassociated herpesvirus infection (Figure 6D). To further explore molecular interactions, a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed using the STRING database and visualized in Cytoscape. Network analysis ranked Matrix Metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9) and TLR4 as the top two key targets based on degree centrality (Figure 6E).

3.10 Molecular docking

AutoDock Vina was employed for batch molecular docking between the 145 active ingredients of XBJ and the active pocket regions of MMP9 and TLR4. The results identified three



compounds—scutellarin (labeled in blue), salvianolic acid C (labeled in red), and isosalvianolic acid C (labeled in green)—as potential candidates for simultaneous binding to the active pockets of both MMP9 (labeled in gray) and TLR4 (labeled in cyan). Each of these compounds formed multiple stable hydrogen bonds with MMP9 and TLR4 (Figure 7, with hydrogen bonds shown in yellow in the 3D view and green in the 2D view). Notably, their binding affinities to both proteins were relatively low, suggesting that, despite their multitargeting properties, they may exhibit efficient interaction capabilities.

3.11 Molecular dynamics stimulation

GROMACS was employed to perform molecular dynamics simulations, and to investigate the binding interactions of three small-molecule ligands—scutellarin (labeled in blue), salvianolic acid C (labeled in red), and isosalvianolic acid C (labeled in green)—with MMP9 (labeled in gray) and TLR4 (labeled in cyan) proteins individually. The simulation results demonstrated that these ligand-protein complexes maintained stable binding conformations within the protein binding pockets. Key parameters, including Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD), Root Mean Square Fluctuation (RMSF), Radius of Gyration (GYRATE), and hydrogen bond analysis, were assessed to evaluate stability and interaction dynamics. RMSD analysis indicated that the protein-ligand complexes reached equilibrium and remained stable throughout the simulation. RMSF results showed moderate constraints on protein flexibility, contributing to changes in overall conformational compactness, which was further supported by GYRATE data reflecting structural alterations. Additionally, hydrogen bond analysis confirmed stable interactions between the ligands and proteins, reinforced by the presence of stable interaction energy minima (Figure 8). These findings provided valuable insights into the binding mechanisms of these compounds, and offered a foundation for further exploration of their biological functions.

4 Discussion

In recent years, *A. baumannii* infections have posed a significant challenge in healthcare settings, affecting multiple anatomical sites, including the respiratory tract, bloodstream, skin, soft tissue, urinary tract, and central nervous system (Cavallo et al., 2023; Diao et al., 2024). Notably, *A. baumannii* exhibits remarkable resilience to adverse environmental conditions and a pronounced propensity for resistance across a broad spectrum of antibiotics, establishing itself as a formidable nosocomial pathogen (Shi et al., 2024). The inappropriate use of antibiotics, cross-infections, and the dissemination of resistance-associated genetic elements have facilitated the emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR), extensively drug-resistant (XDR), and pan-drug-resistant (PDR) *A. baumannii* strains (Thacharodi et al., 2024). This escalating resistance presents substantial challenges in clinical management, contributing to rising incidence and mortality rates, particularly



among critically ill patients (Zhang et al., 2024b). Hence, effective control measures and novel therapeutic strategies are urgently needed to mitigate the impact of *A. baumannii* infections on patient outcomes.

Innate immune signaling serves as the host's first line of defense against *A. baumannii*, orchestrating an immune response by activating downstream pro-inflammatory cytokines (Shadan et al., 2023). Host immune and epithelial cells detect pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) through various pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) (Tiku et al., 2022), among which Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) plays a central role in cytokine production. LPS, a major component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, is a well-characterized PAMP that activates TLR4 signaling, triggering NF- κ B activation and the subsequent production of cytokines such as IL-6, IL-12, and

TNF- α (Shadan et al., 2023; Tiku et al., 2022). Additionally, *A. baumannii* secretes a biologically active lipid that activates the Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) signaling pathway in human and murine macrophages, leading to the production of proinflammatory cytokines, including IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α (Tiku et al., 2022). Beyond NF- κ B signaling, this inflammatory response also involves inflammasome activation, contributing to cell death and exacerbating host tissue damage (Tiku et al., 2022). The excessive immune-inflammatory response induced by *A. baumannii* results in a cytokine storm, severe systemic inflammation, and sepsis-induced organ damage, posing a critical threat to patient survival.

In managing *A. baumannii* infections, combination antibiotic therapy remains a key strategy, aiming to expand antimicrobial coverage while awaiting susceptibility test results, suppress

resistance, and improve patient prognosis (Rafailidis et al., 2024). However, despite its widespread use, the clinical efficacy of combination therapy remains inadequately supported by robust evidence, particularly for drug-resistant A. baumannii infections (Zhang et al., 2024a). As novel antimicrobial approaches, such as antimicrobial peptides and bacteriophages, are currently under rigorous investigation (Rangel et al., 2023), the challenge of A. baumannii resistance to conventional therapeutics continues to intensify. Given the pathogen's formidable colonization ability and intricate resistance mechanisms, exploring adjunctive therapies alongside conventional antibiotics is essential. Such strategies should not only modulate the host's inflammatory response to A. baumannii infection but also enhance immune function, mitigate inflammatory damage, and minimize organ injury. These efforts are critical for improving clinical outcomes in A. baumannii infections, which are often associated with high morbidity and mortality.

As an alternative and adjunctive therapy, traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) is increasingly recognized for its efficacy and safety in managing various diseases. In recent years, studies have highlighted the unique advantages of TCM in enhancing immune function, reducing fever, and alleviating symptoms associated with COVID-19 (Kang et al., 2022). Notably, TCM has gained widespread acceptance in treating inflammatory conditions such as sepsis by modulating inflammatory pathways, regulating immune responses, and mitigating oxidative stress (Song et al., 2023). XBJ, a patented TCM formulation developed by Professor Jinda Wang, originates from the Qing dynasty prescription Xuefu Zhuyu decoction (Xie et al., 2019). Possessing antibacterial, antioxidative, and anti-endotoxin properties, XBJ has been approved as a State Category II New Drug for sepsis treatment in China, demonstrating both efficacy and safety in clinical practice (Tian et al., 2021). Notably, the Efficacy of Xuebijing in Patients With Sepsis (EXIT-SEP) trial, a double-blind, placebo-controlled study by Liu et al., underscored the potential of XBJ in reducing 28-day mortality among sepsis patients (Liu et al., 2023). Furthermore, XBJ has shown promise in attenuating inflammatory responses and improving patient outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic (Guo et al., 2020). Based on existing clinical evidence, this metaanalysis demonstrates that XBJ significantly outperforms the control group in overall effective rate and bacterial clearance rate while markedly reducing the expression of key inflammatory markers, including WBC, CRP, and PCT.

Initial findings from the meta-analysis confirmed the efficacy of XBJ in managing *A. baumannii* infections. To further elucidate its underlying therapeutic mechanisms, network pharmacology was employed to identify potential bioactive constituents of XBJ and their corresponding target proteins. Previous network pharmacology studies have primarily relied on databases such as TCMSP; however, limitations in database updates and herbal ingredient diversity have often resulted in repetitive findings, such as the frequent identification of quercetin as a core component (Miao et al., 2022). To enhance data accuracy, this study integrated previously published research utilizing mass spectrometry and other analytical methods to detect XBJ ingredients (Huang et al., 2011; Jiang et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2017; Zuo et al., 2017a; Zuo et al., 2017b; Zuo et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2022; Li et al., 2023). Core *A. baumannii* infection targets were then subjected to batch molecular docking with all known XBJ constituents, and the findings were further validated via molecular dynamics simulations to assess result reliability. Given that MMP9 and TLR4 have been reported as key regulators of inflammatory responses in *A. baumannii* infection (Garcia-Patino et al., 2017; Li et al., 2021), molecular docking and dynamics simulations revealed that scutellarin, salvianolic acid C, and isosalvianolic acid C exhibited strong binding affinities for MMP9 and TLR4, respectively. These findings suggest that specific XBJ ingredients exert targeted effects *in vivo*, inhibiting critical inflammatory pathways induced by *A. baumannii*.

This study also identified a significant enhancement in bacterial clearance rates in A. baumannii infections following XBJ administration compared to the control group. XBJ consists of extracts from five traditional Chinese medicinal herbs, some of which have demonstrated antibacterial properties. For instance, the paeoniflorin derivative (PRAE-a) from Paeonia lactiflora Pall. aqueous extract (PRAE) exhibits potent antibacterial activity by selectively targeting and inhibiting the α -toxin of *Staphylococcus aureus*, thereby suppressing hemolytic activity and disrupting pore formation (Liu et al., 2021). Similarly, extracts of Angelica sinensis (Oliv.) Diels extract (AE) and Sophora flavescens Aiton extract (SE) have shown significant antibacterial effects against Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, and Shigella Castellani (Han and Guo, 2012). While these findings offer insight into the antibacterial potential of XBJ, further pharmacological investigations are warranted to substantiate its antibacterial and antiinflammatory properties.

Building on preliminary investigations into the overall effective rate of XBJ in treating A. baumannii infections, this study further explored the optimal dosage and specific clinical application details through detailed subgroup analyses (Supplementary Material 3). Subgroup analysis of single doses indicated comparable effect sizes across different dose levels: 50 mL per dose (RR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.11-1.30) and 100 mL per dose (RR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.10-1.31). Notably, the 50 mL subgroup had a narrower 95% confidence interval, suggesting greater reliability. Regarding administration frequency, increased dosing frequency appeared to enhance XBJ efficacy, with once-daily administration yielding an RR of 1.11 (95% CI: 0.90-1.37), twice-daily an RR of 1.20 (95% CI: 1.13-1.28), and three-times-daily an RR of 1.27 (95% CI: 1.04-1.56). However, given that only one original study was available for both the once-daily and three-times-daily subgroups, potential bias should be considered. For daily dosage, the subgroup receiving ≤ 100 mL per day (RR = 1.17, 95% CI: 1.08-1.26) showed a lower effect than those receiving ≤ 200 mL per day (RR = 1.23, 95% CI: 1.13–1.35). In terms of treatment duration, the 4-week subgroup (RR = 1.19, 95%) CI: 1.08-1.31) exhibited a lower effect than the 1-week (RR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.06-1.37) and 2-week (RR = 1.20, 95% CI: 1.10-1.31) subgroups, with the 2-week subgroup demonstrating the narrowest confidence interval, indicating greater reliability. Regarding total dosage, the subgroup receiving $\leq 1,400$ mL had an RR of 1.16 (95%) CI: 1.05–1.28), those receiving ≤2,800 mL had an RR of 1.25 (95% CI: 1.13-1.38), while those exceeding 2,800 mL had an RR of 1.19 (95% CI: 1.08–1.31), suggesting that a total dosage of \leq 2,800 mL may offer the greatest efficacy, with no additional benefit observed beyond this threshold. In summary, for A. baumannii infections,

the optimal XBJ regimen appears to be 50 mL per dose, administered twice daily for 2 weeks, with a total dosage of 2,800 mL. This regimen demonstrated satisfactory efficacy and aligned with the recommended dosage in the XBJ manufacturer's guidelines. However, further real-world studies are warranted to validate these findings.

Additionally, an extensive evaluation of XBJ's safety profile in *A. baumannii* infections revealed a minimal occurrence of adverse drug events. A real-world study involving 31,913 participants across 93 hospitals (Zheng et al., 2019) reported an overall adverse drug events incidence of 0.30% with XBJ treatment, predominantly presenting as mild or non-serious events, with skin lesions being the most common. These findings underscore the relatively favorable safety profile of XBJ in hospitalized patients. To mitigate ADR risks, strict adherence to administration guidelines is essential. This real-world evidence highlights the necessity of judicious XBJ use to optimize safety while leveraging its therapeutic benefits in *A. baumannii* infections.

5 Study strengths and limitations

This study employs meta-analysis to synthesize clinical evidence on the efficacy of XBJ in treating *A. baumannii* infections while exploring its therapeutic mechanisms through an integrated approach combining network pharmacology, molecular docking, and molecular dynamics simulations. In the network pharmacology analysis, we independently identified the active ingredients of XBJ, avoiding reliance on traditional Chinese medicine databases such as TCMSP to mitigate potential result overlap and instead leveraging mass spectrometry data from reported studies on XBJ. This strategy provided valuable insights into the pharmacological mechanisms underlying its therapeutic effects in *A. baumannii* infections.

However, several limitations should be acknowledged. First, variations in study quality and methodology—including differences in design, small sample sizes, and potential publication biases—posed challenges in fully assessing XBJ's efficacy. Second, the complex and diverse composition of XBJ, comprising multiple bioactive ingredients, presented analytical challenges that complicate result interpretation. Moreover, the optimal dosage and regimen of XBJ for *A. baumannii* infections in clinical practice remain uncertain. Based on our findings, we cautiously propose a regimen of 50 mL per dose, administered intravenously twice daily for at least 2 weeks, as both safe and effective, though validation through larger-scale studies is required.

When interpreting these results, careful consideration of these limitations is essential. Although no significant asymmetry or publication bias was observed in the funnel plot analysis, all included studies were conducted in China, suggesting a potential for regional publication bias. Furthermore, despite rigorous quality assessments of the included RCTs based on Cochrane guidelines, the possibility of heterogeneity cannot be entirely excluded. Finally, due to the limited number of studies, many outcome indicators were not subjected to sensitivity or subgroup analysis, which may affect the robustness and depth of our conclusions. Further research is needed to validate and refine these findings.

6 Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the clinical efficacy, safety, and mechanisms of action of XBJ in the treatment of A. baumannii infections. Meta-analysis demonstrated significant improvements in both overall effective rate and bacterial clearance rate with XBJ treatment, alongside notable reductions in inflammatory markers, including WBC count, CRP, and PCT. Furthermore, network pharmacology, molecular docking, and molecular dynamics simulations elucidated the anti-inflammatory effects of XBJ's active ingredients, revealing the potential targeted binding of scutellarin, salvianolic acid C, and isosalvianolic acid C to MMP9 and TLR4, respectively. Despite these promising findings, methodological differences across studies and the complex composition of XBJ posed challenges in fully elucidating its therapeutic effects. Further clinical and pharmacological research is warranted to validate and refine its efficacy and mechanisms of action against A. baumannii infections, thereby strengthening the evidence base for its clinical application.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary Material.

Author contributions

JL: Visualization, Software, Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Writing – review and editing, Data curation. YS: Writing – review and editing, Methodology, Visualization, Software, Writing – original draft, Investigation, Data curation. HW: Data curation, Writing – original draft, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition. BX: Visualization, Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Formal Analysis. JW: Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Validation, Data curation. ZL: Data curation, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Formal Analysis. LW: Data curation, Writing – original draft, Formal Analysis. LW: Data curation, MY: Data curation, Resources, Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review and editing, Supervision, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. Our manuscript was supported by the Liaoning Provincial Science and Technology Plan (No. 2023JH2/101700088 and No. 2024-MSLH-552).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or

References

Ayoub Moubareck, C., and Hammoudi Halat, D. (2020). Insights into acinetobacter baumannii: a review of microbiological, virulence, and resistance traits in a threatening nosocomial pathogen. *Antibiot. (Basel)* 9 (3), 119. doi:10. 3390/antibiotics9030119

Bai, Y., Ma, X., Zheng, J., Zhang, J., Zhou, Z., and Bian, S. (2017). Efficacy of xuebijing in the treatment of severe pneumonia caused by acinetobacter baumannii resistant to bauman. *Hebei Med.* 23 (4), 662–665. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1006-6233.2017.04.038

Balduzzi, S., Rucker, G., and Schwarzer, G. (2019). How to perform a meta-analysis with R: a practical tutorial. *Evid. Based Ment. Health* 22 (4), 153–160. doi:10.1136/ebmental-2019-300117

Cavallo, I., Oliva, A., Pages, R., Sivori, F., Truglio, M., Fabrizio, G., et al. (2023). Acinetobacter baumannii in the critically ill: complex infections get complicated. *Front. Microbiol.* 14, 1196774. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2023.1196774

Cirino, I., de Santana, C. F., Bezerra, M. J. R., Rocha, I. V., Luz, A. C. O., Coutinho, H. D. M., et al. (2023). Comparative transcriptomics analysis of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in response to treatment with the terpenic compounds thymol and carvacrol. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 165, 115189. doi:10.1016/j.biopha. 2023.115189

Daina, A., Michielin, O., and Zoete, V. (2019). SwissTargetPrediction: updated data and new features for efficient prediction of protein targets of small molecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47 (W1), W357–W364. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz382

Diao, H., Lu, G., Zhang, Y., Wang, Z., Liu, X., Ma, Q., et al. (2024). Risk factors for multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii infection of patients admitted in intensive care unit: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Hosp. Infect.* 149, 77–87. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2024.04.013

Dong, C. (2019). Clinical study on the combined use of XueBiJing injection and intravenous administration of ceftazidime/avibactam in the treatment of patients with severe pneumonia caused by extensively drug-resistant acinetobacter baumannii. *Mod. Med. Imageology* 28 (2), 427–428.

Garcia-Patino, M. G., Garcia-Contreras, R., and Licona-Limon, P. (2017). The immune response against acinetobacter baumannii, an emerging pathogen in nosocomial infections. *Front. Immunol.* 8, 441. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.00441

Guo, H., Zheng, J., Huang, G., Xiang, Y., Lang, C., Li, B., et al. (2020). Xuebijing injection in the treatment of COVID-19: a retrospective case-control study. *Ann. Palliat. Med.* 9 (5), 3235–3248. doi:10.21037/apm-20-1478

Han, C., and Guo, J. (2012). Antibacterial and anti-inflammatory activity of traditional Chinese herb pairs, Angelica sinensis and Sophora flavescens. *Inflammation* 35 (3), 913–919. doi:10.1007/s10753-011-9393-6

Harrer, M., Cuijpers, P., Furukawa, T., and Ebert, D. D. (2019). Dmetar: companion R package for the guide 'doing meta-analysis in R. *R. package version 0.1.0*. Available online at: http://dmetar.protectlab.org/.

He, W., Mu, X., and Jia, H. (2019). Study on the clinical effect of Xuebijing Injection combined with Tigefycline in the treatment of pulmonary infection of Acinetobacter Baumannii. *China Mod. Med.* 26 (5), 31–37.

Huang, H., Ji, L., Song, S., Wang, J., Wei, N., Jiang, M., et al. (2011). Identification of the major constituents in Xuebijing injection by HPLC-ESI-MS. *Phytochem. Anal.* 22 (4), 330–338. doi:10.1002/pca.1284

Jiang, M., Zhou, M., Han, Y., Xing, L., Zhao, H., Dong, L., et al. (2013). Identification of NF- κ B Inhibitors in Xuebijing injection for sepsis treatment based on bioactivity-integrated UPLC-Q/TOF. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 147 (2), 426–433. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2013. 03.032

Jpt, H., J, T., J, C., M, C., T, L., Mj, P., et al. (2023). Cochrane Handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 6.4, in *Cochrane*. Available online at: www.training. cochrane.org/handbook.

Kang, X., Jin, D., Jiang, L., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., An, X., et al. (2022). Efficacy and mechanisms of traditional Chinese medicine for COVID-19: a systematic review. *Chin. Med.* 17 (1), 30. doi:10.1186/s13020-022-00587-7

those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2025.1598359/ full#supplementary-material

Kyriakidis, I., Vasileiou, E., Pana, Z. D., and Tragiannidis, A. (2021). Acinetobacter baumannii antibiotic resistance mechanisms. *Pathogens* 10 (3), 373. doi:10.3390/pathogens10030373

Li, X., Liu, X., Horvatovich, P., Hu, Y., and Zhang, J. (2021). Proteomics landscape of host-pathogen interaction in acinetobacter baumannii infected mouse lung. *Front. Genet.* 12, 563516. doi:10.3389/fgene.2021.563516

Li, Z., Wang, B., Sun, K., Yin, G., Wang, P., Yu, X. A., et al. (2023). An aggregationinduced emission sensor combined with UHPLC-Q-TOF/MS for fast identification of anticoagulant active ingredients from traditional Chinese medicine. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 1279, 341799. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2023.341799

Liu, J., Wang, L., and Xu, G. (2019). Clinical study on the combined treatment of pyelonephritis caused by acinetobacter baumannii infection with ceftriaxone sodium. *Heilongjiang Med. J.* 32 (1), 20–22. doi:10.14035/j.cnki.hljyy.2019.01.008

Liu, S., Yao, C., Xie, J., Liu, H., Wang, H., Lin, Z., et al. (2023). Effect of an herbal-based injection on 28-day mortality in patients with sepsis: the EXIT-SEP randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med.* 183 (7), 647–655. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2023.0780

Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Li, Z., Zhang, P., Sun, Y. J., and Wu, Y. J. (2021). Paeoniflorin derivative in paeoniae radix aqueous extract suppresses alpha-toxin of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Front. Microbiol.* 12, 649390. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2021.649390

Liu, Y. (2020). Clinical efficacy analysis of XueBiJing injection as adjunctive therapy for acinetobacter baumannii pulmonary infection. *China Health Vis.* 22, 048. Available online at: https://xueshu.baidu.com/usercenter/paper/show?paperid=1j7u08j0uu140en0ar1d0aq02y352650&site=xueshu_se&hitarticle=1.

Ma, L., Lu, K., Wang, L., Wang, X., and Shi, M. (2024). Clinical study on the treatment of severe pneumonia caused by extensively drug-resistant acinetobacter baumannii with xuebijing injection combined with ceftazidime-avibactam sodium. *Med. Health* 04, 26–30.

Ma, S.-T., Liu, P.-X., Long, W., Yu, J., and Xu, Y. (2009). Effects of the multi-target capability of Xuebijing and its inflammatory pharmacodynamic material basis. *Acta Physico-Chimica Sin.* 25 (10), 2080–2086. doi:10.3866/pku.whxb20090907

Ma, X., Zheng, J., Zhang, J., Zhou, Z., Bian, S., and Bai, Y. (2018). Comparison of efficacy and safety of tegacycline combined with xuebijing and cefoperazone sulbactam in the treatment of carbapenem-resistant acinetobacter baumannii infection. *Hebei Med.* 24 (11), 1857–1862. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1006-6233.2018. 11.024

Ma, X., Zheng, J., Zhang, J., Zhou, Z., Bian, S., and Bai, Y. (2020). The effects of xuebijing injection and tigecyclineon carbapenem resistant acinetobacter baumannii infection. *West. J. Traditional Chin. Med.* 33 (7), 110–113. doi:10.12174/j.issn.1004-6852.2020.07.29

Marino, A., Augello, E., Stracquadanio, S., Bellanca, C. M., Cosentino, F., Spampinato, S., et al. (2024). Unveiling the secrets of acinetobacter baumannii: resistance, current treatments, and future innovations. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 25 (13), 6814. doi:10.3390/ ijms25136814

Miao, R., Meng, Q., Wang, C., and Yuan, W. (2022). Bibliometric analysis of network pharmacology in traditional Chinese medicine. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2022, 1583773. doi:10.1155/2022/1583773

Niu, H., Shen, X., Liang, H., Wu, G., Cai, S., Shen, Q., et al. (2023). Risk factors for progression to bacteremia among patients with nosocomial carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii pneumonia in the Intensive Care Unit. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 42 (11), 1337–1346. doi:10.1007/s10096-023-04668-9

Niu, J., and Zhu, H. (2022). Comparative effectiveness of tigecycline combined with XueBiJing and ceftazidime/avibactam in the treatment of carbapenem-resistant and pan-drug-resistant acinetobacter baumannii infections. J. Math. Med. 35 (2), 209–211. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1004-4337.2022.02.017

Novovic, K., and Jovcic, B. (2023). Colistin resistance in acinetobacter baumannii: molecular mechanisms and epidemiology. *Antibiot. (Basel)* 12 (3), 516. doi:10.3390/antibiotics12030516

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 372, n71. doi:10.1136/bmj.n71

Pang, Y., Xu, Z., Zhong, R., and Chen, H. (2021). Clinical study of tigecycline, sulperazone and Xuebijing in the combined treatment of severe pneumonia caused by extensively drug-resistant acinetobacter baumannii. *China Med. Pharm.* 11 (16), 72–75. doi:10.3969/j.issn.2095-0616.2021.16.021

Pankla, R., Buddhisa, S., Berry, M., Blankenship, D. M., Bancroft, G. J., Banchereau, J., et al. (2009). Genomic transcriptional profiling identifies a candidate blood biomarker signature for the diagnosis of septicemic melioidosis. *Genome Biol.* 10 (11), R127. doi:10. 1186/gb-2009-10-11-r127

Principe, L., Di Bella, S., Conti, J., Perilli, M., Piccirilli, A., Mussini, C., et al. (2022). Acinetobacter baumannii resistance to sulbactam/durlobactam: a systematic review. *Antibiot. (Basel)* 11 (12), 1793. doi:10.3390/antibiotics11121793

Rafailidis, P., Panagopoulos, P., Koutserimpas, C., and Samonis, G. (2024). Current therapeutic approaches for multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant acinetobacter baumannii infections. *Antibiot. (Basel)* 13 (3), 261. doi:10.3390/ antibiotics13030261

Rangel, K., Lechuga, G. C., Provance, D. W., Jr., Morel, C. M., and De Simone, S. G. (2023). An update on the therapeutic potential of antimicrobial peptides against acinetobacter baumannii infections. *Pharm. (Basel)* 16 (9), 1281. doi:10.3390/ph16091281

Sabatini, A., Lucidi, M., Ciolfi, S., Vuotto, C., De Bardi, M., Visca, P., et al. (2024). Innate immune mechanisms promote human response to Acinetobacter baumannii infection. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 54 (11), e2451170. doi:10.1002/eji.202451170

Shadan, A., Pathak, A., Ma, Y., Pathania, R., and Singh, R. P. (2023). Deciphering the virulence factors, regulation, and immune response to Acinetobacter baumannii infection. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* 13, 1053968. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2023.1053968

Shi, J., Cheng, J., Liu, S., Zhu, Y., and Zhu, M. (2024). Acinetobacter baumannii: an evolving and cunning opponent. *Front. Microbiol.* 15, 1332108. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2024. 1332108

Song, Y., Lin, W., and Zhu, W. (2023). Traditional Chinese medicine for treatment of sepsis and related multi-organ injury. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14, 1003658. doi:10.3389/fphar.2023.1003658

Song, Y., Yao, C., Yao, Y., Han, H., Zhao, X., Yu, K., et al. (2019). XueBiJing injection versus placebo for critically ill patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia: a randomized controlled trial. *Crit. Care Med.* 47 (9), e735–e743. doi:10.1097/CCM. 00000000003842

Sterne, J. A. C., Savovic, J., Page, M. J., Elbers, R. G., Blencowe, N. S., Boutron, I., et al. (2019). RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 366, 14898. doi:10.1136/bmj.14898

Sun, C., Yu, Y., and Hua, X. (2023). Resistance mechanisms of tigecycline in Acinetobacter baumannii. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* 13, 1141490. doi:10.3389/ fcimb.2023.1141490

Sun, Z., Zuo, L., Sun, T., Tang, J., Ding, D., Zhou, L., et al. (2017). Chemical profiling and quantification of XueBiJing injection, a systematic quality control strategy using UHPLC-Q Exactive hybrid quadrupole-orbitrap high-resolution mass spectrometry. *Sci. Rep.* 7 (1), 16921. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-17170-y

Thacharodi, A., Vithlani, A., Hassan, S., Alqahtani, A., and Pugazhendhi, A. (2024). Carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii raises global alarm for new antibiotic regimens. *iScience* 27 (12), 111367. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2024.111367 Tian, S., Qin, D., Ye, Y., Yang, H., Chen, S., Liu, T., et al. (2021). Scientific evidence of xuebijing injection in the treatment of sepsis. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2021, 6879278. doi:10.1155/2021/6879278

Tiku, V., Kew, C., Kofoed, E. M., Peng, Y., Dikic, I., and Tan, M. W. (2022). Acinetobacter baumannii secretes a bioactive lipid that triggers inflammatory signaling and cell death. *Front. Microbiol.* 13, 870101. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.870101

Trott, O., and Olson, A. J. (2010). AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *J. Comput. Chem.* 31 (2), 455–461. doi:10.1002/jcc.21334

Viechtbauer, W. (2010). Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J. Stat. Softw. 36 (3), 1-48. doi:10.18637/jss.v036.i03

Wang, Y., Wang, N., Xu, Z., Liu, S., Liang, X., Zhang, Y., et al. (2023). Clinical efficacy and safety of compound Congrong Yizhi Capsules on Alzheimer's disease in mainland China: a systematic review with trial sequential analysis and GRADE assessment. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 309, 116208. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2023.116208

Xie, S., Yan, P., Yao, C., Yan, X., Huo, Y., Zhang, J., et al. (2019). Efficacy and safety of Xuebijing injection and its influence on immunomodulation in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 20 (1), 136. doi:10.1186/s13063-019-3204-z

Yin, Q., and Li, C. (2014). Treatment effects of xuebijing injection in severe septic patients with disseminated intravascular coagulation. *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2014, 949254. doi:10.1155/2014/949254

Yu, X., Niu, W., Wang, Y. Y., Olaleye, O. E., Wang, J. N., Duan, M. Y., et al. (2022). Novel assays for quality evaluation of XueBiJing: quality variability of a Chinese herbal injection for sepsis management. *J. Pharm. Anal.* 12 (4), 664–682. doi:10.1016/j.jpha.2022.01.001

Zhang, J., Ma, X., and Zheng, J. (2017). Exploring the therapeutic effectiveness of XueBiJing in the treatment of acinetobacter baumannii-induced pyelonephritis. *J. Clin. Med.* 4 (41), 8059–8061. doi:10.16281/j.cnki.jocml.2017.41.095

Zhang, S., Di, L., Qi, Y., Qian, X., and Wang, S. (2024a). Treatment of infections caused by carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. *Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol.* 14, 1395260. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2024.1395260

Zhang, S., Xiao, J., Li, Y., Li, W., Li, Y., Pang, M., et al. (2024b). An integrative review on the risk factors, prevention, and control strategies for carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii colonization in critically ill patients. *Front. Microbiol.* 15, 1519906. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519906

Zheng, R., Wang, H., Liu, Z., Wang, X., Li, J., Lei, X., et al. (2019). A real-world study on adverse drug reactions to Xuebijing injection: hospital intensive monitoring based on 93 hospitals (31,913 cases). *Ann. Transl. Med.* 7 (6), 117. doi:10.21037/atm.2018.09.26

Zuo, L., Sun, Z., Hu, Y., Sun, Y., Xue, W., Zhou, L., et al. (2017a). Rapid determination of 30 bioactive constituents in XueBiJing injection using ultra high performance liquid chromatography-high resolution hybrid quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometry coupled with principal component analysis. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 137, 220–228. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2017.01.024

Zuo, L., Sun, Z., Wang, Z., Ding, D., Xu, T., Liu, L., et al. (2019). Tissue distribution profiles of multiple major bioactive components in rats after intravenous administration of Xuebijing injection by UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap HRMS. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* 33 (2), e4400. doi:10.1002/bmc.4400

Zuo, L., Zhong, Q., Wang, Z., Sun, Z., Zhou, L., Li, Z., et al. (2017b). Simultaneous determination and pharmacokinetic study of twelve bioactive compounds in rat plasma after intravenous administration of Xuebijing injection by UHPLC-Q-Orbitrap HRMS. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 146, 347–353. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2017.09.010

Glossary

A. baumannii	Acinetobacter baumannii
ХВЈ	Xuebijing
MDR	multidrug-resistant
XDR	extensively drug-resistant
PDR	pan-drug-resistant
RR	risk ratio
SMD	standardized mean differences
LPS	lipopolysaccharide
TLR4	Toll-like receptor 4
TNF	Tumor Necrosis Factor
NF-ĸB	Nuclear Factor Kappa B
HIF-1	Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1
MMP9	Matrix Metalloproteinase 9
WBC	white blood cell
CRP	C-reactive protein
РСТ	procalcitonin
RCT	randomized controlled trial
NRSI	Non-randomized Studies - of Interventions
RoB2	revised tool for risk of bias in randomized trials
TSA	Trial sequential analysis
GO	gene ontology
KEGG	Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes
STRING	Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins
С	control group
Т	treatment group
SD	standard deviation
СТ	conventional treatment
CRO	ceftriaxone
TIG	tigecycline
CFP-Sulb	cefoperazone sulbactam
CZA	ceftazidime avibactam sodium
ТСМ	traditional Chinese medicine