## Check for updates

## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mahdi Jalili, RMIT University, Australia

REVIEWED BY Weigang Sun, Hangzhou Dianzi University, China Yilun Shang, Northumbria University, United Kingdom Yongqing Wu, Liaoning Technical University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Haiping Gao, yqh@xjie.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Statistical and Computational Physics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physics

RECEIVED 19 May 2022 ACCEPTED 15 July 2022 PUBLISHED 19 August 2022

CITATION

Du W, Zhu J, Gao H and Li X (2022), Consensus analysis of the weighted corona networks. *Front. Phys.* 10:948247. doi: 10.3389/fphy.2022.948247

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Du, Zhu, Gao and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. DOI 10.3389/fphy.2022.948247

# Consensus analysis of the weighted corona networks

## Weiwei Du<sup>1</sup>, Jian Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Haiping Gao<sup>2</sup>\* and Xianyong Li<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Physics, Xinjiang Institute of Engineering, Urumqi, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Basic Science, Xinjiang Institute of Light Industry Technology, Urumqi, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Computer and Software Engineering, Xihua University, Chengdu, China

The consensus of complex networks has attracted the attention of many scholars. The graph operation is a common method to construct complex networks, which is helpful in studying the consensus of complex networks. Based on the corona networks  $G_1 \circ G_2$ , this study gives different weights to the edges of  $G_1 \circ G_2$  to obtain the weighted corona networks  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  and studies the consensus of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$ . The consensus of the networks can be measured by coherence. First, the Laplacian polynomial of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  is derived by using the properties of an orthogonal matrix. Second, the relationship between the first-order coherence of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  and  $G_1$  is deduced by using the relevant properties of the determinant and the conclusion of polynomial coefficients and the principal minors of the matrix. Third, the join operation is introduced to further simplify the analytical formula of network coherence. Finally, a specific network example is used to verify the validity of the conclusion.

### KEYWORDS

consensus, weighted, corona operation, join operation, first-order coherence

# 1 Introduction

With the development of network science, the research of complex networks has been extended to many fields, such as technical networks and transportation networks. Nowadays, the relevant theoretical knowledge of complex networks has been widely used in physics, computer science, life science, and other fields, such as consensus [1–5], resistance distance and Kirchhoff index [6], robustness [7, 8], and network synchronization [9, 10].

As a method of constructing networks, the graph operation can be used to construct more complex networks. The common graph operations include corona operation, edge corona operation, and join operation. In recent years, graph operations have attracted extensive attention of scholars. Y. Shang used the edge corona product to construct a simplicial network and, based on the degree of network vertices, studied the recently widely concerned Sombor index [11]. J. Liu presented a kind of weighted edge corona networks and obtained the Laplacian and signless Laplacian spectra of the weighted edge corona networks, and a specific application example is given by calculating the number of spanning trees and the Kirchhoff index [12]. M. Dai used the eigenvector method to obtain the generalized adjacency and Laplacian spectra of the special weighted corona networks [13]. We considered the weighted corona networks that are more realistic as the research object to study the consensus of networks.

The consensus of the networks is the key to solve cooperative control among nodes in complex networks. The consensus of complex networks means that network nodes reach the same level in a

certain state with the change of time. For example, the direction of unmanned aerial vehicle formation is consistent during flight. The research on the consensus of special networks has achieved many good results. E. Mackin took the network of networks as the object, investigated how to connect the subgraph to achieve the optimal consensus of the networks, and used a specific example to illustrate it [14]. T. Hu defined three types of tree models with the given parameters and obtained the leaderless and leader-follower coherence of three types of network models. The study found that the leader-follower coherence was weaker than the leaderless coherence [15]. J. Wang analyzed the consensus of three different types of weighted duplex networks and compared the consensus of the three types of networks [16]. J. Chen showed the consensus of a class of special topological networks and obtained the relationships between the network consensus and parameters [17]. X. Wang used the property of the determinant to calculate the Laplacian polynomial of 5-rose graphs and investigated the consensus of 5-rose graphs by using the relationships between polynomial coefficients and eigenvalues [18].

Compared with the aforementioned literature, the innovation of this study is as follows. This study defines the weighted corona network model based on the unweighted corona networks. We used the properties of the orthogonal matrix to transform a highorder determinant into a low-order determinant and deduced the Laplacian polynomial of the weighted corona networks. Finally, the specific analytical formula of the first-order coherence of the weighted corona networks is obtained according to the relationship between the coefficient and the principal minor, which provided a theoretical basis for studying the coherence of the arbitrary weighted corona network.

This study is arranged as follows. Section 2 introduces the preliminaries. The characteristic polynomial of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  is given in Section 3. Section 4 obtains the first-order coherence of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$ . In Section 5, the specific application example is shown. Section 6 gives the final conclusion.

# 2 Preliminaries

# 2.1 Definitions of the weighted graph operations

The topology of networks is the key to study the consensus of complex networks. It is a common method to construct complex networks by using graph operations. Next, we introduced two graph operations.

Definition 1 [19, 20]: Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  denote the two graphs with  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  vertices, respectively. The corona of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is described as the graph  $G_1 \circ G_2$  obtained by taking one copy of  $G_1$ and  $n_1$  copies of  $G_2$  and then joining the *i*th vertex of  $G_1$  to every vertex in the *i*th copy of  $G_2$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n_1$ ).

The weighted corona graphs  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  mean that on the basis of  $G_1 \circ G_2$ , the edges of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have weights  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ ,

respectively. The edges between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have weight  $r_3$ .  $\tilde{C}_6 \circ \tilde{K}_2$  is shown in Figure 1.

Definition 2 [21]: Let the join of two disjoint graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be  $G_1 \vee G_2$ , the vertex set of  $G_1 \vee G_2$  be  $V(G_1 \vee G_2) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ , and the edge set of  $G_1 \vee G_2$  be  $E(G_1 \vee G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup (xy)$  ( $x \in V(G_1)$ ,  $y \in V(G_2)$ ).

The weighted join graphs  $\tilde{G}_1 \vee \tilde{G}_2$  mean that on the basis of  $G_1 \vee G_2$ , the edges of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have weights  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , respectively. The edges between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have weight  $r_3$ .

## 2.2 Network coherence

The network model of the system with noise is defined as follows [4]:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -Lx(t) + \chi(t), \tag{1}$$

where L = D - A is the Laplacian matrix,  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s)$ denotes the degree matrix,  $d_i$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, s)$  is the degree of the *i*th node of the network.  $A = (a_{ij})_{s \times s}$  is the adjacency matrix, where  $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if i connected j} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ , and x(t) denotes the dynamic variable of the network nodes.  $\chi(t)$  indicates that all nodes in the network are affected by Gaussian white noise at time *t*. The Gaussian white noise means that the instantaneous value of noise obeys Gaussian distribution. It is an ideal model for noise analysis.

Under the influence of noise, it is difficult for all nodes in the network to converge in a certain state. In order to describe the consensus of the networks, the concept of first-order coherence is introduced. It is defined as follows [4]:

$$H^{(1)} = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \lim_{t \to \infty} var \left\{ x_i(t) - \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=1}^{s} x_j(t) \right\}.$$
 (2)

The output of system (1) is denoted as follows:

$$y(t) = Kx(t), \tag{3}$$

where *K* is the projection operator,  $K = I - \frac{1}{s}II^T$ , and **1** is the *s*-vector of all nodes.  $H^{(1)}$  is given by the  $H_2$  norm of the systems defined in Eqs 1 and 3.

$$H^{(1)} = \frac{1}{s} tr \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-L^{T}t} K e^{-Lt} dt \right).$$
 (4)

The research shows that  $H^{(1)}$  is closely related to the non-zero eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  (i = 2, 3, ..., s) of the Laplacian matrix L [18],

$$H^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2s} \sum_{i=2}^{s} \frac{1}{\lambda_i}.$$
 (5)

The Kirchhoff index (*Kf*) is also closely related to the nonzero eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix *L*,  $Kf = s\sum_{i=2\lambda_i}^{s} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} = 2s^2 H^{(1)}$ .



# 3 Laplacian polynomial of $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$

In this section, the Laplacian polynomial of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  is obtained by using the properties of the orthogonal matrix.

Lemma 1: Let the Laplacian eigenvalues of *G* be  $0 = v_1 < v_2 \le v_3 \le \cdots \le v_n$ ; there is an orthogonal matrix  $P = (p_{ij})_{n \times n}, P^T L(G)P = \text{diag}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ . Then,  $p_1 = \sqrt{n}, p_2 = p_3 = \cdots = p_n = 0$ , where  $p_i$   $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  is the sum of the *i*th column of the matrix *P*.

**Proof:** the Laplacian eigenvalues of *G* are  $0 = v_1 < v_2 \le v_3 \le \cdots \le v_n$ ; then, there is an orthogonal matrix  $P = (p_{ij})_{n \times n} P^T L(G)P = \text{diag}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ . It is obvious that  $\sqrt{n}(1, \dots, 1)^T$  is the unit eigenvector of the eigenvalue  $v_1 = 0, p_1 = \sqrt{n}$ . Let  $\zeta_i = (p_{1i}, p_{2i}, \dots, p_n)^T$  be the unit eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $v_i$   $(i = 2, 3, \dots, n)$ ; from the orthogonality of the eigenvectors, we have  $(1, \dots, 1)\zeta_i = 0$   $(i = 2, 3, \dots, n)$  and  $p_2 = p_3 = \cdots = p_n = 0$ .

Theorem 1: let the number of vertices of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , respectively; the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are  $0 = \eta_1 < \eta_2 \le \eta_3 \le \cdots \le \eta_{n_1}, 0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \le \mu_3 \le \cdots \le \mu_{n_2}$ . Then, the Laplacian polynomial of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  is

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \left[\prod_{i=2}^{n_2} (\lambda - r_3 - r_2\mu_i)^{n_1}\right] \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - r_3n_2 - r_3)I_{n_1} - r_1L_1 & -r_1L_1 \\ r_3I_{n_1} & \lambda I_{n_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(6)

**Proof:** let  $A_i$  (i = 1, 2) be the adjacency matrices of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ ;  $D_i$  (i = 1, 2) denotes the degree matrices of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ ;  $I_{n_1}$  is the identity matrix of order  $n_1$ ;  $J_{n_2}$  represents all 1 column vector of dimension  $n_2$ ; and  $0_{m \times n}$  represents a zero matrix.

The adjacency matrix of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  is

$$A(\tilde{G}_{1} \circ \tilde{G}_{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} r_{1}A_{1} & r_{3}I_{n_{1}} \otimes J_{n_{2}}^{T} \\ r_{3}I_{n_{1}} \otimes J_{n_{2}} & I_{n_{1}} \otimes r_{2}A_{2} \end{pmatrix}_{(n_{1}+n_{1}n_{2})\times(n_{1}+n_{1}n_{2})}.$$

The degree matrix of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  is

$$D(\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 D_1 + r_3 n_2 I_{n_1} & 0_{n_1 \times (n_1 n_2)} \\ 0_{n_1 \times (n_1 n_2)}^T & I_{n_1} \otimes (r_2 D_2 + r_3 I_{n_2}) \end{pmatrix}_{(n_1 + n_1 n_2) \times (n_1 + n_1 n_2)}.$$

The Laplacian matrix of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  is

$$L(\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 L_1 + r_3 n_2 I_{n_1} & -r_3 I_{n_1} \otimes J_{n_2}^T \\ -r_3 I_{n_1} \otimes J_{n_2} & I_{n_1} \otimes (r_2 L_2 + r_3 I_{n_2}) \end{pmatrix}_{(n_1+n_1n_2) \times (n_1+n_1n_2)}.$$

Let the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be

$$0 = \eta_1 < \eta_2 \le \eta_3 \le \cdots \le \eta_{n_1}, 0 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 \le \mu_3 \le \cdots \le \mu_{n_2}.$$

Then, there are orthogonal matrices  $M = (m_{ij})_{n_1 \times n_1}, N = (n_{ij})_{n_2 \times n_2}$ , and

$$\begin{pmatrix} M^{T} \\ I_{n_{1}} \otimes N^{T} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M \\ I_{n_{1}} \otimes N \end{pmatrix} = I,$$
(7)  
$$M^{T}L(G_{1})M = diag(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \dots, \eta_{n_{1}}), N^{T}L(G_{2})N$$
$$= diag(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \dots, \mu_{n_{2}}).$$
(8)

Because similar matrices have the same characteristic polynomials, the Laplacian polynomial of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  is

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} M^{T}[(\lambda - r_{3}n_{2})I_{n_{1}} - r_{1}L_{1})]M & r_{3}M^{T}I_{n_{1}} \otimes \left(J_{n_{2}}^{T}N\right) \\ r_{3}I_{n_{1}} \otimes \left(N^{T}J_{n_{2}}\right)M & I_{n_{1}} \otimes N^{T}[(\lambda - r_{3})I_{n_{2}} - r_{2}L_{2}]N \end{vmatrix}.$$
(9)

In order to find a specific expression for  $\Phi(\lambda)$ , we further investigated  $r_3 M^T I_{n_1} \otimes (J_{n_2}^T N)_{(n_1 n_2) \times (n_1 n_2)}$ ,

$$r_{3}M^{T}I_{n_{1}}\otimes(J_{n_{2}}^{T}N)=r_{3}\begin{pmatrix}m_{11}n_{1}&\cdots&m_{11}n_{n_{2}}&m_{n_{1}1}n_{1}&\cdots&m_{n_{1}1}n_{n_{2}}\\\vdots&\ddots&\vdots&\dots&\vdots&\ddots&\vdots\\m_{1n_{1}}n_{1}&\cdots&m_{1n_{1}}n_{n_{2}}&m_{n_{1}n_{1}}n_{1}&\cdots&m_{n_{1}n_{1}}n_{n_{2}}\end{pmatrix},$$
(10)

where  $n_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} n_{ij}$  is the sum of the *j*th column of the orthogonal matrix *N*. By Lemma 1 and Eq. 10, we obtained

$$r_{3}M^{T}I_{n_{1}}\otimes\left(J_{n_{2}}^{T}N\right)=r_{3}\begin{pmatrix}m_{11}\sqrt{n_{2}}&\cdots&0&m_{n_{1}1}\sqrt{n_{2}}&\cdots&0\\\vdots&\ddots&\vdots&\dots&\vdots&\ddots&\vdots\\m_{1n_{1}}\sqrt{n_{2}}&\cdots&0&m_{n_{1}n_{1}}\sqrt{n_{2}}&\cdots&0\end{pmatrix}.$$
(11)

By Eq. 8,

$$M^{T}[(\lambda - r_{3}n_{2})I_{n_{1}} - r_{1}L_{1})]M = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - r_{3}n_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda - r_{3}n_{2} - r_{1}\eta_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda - r_{3}n_{2} - r_{1}\eta_{n_{1}} \end{pmatrix}_{n_{1}\times n_{1}}, \quad (12)$$
$$N^{T}[(\lambda - r_{3})I_{n_{2}} - r_{2}L_{2})]N = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - r_{3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda - r_{3} - r_{2}\mu_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda - r_{3} - r_{2}\mu_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda - r_{3} - r_{2}\mu_{n_{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{n_{2}\times n_{2}}. \quad (13)$$

By formulas (9), (11), 12) and (13), the row and column of element  $\lambda - r_3 - r_2\mu_i$  (*i* = 2, 3, ..., *n*<sub>2</sub>) are all 0 except itself. We expanded them according to the row; then,

$$\begin{split} \Phi(\lambda) &= \left[n_{2}^{n_{1}}\prod_{i=2}^{n_{2}} \\ (\lambda - r_{3} - r_{2}\mu_{i})^{n_{1}}\right] \left| \begin{array}{c} M^{T}\left[\left(\lambda - r_{3}n_{2}\right)I_{n_{1}} - r_{1}L_{1}\right]M & r_{3}M^{T} \\ r_{3}M & (\lambda - r_{3})I_{n_{1}}/n_{2} \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left[n_{2}^{n_{1}}\prod_{i=2}^{n_{2}}\left(\lambda - r_{3} - r_{2}\mu_{i}\right)^{n_{1}}\right] \\ &\times \left| \begin{array}{c} (\lambda - r_{3}n_{2})I_{n_{1}} - r_{1}L_{1} & r_{3}I_{n_{1}} \\ r_{3}I_{n_{1}} & (\lambda - r_{3})I_{n_{1}}/n_{2} \end{array} \right| \\ &= \left[\prod_{i=2}^{n_{2}}\left(\lambda - r_{3} - r_{2}\mu_{i}\right)^{n_{1}}\right] \left| \begin{array}{c} (\lambda - r_{3}n_{2} - r_{3})I_{n_{1}} - r_{1}L_{1} & -r_{1}L_{1} \\ r_{3}I_{n_{1}} & \lambda I_{n_{1}} \end{array} \right|. \end{split}$$

# 4 First-order coherence of $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$

In this section, according to theorem 1, the first-order coherence of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  is calculated by using the relationship between characteristic polynomial coefficients and the principal minors the of matrix.

Theorem 2: the first-order coherence of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  can be described as follows:

$$H^{(1)}(\tilde{G}_{1} \circ \tilde{G}_{2}) = \frac{1}{2n_{1}(n_{2}+1)} \left( \frac{1}{r_{3}n_{2}+r_{3}} + \frac{n_{1}-1}{r_{3}} + \frac{2n_{1}(n_{2}+1)}{r_{1}} H^{(1)}(G_{1}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n_{2}} \frac{n_{1}}{r_{2}\mu_{i}+r_{3}} \right)$$
(14)

Proof: according to theorem 1, the Laplacian polynomial of  $G_1 \circ G_2$  is

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \left[\prod_{i=2}^{n_2} (\lambda - r_3 - r_2\mu_i)^{n_1}\right] \begin{pmatrix} \lambda - r_3n_2 - r_3 \end{pmatrix} I_{n_1} - r_1L_1 - r_1L_1 \\ r_3I_{n_1} & \lambda I_{n_1} \end{vmatrix}$$

However, the Laplacian matrix of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  must contain a zero eigenvalue, and  $r_3 + r_2\mu_i \neq 0$  (*i* = 2, 3, ...,  $n_2$ ).

Therefore, let  $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \le \lambda_3 \le \cdots \le \lambda_{2n_1}$  be the eigenvalues of  $\psi(\lambda)$ ,

$$\psi(\lambda) = \begin{vmatrix} (\lambda - r_3 n_2 - r_3) I_{n_1} - r_1 L_1 & -r_1 L_1 \\ r_3 I_{n_1} & \lambda I_{n_1} \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= a_{2n_1 \lambda^{2n_1}} + a_{2n_1 - 1} \lambda^{2n_1 - 1} + \dots + a_2 \lambda^2 + a_1 \lambda.$$

By [16], we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^{2n_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} = -\frac{a_2}{a_1}$$

Just for the sake of calculation, let  $B^*(i)$  and  $B^*(i, j)$  be the submatrices of matrix B by removing the *i*th row, and ith and jth rows.  $B^{\dagger}(i)$  and  $B^{\dagger}(i, j)$  are the submatrices of matrix B by removing the ith column, and ith and jth columns.

 $C = \begin{pmatrix} (r_3n_2 + r_3)I_{n_1} + r_1L_1 & r_1L_1 \\ -r_3I_{n_1} & 0_{n_1} \end{pmatrix}.$  Here, |C(i)| represents the  $(2n_1 - 1)$ -order principal minors of matrix C by removing the *i*th row and column, and |C(i, j)| represents the  $(2n_1 - 2)$ order principal formula of matrix C by removing the *i*th and *j*th rows, and *i*th and *j*th columns.  $E_i$  is the diagonal matrix, where the *i*th element is  $r_3n_2 + r_3$ , and the remaining elements are all 0.

First, we calculated  $a_1$ , and we obtained it from algebra,

$$a_{1} = (-1)^{2n_{1}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} |C(i)| + (-1)^{2n_{1}-1} \sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{2n_{1}} |C(i)|.$$

When  $1 \le i \le n_1$ , the  $(n_1 - 1 + i)$ -th row of |C(i)| is all 0; then,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} |C(i)| = 0$ ,

$$a_{1} = -\sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{2n_{1}} |C(i)| = -\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (r_{3}n_{2} + r_{3})I_{n_{1}} + r_{1}L_{1} & r_{1}L_{1}^{\dagger}(i) \\ -r_{3}I_{n_{1}}^{*}(i) & 0_{n_{1}-1} \end{array} \right|$$

$$= (-1)^{n_{1}} (-1)^{n_{1}-1} r_{3}^{n_{1}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (r_{3}n_{2} + r_{3})I_{n_{1}}^{\dagger}(i) + r_{1}L_{1}^{\dagger}(i) & r_{1}L_{1} + E_{i} \\ I_{n_{1}-1} & 0_{n_{1}}^{*}(i) \end{array} \right|$$

$$= -r_{3}^{n_{1}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} |r_{1}L_{1} + E_{i}|$$

$$= -r_{3}^{n_{1}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} (|r_{1}L_{1}| + (r_{3}n_{2} + r_{3})|r_{1}L_{1}(i)|)$$

$$= -r_{1}^{n_{1}-1}r_{3}^{n_{1}-1} (r_{3}n_{2} + r_{3})n_{1}t (G_{1}),$$
(15)

where  $t(G_1)$  is the number of spanning trees of  $G_1$ . Second, we calculated  $a_2$ ,

$$a_{2} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 2n_{1}} |C(i, j)|$$
  
=  $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n_{1}} |C(i, j)| + \sum_{1 \le i \le n_{1}} |C(i, j)| + \sum_{1 \le i \le n_{1}} |C(i, j)|$   
+  $\sum_{n_{1}+1 \le i < j \le 2n_{1}} |C(i, j)|.$  (16)

If  $1 \le i < j \le n_1$ , the  $(n_1 - 2 + i)$ -th and  $(n_1 - 2 + j)$ -th rows of | $C(i, j) | \text{ are all } 0; \text{ then, } \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n_1} |C(i, j)| = 0.$ If  $1 \le i \le n_1, j > n_1, j \ne n_1 + i$  and the  $(n_1 - 1 + i)$ -th row of |C(i, j)| = 0.

j is all 0, then  $\sum |C(i, j)| = 0.$ 

By Eq. 16, 
$$1 \le i \le n_1$$
  
 $j > n_1, j \ne n_1 + i$ 

$$a_{2} = \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le n_{1} \\ j = n_{1} + i}} |C(i, j)| + \sum_{\substack{n_{1} + 1 \le i < j \le 2n_{1}}} |C(i, j)|,$$
(17)

where

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le n_1 \\ j=n_1+i}} |C(i,j)| = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \left| \begin{array}{c} (r_3n_2 + r_3)I_{n_1-1} + r_1L_1(i) & r_1L_1(i) \\ -r_3I_{n_1-1} & 0_{n_1-1} \end{array} \right|$$

 $= (-1)^{n_1-1} r_3^{n_1-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \left| \begin{array}{c} (r_3 n_2 + r_3) I_{n_1-1} + r_1 L_1(i) & r_1 L_1(i) \\ I_{n_1-1} & 0_{n_1-1} \\ h & \text{determinant, we can obtain} \end{array} \right|.$ 

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le n_1} |C(i, j)| = (-1)^{n_1 - 1} r_3^{n_1 - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} |-r_1 L_1(i)|$$
  
$$= r^{n_1 - 1} r^{n_1 - 1} r^{n_1} |L_1(i)| = r^{n_1 - 1} r^{n$$

$$=r_{1}^{n_{1}-1}r_{3}^{n_{1}-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}-1}|L_{1}(i)|=r_{1}^{n_{1}-1}r_{3}^{n_{1}-1}n_{1}t(G_{1}),$$
(18)

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1+1 \le i < j \le 2n_1}} |C(I, j)| = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n_1} \left| \begin{array}{c} (r_3 n_2 + r_3) I_{n_1} + r_1 L_1 & r_1 L_1^{\dagger}(i, j) \\ -r_3 I_{n_1}^*(i, j) & 0_{n_1-2} \end{array} \right|$$
$$= (-1)^{n_1-2} r_3^{n_1-2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n_1} \left| \begin{array}{c} (r_3 n_2 + r_3) I_{n_1} + r_1 L_1 & r_1 L_1^{\dagger}(i, j) \\ I_{n_1}^*(i, j) & 0_{n_1-2} \end{array} \right|.$$

By varying the determinant elementary column, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n_1+1 \le i < j \le 2n_1} & |C(i, j)| \\ &= (-1)^{n_1-2} r_3^{n_1-2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n_1} \left| \begin{array}{c} (r_3 n_2 + r_3) I_{n_1}^{\dagger}(i, j) + r_1 L_1^{\dagger}(i, j) & r_1 L_1 + E_i + E_j \\ I_{n_1-2} & 0_{n_1}^{\bullet}(i, j) \\ &= (-1)^{n_1-2} (-1)^{n_1(n_1-2)} r_3^{n_1-2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n_1} \left| r_1 L_1 + E_i + E_j & (r_3 n_2 + r_3) I_{n_1}^{\dagger}(i, j) \right. \\ &+ r_1 L_1^{\dagger}(i, j) 0_{n_1}^{*}(i, j) b_{n_1-2} | \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= r_3^{n_1-2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_1} |r_1 L_1 + E_i + E_j| \\ &= r_3^{n_1-2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_1} [|r_1 L_1| + (r_3 n_2 + r_3) \left(|r_1 L_1 \left(i\right)| + |r_1 L_1 \left(j\right)|\right) \\ &+ (r_3 n_2 + r_3)^2 |r_1 L_1 \left(i, j\right)|]. \end{split}$$

Because  $|r_1L_1| = 0$ , then

$$\sum_{\substack{n_{1}+1 \leq i < j \leq 2n_{1} \\ +r_{1}^{n_{1}-2}r_{3}^{n_{1}-2}r_{3}^{n_{1}-2}(r_{3}n_{2}+r_{3})^{2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n_{1}} |L_{1}(i,j)|.$$
(19)

By formulas (15), (17), (18), and 19) and the literature  $\left[22\right]\!,$  we obtain

$$-\frac{a_2}{a_1} = \frac{1}{r_3n_2 + r_3} + \frac{n_1 - 1}{r_3} + \frac{n_2 + 1}{r_1n_1} \frac{\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le n_1} |L_1(i, j)|}{t(G_1)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{r_3n_2 + r_3} + \frac{n_1 - 1}{r_3} + \frac{n_2 + 1}{r_1n_1} Kf(G_1)$$

$$=\frac{1}{r_3n_2+r_3}+\frac{n_1-1}{r_3}+\frac{2n_1(n_2+1)}{r_1}H^{(1)}(G_1).$$

From Eq. 5,

$$H^{(1)}\left(\tilde{G}_{1}\circ\tilde{G}_{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2n_{1}(n_{2}+1)}\left(\frac{1}{r_{3}n_{2}+r_{3}} + \frac{n_{1}-1}{r_{3}} + \frac{2n_{1}(n_{2}+1)}{r_{1}}H^{(1)}(G_{1}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n_{2}}\frac{n_{1}}{r_{2}\mu_{i}+r_{3}}\right)$$

Since the form of theorem 2 is complicated, we further optimized the conclusion to obtain theorem 3.

Theorem 3

$$H^{(1)}(\tilde{G}_{1} \circ \tilde{G}_{2}) = \frac{n_{2}(n_{1}-1)}{2n_{1}(n_{2}+1)^{2}r_{3}} + H^{(1)}(\tilde{G}_{1}) + H^{(1)}(\tilde{G}_{2} \lor \tilde{K}_{1}).$$
(20)

**Proof:** the Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\tilde{G}_1$  are

$$0 = r_1 \eta_1 < r_1 \eta_2 \le r_1 \eta_3 \le \cdots \le r_1 \eta_{n_1}.$$

By Eq. 5,

$$H^{(1)}(\tilde{G}_1) = \frac{1}{2n_1} \sum_{i=2}^{n_1} \frac{1}{r_1 \eta_i} = \frac{1}{2n_1 r_1} \sum_{i=2}^{n_1} \frac{1}{\eta_i} = \frac{1}{r_1} H^{(1)}(G_1).$$
(21)

Let  $K_1$  be the complete graph of order 1. The adjacency matrix of  $\tilde{G}_2 \vee \tilde{K}_1$  is

$$A(\tilde{G}_{2} \vee \tilde{K}_{1}) = \begin{pmatrix} r_{2}A_{2} & r_{3}J_{n_{2}} \\ r_{3}J_{n_{2}}^{T} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{(n_{2}+1)\times(n_{2}+1)}.$$

The degree matrix of  $\tilde{G}_2 \vee \tilde{K}_1$  is

$$D(\tilde{G}_2 \vee \tilde{K}_1) = \begin{pmatrix} r_2 D_2 + r_3 I_{n_2} & 0_{n_2 \times 1} \\ 0_{1 \times n_2} & n_2 r_3 \end{pmatrix}_{(n_2+1) \times (n_2+1)}$$

The Laplacian matrix of  $\tilde{G}_2 \vee \tilde{K}_1$  is

$$L(\tilde{G}_{2} \vee \tilde{K}_{1}) = \begin{pmatrix} r_{2}L_{2} + r_{3}I_{n_{2}} & -r_{3}J_{n_{2}} \\ -r_{3}J_{n_{2}}^{T} & n_{2}r_{3} \end{pmatrix}_{(n_{2}+1)\times(n_{2}+1)}$$

Because of

$$\begin{pmatrix} N^{T} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_{2}L_{2} + r_{3}I_{n_{2}} & -r_{3}J_{n_{2}} \\ -r_{3}J_{n_{2}}^{T} & n_{2}r_{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} N^{T} \left( r_{2}L_{2} + r_{3}I_{n_{2}} \right)N & -r_{3}N^{T}J_{n_{2}} \\ -r_{3}J_{n_{2}}^{T}N & n_{2}r_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|\lambda I - L(\tilde{G}_{2} \vee \tilde{K}_{1})| = \begin{vmatrix} N^{T} \left(\lambda I - r_{2}L_{2} - r_{3}I_{n_{2}} \right)N & r_{3}N^{T}J_{n_{2}} \\ r_{3}J_{n_{2}}^{T}N & \lambda - n_{2}r_{3} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - r_{3} & 0 & \cdots & r_{3}\sqrt{n_{2}} \\ 0 & \lambda - r_{3} - r_{2}\mu_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{3}\sqrt{n_{2}} & 0 & \cdots & \lambda - r_{3}n_{2} \end{vmatrix} .$$

The Laplacian eigenvalues of  $\tilde{G}_2 \vee \tilde{K}_1$  are

$$r_2\mu_i + r_3 (i = 2, 3, \dots, n_2), 0, n_2r_3 + r_3.$$

Then,

$$H^{(1)}(\tilde{G}_2 \vee \tilde{K}_1) = \frac{1}{2(n_2+1)} \left( \frac{1}{r_3 n_2 + r_3} + \sum_{i=2}^{n_2} \frac{1}{r_2 \mu_i + r_3} \right).$$
(22)

By formulas (14), (21), and (22), we obtain

$$H^{(1)}(\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2) = \frac{n_2(n_1-1)}{2n_1(n_2+1)^2 r_3} + H^{(1)}(\tilde{G}_1) + H^{(1)}(\tilde{G}_2 \vee \tilde{K}_1).$$

# 5 Actual example

Let  $K_{m(n_1-m)}$  be the complete bipartite graph of order  $n_1$  and  $C_{n_2}$  be the cycle of order  $n_2$ .

The Laplacian spectrum of  $K_{m(n_1-m)}$  is

$$0, n_1, \underbrace{m}_{n_1-m-1}, \underbrace{n_1-m}_{m-1}.$$

The Laplacian spectrum of  $C_{n_2}$  is

$$0,4sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2n_2}\right)(\alpha=1,2,\ldots,n_2-1)$$

From Eq. 20,

$$\begin{split} H^{(1)} \Big( \tilde{K}_{m(n_1-m)} \circ \tilde{C}_{n_2} \Big) &= \frac{n_2 (n_1 - 1)}{2n_1 (n_2 + 1)^2 r_3} + H^{(1)} \Big( \tilde{K}_{m(n_1-m)} \Big) \\ &+ H^{(1)} \Big( \tilde{C}_{n_2} \vee \tilde{K}_1 \Big). \end{split}$$

From Eq. 21,

$$H^{(1)}(\tilde{K}_{m(n_{1}-m)}) = \frac{1}{r_{1}}H^{(1)}(K_{m(n_{1}-m)})$$
$$= \frac{1}{2n_{1}r_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}} + \frac{n_{1}-m-1}{m} + \frac{m-1}{n_{1}-m}\right).$$

From Eq. 22,

$$H^{(1)}(\tilde{C}_{n_2} \vee \tilde{K}_1) = \frac{1}{2(n_2+1)} \left( \frac{1}{r_3 n_2 + r_3} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_2-1} \frac{1}{4r_2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2n_2}\right) + r_3} \right).$$
  
Then, $H^{(1)}(\tilde{K}_m(n_1 - m) \circ \tilde{C}_{n_2}) = \frac{n_2(n_1-1)+n_1}{2n_1(n_2+1)^2 r_3} + \frac{1}{2n_1 r_1} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{n_1-m_1}{m_1-m_1} + \frac{1}{2(n_2+1)} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_2-1} \frac{1}{4r_2 \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi}{2n_2}\right) + r_3}\right).$ 

# 6 Conclusion

For the unweighted corona networks  $G_1 \circ G_2$ , the corresponding Laplacian spectra can be obtained by the eigenvector method, and we can use the relationship between eigenvalues and coherence to get the network coherence of  $G_1 \circ G_2$ . For the weighted corona networks  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$ , due to the different weights, it is difficult to obtain the Laplacian spectra, that is, it is not easy to obtain the network coherence of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  through the eigenvalue spectra. Based on the Laplacian matrix  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$ , the Laplacian characteristic polynomial of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  is calculated by using the properties of matrix diagonalization

and orthogonal matrix. We further used the relationship between eigenvalues and characteristic polynomial coefficients to obtain the network coherence of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  and found the relationship between the network coherence of  $\tilde{G}_1 \circ \tilde{G}_2$  and  $G_1$ . The Laplacian spectra of the weighted corona networks are not obtained in this study. We will conduct further research in future work. The research on the Sombor index and degree-related properties of simplicial networks is very meaningful, and we will try to obtain the Sombor index of the weighted corona networks.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Author contributions

Conceptualization, HG and WD; methodology, HG and JZ; software, WD; validation, XL and JZ; formal analysis, HG and WD; writing—original draft preparation, HG and WD; writing—review and editing, JZ; supervision, XL; and project administration, HG. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no.61802316), the School level project of the Xinjiang institute of Light industry Technology (no. XJQG2022S16), the Scientific Research and Education Project of Xinjiang Institute of Engineering (2020xgy372302), the National innovation and entrepreneurship training program for College Students (no. 202110994006), and the project of Key Laboratory of New Energy and Materials Research of Xinjiang Institute of Engineering.

# Acknowledgments

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the people who gave valuable comments.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

# References

1. Mackin E, Patterson S. Optimizing the coherence of a network of networks. IEEE Trans Control Netw Syst (2020) 7(3):1465–75. doi:10.1109/tcns.2020.2979884

2. Shang Y. Practical consensus for heterophilous multiagent networks with constrained states. J Franklin Inst (2022). doi:10.1016/j.jfranklin.2022.04.037

3. Sun W, Li Y, Liu S. Noisy consensus dynamics in windmill-type graphs. *Chaos* (2020) 30(12):123131. Article ID 123131, 2020. doi:10.1063/5.0020696

4. Gao H, Zhu J, Chen X, Zhang L, Li X. Coherence analysis of symmetric star topology networks. *Front Phys* (2022) 10:876994. Article ID 876994. doi:10.3389/fphy.2022.876994

5. Chen J, Li Y, Sun W. Network coherence in a family of bookgraphs. Front Phys (2020) 8:583603. Article ID 583603. doi:10.3389/fphy.2020.583603

6. Liu J, Peng X, Gu J, Lin W. The (multiplicative degree-) Kirchhoff index of graphs derived from the cartesian product. *J Math* (2022) 2022:1–9. doi:10.1155/2022/1670984

7. Yi Y, Zhang Z, Shan L, Chen G. Robustness of first-and second-order consensus algorithms for a noisy scale-free small-world koch network. *IEEE Trans Control Syst Technol* (2016) 25(1):342–50. doi:10.1109/tcst.2016.2550582

8. Wang D, Huang L. Robust synchronization of discontinuous cohen-grossberg neural networks: Pinning control approach. *J Franklin Inst* (2018) 355(13):5866–92. doi:10.1016/j.jfranklin.2018.05.048

9. Tang L, Wu X, Lu J, Lu JA, D'Souza RM. Master stability functions for complete, intra-layer, and inter-layer synchronization in multiplex networks of coupled Rossler oscillators. *Phys Rev E* (2019) 99(1):012304. Article ID 012304. doi:10.1103/physreve.99.012304

10. Gao H, Zhu J, Li X, Chen X (2021). Synchronizability of multi-layer coupled star-composed networks. *Symmetry* 13:2224. Article ID 2224. doi:10.3390/sym13112224

11. Shang Y. Sombor index and degree-related properties of simplicial networks. *Appl Math Comput* (2022) 419:126881. Article ID 126881, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.amc. 2021.126881

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

12. Liu J, Zhao J, Cai Z. On the generalized adjacency, Laplacian and signless Laplacian spectra of the weighted edge corona networks. *Physica A: Stat Mech its Appl* (2019) 540:123073. Article ID 123073. doi:10.1016/j. physa.2019.123073

13. Dai M, Shen J, Dai L, Ju T, Hou Y, Su W, et al. Generalized adjacency and laplacian spectra of the weighted corona graphs. *Physica A: Stat Mech its Appl* (2019) 528:121285. Article ID 121285. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2019. 121285

14. Mackin E, Patterson S. Submodular optimization for consensus networks with noise-corrupted leaders. *IEEE Trans Automat Contr* (2019) 64(7):3054–9. doi:10. 1109/TAC.2018.2874306

15. Hu T, Li L, Wu Y, Sun W. Consensus dynamics in noisy trees with given parameters. *Mod Phys Lett B* (2022) 36(7):2150608. Article ID 2150608, 2022. doi:10.1142/s0217984921506089

16. Wang J, Chen J, Sun W. Network coherence of weighted duplex networks: Exact results. *Int J Mod Phys C* (2022) 2022:2250130. Article ID 2250130, 2022. doi:10.1142/s0129183122501303

17. Chen J, Jing T, Sun W. Robustness of network coherence in asymmetric unicyclic graphs. *Int J Mod Phys B* (2021) 35(29):2150301. Article ID 2150301, 2021. doi:10.1142/s021797922150301x

18. Wang X, Xu H, Dai M. First-order network coherence in 5-rose graphs. *Physica A: Stat Mech its Appl* (2019) 527:121129. Article ID 121129, 2019. doi:10. 1016/j.physa.2019.121129

19. Frucht R, Harary F. On the corona of two graphs. Aequ Math (1970) 4(1-2): 264. doi:10.1007/bf01817769

20. Liu Q. The Laplacian spectrum of corona of two graphs. *Kragujevac J Math* (2014) 38(1):163–70. doi:10.5937/kgjmath1401163l

21. Bondy JA, Murty USR. Graph theory. Switzerland AG: Springer (2008).

22. Bapat R, Gutman I, Xiao W. A simple method for computing resistance distance. Z Naturforschung A (2003) 58(9-10):494–8. doi:10.1515/zna-2003-9-1003