frontiers in Physiology

CORRECTION
published: 02 November 2018
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.01566

Corrigendum: Frequency-Specific Fractal Analysis of Postural Control Accounts for Control Strategies

Pierre Gilfriche[1,2]*, *Véronique Deschodt-Arsac*[2], *Estelle Blons*[2] and *Laurent M. Arsac*[2]

[1] CATIE - Centre Aquitain des Technologies de l'Information et Electroniques, Talence, France, [2] Univ. Bordeaux, CNRS, Laboratoire IMS, UMR 5218, Talence, France

Keywords: postural control, center-of-pressure, fractal physiology, DFA, FsFA, 1/f scaling

A Corrigendum on

Frequency-Specific Fractal Analysis of Postural Control Accounts for Control Strategies
by Gilfriche, P., Deschodt-Arsac, V., Blons, E., and Arsac, L. M. (2018) Front. Physiol. 9:293. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00293

In the original article, there was an error. In the Discussion, we analyze how the scaling exponents computed on the differentiated signal and the original signal can be used to describe the frequency content of the signal in a global model. When differentiating the signal, we supposed that the value of β increased by 2, however, as β is the opposite of the slope in the power spectral density, the value of β decreased by 2 when the signal is differentiated. Thus, the equations $\beta_1 = 2\times\alpha_1 + 1$ and $\beta_1 = (2\times\alpha_1 - 1) + 2$ are incorrect and should be replaced by: $\beta_1 = 2\times\alpha_1 - 3$ and $\beta_1 = (2\times\alpha_1 - 1) - 2$.

A correction has been made to Discussion, Interpretations and confrontation with other theories, paragraph two:

Indeed, Figure 7 shows that the whole frequency content of the CoP velocity signal could then be described by four parameters:

- P_{f0} (or AAMV)
- β_1, the opposite of the slope of the low-frequency antipersistent range, with

$$\beta_1 = 2 \times \alpha_1 - 3$$

- α_1 being here the frequency-specific fractal exponent associated with visuo-vestibular loops (low-frequencies) in CoP position. This result is obtained by the fact that β_1 is computed from the CoP velocity while α_1 is computed from CoP position, its integral, so more precisely: $\beta_1 = (2 \times \alpha_1 - 1) - 2$.
- β_2, the opposite of the slope of the high-frequency persistent range, with

$$\beta_2 = 2 \times \alpha_2 - 1$$

- α_2 being here the frequency-specific fractal exponent associated with proprioceptive loops (high-frequencies) in CoP velocity.
- f_0, the crossover frequency, which might be variable from one individual to another but is likely between 0.5 and 2 Hz according to the literature.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:
Zbigniew R. Struzik,
The University of Tokyo, Japan

***Correspondence:**
Pierre Gilfriche
pierre.gilfriche@u-bordeaux.fr

Specialty section:
This article was submitted to Fractal Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

Received: *14 August 2018*
Accepted: *18 October 2018*
Published: *02 November 2018*

Citation:
Gilfriche P, Deschodt-Arsac V, Blons E and Arsac LM (2018) Corrigendum: Frequency-Specific Fractal Analysis of Postural Control Accounts for Control Strategies. Front. Physiol. 9:1566. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.01566

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.