Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Qipeng Song, Shandong Sport University, China

REVIEWED BY

Michalina Blazkiewicz, Józef Piłsudski University of Physical Education in Warsaw, Poland Bin Song, Sun Yat-sen Memorial Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiaofei Xiao, ⊠ xiaofeixiao@bzmc.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Skeletal Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

RECEIVED 08 November 2022 ACCEPTED 15 December 2022 PUBLISHED 04 January 2023

CITATION

Huang Z and Xiao X (2023), Characteristics of the postural stability of the lower limb in different visual states of undergraduate students with moderate myopia. *Front. Physiol.* 13:1092710. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.1092710

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Huang and Xiao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Characteristics of the postural stability of the lower limb in different visual states of undergraduate students with moderate myopia

Zhaoxin Huang and Xiaofei Xiao*

School of Rehabilitation Medicine, Binzhou Medical University, Yantai, Shandong, China

Objective: To explore the characteristics of lower limb postural stability in undergraduates with moderate myopia in three different visual states.

Methods: Twenty male undergraduate students were recruited to complete respectively the static and dynamic postural stability tests under eyes-closed, myopia (taking off their glasses immediately) and corrected vision conditions. A three-dimensional force platform (Bertec, United States) was used to test static postural stability, which calculated the total path length of the Center of Pressure (COP), path length in the antero-posterior (A/P) and medio-lateral (M/L) directions, COP area, SampleEntropy (SampEn), and low-, medium-, and high-frequency spectrum energies. Dynamic postural stability was tested using the Y-balance test, and the Y-balance test scores were calculated. The Vicon three-dimensional motion capture system (Oxford, United Kingdom) measured the maximum flexion angles of the ankle, knee, and hip joints. The electromyography (EMG) root mean square (RMS) and integral EMG (iEMG) of the tibialis anterior and lateral gastrocnemius of the lower extremity were simultaneously measured using wireless surface electromyography (Noraxon, United States).

Results: The SampEn-A/P and SampEn-M/L of corrected vision state higher than myopia and eyes-closed states, and myopia state larger than eyes-closed state ($\chi^2 = 51.631$, p < .001). The original and standard scores of the anterior, postero-medial and comprehensive values of the three visual states had significant differences (F = 32.125, p < .001). The original and standard values of postero-lateral corrected vision and myopia were larger than those of eyes-closed states (F = 37.972, p < .001). The maximum flexion angles of the ankle and knee joints were in the following order: corrected vision, myopia and eyes-closed (F = 10.93, p < .001). The iEMG and RMS had significant differences in the three different states ($\chi^2 = 12.700$, p < .001) in the all directions of YBT.

Conclusion: Compared with corrected vision, the stability of static posture in the state of myopia was decreased, and the postural regularity was more regular. The dynamic postural stability in the state of myopia was also lower than that corrected vision, and the activation and work of ankle muscles were also increased.

KEYWORDS

postural stability, moderate myopia, y-balance test, attention, EMG

1 Introduction

Postural stability refers to the ability to keep the body's center of pressure stable within the supporting area (Elboim-Gabyzon et al., 2022). The sensory inputs that are required to maintain postural stability in humans include vision, vestibular, and proprioception. When the visual information is blocked, the static postural stability swing of the human body will increase by 20%-70% (Isotalo et al., 2004). According to a recent prediction, the global population of people with myopia is expected to reach 5 billion by 2050 (Holden et al., 2016). Since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, the incidence and severity of myopia among undergraduate students appear to be on the increase (Fang and Guo., 2021). If myopia is not controlled promptly, it could easily progress to high myopia, which leads to a series of pathological changes, such as retinal detachment, glaucoma, cataract, and even permanent visual impairment or blindness (Lord and Dayhew., 2001). When myopia progresses to a certain extent, it caused blurring of vision, decreased contrast sensitivity and stereoscopic sense, and decreased postural stability (Ikuno, 2017). However, there are currently few studies on the effects of myopia on postural stability, and existing studies have focused on the ability to maintain static balance. According to Willis et al. (2013) found that people with myopia had more static postural instability than those with normal vision. Bae et al. (2020) found that the static postural stability of people with uncorrected vision (without glasses) was significantly lower than that of those with corrected vision. Myopia was also significantly higher than corrected visual acuity in the middleand high-frequency spectral energy of the somatic system. Sayah et al. (2016) found that people with myopia were more unstable than those with emmetropia in terms of visually induced postural responses. The postural stability includes both static and dynamic aspects, and testing static stability alone cannot fully explain the characteristics of postural stability in people with myopia. The risk of falls in humans is influenced to a greater extent by dynamic postural stability than by static postural stability, and most falls occur during dynamic motion (Ray et al., 2008; Jeter et al., 2015). Due to the lack of accurate visual input and feedback after visual impairment, accidental injuries including falls, are more likely to occur during dynamic motion. Most studies have focused on epidemiology and its influencing factors (Liu et al., 2022; Pan, 2022).

When vision is impaired, the sensory input of visually impaired individuals appears as the vestibular and proprioception compensative mechanism (Schwesig et al., 2011). Labanca et al. (2021) compared with eyes-opened, the ankle muscle group co-activation and ankle strategy of young people were more obvious when the posture was unstable, the neuromuscular system could improve the stability by regulating the activity of the ankle muscle group in the eyes-closed state. However, it is unclear whether myopia affects muscle activation.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to explore the characteristics of static and dynamic postural stability in undergraduate students with moderate myopia in eyes-closed, myopia, and corrected vision states. In this research would assume: 1) The stability of static posture in the myopia state was lower than that in the corrected vision state, which was better than that in the eyes-closed state. 2) The stability of dynamic posture in the myopia state was lower than that in the corrected vision state, which as better than that in the eyes-closed state. 3) In the dynamic stability test, the activation intensities of the tibialis anterior (TA) and gastrocnemius (GA) were in the following order: eyes-closed, myopia, and corrected vision states.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Participants

From April to June 2022, 20 male undergraduate students with moderate myopia (age: 19.5 \pm 1.10 years; height: 1.75 \pm .06 m; weight: 72.64 \pm 13.63 kg; visual acuity: 4.33 \pm -80 D (based on a verbal declaration and used the International Standard Logarithmic Visual Acuity Chart (Chen et al., 2020); and lower limb length: .90 \pm .56 m) in Binzhou Medical University were recruited.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) the age was >16 years; 2) the corrected vision was normal, and the better visual acuity was moderate myopia (-3.0D to -6.0D) (Goes and Delbeke., 2022); 3) non-sports major students; and 4) participants who signed informed consent forms.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) abnormal musculoskeletal function, or proprioception, vestibular function, disturbance of consciousness, severe cardiovascular disease, *etc.*; 2) history of neuropathy or administration of drugs that may affect balance; 3) damage to the inner ear and another sensory system that may affect balance; and 4) difference in astigmatism, strabismus, and binocular vision >100°; 5) those who have received balance training or regular physical activity (regular physical activity was defined as undergoing vigorous-intensity physical activity for >20 min or moderate-intensity physical activity for >30 min, at least once weekly (Park et al., 2022) in the past 6 months.

The study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethical review board of the scientific research project of the Medical Ethics Committee of Binzhou Medical University (Ethics approval No: 2021–233).



FIGURE 1

The picture of the subject during the YBT. (A) The anterior direction of the YBT. (B) The postero-medial direction of the YBT. (C) The posterolateral direction of the YBT.

2.2 Procedure

2.2.1 Static postural stability test

The static postural stability was tested using a threedimensional force platform (Bertec, United States) with a sample rate of 1000 Hz to collect static postural stability data (Gómez-Landero et al., 2021). Before the test, the participants performed warm-up exercises. The participants stood barefoot in the center of the force platform, while looking forward with the distance between the feet being equal to their shoulder width, and their upper limbs hung naturally on both sides of the body, and they remained motionless for 25 s. The data were taken from the middle 15 s, and the first 5 s and last 5 s were removed (Pryhoda et al., 2020). Measurements were taken three times under eyesclosed, myopia (tested immediately after taking off the glasses), and corrected vision states, and testing in this order. The average values were calculated. After each measurement, the participants rested for at least 1 min.

The indicators for evaluating the static postural stability include the linear indicators (the total path length of the Center of Pressure (COP), et al.), the non-linear indicators (SampleEntropy (SampEn)), and frequency spectral energy (low-, middle-, and high-frequency spectral energy). SampEn is the statistical regularity of the time series, with low (high) values indicating more (less) regularity (Stins et al., 2009). It is generally believed that the lower the SampEn, the more regular the COP signal regularity. The lower the automation of postural control, the higher the requirement for attention (Roerdink et al., 2011; Kędziorek and Błażkiewicz., 2020). Postural stability is maintained through the integration of sensory information provided by the visual, proprioceptive, and vestibular systems (Loughlin and Redfern., 2001). Those systems have different time delays in their control pathways, enabling their relative afferent contributions to be studied by identifying characteristic COP frequency responses (Daniels et al., 2019). The frequency spectral energy can be obtained by performing a fast Fourier transform, which enables the researcher to convert wave graphs of COP movement as represented by amplitude (power) and time into a relationship between amplitude (power) and frequency (spectrum); the power included for each frequency is defined as the frequency spectral energy (Tanaka et al., 2020). It is generally agreed that visual information of postural sway at low frequency (0-.3 Hz), vestibular information of postural sway at intermediate frequency (.3-1 Hz), and proprioceptive information of postural sway at high frequency (1-3 Hz) (Kanekar et al., 2014).

2.2.2 Dynamic postural stability test

The dynamic postural stability test used the Y-balance test (YBT) exercise kit to collect dynamic postural stability data (Salas-Gómez et al., 2022). The YBT includes the anterior A) (Figure 1A), postero-medial (PM) (Figure 1B), and posterolateral (PL) (Figure 1C) measurements. During the YBT, the VICON MX motion analysis system (Oxford, United Kingdom), with a sample rate of 100 Hz (Tang et al., 2022) was used to record the maximum flexion angles of the ankle, knee, and hip joints. Surface electromyography (Noraxon, United States) with a sample rate of 1500 Hz (Yu et al., 2022) was used to test the EMG signal characteristics of the TA and lateral GM. Recording the EMG signal from the beginning of the extension of the leg to the extension to the farthest.

The disposable surface electrodes for EMG was attached to the participants after the warm-up exercises. First, the body hair was removed, the skin was disinfected with 75% alcohol, after which the skin was wiped repeatedly to reduce impedance. The EMG was then attached to the belly of the muscles along the direction of the muscle fibers after the skin was dry, and the sensor was connected and fixed. The Maximum Voluntary Contraction (MVC) was tested using the manual resistance methods (Balasukumaran et al., 2020). The muscle group around the ankle of the dominant leg was tested twice for 5 s each time at intervals of 10 s. The average value of the Root Mean Square (RMS) in the two MVC tests of each muscle was used to standardize the RMS (Mueller et al., 2018). The landmarks of the Vicon motion capture system were modeled according to the Plug-in-Gait of the lower body, and 16 marker balls were affixed to the subjects' landmarks.

First, the dominant leg (the leg the participants first choose to kick a ball (van Melick et al., 2017) was determined. While the participants stood on the dominant leg, the non-dominant leg was used as the forward extension leg. The participants stood barefoot in the center of the YBT exercise suite, and the dominant toe was aligned directly in front of the central horizontal line, while the participants held their waist with both hands. The right leg was tested counterclockwise, while the left leg was tested clockwise. The next direction was changed after three measurements in each direction. All measurements had an accuracy of ±.5 cm (Zafar et al., 2020). Before the formal test, the participants were asked to make six attempts in each direction of each leg to familiarize themselves with the test movement. To avoid the influence of learning, the participants were required to take a 5-min break before taking the formal test. If the participants failed to stretch out in six attempts, the direction was marked as 0 cm.

Measurements were taken three times under the eyes-closed, myopia (tested immediately after taking off the glasses), and corrected vision states, and testing in this order. The average values were calculated. After each measurement, the participants rested for 1 min.

Note: The following failures were not included in the data analysis: loss of balance while standing on one leg, obvious movement of the standing foot, landing support of the extended foot, and failure to return to the original position.

2.2.3 Evaluation index

The linear indicators were: the total path length of the COP, path length in the antero-posterior (A/P) and medio-lateral (M/L) directions, and the COP area were measured. The nonlinear indicators were: SampEn, low-, middle-, and high-frequency spectral energy. The original and standard values of the extension distances in the three directions of the YBT (the comprehensive value of the extension distance; the maximum angles of the ankle, knee, and hip joint movement; RMS; and integral EMG value (iEMG)) were also evaluated.

2.3 Data process and analysis

The EMG signals were smoothly filtered using Noraxon MR3 software, and were rectified along with band-pass filtering (10–500 Hz) (Coratella et al., 2021). Finally, COP and EMG signal data were calculated and processed using MatLab 2020 software (The Math Works, Natick, MA, United States).

2.4 Statistical analysis

A priori power analysis was performed with G*Power 3.1.9.7 software. Using the Repeated Measures Analysis, setting the alpha error at .05, the Power at .80, and comparing three states in one group with an effect size (f = .50), showed that a minimum of 15 participants. Finally, we recruited 20 participants. The statistical calculations were carried out using SPSS 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, United States) software for statistical analysis of the data. The normality of each data set was evaluated by the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Quantitative data that were normally distributed were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, and F-tests were used to determine differences in the three states, i.e., the scores of YBT and angles of joints. This experiment was a compatibility group experiment to explore the differences between the same sample under three different visual states. It was a randomized block design and also belongs to the related sample design. Repeated measurement analysis of variance was not in line with normality, expressed by Median values (25 percent of quartiles, 75 percent of quartiles), using nonparametric Friedman's test. Chi-squared tests were used to determine differences in the three states, i.e., the index of COP, RMS, and iEMG. A Bonferroni correction factor was applied if significant. The criterion of statistical signification was set at .05.

3 Results

The linear indexes showed corrected vision lower than eyesclosed state (p < .001) (Table 1). There were no differences between eyes-closed and myopia state or between myopia and corrected vision state (p > .05) (Table 1). The sample entropy of non-linear indicators showed that visual factors had a significant effect on postural regularity (p < .001) (Table 1). The middle- and high-frequency spectrum energies during eyes-closed and myopia states were higher than those of corrected vision states (p < .001) (Table 1).

Project	Eyes-closed	Муоріа	Corrected vision	χ^{2-} value	<i>p</i> -value	Post-hoc comparisons			
			VISIOIT			Eyes- closed VS. myopia	Eyes-closed VS. corrected vision	Myopia VS. corrected vision	
						<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	
Total path length of COP(m)	0.24 (0.20, 0.29)	0.21 (0.15, 0.27)	0.21 (0.16, 0.24)	12.100	0.002	_	0.002	_	
Path length of $COP_{A/P}(m)$	0.18 (0.13, 0.23)	0.16 (0.12, 0.21)	0.15 (0.12, 0.19)	5.853	0.007	_	0.020	_	
Path length of $COP_{M/L}(m)$	0.11 (0.09, 0.14)	0.10 (0.07, 0.14)	0.09 (0.07, 0.13)	11.100	0.004	_	0.003	_	
COP area (cm ²)	616.25 (286.96, 1360.10)	577.50 (175.12, 1278.00)	488.87 (192.26, 890.41)	7.900	0.019	_	0.022	_	
SampEn-A/P	0.85 (0.58, 0.99)	1.02 (0.85, 1.26)	1.38 (1.17, 1.61)	51.631	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
SampEn-M/L	0.76 (0.55, 0.94)	1.02 (0.82, 1.22)	1.24 (.93, 1.43)	76.960	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
Low spectrum energy (%)	67.56 (54.95, 74.38)	72.81 (63.25, 81.13)	81.51 (69.41, 84.30)	23.070	<0.001	0.003	<0.001	0.004	
Middle spectrum energy (%)	17.60 (14.81, 24.67)	18.61 (12.06, 20.53)	11.90 (9.84, 17.85)	14.678	<0.001	_	<0.001	0.002	
High spectrum energy (%)	14.30 (10.50, 24.07)	10.53 (6.96, 18.23)	6.40 (5.46, 11.74)	21.700	<0.001	—	<0.001	0.008	

TABLE 1 Comparison of static postural stability of lower limbs in different visual states (Median values (25 percent of quartiles, 75 percent of quartiles)).

Note: -, p > 0.05.

The YBT showed that there were significant differences in the original and standard scores of A, PM, and comprehensive values among the three visual states (p < .001) (Table 2). The scores from highest to lowest was as follows: corrected vision, myopia, and eyes-closed (p < .001) (Table 2). The original and standard scores of PL between myopia and corrected vision state had no differences (p > .05) (Table 2).

The knee, and ankle joints under three visual states had prominent differences in the A direction (p < .001) (Table 3). The maximum flexion angle from largest to smallest was as follows: corrected vision, myopia, and eyes-closed. The hip joint had no difference A direction (p > .05) (Table 3). The hip and knee joints in three visual states were significant differences in the PM direction (p < .001) (Table 3). The joints angles had no differences between myopia and corrected vision state in the PL (p > .05) (Table 3).

The iEMG and RMS had significant differences in the three different states (p < .01) (Tables 4, 5) in the all directions of YBT.

4 Discussion

The purpose of this research was to explore the effects of different visual states on postural stability. The results showed

that when maintaining static postural stability, the regularity of postural control in the myopia state was more regular than corrected vision. Under different visual acuity, the dynamic postural stability from stable to unstable was corrected vision, myopia, and eyes-closed. The activation and the work of the TA and GA from largest to smallest was as follows: eyes-closed, myopia, and corrected vision. Compared with corrected vision, the dynamic postural stability of myopia was decreased and the activation of ankle muscles was increased.

Analysis of the linear index revealed that the static postural stability in the state of corrected vision was better than that of eyes-closed. Entropy analysis of the non-linear index sample showed that when maintaining static postural stability, the postural control regularity under different visual conditions was as follows: eyes-closed, myopia, and corrected vision. That was, the attention required in the eyes-closed state for maintaining postural stability was higher than the states of myopia and corrected vision. Although the linear analysis method can describe and analyze the development and changes in postural control (Yamagata et al., 2017), the nonlinear time series analysis method can provide a richer supplementary description of the potential developmental changes in postural control. SampEn is one of the various types of entropy measures that is used to evaluate the

Project		Eyes-closed	Myopia	Corrected vision	<i>F</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	Post-hoc comparisons			
							Eyes- closed VS. myopia	Eyes-closed VS. corrected vision	Myopia VS. corrected vision	
							<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	
Standard value (%)	А	55.72 ± 9.30	62.77 ± 8.04	67.81 ± 8.24	56.656	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
	РМ	92.13 ± 16.09	101.51 ± 11.73	108.86 ± 13.01	38.751	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	
	PL	86.19 ± 17.30	101.50 ± 15.14	104.37 ± 13.30	39.368	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	_	
	Composite	78.01 ± 12.90	88.59 ± 10.51	93.68 ± 10.53	78.429	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
Original Value (cm)	А	50.73 ± 7.42	57.31 ± 7.03	61.48 ± 7.10	50.938	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
	РМ	84.13 ± 12.15	91.75 ± 10.29	98.07 ± 9.53	32.125	<0.001	0.003	<0.001	0.003	
	PL	78.70 ± 15.32	91.56 ± 11.96	94.33 ± 9.41	37.972	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	_	
	Composite	213.57 ± 31.21	240.61 ± 25.93	253.89 ± 22.74	71.325	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	

TABLE 2 Comparison of extension scores of the Y-balance test in different visual states (mean ± standard deviations).

Note: -, p > 0.05.

variation in postural swing with time, behavior regularity, and predictability. It is generally believed that the lower the SampEn, the more regular the COP signal regularity. The lower the automation of postural control, the higher the requirement for attention (Roerdink et al., 2011; Kędziorek and Błażkiewicz., 2020). Therefore, when visual information is impaired, automation of body postural control and adjustment is reduced. To maintain postural stability, myopia required more attention than corrected vision. In a study of postural control in children and adolescents of different ages, Kiefer et al. (2021) found that there was no difference in the length of the COP trajectory in the young group with eyes open, but the regularity of postural control in the eyes-closed state was higher than that in eyes-opened states. However, studies on the effects of vision on static balance had revealed that the static balance of college students in normal vision states was better than that of those eyes-closed (Bednarczuk et al., 2021). In this study, COP data were only different in corrected vision and eyes-closed states, but there was no difference between COP data of myopia and those of other states. This may be because the participants recruited in this study were young male undergraduates, and the task of standing on two feet under different visual states was relatively simple and not challenging for them (Sarto et al., 2022). In a study on the effect of corrected vision on postural stability, Bae et al. (2020) found that the static postural stability of uncorrected visual acuity (without glasses) was significantly lower than that of the corrected state. People with myopia were more unstable than those with emmetropia in terms of visual-evoked postural response (Sayah et al., 2016). When myopia progresses to a certain extent, it causes blurring of visual information and decreases contrast sensitivity and stereoscopic perception (Ikuno, 2017), which leads to a decline in postural stability. Myopia and eyes-closed states also led to a significant increase in the middle and high-frequency spectral energy. Bae et al. (2020) found that myopia increased the middle- and high-frequency spectral energy of somatosensory compared with corrected vision, which also proved that myopia had a negative effect on static postural stability.

In this study, we observed that the original and standard values of the dynamic postural stability of the lower extremity were significantly different among the three visual states. The dynamic stability in the corrected vision state was higher than the myopia and eyes-closed states, and myopia state higher than eyes-closed. However, the stability of PL myopia was similar to that of corrected vision, which was higher than that of the eyes-closed state. In the YBT, the lower limb joint movement strategies were different according to different directions and vision. Muscle activation was also different, and the TA and GA were more obviously affected by vision in the three directions. The activation and work of the TA and

Project		Eyes- closed	Myopia	Corrected vision	<i>F</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	Post-hoc comparisons		
		Closed					Eyes-closed VS. myopia	Eyes-closed VS. corrected vision	Myopia VS. corrected vision
							<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value
А	ankle	26.95 ± 8.91	31.56 ± 10.30	35.23 ± 9.39	17.408	<0.001	0.028	<0.001	0.013
	knee	45.24 ± 18.90	56.36 ± 21.89	64.85 ± 22.00	28.545	<0.001	0.001	<0.001	0.001
	hip	26.14 ± 16.00	25.61 ± 17.47	27.76 ± 21.69	0.409	0.584	_	_	_
РМ	ankle	22.41 ± 11.82	24.48 ± 11.05	26.42 ± 10.94	10.933	<0.001	0.021	0.002	_
	knee	43.56 ± 20.05	52.11 ± 18.34	63.56 ± 20.42	34.913	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	<0.001
	hip	58.13 ± 19.34	69.95 ± 17.04	79.38 ± 18.54	32.627	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002
PL	ankle	20.25 ± 9.79	25.27 ± 12.18	28.01 ± 14.60	27.900	<0.001	0.003	<0.001	_
	knee	28.19 ± 16.88	40.50 ± 19.30	42.04 ± 21.68	15.268	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	_
	hip	49.22 ± 15.08	65.44 ± 19.28	67.70 ± 18.55	24.490	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	_

TABLE 3 The Y-balance test comparison of maximum flexion angle of lower limb joint in three visual states (mean ± standard deviations).

Note: -, p > 0.05.

Project		Eyes- closed		Corrected vision	χ^{2-} value	<i>p</i> -value	Post-hoc comparisons			
				VISIOII			Eyes-closed VS. myopia	Eyes-closed VS. corrected vision	Myopia VS. corrected vision	
							<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	
Α	ТА	1.11 (0.65, 2.07)	0.78 (0.41, 0.53)	0.70 (0.37, 1.23)	30.900	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	_	
	GM	3.11 (1.48, 4.80)	2.60 (1.13, 3.70)	2.58 (1.08, 3.37)	16.300	<0.001	0.022	<0.001	_	
РМ	TA	0.83 (0.75, 2.24)	0.78 (0.50, 1.45)	0.60 (0.43, 1.31)	22.900	<.001	0.002	<0.001	_	
	GM	2.08 (0.92, 3.53)	1.85 (0.78, 3.01)	1.55 (0.59, 2.35)	30.700	<0.001	0.008	<0.001	0.034	
PL	TA	0.94 (0.72, 1.98)	0.72 (0.50, 1.69)	0.68 (0.40, 1.55)	30.700	<0.001	0.008	<0.001	0.034	
	GM	2.16 (0.94, 3.19)	1.32 (0.65, 2.50)	1.08 (0.55, 2.10)	36.400	<0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.034	

TABLE 4 The Y-balance test RMS comparison of lower limb muscles in three visual states (Median values (25 percent of quartiles, 75 percent of quartiles)).

Note: —, *p* > 0.05.

Project		Eyes- closed	Myopia	Corrected vision	χ^2 -value	<i>p</i> -value	Post-hoc comparisons			
		Closed	Closed	VISIOIT	value		Eyes-closed VS. myopia	Eyes-closed VS. corrected vision	Myopia VS. corrected vision	
							<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value	
А	TA	58.09 (38.48, 99.73)	42.02 (27.62, 84.69)	37.99 (21.66, 71.36)	30.700	<0.001	0.008	<0.001	0.034	
	GM	28.41 (19.38, 46.05)	25.26 (16.05, 42.81)	17.65 (11.82, 41.51)	19.900	<0.001	0.022	<0.001	_	
РМ	TA	54.13 (35.83, 71.08)	44.82 (27.78, 55.60)	39.21 (25.54, 50.69)	22.800	<0.001	0.013	<0.001	_	
	GM	26.00 (17.10, 43.64)	19.36 (15.39, 33.23)	15.87 (11.66, 35.39)	28.900	<0.001	0.022	<0.001	0.022	
PL	ТА	65.90 (38.89, 104.33)	48.12 (33.74, 85.89)	37.64 (30.66, 64.98)	25.900	<0.001	0.008	<0.001	_	
	GM	21.83 (14.69, 43.33)	18.61 (11.51, 40.38)	15.32 (10.74, 41.21)	12.700	0.002	0.005	0.008	_	

TABLE 5 The Y-balance test iEMG comparison of lower limb muscles in three visual states (Median values (25 percent of quartiles, 75 percent of quartiles)).

Note: --, p > 0.05.

GA from largest to smallest was as follows: eyes-closed, myopia, and corrected vision states. Vision is the main sensory modality required by the body to maintain postural stability (Grace et al., 2012). When the body was in the states of eyes-closed or myopia, the immediate disappearance or inaccuracy of visual information altered sensory input and decreased postural stability. Vision had a greater influence on dynamic stability in the A and PM directions of the YBT, in which postural stability in myopia was worse than that in the corrected vision state but better than that in the eyes-closed state. It was further explained that blurred or impaired vision would have a negative effect on postural stability. However, in the PL direction, the postural stability in the states of myopia and corrected vision were similar but higher than that of the eyes-closed states. This may be because the PL structure of the YBT was not similar to the A direction, where the stretching baffle could be seen directly. The head and neck were generally in a neutral position during PL stretching, regardless of whether the vision was corrected, and there was a deviation between the afferent and feedback of PL spatial positioning and movement accuracy.

In the YBT, vision had a great influence on the range of motion of the ankle, knee, and hip joints of the lower extremity, in which there were significant differences in the maximum flexion angles of the ankle and knee joints under the three types of visual acuity, and the angles in the corrected vision state were larger than the myopia and eyes-closed states, and the myopia state larger than eyes-closed. Differences were observed between the PM and PL components in the hip joints. In adults, the extension scores of the YBT were moderately positively correlated with the ankle dorsiflexion angle (Kang et al., 2015a; Kang et al., 2015b), and the hip joint was mainly reflected in the PM and PL components (Kang et al., 2015a; Kang et al., 2015b), which also showed a consistent trend in this study.

The visual system also plays an important role in postural control. Schmidt et al. (2021) compared the effects of eyeopening, eyes-closed, wearing sunglasses, and a dark environment on postural stability, and found that dynamic stability was worse when the eyes were closed, and the activation of the TA and GA was more obvious. Gao et al. (2020) also found that after visual deprivation, the work of TA and GM was higher than those before visual deprivation. Although the test methods were different in the YBT, especially in the A and PM directions, it was also found that the TA and GA were more obviously affected by visual acuity. The activation and work of the TA and GA from the largest to the smallest was as follows: eyes-closed, myopia, and corrected vision states. The neuromuscular control system includes four aspects: muscle strength, reaction time, proprioception, and postural control. When postural stability was disturbed, the neuromuscular control system enhanced postural control ability by regulating muscle activation and coordination (Pan and Jin., 2012). Combined with the range of motion of the ankle joint in the three visual states, it was found that when visual information was limited, the neuromuscular control system increased the activation and work of the TA and GA, and improved proprioception of the muscles around the ankle to meet the need for dynamic stability. In a study of the relationship between adolescent patients with myopia and abnormal body posture, Wang and Feng (2022) found that abnormal body posture increased the risk of myopia. Frequent reminders by teachers and increased physical activity could reduce cases of myopia caused by abnormal body posture. In this study, compared with corrected vision, myopia caused a decrease in dynamic postural stability and increased the activation of lower limb muscles, while the risk of falls was more related to dynamic stability (Ray et al., 2008; Jeter et al., 2015). Myopia may increase the risk of falls; therefore, it is particularly important to correct body posture, enhance physical exercise, and promptly prevent and control the degree of myopia.

This study mainly discussed the characteristics of postural stability in moderate myopic undergraduates in the state of myopia immediately after taking off their glasses. In the future, research should focus on a comparative analysis of the postural stability of myopia immediately after taking off the glasses and after adaptation (after a long period of adaptation). It should also explore the muscles around the knee and hip.

5 Conclusion

Moderate myopia has a negative effect on human postural stability. Compared with the corrected vision state, the stability of static posture in the state of myopia was decreased, and the postural regularity was more regular. Dynamic postural stability in the myopia state was also worse than that in the corrected vision state, and also increased activation and the work of muscles around the ankles.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

References

Bae, J. I., Yu, D. S., and Kim, S. Y. (2020). Effect of optical correction by fully corrected glasses on postural stability. *PLoS One* 15 (7), e0235919. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0235919

Balasukumaran, T., Gottlieb, U., and Springer, S. (2020). Muscle activation patterns during backward walking in people with chronic ankle instability. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 21 (1), 489. doi:10.1186/s12891-020-03512-x

Bednarczuk, G., Wiszomirska, I., Rutkowska, I., and Skowroński, W. (2021). Role of vision in static balance in persons with and without visual impairments. *Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 57 (4), 593–599. doi:10.23736/S1973-9087.21.06425-X

Chen, C., Yan, M., Huang, Z., and Song, Y. P. (2020). The evaluation of a two-year outcome of intravitreal conbercept versus ranibizumab for pathological myopic

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the ethical review board of the scientific research project of the Medical Ethics Committee of Binzhou Medical University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

ZH and XX conceived the presented idea, developed the framework, and wrote the manuscript. ZH processed the data. XX provided critical feedback and contributed to the final version. All authors were involved in the final direction of the paper and contributed to the final version of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

This study is not supported by the project fund.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

choroidal neovascularization. Curr. Eye Res. 45 (11), 1415–1421. doi:10.1080/02713683.2020.1742357

Coratella, G., Tornatore, G., Caccavale, F., Longo, S., Esposito, F., and Cè, E. (2021). The activation of gluteal, thigh, and lower back muscles in different squat variations performed by competitive bodybuilders: Implications for resistance training. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18 (2), 772. doi:10. 3390/ijerph18020772

Daniels, K. A. J., Henderson, G., Strike, S., Cosgrave, C., Fuller, C., and Falvey, É. (2019). The use of continuous spectral analysis for the assessment of postural stability changes after sports-related concussion. *J. Biomech.* 97, 109400. doi:10. 1016/j.jbiomech.2019.109400

Elboim-Gabyzon, M., Pitluk, M., and Shuper-Engelhard, E. (2022). The correlation between physical and emotional stabilities: A cross-sectional observational preliminary study. *Ann. Med.* 54 (1), 1678–1685. doi:10.1080/07853890.2022.2056241

Fang, C. C., and Guo, C. B. (2021). A study on the eyesight status and influencing factors of ordinary Senior High School students in China- based on the survey data of ten provinces and cities during the epidemic. *Educ. Sci. Res.* (02), 57–63. doi:10. 3969/j.issn.1009-718X.2021.02.009

Gao, T. Y., Zhang, X. Q., Xu, W., Qu, X. B., Qi, L. P., and Yuan, W. X. (2020). Research on the balance adjustment mechanism of single leg stance in aged people before and after visual deprivation[J]. *Chin. J. Rehabilitation Med.* 35 (4), 427–433. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1001-1242.2020.04.008

Goes, S., and Delbeke, H. (2022). Posterior chamber toric implantable collamer lenses vs LASIK for myopia and astigmatism: Systematic review. *J. Cataract. Refract Surg.* 48 (10), 1204–1210. doi:10.1097/j.jcrs.000000000001007

Gómez-Landero, L. A., Leal, D. O. P., Walker, C., and Floría, P. (2021). Static balance performance differs depending on the test, age and specific role played in acrobatic gymnastics. *Gait Posture* 90, 48–54. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2021.07.023

Grace, Gaerlan. M., Alpert, P. T., Cross, C., Louis, M., and Kowalski, S. (2012). Postural balance in young adults: The role of visual, vestibular and somatosensory systems. *J. Am. Acad. Nurse Pract.* 24 (6), 375–381. doi:10.1111/j.1745-7599.2012. 00699.x

Holden, B. A., Fricke, T. R., Wilson, D. A., Jong, M., Naidoo, K. S., Sankaridurg, P., et al. (2016). Global prevalence of myopia and high myopia and temporal trends from 2000 through 2050. *Ophthalmology* 123 (5), 1036–1042. doi:10.1016/j.ophtha. 2016.01.006

Ikuno, Y. (2017). Overview of the complications of high myopia. *Retina* 37 (12), 2347–2351. doi:10.1097/IAE.00000000001489

Isotalo, E., Kapoula, Z., Feret, P. H., Gauchon, K., Zamfirescu, F., and Gagey, P. M. (2004). Monocular versus binocular vision in postural control. *Auris Nasus Larynx* 31 (1), 11–17. doi:10.1016/j.anl.2003.10.001

Jeter, P. E., Haaz, Moonaz. S., Bittner, A. K., and Dagnelie, G. (2015). Ashtangabased yoga therapy increases the sensory contribution to postural stability in visually-impaired persons at risk for falls as measured by the wii balance board: A pilot randomized controlled trial. *PLoS One* 10 (6), e0129646. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0129646

Kanekar, N., Lee, Y. J., and Aruin, A. S. (2014). Frequency analysis approach to study balance control in individuals with multiple sclerosis. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 222, 91–96. doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2013.10.020

Kang, M. H., Kim, G. M., Kwon, O. Y., Weon, J. H., Oh, J. S., and An, D. H. (2015b). Relationship between the kinematics of the trunk and lower extremity and performance on the Y-balance test. *PM R.* 7 (11), 1152–1158. doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2015.05.004

Kang, M. H., Lee, D. K., Park, K. H., and Oh, J. S. (2015a). Association of ankle kinematics and performance on the y-balance test with inclinometer measurements on the weight-bearing-lunge test. J. Sport Rehabil. 24 (1), 62–67. doi:10.1123/jsr.2013-0117

Kędziorek, J., and Błażkiewicz, M. (2020). Nonlinear measures to evaluate upright postural stability: A systematic review. *Entropy (Basel)*. 22 (12), 1357. doi:10.3390/ e22121357

Kiefer, A. W., Armitano-Lago, C. N., Cone, B. L., Bonnette, S., Rhea, C. K., Cummins-Sebree, S., et al. (2021). Postural control development from late childhood through young adulthood. *Gait Posture* 86, 169–173. doi:10.1016/j. gaitpost.2021.02.030

Labanca, L., Ghislieri, M., Knaflitz, M., Barone, G., Bragonzoni, L., Agostini, V., et al. (2021). Muscle synergies for the control of single-limb stance with and without visual information in young individuals. *BMC Sports Sci. Med. Rehabil.* 13 (1), 163. doi:10.1186/s13102-021-00392-z

Liu, Y. L., Jhang, J. P., Hsiao, C. K., Tsai, T. H., and Wang, I. J. (2022). Influence of parental behavior on myopigenic behaviors and risk of myopia: Analysis of nationwide survey data in children aged 3 to 18 years. *BMC Public Health* 22 (1), 1637. doi:10.1186/s12889-022-14036-5

Lord, S. R., and Dayhew, J. (2001). Visual risk factors for falls in older people. J. Am. Geriatr. Soc. 49 (5), 508-515. doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2001.49107.x

Loughlin, P. J., and Redfern, M. S. (2001). Spectral characteristics of visually induced postural sway in healthy elderly and healthy young subjects. *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.* 9 (1), 24–30. doi:10.1109/7333.918273

Mueller, S., Stoll, J., Cassel, M., Engel, T., Mueller, J., and Mayer, F. (2018). Trunk peak torque, muscle activation pattern and sudden loading compensation in adolescent athletes with back pain. *J. Back Musculoskelet. Rehabil.* 32 (3), 379–388. doi:10.3233/BMR-181215

Pan, H. P., and Jin, H. Z. (2012). Neuromuscular control strategy of massage intervention on motor function of stroke[J]. *Chin. J. Rehabilitation Med.* 27 (11), 1065–1079. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1001-1242.2012.11.024

Pan, W. (2022). Actively explore the role of controllable environmental and behavioral factors in the prevention and control of myopia among children and adolescents[J]. *Chin. J. Sch. Health* 43 (09), 1281–1283+1292. doi:10.16835/j.cnki. 1000-9817.2022.09.001

Park, C. S., Choi, E. K., Han, K. D., Yoo, J., Ahn, H. J., Kwon, S., et al. (2022). Physical activity changes and the risk of incident atrial fibrillation in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A nationwide longitudinal follow-up cohort study of 1.8 million subjects. *Diabetes Care* 5, dc221655. doi:10.2337/dc22-1655

Pryhoda, M. K., Shelburne, K. B., Gorgens, K., Ledreux, A., Granholm, A. C., and Davidson, B. S. (2020). Centre of pressure velocity shows impairments in NCAA Division I athletes six months post-concussion during standing balance. *J. Sports Sci.* 38 (23), 2677–2687. doi:10.1080/02640414.2020.1795561

Ray, C. T., Horvat, M., Croce, R., Mason, R. C., and Wolf, S. L. (2008). The impact of vision loss on postural stability and balance strategies in individuals with profound vision loss. *Gait Posture* 28 (1), 58–61. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2007.09.010

Roerdink, M., Hlavackova, P., and Vuillerme, N. (2011). Center-of-pressure regularity as a marker for attentional investment in postural control: A comparison between sitting and standing postures. *Hum. Mov. Sci.* 30 (2), 203–212. doi:10.1016/j.humov.2010.04.005

Salas-Gómez, D., Fernández-Gorgojo, M., Sanchez-Juan, P., Bercero, E. L., Isabel, P. M., and Barbado, D. (2022). Quantifying balance deficit in people with ankle fracture six months after surgical intervention through the Y-Balance test. *Gait Posture* 95, 249–255. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2020.10.037

Sarto, F., Pizzichemi, M., Chiossi, F., Bisiacchi, P. S., Franchi, M. V., Narici, M. V., et al. (2022). Physical active lifestyle promotes static and dynamic balance performance in young and older adults. *Front. Physiol.* 13, 986881. doi:10.3389/fphys.2022.986881

Sayah, D. N., Asaad, K., Hanssens, J. M., Giraudet, G., and Faubert, J. (2016). Myopes show greater visually induced postural responses than emmetropes. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 57 (2), 551–556. doi:10.1167/iovs.15-17478

Schmidt, D., Carpes, F. P., Milani, T. L., and Germano, A. M. C. (2021). Different visual manipulations have similar effects on quasi-static and dynamic balance responses of young and older people. *PeerJ* 9, e11221. doi:10.7717/peerj.11221

Schwesig, R., Goldich, Y., Hahn, A., Müller, A., Kohen-Raz, R., Kluttig, A., et al. (2011). Postural control in subjects with visual impairment. *Eur. J. Ophthalmol.* 21 (3), 303–309. doi:10.5301/EJO.2010.5504

Stins, J. F., Michielsen, M. E., Roerdink, M., and Beek, P. J. (2009). Sway regularity reflects attentional involvement in postural control: Effects of expertise, vision and cognition. *Gait Posture* 30 (1), 106–109. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2009.04.001

Tanaka, S., Ando, K., Kobayashi, K., Nakashima, H., Seki, T., Ishizuka, S., et al. (2020). Locomotive syndrome and the power spectral characteristics of body sway. *Geriatr. Gerontol. Int.* 20 (7), 691–696. doi:10.1111/ggi.13937

Tang, Y., Li, Y., Yang, M., Zheng, X., An, B., and Zheng, J. (2022). The effect of hip abductor fatigue on knee kinematics and kinetics during normal gait. *Front. Neurosci.* 16, 1003023. doi:10.3389/fnins.2022.1003023

Van Melick, N., Meddeler, B. M., Hoogeboom, T. J., Nijhuis-van der Sanden, M. W. G., and van Cingel, R. E. H. (2017). How to determine leg dominance: The agreement between self-reported and observed performance in healthy adults. *PLoS One* 12 (12), e0189876. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0189876

Wang, F., and Feng, Q. (2022). Study on the relationship between myopia and abnormal body posture in adolescents [J]. *Chin. Youth Stud.* (03), 80–88. doi:10. 19633/j.cnki.11-2579/d.2022.0033

Willis, J. R., Vitale, S. E., Agrawal, Y., and Ramulu, P. Y. (2013). Visual impairment, uncorrected refractive error, and objectively measured balance in the United States. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* 131 (8), 1049–1056. doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2013.316

Yamagata, M., Ikezoe, T., Kamiya, M., Masaki, M., and Ichihashi, N. (2017). Correlation between movement complexity during static standing and balance function in institutionalized older adults. *Clin. Interv. Aging* 12, 499–503. doi:10. 2147/CIA.S132425

Yu, H. B., Li, J., Zhang, R., Hao, W. Y., Lin, J. Z., and Tai, W. H. (2022). Effects of jump-rope-specific footwear selection on lower extremity biomechanics. *Bioeng.* (*Basel*). 9 (4), 135. doi:10.3390/bioengineering9040135

Zafar, H., Alghadir, A. H., Iqbal, Z. A., Iqbal, A., Anwer, S., and Alnahdi, A. H. (2020). Influence of different jaw positions on dynamic balance using Y-balance test. *Brain Behav.* 10 (1), e01507. doi:10.1002/brb3.1507