Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yiming Zhang, Xinqiao Hospital, China

REVIEWED BY

Bian Donghui, 960th Hospital of the PLA, China Yongqiang Feng, Plastic Surgery Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Guobao Huang, ⊠ 13370582872@126.com

RECEIVED 26 March 2023 ACCEPTED 03 October 2023 PUBLISHED 12 October 2023

CITATION

Qiu X, Luo H and Huang G (2023), Roles of negative pressure wound therapy for scar revision. *Front. Physiol.* 14:1194051. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2023.1194051

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Qiu, Luo and Huang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Roles of negative pressure wound therapy for scar revision

Xiaotong Qiu^{1,2}, Haoming Luo³ and Guobao Huang^{2,4}*

¹Affiliated Hospital of Weifang Medical University, School of Clinical Medicine, Weifang Medical University, Weifang, China, ²Department of Burns and Plastic Surgery, Jinan Central Hospital, Jinan, China, ³Department of Thyroid Head Neck and Maxillofacial Surgery, The Third Hospital of Mianyang & Sichuan Mental Health Center, Mianyang, China, ⁴Department of Burns and Plastic Surgery, Central Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, China

The purpose of this study is to review the research progress of negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) for scar revision and discuss the prospects of its further study and application. The domestic and foreign literatures on NPWT for scar revision were reviewed. The mechanism and application were summarized. NPWT improves microcirculation and lymphatic flow and stimulates the growth of granulation tissues in addition to draining secretions and necrotic tissue. As a significant clinical therapy in scar revision, NPWT reduces tension, fixes graft, and improves wound bed. In the field of scar revision, NPWT has been increasingly used as an innovative and constantly improving technology.

KEYWORDS

burns, negative pressure wound therapy, scar revision, skin transplantation, flap transplantation

1 Introduction

Scar hyperplasia and contracture deformity bring serious harm to the patients' physical and mental health. They damage appearances and affect functions of the patients, along with discomfort and unpleasant feelings such as itchiness, redness, pain, depression, and fear. Patients who have chronic ulcer and refractory wound in scar tissue are more likely to develop scar cancer.

Currently, scar revision methods include scar excision and skin grafting, composite skin grafting over human acellular dermal matrix scaffold, and expanded flaps. With the development of negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT), the effect of scar revision has been significantly improved. The use of NPWT in burn surgery has attracted much attention (Chai and Shen, 2015), and its concept of promoting wound repair has taken root in people's hearts. However, little attention has been paid to its function in scar revision.

In recent years, NPWT has been recommended as a significant treatment for scar revision (Cai et al., 2017). The technique is simple and has a good prognosis. Since published studies on scar revision rarely present the effect of NPWT alone, this study also refers to wounds similar to scar revision.

2 NPWT materials

In NPWT, the materials used include a foam sponge, drainage tube, and semipermeable membrane. Two main types of foam are available; a polyurethane foam or a denser polyvinyl alcohol foam. Suction in negative pressure creates a clean and one-way sealed environment around the wound. The characteristics of foam sponges have been widely reported (Agarwal et al., 2019). The neglected semipermeable membrane is also related to effectiveness and comfort (Dooley et al., 2012). It is a one-way, breathable, transparent film, and its main components are polyurethane and

acrylic. Oxygen can enter through the dressing, and water vapor and carbon dioxide leave the wound. Consequently, little infiltration occurs around the wound.

Several technical advances in NPWT devices and dressings have been made. The feasibility of home NPWT and single-use NPWT has been verified. (Mushin et al., 2017; Lim et al., 2021; Wilkinson et al., 2021). Other dressings may be considered for use in combination with NPWT. The gauze is placed over the wound to prevent the granulation from growing into the negative pressure material. Silver-ion dressings may increase the antibacterial effect of NPWT. In addition, the foam dressing is fixed around the negative pressure material to avoid tension blisters. Notably, some devices are only validated *in vitro*.

3 NPWT mechanism

3.1 Drain secretions and remove necrotic tissue

NPWT has been recognized for its remarkable effect on enhancing wound drainage and removing bacterial products (Agarwal et al., 2019). It is carried out in a closed environment to prevent cross-infection (Huang et al., 2021). In addition, the vascularized bed with a low degree of bacterial colonization promotes the likelihood that a skin graft would succeed (Kantak et al., 2016). The NPWT group had considerably higher CD34 and CD68 levels than the traditional group (Yang et al., 2021). It could play an important role in the inflammatory response of wound healing by effectively draining secretions and removing necrotic tissues.

3.2 Promote circulation and lymphatic return, reduce hematoma and edema

The pressure difference between the inside and outside of the capillaries and the endothelial intercellular space of lymphatic capillaries are both increased by the mechanical traction of negative pressure. In addition, it appears that the levels of blood supply and lymphatic return flow have increased. Furthermore, tissue edemas are reduced (Cagney et al., 2020).

Vascular endothelial growth factor and angiopoietin-2 (Ang-2) levels in the wound are much higher in NPWT, which may be caused by the closed and hypoxic environment (Ma et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2021). Negative pressure provides effective and continuous power to the local circulation of the wound, reducing the seroma and hematoma, as compared with traditional dressing (Nagata et al., 2018; Mangelsdorff et al., 2019; Zwanenburg et al., 2020; Bueno-Lledo et al., 2021).

3.3 Stimulate granulation tissue growth and improve vascular bed conditions

Studies found that graft loss was associated with improper placement of skin grafts on an ill-prepared wound bed (Hsiao et al., 2017). NPWT improves wound healing by local immune modulation, hypoxiamediated signaling and mechanoreceptors (Glass et al., 2014). Higher levels of cellular fibronectin (cFN) and transforming growth factor- β 1 (TGF- β 1) were expressed in the NPWT group compared to the traditional group, which stimulated granulation tissue formation (Yang et al., 2017).

Continuous negative pressure reduces the time needed to heal before skin grafting by drawing interstitial fluid from the wound, promoting the growth of capillaries and granulation tissue and improving blood circulation (Sun et al., 2021).

4 Clinical application of NPWT in scar revision

NPWT maintains negative pressure in the wound for wound treatment by attaching suction devices to specialized wound dressings. The use of NPWT in burn surgery has received a lot of interest. Its mechanism and efficacy in preventing infection, increasing blood supply, and reducing edema have all been demonstrated (Chai and Shen, 2015).

4.1 Reduce incision tension

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are usually accompanied by dehiscence of surgical wounds (Strickler et al., 2021). The negative pressure material and semipermeable membrane can transfer the incision tension to the surrounding skin. Less lateral force may resist mechanical stresses, delaying closure and predisposes wounds to dehiscence and infection. Smaller sponges, such as 3-cm-wide, should be considered to reduce incision tension and dehiscence (Googe et al., 2020).

Reconstruction of contracture scar often requires scar modification and flap transplantation. The tension of the incision increases after suturing the flap, which might cause skin marginal necrosis. The technique in NPWT reduces incision tension and improves blood flow in the flap, especially at the edge and tip of the wound. At the same time, the two sides of the incision were matched neatly to improve the healing quality. In 219 incision cases, Chai and Shen (2015) used the NPWT to reduce tension following scar resection, and there were no complications. Cai et al. (2017) combined the NPWT and scar excision to treat 25 burn children with hypertrophic scars. All incisions healed well without redness, effusion, and rupture.

According to a multinational, observer-blinded randomized controlled trial (RCT) involving 507 patients from 31 centers, the NPWT is effectively treats subcutaneous wound healing impairment following surgery (Seidel et al., 2020). The majority of wounds in the NPWT group were sutured. However, in the traditional group, there was a higher rate of wounds healed by secondary intention.

4.2 Prevent infection

SSIs are one of the most common postoperative complications (Bhangu et al., 2018). The use of NPWT in surgical incisions significantly resulted in a lower SSI risk at 30 days and 3 months postoperatively and reduced hospitalization costs (O'Leary et al., 2017; Javed et al., 2019; Hasselmann et al., 2020; Bueno-Lledo et al., 2021). The above wound is similar to the wound after scar resection, with high incision tension, which is characterized by easy rupture and infection. The effect of SSI prevention was more pronounced

in the NPWT group when the incidence of SSIs was \geq 20% in the traditional group (Meyer et al., 2021).

Notably, not all surgical incisions benefit from NPWT (Gabriel et al., 2019). Tuuli et al. (2020) observed no significant difference in SSI risk reduction between the NPWT group and the conventional dressing group in a RCT of 1,608 obese women undergoing cesarean delivery. A meta-analysis involving 792 patients from five RCTs also reported conflicting results. The study concluded that the current evidence does not support the efficacy of routine NPWT to prevent SSIs (Kuper et al., 2020).

Many factors, such as the procedure type, wound classification, negative pressure device, and parameters, contribute to the above differences. NPWT for different wounds should be cautiously adopted, and the available incision management plans must assess and address each case. Furthermore, several RCTs are in progress, and we await their results.

4.3 Fix graft and promote survival

Skin grafts are widely used to repair large skin defect following scar release and resection. However, there are problems with the traditional way to dress the wound, that is, there are instances of uneven pressure, improper tension, and insufficient drainage, especially on uneven or mobile surfaces, such as neck and joint. NPWT is an effective way to fix skin grafts following scar resection, resulting in proper pressure, stable tension, and adequate drainage of the graft area. It has also demonstrated significant advantages in reducing wound infection, healing time, and hospital stay (Li et al., 2017).

NPWT produces promising results (Nakamura et al., 2018; Nakamura et al., 2021; Pedrazzi et al., 2021). Improvement of blood circulation promotes the survival of the skin graft. Furthermore, NPWT can treat potentially infected wounds and reduce the duration of antibiotic therapy. Thus, NPWT significantly increased graft survival, and reduced the incidence of reoperation because of skin graft failure (Yin et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2021). It is successfully applied to keep the graft immobilized, especially in exudative, irregular, and muscle-exposed wounds and special anatomical sites, with no serious wound-related adverse effects observed. In some cases, it is highly recommended that the gauze isolate the graft from a foam sponge, such as muscle-exposed wounds (Nakamura et al., 2021). It prevents difficulty in detaching when the NPWT sponge was removed.

NPWT reduces surgery time by saving fixation after skin transplantation. Furthermore, NPWT can be applied to secure grafts without any sutures or staples (Inatomi et al., 2019). It means that pain, staple retention, and complications associated with this procedure were avoided.

Simao (2020) developed a simple dressing for applying negative pressure after skin grafting. It is mainly made with three layers: petrolatum gauze soaked in ointment, gauze pad, and waterproof transparent film. All the air is aspirated using a 20 cc syringe, after fixing the dressing on the graft. In this way, effective fixation and pressure can last up to 5–7 days. However, the lack of drainage, display, and adjustability of negative pressure parameters is a downside.

The U-shaped form fashioned by researchers was applied over the suture line. Its opening was at the root of the vessel after flap reconstruction. Consequently, the vascular pedicle may be kept from compressing. And the condition and temperature of the flap could be monitored (Chen et al., 2021). This innovative modification of eliminates the concern that NPWT affects blood flow in the vascular pedicle.

4.4 Preparation before skin transplantation to improve the success rate of surgery

The wound surface following scar release or resection is often uneven, which needs to be covered by flaps or skin grafts. NPWT before transplantation can improve the survival rate, especially when the condition of the wound bed is not ideal. At the same time, the compression of the negative pressure dressing may also tighten the wound edge and reduce the extent of the wound, ultimately reducing the area of skin grafting (Huang et al., 2021).

Scar reconstructive wounds have few evidence on NPWT repairing wound beds; however, other wounds of similar types have been reported. In the treatment of necrotizing fasciitis and chronic venous leg ulcers (CVLUs), NPWT can be used as a wound bed preparation (Ren et al., 2020; Zhang B. R. et al., 2021). Common complications were effectively reduced on the account of applying NPWT before and after skin grafting in electrical burns and diabetic foot wounds (Smud-Orehovec et al., 2018; Gomez-Ortega et al., 2021).

Patients using NPWT might experience fewer SSI during primary closure of surgical wounds (Norman et al., 2020). By using an emergency delay method and NPWT, Ishii et al. (2020) were able to successfully salvage a severely congested propeller perforator flap. Interestingly, the flap had transferred to the donor site for some time. Then, it was retransferred to the defect on day 19 after the wound bed was prepared using NPWT. After flap necrosis in the primary operation, Gigliotti et al. (2021) prepared the wound bed for the second operation combined with debridement, antibiotics, and NPWT. And there was 100% viability for above retransplanted flaps.

4.5 Reduce dressing and pain

The wound is maintained relatively clean and moist because of NPWT. Replacement of the dressings was reduced, which decreases pain experience and the workload of medical staff. The economic burden and the length of hospital stay were also cut down (Hsiao et al., 2017; Yin et al., 2018). Especially, children have a low pathophysiological pain tolerance. NPWT reduces pain, which helps children comply more readily (Huang et al., 2021). The NPWT is a reliable, simple procedure with an excellent clinical utility and feasibility.

NPWT significantly reduces the donor site pain (Kantak et al., 2017). It may be related to the good fixation of the negative pressure dressing and the reduced shear force of the traditional dressing. In the meantime, NPWT promotes reepithelialization, accelerates healing, and reduces scar formation. In addition, the moist wound environment is the first choice for healing at the donor site. NPWT was found to lower the occurrence of flap donor sites significantly (Mangelsdorff et al., 2019).

4.6 Reduce secondary scar

NPWT can promote wound healing after scar resection and reduce secondary scars. This advantage distinguishes it from traditional dressings. Preclinical studies have shown that NPWT increases wound strength and reduces scar width (Zwanenburg et al., 2021). After scar removal and NPWT application, the appearance, function, and comfort of the children all clearly improved (Cai et al., 2017). Furthermore, the scar area was significantly reduced, ranging from 36% to 100% 6 months after the surgery. NPWT uses a simple and effective device that improves the appearance and histochemical properties of incision scars. Its effective fixation and compression can reduce collagen deposition and scar formation (Nagata et al., 2018).

NPWT improved the smoothness of the scar formed after skin grafting and the satisfaction of the patients and researchers with the scar (Mo et al., 2021; Zwanenburg et al., 2021). Unlike conventional fixation techniques, NPWT applies negative pressure between the graft and the recipient, removing space and attracts the entire graft with uniform pressure. The possible reason is that NPWT provided a more uniform pressure and prevention of shear force, resulting in a uniform thickness of scar tissue. The surface of the scar is more regular and flatter.

4.7 Complications

NPWT may be more likely to develop skin blisters than standard dressing (Kuo et al., 2021; Norman et al., 2022). It recovers on its own in approximately 1 week. Appropriately changing the shape of the NPWT dressing can reduce the formation of tension blisters on the edge of the dressing (Zhang C. et al., 2021), because it can avoid the gap between the dressing and the skin when a semipermeable membrane is attached.

Importantly, inappropriate use of NPWT might result in severe complications such as skin necrosis, bleeding, and allergic reactions (Agarwal et al., 2019; Ji et al., 2021). Medical staff should observe the effect of NPWT. Once these phenomena occur, NPWT must be stopped.

5 Parameter of NPWT in scar revision

The optimum negative pressure of NPWT create a favorable environment for wound healing (Horch et al., 2020). It is generally believed that 125 mmHg provides the most conducive environment for granulation tissue growth and blood supply. Zhu et al. (2021) also showed that an environment with a pressure of 125 mmHg in NPWT could accelerate bone regeneration. A single pressure setting throughout may not be the best choice for all wounds.

In recent years, the setting of low negative pressure has attracted much attention. A systematic review suggested that high negative pressure may cause the ineffectiveness of NPWT on graft survival (Shimada et al., 2022). A lower negative pressure, such as 75 mmHg, is ideal for initial engraftment because it promotes strong adherence between the skin graft and the wound bed (Maruccia et al., 2017).

Other factors that are easily ignored when setting negative pressure parameter include age and constitution. Adult devices and NPWT parameters have been adapted to pediatric use (de Jesus et al., 2018). Extra care is needed to protect the delicate tissues of pediatric or weak patients. The negative pressure should be reduced appropriately, and it is not >75 mmHg.

Compared with the continuous mode, the intermittent mode significantly promotes wound healing. But it also increases pain experience. The circulatory mode activates, changing the circulatory within a certain range of negative pressure. The curative effect is comparable to intermittent mode, but the pain is significantly reduced.

It should be noted that NPWT dressings are challenging to apply to areas without sufficient healthy skin (Jiang et al., 2021). For irregular wounds, it is difficult to maintain appropriate negative pressure. In addition, NPWT may leak air due to patients' movement and perspiration, affecting the treatment effect.

The new monitoring equipment mainly focuses on *in vitro* studies, demonstrating its application potential. A noninvasive system was designed for adjusting the NPWT parameters (Wilkinson et al., 2021). Bioreactors, which evaluate the effect of NPWT on skin anatomy and physiology, also helpin parameter adjustment. (Notorgiacomo et al., 2022).

6 Summary and prospect

There are few articles summarizing the research of NPWT for scar revision. Although there are few separate literatures, NPWT is sometimes used as an important supplementary method in the traditional research of scar revision. The role of NPWT in other similar wounds may also be beneficial for patients with scar revision.

There was no significant increase in wound-related adverse events with NPWT compared with conventional care. Complications can be prevented by appropriate measures. In recent years, the cost of NPWT has been reduced, which relieves economic burden of patients. And it is worthy of clinical promotion. In addition, more studies are needed to elucidate the mechanism of NPWT in scar revision.

Future research should examine fixation time and observation time to find a better option for parameter, so as to provide the basis for the guidelines.

Author contributions

XQ: manuscript drafting. GH and HL: critical revisions. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

Supported by the Shandong First Medical University Youth Science Foundation Training Funding Program (202201-130).

Acknowledgments

Thanks to the handsome and warm-hearted Xiaodong Sun for the guidance of English writing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

Agarwal, P., Kukrele, R., and Sharma, D. (2019). Vacuum assisted closure (VAC)/ negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) for difficult wounds: a review. J. Clin. Orthop. Trauma 10 (5), 845–848. doi:10.1016/j.jcot.2019.06.015

Bhangu, A., Ademuyiwa, A. O., Aguilera, M. L., Alexander, P., Al-Saqqa, S. W., Borda-Luque, G., et al. (2018). Surgical site infection after gastrointestinal surgery in highincome, middle-income, and low-income countries: a prospective, international, multicentre cohort study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 18 (5), 516–525. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(18)30101-4

Bueno-Lledo, J., Franco-Bernal, A., Garcia-Voz-Mediano, M. T., Torregrosa-Gallud, A., and Bonafe, S. (2021). Prophylactic single-use negative pressure dressing in closed surgical wounds after incisional hernia repair: a randomized, controlled trial. *Ann. Surg.* 273 (6), 1081–1086. doi:10.1097/SLA.000000000004310

Cagney, D., Simmons, L., O'Leary, D. P., Corrigan, M., Kelly, L., O'Sullivan, M. J., et al. (2020). The efficacy of prophylactic negative pressure wound therapy for closed incisions in breast surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J. Surg.* 44 (5), 1526–1537. doi:10.1007/s00268-019-05335-x

Cai, J. H., Deng, H. P., Shen, Z. A., Sun, T. J., Li, D. J., Li, D. W., et al. (2017). Effects of scar excision combined with negative-pressure on repair of hypertrophic scar in burn children. *Zhonghua Shao Shang Za Zhi* 33 (7), 410–414. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.1009-2587.2017.07.003

Chai, J., and Shen, C. (2015). Lay emphasis on the application of negative pressure wound therapy technique in burn surgery. *Chin j Burns* 31 (2), 81–83. doi:10.3760/cma. j.issn.1009-2587.2015.02.001

Chen, C. Y., Kuo, S. M., Tarng, Y. W., and Lin, K. C. (2021). Immediate application of negative pressure wound therapy following lower extremity flap reconstruction in sixteen patients. *Sci. Rep.* 11 (1), 21158. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-00369-5

de Jesus, L. E., Martins, A. B., Oliveira, P. B., Gomes, F., Leve, T., and Dekermacher, S. (2018). Negative pressure wound therapy in pediatric surgery: how and when to use. *J. Pediatr. Surg.* 53 (4), 585–591. doi:10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2017.11.048

Dooley, T. P., Ellis, A. L., Belousova, M., Petersen, D., and DeCarlo, A. A. (2012). Dense chitosan surgical membranes produced by a coincident compression-dehydration process. *J. Biomaterials Sci. Polym. Ed.* 24 (5), 621–643. doi:10.1080/09205063.2012.701549

Gabriel, A., Gupta, S., and Orgill, D. P. (2019). Challenges and management of surgical site occurrences. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 143, 7S-10S-10S. doi:10.1097/PRS. 000000000005305

Gigliotti, M. J., Patel, N., McLaughlin, C., Rothermel, A., Henry, C., and Rizk, E. (2021). Osteoradionecrosis and microvascular free flap failure managed with negative pressure wound therapy: a case report. *Br. J. Neurosurg.* 21, 1–6. doi:10.1080/02688697. 2021.1940845

Glass, G. E., Murphy, G. F., Esmaeili, A., Lai, L. M., and Nanchahal, J. (2014). Systematic review of molecular mechanism of action of negative-pressure wound therapy. *Br. J. Surg.* 101 (13), 1627–1636. doi:10.1002/bjs.9636

Gomez-Ortega, V., Vergara-Rodriguez, M. J., Mendoza, B., and Garcia, T. (2021). Effect of negative pressure wound therapy in electrical burns. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg. Glob. Open* 9 (2), e3383. doi:10.1097/GOX.00000000003383

Googe, B., Davidson, J. C., Arnold, P. B., and Medina, A. (2020). Closed incisional negative pressure wound therapy sponge width and tension off-loading: a laboratory model. *Ann. Plastic Surg.* 85 (3), 295–298. doi:10.1097/sap. 00000000002217

Hasselmann, J., Bjork, J., Svensson-Bjork, R., and Acosta, S. (2020). Inguinal vascular surgical wound protection by incisional negative pressure wound therapy: a randomized controlled trial-INVIPS trial. *Ann. Surg.* 271 (1), 48–53. doi:10.1097/SLA. 00000000003364

Horch, R. E., Ludolph, I., Muller-Seubert, W., Zetzmann, K., Hauck, T., Arkudas, A., et al. (2020). Topical negative-pressure wound therapy: emerging devices and techniques. *Expert Rev. Med. Devices* 17 (2), 139–148. doi:10.1080/17434440.2020. 1714434

Hsiao, S. F., Ma, H., Wang, Y. H., and Wang, T. H. (2017). Occlusive drainage system for split-thickness skin graft: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Burns* 43 (2), 379–387. doi:10.1016/j.burns.2016.08.025

Huang, Q., Huang, K., and Xue, J. (2021). Vacuum sealing drainage combined with free anterolateral femoral skin flap grafting in 16 cases of pediatric soft tissue damage to the foot and ankle. *Transl. Pediatr.* 10 (10), 2489–2495. doi:10.21037/tp-21-399

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Inatomi, Y., Kadota, H., Kamizono, K., Hanada, M., and Yoshida, S. (2019). Securing split-thickness skin grafts using negative-pressure wound therapy without suture fixation. *J. Wound Care* 28 (8), S16-S21-S21. doi:10.12968/jowc.2019.28.Sup8.S16

Ishii, N., Kiuchi, T., Uno, T., Uoya, Y., and Kishi, K. (2020). Effective salvage surgery of a severely congested propeller perforator flap using a postoperative delay technique and negative-pressure wound therapy. *Int. J. Low. Extrem Wounds* 19 (1), 86–88. doi:10. 1177/1534734619863513

Javed, A. A., Teinor, J., Wright, M., Ding, D., Burkhart, R. A., Hundt, J., et al. (2019). Negative pressure wound therapy for surgical-site infections: a randomized trial. *Ann. Surg.* 269 (6), 1034–1040. doi:10.1097/SLA.00000000003056

Ji, S., Liu, X., Huang, J., Bao, J., Chen, Z., Han, C., et al. (2021). Consensus on the application of negative pressure wound therapy of diabetic foot wounds. *Burns Trauma* 9, tkab018. doi:10.1093/burnst/tkab018

Jiang, Z. Y., Yu, X. T., Liao, X. C., Liu, M. Z., Fu, Z. H., Min, D. H., et al. (2021). Negative-pressure wound therapy in skin grafts: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Burns* 47 (4), 747–755. doi:10.1016/j.burns.2021.02.012

Kantak, N. A., Mistry, R., Varon, D. E., and Halvorson, E. G. (2017). Negative pressure wound therapy for burns. *Clin. Plast. Surg.* 44 (3), 671–677. doi:10.1016/j.cps.2017. 02.023

Kantak, N. A., Mistry, R., and Halvorson, E. G. (2016). A review of negative-pressure wound therapy in the management of burn wounds. *Burns* 42 (8), 1623–1633. doi:10. 1016/j.burns.2016.06.011

Kuo, F.-C., Hsu, C.-W., Tan, T. L., Lin, P.-Y., Tu, Y.-K., and Chen, P.-C. (2021). Effectiveness of different wound dressings in the reduction of blisters and periprosthetic joint infection after total joint arthroplasty: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *J. Arthroplasty* 36 (7), 2612–2629. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2021.02.047

Kuper, T. M., Murphy, P. B., Kaur, B., and Ott, M. C. (2020). Prophylactic negative pressure wound therapy for closed laparotomy incisions: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ann. Surg.* 271 (1), 67–74. doi:10.1097/SLA.00000000003435

Li, Z., Wu, W., Liu, S., and Hao, Y. (2017). Effect of vacuum sealing drainage in dermatoplasty of large area of cutaneous defects. *Int. J. Surg.* 42, 143–146. doi:10.1016/j. ijsu.2017.04.059

Lim, X., Zhang, L., Hong, Q., Yong, E., Neo, S., Chandrasekar, S., et al. (2021). Novel home use of mechanical negative pressure wound therapy in diabetic foot ulcers. *J. Wound Care* 30 (12), 1006–1010. doi:10.12968/jowc.2021.30.12.1006

Meyer, J., Roos, E., Abbassi, Z., Buchs, N. C., Ris, F., and Toso, C. (2021). Prophylactic negative-pressure wound therapy prevents surgical site infection in abdominal surgery: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and observational studies. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 73 (11), e3804–e3813. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa1203

Ma, Z., Shou, K., Li, Z., Jian, C., Qi, B., and Yu, A. (2016). Negative pressure wound therapy promotes vessel destabilization and maturation at various stages of wound healing and thus influences wound prognosis. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 11 (4), 1307–1317. doi:10.3892/etm.2016.3083

Mangelsdorff, G., Cuevas, P., Rodriguez, J. R., Pereira, N., Ramirez, E., and Yanez, R. (2019). Reduced anterolateral thigh flap donor-site morbidity using incisional negative pressure therapy. *J. Reconstr. Microsurg* 35 (3), 229–234. doi:10.1055/s-0038-1672126

Maruccia, M., Onesti, M. G., Sorvillo, V., Albano, A., Dessy, L. A., Carlesimo, B., et al. (2017). An alternative treatment strategy for complicated chronic wounds: negative pressure therapy over mesh skin graft. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2017, 8395219. doi:10.1155/ 2017/8395219

Mo, R., Ma, Z., Chen, C., Meng, X., and Tan, Q. (2021). Short- and long-term efficacy of negative-pressure wound therapy in split-thickness skin grafts: a retrospective study. *Ann. Palliat. Med.* 10 (3), 2935–2947. doi:10.21037/apm-20-1806

Mushin, O. P., Bogue, J. T., Esquenazi, M. D., Toscano, N., and Bell, D. E. (2017). Use of a home vacuum-assisted closure device in the burn population is both cost-effective and efficacious. *Burns* 43 (3), 490–494. doi:10.1016/j.burns.2016.08.038

Nagata, T., Miura, K., Homma, Y., and Fukamizu, H. (2018). Comparison between negative-pressure fixation and film dressing in wound management after tissue expansion: a randomized controlled trial. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.* 142 (1), 37–41. doi:10.1097/PRS.000000000004470

Nakamura, Y., Fujisawa, Y., Ishitsuka, Y., Tanaka, R., Maruyama, H., Okiyama, N., et al. (2018). Negative-pressure closure was superior to tie-over technique for stabilization of split-thickness skin graft in large or muscle-exposing defects: a retrospective study. J. Dermatol 45 (10), 1207–1210. doi:10.1111/1346-8138.14536

Nakamura, Y., Ishitsuka, Y., Sasaki, K., Ishizuki, S., Tanaka, R., Okiyama, N., et al. (2021). A prospective, phase II study on the safety and efficacy of negative pressure closure for the stabilization of split-thickness skin graft in large or muscle-exposing defects: the NPSG study. *J. Dermatol* 48 (9), 1350–1356. doi:10.1111/1346-8138. 15970

Norman, G., Goh, E. L., Dumville, J. C., Shi, C., Liu, Z., Chiverton, L., et al. (2020). Negative pressure wound therapy for surgical wounds healing by primary closure. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 6, CD009261. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009261.pub6

Norman, G., Shi, C., Goh, E. L., Murphy, E. M. A., Reid, A., Chiverton, L., et al. (2022). Negative pressure wound therapy for surgical wounds healing by primary closure. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* 2022 (4), CD009261. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009261. pub7

Notorgiacomo, G., Klug, J., Rapp, S., Boyce, S. T., and Schutte, S. C. (2022). A bioreactor for studying negative pressure wound therapy on skin grafts. *Int. Wound J.* 19 (3), 633–642. doi:10.1111/iwj.13661

O'Leary, D. P., Peirce, C., Anglim, B., Burton, M., Concannon, E., Carter, M., et al. (2017). Prophylactic negative pressure dressing use in closed laparotomy wounds following abdominal operations: a randomized, controlled, open-label trial: the P.I.C.O. Trial. *Ann. Surg.* 265 (6), 1082–1086. doi:10.1097/SLA.000000000002098

Pedrazzi, N. E., Naiken, S., and La Scala, G. (2021). Negative pressure wound therapy in pediatric burn patients: a systematic review. *Adv. Wound Care (New Rochelle)* 10 (5), 270–280. doi:10.1089/wound.2019.1089

Ren, S. Y., Liu, Y. S., Zhu, G. J., Liu, M., Shi, S. H., Ren, X. D., et al. (2020). Strategies and challenges in the treatment of chronic venous leg ulcers. *World J. Clin. Cases* 8 (21), 5070–5085. doi:10.12998/wjcc.v8.i21.5070

Seidel, D., Diedrich, S., Herrle, F., Thielemann, H., Marusch, F., Schirren, R., et al. (2020). Negative pressure wound therapy vs conventional wound treatment in subcutaneous abdominal wound healing impairment: the SAWHI randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Surg.* 155 (6), 469–478. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2020.0414

Shimada, K., Ojima, Y., Ida, Y., Komiya, T., and Matsumura, H. (2022). Negativepressure wound therapy for donor-site closure in radial forearm free flap: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. Wound J.* 19 (2), 316–325. doi:10.1111/iwj.13632

Simao, T. S. (2020). Simple vacuum compression dressing for skin graft. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol 86, e263–e264. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2020.07.045

Smuđ-Orehovec, S., Mance, M., Halužan, D., Vrbanović-Mijatović, V., and Mijatović, D. (2018). Defect reconstruction of an infected diabetic foot using split- and full-thickness skin grafts with adjuvant negative pressure wound therapy: a case report and review of the literature. *Wounds* 30 (11), E108-E115–e115.

Strickler, A. G., Shah, P., Bajaj, S., Mizuguchi, R., Nijhawan, R. I., Odueyungbo, M., et al. (2021). Preventing and managing complications in dermatologic surgery: procedural and postsurgical concerns. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol* 84 (4), 895–903. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2021.01.037

Sun, T., Ying, W., Wang, S., Chen, C., Sun, P., and Tan, J. (2021). Clinical application of vacuum sealing drainage for the treatment of deep burn wounds. *Am. Surgeon*[™] 89 (4), 1018–1023. doi:10.1177/00031348211054527

Tuuli, M. G., Liu, J., Tita, A. T. N., Longo, S., Trudell, A., Carter, E. B., et al. (2020). Effect of prophylactic negative pressure wound therapy vs standard wound dressing on surgical-site infection in obese women after cesarean delivery: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 324 (12), 1180–1189. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.13361

Wilkinson, H. N., Longhorne, F. L., Roberts, E. R., Brownhill, V. R., and Hardman, M. J. (2021). Cellular benefits of single-use negative pressure wound therapy demonstrated in a novel *ex vivo* human skin wound model. *Wound Repair Regen.* 29 (2), 298–305. doi:10.1111/wrr.12888

Yang, H., Liu, L., Li, G., Chen, Y., Jiang, D., Wang, W., et al. (2021). Growth promoting effect of vacuum sealing drainage in the healing processes of diabetic foot ulcers. *Ther. Clin. Risk Manag.* 17, 65–71. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S282840

Yang, S. L., Zhu, L. Y., Han, R., Sun, L. L., and Dou, J. T. (2017). Effect of negative pressure wound therapy on cellular fibronectin and transforming growth factor- β 1 expression in diabetic foot wounds. *Foot Ankle Int.* 38 (8), 893–900. doi:10.1177/1071100717704940

Yin, Y., Zhang, R., Li, S., Guo, J., Hou, Z., and Zhang, Y. (2018). Negative-pressure therapy versus conventional therapy on split-thickness skin graft: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Surg.* 50, 43–48. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2017.12.020

Zhang, B. R., Fan, X., Zhao, J. C., Shi, K., and Yu, J. A. (2021a). Negative pressure wound therapy with instillation and dwell time in the wound management of necrotizing fasciitis. *J. Tissue Viability* 30 (2), 262–266. doi:10.1016/j.jtv.2021. 02.012

Zhang, C., Wang, Q., Wang, Z., Huang, Q., Zhang, C., Duan, N., et al. (2021b). Modified negative-pressure wound therapy for linear blister formation prevention around foam dressings: technical note and case series. J. Orthop. Surg. Res. 16 (1), 602. doi:10.1186/s13018-021-02759-x

Zhu, J., Wang, F., Yan, L., Wang, J., Wu, M., Hu, R., et al. (2021). Negative pressure wound therapy enhances bone regeneration compared with conventional therapy in a rabbit radius gap-healing model. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 21 (5), 474. doi:10.3892/etm.2021. 9905

Zwanenburg, P. R., Timmermans, F. W., Timmer, A. S., Middelkoop, E., Tol, B. T., Lapid, O., et al. (2021). A systematic review evaluating the influence of incisional Negative Pressure Wound Therapy on scarring. *Wound Repair Regen.* 29 (1), 8–19. doi:10.1111/wrr.12858

Zwanenburg, P. R., Tol, B. T., Obdeijn, M. C., Lapid, O., Gans, S. L., and Boermeester, M. A. (2020). Meta-analysis, meta-regression, and GRADE assessment of randomized and nonrandomized studies of incisional negative pressure wound therapy versus control dressings for the prevention of postoperative wound complications. *Ann. Surg.* 272 (1), 81–91. doi:10.1097/SLA.00000000003644