



Identification of Candidate Carboxylesterases Associated With Odorant Degradation in *Holotrichia parallela* Antennae Based on Transcriptome Analysis

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by: Joe Hull,

United States Department of Agriculture, United States

Reviewed by:

Jacob Corcoran, Biological Control of Insects Research Laboratory (USDA-ARS), United States William Benjamin Walker III, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA-ARS), United States

*Correspondence:

Jinghui Xi jhxi1965@jlu.edu.cn Jun Wang wang_jun@jlu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Invertebrate Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

Received: 28 February 2021 Accepted: 01 July 2021 Published: 10 September 2021

Citation:

Yi J, Wang S, Wang Z, Wang X, Li G, Zhang X, Pan Y, Zhao S, Zhang J, Zhou J-J, Wang J and Xi J (2021) Identification of Candidate Carboxylesterases Associated With Odorant Degradation in Holotrichia parallela Antennae Based on Transcriptome Analysis. Front. Physiol. 12:674023. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.674023 Jiankun Yi^{1,2†}, Shang Wang^{1†}, Zhun Wang^{1,3}, Xiao Wang¹, Gongfeng Li¹, Xinxin Zhang¹, Yu Pan¹, Shiwen Zhao¹, Juhong Zhang¹, Jing-Jiang Zhou^{1,4}, Jun Wang^{1*} and Jinghui Xi^{1*}

¹College of Plant Science, Jilin University, Changchun, China, ²School of Life Science, Huizhou University, Huizhou, China, ³Changchun Customs Technology Center, Changchun, China, ⁴Rothamsted Research, University of Hertfordshire, Harpenden, United Kingdom

Insects rely on their olfactory systems in antennae to recognize sex pheromones and plant volatiles in surrounding environments. Some carboxylesterases (CXEs) are odorant-degrading enzymes (ODEs), degrading odorant signals to protect the olfactory neurons against continuous excitation. However, there is no report about CXEs in *Holotrichia parallela*, one of the most major agricultural underground pests in China. In the present study, 20 candidate *CXEs* were identified based on transcriptome analysis of female and male antennae. Sequence alignments and phylogenetic analysis were performed to investigate the characterization of these candidate *CXEs*. The expression profiles of *CXEs* were compared by RT-qPCR analysis between olfactory and non-olfactory tissues of both genders. *HparCXE4*, *11*, *16*, *17*, *18*, *19*, and *20* were antenna-biased expressed genes, suggesting their possible roles as ODEs. *HparCXE6*, *10*, *11*, *13*, and *16* showed significantly higher expression profiles in male antennae, whereas *HparCXE18* was expressed more in female antennae. This study highlighted candidate CXE genes linked to odorant degradation in antennae, and provided a useful resource for further work on the *H. parallela* olfactory mechanism and selection of target genes for integrative control of *H. parallela*.

Keywords: Holotrichia parallela, antennal transcriptome, odorant-degrading enzyme, carboxylesterase, antenna-biased expression profile

INTRODUCTION

The insect olfactory system resides mainly in antennae and plays an integral role in mediating insect behaviors related to survival and reproduction, including locating host plants, mate partners, and oviposition sites (Younus et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017a). These complex olfactory behaviors rely on a series of proteins for transporting and recognizing odorant molecules, including binding proteins i.e., odorant-binding proteins (OBPs); chemosensory proteins (CSPs), chemoreceptors (i.e., olfactory receptors, ORs; ionotropic receptors, IRs), and sensory neuron membrane proteins (SNMPs; Zhou, 2010; Leal, 2013; Yi et al., 2018). However, when the

1

odorant molecules successfully activate receptors, they must be inactivated and removed rapidly by odorant-degrading enzymes (ODEs), allowing recovery of sensitivity of the olfactory system and starting the next new potential responses (Leal, 2013; Younus et al., 2014). So far, a variety of antennal-specific and-abundant ODEs have been functionally characterized, including carboxylesterases (CXEs), cytochrome P450s (CYPs), glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), UDP-glycosyltransferases (UGTs), and alcohol dehydrogenases (ADHs), etc. (Leal, 2013; Younus et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017a).

CXEs belong to the α/β -fold hydrolase superfamily and include proteins implicated in neuro/developmental functions and secreted catalytically active enzymes, suggesting that they have relatively specific functions in hormone and pheromone processing and intracellular enzymic activities (Younus et al., 2014). Most esterases implicated in insecticide detoxification and metabolic resistances are intracellular enzymes, with a few secreted enzymes (Claudianos et al., 2006). CXEs commonly contain a conserved catalytic triad (Ser-His-Glu) and specifically catalyze the hydrolysis of ester bonds in various substrates (Oakeshott et al., 2005). Many insect CXEs have been identified and functionally characterized for their involvement in sex pheromone and odorant degradation to date (Sun et al., 2017). The first pheromone-degrading enzyme ApolPDE, specifically distributed in the male antennae of the moth Antheraea polyphemus belongs to the insect CXE family (Vogt and Riddiford, 1981). ApolPDE was later cloned and functionally characterized, and was shown to degrade the sex pheromone E6Z11-16:Ac (Vogt and Riddiford, 1981; Ishida and Leal, 2005). In the Coleoptera Popilia japonica, male-specific antennal esterase PjapPDE could rapidly inactivate the sex pheromone (R)-japonilure (Ishida and Leal, 2008). In the cotton leafworm Spodoptera littoralis, two esterases SICXE7 and SICXE10 were found to be involved in ester odorant hydrolysis, not only for sex pheromone components, Z9E11-14:Ac and Z9E12-14:Ac but also for host plant volatile (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate (Durand et al., 2010a, 2011). Among the three antennae-enriched esterases from Spodoptera exigua, SexiCXE4 and SexiCXE14 displayed higher degradation activities not only for ester sex pheromones, but also for ester plant volatiles (He et al., 2014a,b), while SexiCXE10 preferred to hydrolyze plant volatiles (He et al., 2015).

Holotrichia parallela (Motschulsky; Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) is an economically important pest of many agricultural crops in China (Ju et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2017). Both adults and larvae could cause damage. The adults feed on the leaves, flowers, and fruits of crops, while the larvae attack the roots and other underground parts of crops, resulting in low-quality products and even plant death (Luo et al., 2009; Ju et al., 2012). Recent studies on the olfactory proteins of H. parallela have focused on the identification and functional characteristics of olfactory binding proteins (OBPs and CSPs; Ju et al., 2012, 2018; Fang et al., 2016), chemoreceptor proteins (ORs, IRs, and GRs; Yi et al., 2018), and microRNA (Wang et al., 2017), as well as electrophysiology and behavior bioassays (Zhou et al., 2009; Ju et al., 2017). In addition to the above studies, the morphology and distribution of antennal sensilla are also described by electron microscopy (Yi et al., 2019). Until now, very little is known about the antennal ODEs of H. parallela. The sex pheromone blend of H. parallela were also identified as two components (L)-isoleucine methyl ester and (R)-(-)linalool (Leal et al., 1992, 1993). The previous studies have shown that males and females of *H. parallela* exhibited behavioral preferences for sex pheromones (Zhou et al., 2009) and ester plant volatiles such as (E)-2-hexenyl acetate and (Z)-3-hexenyl acetate (Ju et al., 2017). Since the sex pheromones and many odorants attracting H. parallela are ester molecules, it is meaningful to investigate the role of CEXs in the process of odorant degradation, and to further explore the olfactory recognition mechanism of H. parallela. In fact, previous studies have showed that a lot of male antennae-specific or -enriched CEXs could degrade sex pheromone components (Vogt and Riddiford, 1981; Ishida and Leal, 2005; Chertemps et al., 2012) and/or odorants (He et al., 2014a,b, 2015).

Our objective in this study was to identify candidate CXEs related to odorant degradation using male and female antennal transcriptomes and explore their putative functions. The candidate *CXEs* were identified and phylogenetic characteristics were also analyzed. In addition, the tissue expression patterns of the identified *H. parallela CXEs* were investigated in olfactory tissues (antennae) and non-olfactory tissues (heads, thoraxes, abdomens, legs, and wings) and their potential functions were predicted and discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insect Rearing and Extraction of Total RNA

The insects *H. parallela* were obtained from the Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China. They were reared in plastic containers $(100 \times 50 \text{ cm})$ with damp soil (20% moisture) at 25°C, 80% RH, and on a 12-hL:12-hD photoperiod and supplied with fresh elm, *Ulmus parvifolia* Jacquin, leaves until RNA extraction (Yi et al., 2018). Various tissues, including antennae, heads, thoraxes, abdomens, legs, and wings, were dissected separately and immediately thrown in liquid nitrogen. These tissue samples were frozen at -80° C until use. Total RNAs of all tissues were extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The RNA integrity and purity were examined by 1.2% agarose electrophoresis and with a NanoDropTM spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States).

Construction of cDNA Library, Sequencing, and Assembly

Fifty male or female antennae were used for the RNA extraction and transcriptome analysis. The poly (A) mRNA was separated from $20 \,\mu g$ of total RNA and purified with Oligo d(T) magnetic beads, and fragmented into short fragments by fragmentation buffer. The first-strand of cDNA was synthesized using a random hexamer primer with these mRNA fragments as templates. Next, the second-strand of cDNA was synthesized by adding DNA polymerase I, dNTPs, and RNase H and purified with a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), resolved with EB (ethidium bromide) buffer for end reparation and single nucleotide A (adenine) addition to the 3' end of the cDNA. Next, the short fragments were connected with sequencing adapters. After that, fragment sizes were assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis, and the appropriate fragments were subjected to PCR amplification and sequencing (Illumina HiSeq[™] 2000, San Diego, CA, United States) by the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI) sequencing company (Shenzhen, China). After sequencing, image deconvolution and quality value calculations were performed using the Illumina GA pipeline 1.3 (Huang et al., 2012). Then, the low quality reads (with >50% of nucleotides for which the Phred Quality Score Q was less than or equal to 5) were filtered out to generate clean reads. Transcriptome de novo assembly was carried out with short reads assembling program - Trinity (version 20130225;1 Grabherr et al., 2011). Firstly, clean reads with a certain length of overlap were combined to form longer contiguous sequences (contigs). Then the clean reads were mapped back to contigs; with paired-end approaches it was able to detect contigs from the same transcript as well as the distances between these contigs. Next, Trinity connected the contigs, and sequences that could not be extended were obtained. These result sequences of Trinity were defined as 'unigenes' by the BGI Company (Shenzhen, China; Xiao et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2015).

Functional Annotation for Transcriptome Data

The unigenes were firstly aligned to protein databases using BLASTx, including those from the database of Nr, COG,² Swiss-Prot,³ and the KEGG⁴ with a cut-off E-value of $<10^{-5}$, and they were also aligned to the Nt database using BLASTn with a cut-off E-value of $<10^{-5}$. With Nr annotation, Blast2GO (v2.5.0) was used to obtain gene ontology (GO) annotation of the unigenes. After getting GO annotation for every unigene, Web Gene Ontology Annotation Plot software was used to complete GO functional classification for all unigenes. With the KEGG database, the metabolic pathway annotation for unigenes was also performed. The above detailed steps are similar to a previous study (Huang et al., 2012).

Identification of Candidate CXE Genes

Candidate CXE genes were chosen from the transcriptome data. Further, all candidate CXEs were manually checked by the BLASTx program at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). The open reading frames (ORFs) of candidate *CXE* genes were predicted using the ORFfinder program.⁵ Then, the theoretical pI and Mw of deduced CXE proteins were calculated using the ExPASy tool with average resolution.⁶ Next, the putative N-terminal signal peptides of

5https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/

deduced CXE proteins were predicted using the SignalP 5.0 server, and the organism group was set to Eukarya.⁷ Multiple sequence alignment of identified CXE sequences was generated using the online Clustal Omega program.⁸

Phylogenetic Analysis of Candidate CXE Genes

The amino acid sequences for constructing phylogenetic trees were obtained from Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, Hymenoptera, and Diptera species, including Tribolium castaneum (Tcas), Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Ldec), Bombyx mori (Bmor), A. polyphemus (Apol), S. littoralis (Slit), S. exigua (Sexi), Spodoptera litura (Slitu), Drosophila melanogaster (Dmel), Anopheles gambiae (Agam), and Apis mellifera (Amel). Their numbers from Genbank are accession listed in Supplementary Table 2. The amino acid sequences were aligned using ClustalX 2.0 software.9 The unrooted neighbor-joining (NJ) trees of candidate CXEs with full-length ORFs were constructed using the MEGA 7.0 software with the p-distance model.¹⁰ Gaps/missing data were treated as partial deletion with a site coverage cut-off=95%. Node support was assessed using a bootstrapping procedure based on 1,000 replicates. Some CXEs functionally characterized as ODEs or restrictively expressed in olfactory sensilla were marked with black dots in the phylogenetic trees from species A. polyphemus (Apol-PDE and Apol-ODE; Ishida and Leal, 2002, 2005), D. melanogaster (DmelEst6, DmelJHE and DmelJHEdup; Chertemps et al., 2012, Steiner et al., 2017, Hopkins et al., 2019), S. exigua (SexiCXE4, 10, 14; He et al., 2014a,b, 2015), S. littoralis (SlitCXE7, SlitCXE10 and Slit-EST; Durand et al., 2010a, 2011, Merlin et al., 2007), Sesamia nonagrioides (Snon-EST; Merlin et al., 2007), and P. japonica (Pjap-PDE; Ishida and Leal, 2008). The generated phylogenetic trees were colored and arranged using Figtree 1.42 software¹¹ (Yi et al., 2018). CXEs were mainly divided into secreted enzyme, intracellular enzyme, and neuro-signaling enzyme clades according to the classification system of CXEs described previously (Durand et al., 2010b).

Tissue Expression Profiles by RT-qPCR

Fifty male or female antennae and ten male or female heads, thoraxes, abdomens, legs, and wings were used as a biological sample for the RNA isolation and qPCR analysis. RT-qPCR was performed on a StepOne Plus Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States) using SYBR Premix ExTaq II (Tli RNaseH Plus; Takara, Dalian, China). Candidate GAPDH, actin, and 18 s rRNA were selected to evaluate the suitability as internal reference genes, and GAPDH had the most stable expression. So GAPDH was chosen as the reference gene for qPCR analysis. Primers of 20 *CXEs* and the reference gene (*GAPDH*) were designed using the Primer Premier 5 software, and are listed in

¹http://trinityrnaseq.github.io/

²http://clovr.org/docs/clusters-of-orthologous-groups-cogs/ ³http://www.ebi.ac.uk/uniprot

⁴http://www.genome.jp/kegg/

⁶http://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/

⁷http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/

⁸https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/

⁹http://www.clustal.org/clustal2/

¹⁰http://www.megasoftware.net/

¹¹http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/

Supplementary Table 3. The primer efficiencies of each gene were calculated and the primers with efficiency values ranging from 0.95 to 1.05 were selected for further experiments. RT-qPCR was performed under the following conditions: 30s at 95°C, 40 cycles of 5s at 95°C, 10s at 55°C, and 34s at 72°C. This program was followed by a melting temperature analysis: 95°C for 15s, 60°C for 1 min, increasing 0.3°C per min, and 95°C for 15s. Each reaction was run in triplicate from three biological replicates. The expression levels of these genes were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.

Statistic Analysis

Significant differences were analyzed by *t*-test (between sexes) and one-way ANOVA (between different tissues), followed by Tukey's HSD multiple comparisons test using SPSS software version 13.0. Expression profiles were created using the software Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, CA, United States; Yi et al., 2019). The significant differences between sexes were marked with asterisks (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01, NS, no differences). The expression levels among different tissues of each gender followed by the different lowercase or uppercase letters were significantly different.

RESULTS

Annotation Results of *H. parallela* Antennal Transcriptome

Using the Illumina HiSeq2000 sequencing platform, 34,706 unigenes in total were obtained in the antennal transcriptomes and analyzed by searching against Nr (NCBI non-redundant protein sequences), Nt (NCBI non-redundant nucleotide database), Swiss-Prot, KEGG, COG (Cluster of Orthologous Groups of proteins), and GO databases. As to the results, significant matches were found against 18,312 (52.76%) unigenes in the Nr database, 8,524 (24.56%) unigenes in the Nt database, 14,071 (40.54%) unigenes in the Swiss-Prot database, 6,879 (19.82%) unigenes in the KEGG database, 6,508 (18.75%) unigenes in the COG database, and 8,919 (25.70%) unigenes in the GO database. A total of 19,025 (54.82%) unigenes were successfully annotated in at least one database, while 15,681 (45.18%) unigenes had no matching sequences in any of these databases (Table 1). The sequencing data were available at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database with accession number SRP233063.

| TABLE 1 | Annotations | of H. parallela | unigenes in | public databases. |
|---------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|
|---------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|

| Protein database | Number of unigene hit | Percentage (%) |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Nr | 18,312 | 52.76 |
| Nt | 8,524 | 24.56 |
| Swiss-Prot | 14,071 | 40.54 |
| KEGG | 6,879 | 19.82 |
| COG | 6,508 | 18.75 |
| GO | 8,919 | 25.70 |
| Total | 19,025 | 54.82 |

Identification of Candidate CXEs

In order to explore the role of CXEs in the process of odorant signal inactivation, it is necessary to identify candidate CXEs related to odorant degradation by blasting against the Nr database. A total of 20 candidate CXEs were identified with complete ORFs. The length of deduced HparCXEs was between 535 and 854 amino acid residues (Table 2). The predicted theoretical isoelectric point (pI) of HparCXEs was from 4.29 to 9.09 with the predicted molecular weight (Mw) of 60.6 kDa to 98.4 kDa. Furthermore, all HparCXEs except for HparCXE1, 11, and 16 were predicted to have the putative N-terminal signal peptide (SP), indicating that they could be secretory proteins. Multiple sequence alignments of candidate HparCXEs showed that they had the conserved pentapeptide motif of insect CXEs, Gly-X-Ser-X-Gly ("X" represents any residue) of typical CXE proteins except for HparCXE5, 9, and 20 (Figure 1). In addition, conserved oxyanion hole-forming residues (Gly, Gly, and Ala) were also found, which were thought to stabilize the transition states of the hydrolysis reaction (Durand et al., 2010b). The oxyanion hole-forming residues of four HparCXEs (HparCXE2, 3, 7, and 15) and nine HparCXEs (HparCXE1, 4, 5, 8, 11, 14, 16, 17, and 18) consisted of "G-G-A" and "G-G-G," respectively (Figure 1). Additionally, the HparCXEs possessed the three conserved catalytic residues: serine (S), glutamate (E), and histidine (H), except HparCXE9 and 20 (lacking S), HparCXE7 (lacking E) and 19 (lacking E and H).

Phylogenetic Analysis of Candidate CXEs

In order to identify the phylogenetic relationships between CXEs of *H. parallela* and those of other insect species, the NJ tree of H. parallela CXEs was constructed together with CXEs from several Coleoptera, Lepidoptera, and Diptera species (Figure 2). The phylogenetic analysis grouped all 20 HparCXEs into three families: the secreted enzyme family (clade II, III, V-VII: 8 HparCXEs), the intracellular enzyme family (clade I, IV: 10 HparCXEs), and the catalytically inactive, neurosignaling family (VIII-XI: 2 HparCXEs). HparCXE1, 7, 8, 11, and 12 were clustered into the α -esterase clade in the intracellular enzyme family, well known for their involvement in detoxification of insecticide/xenobiotics and digestion of food esters (Durand et al., 2010b). This clade included some functionally characterized ODEs, such as SlitCXE10 from S. littoralis (Durand et al., 2010a) and SexiCXE10 from S. exigua (He et al., 2015). HparCXE13 shared a close relationship with juvenile hormone esterases (JHEs) of other species in the secreted enzyme family clade, with more than 90% bootstrapping support with JHEs of other species. In this clade, DmelJHE and DmelJHEdup have been functionally characterized and have high degrading activities against ester plant volatiles (Steiner et al., 2017; Hopkins et al., 2019). HparCXE6, 9, and 10 were clustered into the cuticular/antennal esterase clade in the secreted enzyme family. HparCXE2, 4, 15, and 17 were clustered into the β and pheromone esterase clade in the secreted enzyme family, together with three functionally characterized ODEs, Apol-PDE (Ishida and Leal, 2005), Pjap-PDE (Ishida and Leal, 2008), and DmelEst6 (Chertemps et al., 2012). HparCXE3, 5, 14, 16,

| Gene name | Access number | ORF (aa) | Mw(kDa) | PI | SP | Female FPKM | Male FPKM |
|-----------|---------------|----------|---------|------|-----|-------------|-----------|
| HparCXE1 | MN256341 | 554 | 61.82 | 4.29 | No | 7.9681 | 11.4349 |
| HparCXE2 | KY849880 | 548 | 61.27 | 5.75 | Yes | 149.4849 | 182.5306 |
| HparCXE3 | MN256342 | 548 | 62.06 | 6.15 | Yes | 65.5346 | 60.5936 |
| HparCXE4 | KY849884 | 548 | 61.21 | 5.23 | Yes | 257.6295 | 272.8335 |
| HparCXE5 | MN256343 | 556 | 62.33 | 6.54 | Yes | 1141.8355 | 1387.434 |
| HparCXE6 | MN256344 | 558 | 62.88 | 6.37 | Yes | 11.5945 | 11.6575 |
| HparCXE7 | MN256345 | 540 | 60.85 | 5.18 | Yes | 14.7613 | 13.5931 |
| HparCXE8 | MK863374 | 562 | 63.05 | 5.48 | Yes | 10.6951 | 3.9505 |
| HparCXE9 | MN256346 | 571 | 64.12 | 6.05 | Yes | 63.3226 | 68.1454 |
| HparCXE10 | MN256347 | 560 | 63.79 | 6.68 | Yes | 78.2333 | 102.1316 |
| HparCXE11 | MN256348 | 535 | 60.58 | 6.15 | No | 29.0704 | 40.4632 |
| HparCXE12 | MN256349 | 547 | 61.12 | 4.87 | Yes | 61.6074 | 78.2758 |
| HparCXE13 | MK863373 | 606 | 68.87 | 6.52 | Yes | 3.9849 | 16.0031 |
| HparCXE14 | MN256350 | 568 | 64.33 | 7.05 | Yes | 5.1524 | 4.14 |
| HparCXE15 | MN256351 | 568 | 63.89 | 5.84 | Yes | 25.9026 | 25.7384 |
| HparCXE16 | MN256352 | 555 | 62.74 | 5.28 | No | 47.4856 | 49.5223 |
| HparCXE17 | KY849897 | 547 | 60.75 | 5.32 | Yes | 29.709 | 21.3717 |
| HparCXE18 | MN256353 | 574 | 64.65 | 9.09 | No | 2935.9639 | 2625.5904 |
| HparCXE19 | MN256354 | 604 | 69.34 | 8.87 | Yes | 76.4188 | 57.648 |
| HparCXE20 | MN256355 | 854 | 98.36 | 6.32 | Yes | 6.4155 | 3.6196 |

| | Oxyanion hole residues | GxSxG motif | Glutamate residue (E) | Histidine residue (H) | |
|-----------|--|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| HparCXE20 | ···VMVYIH <mark>GG</mark> FVR···TI | lf <mark>g</mark> pg <mark>ag</mark> aasagl | •••SVTMQ <mark>E</mark> -AANF• | • • WRRVP <mark>H</mark> NTEHYL • | |
| HparCXE19 | ···VVIFFE <mark>G</mark> IEFSR···NI | ly <mark>gys</mark> s <mark>g</mark> aasavl | ···GISKVIVDFQ-· | · ·SSDAFLTSDMLL · | |
| SexiCXE14 | ···VVVYVH <mark>GGA</mark> FIM···TI | II <mark>GYSAG</mark> SASVDL | ···GFAEM <mark>E</mark> -GLLR · | ••IRGAN <mark>H</mark> CAQSMA• | |
| HparCXE2 | ···VIVHLH <mark>GGA</mark> FMV···TI | rs <mark>glsag</mark> gasvhl | ···SMTTK <mark>E</mark> -GTIP· | · · DFGPG <mark>H</mark> GTDGKF · | |
| HparCXE15 | ···VIVHVH <mark>GGA</mark> FMF···TI | LT <mark>GLSAG</mark> GASVHL | ···SSTAE <mark>E</mark> -GLYP· | • • RDGVS <mark>H</mark> GDDVMY • | |
| Pjap-PDE | ···VILHIH <mark>GGA</mark> FML···TI | LT <mark>GMSAG</mark> GASVHY | ···SVTER <mark>E</mark> -GIFP· | ••DLGTS <mark>H</mark> ADDILY• | |
| HparCXE4 | ···VIVHIH <mark>GGG</mark> FAM···TI | et <mark>gmsag</mark> sasvhl | •••SVTER <mark>E</mark> -GLFM• | · · HTVAT <mark>H</mark> GDDCQY · | |
| HparCXE17 | ···VIVHIH <mark>GGG</mark> FSM···TI | et <mark>gvsag</mark> gssvhl | •••SVVGR <mark>E</mark> -GLIA• | • • SSDVA <mark>H</mark> GDDIQY • | |
| HparCXE3 | ···VIIWLP <mark>GGA</mark> FIF···TI | IM <mark>gqsag</mark> avsasy | •••GLNSM <mark>E</mark> -GLYM• | • • MRGVG <mark>H</mark> GEEVEY • | |
| HparCXE14 | · · · · VMAFVY <mark>GGG</mark> FKF · · · TI | lf <mark>gesag</mark> aasisy | •••GFNSL <mark>E</mark> -GAVF• | · ·VNGVA <mark>H</mark> SEELWY · | |
| HparCXE18 | ···VMVWIY <mark>GGG</mark> FIT···TI | ef <mark>gesag</mark> gasvsl | •••GYTSL <mark>E</mark> -AALL• | • • AKGAA <mark>H</mark> ADELPY • | |
| HparCXE5 | ···VMVWIY <mark>GGG</mark> FFE···TI | if <mark>sesag</mark> avatsi | ···GFTSL <mark>E</mark> -TVDY· | • • NWGVG <mark>H</mark> GEELNY • | |
| HparCXE16 | ···VMVWIH <mark>GGG</mark> FYE···TI | IF <mark>GESAG</mark> GASVSF | ···GFNSM <mark>E</mark> -AVDF · | • • NTGVG <mark>H</mark> AEDLNY • | |
| HparCXE13 | ···VLVFIHY <mark>GA</mark> FIA···TI | la <mark>gqsag</mark> agsvhh | ···GVVSN <mark>E</mark> -GILR· | · · EWGVS <mark>H</mark> CDDLLY · | |
| HparCXE9 | ···VMVFLHH <mark>G</mark> DFYS···TI | IA <mark>G</mark> YCS <mark>G</mark> GASVSL | · · · GMTAD <mark>E</mark> FGGR- · | • • PYGAS <mark>H</mark> IDDLIY • | |
| HparCXE6 | ···VMVFFHP <mark>GG</mark> FYE···TI | ef <mark>gysag</mark> glsvta | ···GITTD <mark>E</mark> FGSR-· | · · PYGVS <mark>H</mark> HDDLLY · | |
| HparCXE10 | · · · VMVFFH <mark>PGG</mark> FYG · · · TI | LS <mark>GHSAG</mark> SWSTIL | ···GLTEI <mark>E</mark> FGFV-· | • • TAGAS <mark>H</mark> HDELMY • | |
| HparCXE11 | ···VMFWIH <mark>GGG</mark> FTS···TI | IF <mark>GESAG</mark> GASVHF | ···GYTSR <mark>E</mark> -GML-· | · · APGAC <mark>H</mark> ADELGY · | •• |
| HparCXE8 | ···VMVYIH <mark>GGG</mark> FAE···TI | e f <mark>gqsag</mark> gasvhm | ···GYTDA <mark>E</mark> -AII-· | • • YAGAS <mark>H</mark> IDELGY • | |
| HparCXE1 | ···VMVWIH <mark>GGG</mark> FVS···TI | IF <mark>GESAG</mark> AMSVHL | ···GITDA <mark>E</mark> -GLL-· | • • FPGAA <mark>H</mark> GDELGY • | |
| HparCXE7 | ···VMVYIY <mark>GGA</mark> FMA···LI | lf <mark>ghsag</mark> amsvhl | ···GFTDAD-GLV-· | · · YQGVG <mark>H</mark> GADVNY · | |
| HparCXE12 | · · · · VMINLFA <mark>GA</mark> HIA · · · LI | II <mark>GNSAG</mark> ARSIHL | ···GHNDAE-GLM-· | · · HPGSTHGDEILY · | |

FIGURE 1 | Alignments of amino acid sequences of carboxylesterases (CXEs) from *Holotrichia parallela* and other insect species. The reference amino acid sequences are from *S. exigua* (Sexi) and *Popilia japonica* (Pjap). Amino acids not shown here are represented by three sequential dots. The Gly-X-Ser-X-Gly motif and the oxyanion hole-forming residues (Gly, Gly Ala) are boxed.



and 18 belonged to coleopteran xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes in the intracellular enzyme family. Only HparCXE19 and HparCXE20 were clustered into the neuro-signaling enzyme family (**Figure 2**).

Tissue Expression Analysis for CXEs

Tissue expression profiles of all 20 *CXEs* were determined by RT-qPCR analysis (**Figure 3**). Five putative *HparCXEs* (*HparCXE6*, *10*, *11*, *13*, and *16*) were significantly expressed higher in the male antennae than in the female antennae, whereas only one *HparCXE* (*HparCXE18*) showed significantly higher expression in the female antennae than in the male antennae. *HparCXE4*, *11*, *16*, *17*, *18*, *19*, and *20* were expressed higher in both male and female antennae than in other tissues, whereas other *HparCXEs* were widely distributed in heads, thoraxes, abdomens, legs, and wings. *HparCXE5*, *6*, *14*, and

15 were expressed at a higher level in legs than in other tissues in both sexes. *HparCXE1* and *HparCXE12* had higher expression levels in both female and male wings and legs than in other tissues. *HparCXE9* was significantly expressed in both male and female heads.

DISCUSSION

H. parallela is one of the most important underground pests, and can eat leaves of crops by adults and roots by larvae, resulting in substantial yield losses (Ju et al., 2014; Yi et al., 2018). Chemical pesticides have always been the main control measure, but their use leads to the emergence of pest resistance (Wang et al., 2017). Olfaction is the main way of chemical communication between insects and the surrounding



differences among different male and female tissues, respectively, using one-way ANOVA.

environment (Leal, 2013). Identification of ODEs involved in the termination of odorant signals will help us further explore the olfaction mechanism of H. *parallela* and provide target genes for integrative control of H. *parallela*.

This study identified for the first time 20 antennal CXEs. Bioinformatics analysis showed they are members of the alpha/ beta hydrolase fold esterase family (Huang et al., 2016). Previous studies have suggested that similar to CXEs of almost insects, HparCXEs also have three conserved motifs (**Figure 1**): GXSXG, E, and H residues (in the GxxHxxD/E motif; Durand et al., 2010a). The S (in GXSXG), E, and H (in GxxHxxD/E) residues are the most conserved because they collectively comprise the catalytic triad (Zhu et al., 2017). As stated in previous studies, CXEs of some moths with the same conserved residues had the function of degrading odorants (Zhang et al., 2017b).

In the phylogenetic analysis, HparCXE2, 4, 15, and 17 were strongly associated with the β and pheromone esterases clade (**Figure 2**) in which some have been confirmed to degrade pheromones and/or plant volatiles (like Apol-PDE for the acetate sex pheromone E6Z11-16:Ac and Pjap-PDE for the female sex pheromone (R)-japonilure; Ishida and Leal, 2005, 2008). This clade also contained DmelEst6, a male antennae-enriched CEX from *D. melanogaster* (Diptera), which has a high degradation activity against the female sex pheromone cis-vaccenyl acetate (Chertemps et al., 2012). HparCXE13 was in the clade of JHEs, required for JH degradation, and pheromone or food ester

degradation (Oakeshott et al., 2005; Durand et al., 2010b). In this same clade, DmelJHE in D. melanogaster shows higher activity with methyl decanoate and some other esters, like propyl propionate and octyl butyrate (Hopkins et al., 2019). Additionally, DmelJHEdup was shown to be an active antennal ODE against certain food acetates, including isoamyl acetate, ethyl butyrate, and ethyl propionate by physiological and behavioral experiments (Steiner et al., 2017). These results suggest that HparCXE13 may participate in ester odorant inactivation like DmelJHE and DmelJHEdup. In addition, HparCXE1, 7, 8, 11, and 12 were grouped in the α -esterase clade. In this clade, SlitCXE10 in S. littoralis was highly active to plant volatiles and two sex pheromone components (Z9E11-14:Ac and Z9E12-14:Ac; Durand et al., 2010b). SexiCXE10 in S. exigua had high activity specifically for ester plant volatiles with 7-10 carbon atoms, but no activity for sex pheromone components (He et al., 2015). Therefore, HparCXE1, 7, 8, 11, and 12 were strongly suggested as putative ODEs, and may play important roles in the detection of ester host plants and/ or ester sex pheromone components of H. parallela. Taken together, the phylogenetic analysis showed that different HparCXEs could be involved in the different degradation process of sex pheromones, host plant volatiles, and/or other xenobiotics.

The RT-qPCR experiments were performed in order to identify the antennal-specific or antennal-biased CXEs that may be involved in odorant degradation in the antennae (Figure 3). HparCXE4, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20 were expressed more in antennae than in other non-olfactory tissues. Just like *jhedup*, a CEX in Drosophila, which detected food odorants and showed a predominant expression in the antennae rather than other body parts, like legs (Steiner et al., 2017). These antennae-biased expression profiles in H. parallela antennae suggested that these seven HparCXEs could play important roles in olfaction of H. parallela (Huang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017a). HparCXE18 presented higher expression levels in female antennae, whereas HparCXE6, 10, 11, 13, and 16 showed significantly higher expressions in male antennae, suggesting that the former were more related to the degradation of host plant volatiles, while the latter were more likely to participate in the degradation of sex pheromones (Yi et al., 2018). Previous studies have reported that some male antennae-specific or -enriched CEXs were shown to participate in the termination of female sex pheromones, like Esterase-6 in Drosophila melanogaster (Diptera; Chertemps et al., 2012) and ApolPDE in A. polyphemus (Lepidoptera; Vogt and Riddiford, 1981; Ishida and Leal, 2005). HparCXE6, 10, 12, and 15 were expressed more in legs or wings than in antennae (Figure 3), suggesting that they might not perform as ODEs, but might be involved in other physiological processes (He et al., 2014a, Zhang et al., 2017b).

REFERENCES

Chertemps, T., Francois, A., Durand, N., Rosell, G., Dekker, T., Lucas, P., et al. (2012). A carboxylesterase, Esterase-6, modulates sensory physiological and behavioural response dynamics to pheromone in *drosophila*. *BMC Biol*. 10:56. doi: 10.1186/1741-7007-10-56 The results presented here provided candidate CXEs related to odorant degradation. They need further functional verification to characterize the respective physiological roles of CXEs between sexes and in olfactory tissues. This study will provide insights in understanding the olfactory mechanism of *H. parallela* antennae and candidate target genes for integrative control of *H. parallela*.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/, PRJNA591176.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JX, JW, and JZ designed the experiment. JY performed the transcriptome experiments, organized data, and wrote this manuscript. SW performed the sequence alignments and RT-qPCR experiments. GL and ZW operated these instruments and participated in the statistical analysis. XW, XZ, YP, and SZ reared the insects and prepared the experimental samples. JJZ discussed and corrected the final manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the project of disciplinary crossing and integration from Jilin University (JLUXKJC2020107) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant no. 2018YFD0201000 and 2017YFD0200600), and was also supported by the Professorial and Doctoral Scientific Research Foundation of Huizhou University (2020JB069).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We appreciate JJ Scientific Consultant Ltd. for editing and proofreading.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2021.674023/ full#supplementary-material

- Claudianos, C., Ranson, H., Johnson, R. M., Biswas, S., Schuler, M. A., Berenbaum, M. R., et al. (2006). A deficit of detoxification enzymes: pesticide sensitivity and environmental response in the honeybee. *Insect Mol. Boil.* 15, 615–636. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2006.00672.x
- Durand, N., Carot-Sans, G., Bozzolan, F., Rosell, G., Siaussat, D., Debernard, S., et al. (2011). Degradation of pheromone and plant volatile components by

a same odorant-degrading enzyme in the cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis. PLoS One* 6:e29147. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029147

- Durand, N., Carot-Sans, G., Chertemps, T., Bozzolan, F., Party, V., Renou, M., et al. (2010a). Characterization of an antennal carboxylesterase from the pest moth *Spodoptera littoralis* degrading a host plant odorant. *PLoS One* 5:e15026. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0015026
- Durand, N., Carot-Sans, G., Chertemps, T., Montagné, N., Jacquin-Joly, E., Debernard, S., et al. (2010b). A diversity of putative carboxylesterases are expressed in the antennae of the noctuid moth *Spodoptera littoralis*. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 19, 87–97. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2009.00939.x
- Fang, C. C., Zhang, X. X., Liu, D. D., Li, K. B., Zhang, S., Cao, Y. Z., et al. (2016). Cloning and functional analysis of an odorant-binding protein HparOBP15a gene from *Holotrichia parallela* (Coleoptera: Melolonthidae). *Acta Entomol. Sin.* 59, 260–268. doi: 10.16380/j.kcxb.2016.03.002
- Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I., et al. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29, 644–652. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1883
- He, P., Zhang, Y. N., Li, Z. Q., Yang, K., Zhu, J. Y., Liu, S. J., et al. (2014a). An antennae nriched carboxylesterase from *Spodoptera exigua* displays degradation activity in both plant volatiles and female sex pheromones. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 23, 475–486. doi: 10.1111/imb.12095
- He, P., Zhang, J., Li, Z. Q., Zhang, Y. N., Yang, K., and Dong, S. L. (2014b). Functional characterization of an antennal esterase from the noctuid moth, *Spodoptera exigua. Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* 86, 85–99. doi: 10.1002/arch.21164
- He, P., Zhang, Y. N., Yang, K., Li, Z. Q., and Dong, S. L. (2015). An antennabiased carboxylesterase is specifically active to plant volatiles in *Spodoptera exigua*. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol*. 123, 93–100. doi: 10.1016/j.pestbp.2015.03.009
- Hopkins, D. H., Rane, R. V., Younus, F., Coppin, C. W., Pandey, G., Jackson, C. J., et al. (2019). The molecular basis for the neofunctionalization of the juvenile hormone esterase duplication in drosophila. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 106, 10–18. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2019.01.001
- Huang, X., Liu, L., Su, X., and Feng, J. (2016). Identification of biotransformation enzymes in the antennae of codling moth *Cydia pomonella*. *Gene* 580, 73–79. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2016.01.008
- Huang, Q., Sun, P., Zhou, X., and Lei, C. (2012). Characterization of head transcriptome and analysis of gene expression involved in caste differentiation and aggression in *Odontotermes formosanus* (Shiraki). *PLoS One* 7:e50383. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050383
- Ishida, Y., and Leal, W. S. (2002). Cloning of putative odorant degrading enzyme and integumental esterase cDNAs from the wild silkmoth, Antheraea polyphemus. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 32, 1775–1780. doi: 10.1016/ S0965-1748(02)00136-4
- Ishida, Y., and Leal, W. S. (2005). Rapid inactivation of a moth pheromone. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 102, 14075–14079. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0505340102
- Ishida, Y., and Leal, W. S. (2008). Chiral discrimination of the Japanese beetle sex pheromone and a behavioral antagonist by a pheromone-degrading enzyme. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105, 9076–9080. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0802610105
- Ju, Q., Guo, X. Q., Li, X., Jian, G. X. G., Ni, W. L., and Qu, M. J. (2017). Plant volatiles increase sex pheromone attraction of *Holotrichia parallela* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeoidea). *J. Chem. Ecol.* 43, 236–242. doi: 10.1007/s10886-017-0823-2
- Ju, Q., Li, X., Guo, X. Q., Du, L., Shi, C. R., and Qu, M. J. (2018). Two odorant-binding proteins of the dark black chafer (*Holotrichia parallela*) display preferential binding to biologically active host plant volatiles. *Front. Physiol.* 9:769. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00769
- Ju, Q., Li, X., Jiang, X. J., Qu, M. J., Guo, X. Q., Han, Z. J., et al. (2014). Transcriptome and tissue-specific expression analysis of OBP and CSP genes in the dark black chafer. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* 87, 177–200. doi: 10.1002/arch.21188
- Ju, Q., Qu, M. J., Wang, Y., Jiang, X. J., Li, X., Dong, S. L., et al. (2012). Molecular and biochemical characterization of two odorant-binding proteins from dark black chafer, *Holotrichia parallela*. *Genome* 55, 537–546. doi: 10.1139/g2012-042
- Leal, W. S. (2013). Odorant reception in insects: roles of receptors, binding proteins, and degrading enzymes. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 58, 373–391. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153635
- Leal, W. S., Matsuyama, S., Kuwahara, Y., Wakamura, S., and Hasegawa, M. (1992). An amino acid derivative as the sex pheromone of a scarab beetle. *Naturwissenschaften* 79, 184–185. doi: 10.1007/BF01134440

- Leal, W. S., Sawada, M., Matsuyama, S., Kuwahara, Y., and Hasegawa, M. (1993). Unusual periodicity of sex pheromone production in the large black chafer *Holotrichia parallela. J. Chem. Ecol.* 7, 1381–1391. doi: 10.1007/BF009 84883
- Luo, Z. X., Li, K. B., Cao, Y. Z., Yin, J., Zhang, J., Zhang, J. T., et al. (2009). Investigations on soil-inhabiting pests in peanut fields in Henan. *Plant Prot.* 35, 104–108. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.0529-1542.2009.02.025
- Merlin, C., Rosell, G., Carot-Sans, G., François, M. C., Bozzolan, F., Pelletier, J., et al. (2007). Antennal esterase cDNAs from two pest moths, *Spodoptera littoralis* and *Sesamia nonagrioides*, potentially involved in odourant degradation. *Insect Mol. Biol.* 16, 73–81. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2006. 00702.x
- Oakeshott, J. G., Claudianos, C., Campbell, P. M., Newcomb, R. D., and Russell, R. J. (2005). "Biochemical genetics and genomics of insect esterases," in *Comprehensive Molecular Insect Science*. eds. L. I. Gilbert, K. Iatrou and S. S. Gill (London: Elsevier), 309–381.
- Steiner, C., Bozzolan, F., Montagné, N., Maïbèche, M., and Chertemps, T. (2017). Neofunctionalization of "juvenile hormone esterase duplication" in drosophila as an odorant-degrading enzyme towards food odorants. *Sci. Rep.* 7:12629. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-13015-w
- Sun, L., Wang, Q., Wang, Q., Zhang, Y., Tang, M., Guo, H., et al. (2017). Identification and expression patterns of putative diversified carboxylesterases in the tea geometrid *Ectropis obliqua* Prout. *Front. Physiol.* 8:1085. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.01085
- Vogt, R. G., and Riddiford, L. M. (1981). Pheromone binding and inactivation by moth antennae. *Nature* 293, 161–163. doi: 10.1038/293161a0
- Wang, S., Yi, J. K., Yang, S., Liu, Y., Zhang, J. H., and Xi, J. H. (2017). Identification and characterization of microRNAs expressed in antennae of *Holotrichia parallela* Motschulsky and their possible roles in olfactory regulation. Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol. 94:e21369. doi: 10.1002/arch. 21369
- Xiao, X., Ma, J., Sun, Y., and Yao, Y. (2015). A method for the further assembly of targeted unigenes in a transcriptome after assembly by trinity. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:843. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00843
- Xie, Q., Niu, J., Xu, X., Xu, L., Zhang, Y., Fan, B., et al. (2015). *De novo* assembly of the Japanese lawngrass (Zoysia japonica Steud.) root transcriptome and identification of candidate unigenes related to early responses under salt stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6:610. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.00610
- Yi, J. K., Yang, S., Wang, S., Wang, J., Zhang, X. X., Liu, Y., et al. (2018). Identification of candidate chemosensory receptors in the antennal transcriptome of the large black chafer *Holotrichia parallela* Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. D.* 28, 63–71. doi: 10.1016/j.cbd.2018.06.005
- Yi, J., Zhang, X., Pan, Y., Wang, X., Wang, S., Yang, S., et al. (2019). Antennal morphology and ultrastructure of *Holotrichia parallela* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *J. Entomol. Sci.* 54, 378–389. doi: 10.18474/JES 18-101
- Younus, F., Chertemps, T., Pearce, S. L., Pandey, G., Bozzolan, F., Coppin, C. W., et al. (2014). Identification of candidate odorant degrading gene/enzyme systems in the antennal transcriptome of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 53, 30–43. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.07.003
- Zhang, Y. N., Li, Z. Q., Zhu, X. Y., Qian, J. L., Dong, Z. P., Xu, L., et al. (2017b). Identification and tissue distribution of carboxylesterase (CXE) genes in, *Athetis lepigone*, (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) by RNA-seq. J. Asia Pac. Entomol. 20, 1150–1155. doi: 10.1016/j.aspen.2017.08.016
- Zhang, Y. X., Wang, W. L., Li, M. Y., Li, S. G., and Liu, S. (2017a). Identification of putative carboxylesterase and aldehyde oxidase genes from the antennae of the rice leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis*, (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *J. Asia Pac. Entomol.* 20, 907–913. doi: 10.1016/j.aspen.2017. 06.001
- Zhou, J. J. (2010). Odorant-binding proteins in insects. Vitam. Horm. 83, 241–272. doi: 10.1016/S0083-6729(10)83010-9
- Zhou, L. M., Ju, Q., Qu, M. J., Zhao, Z. Q., Dong, S. L., Han, Z. J., et al. (2009). EAG and behavioral responses of the large black chafer, *Holotrichia parallela* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) to its sex pheromone. *Acta Entomol. Sin.* 52, 121–125. doi: 10.3321/j.issn:0454-6296.2009.02.001
- Zhu, L., Yin, T. Y., Sun, D., Liu, W., Zhu, F., Lei, C. L., et al. (2017). Juvenile hormone regulates the differential expression of putative, juvenile hormone esterases, *via*, methoprene-tolerant, in non-diapause-destined and diapause-

destined adult female beetle. Gene 627, 373-378. doi: 10.1016/j.gene. 2017.06.061

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may

be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Yi, Wang, Wang, Wang, Li, Zhang, Pan, Zhao, Zhang, Zhou, Wang and Xi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.