Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Li Li, Harbin Institute of Technology, China

REVIEWED BY Dong-Wen Zhang, National University of Defense Technology, China Sunil Kumar, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Guo-Li Wang, ⊠ wanggl@nwnu.edu.cn Lei Zhang, ⊠ zL_lzjtu@126.com

RECEIVED 15 May 2023 ACCEPTED 17 July 2023 PUBLISHED 28 July 2023

CITATION

Liu Y-M, Li Y-N, Zhang L, Jiao Z-H, Zhao S-F and Wang G-L (2023), Strong polarization-controlled terahertz generation by bi-elliptical polarized laser fields. *Front. Phys.* 11:1222665. doi: 10.3389/fphy.2023.1222665

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liu, Li, Zhang, Jiao, Zhao and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use,

distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

on the photocurrent model, we investigate theoretically the intensity and polarization characteristics of terahertz waves radiated by the bi-elliptical polarized two-color laser fields with orthogonal or parallel major axes. We

polarized two-color laser fields with orthogonal or parallel major axes. We show that polarization-controlled, including circularly polarized terahertz waves with sufficient intensity comparable to that of co-rotating CP or parallel LP laser field, can be generated by using a longer-wavelength few-cycle bielliptical field. Our simulations also show that THz energy and ellipticity can be dramatically improved with dual-color elliptical field with tiny or large ellipticity, compared with that with two-color orthogonal LP field and counter-rotating CP laser field, respectively. Bi-elliptical polarized laser field provides a huge parameter space allowing for far-reaching control of THz emission.

Strong polarization-controlled

Yan-Mei Liu¹, Ya-Ning Li¹, Lei Zhang^{2*}, Zhi-Hong Jiao¹,

bi-elliptical polarized laser fields

¹Key Laboratory of Atomic and Molecular Physics and Functional Materials of Gansu Province, College of

Terahertz generation from atoms driven by two color linearly polarized (LP) and

circularly polarized (CP) laser fields have been well investigated. In this work, based

Physics and Electronic Engineering, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, China, ²School of

terahertz generation by

Mathematics and Physics, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou, China

Song-Feng Zhao¹ and Guo-Li Wang^{1*}

KEYWORDS

terahertz generation, bi-elliptical polarized laser field, photocurrent, polarization, terahertz intensity

1 Introduction

Due to its unique performance, such as high coherence, high intensity, broadband and high orientation, terahertz (THz) wave has brought profound influence to the fields of communications, electronic countermeasures, electromagnetic weapons, radar, astronomy, medical imaging, and so on [1–7]. At present, there are many ways to generate THz radiation, such as free electron lasers [8], vacuum electronics THz sources [9], THz quantum cascade lasers [10], and ultrafast laser pumped photoconductance THz sources [11]. With the development of ultra-short and ultra-strong laser pulse technology, the interaction of intense femtosecond laser with gas target has also become an important way to generate high power, broad THz radiation [12, 13]. How to obtain THz sources with higher strength and controllable polarization is still a challenge of the current THz research.

In the scheme of interaction of intense laser field with gases, the commonly used driving field is a combination of a fundamental linearly polarized pulse and its second harmonic [14, 15], for the linearly polarized THz generation. In order to enhance the THz radiation intensity, the duration of the pump pulse [16, 17], phase delay [14, 15, 18, 19] and intensity ratio between two pulses [20, 21], should be carefully optimized. With these efforts, the THz pulse energy can be increased by at least one order of magnitude. Besides, adjusting the frequency ratio between two components [22, 23], and increasing the number of combing pulses [24, 25], can also increase THz yield. The polarization of pump laser also influences

the generated THz pulse energy [26–28]. The recent experimental results of Meng et al. [26] and Tu et al. [28] show that the THz power produced by two-color circularly polarized with the same helicity (CP-S) laser fields is about 5 times (from a thin get) or 8 times (from an air filament) higher than that produced by parallel linearly polarized (LP-P) laser fields. In contrast, the THz radiation from two-color CP-C (circularly polarized pulses with counter helicity) laser fields, which has a much weaker THz yield, is on par with the THz power provided by the orthogonal linearly polarized fields (LP-O).

In some THz applications, effective control of their polarization states is a prerequisite. For example, in materials science, the polarization direction of THz waves plays a key role in optical excitation [29], spectral diagnosis [30, 31], and THz information technology [32, 33]. At the same time, the ellipticity of THz wave is an important parameter in birefringent material imaging [34], and its chirality is very important for the study of chiral rotation of organic molecules and spin dynamics in solid materials [35, 36]. Therefore, it has been an important subject to achieve flexible control of the polarization state of THz pulses over wide band. To this end, many driving laser schemes have been proposed to generate elliptically or circularly polarized THz radiation, such as monochromatic circularly polarized laser pulses [37], combination of circularly polarized and linearly polarized beams [38, 39], and so on. For the attractive CP-S field, the generated THz radiation is always linearly polarized when pulse duration is relatively long, namely, exceeding tens of femtoseconds for 800-nm wavelength. One of our recent works show that CP-S laser pulses can generate elliptically and circularly polarized THz radiations by increasing the wavelength [40].

Compared with linearly and circularly polarized driving fields, the investigation on the THz generation by two-color elliptically polarized driving field are still scarce [27, 41–44], which draws our interest in this work. We focus on whether the strong and polarization-controllable THz waves can be generated with this kind of pump field. In addition, we are curious about how THz radiation changes when a tiny ellipticity is introduced into a LP-O or CP-C laser field. We find that when taking different laser ellipticity, the THz energy generated is comparable with those produced by LP-P or CP-S fields. More importantly, THz radiation with high ellipticity or even circularly polarized can be obtained with longer-wavelength co-rotating bi-elliptical laser fields. Laser ellipticity, intensity ratio and phase difference together constitute a larger parameter space for THz polarization control, compared with other driving field. When an imperfect LP-O or CP-C field is considered, some counterintuitive results are obtained. These results can be partly understood in terms of the generated electric current.

2 Theoretical method

The simulations in this paper are based on the photocurrent model [14, 15]. When the laser pulse interacts with the gas, the electrons in the gas atoms undergo tunneling ionization. The produced free electrons are accelerated by the laser fields. Assuming that the initial density of gas is ρ_0 , the electron density $\rho(t)$ of the accelerated electron during its movement can be expressed as

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = w(t) \left[\rho_0 - \rho(t) \right] \tag{1}$$

The tunneling ionization rate w(t) can be obtained by Ammosov–Delone–Krainov (ADK) theory [45, 46].

Then the electron accelerates under laser field to form the transverse current J(t)

$$\boldsymbol{J}(t) = -\int_{-\infty}^{t} e\boldsymbol{v}_{e}(t,t') d\rho(t')$$
⁽²⁾

where *e* is the electron charge and $v_e(t, t')$ is the speed of electron that is released at time t', which satisfies the equation

$$\mathbf{v}_{e}(t,t') = -\frac{e}{m_{e}} \int_{t'}^{t} \mathbf{E}(t'') dt''$$
(3)

Finally, time-dependent photocurrent J(t) radiates electromagnetic waves. After wave filtering, THz radiation in frequency domain is obtained as



FIGURE 1

Dependence of THz radiation strength on the ellipticity and phase φ_2 of co-rotating 800 nm + 400 nm BEOTC and CP-S fields. (A) THz yields (0.1–10 THz) as a function of φ_2 . Numbers of 0.1–0.9 denoted in the legend refer to the ellipticity of BEOTC laser field. (B) THz enhancement due to phase φ_2 : ratio of the strongest THz radiation at 0.5π and weakest radiation at 0.0π shown in (A).



currents (middle column), THz radiation (right column). Laser phase $\varphi_2 = 0.0\pi$.

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{THz}}(\omega) \propto \hat{F}\left[\frac{d\boldsymbol{J}(t)}{dt}\right] \tag{4}$$

(BEPTC). For each case, the ellipticities of ω and 2ω fields are taken as equal. By controlling the parameters $\varepsilon_{1,2}$ (0 or ∞), laser fields with other polarization configurations can be obtained.

where \hat{F} represents the Fourier transform. The THz waveform $E_{\text{THz}}(t)$ of the radiation field in the time domain can be obtained with the inverse Fourier transform on the THz spectrum within a specific frequency range. The parameter ellipticity ε_{THz} (defined as the ratio of the THz amplitudes along the short axis and long axis) is used to represent THz polarization degree, which can be obtained from the THz electric field waveform, or THz strengths and phases along two orthogonal directions [47].

3 Results and discussion

The two-color $\omega + 2\omega$ driving field used in the simulations can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{E}(t) = f(t) \left[\sqrt{\frac{I_1}{1 + \varepsilon_1^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \cos(\omega t + \varphi_1) \\ \sin(\omega t + \varphi_1) \end{pmatrix} + \sqrt{\frac{I_2}{1 + \varepsilon_2^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2\omega t + \varphi_2) \\ \varepsilon_2 \sin(2\omega t + \varphi_2) \end{pmatrix} \right]$$
(5)

where $I_{1,2}$ and $\varphi_{1,2}$ are the peak intensity and carrier envelope phase (CEP) for two laser components, respectively. The pulse envelope is Gaussian shape with $f(t) = e^{-2 \ln 2t^2/\tau^2}$ and τ is pulse duration (FWHM). For simplicity, we limit the phase $\varphi_1 = 0$ in the following simulations. In general, the field in Eq. 5 is composed of two elliptically polarized fields with aclinic or upright ellipses. Their ellipticities $\varepsilon_{L1,L2}$ are determined by $\varepsilon_{1,2}$. Two kinds of bielliptical fields are considered in the following simulations: one with the orthogonal major axes of two ellipses, which are called the bielliptical orthogonally polarized two-color (BEOTC) laser fields latter; the other with the parallel major axes of two ellipses

3.1 Co-rotating BEOTC and BEPTC fields

3.1.1 800 nm + 400 nm

First, we make a comparison of THz strength radiated by corotating BEOTC driving lasers with various ellipticity from 0.1 to 0.9. Figure 1A shows the dependence of THz yields (0.1–10 THz) generated by laser fields with different ellipticity interacting with Ar







Comparisons of the waveforms of BEPTC laser field (left column) with ellipticity of 0.6, and generated electric currents (middle column), THz radiation (right column) for $\varphi_2 = 0.0\pi$ (upper row) and $\varphi_2 = 0.5\pi$ (lower row).



atoms on relative phase φ_2 . The pulse duration is 20 fs, the total laser intensity $I_1 + I_2 = 3 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2$ and the intensity ratio $I_2/I_1 = 1.0$. The wavelength is 800 nm and 400 nm for two components, respectively. As a benchmark, THz yields radiated by two-color CP-S fields are also given. It shows that the THz radiation intensity is modulated by adjusting the polarizations of laser fields. For the bi-elliptical laser fields, the THz yield increases with the increasing of laser ellipticity. As laser ellipticity increases from lower to higher, THz yield is enhanced by more than three

orders of magnitude. THz yield also depends on the laser relative phase, which is maximized at $\varphi_2 = 0.5\pi$. For each phase, the generated THz energy is lower than that generated by CP-S field, except for $\varepsilon_{L1,L2} = 0.9$ at some phases around 0.5π , which generates THz radiation even slightly stronger than that of CP-S. It should be pointed out that a very small departure from an ideal CP field may lead to a substantial change in the THz energy [44]. And it is very difficult to get a perfect circularly polarized light in experiments (for instance, see Figure 1B in [26, 28]), which makes it hard to

quantitatively compare the simulation results with the experimental ones. Figure 1B quantitatively demonstrate the effect of phase on THz radiation, where we define a THz enhancement factor as the ratio of the strongest THz radiation and the weakest radiation. As laser ellipticity increases from 0.1 to 0.9, THz enhancement monotonously decreases from 20 to 1.2, implying the precise control of the CEP is not required at higher laser ellipticity, which is similar to the case of CP-S field. As a comparison, it is about 190 in the case of LP-P field.

Simulation results show that the ionization level at the end of BEOTC laser pulse increases with the increasing of laser ellipticity, and depends weakly on the relative phase. For $\varepsilon_{L1,L2} = 0.1$, ionization degree is 0.35 and 0.28, respectively, at $\varphi_2 = 0.0\pi$ and 0.5π . Correspondingly, they are 0.46 and 0.49 for $\varepsilon_{L1,L2} = 0.9$ at two phases. For CP-S field, 48% of atoms are ionized. Great difference in the THz strength at these laser phases and ellipticities indicates that the symmetry of laser field plays a more key role in the enhancement of THz generation.

Take $\varepsilon_{L1,L2} = 0.2$ and 0.8 for example, Figure 2 displays the influence of asymmetry of driving BEOTC laser field on the THz radiation strength. Though waveforms of electric field in *x* direction are different, they are all symmetric in two cases, which generates antisymmetric electron drift velocity and small net current [Figures 2B, E]. As a result, THz emissions in this direction are very weak. For *y* direction, the electric fields are asymmetrical, especially in the case of higher ellipticity, namely, $\varepsilon_{L1,L2} = 0.8$. Consequently, stronger THz radiation is produced.

When the two major axes of 800-nm and 400-nm elliptical fields are parallel, different THz energy dependence on the laser ellipticity and relative laser phase is observed, which is shown in Figure 3. At $\varphi_2 = 0.0\pi$, THz radiation monotonically increases as laser ellipticity increases from 0.1 to 0.6. Then, it decreases with further increasing of laser ellipticity. At $\varphi_2 = 0.5\pi$, THz radiation displays a weaker dependence on driving laser ellipticity, compared with 0.0π . Considering all laser phases, the strongest radiation occurs at $\varphi_2 = 0.0\pi$, for some laser ellipticities. In addition, the THz energy generated by different lasers varies little, which is modulated weakly around the level produced by CP-S fields. Similar to the case of BEOTC, the THz enhancement induced by laser phase is small, too. Therefore, BEPTC is an attractive driving field, when the higher THz strength and difficulty of generation of perfect CP field are considered.

Figure 4 compares the electric field of BEPTC fields with phase $\varphi_2 = 0.0\pi$ and 0.5π , at a fixed laser ellipticity of $\varepsilon_{L1,L2} = 0.6$. It shows that the phase determines the symmetry of laser field in two orthogonal directions. For $\varphi_2 = 0.0\pi$, *y*-direction field is asymmetric, while it is asymmetric in *x*-direction in the case of $\varphi_2 = 0.5\pi$. As a result, it is inverse for the relative size of generated residual current and THz radiation between two directions for two phases. Furthermore, net currents generated by $\varphi_2 = 0.0\pi$ are slightly higher than those in the case of 0.5π . Consequently, THz yield in the laser field with phase of 0.0π is higher.

From the THz spectra shown in Figures 2, 4, we can learn that THz radiation in the whole frequency range should be nearly lineally polarized, due to the huge strength difference in two orthogonal directions. To get the THz polarization information generated by bielliptical laser field more intuitively, Figure 5A shows the 30 THz electric field waveform changes with more relative phase φ_2 of

BEOTC field, for $I_2/I_1 = 1.0$ and $\varepsilon_{L1,L2} = 0.5$. It can be seen that the THz intensity, polarization degree and direction all change with the laser relative phase. In general, however, the THz ellipticity is very low. The maximum ellipticity ε_{THz} is about 0.09 at $\varphi_2 = 0.42\pi$ and 1.47 π . Note that THz fields generated by commonly used LP-P and CP-S laser fields can only be linearly polarized, under these parameters. Figure 5B gives the THz field evolution with phase of BEPTC field, and similar behavior can be observed.

3.1.2 1600 nm + 3200 nm

To further improve THz ellipticity generated by co-rotating bielliptical field, we increase the wavelength λ_1 and λ_2 to 1600 nm and 3200 nm, respectively, while keeping the pulse width unchanged, according to our previous experience on the generation of THz with large ellipticity by using bicircular laser fields [40]. Figure 6A shows the variation of the ellipticity of THz waves generated by BEOTC fields with $I_2 + I_1 = 3 \times 10^{14} \text{ W/cm}^2$, $I_2/I_1 = 1.0$, $\varphi_2 = 0$. When laser ellipticity varies from 0.1 to 0.9, the maximal THz ellipticity at a given frequency reaches higher than 0.8, which covers a very broad band. This value could be further increased (>0.98) if we optimize intensity ratio and laser phase, too. Figure 6B shows the electric fields of 30-THz waves corresponding to different ellipticity $\varepsilon_{L1,L2}$ of laser fields. Obviously, the THz polarization can be controlled by choosing an appropriate ellipticity of the laser fields. Thus, in addition to intensity ratio and phase delay, as adopted in other driving fields, bi-elliptical field provides an extra parameter that can control THz polarization. With such a huge parameter space, it is easier to optimize THz polarization state.

Such control over THz polarization can also be achieved when the two major polarization axes of the bi-elliptical laser fields are parallel. Take $\varepsilon_{L1,L2} = 0.6$ as an example, Figure 7 shows the main results in this case. The pulse duration and total laser intensity remain as before. By optimizing the intensity ratio I_2/I_1 and relative phase φ_2 , we get the maximum THz ellipticity for a given frequency. Figure 7A shows the THz radiation ellipticity curves obtained for the optimizations at 20 THz and 30 THz. We can see that ellipticity for these two frequencies reaches about 0.90 and 1.0, respectively, with $I_2/I_1 = 0.013$, $\varphi_2 = 0.85\pi$ and $I_2/I_1 = 0.051$, $\varphi_2 = 0.85\pi$. Figures 7B, C show visually the 30-THz electric field. Obviously, THz radiation with controlled polarization can be obtained by just adjusting the intensity ratio at a fixed relative phase. In two cases, THz radiation is circularly polarized and elliptically polarized with a small ellipticity $\varepsilon_{\text{THz}} = 0.15$.

3.2 Influence of the small fluctuation of ellipticity of LP-O and CP-C fields on the THz radiation

3.2.1 Non-perfect LP-O field

It is depressing that the THz intensity generated by LP-O field is very low, and these radiations can only be lineally polarized. In surprise, we find that a co-rotating BEOTC laser with a very small ellipticity (<0.1), i.e., an imperfect LP-O field, can improve the performance. In Figure 8A, we give the 30-THz THz electric field waveforms for bicolor laser fields with $\varepsilon_{L1,L2}$ change from 0 to 0.1, with a step of 0.01. The results show that the THz field depends dramatically on ellipticity of the BEOTC laser fields. When the



FIGURE 6

(A) The ellipticity of THz waves generated by 20-fs 1600 nm + 3200 nm co-rotating BEOTC laser fields varies with laser ellipticity. (B) 30-THz THz wave electric field.



FIGURE 7

THz polarization characteristics radiated by 20 fs, 1600 nm + 3200 nm BEPTC laser fields. (A) THz ellipticity varies with the THz frequency for two laser intensity ratios of 0.013 and 0.051 at $\varphi_2 = 0.85\pi$. Laser ellipticity is fixed at 0.60. (B,C) THz radiation fields at 30 THz under two intensity ratios



FIGURE 8

(A) Electric field evolution of 30-THz THz waves generated by BEOTC fields with laser ellipticities of $0 \le \epsilon_{L1} = \epsilon_{L2} \le 0.10$, for $\varphi_2 = 0$ and $l_2/l_1 = 1.0$. (B) Variation of THz ellipticity with laser ellipticity.



ellipticity of the laser fields changes from 0 to 0.06, the THz wave changes from linear polarization to nearly circular polarization ($\varepsilon_{\rm THz} = 0.93$). Figure 8B further shows the ellipticity of THz waves over a broad range of 100 THz changes with the laser ellipticity. Obviously, such a flexible control over THz ellipticity can be achieved in the whole frequency range by altering a small laser ellipticity.

Such sensitivity of THz polarization and intensity on the small fluctuation of ellipticity of driving laser is incomprehensible. However, we note that similar phenomenon has also been observed in other strong field process, namely, the generation of high order harmonics [48, 49]. In Milošević et al simulations, they observe a dramatic dependence of harmonic ellipticity on the ellipticity of BEOTC field even in a level of one part in one thousand. The explanation behind these phenomena is difficult. Here, we give a qualitative analysis from the view of residual current. In Figure 9 we show the laser waveforms with the ellipticity $\varepsilon_L = 0$ (LP-O) and $\varepsilon_L = 0.01$, the generated currents and THz spectra. It shows that the differences between two laser waveforms and total currents are barely visible, but difference in residual current is significant [see enlarged insets in Figures 9B, E]. For $\varepsilon_{L} = 0$, the residual current in the *y* direction is almost zero, while the current in the x direction exhibits asymmetry. Therefore, the resulting linearly polarized THz radiation is along the x direction. For $\varepsilon_{\rm L} = 0.01$, the residual currents in both x direction and y direction are all not zero. In particular, the current in the y direction is increased by a factor of over 1000, compared with the case of LP-O. So, THz radiation in y direction is enhanced dramatically, reducing the intensity difference between it and x-direction THz radiation. Besides, phase difference in two directions is close to $\pi/2$, except at 0 frequency. As a result, the radiated THz wave is elliptically polarized. When the two major axes of the bi-elliptical laser fields are parallel, similar phenomena can be found, too (not shown here). That is to say, BEPTC field with tiny ellipticity can generate THz radiation with high ellipticity, and THz intensity is comparable to that of produced by the LP-P laser field.

3.2.2 Counter-rotating bi-elliptical polarized laser fields with high ellipticity—imperfect CP-C field

For a CP-C driving laser field, it can produce high-ellipticity THz waves, but the intensity of the THz emission is very weak. Tailliez et al [44] has showed that a very small departure from an ideal CP-C configuration, that is, a BEOTC field with high ellipticity may lead to a substantial increase in the THz energy. Here, we give a similar demonstration with BEPTC field. We find that such a small deviation could lead to recovering THz performances comparable with those reached with a LP-P or CP-S field. Figure 10 compares the laser field waveforms, electric currents, and radiated THz spectra of the CP-C and the counter-rotating 800 nm + 400 nm BEPTC laser field with ellipticity $\varepsilon_{\rm L}$ = 0.95. The intensity ratio I_2/I_1 is 12.0 in two cases. At first glance, the difference between two laser waveforms is very small. However, the resulting residual currents and THz intensities are very different in two cases. As shown in Figures 10C, F for the THz spectra, when the laser field changes from CP-C by an ellipticity of 0.05 to the counter-rotating bi-elliptical polarization fields, the intensity of the THz radiated changes by more than ten orders of magnitude. From the comparison of



FIGURE 10

Waveforms of laser fields (left column), the electric currents (middle column), and generated THz radiation (right column) for CP-C field (upper row) and counter-rotating BEPTC field with $\epsilon_L = 0.95$ (lower row).



photocurrents shown in Figures 10B, E, we know this is mainly due to the increase of net current in y direction in BEPTC field. A convincing explanation for the enhancement of THz radiation with higher frequency is still to be expected.

For the polarization state, Figure 10C indicates that THz radiations above 20 THz are nearly circularly polarized for the CP-C field, while they are elliptically polarized with high ellipticity at most frequencies with elliptical field [Figure 10F]. In Figure 11, we further show that we can control the THz polarization

by adjusting the intensity ratio of a counter-rotating bi-elliptical field. Obviously, elliptical and circular THz field can be generated with the optimization.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have shown that the bi-elliptical $\omega + 2\omega$ polarized laser field is a promising driving pulse for THz

emission. Based on the photocurrent model, the process of THz radiation produced by bi-elliptical laser fields interacting with gas is simulated. When the laser pulse is long, it can generate nearly linearly polarized THz radiation as strong as those generated with two-color circularly polarized with the same helicity laser fields or parallel linearly polarized laser fields. What's more, elliptically and circularly polarized THz waves can be produced with this kind of driving laser field with appropriate parameters. Compared with other laser fields, bi-elliptical field provides more parameters for the optimal control of THz generation.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

References

1. Clough B, Dai J, Zhang X-C. Laser air photonics: Beyond the terahertz gap. *Mater Today* (2012) 15:50–8. doi:10.1016/s1369-7021(12)70020-2

2. Fu X, Liu Y, Chen Q, Fu Y, Cui J. Applications of terahertz spectroscopy in the detection and recognition of substances. *Front Phys* (2022) 10:869537. doi:10.3389/fphy. 2022.869537

3. Kürner T, Priebe S. Towards THz communications-status in research, standardization and regulation. *J Infrared Millim Te* (2014) 35:53-62. doi:10.1007/s10762-013-0014-3

4. Hafez HA, Chai X, Ibrahim A, Mondal S, Férachou D, Ropagnol X, et al. Intense terahertz radiation and their applications. *J Opt* (2016) 18:093004. doi:10.1088/2040-8978/18/9/093004

5. Federici JF, Schulkinl B, Huang F, Gary D, Barat R, Oliveira F, et al. THz imaging and sensing for security applications-explosives, weapons and drugs. *Semicond Sci Tech* (2005) 20:S266-80. doi:10.1088/0268-1242/20/7/018

6. Ashish YP, Deepak DS, Kiran BE, Deelip VD. Terahertz technology and its applications. *Drug Invent Today* (2013) 5:157–63. doi:10.1016/j.dit.2013.03.009

7. Wu H, Guo Q, Tu Y, Lyu Z, Wang X, Li Y, et al. Polarity reversal of terahertz electric field from heavily p-doped silicon surfaces. *Chin Phys Lett* (2021) 38:074201. doi:10. 1088/0256-307X/38/7/074201

8. Tan P, Huang J, Liu K, Xiong Y, Fan M. Terahertz radiation sources based on free electron lasers and their applications. *Sci China Inf Sci* (2012) 55:1–15. doi:10.1007/s11432-011-4515-1

9. Vitiello MS, Scalari G, Williams B, Natale PD. Quantum cascade lasers: 20 years of challenges. *Opt Express* (2015) 23:5167–82. doi:10.1364/oe.23.005167

10. Booske JH, Dobbs RJ, Joye CD, Kory CL, Neil GR, Park GS, et al. Vacuum electronic high power terahertz sources. *IEEE Trans Terahertz Sci Technol* (2011) 1: 54–75. doi:10.1109/tthz.2011.2151610

11. Berry CW, Wang N, Hashemi MR, Unlu M, Jarrahi M. Significant performance enhancement in photoconductive terahertz optoelectronics by incorporating plasmonic contact electrodes. *Nat Commun* (2013) 4:1622. doi:10.1038/ncomms2638

12. Cook DJ, Hochstrasser RM. Intense terahertz pulses by four-wave rectification in air. *Opt Lett* (2000) 25:1210–2. doi:10.1364/ol.25.001210

13. Kreß M, Löffler T, Thomson MD, Dörner R, Gimpel H, Zrost K, et al. Determination of the carrier-envelope phase of few-cycle laser pulses with terahertzemission spectroscopy. *Nat Phys* (2006) 2:327–31. doi:10.1038/nphys286

14. Kim K-Y, Glownia JH, Taylor AJ, Rodriguez G. Terahertz emission from ultrafast ionizing air in symmetry-broken laser fields. *Opt Express* (2007) 15:4577–84. doi:10. 1364/oe.15.004577

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 12164044 and 11864037) and the Industrial Support and Guidance Project of Universities in Gansu Province, China (No. 2022CYZC-06).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

15. Kim K-Y, Taylor AJ, Glownia JH, Rodriguez G. Coherent control of terahertz supercontinuum generation in ultrafast laser-gas interactions. *Nat Photon* (2008) 2: 605–9. doi:10.1038/nphoton.2008.153

16. Lu C, He T, Zhang L, Zhang H, Yao Y, Li S, et al. Effect of two-color laser pulse duration on intense terahertz generation at different laser intensities. *Phys Rev A* (2015) 92:063850. doi:10.1103/physreva.92.063850

17. Wang T, Chen Y, Marceau C, Théberge F, Châteauneuf M, Dubois J, et al. High energy terahertz emission from two-color laser-induced filamentation in air with pump pulse duration control. *Appl Phys Lett* (2009) 95:131108. doi:10.1063/1.3242024

18. Kim K-Y. Generation of coherent terahertz radiation in ultrafast laser-gas interactions. *Phys Plasmas* (2009) 16:056706. doi:10.1063/1.3134422

19. Zhang D, Lü Z, Meng C, Du X, Zhou Z, Zhao Z, et al. Synchronizing terahertz wave generation with attosecond bursts. *Phys Rev Lett* (2012) 109:243002. doi:10.1103/ PhysRevLett.109.243002

20. Dai H, Liu J. Terahertz emission dependence on the intensity ratio of 400-800 nm in generating terahertz waves from two-color laser-induced gas plasma. *Photonic Nanostruct* (2012) 10:191–5. doi:10.1016/j.photonics.2011.12.005

21. Lu C, Zhang S, Yao Y, Xu S, Jia T, Ding J, et al. Effect of two-color laser pulse intensity ratio on intense terahertz generation. RSC Adv (2015) 5:1485–90. doi:10.1039/ c4ra12556h

22. Zhang L, Wang W, Wu T, Zhang R, Zhang S, Zhang C, et al. Observation of terahertz radiation via the two-color laser scheme with uncommon frequency ratios. *Phys Rev Lett* (2017) 119:235001. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.119.235001

23. Wang W, Sheng Z, Li Y, Zhang Y, Zhang J. Terahertz emission driven by twocolor laser pulses at various frequency ratios. *Phys Rev A* (2017) 96:023844. doi:10.1103/ physreva.96.023844

24. González de Alaiza Martínez P, Babushkin I, Bergé L, Skupin S, Cabrera-Granado E, Köhler C, et al. Boosting terahertz generation in laser-field ionized gases using a sawtooth wave shape. *Phys Rev Lett* (2015) 114:183901. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.114.183901

25. Vaicaitis V, Balachninaite O, Morgner U, Babushkin I. Terahertz radiation generation by three-color laser pulses in air filament. *J Appl Phys* (2019) 125: 173103. doi:10.1063/1.5078683

26. Meng C, Chen W, Wang X, Lü Z, Huang Y, Liu J, et al. Enhancement of terahertz radiation by using circularly polarized two-color laser fields. *Appl Phys Lett* (2016) 109: 131105. doi:10.1063/1.4963883

27. Dai J, Karpowicz N, Zhang X-C. Coherent polarization control of terahertz waves generated from two-color laser-induced gas plasma. *Phys Rev Lett* (2009) 103:023001. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.023001

28. Tu Y, Meng C, Sun X, Wu H, Song P, Meng C, et al. Enhancement of terahertz radiation from a filament by using circularly polarized two-color laser fields. *J Opt Soc Am B* (2022) 39:83–8. doi:10.1364/JOSAB.446156

29. Cocker TL, Jelic V, Gupta M, Molesky SJ, Burgess JAJ, Reyes GDL, et al. An ultrafast terahertz scanning tunnelling microscope. *Nat Photon* (2013) 7:620–5. doi:10.1038/nphoton. 2013.151

30. Zhu J, Ma Z, Sun W, Ding F, He Q, Zhou L, et al. Ultra-broadband terahertz metamaterial absorber. *Appl Phys Lett* (2014) 105:021102. doi:10.1063/1.4890521

31. Wang BX, Wang LL, Wang GZ, Huang WQ, Li XF, Zhai X. A simple design of ultra-broadband and polarization insensitive terahertz metamaterial absorber. *Appl Phys A* (2014) 115:1187–92. doi:10.1007/s00339-013-8158-5

32. Baierl S, Hohenleutner M, Kampfrath T, Zvezdin AK, Kimel AV, Huber R, et al. Nonlinear spin control by terahertz-driven anisotropy fields. *Nat Photon* (2016) 10: 715–8. doi:10.1038/nphoton.2016.181

33. Zhang L, Zhang D, Hu F, Xu X, Zhao Q, Sun X, et al. Generation and control of ultrafast circular photon drag current in multilayer PtSe₂ revealed via terahertz emission. *Adv Opt Mater* (2023) 11:2201881. doi:10.1002/adom.202201881

34. Katletz S, Pfleger M, Puhringer H, Mikulics M, Vieweg N, Peters O, et al. Polarization sensitive terahertz imaging: Detection of birefringence and optical axis. *Opt Express* (2012) 20:23025. doi:10.1364/oe.20.023025

35. Hoshina H, Morisawa Y, Sato H, Minamide H, Noda I, Ozakib Y, et al. Polarization and temperature dependent spectra of poly(3-hydroxyalkanoate)s measured at terahertz frequencies. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* (2011) 13:9173–9. doi:10.1039/c0cp02435j

36. Tonouchi M. Cutting-edge terahertz technology. Nat Photon (2007) 1:97-105. doi:10.1038/nphoton.2007.3

37. Song L, Bai Y, Xu R, Li C, Liu P, Li R, et al. Polarization control of terahertz waves generated by circularly polarized few-cycle laser pulses. *Appl Phys Lett* (2013) 103: 261102. doi:10.1063/1.4856495

38. Zhang Z, Chen Y, Cui S, He F, Chen M, Zhang Z, et al. Manipulation of polarizations for broadband terahertz waves emitted from laser plasma filaments. *Nat Photon* (2018) 12:554–9. doi:10.1038/s41566-018-0238-9

39. Li Y, Wang G, Zhang L, Jiao Z, Zhao S, Zhou X. Generating the polarizationcontrollable THz radiations by incommensurate two-color femtosecond laser fields. *Phys Plasmas* (2019) 26:073109. doi:10.1063/1.5093145

40. Wang G, Qi H, Li Y, Jiao Z, Zhao S, Zhang L. Polarization-controlled terahertz generation by bicircular longer-wavelength laser fields. *J Opt Soc Am B* (2022) 39: 1370–7. doi:10.1364/JOSAB.456066

41. Yousef-Zamanian A, Neshat M. Investigation of polarization state of terahertz radiation from compact laser-induced plasma in air. *J Mod Opt* (2017) 64:300–8. doi:10. 1080/09500340.2016.1230235

42. Rylyuk VM. Multiphoton ionization of atoms in two-color laser field and coherent polarization control of terahertz waves. *Laser Phys* (2018) 28:116001. doi:10.1088/1555-6611/aada40

43. Rylyuk VM. Multiphoton ionization of atoms in two-color laser field and coherent polarization control of terahertz waves. *Int J Mod Phys B* (2020) 34:116001. doi:10.1088/1555-6611/aada40

44. Tailliez C, Stathopulos A, Skupin S, Buožius D, Babushkin I, Vaicaitis V, et al. Terahertz pulse generation by two-color laser fields with circular polarization. *New J Phys* (2020) 22:103038. doi:10.1088/1367-2630/abb863

45. Corkum PB. Plasma perspective on strong field multiphoton ionization. *Phys Rev Lett* (1993) 71:1994–7. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.71.1994

46. Rae SC, Burnett K. Detailed simulations of plasma-induced spectral blue shifting. *Phys Rev Lett* (1992) 46:1084–90. doi:10.1103/physreva.46.1084

47. Yuan K, Bandrauk AD. High-order elliptically polarized harmonic generation in extended molecules with ultrashort intense bichromatic circularly polarized laser pulses. *Phys Rev A* (2010) 81:063412. doi:10.1103/physreva.81.063412

48. Miloševic DB, Becker W. High-order harmonic generation by bi-elliptical orthogonally polarized two-color fields. *Phys Rev A* (2020) 102:023107. doi:10.1103/ physreva.102.023107

49. Bordo E, Kfifir O, Zayko S, Neufeld O, Fleischer A, Ropers C, et al. Interlocked attosecond pulse trains in slightly bi-elliptical high harmonic generation. *J Phys Photon* (2020) 2:034005. doi:10.1088/2515-7647/ab8a5c