



Rhythmic Clock Gene Expression in Atlantic Salmon Parr Brain

Charlotte M. Bolton¹, Michaël Bekaert^{1*}, Mariann Eilertsen², Jon Vidar Helvik² and Herve Migaud¹

¹ Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling, United Kingdom, ² Department of Biological Sciences, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

To better understand the complexity of clock genes in salmonids, a taxon with an additional whole genome duplication, an analysis was performed to identify and classify gene family members (*clock*, *arntl*, *period*, *cryptochrome*, *nr1d*, *ror*, and *csnk1*). The majority of clock genes, in zebrafish and Northern pike, appeared to be duplicated. In comparison to the 29 clock genes described in zebrafish, 48 clock genes were discovered in salmonid species. There was also evidence of species-specific reciprocal gene losses conserved to the *Oncorhynchus* sister clade. From the six period genes identified three were highly significantly rhythmic, and circadian in their expression patterns (*per1a.1*, *per1a.2*, *per1b*) and two was significantly rhythmically expressed (*per2a*, *per2b*). The transcriptomic study of juvenile Atlantic salmon (parr) brain tissues confirmed gene identification and revealed that there were 2,864 rhythmically expressed genes ($p < 0.001$), including 1,215 genes with a circadian expression pattern, of which 11 were clock genes. The majority of circadian expressed genes peaked 2 h before and after daylight. These findings provide a foundation for further research into the function of clock genes circadian rhythmicity and the role of an enriched number of clock genes relating to seasonal driven life history in salmonids.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jose Fernando Lopez-Olmeda,
University of Murcia, Spain

Reviewed by:

Leonardo V. M. De Assis,
University of Lübeck, Germany
Ayelén Melisa Blanco,
University of Vigo, Spain

*Correspondence:

Michaël Bekaert
michael.bekaert@stir.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Chronobiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Physiology

Received: 19 August 2021

Accepted: 09 November 2021

Published: 02 December 2021

Citation:

Bolton CM, Bekaert M, Eilertsen M,
Helvik JV and Migaud H (2021)
Rhythmic Clock Gene Expression in
Atlantic Salmon Parr Brain.
Front. Physiol. 12:761109.
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.761109

Keywords: clock genes, ohnologs, circadian, whole-genome duplication, rhythmic expression

1. INTRODUCTION

The importance of biological time keeping is apparent across all organisms, from bacteria to humans (Wulund and Reddy, 2015). These time-dependent adaptations can last seconds or minutes and recur throughout the day (ultradian) or endure days or months (infradian). They are an evolutionary trait which enables all living organisms to maximise fitness in anticipation of endogenous (molecular and cellular) and external stimuli or zeitgebers (Andreani et al., 2015; Sánchez-Vázquez et al., 2021). Circadian rhythms are endogenous oscillatory expression of genes with a periodicity of approximately 24-h. These rhythms are the expression of endogenous clocks which synchronises biochemical, physiological, and behavioural responses enabling organisms to respond to diel environmental changes (Li et al., 2015). The transcription of 43% of all protein-coding genes in mice displayed circadian rhythms across numerous organs (Zhang et al., 2014). Clock genes are of particular interest in salmonids due to their association with physiological traits such as reproduction migration and smoltification (Leder et al., 2006; O'Malley et al., 2007, 2010; Paibomesai et al., 2010). Allelic diversity and variation in length polymorphism of the clock PolyQ domain was reported in four Pacific salmon species (chinook, chum, coho, and pink) with overlapping geographical ranges and diversity in spawning times. This implicates clock gene

duplicate may be involved in the seasonal and geographical variation in reproduction (O'Malley et al., 2007, 2010). In addition, a copy of the gene *clock* has been localised to a quantitative trait locus (QTL) responsible for 20–50% of the variation in spawning dates in female rainbow trout (Leder et al., 2006).

The circadian clock consists of intracellular transcriptional-translational feedback loops (TTFL) composed of core clock genes and stabilising accessory loop genes, which drives the rhythmic accumulation of downstream outputs, or clock-controlled genes (Reppert and Weaver, 2001; Partch et al., 2014). Circadian mechanism is highly conserved across animal species (Lowrey and Takahashi, 2011). However, deciphering the circadian clock mechanism in fish is complex. Clock and clock-related genes which are found in single copies in invertebrates such as *clock*, *period* and *cryptochrome* are duplicated in vertebrates (Tauber et al., 2004). In addition, salmonids were subjected to two rounds of whole-genome duplication (WGD) events (Ts3R, teleost-specific third whole-genome duplication, 320 million years ago, and Ss4R, salmonid-specific fourth whole-genome duplication, 80 million years ago) resulting in an abundance of circadian related genes (Lien et al., 2016; Huang, 2018).

The molecular mechanisms underlying circadian rhythmicity have been characterised in several model animal species including the fruit fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*), mice (*Mus musculus*), and humans (*Homo sapiens*), with relatively limited work undertaken in teleosts (Wang, 2008b; Huang, 2018) predominantly centred on zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) (Cahill, 2002; Vallone et al., 2007). The circadian system comprises all the different components by which light is perceived by the organism and is transformed into a nervous or hormonal signal (Migaud et al., 2010a). Therefore, manipulations of photic inputs and cues impact rhythms, which can be commercially exploited for aquaculture production (Migaud et al., 2010a). Research based on zebrafish has been fundamental in describing and broadly characterising clock genes and circadian rhythmicity as many findings are applicable to numerous vertebrate species. However, fish models have not yet significantly contributed to our understanding of core clock mechanisms and circadian clock control of fish physiology (Frøland Steindal and Whitmore, 2019). Teleosts represent the largest and most diverse group of vertebrates, with over 30,000 species identified to date. Each species possesses distinct characteristics and displays a considerable amount of anatomical and physiological plasticity. This is arguably the direct result of exposure to multiple, variable selection pressures caused by the highly dynamic aquatic environments they have inhabited throughout their evolutionary development (Bone, 2019). Alongside selection pressures, multiple rounds of WGD have had a large impact upon the evolution of lineages to date, with the retention of resultant gene duplicates being biased with regard to gene function (Brunet et al., 2006). Gene duplication through WGD led to redundant genes either lost, non-functionalisation, with a different function than the ancestral gene (sub-functionalisation) or which acquired new functions, neo-functionalisation, (Pasquier et al., 2016), thus

resulting in genome reshaping (Inoue et al., 2015). An example of this is the salmonid specific WGD (Ss4R) which preceded the origin of anadromy in salmonids, an important milestone in the evolutionary development of salmonid migration (Alexandrou et al., 2013). Whilst WGD events led to gene duplication, these duplicated genes typically resolved over time (Inoue et al., 2015). In rainbow trout, 80–100 million years post Ss4R, 48% of the pre-duplication ancestral genes were retained as duplicates, the remainder of the genes underwent fractionation and the duplicated protein-coding genes were lost (Berthelot et al., 2014; Lien et al., 2016). Analysis of duplicate retention in Atlantic salmon identified that 20% of duplicates from Ts3R and 55% of duplicates from Ss4R were retained as functional copies, with the prominent mechanism for duplicate loss being pseudogenisation (Lien et al., 2016). While there is a general lack of clarity surrounding the duplication and retention of functional genes post WGD, there is an unusually large complement of clock genes in salmonids (West et al., 2020).

Salmonids are amongst the most widely studied groups of fish species both scientifically and commercially, as many species of salmonid are of significant economic, societal, and environmental importance (Thorgaard et al., 2002). Many of the species within the 11 genera of the Salmonidae (Nelson et al., 2016) are of great commercial value and contribute significantly to both local and global economies through aquaculture, wild stock fisheries and recreational sport (Reppert and Weaver, 2001; Davidson et al., 2010; Frøland Steindal and Whitmore, 2019). Unlike zebrafish, salmonid species are highly seasonal in their physiology, including migration, smoltification and reproduction. Lighting and temperature manipulations are routinely used by industry to manipulate commercial broodstock ovulatory rhythm and smoltification, enabling year-round production (Migaud et al., 2013). However, understanding the intricate interactions between zeitgebers, circadian rhythmicity, seasonality, and the control of biochemical, physiological, and behavioural rhythms is complex (Migaud et al., 2010b). The completion and publication of salmonid genomes (*Salmo salar*, *Salvelinus alpinus*, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, *Oncorhynchus kisutch*, and *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* to date) as part of the Functional Annotation of All Salmonid Genomes (FAASG) project (Macqueen et al., 2017), alongside RNAseq have provided great tools to study clock genes of salmonids.

The aim of this study was to identify the full complement of clock genes in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in comparison to other commercially important salmonid species and evaluate the expression patterns of the identified genes. To do so, phylogenetic analysis of clock gene orthologs [functional product of WGD event (Ohno, 1970)] has been performed to classify and name salmonid clock genes based on published zebrafish references and nomenclature. This was confirmed by a transcriptomic approach looking into gene expression over 24-h in freshwater salmon kept under a controlled lighting regime. This study provides a new nomenclature for salmon clock genes that will serve as a tool for further circadian research in salmon.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Identification of Clock Genes in Salmonids With Published Genomes

The protein sequences of the 29 zebrafish (*D. rerio*) clock genes [*clock*, *arntl* (also referred to as *bmal*), *period*, *cryptochrome*, and *csnk1e/d* (Huang, 2018), *nr1d* (also referred to as *rev-erb*), and *ror* (Wang, 2009)] were recovered from GenBank and used as reference to interrogate the Northern pike (*Esox lucius*) [a closely related sister taxa which did not undergo the salmonid specific WGD (Rondeau et al., 2014; Macqueen et al., 2017; Varadharajan et al., 2018)] and Atlantic salmon (*S. salar*) genomes. For the benefit of this study, the core clock genes (the heterodimers forming the positive and negative feedback arms of the TTFL, *clock:arntl* and *period:cryptochrome* respectively) and accessory loop genes (individual genes *ror*, *nr1d*, and *csnk1* which interact with the core clock loop to either promote or repress specific heterodimer interactions) are commonly referred to collectively as clock genes. Putative core clock gene sequences were also identified for several salmonid species (*S. alpinus*, *O. mykiss*, *O. kisutch*, and *O. tshawytscha*) through a combination of literature searches, BLASTp and BLASTn searches of published salmonid genomes identified as part of the Functional Annotation of All Salmonid Genomes (FAASG) initiative (Amaral and Johnston, 2012). For the benefit of this publication, they will be referred to collectively as salmonids. A BLASTp search using the default settings against the protein sequences were used for a first characterisation of the putative core clock genes. This was further refined using BLASTn using the coding sequence (CDS) against the RNA sequences (refseq_rna) database and was optimised for highly similar nucleotide sequences (megablast) with an *E*-value below 10^{-300} and ensuring a negligible probability that the sequence was returned by chance. From the final BLASTn search, the gene and their transcriptomic isoform were aligned to the CDS of zebrafish reference genes using ClustalOmega v1.2.2 (Sievers et al., 2011).

2.2. Phylogenetic Alignment

Amino acid sequences were aligned using GramAlign v3.0 (Russell, 2014). A Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree was inferred under the GTR model with gamma-distributed rate variation (Γ) and a proportion of invariable sites (I) using a relaxed (uncorrelated lognormal) molecular clock in RAxML (Stamatakis, 2014) with 10,000 bootstrap replicates. Gaps were handled as undetermined characters (N).

2.3. Classification

Nomenclature of the putative salmonid clock genes was based on phylogenetic analyses using CDS and full-length sequences to the *D. rerio* and *E. lucius* core clock genes. Genes have been renamed after the zebrafish orthologues. As a result of the salmonid specific WGD Ss4R, salmonids often have two copies of a gene which is present as a single copy in zebrafish. In most instances, this involved renaming the gene from their given predicted name. Genes were re-classified based on the nomenclature of the zebrafish reference genes (ZFIN, 2019). If a single representative

was identified per species, the same name as the zebrafish reference was used. For groups with multiple representatives, the name of the orthologs were appended with numerical suffixes (0.1, 0.2) or alphabetical suffixes (a, b) depending on the nomenclature of the zebrafish reference genes as a result of the previous ray fin fish WGD event, as some zebrafish genes were already denoted with alphabetical suffixes in relation to their duplication. Those with the highest percentage identity to the reference gene phylogenetically are labelled *a*. For example: the Atlantic salmon has two period 1a (per 1a) paralogs, *period 1a.1* (*per1a.1*) has the highest percentage identity when compared to the reference gene and is therefore closer to the zebrafish gene so is denoted by 0.1, and *period 1a.2* (*per1a.2*) is less identical to the ancestral form and is therefore denoted by 0.2.

2.4. Animal Husbandry and Sampling

All juvenile Atlantic salmon (*S. salar*) used in the experiment were kept at the Niall Bromage Freshwater Research Facilities at the University of Stirling. Fish (60, mean weight of 130 g, Benchmark Genetics Iceland origin) were held in an 800 L tank in a flow through system and maintained under a 12:12 Light:Darkness photoperiod (photophase from 08:00 to 20:00 using TMC AquaRay LED lamps) from 14th April 2020 to 19th August 2020 when sampling ended, ambient temperature ranged from 8.1°C to 15.2°C during this period. In the month before sampling the temperature range was 14.6°C ± 0.6°C. Fish were fed daily to satiation with BioMar Orbit (2 and 3 mm pellet) during the light period using automatic feeders (Arvo-Tec, Sterner). Fish were not fed during the light period on the day of sampling. Sampling was conducted every 4 h over a 24 h period, starting at 10:00 on the first day and ending at 10:00 the following day (10:00[1], 14:00, 18:00, 22:00, 02:00, 06:00, 10:00[2]). At each time-point, six fish were sampled (**Supplementary Table 1**). Following lethal anaesthesia (MS222), brains were dissected out and snap frozen directly in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until analysis.

2.5. RNA Extraction and Sequencing

RNA was isolated from the whole brain using TRI reagent (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) and RNA concentration was tested using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The 42 RNA samples were submitted to Novogene UK (Cambridge) for RNA sequencing. Samples were submitted to quality control (Illumina BioAnalyzer®) revealing the RNA integrity number (RIN) of samples valued between 8.8 and 10.0. For each sample (900 ng), a library was prepared using NEB Next® UltraTM RNA Library Prep Kit and processed and sequenced using Illumina NovaSeq® 6000 S4 PE150 (6 GB of data per sample, ca. 40 million reads).

2.6. RNA Sequencing Analysis

Clean reads were obtained from the raw reads by filtering ambiguous bases, PCR duplicates, low quality sequences (< Q20), length (150 nt), absence of primers/adaptors and complexity (entropy over 15) using fastp (Chen et al., 2018). Ribosomal RNA was further removed using SortMeRNA v3.0.2 (Kopylova et al., 2012) against the Silva version 119 rRNA

databases Quast et al. (2012). The remaining reads were aligned to the annotated *S. salar* genome ICSASG v2.99 (Accession GCA_000233375.4) using HiSat2 v2.2.0 (Kim et al., 2019). The expression levels were estimated based on the genome annotation using StringTie2 v2.1.0 (Kovaka et al., 2019) following the workflow: (a) for each sample, map the reads to the genome with HiSat2 and assemble the read alignments with StringTie2; (b) merge the assemblies in order to generate a non-redundant set of transcripts observed in all the samples; (c) for each sample, estimate transcript abundances and generate read coverage tables expressed in the fragments per kilobase of exon per million mapped reads (FRKM).

2.7. Statistical Analysis

All tests and analysis were performed using R v4.1 (R Core Team, 2020). The expression values were scaled in Transcripts Per Millions (TPM) before been normalised by size factor using the median ratio method described by Anders and Huber (2010) using DESeq2 v1.32.0 (Love et al., 2014). Differential expression was estimated using the function *lfcShrink* (Stephens, 2017). The heatmaps were created from the normalised count files and scaled by row to highlight individual gene expression. Daily rhythms across the genome including identified clock genes were identified from the 24-h dataset using RAIN v1.26.0 (Thaben and Westermark, 2014) and MetaCycle v1.2.0 (Wu et al., 2016) implementation of JTKCycle (Hughes et al., 2010) and a threshold *p*-value of 0.001 and a minimum relative oscillation amplitude of 10%. All *p*-value reported were corrected for False Discovery Rate (FDR) using Bonferroni adjustment.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Identification of Clock Genes in Salmonids With Published Genomes

From the BLASTn, 143 clock gene variants were returned; a substantial number were highly similar predicted variants of the same gene and had identical EMBL accession numbers (LOC ID) and the CDS were over 90% identity. Most of the differences were found in the UTR. The CDS of variants sharing LOC ID were aligned to the CDS of *D. rerio* reference genes. Variants with the highest percentage identity compared to the reference for each locus were selected, leaving a total of 48 core clock genes identified in the *S. salar* across the 7 gene families explored (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2).

3.2. Interpretation and Classification

All the core clock and clock accessory loop genes were identified and classified (Table 1). Gene members of each family were aligned together in a gene-family approach to aid clarification of paralog nomenclature. The *period* (*per*) family is used as a typical example (Figure 1), showing the resulting gene tree from the identification and classification of *per* genes in salmonids indicating reciprocal gene retention (red), gene duplication (orange), and reciprocal gene loss (blue).

TABLE 1 | Genomic structure of the gene families associated with the circadian clock of salmonids.

Family	Genes	DR	EL	SSA	SA	OM	OK	OT
<i>clock</i>	<i>clock1a</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	••
	<i>clock1b</i>	•	•	–	–	–	–	–
	<i>clock2 (npas2)</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	•
<i>arntl</i>	<i>arntl1a</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	••
	<i>arntl1b</i>	•	•	••	•	••	••	•
	<i>arntl2</i>	•	••	•••	••	•••	•••	••
<i>period</i>	<i>per1a</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	••
	<i>per1b</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	<i>per2</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	••
	<i>per3</i>	•	•	•	•	–	–	–
<i>cryptochrome</i>	<i>cry1a</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	••
	<i>cry2</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	*
	<i>cry3a</i>	•	•	–	–	–	–	–
	<i>cry3b</i>	•	•	••	••	•	•	*
	<i>cry4</i>	•	•	•	–	–	–	–
	<i>cry5</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
<i>nr1d</i>	<i>nr1d1</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	••
	<i>nr1d2a</i>	•	•	••	••	••	•	••
	<i>nr1d2b</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	••
	<i>nr1d4a</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	••
	<i>nr1d4b</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	••
<i>ror</i>	<i>roraa</i>	••	••	••	••	••	••	••
	<i>rorab</i>	•	–	–	–	–	–	–
	<i>rorb</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	••
	<i>rorca</i>	•	•	••	••	••	••	••
	<i>rorcb</i>	•	•	••	••	••	•	••
<i>csnk1e/d</i>	<i>csnk1e</i>	•	•	••••	••••r	••••	••	••••
	<i>csnk1da</i>	•	•	–	–	–	–	–
	<i>csnk1db</i>	•	•	••	•	••	••	••


Phylogenetic trees upon which the table was based on can be found in **Figure 1** and **Supplementary Figures 1–7**. • gene detected in genome; – gene not detected in genome; * gene not detected in genome but identify from transcriptomes data. DR *Danio rerio* (GCA_000002035.4), EL *Esox lucius* (GCA_011004845.1), SSA *Salmo salar* (GCA_000233375.4), SA *Salvelinus alpinus* (GCA_002910315.2), OM *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (GCA_002163495.1), OK *Oncorhynchus kisutch* (GCA_002021735.2), OT *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* (GCA_002872995.1).

3.2.1. *Period* (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 1)

per1a is duplicated across all salmonids (*per1a.1*, *per1a.2*). *per1b* is retained in all salmonids (*per1b.1*, *per1b.2*). *per2* appears to be duplicated after the latest common ancestor *E. lucius* (*per2a*, *per2b*). *per3* is retained in *S. salar* and *S. alpinus*, but appears to have been lost in *O. mykiss*, *O. kisutch*, and *O. tshawytscha*.

3.2.2. *Clock* (Supplementary Figure 2)

clock1a is duplicated in all salmonids (*clock1a.1*, *clock1a.2*). *clock1b* was lost compared to *D. rerio* and *E. lucius* and is not present in the salmonids. *clock2* (*npas2*) is duplicated across all salmonids analysed (*clock2a*, *clock2b*) with a differential ohnolog loss in *O. tshawytscha* (aligns to *clock2a*).



3.2.3. Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Nuclear Translocator-Like (Supplementary Figure 3)

arntl1a is duplicated in all salmonids (*arntl1a.1*, *arntl1a.2*). *arntl1b* is duplicated in all salmonids (*arntl1b.1*, *arntl1b.2*) with differential ohnolog loss in both *S. alpinus* (aligns to *arntl1b.2*) and *O. tshawytscha* (aligns to *arntl1b.1*). There appears to be a duplication of *arntl2* in the latest common ancestor which is retained across all salmonids (*arntl2a*, *arntl2b*). There is a third copy identified in *S. salar*, *S. alpinus*, *O. mykiss* and *O. kisutch* (*arntl2c*).

3.2.4. Cryptochrome (Supplementary Figure 4)

cry1a is duplicated across all salmonids (*cry1a.1*, *cry1a.2*). *cry1b* appears to be lost after *D. rerio*. *cry2* is retained in all salmonids with the exception of *O. tshawytscha* as there is currently not

enough information available regarding *cry2* in the species. There is an apparent gene loss of *cry3a* in salmonids after the most recent common ancestor, *E. lucius*. *cry3b* is duplicated in *S. salar* and *S. alpinus* (*cry3b.1*, *cry3b.2*) there is an apparent gene loss in *O. mykiss* and *O. kisutch* (aligns to *cry3b.1*), currently there is not enough information available regarding *cry3b* in *O. tshawytscha*. There is an apparent gene loss of *cry4* in all salmonids after the latest common ancestor *E. Lucius* in all species of salmonid except from *S. salar*. *cry5* is retained in all salmonids.

3.2.5. Nuclear Receptor Subfamily 1 Group d (Supplementary Figure 5)

nr1d1 is duplicated across all salmonids (*nr1d1a*, *nr1d1b*). *nr1d2a* is duplicated across all salmonids (*nr1d2a.1*, *nr1d2a.2*), with an apparent differential ohnolog loss in

O. kistutch (aligns to *nr1d2a.2*). *nr1d2b* is duplicated across all salmonids (*nr1d2b.1*, *nr1d2b.2*). *nr1d4a* is duplicated across all salmonids (*nr1d4a.1*, *nr1d4a.2*). *nr1d4b* is duplicated across all salmonids (*nr1d4b.1*, *nr1d4b.2*).

3.2.6. RAR-Related Orphan Receptor (Supplementary Figure 6)

rora is duplicated across all salmonids (*rora.1*, *rora.2*), there is an apparent differential gene loss in *O. tshawytscha* (aligns to *rora.1*) and an apparent loss of both ohnologs in *O. kisutch*. There is an apparent loss of *rorab* after the latest common ancestor, *E. lucius*. *rorb* has been duplicated across all salmonids (*rorb.1*, *rorb.2*). *rorca* has been duplicated across all salmonids (*rorca.1*, *rorca.2*). *rorcb* has been duplicated across all salmonids (*rorcb.1*, *rorcb.2*) with an apparent differential ohnolog loss in *O. kisutch* (aligns to *rorcb.2*).

3.2.7. Casein Kinase 1 Delta (Supplementary Figure 7)

csnk1db appears to be duplicated across all salmonids (*csnk1db.1*, *csnk1db.2*) apart from *S. alpinus* which displays an apparent differential ohnolog loss (aligns to *csnk1db.1*).

3.2.8. Casein Kinase 1 Epsilon (Supplementary Figure 7)

There appears to be multiple duplications of *csnk1e* across all the salmonids, resulting in four *csnk1e* paralogs (*csnk1ea*, *csnk1eb*, *csnk1ec*, *csnk1ed*). With *O. kisutch* displaying a potential differential ohnolog loss (aligns to *csnk1ea*, *csnk1eb*).

3.3. Transcriptomic Analysis

In total, 986,545,058 raw reads were sequenced for 42 samples (Supplementary Table 2). The reads were deposited in the European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) project ID PRJEB41327. After filtering, 975,284,560 clean reads (98.86%) passed the mRNA cleaning step and were used for the following process. Of the clean reads, 97.71% were aligned to the published *S. salar* genome ICSASG v2.99 (Accession GCA_000233375.4). A total of 55,819 distinct genes were recovered (Supplementary Data 1). All clock genes classified in the *in silico* gene identification were recovered.

3.4. Rhythmic and Circadian Gene Expression

Significantly rhythmically expressed genes were identified using RAIN and MetaCycle with JTK analysis (Supplementary Data 2). Various thresholds were evaluated (Supplementary Table 3). From the 48 genes clock genes, 16 were significantly rhythmically expressed (RAIN analysis, $p < 0.001$, relative amplitude $\geq 10\%$), of which 11 also had a significant circadian expression pattern over a 24-h period (JTK analysis, $p < 0.001$, relative amplitude $\geq 10\%$, Figure 2A and Supplementary Figures 8, 9). Details of the gene family are plotted in Figure 2B. From the six period genes identified five were highly significantly rhythmically expressed (*per1a.1*, *per1a.2*, *per1b*, *per2a*, *per2b*), including three that also exhibited circadian expression pattern (*per1a.1*, *per1a.2*, *per2b*). The acrophase for *per1a.1* and *per1a.2* are in phase at 06:00, *per1b* has


a negative phase shift in comparison to *per1a* paralogs at 08:30. *per2a* and *per2b* are out of phase by 2 h, with *per2a* peaking at 03:00 and *per2b* at 01:00.

Overall, 2,864 genes exhibited a rhythmic expression pattern (rhythmic peak; RAIN analysis, $p < 0.001$; Supplementary Data 2), and of which 1,215 genes showed a significance circadian expression pattern (peak and trough; JTK analysis; $p < 0.001$). Rhythmic genes were clustered by acrophase (expression peak) synchronicity (Figure 3). The number of circadian expressed genes with the same acrophase range oscillate between 95 and 419. The majority of genes are expressed in one of two peaks at 10:00 [first sampling in the light] and 22:00 [first sampling in the darkness]. The highly significantly rhythmically expressed clock genes are distributed similarly to the rest of those which are significantly expressed in a circadian pattern ($p = 0.15$). The majority are peaking at 22:00. Sub-figures exclude 3 highly expressed genes that bias the scale of the graphic: Ependymin-1 ($> 10,000$ TPM) Ependymin-2 ($> 5,000$ TPM) and calcium voltage-gated channel auxiliary subunit alpha 2 delta 4 ($> 2,000$ TPM); all three genes are related with central nervous system plasticity and memory formation.

4. DISCUSSION


In the present study, we have identified and characterised 48 core clock and accessory loop genes *in silico* in several salmonid species with published genomes using the latest common ancestors as reference points. For each of the salmonid species with published genomes, the core clock and accessory loop genes were identified and characterised *in silico*. There is a differential retention of genes originating in the latest common ancestors, *E. lucius* and *D. rerio*. In addition to this, there are numerous ohnologs (Ohno, 1970) which are consistent with the salmonid specific whole genome duplication event Ss4R (Lien et al., 2016). There is also evidence of differential gene loss in salmonids based on the current gene annotations (Table 1). Findings from this study further highlight the rich complexity of core clock genes previously outlined in *S. salar* (West et al., 2020), and is displayed across a wider complement of salmonid species. The gene families identified differ slightly to those explored by West et al. (2020) as the focus of this study was to identify all members of gene families *clock*, *arntl*, *period*, *cryptochrome*, *ror*, *nr1d*, and *csnk1*. Identified genes common to both studies coincide with one another and paralog pairs identified using ML phylogenetic alignments are also identified as paralogs (West et al., 2020).

In comparison to *D. rerio* with 29 reference clock genes (Wang, 2008b; Huang et al., 2010; Toloza-Villalobos et al., 2015), most clock genes identified in salmonids appear to have been duplicated. In addition to these lineage-specific duplications there is also evidence of differential ohnolog loss in some species of salmonids based on the current genome annotations, *per1b*, *cry2*, and *cry5* appear to have been retained across all species from the latest common ancestors, *per3* appears to only be retained in *S. salar* and *S. alpinus* and *cry4* appears to only be retained in *S. salar*. Whereas, *clock1b*, *cry1b*, *cry3a*, *csnk1da* all appear to have been lost in salmonids after the latest common ancestor *E. lucius*.



Some species of salmonids have better genome annotations than others, and currently it is not possible to identify the effects of the WGD for every core clock gene family in *O. tshawytscha* at present. As expected across most of the gene families except where there is limited information, the *Oncorhynchus* spp. tend to appear together on the same clade with *S. salar* and *S. alpinus* commonly grouped together in a sister clade. A typical example of the clock gene families identified is the cryptochrome family.

cry1a is one of the major clades in the cryptochrome family, as a result of duplication there are three main paralogous subfamilies *cry1a*, *cry1b* and *cry1c*. *cry1a* and *cry1b* are phylogenetically related to tetrapods, with *cry1a* being the most conserved of the two subfamilies (Mei et al., 2015). Zebrafish possess both *cry1a* and *cry1b* however, all the salmonid species only appear to have inherited *cry1a* which has been duplicated as a result of the WGD, resulting in two paralogs *cry1a.1* and *cry1a.2*. Except



for *O. tshawytscha* (for which there is not currently enough information in the genome annotation) *cry3b* is duplicated in all salmonid species with an apparent gene loss in both *O. kisutch* and *O. mykiss*. This is suggestive of the non-functionalisation and subsequent loss of *cry3b.2* in the *Oncorhynchus* spp. As a result of the Ss4R WGD clock most genes appear to be duplicated in salmonids with some exceptions that appear to have been lost in several of the salmonids. As annotations improve, it is thought that additional gene losses will become more apparent. This study gives insight into the post duplication effects on clock gene family members and provides a fundamental tool for further circadian work in salmonids.

Clock genes interact with each other, generating oscillations in gene expression. Their underlying principle is to create successive gene activation in the form of a cycle, forming an autoregulatory feedback loop which perpetually cycles approximately every 24 h (Ripperger and Albrecht, 2009). This in turn influences downstream targets, whose time-of-day specific expression is determined by the central circadian mechanism (Ripperger and Albrecht, 2009). This study confirmed the expression of the identified clock genes in the brain of *S. salar* smolts over a 24-h period and showed that 11 out of the 48 core clock genes were highly significantly ($p < 0.001$) rhythmically expressed. We used a two complementary approach, RAIN which allowed us to detect accurately rhythms in time series irrespectively of the shape of the expression pattern, and JTK with a focus on circadian expression patterns. The vast majority of the JTK findings are included in the RAIN results (Supplementary Table 3). This allowed us to distinguish between rhythmic expressed genes and circadian pattern expressed genes.

The period family is particularly interesting, as except for one gene (*per3*) all the genes were significantly rhythmically expressed. A difference in acrophase between paralogs was also identified. There was a clear difference in expression pattern observed between *per1a* paralogs (*per1a.1*, *per1a.2*) and *per1b*, with *per1a* peaking at 06:00 displaying a positive phase shift

in comparison to *per1b* which peaks at 08:30. This positive phase shift coincides with results observed in zebrafish, it was reported that *per1a* and *per1b* paralogs displayed a shifted phase of gene expression in zebrafish with *per1a* peaking 4 h prior to *per1b* under a 12:12 LD lighting regime (Amaral and Johnston, 2012). In zebrafish, *per1* paralogs were shown to display a distinct difference in spatial and temporal expression in the brain, providing strong evidence for the duplicate pair to have undergone sub- or neo-functionalisation (Wang, 2008a). The duplicated Atlantic salmon *per1a* paralogs (*per1a.1* and *per1a.2*) share the same periodicity and acrophase, thus indicating that both genes may share a similar functionality. This indicates that the paralogs may have undergone sub-functionalisation—in which the ancestral functions have become partitioned and each paralog potentially has particular adaptations for different tissues, developmental stages or environmental conditions (Innan, 2009). In mammalian literature, it is widely reported that *Cry1/2* form heterodimers with *Per1/2/3* (Rosensweig et al., 2018). However, in this study, the only highly significantly rhythmically entrained *cryptochrome* genes was the *cry3b.2* paralog which peaked two h before the closest *period* family member (*per2b*) at 20:30, in agreement with the pattern of *cry2* expression identified in zebrafish 12-h after light onset (Hirayama et al., 2019). In mammals, *Per2* is said to repress *Nr1d2* transcription thus upregulating *arntl1* transcription (Chiou et al., 2016), in keeping with these findings in this study *nr1d2b.2* peaks at 08:30, around 10 h before peak expression of *arntl1a.1/1a.2/1b.1* (18:00) and *per2b* peaks at 01:00. This suggests that the relationship between clock gene paralogs in salmonids may be similar to that of those in mammalian species.

How salmonids fit into the widely accepted mechanism for circadian rhythmicity is difficult to evaluate due to the limited understanding surrounding circadian mechanisms in fish in general and the complexity are yet to be exploited to the full potential (Frøland Steindal et al., 2018), there are still many unknowns surrounding the mechanism in

salmonids as it has been far less explored than other species of teleost. In comparison to species such as *D. melanogaster*, *M. musculus*, *H. sapiens*, and even *D. rerio* there has been limited work undertaken in salmonids to further identify clock genes and their respective roles within the circadian system (Frøland Steindal et al., 2018). So far, the expression of individual clock genes has been previously investigated in salmonids (Davie et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2010; West et al., 2020). Clock gene member identification has previously been hampered by paralogs with high sequence similarity, which does not easily allow for individual identification by qPCR. Individual gene identification using RNA sequencing has allowed for paralogs to be better classified and individual gene expression patterns ascertained. Although, single gene duplication events in mammalian species have enabled the evolution of specialisation and regulatory sophistication in the temporal regulation of local physiology (Looby and Loudon, 2005); the core clock genes associated with circadian rhythmicity remains largely conserved across a diverse range of organisms spanning vast evolutionary time periods (Bell-Pedersen et al., 2005; Cox and Takahashi, 2019). This study supports that whilst the compliment of clock genes is far richer in salmonids, the function of core clock genes remains conserved and are therefore likely function similarly to other more studied organisms. Some salmonid species display reciprocal gene losses post Ss4R, but many of clock genes identified appear to be duplicated in the salmonid species investigated. The existence of such paralogs whilst increasing genetic complexity may enable gene family members to specialise and extend their ancestral role, which can lead to a shift in the identity of components of the molecular clock (Looby and Loudon, 2005; West et al., 2020). Ambient temperature affects gene expression and physiology in ectotherms; in zebrafish, the clock seems to be temperature-compensated, changing the amplitude of some critical clock genes (Lahiri et al., 2005). However, the influence of temperature on the clock system in salmonids remains poorly studied and should be investigated further.

Whilst this study has furthered the identification of clock gene family members in central salmonids, additional work is required to further elucidate the complexity of the circadian mechanism and how the complement of clock genes identified individually function as components of this mechanism. It is important to note that alongside individual variability, the whole brain being analysed will have influenced gene expression levels and rhythmicity due to the highly decentralised organisation of clock genes in teleosts and localisation of clock gene expression in specific regions of the brain (Moore and Whitmore, 2014). This study provides a fundamental tool to explore the role of the enriched number

of clock genes related to seasonal driven life history transition in salmonids.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena>, PRJEB41327.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 Amended Regulations (SI 2012/3039) Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body of the University of Stirling (AWERB/19 20/097/).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CB, JH, MB, and HM: study conception and design. CB and HM: sample and data acquisition. CB, MB, and ME: data analysis. HM and JH: funding acquisition. CB, HM, ME, and MB: drafting and editing of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

The study was funded by the University of Stirling PhD match funding scheme, the UKRI project ROBUSTSMOLT (BB/S004432/1) and the Research Council of Norway (*The effect of narrow banded LED light on development and growth performance*, grant no. 254894). For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you to all reviewers for their constructive comments. We also thank staff at the Niall Bromage Freshwater Research Facilities for looking after the experimental fish.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2021.761109/full#supplementary-material>

REFERENCES

- Alexandrou, M. A., Swartz, B. A., Matzke, N. J., and Oakley, T. H. (2013). Genome duplication and multiple evolutionary origins of complex migratory behavior in salmonidae. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 69, 514–523. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2013.07.026
- Amaral, I. P., and Johnston, I. A. (2012). Circadian expression of clock and putative clock-controlled genes in skeletal muscle of the zebrafish. *Am. J. Physiol. Regulat. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 302, 193–206. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00367.2011
- Anders, S., and Huber, W. (2010). Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol.* 11:R106. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106

- Andreani, T. S., Itoh, T. Q., Yildirim, E., Hwangbo, D.-S., and Allada, R. (2015). Genetics of circadian rhythms. *Sleep Med. Clin.* 10, 413–421. doi: 10.1016/j.jsmc.2015.08.007
- Bell-Pedersen, D., Cassone, V. M., Earnest, D. J., Golden, S. S., Hardin, P. E., Thomas, T. L., et al. (2005). Circadian rhythms from multiple oscillators: lessons from diverse organisms. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 6, 544–556. doi: 10.1038/nrg1633
- Berthelot, C., Brunet, F., Chalopin, D., Juanchich, A., Bernard, M., Noël, B., et al. (2014). The rainbow trout genome provides novel insights into evolution after whole-genome duplication in vertebrates. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 1–10. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4657
- Bone, Q. (2019). “Fish: general review”, in *Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences*, eds J. K. Cochran, H. J. Bokuniewicz, and P. L. Yager (San Diego, CA; London: Elsevier Ltd; Academic Press), 129–137. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.10779-1
- Brunet, F. G., Roest Crolius, H., Paris, M., Aury, J.-M., Gibert, P., Jaillon, O., et al. (2006). Gene loss and evolutionary rates following whole-genome duplication in teleost fishes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 23, 1808–1816. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msl049
- Cahill, G. M. (2002). Clock mechanisms in zebrafish. *Cell Tissue Res.* 309, 27–34. doi: 10.1007/s00441-002-0570-7
- Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34, i884–i890. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560
- Chiou, Y.-Y., Yang, Y., Rashid, N., Ye, R., Selby, C. P., and Sancar, A. (2016). Mammalian *Period* represses and de-represses transcription by displacing CLOCK-BMAL1 from promoters in a Cryptochrome-dependent manner. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, E6072–E6079. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1612917113
- Cox, K. H., and Takahashi, J. S. (2019). Circadian clock genes and the transcriptional architecture of the clock mechanism. *J. Mol. Endocrinol.* 63, R93–R102. doi: 10.1530/JME-19-0153
- Davidson, W. S., Koop, B. F., Jones, S. J. M., Iturra, P., Vidal, R., Maass, A., et al. (2010). Sequencing the genome of the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Genome Biol.* 11:403. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-9-403
- Davie, A., Minghetti, M., and Migaud, H. (2009). Seasonal variations in clock-gene expression in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Chronobiol. Int.* 26, 379–395. doi: 10.1080/07420520902820947
- Froland Steindal, I. A., Beale, A. D., Yamamoto, Y., and Whitmore, D. (2018). Development of the *Astyanax mexicanus* circadian clock and non-visual light responses. *Dev. Biol.* 441, 345–354. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.06.008
- Froland Steindal, I. A., and Whitmore, D. (2019). Circadian clocks in fish - what have we learned so far? *Biology* 8:17. doi: 10.3390/biology8010017
- Hirayama, J., Alifu, Y., Hamabe, R., Yamaguchi, S., Tomita, J., Maruyama, Y., et al. (2019). The clock components *Period2*, *Cryptochrome1a*, and *Cryptochrome2a* function in establishing light-dependent behavioral rhythms and/or total activity levels in zebrafish. *Sci. Rep.* 9:196. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-37879-8
- Huang, R.-C. (2018). The discoveries of molecular mechanisms for the circadian rhythm: the 2017 Nobel prize in physiology or medicine. *Biomed. J.* 41, 5–8. doi: 10.1016/j.bj.2018.02.003
- Huang, T.-S., Ruoff, P., and Fjellidal, P. G. (2010). Diurnal expression of clock genes in pineal gland and brain and plasma levels of melatonin and cortisol in Atlantic salmon parr and smolts. *Chronobiol. Int.* 27, 1697–1714. doi: 10.3109/07420528.2010.514630
- Hughes, M. E., Hogenesch, J. B., and Kornacker, K. (2010). JTK-CYCLE: an efficient nonparametric algorithm for detecting rhythmic components in genome-scale data sets. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 25, 372–380. doi: 10.1177/0748730410379711
- Innan, H. (2009). Population genetic models of duplicated genes. *Genetica* 137, 19–37. doi: 10.1007/s10709-009-9355-1
- Inoue, J., Sato, Y., Sinclair, R., Tsukamoto, K., and Nishida, M. (2015). Rapid genome reshaping by multiple-gene loss after whole-genome duplication in teleost fish suggested by mathematical modeling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, 14918–14923. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1507669112
- Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C., and Salzberg, S. L. (2019). Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 907–915. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4
- Kopylova, E., Noé, L., and Touzet, H. (2012). SortMeRNA: fast and accurate filtering of ribosomal RNAs in metatranscriptomic data. *Bioinformatics* 28, 3211–3217. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts611
- Kovaka, S., Zimin, A. V., Perlea, G. M., Razaghi, R., Salzberg, S. L., and Perlea, M. (2019). Transcriptome assembly from long-read RNA-seq alignments with StringTie2. *Genome Biol.* 20:278. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1910-1
- Lahiri, K., Vallone, D., Gondi, S. B., Santoriello, C., Dickmeis, T., and Foulkes, N. S. (2005). Temperature regulates transcription in the zebrafish circadian clock. *PLoS Biol.* 3:e351. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030351
- Leder, E. H., Danzmann, R. G., and Ferguson, M. M. (2006). The candidate gene, *Clock*, localizes to a strong spawning time quantitative trait locus region in rainbow trout. *J. Heredity* 97, 74–80. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esj004
- Li, J., Grant, G. R., Hogenesch, J. B., and Hughes, M. E. (2015). Considerations for RNA-seq analysis of circadian rhythms. *Methods Enzymol.* 551, 349–367. doi: 10.1016/bs.mie.2014.10.020
- Lien, S., Koop, B. F., Sandve, S. R., Miller, J. R., Kent, M. P., Nome, T., et al. (2016). The Atlantic salmon genome provides insights into rediploidization. *Nature* 533, 200–205. doi: 10.1038/nature17164
- Looby, P., and Loudon, A. S. (2005). Gene duplication and complex circadian clocks in mammals. *Trends Genet.* 21, 46–53. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2004.11.012
- Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15:550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- Lowrey, P. L., and Takahashi, J. S. (2011). Genetics of circadian rhythms in mammalian model organisms. *Adv. Genet.* 74, 175–230. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-387690-4.00006-4
- Macqueen, D. J., Primmer, C. R., Houston, R. D., Nowak, B. F., Bernatchez, L., Bergseth, S., et al. (2017). Functional annotation of all salmonid genomes (FAASG): an international initiative supporting future salmonid research, conservation and aquaculture. *BMC Genomics* 18:484. doi: 10.1186/s12864-017-3862-8
- Mei, Q., Sadovy, Y., and Dvornyk, V. (2015). Molecular evolution of cryptochromes in fishes. *Gene* 574, 112–120. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2015.07.086
- Migaud, H., Bell, G., Cabrita, E., McAndrew, B., Davie, A., Bobe, J., et al. (2013). Gamete quality and broodstock management in temperate fish. *Rev. Aquacult.* 5(Suppl 1), S194–S223. doi: 10.1111/raq.12025
- Migaud, H., Davie, A., and Taylor, J. F. (2010a). Current knowledge on the photoneuroendocrine regulation of reproduction in temperate fish species. *J. Fish Biol.* 76, 27–68. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.2009.02500.x
- Migaud, H., Munoz-Cueto, J. A., Carrillo, M., and Falcón, J. (2010b). Current knowledge on the melatonin system in teleost fish. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 165, 469–482. doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2009.04.026
- Moore, H. A., and Whitmore, D. (2014). Circadian rhythmicity and light sensitivity of the zebrafish brain. *PLoS ONE* 9:e86176. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0086176
- Nelson, J. S., Grande, T. C., and Wilson, M. V. H. (2016). *Fishes of the World, 5th Edn.* Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. doi: 10.1002/9781119174844
- Ohno, S. (1970). *Evolution by Gene Duplication.* Berlin; Heidelberg: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-86659-3
- O'Malley, K. G., Camara, M. D., and Banks, M. A. (2007). Candidate loci reveal genetic differentiation between temporally divergent migratory runs of Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*). *Mol. Ecol.* 16, 4930–4941. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03565.x
- O'Malley, K. G., McClelland, E. K., and Naish, K. A. (2010). Clock genes localize to quantitative trait loci for stage-specific growth in juvenile Coho salmon, *Oncorhynchus kisutch*. *J. Heredity* 101, 628–632. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esq063
- Paibomesai, M. I., Moghadam, H. K., Ferguson, M. M., and Danzmann, R. G. (2010). Clock genes and their genomic distributions in three species of salmonid fishes: associations with genes regulating sexual maturation and cell cycling. *BMC Res. Notes* 3:215. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-3-215
- Partch, C. L., Green, C. B., and Takahashi, J. S. (2014). Molecular architecture of the mammalian circadian clock. *Trends Cell Biol.* 24, 90–99. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2013.07.002
- Pasquier, J., Cabau, C., Nguyen, T., Jouanno, E., Severac, D., Braasch, I., et al. (2016). Gene evolution and gene expression after whole genome duplication in fish: the PhyloFish database. *BMC Genomics* 17:368. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-2709-z

- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., et al. (2012). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D590–D596. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks.1219
- R Core Team (2020). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available online at: <https://www.r-project.org/>
- Reppert, S. M., and Weaver, D. R. (2001). Molecular analysis of mammalian circadian rhythms. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 63, 647–676. doi: 10.1146/annurev.physiol.63.1.647
- Ripperger, J. A., and Albrecht, U. (2009). “Clock-controlled genes,” in *Encyclopedia of Neuroscience*, eds M. D. Binder, N. Hirokawa, and U. Windhorst (Berlin; Heidelberg: Springer), 752–757. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-29678-2_1078
- Rondeau, E. B., Minkley, D. R., Leong, J. S., Messmer, A. M., Jantzen, J. R., von Schalburg, K. R., et al. (2014). The genome and linkage map of the northern pike (*Esox lucius*): conserved synteny revealed between the salmonid sister group and the Neoteleostei. *PLoS ONE* 9:e102089. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0102089
- Rosensweig, C., Reynolds, K. A., Gao, P., Laothamatas, I., Shan, Y., Ranganathan, R., et al. (2018). An evolutionary hotspot defines functional differences between CRYPTOCHROMES. *Nat. Commun.* 9:1138. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03503-6
- Russell, D. J. (2014). GramAlign: fast alignment driven by grammar-based phylogeny. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 1079, 171–189. doi: 10.1007/978-1-62703-646-7_11
- Sánchez-Vázquez, F. J., López-Olmeda, J. F., and Fortes-Silva, R. (2021). *Biology and Aquaculture of Tilapia*. London: Taylor & Francis Ltd.
- Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T. J., Karplus, K., Li, W., et al. (2011). Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 7:539. doi: 10.1038/msb.2011.75
- Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAXML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30, 1312–1313. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
- Stephens, M. (2017). False discovery rates: a new deal. *Biostatistics* 18, 275–294. doi: 10.1101/038216
- Tauber, E., Last, K. S., Olive, P. J., and Kyriacou, C. P. (2004). Clock gene evolution and functional divergence. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 19, 445–458. doi: 10.1177/0748730404268775
- Thaben, P. F., and Westermark, P. O. (2014). Detecting rhythms in time series with RAIN. *J. Biol. Rhythms* 29, 391–400. doi: 10.1177/0748730414553029
- Thorgaard, G. H., Bailey, G. S., Williams, D., Buhler, D. R., Kaattari, S. L., Ristow, S. S., et al. (2002). Status and opportunities for genomics research with rainbow trout. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B* 133, 609–646. doi: 10.1016/S1096-4959(02)00167-7
- Tolosa-Villalobos, J., Arroyo, J. I., and Opazo, J. C. (2015). The circadian clock of teleost fish: a comparative analysis reveals distinct fates for duplicated genes. *J. Mol. Evol.* 80, 57–64. doi: 10.1007/s00239-014-9660-x
- Vallone, D., Santoriello, C., Gondi, S. B., and Foulkes, N. S. (2007). Basic protocols for zebrafish cell lines: maintenance and transfection. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 362, 429–441. doi: 10.1007/978-1-59745-257-1_35
- Varadharajan, S., Sandve, S. R., Gillard, G. B., Tørresen, O. K., Mulugeta, T. D., Hvidsten, T. R., et al. (2018). The grayling genome reveals selection on gene expression regulation after whole-genome duplication. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 10, 2785–2800. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evy201
- Wang, H. (2008a). Comparative analysis of period genes in teleost fish genomes. *J. Mol. Evol.* 67, 29–40. doi: 10.1007/s00239-008-9121-5
- Wang, H. (2008b). Comparative analysis of teleost fish genomes reveals preservation of different ancient clock duplicates in different fishes. *Mar. Genomics* 1, 69–78. doi: 10.1016/j.margen.2008.06.003
- Wang, H. (2009). Comparative genomic analysis of teleost fish *bmal* genes. *Genetica* 136, 149–161. doi: 10.1007/s10709-008-9328-9
- West, A. C., Iversen, M., Jørgensen, E. H., Sandve, S. R., Hazlerigg, D. G., and Wood, S. H. (2020). Diversified regulation of circadian clock gene expression following whole genome duplication. *PLoS Genet.* 16:e1009097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1009097
- Wu, G., Anafi, R. C., Hughes, M. E., Kornacker, K., and Hogenesch, J. B. (2016). MetaCycle: an integrated R package to evaluate periodicity in large scale data. *Bioinformatics* 32, 3351–3353. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw405
- Wulund, L., and Reddy, A. B. (2015). A brief history of circadian time: the emergence of redox oscillations as a novel component of biological rhythms. *Perspect. Sci.* 6, 27–37. doi: 10.1016/j.pisc.2015.08.002
- ZFIN (2019). *ZFIN Zebrafish Nomenclature Conventions*. Available online at: <https://zfin.atlassian.net/wiki/spaces/general/pages/1818394635/> (accessed May 31, 2019).
- Zhang, R., Lahens, N. F., Ballance, H. I., Hughes, M. E., and Hogenesch, J. B. (2014). A circadian gene expression atlas in mammals: Implications for biology and medicine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 16219–16224. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1408886111

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Bolton, Bekaert, Eilertsen, Helvik and Migaud. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.