#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Kaiwen Li, CAS Ion Medical Technology Co., Ltd., China

REVIEWED BY Bo Wu, Mevion China, China Zhongshan Li, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), China Kedong Wang, Peking University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Xiucui Xie, ≅ xiucuixie@sinap.ac.cn Yuehu Pu, ⊠ puyuehu@163.com Weigang Hu, ⊠ jackhuwg@gmail.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 06 April 2023 ACCEPTED 16 May 2023 PUBLISHED 01 June 2023

#### CITATION

Qiao J, Yan N, Huang Z, Zhong Y, Wang J, Xie X, Pu Y and Hu W (2023), Physical design of a compact injector for synchrotron-based proton therapy. *Front. Phys.* 11:1201158. doi: 10.3389/fphy.2023.1201158

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Qiao, Yan, Huang, Zhong, Wang, Xie, Pu and Hu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Physical design of a compact injector for synchrotron-based proton therapy

Jian Qiao **b** <sup>1,2,3,4†</sup>, Nan Yan<sup>5,6†</sup>, Zike Huang **b** <sup>1,2,3,4†</sup>, Yang Zhong <sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Jiazhou Wang <sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Xiucui Xie<sup>5</sup>\*, Yuehu Pu<sup>7,8,9</sup>\* and Weigang Hu<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, <sup>3</sup>Shanghai Clinical Research Center for Radiation Oncology, Shanghai, China, <sup>4</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Radiation Oncology, Shanghai, China, <sup>5</sup>Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China, <sup>6</sup>University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>7</sup>West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, <sup>8</sup>Medical Device Regulatory Research and Evaluation Centre, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, <sup>9</sup>Medical Equipment Innovation Research Center, Med+X Center for Manufacturing, West China School of Medicine, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

A compact room-temperature linear injector has been purposed to accelerate an 18.0 mA proton beam to 7.0 MeV for synchrotron-based proton therapy. The total length is appropriately 5 m. It mainly consists of a 3.01 m radio frequency quadrupole (RFQ) and a 0.82 m compact interdigital H-mode drift tube linac (IH-DTL) structure. Based on a fast-bunching strategy, the RFQ, operated at 325 MHz, accelerates protons to 3.0 MeV. The phase advances have been taken into consideration, and parametric resonance has been carefully avoided by adjusting the vane parameters. After the modulation of the transverse and longitudinal phase advances and a compact external quadrupole triplet, the proton beam is injected into the subsequent IH-DTL. Based on modified Kombinierte Null Grad Strukter (KONUS) beam dynamics, it accelerates protons up to 7.0 MeV, which is composed of a re-bunching section and an accelerating section. The accelerating gradient reaches 4.88 MV/m. The overall dynamic simulation results show that the whole accelerating gradient reaches up to 1.62 MV/m with a transmission efficiency above 95%. The transverse and longitudinal normalized RMS emittances at the exit of the DTL are 0.23  $\pi$ mm-mrad and 2.216  $\pi$  keV/u·ns, which meet the synchrotron injection requirements. The details of the specific design of this injector are presented in this paper.

#### KEYWORDS

proton therapy, compact linear injector, 4-vane RFQ, KONUS dynamics, IH-DTL

## 1 Introduction

According to the latest estimations and investigations of the World Health Organization in 2022, the global cancer burden shows a rapid growth trend, and it is estimated to have risen to 18.1 million new cases and 9.6 million deaths [1]. Cancer has gradually become one of the major public health problems [2]. Therefore, the applications of the accelerator in treating, especially proton therapy, are expanding because of the characteristics of the accelerated protons in energy deposition. The Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics has been working on proton therapy facilities for 10 years [3–8]. The Advanced Proton Therapy



TABLE 1 Basic design parameters.

Parameters	Value
Particle type	$\mathrm{H}^{+}$
RF frequency (MHz)	325
Output beam energy (MeV)	7.0
Maximum duty factor	0.1%
Peak beam current (mA)	18.0
Minimum output beam peak current (mA)	12
Output transverse norm. Root mean squared (RMS) emittance ( $\pi$ mm·mrad)	≤0.24

Facility [8] is the first domestic proton therapy facility in China. To upgrade the original injector purchased from abroad, a compact scheme has been proposed and Figure 1 shows the overall schematic layout.

This injector consists of an electron cyclotron resonance (ECR) proton source, a low energy beam transporting line (LEBT), a 3.0 MeV radio frequency quadrupole (RFQ), a compact triplet external matching section, and a 7.0 MeV drift tube linac (DTL). Table 1 lists the specific design parameters.

The main considerations are as follows.

- 1. Fast-bunching philosophy is employed for the design of the RFQ [9, 10].
- 2. A modified KONUS dynamics [11] is developed to simplify the R&D of the DTL. That is, there is no magnet inside the DTL cavity, which is convenient for integrated processing and maintenance.
- 3. A single RF power source is utilized to provide RF power for both sub-cavities. The RF is decoupled between both subsystems and the phases are adjusted independently by two phase shifters.

4. Two methods are adopted for matching the designs of the RFQ and DTL. One method is to adjust the vane-tip modulation at the end cells of the RFQ to match the phase oscillation of the DTL, and the other method is to use a simplified external triplet matching section with no buncher for transversal matching.

The PAMTEQM [12], TOUTATIS [13], LORASR [14], and TraceWin codes [15] are applied for the design and simulation. The detailed design processes are discussed further in the following sections.

# 2 RFQ section

Based on the traditional four sections design strategy [16, 17], a four-vane RFQ structure is adopted because of its relatively even distribution of surface current density, easy cooling, stable structure with higher mechanical strength, and higher shunt impedance at a higher frequency. A trade-off optimizing strategy is considered to appropriately decrease the injection energy and cut down the intervane voltage to shorten the cavity length, reduce power consumption, and stabilize operation.

## 2.1 Decrease injection energy

Generally, a complete RFQ is composed of four sections, namely, the radial matching section (RM), shaping section (SH), gentle bunching section (GB), and accelerating section (AC) [9, 16, 17]. The front three sections are mainly in the low-energy region. According to the cell length formula:  $L = \frac{\beta\lambda}{2}$ , a relatively higher input energy lengthens these front cells, which finally increases the entire cavity length and decreases the accelerating gradient of the RFQ. In addition, the cavity length is associated with power consumption. That is, the cavity power

Name	Frequency (MHz)	Current (mA)	Inter-voltage (kV)	Kilpatrick coefficient	Input energy (keV)	Out ene. (MeV)
SNS [19]	402.5	38	83	1.85	65	2.5
CADS-1 [20]	325	15	55	1.85	35	3.2
CSNS [21]	324	20	80	1.78	50	3.0
CPHS [22]	325	50	60–130	1.80	50	3.0

TABLE 2 Parameters of RFQs in proton accelerator facilities under operation or being commissioned.





Evolution curves parameters. (A) Aperture "a", (B) transverse and longitudinal zero-current phase shift  $\sigma_{ot}$  and  $\sigma_{ot}$  before (black lines) and after (red lines) optimization, and (C) evolution of the zero-current transverse phase shift per focusing period in the transverse (black line) and longitudinal (red line) directions for the optimized design.

consumption increases with the increase of the cavity length when other parameters remain unchanged. Therefore, a low injection beam energy should be adopted.

However, due to the increase of the space charge effect in the lower energy section, lower input energy may lead to larger

emittance growth. Additionally, the input energy is concerned with the extraction voltage of the ECR proton source. There are two conditions in choosing the extraction voltage, adequate beam intensity with relatively good quality and a reduction of the sparking risk.

Parameters	Traditional	Optimized
Frequency (MHz)	325	325
Input energy (keV)	30	30
Output energy (MeV)	3.0	3.0
Beam current (mA)	18.0	18.0
Inter-vane voltage (kV)	74.52	74.58
$\varepsilon_{in}^{trans,norm,RMS}$ ( $\pi$ mm·mrad)	0.2	0.2
Minimum apertures (mm)	1.744	1.92
Kilpatrick factor	1.8	1.7
Cavity length (cm)	319.22	301
Beam transmission (%)	97.5	98.0
$\varepsilon_{out}^{x,norm,RMS}$ ( $\pi$ mm·mrad)	0.2156	0.2023
$\varepsilon_{out}^{y,norm,RMS}$ ( $\pi$ mm·mrad)	0.2215	0.2066
$\varepsilon_{out}^{z,norm,RMS}$ ( $\pi$ MeV·deg)	0.06894	0.06095

TABLE 3 Beam dynamics design results for the traditional and optimized design.

Therefore, combining other RFQs currently in operation with previous design experience, the input energy is confirmed to be 30 keV.

## 2.2 Selection of inter-vane voltage

Compared with the ramped inter-vane voltage, a constant intervane voltage design strategy [18] is good for simplifying processing and operation difficulty, and it can reduce the dissipated peak power. Therefore, the constant inter-vane voltage strategy is applied.

An appropriate magnitude of the inter-vane voltage should be selected to ensure long-term stable operation and reduce the sparking risk. High inter-vane voltage is beneficial to bunching and accelerating beams, but it increases the power consumption and sparking risk. Currently, the Kilpatrick factor is the main reference standard [18]. The radio frequency f (MHz) and Kilpatrick field  $E_k$  (MV/m) follow the empirical formula 1 [18]. With the continuous progress of processing technology, the Kilpatrick coefficient b is applied in evaluating the sparking risk, as shown in formula 2. Table 2 summarizes the parameters associated with the RFQ currently in operation with a frequency close to 325 MHz. The coefficient is usually set below 1.8, and the inter-vane voltage is chosen in the range of 70–80 kV.

$$f = 1.643 E_{\mu}^2 e^{\left(-\frac{8.5}{E_{\mu}}\right)}$$
(1)

$$E_s = bE_k \tag{2}$$

The transverse focusing strength can be defined as shown in the following formula 17:

$$B = \frac{qXV\lambda^2}{m_0c^2a^2}$$
(3)

where  $m_0$  is the relativistic mass, c is the velocity of light, a is the minimum aperture of the RFQ, q is the particle charge, X is the focusing parameter, and V is the inter-vane voltage.

Based on formula 3, the focusing strength B can be increased by reducing the aperture and increasing V. The aperture changes as a function of z when B varies along the RFQ and V remains constant. However, a smaller aperture would limit the acceptance and increase error sensitivity in machining and assembly. Therefore, combining various factors and past design experience, as shown in Table 2, the



synchronous particle energy  $W_{syn}$ )



coefficient is set to 1.7, which means that the Es value is appropriately 30.6 MV/m and that the inter-vane voltage is up to 75 kV.

## 2.3 Fast-bunching optimization strategy

According to Kapchinsky's adiabatic bunching condition [11, 12], the traditional GB section is separated into two sections, namely, the pre-bunching section and the bunching section. In the pre-bunching section, the electrode modulation factor m evolves slowly from small values (close to 1), and then there is a fast ramping in the bunching section.

A fast-bunching optimization strategy, namely, a smaller m value in the SH section and a fast m ramping process in the GB section is adopted to replace the traditional long adiabatic bunching section and to further shorten the cavity length and achieve an efficient bunching process. The modulation coefficient m is optimized to ensure that the aperture a is within the allowable range of matching. Figure 2A presents the evolution curves of m before and after optimization. Compared with the traditional design, the entire length of the RFQ is shortened.

To avoid the parametric resonance, the transverse and longitudinal zero current phase shift  $\sigma_{ot}$  and  $\sigma_{ol}$  are considered, as given by [16, 17]

$$\sigma_{0t}^2 = \frac{B^2}{8\pi^2} + \Delta_{rf} \tag{5}$$

$$\sigma_{0l}^2 = -\frac{Z\pi^2 T U_0 \sin\left(\varphi_s\right)}{A E_r \beta^2} \tag{6}$$

$$\Delta_{rf} = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{0l}^2 \tag{7}$$

where B is the transverse focusing strength,  $\Delta_{rf}$  is the RF transverse defocusing factor, T is the accelerating efficiency,  $E_r$  is the rest mass per nuclei, and  $\varphi_s$  is the synchronous phase.

Figure 2B compares the optimized transverse focusing strength B with the traditional design. In the fast-bunching section, the B is increased to suppress the gradually growing defocusing effects such as the space charge effect and RF defocusing effect and to speed up bunching. However, in the accelerating section, as the particle velocity increases, the defocusing effect is weakened and B is accordingly reduced to weaken the longitudinal emittance growth and obtain a large aperture. In addition, by reducing the B, the phase advance per focusing period can be reduced accordingly. This can better match the subsequent DTL and reduce the focusing and matching pressure of the triplets.

According to the matched beam envelope equation, the normalized RMS emittance  $\varepsilon$  can be described as follows [16]:

$$\varepsilon_{tn,rms} = \frac{a^2 \sigma_t \gamma}{\lambda} \tag{8}$$

By changing the transverse phase shift  $\sigma_{0t}$ , the beam size, and emittance growth can be limited to an acceptable range. Figures 3A, B display the comparisons of the aperture "a" and phase shift for the traditional and optimized designs. In the traditional design, the



TABLE 4 Input twiss parameters.

Input twiss parameters	α	$\beta$ (mm/mrad)	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n,rms}$ ( $\pi$ mm $\cdot$ mrad)
x	2.84 0.96		0.21
у	2.87	0.97	0.21
Input twiss parameters	α	$\beta$ (ns/keV)	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n,rms}$ ( $\boldsymbol{\pi} keV \cdot ns$ )
Z	0	0.0034	2.14

oscillation amplitude of the transverse phase shift is larger, especially the rapid decrease in the SH section followed by the increase in the accelerating section, which inevitably results in the oscillation of the beam size and emittance growth. In the optimized design, the transverse phase shift develops smoothly and the corresponding aperture is larger, which visually reduces the requirement for matching accuracy.

The units of the end of RFQ are designed carefully to better match the subsequent accelerating elements longitudinally with no buncher in the matching section. Figure 3C presents the optimized result of the zero-current transverse phase shift per focusing period in the transverse and longitudinal directions. The phase shifts are 25.3°. And 10.8° at the exit of the RFQ in both directions.

Table 3 lists the detailed beam dynamics design results. Compared with the traditional design, the optimized design based on the fastbunching strategy is more compact and the beam quality is better. Figure 4 exhibits the evolution of the main parameters, including the focusing strength B, modulation m, synchronous phase  $\varphi_s$ , minimum aperture a, inter-vane voltage V, and synchronous particle energy  $W_{syn}$ . In this design, the maximum modulation coefficient is 2.5. The value is close to the value of the RFQ in Linac4 [23], and the maximum synchronous phase is up to  $-25^{\circ}$ . The total number of cells is 311 with a length of 3.01 m. The overall emittance growth percentages in the horizontal and vertical directions are appropriately 1.15% and 3.3%, respectively, which are acceptable for the subsequent cavity.

## 2.4 Multi-particle simulations

Weighing various factors, the design strategies are chosen. The transmission efficiency is appropriately 98.0% in the PARMTEQM code, while the total transmission efficiency is about 98.89% in the TOUTATIS code, and the transmission efficiency is appropriately 98.1%. The small difference is mainly due to the different evaluation criteria for beam loss. Figure 5 shows the RFQ simulation results, which presents the multi-particle simulation result as well as the transverse and longitudinal phase space evolution along the RFQ



longitudinal position. A total of 1,000,000 macro-particles, with a 4D water-bag input distribution, are injected. Beam loss mainly occurs at the end of the fast-bunching section and the front end of the accelerating section.

The phase shift at the exit is approximately 15° and the energy spectrum is about 20 keV, which can effectively meet the acceptance requirements of the subsequent KONUS IH-DTL and help to weaken the difficulty of longitudinal bunching for the subsequent DTL. Twiss parameters at the exit of the RFQ are used as the input beam parameters of the external matching section.

Figure 6 exhibits the various parameters and particle distribution evolution along the longitudinal position using the TraceWin code. Figure 6A shows the evolution of normalized RMS emittance. Due to the strong space charge force and weak transverse focusing force at the entrance, the transverse emittance grows significantly. Owing to the fast bunching, the longitudinal normalized RMS emittance at the GB section grows sharply within an acceptable range. Then the normalized RMS emittances tend to be stable in the acceleration section after some particles are lost at the end of the bunching section, which is illustrated in Figure 6B. As is shown in Figure 6C, the Kilpatrick coefficient along the longitudinal position changes smoothly, and the maximum is approximately

1.7 at the bunching section, which meets the design and processing requirements. Figure 6D illustrates the fact that the loss of particles mostly occurs at the end of the fast-bunching section.

# **3 DTL section**

The quadrupole magnets were utilized in the external matching section. After the matching process, the proton beam is injected into the downstream DTL. The input beam parameters are listed in Table 4. To shorten cavity length and simplify the fabrication and installation process, the inter-digital H-mode (IH) DTL, with a higher shunt impedance than Alvarez DTL, is considered [16, 24, 26].

## 3.1 DTL design strategy and discussions

For the IH-DTL, there are two kinds of dynamics principles, namely, Kombinierte Null Grad Strukter (KONUS) [15, 24, 25] and Alternative Phase Focusing (APF) [26]. Generally, the APF principle is mainly used in weak beam currents. The synchronous phase varies

TABLE 5 Main	design	parameters	and	the	related	result	of	the D	DTL.
--------------	--------	------------	-----	-----	---------	--------	----	-------	------

Parameter	Value
Particle	$H^+$
Frequency (MHz)	325.0
Input energy (MeV)	3.0
Output energy (MeV)	7.0
Beam Current (mA)	18.0
Cavity length (cm)	81.33
Maximum gap voltage (MV)	0.3270
Accelerating gradient (MV/m)	4.450
Synchronous phase (deg.)	-30°/0°
The gap number	15
Trans. Input norm. RMS. Emit. (π mm·mrad)	0.2110
Trans. Output norm. RMS. Emit. (π mm·mrad)	0.2260
Emit. Growth in $x$ , $y$ and $z$ direction (%)	8%, 8%, 2%
Long. Input emit. (π keV/n·ns)	2.145
Long. Output emit. (π keV/n·ns)	2.216
Estimated RF power loss [kW)	180
Transmission efficiency (%)	100

in different gaps from  $-90^{\circ}$  to  $90^{\circ}$  in a certain pattern, which invisibly reduces the acceptance and acceleration efficiency. Therefore, the KONUS beam dynamics principle is applied.

According to the KONUS beam dynamics principle [15, 24, 25], a traditional period usually consists of three separated sections with different functions, and these sections comprise a negative synchronous phase bunching section, a transverse focusing section with a quadrupole triplet, and a zero phase acceleration section, respectively. This can effectively solve the contradictory situation of longitudinal acceleration, bunching, and transverse defocusing. The LORASR code [14] is employed for the design and simulation.

In this design, a modified KONUS principle is used, which means that the transverse focusing segments inside the cavity are moved outside the cavity and that the entire cavity is composed of bunching sections and accelerating sections.

The key parameters are as follows.

1. The phase shift at the junction between two sections, which is a transition from a negative phase to zero phases.

2. The geometrical length optimization of the transition drift tube at the junction between two sections, which can be optimized according to formula 9.

3. The selection of the energy difference and phase width in the first accelerating gap.

4. The number of bunching gaps and acceleration gaps. Generally, a well-balanced ratio of the number of bunching gaps to zero-degree gaps is between 1:2 and 1:4.

$$L = \frac{(180 + \varphi)}{180} \cdot \frac{\beta\lambda}{2}$$
(9)

#### 3.2 Electric field arrangement

As shown in Figures 7A, B, the index maximum surface electric field is set to 35.6 MV/m corresponding to a Kilpatrick limitation value of 2.0, which is available for the maximum duty factor of 0.1%. Figure 7C displays the actual electromagnetic structure and corresponding phase distribution of each gap. The maximum effective gap voltage is appropriately 0.327 MV, and the maximum axial accelerating electric field is 13.45 MV/m. The specific value is calculated in the subsequent electromagnetic design. The average accelerator gradient is appropriately 4.88 MV/m.

Table 5 lists the main parameters of the DTL after optimization. Protons are accelerated from 3.0 MeV to 7.0 MeV with a length of 0.82 m. There are 15 gaps in total: three for  $-30^{\circ}$  of negative phase bunching and 12 for acceleration in the zero phase. The simulated beam transmission efficiency is 100%. The estimated RF power dissipation is approximately 180 kW.





## 3.3 Multi-particle simulations

Figure 8 shows the output particle distributions of the DTL. Additionally, the figure shows the output energy spread is appropriately 1.5%, and the output phase width is about  $\pm 15$  deg. Figure 9 displays the transverse and longitudinal beam envelopes containing 99% of the particles along the DTL. The maximum horizontal and vertical envelope sizes are less than 3 mm, which is smaller than the 10 mm radius of the drift tube. In addition, the beam loss due to the nonlinear longitudinal matching is relatively small, which is not an issue for 0.82 m DTL.

## 4 Summary

A 7 MeV compact proton linear injector for a synchrotron-based therapy facility has been proposed to improve the cost performance and accelerate the industrialization process of the proton therapy facility. This injector is mainly composed of an ECR proton source, a LEBT that includes a double electrostatic quadrupole lens, an RFQ accelerator, an external matching section, and an H-type DTL accelerator. Combining the fast-bunching philosophy for RFQ and a modified KONUS beam dynamics principle for IH-DTL, the physical design and multi-particle simulations have been completed. After iterative optimization of RFQ, the parametric resonance has been effectively avoided. The transmission efficiency reaches 98.0% with a length of 3.01 m. Through the external matching section, the beam is injected into the subsequent DTL and accelerated to 7 MeV within 0.82 m. The total length from the exit of ECR to the exit of the DTL is approximately 5 m. The overall multi-particle simulation results show that the beam can be well controlled with a beam transmission efficiency above 95% at 18 mA. The overall average accelerating gradient has reached up to 1.62 MV/m. The transverse and longitudinal normalized rms emittance at the exit of DTL is 0.23  $\pi$  mmmrad and 2.216  $\pi$  keV/uns, respectively, which meets the overall design requirements and demands of the subsequent facilities.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## Author contributions

Study concept and design: JQ, XX, and YP. Physical designs: JQ, NY, and JW. Acquisition of data: JQ, ZH, and YZ. Analysis and interpretation of data: JQ, ZH, and JW. Statistical analysis: JQ and YZ. Supervise and funding the project: WH, YP, and JW. Drafting of the manuscript: JQ, NY, and ZH. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (grant number: 2016YFC0105408, 2022YFC2404603, 2022YFC2404600), The Shanghai Committee of Science and Technology Fund (21Y21900200), Xuhui District Artificial Intelligence Medical Hospital Cooperation Project (2021-012) and Key Clinical Specialty Project of Shanghai.

# Acknowledgments

We thank LetPub (www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

## References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2022. A Cancer J Clinicians (2022) 72(1):7-33. doi:10.3322/caac.21708

2. Xia C, Dong X, Li H, Cao M, Sun D, He S, et al. Cancer statistics in China and United States, 2022: Profiles, trends, and determinants. *Chin Med J (Engl)* (2022) 135(5): 584–90. doi:10.1097/CM9.00000000002108

3. Xie X, Pu Y, Yang F, Li X, Qiao J, Li D, et al. Design of a 7-MeV APF DTL with robust considerations. Nucl Instr Methods Phys Res Section A: Acc Spectrometers, Detectors, Associated Equipment (2018) 908:49-59. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2018.08.028

4. Li X, Pu Y, Yang F, Xie XC, Gu Q, Qiao J, et al. RF design and study of a 325MHz 7MeV APF IH-DTL for an injector of a proton medical accelerator. *Nucl Sci Tech* (2019) 30:135. doi:10.1007/s41365-019-0657-4

5. Chen T, Li X, Guo M, Kong H, Chen Z, Zhao M, et al. The application of Lynx-2D in proton spot scanning system commissioning. *Nucl Tech* (2018) 41:50201. doi:10. 11889/j.0253-3219.2018.hjs.41.050201

6. Ge Y, Yin C, Li Z. Optimization design of a multilayer ionization chamber. Nucl Tech (2019) 42:60202. doi:10.11889/j.0253-3219.2019.hjs.42.060202

7. Han G, Qin W, Liu B. Dynamic magnetic measurements of a Kicker magnet for a proton therapy facility. *Nucl Tech* (2020) 43:10201. doi:10.11889/j.0253-3219.2020.hjs. 43.010201

8. Fang S, Guan X, Tang J, Yuan C, Chang-Dong D, Hai-Yi D, et al. Atpf - a dedicated proton therapy facility. *CHINESE PHYSICS C* (2010) 3:383–8. doi:10.1088/1674-1137/34/3/015

9. Zhang C, Guo ZY, Schempp A, Jameson RA, Chen JE, Fang JX, et al. Low-beam-loss design of a compact, high-current deuteron radio frequency quadrupole accelerator[J]. *Phys Rev Spec Top Acc Beams* (2004) 7(10): 100101. doi:10.1088/10.1103/physrevstab.7.100101

10. Schempp A. A RFQ-decelerator for hitrap. In: 2007 IEEE Particle Accelerator Conference (PAC); June 25-29, 2007; Albuquerque. IEEE (2008).

11. Ratzinger U, Hhnel H, Tiede R, Kaiser J, Almomani A. Combined zero degree structure beam dynamics and applications. *Phys Rev Spec Top - Acc Beams* (2019) 22(114801):114801. doi:10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.22.114801

12. Crandall KR, Wangler TP. PARMTEQ—a beam dynamics code for the RFQ linear accelerator. . In: AIP Conference Proceedings; August 6-11, 2008; Okayama. American Institute of Physics (2008).

13. Duperrier R. Toutatis: A radio frequency quadrupole code. *Rev Mod Phys* (2000) 3(12):124201. doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.3.124201

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Tiede R, Clemente G, Podlech H, Ratzinger U, Sauer A, Minaev S. LORASR code development. In: Proceeding of EPAC2006, WEPCH1118; Edingburgh, Scotland (2006).

15. Uriot D, Pichoff N. Status of TraceWin Code. In: Proceedings of IPAC2015, mopwa008; Richmond, VA, USA (2015). doi:10.18429/JACOW-IPAC2015-MOPWA008

16. Wangler TP. Linear accelerators. 2nd. New Jersey, NJ: Wiley (2008).

17. Stokes RH, Wangler TP, Crandall KR. The radio-frequency quadrupole - a new linear accelerator. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci* (1981) 28(3):1999–2003. doi:10.1109/TNS.1981. 4331575

18. Kilpatrick WD. Criterion for vacuum sparking designed to include both rf and dc. *Rev Sci Instrum* (1957) 28(10):824–6. doi:10.1063/1.1715731

19. Ratti A, Ayers J, Doolittle L, DiGennaro R, Gough RA, Hoff M, et al. The SNS RFQ commissioning. In: Proceedings of 21st International Conference, Linac; August 19-23, 2002; Gyeongju (2002).

20. Sui YF, Cao JS, Deng QY, He J, Ma H, Wang L, et al. Overview of Beam Instrumentation for the CADS Injector I Proton Linac[C]. In: Proceeding of IPAC2015; Richmond, VA, USA (2015).

21. Jia-Er C, Zhi-YuShi-Nian GF, Jia-Xun F, Yuan-Rong L, Xue-Qing Y. Studies on RFQ accelerators and its applications. *Chin Phys C* (2009) 33(10):926–9. doi:10.1088/1674-1137/33/10/019

22. Young LM, Stovall J, Guan WQ, He Y, Li J, Billen JH, et al. Construction Status of the CPHS RFQ at Tsinghua University[J]. In: Proceedings of IPAC (2011).

23. Kronberger M, Küchler D, Lettry J, Midttun Ø, O'Neil M, Paoluzzi M, et al. Commissioning of the new H– source for Linac4. *Rev Scientific Instr* (2010) 81(2): 02A708. doi:10.1063/1.3278587

24. Hhnel H, Ratzinger U, Tiede R. The KONUS IH-DTL proposal for the GSI UNILAC poststripper linac replacement. *J Phys Conf* (2017) 874(1):012047. doi:10. 1088/1742-6596/874/1/012047

25. Tiede R, Ratzinger U, Podlech H, Zhang C, Clemente G. KONUS beam dynamics designs using H-mode cavities. In: Proceeding of Hadron Beam (2008).

26. Iwata Y, Yamada S, Murakami T, Fujimoto T, Fujisawa T, Ogawa H, et al. Alternating-phase-focused IH-DTL for an injector of heavy-ion medical accelerators. *Nucl Instr Methods Phys Res Section A, (Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors Associated Equipment)* (2006) 569(3):685–96. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2006.09.057