Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xiangrong Wang, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong SAR, China

REVIEWED BY

Antonio Sérgio Magalhães De Castro, Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa, Brazil Giovanni Modanese, Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE

D. Mi, ⊠ mid@dlmu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 31 January 2025 ACCEPTED 21 April 2025 PUBLISHED 20 May 2025

CITATION

Guo YQ, Zhang JX, Mi D and Wang DF (2025) Temperature-dependent pure state for the thermodynamic system and its heat reservoir. *Front. Phys.* 13:1569310. doi: 10.3389/fphy.2025.1569310

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Guo, Zhang, Mi and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Temperature-dependent pure state for the thermodynamic system and its heat reservoir

Y. Q. Guo, J. X. Zhang, D. Mi* and D. F. Wang

School of Science, Dalian Maritime University, Dalian, China

Based on the quantum Liouville and Lindblad equations, a temperaturedependent pure state was constructed for the composite isolated system consisting of a thermodynamic system and its heat reservoir. The results demonstrate that the expectation value of this pure state coincides with the statistical average of the thermodynamic system's mixed state. Furthermore, the existence of this pure state is consistent with the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis. Additionally, the "fictitious system" introduced in thermo field dynamics can be naturally interpreted as the heat reservoir of the system, and this interpretation allows us to re-examine the relationship between the physical system and the so-called "fictitious system."

KEYWORDS

composite isolated system, pure state, mixed state, expectation value, statistical average, thermo field dynamics

1 Introduction

Finite-temperature field theory (FTFT), also known as thermal field theory, serves as the relativistic generalization of finite-temperature non-relativistic quantum statistical mechanics. It extends the methods of zero-temperature quantum field theory to finitetemperature conditions, providing a powerful framework for studying thermal phenomena in extreme regimes of temperature and density [1]. FTFT is typically categorized into two formalisms: the imaginary-time formalism, which exhibits a characteristic imaginary-time periodicity, and the real-time formalism, distinguished by its doubled degrees of freedom [2]. The idea of doubled degrees of freedom has been applied in many areas, including quantum field theory for non-conservative systems [3], quantum entanglement phenomena [4], holographic systems [5], and the dynamics of driven many-body quantum systems at finite temperature [6], among others. However, the physical interpretation of the doubled degrees of freedom has long been debated without reaching a broad consensus.

As a real-time formulation of finite-temperature quantum field theory, thermo field dynamics (TFD) enable the application of Feynman diagram techniques to real-time causal Green's functions at finite temperatures [7], thereby determining the thermodynamic properties of the system. Although numerous interpretations have been proposed regarding the physical meaning of the doubled degrees of freedom in TFD [7–11], we argue that many of these explanations remain largely speculative, lacking grounding in fundamental physical principles.

In this work, we first briefly review the dynamics of isolated and open quantum systems. We emphasize that an isolated system governed by the quantum Liouville equation does not undergo global thermalization, whereas an open system obeying the Lindblad equation eventually thermalizes through interaction with a heat reservoir. Subsequently, we

construct a temperature-dependent pure state for a composite isolated system comprising a thermodynamic system and its heat reservoir. The expectation value of this pure state aligns with the statistical average of the thermodynamic system's mixed state. Furthermore, we analyze the compatibility of this temperaturedependent pure state with the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH), propose a physical interpretation of the "fictitious system" in TFD, and clarify the historical ambiguity in its interpretation. Finally, we examine the structural features of the temperaturedependent pure state and explain why such characteristics are suitable for calculating thermodynamic quantities using methods in quantum field theory.

2 Construction of the temperature-dependent pure state

2.1 Dynamics of an isolated quantum system

The state vector $|\psi(t)\rangle$ of an isolated system evolves according to the Schrödinger equation, or the corresponding density operator $\hat{\rho}(t) = |\psi(t)\rangle\langle\psi(t)|$ obeys the quantum Liouville equation [12].

$$i\hbar \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\rho}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \left[\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}(t)\right],\tag{1}$$

where \hat{H} is the Hamiltonian of the system. Equation 1 holds a fundamental position in quantum mechanics and serves as the starting point for studying quantum master equations of open systems. The solution of the abovementioned equation is

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t}\hat{\rho}(0)e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}t},$$
(2)

where $\hat{\rho}(0) = |\psi(0)\rangle\langle\psi(0)|$ is the initial density matrix. In the eigenstate basis of the Hamiltonian $\{|\phi_n\rangle\}$, Equation 2 can be expressed as follows:

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \sum_{n,m} c_n c_m^* e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} (E_n - E_m)t} |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_m|,$$

where the coefficient $c_n = \langle \phi_n | \psi(0) \rangle$, the asterisk denotes complex conjugation, and E_n is the eigenvalue corresponding to eigenstate $|\phi_n\rangle$. The average value of any observable \hat{O} evolves as follows:

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = Tr\left[\hat{\rho}(t)\hat{O}\right] = \sum_{n,m} c_n c_m^* e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(E_n - E_m)t} O_{mn},$$

where $O_{mn} = \langle \phi_m | \hat{O} | \phi_n \rangle$ is a matrix element of the observable *O* in the energy basis.

It is evident that a generic pure state cannot exhibit the thermal equilibrium behavior. The time-independent diagonal terms depend on the initial state's coefficients, while the off-diagonal terms exhibit harmonic time dependence that generally does not decay to 0. On the other hand, the thermodynamic entropy of a system (commonly referred to as Boltzmann or Gibbs entropy in statistical physics) vanishes for a pure state but is positive for a mixed state. From the perspective of quantum mechanics, all thermodynamic systems—including so-called isolated systems—are effectively open and must be described by mixed states, thus possessing positive thermodynamic entropy [13]. However, an isolated quantum system undergoing unitary evolution remains in a time-dependent pure state, implying that its global thermodynamic entropy is rigorously 0. This observation reinforces the conclusion that a genuinely isolated system cannot thermalize globally. Nevertheless, as discussed in Section 3.1, a subsystem of such an isolated system can undergo thermalization by treating the remainder as an effective heat reservoir at fixed energy [14].

2.2 Dynamics of an open quantum system

When an isolated system interacts with an external environment, it becomes an open system. Due to the entanglement between the system and its environment, the system's evolution becomes non-unitary, and its dynamics are governed by the quantum master equation. The combined system–environment pair S + E forms a composite isolated system, with S itself acting as a subsystem of S + E.

The total Hamiltonian \hat{H} of S + E can be expressed as follows:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_S \otimes I_E + I_S \otimes \hat{H}_E + \hat{H}_I, \tag{3}$$

where " \otimes " represents the direct product; \hat{H}_S and \hat{H}_E are the Hamiltonians of *S* and *E*, respectively; \hat{H}_I represents the interaction between *S* and *E*; and I_S and I_E are the identity operators in the Hilbert spaces of *S* and *E*, respectively.

Let \hat{O}_S be an observable referring to *S*; it should be expressed as $\hat{O} = \hat{O}_S \otimes I_E$ when considering *S* as a subsystem of S + E. Let $\hat{\rho}(t)$ and $\hat{\rho}_S(t)$ be the density matrices of S + E and *S* at time *t*, respectively. Then, physical consistency requires that measurement averages be the same whether computed via $\hat{\rho}(t)$ or $\hat{\rho}_S(t)$,

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle = Tr \left[\hat{\rho}(t) \left(\hat{O}_S \otimes I_E \right) \right] = Tr_S \left[\hat{\rho}_S(t) \hat{O}_S \right], \tag{4}$$

where

$$\hat{\rho}_{S}(t) = Tr_{E}[\hat{\rho}(t)]$$

is also known as the reduced density matrix of *S*, Tr_S denotes the trace over the Hilbert space of *S*, and Tr_E denotes the partial trace over the degrees of freedom of *E*. The time evolution of the reduced density matrix $\hat{\rho}(t)$ is derived by taking the partial trace over the degrees of freedom of *E* on both sides of the quantum Liouville equation as follows:

$$i\hbar\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\rho}_{S}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t}=Tr_{E}\left[\hat{H},\hat{\rho}(t)\right],$$

where \hat{H} is given by Equation 3. Starting from the abovementioned equation, the quantum master equation in Lindblad form—a first-order linear differential equation for the reduced density matrix—can be derived under the weak-coupling limit, Born approximation, Markov approximation, and rotating wave approximation [15].

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\rho}_{S}(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\hat{H}_{S}, \hat{\rho}_{S}(t) \right] + D\left(\hat{\rho}_{S}(t) \right), \tag{5}$$

where $D(\hat{\rho}_{S}(t))$ is the dissipator, which describes the possible transitions, dissipations, and decoherence of the system due to the interaction between the system and the environment.

2.3 Thermalization of an open system coupled to a heat reservoir

Assume that the environment is in a stationary state; then, $\hat{\rho}_E$ is invariant under the reservoir dynamics. Furthermore, if the environment is in thermal equilibrium with inverse temperature β , the Lindblad equation predicts that the density of the matrix of the canonical ensemble,

$$\hat{\rho}_{th} = \frac{e^{-\beta \hat{H}_S}}{Tr e^{-\beta \hat{H}_S}},\tag{6}$$

is a stationary solution of the quantum master (Equation 5) [15]. This means that regardless of the initial state, *S* will asymptotically approach thermodynamic equilibrium after interacting with *R*. Equation 6 can also be directly derived from traditional statistical physics: any smaller system coupled to a large thermal reservoir will eventually thermalize, and its density matrix adopts the canonical ensemble form. In this study, we present the density matrix in this way to demonstrate how the temperature-dependent pure state for a composite isolated system consisting of a thermodynamic system and its heat reservoir can be constructed from first principles.

The abovementioned discussion indicates that the thermal equilibrium density matrix of S commutes with the Hamiltonian, indicating that they share common eigenstates. Consequently, the equilibrium density matrix of S is diagonal in the energy eigenbasis,

$$\hat{\rho}_{S} = \sum_{n} \rho_{n} |\phi_{n}\rangle \langle \phi_{n}|, \qquad (7)$$

where

$$\rho_n = \frac{e^{-\beta E_n}}{Z} \tag{8}$$

is the probability that the system is in the energy eigenstate $|\phi_n\rangle$ of *S*, where $Z = \sum_m e^{-\beta E_m}$ is referred to as the partition function [16].

2.4 Constructing a temperaturedependent pure state for the composite isolated system

According to the quantum Liouville equation, the composite isolated system S + R is always in a pure state. Let $\{|\varphi_n\rangle\}$ and $\{|\Phi_{\mu}\rangle\}$ be any fixed orthonormal bases for *S* and *R*, respectively; then, a pure state of S + R at any time can be expanded as follows [17]:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n,\mu} a_{n,\mu} |\varphi_n\rangle \otimes |\Phi_\mu\rangle, \tag{9}$$

where the coefficient $a_{n,\mu} = \langle \varphi_n | \otimes \langle \Phi_\mu | \psi \rangle$.

As established earlier, in the long-time limit, *S* as a subsystem will thermalize such that its density matrix and Hamiltonian commute with each other and, hence, share common eigenstates. Consequently, the eigenstates of the density matrix appearing in the Schmidt decomposition are also the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. As we shall demonstrate, this property extends to *R*. Let $|\varphi_n\rangle$ correspond to the Hamiltonian eigenstate $|\phi_n\rangle$ of *S*; this allows us to express $|\psi\rangle$ in Equation 9 in the form of Schmidt decomposition [15]:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n} \rho_{n}^{1/2} |\phi_{n}\rangle \otimes |\tilde{\Phi}_{n}\rangle, \qquad (10)$$

where ρ_n is calculated using Equation 8, and $|\tilde{\Phi}_n\rangle$ is defined as follows:

$$|\tilde{\Phi}_n\rangle = \sum_{\mu} \rho_n^{-1/2} a_{n,\mu} |\Phi_{\mu}\rangle.$$
(11)

It can be proven that the set $|\tilde{\Phi}_n\rangle$ of vectors with index *n* is orthonormal,

$$\langle \tilde{\Phi}_m | \tilde{\Phi}_n \rangle = \delta_{mn},$$

and it is the set of eigenstates of the following reduced density matrix for *R*,

$$\hat{\rho}_{R}(\beta) = Tr_{S}(\hat{\rho}_{SR}) = Tr_{S}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|) = \sum_{n} \rho_{n} |\tilde{\Phi}_{n}\rangle\langle\tilde{\Phi}_{n}|.$$

It should be emphasized that if $\{|\phi_n\rangle\}$ is not the eigenstate basis of the Hamiltonian and density matrix of *S*, the basis introduced in Equation 11 may not be necessarily orthonormal or even not orthogonal in general [18].

Since the temperature parameter β appears on the right side of Equation 10, $|\psi\rangle$ is now a pure state with temperature. Denoting $|\phi_n\rangle$ by $|n\rangle$ and $|\tilde{\Phi}_n\rangle$ by $|\tilde{n}\rangle$, respectively, Equation 10 can be rewritten as follows:

$$|\psi(\beta)\rangle = \sum_{n} \rho_n^{1/2} |n\rangle \otimes |\tilde{n}\rangle.$$
(12)

The corresponding density matrix of the temperaturedependent pure state $(|\psi(\beta)\rangle)$ is

$$\hat{\rho}_{SR}(\beta) = |\psi(\beta)\rangle\langle\psi(\beta)| = \sum_{n,m} \rho_n^{1/2} \rho_m^{1/2} |n\rangle \otimes |\tilde{n}\rangle\langle m| \otimes \langle \tilde{m}|.$$

It is easy to check that the reduced density of S is

$$\hat{\rho}_{S}(\beta) = Tr_{R}\left[\left(\hat{\rho}_{SR}(\beta)\right] = \sum_{n} \rho_{n} |n\rangle \langle n|.$$

As shown in Section 2.2, for an observable \hat{O}_S of *S*, it should be expressed as $\hat{O}_S \otimes I_R$ when considering *S* as a subsystem of S + R. According to Equation 4, the expectation value of the pure state of S + R coincides with the statistical average of a mixed state of *S*,

$$Tr\left[\hat{\rho}_{SR}\left(\beta\right)\left(\hat{O}_{S}\otimes I_{R}\right)\right]=Tr_{S}\left[\hat{\rho}_{S}\left(\beta\right)\hat{O}_{S}\right].$$

In the energy representation, $\hat{\rho}_{S}(\beta)$ is obtained using Equation 7. Omitting the identity operator I_{R} and the subscript "S," we finally obtain

$$\langle \psi(\beta) | \hat{O} | \psi(\beta) \rangle = \sum_{n} \rho_n \langle n | \hat{O} | n \rangle.$$
(13)

In this way, a question in quantum field theory realized through a temperature-dependent pure state and a question in statistical mechanics concerning a subsystem in thermal equilibrium can be converted into each other.

3 Discussion

3.1 Heat reservoir as a subsystem in a composite isolated system

As demonstrated in Section 2.3, a system interacting with a heat reservoir will undergo thermalization in the long-time limit.

However, this framework requires the heat reservoir to be predefined as part of a composite isolated system. It seems that this prior assumption introduces limitations in constructing $|\psi(\beta)\rangle$ from the first principles.

Recent studies, however, demonstrate that for an isolated system satisfying the ETH, its subsystem can indeed thermalize [19–22]. The ETH serves as the primary conceptual framework for understanding how quantum mechanics leads to thermalization. According to the ETH, each eigenstate of the Hamiltonian of the composite system S + E always implicitly contains a thermal state; consequently, the reduced density matrix of such an eigenstate becomes indistinguishable from a canonical ensemble density matrix [23]. This yields the following relation:

$$Tr_E(|\phi_k\rangle\langle\phi_k|) = \frac{e^{-\beta_k\hat{H}_S}}{Tre^{-\beta_k\hat{H}_S}},$$

and the temperature parameter β_k is determined using the following constraint:

$$Tr\left[\left(\frac{e^{-\beta_k \hat{H}_S}}{Tre^{-\beta_k \hat{H}_S}}\right)\hat{H}_S\right] = E_k,$$

where E_k is the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenstate $|\phi_k\rangle$ of the S + E Hamiltonian. This implies that under unitary evolution of the full system, a subsystem can exhibit thermal behavior when its complement acts as an effective heat reservoir—a key assumption in Section 2.3. Notably, the ETH has not only been theoretically predicted but also experimentally verified [24].

The microscopic origin of temperature might still be considered an open question. Although the ETH has been widely validated as a sufficient condition for thermalization in many finite-sized systems through numerical simulations [14], it has not been universally proven to be a necessary condition. Due to the presence of local conserved quantities that prevent thermalization, there exist systems that do not thermalize at all, such as integrable systems or manybody localized states [25].

3.2 Physical interpretation of the "fictitious system" in TFD

As shown in Equation 12, the eigenstates $|n\rangle$ and $|\tilde{n}\rangle$ appear as a pair in $|\psi(\beta)\rangle$. Consequently, when calculating thermodynamic quantities using the expectation value method, the degrees of freedom must be doubled compared to those in the statistical ensemble approach. Takahashi et al. termed $|\psi(\beta)\rangle$ the "thermal vacuum state" and designated the tilde system as the "fictitious system" [7]. They further interpreted particles in the fictitious system as "holes." Other scholars have proposed alternative interpretations, such as the "mirror of the real world" [8], "complement to the dissipating system" [10], and "thermal ghost" [11].

Equation 13 serves as the foundational starting point of TFD. Notably, in TFD, the density matrix $\sum_{n} \rho_n |n\rangle \langle n|$ is assumed *a priori*, whereas the pure state $|\psi(\beta)\rangle$ must be explicitly constructed. This construction corresponds to the purification of the thermodynamic system's mixed state. However, purification is often viewed as a mathematical procedure with the auxiliary reference system (the fictitious system) lacking direct physical significance [17]. It can

be shown that there exist different pure states satisfying the given condition, all are related to one another by unitary transformations. Thus, for the sole purpose of computing thermodynamic quantities, the "fictitious system" in TFD requires no physical interpretation. However, diverse interpretations remain possible precisely because none affect computational outcomes, leading to the current plurality of explanations.

If $|\psi(\beta)\rangle$ is determined as discussed in Section 2.4, the reduced density matrix $\sum_{n} \rho_n |n\rangle \langle n|$ becomes uniquely defined. The tilde system representing the heat reservoir in this framework directly corresponds to the "fictitious system" in TFD. Therefore, assigning a physical interpretation to the "fictitious system" is most logically consistent when identifying it as the system's heat reservoir. This perspective elevates the purification of the mixed state beyond a mere mathematical tool, endowing it with physical meaning.

This reinterpretation of the "fictitious system" may prompt a re-examination of previously controversial or even meaningless questions. For instance, Takahashi et al. argued that a "one particle state" is built up from the thermal equilibrium state either by adding a particle to the physical system or eliminating a particle from the "fictitious system," thereby interpreting a particle in the "fictitious system" as a "hole" of a physical particle. In our framework, however, this corresponds to the physical equivalence between annihilating a particle in the heat reservoir and creating a particle in the system.

As a further example, we can now analyze the quantum entanglement between the physical system and the so-called "fictitious system"—a question previously devoid of physical significance. The Schmidt number for the state $|\psi(\beta)\rangle$ is greater than one, which indicates that $|\psi(\beta)\rangle$ is necessarily an entangled state. The quantity that measures the quantum entanglement between two subsystems in a composite isolated system is the entanglement entropy. Usually, the entanglement entropy is defined as the von Neumann entropy corresponding to any reduced density matrix of the composite isolated system [26]. For instance, for a free particle of mass *m* in a cube with a side length of *L* at temperature *T*, the entanglement entropy between the particle and its heat reservoir is given by

$$S = -Tr\left(\hat{\rho}_{S}ln\hat{\rho}_{S}\right) = \frac{3}{2}\left(\ln\frac{2\pi mkTL^{2}}{h^{2}} + 1\right),$$

where $\hat{\rho}_s$ is given by Equation 7 with the partition function $Z = L^3 \left(\frac{2\pi m kT}{r^2}\right)^{3/2}$.

It is essential to clarify that for a composite isolated system consisting of two subsystems in thermal equilibrium, the vanishing thermodynamic entropy of the global pure state does not contradict the non-zero thermodynamic entropy of its subsystems. This arises because while the quantum information is preserved, it becomes hidden locally throughout the system and is only accessible through measurements of global observables that do not thermalize [16].

3.3 Characteristics of the component state in the temperature-dependent pure state

As an entangled state, $|\psi(\beta)\rangle$ is represented as a linear superposition of the direct product states $|n\rangle \otimes |\tilde{n}\rangle (n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$. The common eigenstate $|n\rangle$ of the density matrix and Hamiltonian of *S* appear as one component state in every product state, while the

common eigenstate $|\tilde{n}\rangle$ of the density matrix and Hamiltonian of *R* appear as the other component state. Crucially, the component state is also the eigenstate of any mechanical operator that commutes with both the density operator and the Hamiltonian. Specifically, if the particle number operator satisfies this commutation property, the component state within the direct product state is also the eigenstate of the particle number operator. This structure allows $|\psi(\beta)\rangle$ to be dealt with directly using the methods and techniques of quantum field theory since the particle number operator and its eigenstates play a fundamental role in the usual quantum field theory.

To illustrate the construction of such a state, consider a system of free bosons. Since the Hamiltonian commutes with the particle number operator, the density operator, Hamiltonian, and particle number operator share common eigenstates. Let a_k^{\dagger} and a_k denote the creation and annihilation operators for a free boson with energy ϵ_k , respectively; the orthonormal basis vectors of the Fock space are then expressed as follows:

$$|n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \left(a_k^{\dagger}\right)^n |0\rangle, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and the corresponding eigenvalues of the particle number operator, density operator, and Hamiltonian are n, ρ_n , and $n\epsilon_k$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$), respectively.

On introducing the eigenstates of the particle number operator of *R*, characterized by a tilde, $|\psi(\beta)\rangle$ can be constructed as follows:

$$|\psi(\beta)\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \rho_n^{1/2} |n\rangle \otimes |\tilde{n}\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left[\left(1 - e^{-\beta \epsilon_k} \right) e^{-\beta n \epsilon_k} \right]^{1/2} |n\rangle \otimes |\tilde{n}\rangle.$$

Note that the identity operator in the Hilbert spaces of *R* is $I_R = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} |\tilde{n}\rangle\langle \tilde{n}|$, and the average particle number is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{split} f_{k-B} &= Tr\left[\hat{\rho}\left(a_{k}^{\dagger}a_{k}\otimes I_{R}\right)\right], \\ &= \sum_{l,n,m=0}^{+\infty} \left\langle l|\otimes \left\langle \tilde{l}\right| \left[\rho_{n}^{1/2}\rho_{m}^{1/2}|n\rangle\otimes |\tilde{n}\rangle\langle m|\otimes \left\langle \tilde{m}\right| \left(a_{k}^{\dagger}a_{k}\otimes I_{R}\right)\right]|l\rangle\otimes |\tilde{l}\rangle, \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \left(1-e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k}}\right)l\cdot e^{-\beta l\epsilon_{k}} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta\epsilon_{k}}-1}, \end{split}$$

which is the well-known Bose distribution.

It is important to note that for analytically solvable problems, the pure-state expectation value method does not inherently simplify thermodynamic calculations. However, for systems requiring approximate treatments, this formulation provides novel perspectives and techniques for studying finite-temperature phenomena through quantum field theory [1].

4 Conclusion

In this work, we construct a temperature-dependent pure state for the composite isolated system, comprising a thermodynamic system and its heat reservoir, grounded in the foundational principles of quantum mechanics. Our analysis demonstrates that this pure state aligns with the ETH. Specifically, the common eigenstates of a complete set of observables for the thermodynamic system—including the density matrix and Hamiltonian—appear in every product state of this pure state, and the same is true for analogous eigenstates of the heat reservoir. Notably, when the particle number operator commutes with the Hamiltonian, the component states within each product state of this temperaturedependent pure state correspond to Fock space basis vectors. This structure can significantly facilitate the calculation of thermodynamic quantities through the perturbative techniques in quantum field theory.

Based on the construction of the temperature-dependent pure state, we propose a physical interpretation of the "fictitious system" in TFD, identifying it as the heat reservoir coupled to the physical system. In an alternative real-time formalism of FTFT, the time-path method, the thermal Green's function adopts a 2×2 matrix structure. This doubling of the degrees of freedom originates from the forward and backward branches of the closed time path [27]. Since the doubled degrees of freedom introduced by the backward time path encode the statistical influence of the environment on the system and given the physical equivalence between the time-path method and TFD in stationary situations [28], we argue that these degrees of freedom should also be intrinsically linked to the heat reservoir. Should rigorous analysis confirm that these mathematically introduced doubled degrees of freedom can also correspond to the system's heat reservoir, the physical significance of the doubled degrees of freedom in both real-time formalisms (TFD and time-path) would achieve unified interpretation. Such unification would enable us to systematically explore the relationship between the physical system and the so-called "fictitious system," which may not exist or be meaningless in earlier formulations of real-time finite-temperature field theory.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

YG: writing – original draft. JZ: writing – original draft. DM: methodology and writing – review and editing. DW: writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Discipline Development Fund of Dalian Maritime University (Grant No. 36330626).

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Prof. Wu Biao for the valuable discussions, opinions, and suggestions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Kapusta JI, Gale C. *Finite-temperature field theory: principles and applications.* 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2023).

2. Landsman NP, van Weert CG. Real- and imaginary-time field theory at finite temperature and density. *Phys Rep* (1987) 145:141-249. doi:10.1016/0370-1573(87)90121-9

3. Kuwahara Y, Nakamura Y, Yamanaka Y. From classical mechanics with doubled degrees of freedom to quantum field theory for nonconservative systems. *Phys Lett A* (2013) 377:3102–5. doi:10.1016/j.physleta. 2013.10.001

4. Hashizume Y, Suzuki M. Understanding quantum entanglement by thermo field dynamics. *Physica A* (2013) 392:3518–30. doi:10.1016/j.physa. 2013.04.022

5. Morrison IA, Roberts MM. Mutual information between thermo-field doubles and disconnected holographic boundaries. J High Energ Phys (2013) 2013:81. doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2013)081

6. Gelin MF, Borrelli R. Thermal schrödinger equation: efficient tool for simulation of many-body quantum dynamics at finite temperature. *Ann Phys* (2017) 529:1700200. doi:10.1002/andp.201700200

7. Takahashi Y, Umezawa H. Thermo field dynamics. Int J Mod Phys B (1996) 10: 1755–1805. doi:10.1142/S0217979296000817

8. Gui YX. $\eta{-}\xi$ spacetime and thermo fields. Phys Rev D (1992) 46:1869–72. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.46.1869

9. Mi D, Song HS, An Y. A physical explanation for the tilde system in thermo field dynamics. *Mod Phys Lett A* (2001) 16:655–61. doi:10.1142/S0217732301003607

10. Alfinito E, Vitiello G. Double universe and the arrow of time. J Phys Conf Ser (2007) 67:012010. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/67/1/012010

11. Lundberg T, Pasechnik R. Thermal field theory in real-time formalism: concepts and applications for particle decays. J Phys Conf Ser (2021) 57:71. doi:10.1140/epja/s10050-020-00288-5

12. Toda M, Kubo R, Saito N. *Statistical physics I*. 2nd ed. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag (1995).

13. Huang KS. *Statistical mechanics.* 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons Inc (1987).

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. D'Alessio L, Kafri Y, Polkovnikov A, Rigol M. From quantum chaos and eigenstate thermalization to statistical mechanics and thermodynamics. *Adv Phys* (2016) 65:239–362. doi:10.1080/00018732.2016.1198134

15. Breuer HP, Petruccione F. *The theory of open quantum systems*. New York: Oxford University Press (2002).

16. Pathria RK, Beale PD. Statistical mechanics. 4th ed. London: Elsevier Press (2022).

17. Nielsen MA, Chuang IL. Quantum computation and quantum information. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2010).

18. Wu B. Everett's theory of the universal wave function. *Eur Phys J H* (2021) 46:7. doi:10.1140/epjh/s13129-021-00001-0

19. Deutsch JM. Quantum statistical mechanics in a closed system. *Phys Rev A* (1991) 43:2046–9. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.43.2046

20. Srednicki M. Chaos and quantum thermalization. *Phys Rev A* (1994) 50:888–901. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.50.888

21. Rigol M, Dunjko V, Olshanii M. Thermalization and its mechanism for generic isolated quantum systems. *Nature* (2008) 452:854–8. doi:10.1038/nature06838

22. Rigol M, Srednicki M. Alternatives to eigenstate thermalization. *Phys Rev Lett* (2012) 108:110601. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.110601

23. Nandkishore R, Huse DA. Many-body localization and thermalization in quantum statistical mechanics. *Annu Rev Condens Matter Phys* (2015) 6:15–38. doi:10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031214-014726

24. Kaufman AM, Tai ME, Lukin A, Rispoli M, Schittko R, Preiss PM, et al. Quantum thermalization through entanglement in an isolated many-body system. *Science* (2016) 353:794–800. doi:10.1126/science.aaf6725

25. Abanin DA, Altman E, Bloch I, Serbyn M. Colloquium: many-body localization, thermalization, and entanglement. *Rev Mod Phys* (2019) 91:021001. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.91.021001

26. Deutsch JM. Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis. *Rep Prog Phys* (2018) 81:082001. doi:10.1088/1361-6633/aac9f1

27. Niemi AJ, Semenoff GW. Finite-temperature quantum field theory in minkowski space. *Ann Phys* (1984) 152:105–29. doi:10.1016/0003-4916(84)90082-4

28. Chu H, Umezawa H. A unified formalism of thermal quantum field theory. *Int J Mod Phys A* (1994) 09:2363–409. doi:10.1142/S0217751X94000960