



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY Alex Hansen, NTNU, Norway

*CORRESPONDENCE
Frontiers Editorial Office,

☐ research.integrity@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 16 July 2024 ACCEPTED 16 July 2024 PUBLISHED 22 July 2024

CITATION

Frontiers Editorial Office (2024), Retraction: Cost-sensitive classification algorithm combining the Bayesian algorithm and quantum decision tree.

Front. Phys. 12:1465482.
doi: 10.3389/fphy.2024.1465482

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Frontiers Editorial Office. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Retraction: Cost-sensitive classification algorithm combining the Bayesian algorithm and quantum decision tree

Frontiers Editorial Office*

A Retraction of the Original Research Article

Cost-sensitive classification algorithm combining the Bayesian algorithm and quantum decision tree

by Ji N, Bao R, Mu X, Chen Z, Yang X and Wang S (2023). Front. Phys. 11:1179868. doi: 10.3389/ fphy.2023.1179868

The journal retracts the 03 July 2023 article cited above.

Following concerns regarding the originality of the article, an investigation was conducted in accordance with Frontiers' policies. It was found that the complaint was valid and that the article should be retracted, because of an unacceptable level of similarity to the preprint https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2210.02355 which is now published: Srikumar, M., Hill, C.D. & Hollenberg, L.C.L. A kernel-based quantum random forest for improved classification. Quantum Mach. Intell. 6, 10 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42484-023-00131-2.

The retraction of the article was approved by the Chief Editor of Frontiers in Physics and the Chief Executive Editor of Frontiers. The authors did not respond to the retraction.